

# Index

## **ABDICATION OF LEGISLATIVE POWER**

See also **CONSTITUTIONAL ASPECTS OF CANADIAN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW**

Generally, **2:12 to 2:14**

## **ABUSE OF DISCRETION**

See also **JURISDICTION**

Fettering discretion, **6:4, 7:14 to 7:17**

Historic approach, **7:2**

Improper intention, **7:3 to 7:7**

Improper result, **7:8 to 7:13**

Inadequate material, **7:8**

Misconstruing law, **7:14**

## **ACQUIESCENCE**

Effect on judicial review, **9:73**

Effect on prerogative remedies, **15:14**

## **ADJOURNMENT**

See also **AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM RULE**

Availability of, **9:47**

## **ADMINISTRATIVE LAW GENERALLY**

Attempts to limit judicial review, **1:8**

effect of privative clauses, **1:8**

judicial review vs. legislative sovereignty, **1:8**

“privative clauses” defined, **1:8**

Defined, **1:1**

Delegation, jurisdiction and doctrine of ultra vires, **1:4**

Delegation of governmental powers, statutory, **1:3**

factors justifying delegation, **1:3**

Historical development, **1:6**

central features of our system, **1:6**

inherent jurisdiction of superior courts to supervise, **1:6**

prerogative remedies, **1:6**

Judicial review, grounds for, **1:5**

judicial review vs. appeal, **1:5**

jurisdictional defects, **1:5**

jurisdictional errors, **1:5**

## **ADMINISTRATIVE LAW GENERALLY —Cont’d**

Judicial review, grounds for, **1:5**—Cont’d prerogative remedies, **1:5**

procedural errors going to jurisdiction, **1:5**

ultra vires doctrine, **1:5**

Public vs private organizations and actions, **1:9**

Remedies and standing, **1:7**

application for judicial review, **1:7**

certiorari, **1:7**

damages, claim for, **1:7**

declaratory relief, **1:7**

grounds for judicial review increased, **1:7**

habeas corpus, **1:7**

mandamus, **1:7**

non-judicial remedies, **1:7**

quo warranto, **1:7**

Summary, **1:10**

## **ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES AND JURISDICTION ACT (ALBERTA)**

Generally, **App. A**

## **ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNALS ACT (BRITISH COLUMBIA)**

Generally, **App. F**

## **ALBERTA RULES OF COURT**

Generally, **App. D**

## **APPEALS FROM ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS**

Administrative appeal bodies appeals to, **14:3**

creation, **14:13**

Appeal’s effect on availability of judicial review, **14:14**

Appellate discretion, exercise of, **14:10**

Conclusion, **14:18**

Courts, to, **14:4**

Form of appeals, **14:9**

Introduction, **14:1**

Issue estoppel, **14:17**

**APPEALS FROM ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS—Cont'd**

- No common law right of appeal, **14:2**
- Ombudsman as alternative to appeal, **14:16**
- Reforms to permit appeals to courts, **14:12**
- Scope of statutory appeals, **14:5 to 14:8**
  - issues to be appealed, **14:6**
  - standing, **14:7**
  - statutory provisions relating to appeals, **14:8**
- Standard of appellate review, **14:11**
- Statutory, **App. G**

**AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM RULE**

- Generally, **9:1**
- Content of principle, **9:2**
- Duty to be fair during hearing, **9:22 to 9:49**
  - adjournment, availability of, **9:47**
  - cross-examination of witnesses, **9:36 to 9:39**
    - Innisfil (Township) v. Vespra (Township), **9:37**
    - Murray v. Council of Municipal District of Rocky View, **9:39**
    - Strathcona (Municipality) v. Maclab Enterprises, **9:38**
  - evidentiary considerations, **9:29 to 9:34**
  - exclusion of parties, **9:23**
  - expert evidence, **9:30**
    - hearsay, **9:31**
    - judicial notice, **9:32**
    - parol evidence, **9:34**
    - privileged communications, **9:35**
    - rules of evidence, **9:29**
    - views, taking, **9:33**
  - legislative prescription for administrative procedure, **9:49**
  - open court and in camera proceedings, **9:24 to 9:28**
  - reverse order questioning, **9:46**
  - right of reply, **9:40**
  - right to counsel
    - generally, **9:41 to 9:45**
      - Charter context, **9:45**
      - Guay v. Lafleur, **9:42**
      - Irvine, **9:44**
      - Pett, **9:43**

**AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM RULE —Cont'd**

- Duty to be fair during hearing, **9:22 to 9:49—Cont'd**
  - role of statutory delegate's counsel during hearing, **9:48**
- Post-hearing processes
  - generally, **9:50 to 9:74**
  - consultation with other members of statutory delegate, **9:52 to 9:54**
    - Consolidated Bathurst, **9:53**
    - Tremblay, **9:54**
  - deliberation between members of statutory delegate, **9:51**
  - deliberative secrecy, **9:55 to 9:62**
  - Ellis-Don, **9:57**
  - issue estoppel, **9:72**
  - Laval, **9:59**
  - Payne, **9:58**
  - Tremblay, **9:56**
- Pre-hearing procedures
  - generally, **9:3 to 9:21**
  - disclosure requirements, **9:6**
  - form of hearing
    - generally, **9:11 to 9:14**
    - bifurcated hearings, **9:14**
    - legitimate expectations, **9:15 to 9:21**
      - Agraira, **9:21**
      - Baker, **9:16**
      - Mont-Sinai, **9:17**
      - Moreau-B0197rub0197 c. Nouveau-Brunswick, **9:18**
      - Pacific International Securities, **9:20**
      - retired judges case, **9:19**
    - oral hearing, no requirement for, **9:11**
      - common law and statutory requirements, **9:13**
      - constitutional and quasi-constitutional rights, **9:12**
  - investigative stage, **9:4**
  - notice of hearing, **9:5**
  - privacy considerations
    - freedom of information, **9:10**
    - Parliamentary privilege
      - Cabinet privilege, **9:9**
      - solicitor-client privilege, **9:8**
  - Privileged communications, **9:35**
  - Reasons for decisions
    - generally, **9:63 to 9:70**

**AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM RULE**

—Cont'd

- Reasons for decisions—Cont'd
  - adequacy of reasons, **9:67**
    - Baker, **9:67**
    - Dunsmuir, **9:67**
    - Newfoundland and Labrador Nurses' Union, **9:67**
  - repeating or copying policy or submissions, **9:67**
  - supplementation, **9:67**
  - Vavilov, **9:67**
- development of requirement
  - Baker, **9:64**
  - Future Inns, **9:64**
  - Lafontaine, **9:64**
  - Newfoundland and Labrador Nurses' Union, **9:64**
  - Vavilov, **9:64**
- effect of failure to give adequate reasons, **9:68**
- effect of giving reasons disclosing error in decision, **9:69**
- statutory requirement, **9:65**
- summary, **9:70**
- where statute silent, **9:66**
- Rehearings, reconsideration and doctrine of functus officio, **9:71**
  - res judicata and issue estoppel, **9:72**
- Role of statutory delegate's counsel after hearing, **9:62**
  - waiver or acquiescence, **9:73**

**BIAS RULE**

- Generally, **10:1**
- Application of rule: to whom does it apply?, **10:2**
- Challenge to impartiality of statutory delegate, who should hear?, **10:23**
- Evidence of bias, **10:25 to 10:27**
  - disclosure, voluntary and compulsory, **10:27**
  - record and affidavits, **10:26**
- Institutional bias
  - generally, **10:13 to 10:20**
  - examples
    - generally, **10:15 to 10:20**
    - consultation between members of statutory delegate, **10:20**
    - interested parties having role in statutory delegate, **10:18**

**BIAS RULE—Cont'd**

- Institutional bias—Cont'd
  - examples—Cont'd
    - overlapping functions of members of statutory delegate, **10:16**
    - overlapping functions of statutory delegate staff, **10:17**
    - statutory delegate with interest in outcome, **10:19**
    - general test for institutional bias, **10:14**
- Reasonable apprehension of bias, legal effect of, **10:22**
- Structural independence, **10:21**
  - factors in common with institutional bias, **10:21**
- Summary, **10:28**
- Test for bias, **10:3 to 10:5**
  - National Energy Board case, **10:4, 10:5**
  - “reasonable apprehension of bias” test, **10:3**
- Timing to raise bias argument and waiver, **10:24**
  - factors considered, **10:24**
- What constitutes bias, **10:6 to 10:12**
  - generally, **10:6**
  - comments or behaviour, inappropriate, **10:10 to 10:12**
    - generally, **10:10**
    - comments or behaviour during hearing or deliberations, **10:11, 10:12**
    - Newfoundland Telephone, **10:12**
    - Old St. Boniface, **10:11**
    - Save Richmond Farmland, **10:11**
  - financial interest in outcome of dispute, **10:7**
    - direct financial interest giving rise to reasonable apprehension of bias, **10:7**
    - indirect financial interest more problematic, **10:7**
  - outside knowledge of or involvement dispute, **10:9**
  - relationships with persons involved with dispute, **10:8**

**CERTIORARI**

- See **ERRORS OF LAW ON FACE OF RECORD, PREROGATIVE REMEDIES and STANDARDS OF REVIEW**

**CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS**

See also CONSTITUTIONAL ASPECTS OF CANADIAN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

Conclusion, **2:37**

Damages, constitutional aspect of, **17:37**

Introduction, **2:27**

Section 7, impact of, **2:30 to 2:32**

fundamental justice, principles of, **2:32**

generally, **2:32**

introduction, **2:30**

“life, liberty and security of the person,” **2:31**

potential penal consequences for failure to comply with procedural demand, **2:31**

security interest, scope of, **2:31**

procedural fundamental justice, principles of, **2:32**

level of procedural protection, factors affecting, **2:32**

balancing of competing interests of state and individual, **2:32**

“characterization of functions” approach, **2:32**

shocking Canadian conscience if remedy denied, **2:32**

principles not immutable, **2:32**

rules of natural justice, **2:32**

substantive fundamental justice and doctrine of vagueness, principles of, **2:32, 2:33**

arbitrary laws, **2:32**

doctrine of vagueness, rationales supporting, **2:32**

intelligible standard, **2:32**

overbreadth, **2:32**

sufficient guidance for legal debate, **2:32**

“danger to the security of Canada,” **2:32**

vague provision not sufficiently delineating areas of risk, **2:32**

two-step analysis, **2:30**

Section 1 analysis, **2:29**

Section 2 and administrative law, **2:34**

application to disciplinary decision, **2:34**

infringement justified for purpose of s. 1, **2:34**

**CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS—Cont’d**

Section 2 and administrative law, **2:34**

—Cont’d

Personal Information Protection Act, constitutionality of, **2:34**

reasonable accommodation, **2:34**

Section 8 and administrative law, **2:35**

procedural safeguards, **2:35**

public’s interest vs. government’s interest, **2:35**

reasonable expectation of privacy, protection of, **2:35**

contextual analysis, **2:35**

corporations not having same reasonable expectation, **2:35**

inspection of documents vs. search of business premises, **2:35**

tax audit vs. investigation functions, **2:35**

differing levels of Charter protection, **2:35**

section 15, **2:36**

whom Charter applying to, **2:28**

college part of government apparatus, **2:28**

government, all aspects of, **2:28**

hospitals, **2:28**

hospital not government actor, **2:28**

medical services commission subject to Charter, **2:28**

section 32 of Charter, **2:28**

universities not subject to Charter scrutiny, **2:28**

whether body carrying out government objective, **2:28**

**CONSTITUTIONAL ASPECTS OF CANADIAN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW**

See also CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

British law, relevance of, **2:1**

British Parliament, sovereignty of, **2:2 to 2:7**

administrative powers derived from statute or Royal Prerogative, **2:6**

courts bound by Acts of Parliament, **2:5**

introduction, **2:2**

no constitutional separation of powers, **2:7**

**CONSTITUTIONAL ASPECTS OF  
CANADIAN ADMINISTRATIVE  
LAW—Cont'd**

- British Parliament, sovereignty of, **2:2 to 2:7—Cont'd**
  - omnipotent, **2:3**
  - unfettered for future, **2:4**
- Canadian model, **2:9 to 2:21**
  - Constitution Act, 1867 being basic constitutional document, **2:9**
  - delegate, ability to, **2:11**
  - delegation but not abdication of legislative functions, **2:12 to 2:14**
    - abdication principle rarely applied, **2:12, 2:14**
    - broad delegations being lawful, **2:12**
    - federal delegation, cases dealing with, **2:14**
      - Commission's report incorporated into legislation, **2:14**
      - constitutional necessity, **2:14**
      - delegation to Cabinet of legislative power, **2:14**
      - delegation to Governor General of power to proclaim part of section, **2:14**
      - delegation to Governor in Council of legislative power, **2:14**
        - limitations on delegation, **2:14**
      - division of judicial philosophy, **2:14**
      - extensive hand-off of legislative powers constituting unlawful abdication, **2:14**
      - resolution asking British Parliament to amend B.N.A. Act, 1867, **2:14**
      - unwritten constitutional principles, **2:14**
    - provincial delegation, cases dealing with, **2:13**
    - delegation of legislative powers, **2:12**
    - federalism and division of legislative powers, **2:10**
      - courts having duty to determine constitutionality of legislation, **2:10**
    - inter-delegation not permitted, **2:16**
    - judicially enforced constitutional restrictions, **2:9**

**CONSTITUTIONAL ASPECTS OF  
CANADIAN ADMINISTRATIVE  
LAW—Cont'd**

- Canadian model, **2:9 to 2:21—Cont'd**
    - King, Governor General and Lieutenant Governor constitutionally protected, **2:15**
      - Constitution Act, 1867 requiring unanimous consent to amend offices, **2:15**
      - limitation on ability of legislatures to delegate being untenable, **2:15**
      - restriction presenting obstacle to delegation of legislative powers, **2:15**
    - Queen, Governor General and Lieutenant Governor constitutionally protected
      - section 96: federal appointment of superior court judges, **2:17 to 2:21**
        - historical inquiry, **2:18**
        - institutional setting, **2:20**
        - judicial function, **2:19**
        - privative clauses, **2:21**
        - statutory delegate's jurisdiction to decide constitutional issues and grant constitutional remedies, **2:22 to 2:26**
          - generally, **2:22, 2:27**
          - statutory delegate's jurisdiction to decide constitutional questions, **2:24**
          - statutory delegate's jurisdiction to grant constitutional remedies, **2:25**
            - Mills test, **2:25**
            - s. 52 vs. s. 24 remedies, **2:25**
          - statutory grants of jurisdiction to decide constitutional issues, **2:23**
    - Standards of review, **12:2**
    - United States: contrasting model, **2:8**
- CROWN IMMUNITY**
- Generally, **17:40**
- DAMAGES**
- See also PRIVATE LAW REMEDIES AND TORT LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES
  - Generally, **17:24 to 17:45**

**DELEGATION OF GOVERNMENTAL POWERS, STATUTORY**

- Generally, **1:3**
- Characterization of delegated powers, reasons for, **3:6**
- Discretionary powers, delegation of, **3:7 to 3:11**
  - ambit of discretion, **3:9**
  - duties compared with discretionary powers, **3:8**
  - pre-conditions to exercise of discretion, **3:10**
  - “quasi-judicial” power, concept of, **3:11**
    - quasi-judicial vs. administrative powers distinction less important, **3:11**
    - reasons for delegation, **3:7**
    - section 96 prohibition, **3:11**
    - talisman guiding Parliament not available, **3:11**
- Institutions of government, **3:1 to 3:4**
  - executive or administrative branch, **3:4**
    - Crown, Governor in Council and ministers, **3:4**
    - independent boards and tribunals, **3:4**
  - judicial branch, **3:3**
  - legislative branch, **3:2**
- Institutions vs. functions of government, **3:5**
- Subordinate legislation, **3:12**

**DUTY TO BE FAIR**

- See **BIAS RULE, NATURAL JUSTICE AND THE DUTY TO BE FAIR** and **AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM RULE**

**ERRORS OF LAW**

- Effect of privative clause, **11:4**
- Fact, fair procedure, **11:3**
- Introduction, **11:1**
- Question of law, **11:2**
- Statutory appeals on question of law, **11:5**

**FUNCTIONAL AND PRAGMATIC APPROACH**

- See **STANDARDS OF REVIEW**

**GROUND FORS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW GENERALLY**

- Ambit of jurisdiction, problems in determining, **5:4 to 5:7**
  - delegate’s actions incorporated into legislation, **5:7**

**GROUND FORS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW GENERALLY—Cont’d**

- Ambit of jurisdiction, problems in determining, **5:4 to 5:7—Cont’d**
  - grant of delegated powers in broad or subjective terms, **5:6**
  - limits of delegated power being difficult to determine, **5:6**
  - subjective grant of power being difficult to review, **5:6**
  - preliminary or collateral matters, **5:5**
  - statutory intent, implied, **5:4**
- Discretion to refuse remedy even where grounds for judicial review existing, **5:9**
- Introduction, **1:5, 5:1**
- Judicial review, jurisdiction and privative clauses, **5:3**
- Jurisdiction, “narrow” and “wide” meanings of, **5:2**
- Summary, **5:10**
- Ultra vires action void or voidable, **5:8**

**HABEUS CORPUS**

- See also **PREROGATIVE REMEDIES**
- Generally, **15:2**

**INJUNCTIONS**

- See also **PRIVATE LAW REMEDIES AND TORT LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES**
- Generally, **17:2 to 17:13**

**INSTITUTIONAL BIAS**

- See **BIAS RULE**

**JUDICIAL REVIEW**

- See **ERRORS OF LAW ON FACE OF RECORD** and **GROUND FORS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW GENERALLY**

**JUDICIAL REVIEW PROCEDURE ACT (ONTARIO)**

- Generally, **App. C**

**JURISDICTION**

- See also **GROUND FORS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW GENERALLY**
- Abuse of discretion, losing jurisdiction through, **7:1 to 7:21**
  - fettering discretion, **7:15 to 7:18**
  - generally, **7:15**
  - contractual fetters on exercise of discretion, **7:17**

**JURISDICTION—Cont'd**

- Abuse of discretion, losing jurisdiction through, **7:1 to 7:21**—Cont'd
  - fettering discretion, **7:15 to 7:18**
  - Cont'd
    - inflexible policy fettering on exercise of discretion, **7:16**
    - reference to other governmental policies, **7:18**
- improper intention, **7:3 to 7:7**
  - generally, **7:3**
  - application, **7:7**
  - bad faith, **7:5**
  - irrelevant considerations, **7:6, 7:7**
  - unauthorized or ulterior purpose, **7:4**
- improper result, **7:9 to 7:13**
  - discrimination, **7:11**
  - retroactivity, **7:12**
  - uncertainty, **7:13**
  - unreasonableness, **7:10**
- inadequate material, acting on, **7:8**
- introduction, **7:1**
  - types of abuses, **7:1**
- misconstruing law, **7:14**
- standard of review of discretionary decisions, **7:19**
- summary, **7:20**
- Defects in acquiring, **6:1 to 6:17**
  - generally, **6:1, 6:16**
  - constitution of delegate, **6:3 to 6:5**
    - appointment of members of statutory delegate, **6:5**
    - sub-delegation, **6:4**
      - whether delegator can continue to exercise delegated functions, **6:4**
      - whether sub-delegation permitted, **6:4**
      - whether valid sub-delegation has taken place, **6:4**
- evidentiary considerations, **6:14**
- preliminary or collateral matters, **6:7 to 6:13, 6:15**
- Anisimic, **6:8**
- Bell, **6:9**
- CUPE, **6:11**
- Dunsmuir, **6:13**
- Parkhill Bedding, **6:10**
- Syndicat, **6:12**
- Vavilov, after, **6:15**

**JURISDICTION—Cont'd**

- Defects in acquiring, **6:1 to 6:17**—Cont'd
  - statutory requirements, compliance with, **6:6**
  - mandatory vs. directory statutory provisions, **6:6**
  - substantive ultra vires, **6:2**
- MANDAMUS**
  - See also PREROGATIVE REMEDIES
  - Generally, **15:4**
- MISFEASANCE IN PUBLIC OFFICE**
  - See also PRIVATE LAW REMEDIES AND TORT LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES
  - Generally, **17:31**
- NATURAL JUSTICE AND THE DUTY TO BE FAIR**
  - See also AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM RULE and BIAS RULE
  - Breach of duty, effect, **8:22**
  - Delay and abuse of process, **8:33**
  - Development of duty to be fair in Canada, **8:12 to 8:16**
    - Campeau, **8:13**
    - Harvie, **8:14**
    - Martineau (No. 2), **8:16**
    - McCarthy, **8:15**
    - Nicholson, **8:12**
  - Development of duty to be fair in England, **8:10, 8:11**
  - Re H.K., **8:11**
  - Ridge v. Baldwin, **8:10**
  - Duty to be fair and “principles of fundamental justice,” **8:23**
  - Historical background, **8:2 to 8:9**
    - Cooper and Rice, **8:3**
    - erosion of principles, **8:4 to 8:9**
      - Canada
        - Alliance, **8:7**
        - Calgary Power v. Copithorne, **8:9**
        - Saltfleet v. Knapman, **8:8**
        - focusing on identity of decision-maker, **8:4**
        - “super-added duty to act judicially,” **8:5**
        - “judicial or quasi-judicial,” origin of phrase, **8:2**
  - Introduction, **8:1**

**NATURAL JUSTICE AND THE DUTY TO BE FAIR—Cont'd**

- Legislative functions and decisions of cabinet, applicability of duty, **8:18 to 8:20**
- Cabinet, **8:20**
- legislative powers and duty to be fair, **8:19**
- Merits of decision, applicability of duty, **8:21**
- Modern concept of duty to be fair, **8:17**
- Public employment, **8:24 to 8:32**
- Generally, **8:32**
- Cyr, **8:29**
- Knight v. Indian Head School Division No. 19, **8:27**
- Laval, **8:31**
- Martin, **8:30**
- New Brunswick (Board of Management) v. Dunsmuir, **8:28**
- Nicholson v. Haldimand-Norfolk, **8:26**
- Ridge v. Baldwin, **8:25**
- Standards of review, **8:34**
- Summary, **8:35**

**POST-HEARING PROCESSES**

- See also AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM RULE
- Generally, **9:50 to 9:75**

**PRE-HEARING PROCEDURES**

- See also AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM RULE
- Generally, **9:3 to 9:21**

**PREROGATIVE REMEDIES**

- Alberta application for judicial review, **15:19**
- appeal, **15:19**
- originating application, **15:18**
- record required to be filed by delegate, **15:18**
- time limit, **15:18**
- Certiorari and prohibition, **15:3**
- availability now to control exercise of statutory authority, **15:3**
- certiorari vs. prohibition, **15:3**
- Federal Court of Appeal's jurisdiction, **15:3**
- historical development, **15:3**
- illegal governmental action, controlling, **15:3**

**PREROGATIVE REMEDIES—Cont'd**

- Certiorari and prohibition, **15:3—Cont'd**
  - limitations on availability of remedies, **15:3**
  - public law field, confined to, **15:3**
  - supervisory role of superior courts, **15:3**
  - void decision, **15:3**
  - Conclusion, **15:21**
  - Discretionary nature of prerogative remedies
  - generally, **15:13 to 15:18**
  - alternative remedies, availability of, **15:18**
  - clean hands and general conduct of parties, **15:16**
  - delay, unreasonable, **15:15**
  - futility, mootness and non-material errors, **15:17**
  - waiver and acquiescence, **15:14**
  - Federal Courts Act, **App. E, 15:20**
  - Federal Court of Appeal's jurisdiction, **15:20**
  - grounds specifically articulated, **15:20**
  - listed federal delegates, **15:20**
  - Habeas corpus, **15:2**
  - Introduction, **15:1**
  - Mandamus, **15:4**
  - compelling performance of statutory duty owed to applicant, **15:4**
  - conditions to be fulfilled before order issued, **15:4**
  - Crown not subject to mandamus, **15:4**
  - Federal Court of Appeal's jurisdiction, **15:4**
  - Quo warranto, **15:5**
  - Standing
  - generally, **15:6 to 15:12**
  - common law, standing under, **15:7**
  - intervention in judicial review application, **15:12**
  - legal personality and standing of statutory delegates, **15:10**
  - public interest standing, **15:8**
  - statutory provisions, standing under, **15:9**
  - timing, **15:11**
- PRIVATE LAW REMEDIES AND TORT LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES**
- Class actions, **17:36**

**PRIVATE LAW REMEDIES AND TORT LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES—Cont'd**

- Conclusion, **17:45**
- Damages, **17:24 to 17:45**
  - constitutional aspect of damages, **17:37**
  - immunities
    - generally, **17:1, 17:38 to 17:44**
    - Crown immunity, **17:40**
    - judicial officers, **17:42**
    - members of Parliament and provincial legislatures, **17:41**
    - public officials acting legislatively, **17:43**
    - public officials acting quasi-judicially, **17:44**
    - statutory immunity, **17:39**
  - introduction, **13:4, 17:24**
  - misfeasance in public office
    - generally, **17:31, 17:35**
    - development of tort, **17:32**
    - Finney, **17:34**
    - Odhavji Estate: law on misfeasance crystallized, **17:33**
  - negligence
    - generally, **17:26, 17:30**
    - Canadian law evolution of, **17:28**
      - Brown, Swinamer, **17:28**
      - Cooper, Edwards, **17:28**
      - Imperial Tobacco, **17:28**
      - Just case, **17:28**
      - Kamloops case, **17:28**
      - need for new administrative law approach to public liability claims, **17:29**
    - starting point: Anns, **17:27**
  - nominate torts, **17:25**
- Declarations, **17:14 to 17:19**
  - availability, **17:15 to 17:18**
    - discretionary remedy, **17:18**
    - practical value, **17:17**
    - requirement of justiciability, **17:16**
  - Crown liability, **17:19**
  - nature of declarations, **17:14**
  - standing, **17:20 to 17:23**
    - current test for public interest standing, **17:22**
    - liberal approach to question of standing, trend to, **17:21**
    - summary, **17:23**

**PRIVATE LAW REMEDIES AND TORT LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES—Cont'd**

- Declarations, **17:14 to 17:19—Cont'd**
  - standing, **17:20 to 17:23—Cont'd**
  - traditional rule, **17:20**
- Injunctions, **17:2 to 17:13**
  - availability of injunctive relief, **17:4**
  - interim form of relief, **17:26**
  - mandatory injunctions granted to enforce public law rights, **17:26**
  - parliamentary proceedings, **17:6**
  - use by Attorney General or public authorities, **17:5**
- Crown immunity, **17:12, 17:13**
  - common law immunity, **17:12**
  - statutory immunity, **17:13**
- introduction, **17:2**
- nature of injunctions, **17:3**
  - discretionary nature of injunctions, **17:11**
  - interim or interlocutory injunctions, **17:7 to 17:9**
    - importance of, **17:7 to 17:9**
    - test for, **17:8**
    - undertaking in damages, **17:9**
  - mandatory injunctions, **17:3**
  - permanent injunctions, **17:3**
  - prohibitory injunction, **17:3**
- Stay of proceedings, **17:10**
  - discretionary nature of stay, **17:11**

**PRIVATIVE CLAUSES**

- See also STANDARDS OF REVIEW
- Generally, **App. G, 13:9**
- Constitutional aspects, **2:21**
- Defined, **1:8**
- Effect, **1:8, 11:11 to 11:13**
- Introduction, **16:1, 16:2**
- Jurisdiction, standard of review and, **5:3**
- Pushpanathan factors, **16:3**
  - absence not automatically engaging correctness standard, **16:4**
  - constitutional limitations, **16:14**
  - effect of statutory appeal on availability of judicial review, **16:13**
  - presence implying deference, **16:5**
  - statutory abolition, **16:16**
  - summary, **16:17**

**PRIVATIVE CLAUSES—Cont'd**

- Pushpanathan factors, **16:3**—Cont'd
  - types and effect on judicial review, **16:6**
    - elastic jurisdiction clauses, **16:10**
    - exclusive jurisdiction clauses, **16:7**
    - final and binding clauses, **16:6**
    - “full” or “true” clauses, **16:9**
    - no-certiorari clauses, **16:8**
    - partial clauses, **16:12**
    - time limits for applying for judicial review, **16:11**
  - Vavilov, impact, **16:15**

**PROHIBITION**

- See also PREROGATIVE REMEDIES, certiorari and prohibition, and PRIVATE LAW REMEDIES AND TORT LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES, injunctions
  - Generally, **15:3**

**QUO WARRANTO**

- See also PREROGATIVE REMEDIES
  - Generally, **15:5**

**REASONS FOR DECISION**

- See also AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM RULE, post-hearing processes
  - Generally, **9:63 to 9:70**

**REGULATIONS**

- See SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION

**REMEDIES GENERALLY**

- See also PREROGATIVE REMEDIES and PRIVATE LAW REMEDIES AND TORT LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES
  - Alberta procedural reforms, **13:6**
  - Appeals, **13:2**
  - Federal procedural reforms, **13:7**
  - Ontario procedural reforms, **13:5**
  - Prerogative remedies, **13:3**
  - Private law remedies, **13:4**
  - Privative clauses, **13:9**
  - Substantive reforms, **13:8**

**RIGHT TO COUNSEL**

- See also AUDI ALTERAM PARTEM RULE, oral hearing processes
  - Generally, **9:41 to 9:45**

**STANDARDS OF REVIEW**

- Consensual tribunals, applicability of standards of review analysis to, **12:42**
- Constitutional and conceptual basis for different standards of review, **12:2**
  - traditional view of judicial review, **12:2**
- Distinction between standard of review and content of duty of procedural fairness, **12:34**
- Expertise, concept and role of, **12:25 to 12:32**
  - different types, **12:28**
  - establishing expertise, **12:30**
  - expertise in Pushpanathan, **12:27**
  - indicator of legislative intent, as, **12:31**
  - relative expertise, **12:29**
  - role in Vavilov, **12:32**
- Historical development of different standards, **12:3 to 12:13**
  - complexity of modern standards of review, **12:13**
    - Toronto (City) v. C.U.P.E., Local 79, **12:13**
  - “correctness test” applied to some matters, **12:7**
  - English extension: all errors of law being jurisdictional, **12:5**
  - “functional and pragmatic” test for identifying jurisdictional matters, **12:8**
    - four Pushpanathan factors to be used, **12:10**
    - high water mark for intensive judicial review: Anisminic, **12:4**
    - low water mark for judicial review in Canada, **12:6**
    - spectrum of standards of review, **12:9**
    - standards along spectrum, **12:11**
    - three standards of review: Dr. Q and Ryan cases, **12:12**
- Introduction, **12:1**
- Reasonableness standard of review and discretionary decisions, **12:37 to 12:40**
  - court does not weigh relevant factors, **12:39**
  - Figliola, **12:40**
  - identifying relevant factors: Retired Judges, **12:38**

## INDEX

### STANDARDS OF REVIEW—Cont'd

- Simplification in *Dunsmuir*, **12:14 to 12:19**
  - background, **12:15**
  - decision by Justice Binnie, **12:17**
  - decision by Justice Deschamps, **12:18**
  - majority decision, **12:16**
  - refinements after decision, **12:19**
  - unresolved questions, **12:19**
- Summary on standards of review, **12:43**
- Traditional view of judicial review, **12:2**
- True questions of jurisdiction, **12:24**
- Vavilov, application of reasonableness standard of review to questions of law, **12:36**
- Vavilov, re-framing of standards of review analysis, **12:20 to 12:23**
  - majority's new framework, **12:21**
  - majority's response to minority's criticism, **12:23**
  - minority's disagreement about new conceptual framework, **12:22**
- Vavilov, role of privative clauses, **12:33**
- Vavilov, standard of review for adequacy of reasons, **12:35**
- Vavilov, standard of review for validity of subordinate legislation, **12:41**

### STATUTORY POWERS PROCEDURE ACT (ONTARIO)

Generally, **App. B**

### SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION

- Challenging subordinate legislation, **4:20 to 4:29**
  - composition or procedure of delegate, **4:25**
  - conditions precedent, **4:24**
  - conflict with other Acts, **4:26**
  - parent Act repealed, **4:22**
  - parent Act ultra vires, **4:23**
  - restrictions, implied, **4:27 to 4:29**
    - good faith, **4:27**

### SUBORDINATE LEGISLATION

—Cont'd

- Challenging subordinate legislation, **4:20 to 4:29**—Cont'd
  - restrictions, implied, **4:27 to 4:29**
    - Cont'd
      - legitimate expectations doctrine, **4:29**
      - reasonableness, **4:28**
      - ultra vires, **4:21**
- Forms of, **4:8**
- Growth of subordinate legislation, **4:4**
- Introduction, **4:1**
- Meaning, **4:2**
- Municipal bylaws: particular type of subordinate legislation, **4:18**
- Parliamentary scrutiny of subordinate legislation
  - generally, **4:19**
  - Standing Joint Committee, **4:19**
    - disallowance procedure, statutory, **4:19**
    - goals, **4:19**
    - recommendations, **4:19**
    - terms of reference, **4:19**
- Power to enact, **4:5**
- Reasons for subordinate legislation, **4:3**
- Regulations, **4:9 to 4:17**
  - filing and publication of,
    - definition of "regulation," **4:9**
      - Alberta definition, **4:9**
      - federal definition, **4:10**
  - power to enact, **4:11**
  - preparation of, **4:7, 4:12 to 4:16**
- Subordinate legislation as effective as parent legislation, **4:6**
- Summary, **4:30**

### TORT LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES

See PRIVATE LAW REMEDIES AND TORT LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES

