

# Table of Contents

## PART I. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

### CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION: WHAT IS ADMINISTRATIVE LAW?

- § 1:1 What is Administrative Law?
- § 1:2 The Relationship Between Administrative Law and Constitutional Law
- § 1:3 Statutory Delegation of Governmental Powers
- § 1:4 Delegation, Jurisdiction, and the Doctrine of *Ultra Vires*
- § 1:5 Judicial Review of Administrative Action
- § 1:6 Historical Development of Judicial Review of Administrative Action
- § 1:7 Remedies
- § 1:8 Attempts to Limit Judicial Review of Administrative Action
- § 1:9 Public versus Private Organizations and Actions
- § 1:10 Summary
- § 1:11 Selected Bibliography

### CHAPTER 2. CONSTITUTIONAL ASPECTS OF CANADIAN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- § 2:1 The Relevance of British Law

#### II. THE SOVEREIGNTY OF PARLIAMENT IN BRITAIN

- § 2:2 Introduction
- § 2:3 British Parliament Omnipotent
- § 2:4 British Parliament Unfettered for the Future
- § 2:5 Courts Bound by Acts of Parliament
- § 2:6 Administrative Powers Derive from Statute or the Royal Prerogative
- § 2:7 No Constitutional Separation of Powers

#### III. THE UNITED STATES: A CONTRASTING MODEL

- § 2:8 General

#### IV. THE CANADIAN MODEL

##### A. INTRODUCTION

- § 2:9 General

## B. STRUCTURAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 2:10 Federalism and the Division of Legislative Powers
- § 2:11 The Ability to Delegate
- § 2:12 Delegation, but not Abdication
- § 2:13 —Cases dealing with provincial delegation
- § 2:14 —Cases dealing with federal delegation
- § 2:15 Offices of King, Governor General and Lieutenant Governor  
Constitutionally Protected
- § 2:16 No Inter-delegation
- § 2:17 The Section 96 Problem
- § 2:18 —The historical inquiry
- § 2:19 —Judicial function
- § 2:20 —Institutional setting
- § 2:21 —Privative clauses

## V. THE STATUTORY DELEGATE'S JURISDICTION TO DECIDE CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES AND GRANT CONSTITUTIONAL REMEDIES

- § 2:22 Introduction
- § 2:23 Statutory Grants of Jurisdiction to Decide Constitutional Issues
- § 2:24 Statutory Delegate's Jurisdiction to Decide Constitutional Issues at Common Law
- § 2:25 Statutory Delegate's Jurisdiction to Grant Constitutional Remedies
- § 2:26 Conclusion

## VI. THE CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS AND ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

- § 2:27 Introduction to the *Charter*
- § 2:28 To Whom Does the *Charter* Apply?
- § 2:29 Section 1 Analysis in the Administrative Law Context
- § 2:30 The Impact of Section 7 on Administrative Law—Introduction
- § 2:31 —Life, Liberty and Security of the Person
- § 2:32 —Principles of Fundamental Justice
- § 2:33 Examples of Other *Charter* Sections Impacting Administrative Law
- § 2:34 —Section 2
- § 2:35 —Section 8
- § 2:36 —Section 15
- § 2:37 Conclusion

## CHAPTER 3. STATUTORY DELEGATION OF GOVERNMENTAL POWERS

- § 3:1 Institutions of Government
- § 3:2 —The Legislative Branch

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 3:3 —The Judicial Branch
- § 3:4 —The Executive (or Administrative) Branch
- § 3:5 Institutions of Government versus Functions of Government
- § 3:6 Reasons for Characterizing Functions as “Legislative”,  
“Judicial” or “Executive or Administrative”
- § 3:7 Discretionary Powers
- § 3:8 —Duties Compared with Discretionary Powers
- § 3:9 —The Ambit of Discretion
- § 3:10 —Pre-conditions to the Exercise of Discretion
- § 3:11 —The Concept of a “Quasi-Judicial” Power
- § 3:12 Subordinate Legislation

## CHAPTER 4. SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION

### I. INTRODUCTION

- § 4:1 General
- § 4:2 The Meaning of Subordinate Legislation
- § 4:3 The Reasons for Subordinate Legislation
- § 4:4 The Growth of Subordinate Legislation
- § 4:5 The Power to Enact Subordinate Legislation and Sub-delegation
- § 4:6 The Effectiveness of Subordinate Legislation
- § 4:7 The Preparation of Subordinate Legislation
- § 4:8 Forms of Subordinate Legislation

### II. REGULATIONS

#### A. WHAT IS A “REGULATION”?

- § 4:9 The Definition of a “Regulation” — Generally
- § 4:10 The Federal Definition of “Regulation”

#### B. THE POWER TO ENACT REGULATIONS

- § 4:11 General

#### C. THE PREPARATION AND PASSING OF REGULATIONS

- § 4:12 Introduction
- § 4:13 The Requirement for Public Consultation
- § 4:14 The Filing and Publication of Regulations
- § 4:15 Effect of Failure to File or Register Regulations
- § 4:16 Publication of Regulations and Exemption from Publication

#### D. FINAL COMMENTS CONCERNING REGULATIONS

- § 4:17 General

### III. MUNICIPAL BYLAWS

- § 4:18 General

#### **IV. PARLIAMENTARY SCRUTINY OF SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION**

§ 4:19 General

#### **V. CHALLENGING SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION**

§ 4:20 Introduction

§ 4:21 Subordinate Legislation is *Ultra Vires* or Unconstitutional

§ 4:22 Parent Act is Repealed

§ 4:23 Parent Act is *Ultra Vires* or Unconstitutional

§ 4:24 Conditions Precedent

§ 4:25 Composition or Procedure of the Statutory Delegate

§ 4:26 Conflict with Other Acts

§ 4:27 Implied Restrictions—Good Faith

§ 4:28 —Reasonableness

§ 4:29 —The Legitimate Expectations Doctrine

#### **VI. SUMMARY AND SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY**

§ 4:30 Summary

§ 4:31 Selected Bibliography

### **PART II. GROUNDS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW**

#### **CHAPTER 5. INTRODUCTION TO THE GROUNDS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW**

§ 5:1 General

§ 5:2 The “Narrow” and “Wide” Meanings of “Jurisdiction”

§ 5:3 Jurisdiction, Standard of Review and Privative Clauses

§ 5:4 Problems in Determining the Ambit of Jurisdiction—Implied Statutory Intent

§ 5:5 —Preliminary or Collateral Matters

§ 5:6 —Granting Delegated Powers in Broad or Subjective Terms

§ 5:7 —Incorporating the Statutory Delegate’s Actions into Legislation

§ 5:8 Is an *Ultra Vires* Action Void or Voidable?

§ 5:9 The Discretion to Refuse a Remedy Even Where Grounds for Judicial Review Exist

§ 5:10 Summary

§ 5:11 Selected Bibliography

#### **CHAPTER 6. DEFECTS IN ACQUIRING JURISDICTION**

§ 6:1 Introduction

§ 6:2 Substantive *Ultra Vires*

§ 6:3 Constitution of the Statutory Delegate

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:4 —Sub-delegation
- § 6:5 —Appointment of Members of the Statutory Delegate
- § 6:6 Compliance with Statutory Requirements
- § 6:7 Preliminary or Collateral Matters
- § 6:8 —*Anisminic*
- § 6:9 —*Bell*
- § 6:10 —*Parkhill Bedding*
- § 6:11 —*CUPE*
- § 6:12 —*Syndicat*
- § 6:13 —*Dunsmuir*
- § 6:14 Evidentiary considerations
- § 6:15 Preliminary or collateral matters after *Vavilov*
- § 6:16 Summary
- § 6:17 Selected Bibliography

## **CHAPTER 7. ABUSE OF DISCRETION AS A CONSIDERATION IN SUBSTANTIVE REVIEW**

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

- § 7:1 General
- § 7:2 The Historic Approach to Abuses of Discretion

### **II. THE ABUSE OF AN IMPROPER INTENTION: UNAUTHORIZED OR ULTERIOR PURPOSE, BAD FAITH, IRRELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS**

- § 7:3 Introduction
- § 7:4 Unauthorized or Ulterior Purpose
- § 7:5 Bad Faith
- § 7:6 Irrelevant Considerations
- § 7:7 The Abuse of Improper Intention Applied to all Types of Delegated Discretionary Powers

### **III. THE ABUSE OF ACTING ON INADEQUATE MATERIAL: NO EVIDENCE OR IGNORING RELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS**

- § 7:8 General

### **IV. THE ABUSE OF IMPROPER RESULT: UNREASONABLE, DISCRIMINATORY, RETROACTIVE OR UNCERTAIN ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS**

- § 7:9 Introduction
- § 7:10 Unreasonableness
- § 7:11 Discrimination
- § 7:12 Retroactivity

§ 7:13 Uncertainty

## **V. THE ABUSE OF MISCONSTRUING THE LAW**

§ 7:14 General

## **VI. THE ABUSE OF FETTERING DISCRETION**

§ 7:15 Introduction

§ 7:16 Inflexible Policy Fetters on the Exercise of Discretion

§ 7:17 Contractual Fetters on the Exercise of Discretion

§ 7:18 Reference to Other Governmental Policies

## **VII. THE STANDARD OF REVIEW OF DISCRETIONARY DECISIONS**

§ 7:19 General

## **VIII. SUMMARY AND SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY**

§ 7:20 Summary

§ 7:21 Selected Bibliography

# **CHAPTER 8. NATURAL JUSTICE AND THE DUTY TO BE FAIR: HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT AND GENERAL PRINCIPLES**

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

§ 8:1 General

## **II. THE HISTORICAL BACKGROUND OF THE DUTY TO BE FAIR**

§ 8:2 Origins of the Phrase “Judicial or Quasi-judicial”

§ 8:3 Principles of Natural Justice: *Cooper* and *Rice*

§ 8:4 First Erosion of the Principles of Natural Justice: Focusing on Identity of Decision-Maker

§ 8:5 Second Erosion of the Principles of Natural Justice: The “Super-added Duty to Act Judicially”

§ 8:6 Erosion of the Principles of Natural Justice in Canada

§ 8:7 —*Alliance*

§ 8:8 —*Saltfleet v. Knapman*

§ 8:9 —*Calgary Power v. Copithorne*

## **III. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE DUTY TO BE FAIR IN ENGLAND**

§ 8:10 *Ridge v. Baldwin*

§ 8:11 *Re H.K.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**IV. THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE DUTY TO BE FAIR IN CANADA**

- § 8:12 *Nicholson*
- § 8:13 *Campeau*
- § 8:14 *Harvie*
- § 8:15 *McCarthy*
- § 8:16 *Martineau (No. 2)*

**V. THE MODERN CONCEPT OF THE DUTY TO BE FAIR**

- § 8:17 General

**VI. APPLICABILITY OF THE DUTY TO BE FAIR**

- § 8:18 Applicability of the Duty to be Fair to Legislative Functions and Decisions of Cabinet
- § 8:19 —Legislative Powers and the Duty to be Fair
- § 8:20 —The Cabinet and the Duty to be Fair
- § 8:21 Applicability of the Duty to be Fair to the Merits of a Decision

**VII. THE EFFECT OF A BREACH OF THE DUTY TO BE FAIR**

- § 8:22 General

**VIII. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE DUTY TO BE FAIR AND THE “PRINCIPLES OF FUNDAMENTAL JUSTICE”**

- § 8:23 General

**IX. THE DUTY TO BE FAIR IN THE CONTEXT OF PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT**

- § 8:24 Introduction
- § 8:25 *Ridge v. Baldwin*
- § 8:26 *Nicholson v. Haldimand-Norfolk (Regional Municipality) Commissioners of Police*
- § 8:27 *Knight v. Indian Head School Division No. 19*
- § 8:28 *New Brunswick (Board of Management) v. Dunsmuir*
- § 8:29 *Cyr*
- § 8:30 *Martin*
- § 8:31 *Laval*
- § 8:32 Summary on Duty to be Fair in the Context of Public Employment

**X. THE DUTY TO BE FAIR, DELAY, AND ABUSE OF PROCESS**

- § 8:33 General

## **XI. THE DUTY TO BE FAIR AND STANDARDS OF REVIEW**

§ 8:34 General

## **XII. SUMMARY AND SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY**

§ 8:35 Summary on the Duty to be Fair

§ 8:36 Selected Bibliography

# **CHAPTER 9. THE DUTY TO BE FAIR: *AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM***

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

§ 9:1 General

## **II. THE CONTENT OF *AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM***

§ 9:2 General

## **III. THE DUTY TO BE FAIR IN PRE-HEARING PROCEDURES**

§ 9:3 Introduction

§ 9:4 Investigation Stage

§ 9:5 Notice Requirements

§ 9:6 Disclosure Requirements

§ 9:7 Privacy Considerations and Privilege

§ 9:8 —Solicitor-Client Privilege

§ 9:9 —Parliamentary Privilege—Cabinet Privilege

§ 9:10 —Freedom of Information

§ 9:11 Determining Form of Hearing

§ 9:12 —Constitutional and Quasi-Constitutional Rights to Oral Hearings

§ 9:13 —Common Law and Statutory Requirements for Oral Hearings

§ 9:14 —Bifurcated Hearings

§ 9:15 Legitimate Expectations

§ 9:16 —*Baker*

§ 9:17 —*Mont-Sinai*

§ 9:18 —*Moreau-Bérubé c. Nouveau-Brunswick*

§ 9:19 —*The Retired Judges Case*

§ 9:20 —*Pacific International Securities*

§ 9:21 —*Agraira*

## **IV. THE DUTY TO BE FAIR DURING THE HEARING**

§ 9:22 Introduction

§ 9:23 Exclusion of the Parties

§ 9:24 Open Court and *In Camera* Proceedings

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 9:25 —*Millward v. Canada (Public Service Commission)*
- § 9:26 —*McVey*
- § 9:27 —*Edmonton Journal*
- § 9:28 —*Vancouver Sun*
- § 9:29 Evidentiary Considerations—Applicability of Traditional Rules of Evidence
- § 9:30 —Expert Evidence
- § 9:31 —Hearsay
- § 9:32 —Taking of Official Notice
- § 9:33 —Gathering Evidence and Taking Views
- § 9:34 —Parol Evidence
- § 9:35 Privileged Communications
- § 9:36 Cross-examination of Witnesses
- § 9:37 —*Innisfil (Township) v. Vespra (Township)*
- § 9:38 —*Strathcona (Municipality) v. Maclab Enterprises Ltd.*
- § 9:39 —*Murray v. Rocky View (Municipal District No. 44)*
- § 9:40 Right of Reply
- § 9:41 Right to be Represented by Legal Counsel or Agent
- § 9:42 —*Guay v. Lafleur*
- § 9:43 —*Pett*
- § 9:44 —*Irvine*
- § 9:45 —The Impact of the *Charter* on the Right to Counsel
- § 9:46 Reverse Order Questioning
- § 9:47 Availability of Adjournments
- § 9:48 Role of Statutory Delegate’s Legal Counsel During the Hearing
- § 9:49 Legislative Prescriptions for Administrative Procedures

## V. THE DUTY TO BE FAIR AFTER THE HEARING

- § 9:50 Introduction
- § 9:51 Deliberation Between Members of Statutory Delegate Who Heard the Case
- § 9:52 Consultation with Other Members of Statutory Delegate
- § 9:53 —*Consolidated Bathurst*
- § 9:54 —*Tremblay*
- § 9:55 Deliberative Secrecy
- § 9:56 —*Tremblay*
- § 9:57 —*Ellis-Don*
- § 9:58 —*Payne*
- § 9:59 —*Laval*
- § 9:60 —Other Cases on Deliberative Secrecy
- § 9:61 —Summary on Deliberative Secrecy
- § 9:62 Role of Statutory Delegate’s Legal Counsel After the Hearing
- § 9:63 Requirement for Written Reasons for Decisions
- § 9:64 —Development of the Requirement to Provide Reasons
- § 9:65 —Statutory Requirements to Provide Reasons
- § 9:66 —Factors to Consider When the Statute is Silent
- § 9:67 —Adequacy of Reasons

- § 9:68 —Effect of Failing to Give Adequate Reasons
- § 9:69 —Effect of Giving Reasons Which Disclose an Error in the Decision
- § 9:70 —Summary on Requirement to Give Written Reasons
- § 9:71 Re-hearings, Reconsideration and the Doctrine of *Functus Officio*
- § 9:72 *Res Judicata* and Issue Estoppel
- § 9:73 Waiver or Acquiescence

## VI. CONCLUSION AND SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- § 9:74 Conclusion
- § 9:75 Selected Bibliography

## CHAPTER 10. THE DUTY TO BE FAIR: THE RULE AGAINST BIAS

### I. OVERVIEW

- § 10:1 Introduction to the Rule Against Bias
- § 10:2 To Whom Does the Rule Against Bias Apply?

### II. THE TEST FOR BIAS

- § 10:3 General
- § 10:4 The Facts of the *National Energy Board Case*
- § 10:5 The Judgments

### III. WHAT CONSTITUTES BIAS IN LAW?

- § 10:6 Introduction
- § 10:7 Financial Interest in the Outcome of the Dispute
- § 10:8 Relationships with Persons Involved in the Dispute
- § 10:9 Outside Knowledge of or Prior Involvement in the Dispute
- § 10:10 Inappropriate Comments or Behavior
- § 10:11 —Statutory Delegates Who Are Elected Officials: *Old St. Boniface* and *Save Richmond Farmland*
- § 10:12 —Statutory Delegates Who Have Policy-Making Roles: The *Newfoundland Telephone Case*

### IV. INSTITUTIONAL BIAS

- § 10:13 Introduction
- § 10:14 The General Test for Institutional Bias
- § 10:15 Some Examples of Institutional Bias
- § 10:16 —Overlapping Functions of Members of Statutory Delegate
- § 10:17 —Overlapping Functions of Statutory Delegate Staff
- § 10:18 —Interested Parties Having a Role in Statutory Delegate
- § 10:19 —Statutory Delegate Having Interest in the Outcome
- § 10:20 —Consultation Between Members of the Statutory Delegate

### V. STRUCTURAL INDEPENDENCE

- § 10:21 General

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**VI. THE LEGAL EFFECT OF A REASONABLE APPREHENSION OF BIAS**

§ 10:22 General

**VII. WHO SHOULD HEAR A CHALLENGE TO THE IMPARTIALITY OF A STATUTORY DELEGATE?**

§ 10:23 General

**VIII. THE TIMING TO RAISE A BIAS ARGUMENT AND WAIVER**

§ 10:24 General

**IX. EVIDENCE OF BIAS**

§ 10:25 Introduction

§ 10:26 The Record and Affidavits

§ 10:27 Voluntary and Compulsory Disclosure

**X. SUMMARY AND SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY**

§ 10:28 Summary

§ 10:29 Selected Bibliography

**CHAPTER 11. ERRORS OF LAW**

§ 11:1 Introduction

§ 11:2 What is a question of “law”?

§ 11:3 Errors of Fact, Fair Procedure, may constitute Errors of Law

§ 11:4 The Effect of a Privative Clause

§ 11:5 Statutory Appeals on a Question of Law

**PART III. STANDARDS OF REVIEW**

**CHAPTER 12. STANDARDS OF REVIEW**

**I. OVERVIEW**

§ 12:1 Introduction

§ 12:2 The Constitutional and Conceptual Basis for Different Standards of Review

**II. HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF DIFFERENT STANDARDS**

§ 12:3 Introduction

§ 12:4 The High-Water Mark for Judicial Review: *Anisminic*

§ 12:5 The English Extension: All Errors of Law are Jurisdictional

§ 12:6 The Low-Water Mark for Judicial Review in Canada:

- C.U.P.E.* and the “Not Patently Unreasonable” Test as a Shield from Judicial Review
- § 12:7 The Subsequent Clarification: The “Correctness Test” still applied to some matters
- § 12:8 The Pragmatic and Functional Approach for Identifying Jurisdictional Matters: *Bibeault* and *Econosult*
- § 12:9 The Development of the Concept of a Spectrum of Standards of Review, the Articulation of the Intermediate Standard of “Reasonableness *Simpliciter*”, and Using the Pragmatic and Functional Approach to Determine the Applicable Standard of Review
- § 12:10 The Four *Pushpanathan* Factors to be used in the Pragmatic and Functional Approach to Determine the Applicable Standard of Review
- § 12:11 The Fleeting Thought There Might be Many Standards Along the Spectrum
- § 12:12 *Dr. Q and Ryan*: The Transference of the Concept of a Spectrum of Standards to a Spectrum of Deference, Three Standards of Review, and a Constant Meaning for “Reasonableness *Simpliciter*”
- § 12:13 Justice LeBel’s *cri de coeur* in *Toronto (City) v. C.U.P.E., Local 79*

### III. THE SIMPLIFICATION IN *DUNSMUIR*

- § 12:14 Introduction
- § 12:15 Background
- § 12:16 The Majority Decision
- § 12:17 Justice Binnie’s Concurring Decision
- § 12:18 The Decision by Justice Deschamps
- § 12:19 Refinements and Unresolved Questions after *Dunsmuir*

### IV. THE RE-FRAMING OF STANDARDS OF REVIEW ANALYSIS IN *VAVILOV*

- § 12:20 Introduction
- § 12:21 The majority’s new framework in *Vavilov*
- § 12:22 The minority’s disagreement about the new conceptual framework in *Vavilov*
- § 12:23 The majority’s response to the minority’s criticism

### V. “TRUE QUESTIONS OF JURISDICTION” DO NOT, IN AND OF THEMSELVES, ENGAGE THE CORRECTNESS STANDARD OF REVIEW

- § 12:24 General

### VI. THE CONCEPT AND ROLE OF EXPERTISE IN DETERMINING REASONABLENESS

- § 12:25 Introduction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 12:26 The concept of expertise
- § 12:27 —Previously Relevant in Selecting the Standard of Review in  
*Pushpanathan*
- § 12:28 —Different Types of Expertise
- § 12:29 —Relative Expertise
- § 12:30 —How is Expertise Established?
- § 12:31 —Expertise was an Indicator of Legislative Intent
- § 12:32 The role of expertise in *Vavilov*

**VII. THE ROLE OF PRIVATIVE CLAUSES AFTER  
VAVILOV**

- § 12:33 General

**VIII. THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE STANDARD OF  
REVIEW AND THE CONTENT OF THE DUTY OF  
FAIRNESS**

- § 12:34 Do the Two Standards of Review Apply to Breaches of  
Procedural Fairness?

**IX. THE STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR ADEQUACY OF  
REASONS AFTER VAVILOV**

- § 12:35 General

**X. QUESTIONS OF LAW AFTER VAVILOV**

- § 12:36 General

**XI. THE APPLICATION OF THE REASONABLENESS  
STANDARD OF REVIEW TO DISCRETIONARY  
DECISIONS**

- § 12:37 Introduction
- § 12:38 Identifying the relevant factors: The *Retired Judges* case
- § 12:39 The court does not weigh the relevant factors
- § 12:40 *Figliola*

**XII. THE STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR THE VALIDITY  
OF SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION AFTER VAVILOV**

- § 12:41 General

**XIII. DOES THE STANDARDS OF REVIEW ANALYSIS  
APPLY TO CONSENSUAL TRIBUNALS?**

- § 12:42 General

**XIV. SUMMARY AND SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- § 12:43 Summary on Standards of Review

§ 12:44 Selected Bibliography

## **PART IV. REMEDIES**

### **CHAPTER 13. INTRODUCTION TO REMEDIES**

- § 13:1 General
- § 13:2 Appeals
- § 13:3 Prerogative Remedies
- § 13:4 Private Law Remedies
- § 13:5 Ontario Procedural Reforms
- § 13:6 Alberta Procedural Reforms
- § 13:7 Federal Procedural Reforms
- § 13:8 Substantive Reforms
- § 13:9 Privative Clauses

### **CHAPTER 14. APPEALS FROM ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS**

- § 14:1 Introduction
- § 14:2 No Common Law Right of Appeal
- § 14:3 Appeals to Administrative Appeal Bodies
- § 14:4 Appeals to the Courts
- § 14:5 Scope of Statutory Appeals
- § 14:6 —Issues to be Appealed
- § 14:7 —Standing to Appeal
- § 14:8 —Statutory Provisions Relating to Appeals
- § 14:9 Form of Appeals
- § 14:10 Exercise of Discretion by the Appellate Body
- § 14:11 Standard of Appellate Review
- § 14:12 Isolated Reforms to Permit Appeals to the Courts
- § 14:13 The Creation of General Administrative Appeal Bodies
- § 14:14 The Effect of an Appeal on the Availability of Judicial Review
- § 14:15 Restrictions on Collateral Attacks Where an Appeal is Available
- § 14:16 The Ombudsman as an Alternative to an Appeal
- § 14:17 Issue Estoppel
- § 14:18 Conclusion
- § 14:19 Selected Bibliography

### **CHAPTER 15. THE PREROGATIVE REMEDIES**

- § 15:1 Introduction
- § 15:2 *Habeas Corpus*
- § 15:3 *Certiorari* and Prohibition
- § 15:4 *Mandamus*
- § 15:5 *Quo Warranto*
- § 15:6 Standing for Judicial Review
- § 15:7 —Standing Under the Common Law
- § 15:8 —Public Interest Standing

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 15:9 —Standing Under Statutory Provisions
- § 15:10 —Legal Personality and Standing of Statutory Delegates
- § 15:11 —Timing
- § 15:12 —Intervention
- § 15:13 Discretionary Nature of the Prerogative Remedies
- § 15:14 —Waiver and Acquiescence
- § 15:15 —Unreasonable Delay
- § 15:16 —Clean Hands and the General Conduct of the Parties
- § 15:17 —Futility, Mootness and Non-Material Errors
- § 15:18 —Availability of Alternative Remedies
- § 15:19 The Application for Judicial Review in Alberta
- § 15:20 The *Federal Courts Act*
- § 15:21 Conclusion
- § 15:22 Selected Bibliography

## CHAPTER 16. PRIVATIVE CLAUSES

- § 16:1 Introduction
- § 16:2 Introduction to privative clauses
- § 16:3 The *Pushpanathan* factors
- § 16:4 —The Absence of a Privative Clause Did Not Automatically Engage the Correctness Standard
- § 16:5 —The Presence of a Privative Clause Implied Deference
- § 16:6 —Types of Privative Clauses and Their Effect on Judicial Review—Final and Binding Clauses
- § 16:7 — —Exclusive Jurisdiction Clauses
- § 16:8 — —*No-certiorari Clauses*
- § 16:9 — —“Full” or “True” Privative Clauses
- § 16:10 — —Elastic Jurisdiction Clauses
- § 16:11 — —Time limits for applying for judicial review
- § 16:12 — —Partial privative clauses
- § 16:13 —Effect of a Statutory Appeal on the Availability of Judicial Review
- § 16:14 —Constitutional Limitations on Privative Clauses in Canada
- § 16:15 —Privative Clauses after *Vavilov*
- § 16:16 —Statutory Abolition of Privative Clauses
- § 16:17 —Summary on Privative Clauses

## CHAPTER 17. PRIVATE LAW REMEDIES AND THE TORT LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES

### I. INTRODUCTION

- § 17:1 General

### II. INJUNCTIONS

- § 17:2 Introduction
- § 17:3 Nature of Injunctions
- § 17:4 Availability of Injunctive Relief

- § 17:5 Use of Injunctions by the Attorney General or Public Authorities
- § 17:6 Parliamentary Proceedings
- § 17:7 The Importance of Interim Injunctions
- § 17:8 The Test for Interim Injunctions
- § 17:9 The Importance of Interim Injunctions—Undertaking in Damages
- § 17:10 Stays of Proceedings
- § 17:11 The Discretionary Nature of Injunctions and Stays
- § 17:12 Crown Immunity from Injunctions—At Common Law
- § 17:13 —Crown Immunity Under Statute

### III. DECLARATIONS

- § 17:14 Nature of Declarations
- § 17:15 Availability of Declarations
- § 17:16 —The Requirement of Justiciability
- § 17:17 —Practical Value
- § 17:18 —The Discretionary Nature of Declarations
- § 17:19 Declarations Concerning the Crown

### IV. STANDING FOR INJUNCTIONS AND DECLARATIONS

- § 17:20 The Traditional Rule
- § 17:21 The Liberalization of Public Interest Standing Requirements
- § 17:22 The Current Test for Public Interest Standing
- § 17:23 Summary with respect to standing

### V. DAMAGES

- § 17:24 Introduction
- § 17:25 Nominate Torts
- § 17:26 Negligence
- § 17:27 —The Starting Point: Anns
- § 17:28 —The Evolution of the Canadian Law on the Negligence of Public Authorities
- § 17:29 —The call for a new administrative law approach to public liability claims
- § 17:30 —Conclusion on Negligence by Public Authorities
- § 17:31 Misfeasance in a Public Office
- § 17:32 —Development of the Tort of Misfeasance in Public Office
- § 17:33 —*Odhavji Estate*: The Supreme Court Crystallizes the Canadian Law on Misfeasance in Public Office
- § 17:34 —*Finney*
- § 17:35 —Conclusion on Misfeasance in Public Office
- § 17:36 Class Actions
- § 17:37 Constitutional Aspects of Damages
- § 17:38 Immunities
- § 17:39 —Statutory Immunity

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 17:40 —Crown Immunity
- § 17:41 —Members of Parliament and Provincial Legislatures
- § 17:42 —Judicial Officers
- § 17:43 —Public Officials Acting Legislatively
- § 17:44 —Public Officials Acting Quasi-Judicially

## VI. CONCLUSION AND SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- § 17:45 Conclusion
- § 17:46 Selected Bibliography

## APPENDICES

- Appendix A. *Alberta Administrative Procedures and Jurisdiction Act*
- Appendix B. *Ontario Statutory Powers Procedure Act*
- Appendix C. *Ontario Judicial Review Procedure Act*
- Appendix D. Alberta Rules of Court
- Appendix E. *Federal Courts Act*
- Appendix F. *British Columbia Administrative Tribunals Act*
- Appendix G. A Note on the Record

### Table of Cases

### Index

