Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. POLICIES

§ 1:1 Scope
§ 1:2 Purpose
§ 1:3 Historical background of attorney-client privilege
§ 1:4 —Roman and early English roots
§ 1:5 —Forum limitations on application of attorney-client privilege
§ 1:6 Purposes and policies of attorney-client privilege
§ 1:7 —Attorney-client privilege benefits
§ 1:8 — —Fostering attorney-client relationships
§ 1:9 — —Constitutional dimensions
§ 1:10 — —Encouraging client candor
§ 1:11 — —Efficient operation of legal system
§ 1:12 — —Promoting client law-abiding behavior
§ 1:13 —Attorney-client privilege costs
§ 1:14 — —Impeding search for truth
§ 1:15 — —Speculative benefits; concrete costs
§ 1:16 Extension of privilege to corporate clients
§ 1:17 —Reasons supporting a corporate client privilege
§ 1:18 — —Precedent or long-time reliance rationale
§ 1:19 — —Privilege policies and corporate clients
§ 1:20 — — —Client candor
§ 1:21 — — —Encouraging law-abiding conduct
§ 1:22 Attorney-corporate client privilege in Britain
§ 1:23 —Legal professional privilege doctrine in Britain
§ 1:24 —Corporation as client
§ 1:25 — —Client communication privilege
§ 1:26 — —Litigation preparation protection
§ 1:27 Summary

CHAPTER 2. CONTROL

§ 2:1 Scope
§ 2:2 Introduction
§ 2:3 Control of privilege
§ 2:4 —Privilege belongs to corporate clients
§ 2:5 —Directors; personal access to privileged corporate documents

§ 2:6 —Dissolution of corporation and privilege
§ 2:7 — —Corporation dissolved but business continues
§ 2:8 — —Both corporate charter and business terminated
§ 2:9 —No privilege for communicating executive
§ 2:10 —Dual representation and communicating executive's privilege
§ 2:11 — —Two-pronged approach for determining whether executive is client
§ 2:12 — —Documenting executive as client
§ 2:13 —Duty of corporate counsel when communicating with corporate employee on behalf of corporation
§ 2:14 — —Managerial perspective
§ 2:15 — —Legal ethics perspective
§ 2:16 — —Liability perspective
§ 2:17 — —Possible risk to corporate privilege
§ 2:18 Ex parte contact with opponent's corporate employees
§ 2:19 —Ex parte contact prohibition and corporations
§ 2:20 — —When counsel does not know that opposing corporation is represented
§ 2:21 — —Off-limits employees when opponent corporation is known to be represented
§ 2:22 — — —Murky precedent
§ 2:23 — — —Identifying common threads from murky precedent
§ 2:24 —Protecting corporate client from having its former employees contacted ex parte
§ 2:25 — —Use of contract
§ 2:26 — —Seeking protective order
§ 2:27 — —Seeking injunction
§ 2:28 —Proposed approach for evaluating corporate ex parte contact prohibition
§ 2:29 Clients in parent-subsidiary relationships
§ 2:30 —Two approaches for identifying client
§ 2:31 — —Organizational structure focus
§ 2:32 — —Policy focus
§ 2:33 — —Dealing with uncertainty
§ 2:34 —Attorney conflict of interest in parent-subsidiary settings
§ 2:35 Power to assert or waive attorney-corporate client privilege
§ 2:36 —Corporate management controls privilege—The

parent-subsidiary relationship; following sale of corporate assets; following a merger
§ 2:37 — —Executive's power to waive or assert in contravention of corporate intent
§ 2:38 — —Corporate counsel and waiver of privilege
§ 2:39 — —Corporate counsel and assertion of privilege
§ 2:40 —Bankruptcy trustees and power to waive corporate privilege
§ 2:41 — —Factual background of *Weintraub*'s waiver issue
§ 2:42 — —Circuit court's *Weintraub* decision
§ 2:43 — —Supreme Court's *Weintraub* decision
§ 2:44 Summary

CHAPTER 3. ELEMENTS

§ 3:1 Scope
§ 3:2 Corporate privilege: Basic issues
§ 3:3 —Identification of privileged corporate communications as two-step process
§ 3:4 —Sources of law for privileged corporate communications
§ 3:5 — —Common law
§ 3:6 — —Federal rules of evidence
§ 3:7 — —State statutes or rules of evidence
§ 3:8 Two-step approach for identifying privileged attorney-corporate client communications
§ 3:9 —Questions of proof: Party asserting privilege has burden
§ 3:10 —Questions of proof: How to meet burden; establishing the attorney-client relationship
§ 3:11 — —Some cases provide checklists and using privilege logs
§ 3:12 — —In camera inspections
§ 3:13 — —Particularly difficult areas
§ 3:14 — — —Generative AI as "attorney"
§ 3:15 —Questions of proof: How to meet burden—Supplementing deficient showings
§ 3:16 —Questions of proof: Untimely assertion of privilege; failure to assert the privilege; discovering party delay
§ 3:17 Step one: Applying general privilege considerations to communication in question
§ 3:18 —Focus on lawyer

§ 3:19 — —In-house counsel
§ 3:20 — — —Heightened scrutiny of role in communication
§ 3:21 — — —Multiple roles of in-house counsel
§ 3:22 — —Bar admission
§ 3:23 — —Lawyer acting as lawyer: Four factors to evaluate
§ 3:24 — — —Nonlawyers capable of doing task
§ 3:25 — — —Purpose for which lawyer was contacted; insurance-related matters
§ 3:26 — — —Client indications about role of lawyer
§ 3:27 — — —Lawyer indications about role in communication with client
§ 3:28 — —Lawyer as business adviser
§ 3:29 — — —Mixture of law and business
§ 3:30 — — —Lawyer acting outside of specialty area
§ 3:31 — — —Semi law-related activities
§ 3:32 — —Patent practice and lawyer's role
§ 3:33 — — —Patent attorneys
§ 3:34 — — —Nonlawyer patent agents
§ 3:35 — — —Foreign patent agents
§ 3:36 — —Lawyers as investigators
§ 3:37 — —Investigations and special investigative officer; self-critical analysis privilege
§ 3:38 — —Limited confidentiality protection for tax advisors
§ 3:39 — — —Whose communications are covered?
§ 3:40 — — —Which communications are protected?
§ 3:41 — — —Corporate tax shelter exclusion
§ 3:42 — — —Section 7525 and waiver
§ 3:43 — — —Limited protection for otherwise covered communications
§ 3:44 —Focus on advice or information communicated; Insurance-related communications
§ 3:45 —Focus on advice or information communicated—"Purely" legal or nonlegal communication; pre-existing reports; patent documents; AI-generated material
§ 3:46 — —"Mixed" legal and nonlegal communication
§ 3:47 — — —Three factors for analyzing mixed legal and nonlegal communications
§ 3:48 — — —Questions of proof when asserting privilege for mixed communications

§ 3:49 —Focus on other aspects of communication
§ 3:50 — —Scope of client's communication with counsel
§ 3:51 — — —Tangential matters
§ 3:52 — — —Underlying facts not privileged; Databases
§ 3:53 — — —Breadth of term "communication"
§ 3:54 — — —Request for legal advice not prerequisite
§ 3:55 — —Attorney communications to client and attorney-prepared training manuals
§ 3:56 —Focus on other aspects of communication—Attorney communications to client and attorney-prepared training manuals—Corporate employees empowered to receive communications from counsel
§ 3:57 Communications with third-party litigation funders
§ 3:58 Step one: Applying general privilege considerations to communication in question—Confidentiality at time of communication
§ 3:59 — —Establishing confidentiality of communications
§ 3:60 — —Corporate client intention of confidentiality
§ 3:61 — — —Communications to be disclosed later
§ 3:62 — — —Intracorporate circulation of information and employee-to-employee communications
§ 3:63 — — —Communications of insured under co-operation clause
§ 3:64 — —E-mail as confidential communication; e-mail attachments; employee's use of employer's computer
§ 3:65 — —Communicating with generative AI
§ 3:66 — —Presence of third parties during communications
§ 3:67 — — —Nonagent third parties; common interests; joint clients; insured's attorney and insurer; parent-subsidiary
§ 3:68 — — —Agent third parties; those under client or attorney's control; those necessary for attorney-client communication; use of an generative AI or an LLM
§ 3:69 — — —Insurers and their insured
§ 3:70 Step two: Narrowing general privilege requirements to fit corporate client

§ 3:71 —Pre-*Upjohn* federal court corporate privilege
§ 3:72 — —Control group test
§ 3:73 — —Various subject matter tests
§ 3:74 — —Other approaches
§ 3:75 —Factual background of *Upjohn v. United States*
§ 3:76 —Opinion of Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals
§ 3:77 —Opinion of United States Supreme Court
§ 3:78 —*Upjohn* factors: Guidance in corporate privilege cases
§ 3:79 — —Communications were made by corporate employees to corporate counsel upon order of superiors in order for corporation to secure legal advice from counsel
§ 3:80 — —Information needed by corporate counsel in order to formulate legal advice was not available to upper level management
§ 3:81 — —Information communicated concerned matters within scope of employee's corporate duties
§ 3:82 — —Employees were aware that reason for communication with counsel was so corporation could obtain legal advice
§ 3:83 — —Communications were ordered to be kept confidential and they remained confidential
§ 3:84 — —Identity and resources of opposing party
§ 3:85 —Application of factors to implement step two
§ 3:86 — —Factors approach as communications process
§ 3:87 — —Precommunication actions useful for documenting corporate communication process
§ 3:88 — —*Upjohn* should not be too narrowly construed
§ 3:89 —Cases applying some factors
§ 3:90 — —Factor: Informing employees that the corporation needed information to obtain legal advice, was ordered by a superior, and that it be provided in confidence
§ 3:91 — —Factor: Communication was made by corporate employee
§ 3:92 — — —Nonemployees and communications process; "functionally-equivalent" test
§ 3:93 — — —Former employees
§ 3:94 — —Factor: Scope of employee's corporate duty
§ 3:95 — —Factor: Identity and resources of opposing

party and other policies that may affect a privilege claim
§ 3:96 —States where factors approach is not followed
§ 3:97 — —State rules of evidence
§ 3:98 — —State court decisions: Illinois
§ 3:99 — —State court decisions: California
§ 3:100 — —State court decisions: Georgia
§ 3:101 — —State court decisions: New Jersey
§ 3:102 — —State court decisions: Arizona
§ 3:103 — — —Employee initiated communications
§ 3:104 — — —Employee communications initiated by others
§ 3:105 — —State court decisions: Florida
§ 3:106 —Federal courts: Resurrection of subject matter test
§ 3:107 Summary

CHAPTER 4. CRIME-FRAUD EXCEPTION

§ 4:1 Scope of crime-fraud exception
§ 4:2 —Introduction
§ 4:3 —General statement of rule
§ 4:4 —Policies of crime-fraud exception
§ 4:5 — —Attorney-based policy
§ 4:6 — —Client-based policy
§ 4:7 — —Relationship-based policy
§ 4:8 — —Privilege-based policy
§ 4:9 —Types of communications within exception
§ 4:10 — —"Crime or fraud" narrowly construed and patent matters
§ 4:11 — —"Crime or fraud" broadly construed
§ 4:12 — —Suggested evaluation for scope of exception issues
§ 4:13 Questions of proof and crime-fraud exception
§ 4:14 —Evidentiary standard
§ 4:15 — —Amount of proof
§ 4:16 — —*Zolin* and in camera inspections
§ 4:17 — —Right to rebut showing
§ 4:18 —Establishing intent of parties to communication
§ 4:19 — —Client's wrongful intent
§ 4:20 — —Application of exception without showing of wrongful client intent

§ 4:21 — —Attorney need not have wrongful intent
§ 4:22 — —When only the attorney had a wrongful intent
§ 4:23 —Two-step approach for establishing crime-fraud exception
§ 4:24 — —Step one: Prima facie showing
§ 4:25 — —Step two: Relationship between privileged information and crime or fraud
§ 4:26 —Specific proof issues in crime-fraud exception cases
§ 4:27 — —*Alexander* rule
§ 4:28 — —Independent evidence and in camera inspections
§ 4:29 — —Grand jury proceedings
§ 4:30 Corporate issues and crime-fraud exception
§ 4:31 —Determining wrongful intent of corporate clients
§ 4:32 — —"Intent" of corporate client comes from corporate management
§ 4:33 — —Determining what corporate management intended
§ 4:34 — — —Corporate policies and issues that are subjects of confidential communications
§ 4:35 — — —Reasons why communication took place
§ 4:36 —Internal corporate investigations and crime-fraud exception
§ 4:37 — —Failure to report findings is within exception
§ 4:38 — —Distinguishing reason for investigation from subsequent use of report
§ 4:39 Summary

CHAPTER 5. WAIVER

§ 5:1 Scope
§ 5:2 Privilege waiver arises when confidentiality has vanished
§ 5:3 Policies behind waiver of attorney-client privilege
§ 5:4 —Tied to basic privilege policies
§ 5:5 —Policy of fairness
§ 5:6 Dual characterizations of waiver doctrine yield uncertainty
§ 5:7 —Client intent to disclose
§ 5:8 —Confidentiality has been lost
§ 5:9 —Factors for preserving privilege

§ 5:10 —Factors for discovering counsel to consider
§ 5:11 Proposed unified characterization
§ 5:12 Burden of proof
§ 5:13 —Assigning burden of proof
§ 5:14 —Proposal: Burden should be on discovering party
§ 5:15 Disclosures that may not be waivers
§ 5:16 Partial disclosures and subject matter waiver rule
§ 5:17 —Scope of waiver linked to unfairness to discovering party; patent matters
§ 5:18 —Low probability that disclosed material was privileged
§ 5:19 Disclosure to private parties outside corporation
§ 5:20 —"Disclosure" to AI LLM
§ 5:21 —Third-party litigation funders
§ 5:22 Disclosure during litigation
§ 5:23 —Negotiation
§ 5:24 —Pleading and "at issue" waivers
§ 5:25 — —Evaluating *Hearn* factors for at issue waivers
§ 5:26 — —Patent infringement and advice of counsel defense
§ 5:27 — —Bad faith insurance cases and at issue waivers
§ 5:28 — —Self-defense exception and at issue waivers
§ 5:29 —Testimony
§ 5:30 —Refreshing witness' recollection
§ 5:31 Inadvertent disclosure
§ 5:32 —Factors analysis and Rule 502(b): Focus on confidentiality safeguards
§ 5:33 —Pre-502(b) approaches: Waiver because documents no longer confidential
§ 5:34 —Pre-502(b) approaches: No waiver unless evidence of client voluntariness
§ 5:35 —Pre-502(b) approaches: Never seen precautions that are good enough
§ 5:36 —Dealing with inadvertent disclosure issues: Discovering party
§ 5:37 — —Characterize waiver doctrine and the disclosure
§ 5:38 — —Challenge sufficiency of privilege safeguards
§ 5:39 — —Use of documents by discovering party
§ 5:40 —Dealing with inadvertent disclosure issues: Withholding party

§ 5:41 — —Characterize waiver doctrine and the disclosure
§ 5:42 — —What to emphasize in screening procedures
§ 5:43 — —Protective orders and stipulations
§ 5:44 Thieves, eavesdroppers and waiver
§ 5:45 Use of e-mail, online postings and waiver
§ 5:46 —Hackers
§ 5:47 —Accidental disclosures
§ 5:48 Intracorporate disclosure of privileged communications
§ 5:49 —"Need to know" test
§ 5:50 —Implementing test by limiting internal access
§ 5:51 Disclosure of privileged communications to government
§ 5:52 —Majority approach: Disclosure to government waives privilege
§ 5:53 —Limited waiver approach
§ 5:54 — —Absolute limited waiver
§ 5:55 — —Limited waiver conditioned on reservation of privilege
§ 5:56 Managing waiver risk when corporate client wants to cooperate with government
§ 5:57 —Reserve privilege or indicate that there is no intent to waive
§ 5:58 Summary

CHAPTER 6. GOOD CAUSE EXCEPTION

§ 6:1 Scope
§ 6:2 Good cause exception distinguished from traditional exceptions
§ 6:3 *Garner v. Wolfinbarger* and good cause exception
§ 6:4 —Pre-*Garner* cases
§ 6:5 —Factual background in *Garner v. Wolfinbarger*
§ 6:6 —Decision of Fifth Circuit in *Garner v. Wolfinbarger*
§ 6:7 — —Fiduciary duty policies v. privilege policies
§ 6:8 — —Four doctrines related to *Garner* good cause
§ 6:9 — — —Director-shareholder relationship is analogous to trustee-beneficiary relationship
§ 6:10 — — —Crime-fraud exception as analogous doctrine
§ 6:11 — — —Joint-attorney rule and its implications in *Garner*-like disputes

§ 6:12 — — —Shareholder inspection of corporate books and records and its applicability to *Garner*-like fact situations
§ 6:13 —Factors in good cause exception
§ 6:14 Two-step process in applying *Garner* exception to privileged attorney-corporate client communication
§ 6:15 Step one: Facts creating *Garner*-like dispute
§ 6:16 —Type of legal claim that was filed
§ 6:17 —Fiduciary relationship between party asserting privilege and party seeking discovery
§ 6:18 — —Shareholder-director/officer relationship
§ 6:19 — —Directors and officers owing fiduciary duties to multiple parties
§ 6:20 — —Majority and minority shareholders
§ 6:21 — —Securities buyers/sellers and corporation
§ 6:22 — —Debenture holders and corporation
§ 6:23 — —Applying "good cause" to nonshareholder intracorporate disputes about access to privileged corporate documents
§ 6:24 — —Applying "good cause" to nonshareholder intracorporate disputes—Implications of *Braniff Insolvency*
§ 6:25 — —Limited partner and General partner
§ 6:26 — —Investor and investment fund manager
§ 6:27 — —Agent-principal
§ 6:28 — —*ERISA*: Beneficiaries-trustee relationship
§ 6:29 — —Atypical applications of *Garner*
§ 6:30 —Privileged communications occurring before fiduciary relationship exists or after it terminates
§ 6:31 Step two: Application of good cause exception to attorney-client privilege
§ 6:32 —Burden of proof
§ 6:33 —Good cause exception and claim-related communications
§ 6:34 —Applying good cause factors: Four categories to satisfy
§ 6:35 — —Focus on discovering party
§ 6:36 — — —Majority of shareholders
§ 6:37 — — —Minority shareholders as group
§ 6:38 — — —Very few shareholders
§ 6:39 — — —Noncorporate cases
§ 6:40 — —Focus on claim itself

§ 6:41 — —Focus on need for information
§ 6:42 — — —Blind searches
§ 6:43 — — —Availability of information elsewhere
§ 6:44 — — —Claim based on privileged communications
§ 6:45 — —Focus on communication
§ 6:46 — — —Timing of communication
§ 6:47 — — —Placing communication on content continuum
§ 6:48 — — —When attorney has conflict of interest
§ 6:49 — — —Trade secrets
§ 6:50 Summary

CHAPTER 7. WORK PRODUCT DOCTRINE

§ 7:1 Scope
§ 7:2 Background of work product doctrine
§ 7:3 —Distinguished from attorney-client privilege
§ 7:4 —Sources of law
§ 7:5 Application of work product doctrine
§ 7:6 —To corporations
§ 7:7 —Types of disputes for which work product is available
§ 7:8 —Agency record retention rules and work product doctrine
§ 7:9 Policies of work product doctrine and Hickman's relationship to Rule 26(b)(3)
§ 7:10 Policies of work product doctrine—Attorney
§ 7:11 —Client; Client's Access to Work Product Materials
§ 7:12 —Adversary system of justice
§ 7:13 Elements of work product doctrine
§ 7:14 —Questions of proof
§ 7:15 Materials subject to work product protection
§ 7:16 —Tangible items
§ 7:17 —Attorney's selection of discoverable documents
§ 7:18 —Deposition or interrogatory questions that seek attorney thought processes; deposing opposing counsel
§ 7:19 Preparation of work product
§ 7:20 —Attorneys and in-house counsel issue
§ 7:21 —Nonattorneys may create work product
§ 7:22 —Prepared by generative AI

§ 7:23 Power to assert work product
§ 7:24 Transfer of work product to newly retained attorney
§ 7:25 —Protection should continue
§ 7:26 —Disqualification of former attorney
§ 7:27 Created in anticipation of litigation
§ 7:28 —Tests to determine when litigation was anticipated
§ 7:29 — —Test: Focus on litigation
§ 7:30 — —Test: Focus on motive
§ 7:31 —Suggested anticipation of litigation test
§ 7:32 — —Seven factors useful for determining anticipation of litigation
§ 7:33 — —Corporate client focus for anticipation of litigation
§ 7:34 — — —Knowledge of person preparing document
§ 7:35 — — —Corporate authority in deciding that litigation was anticipated
§ 7:36 — — —Basis of determination
§ 7:37 —Data compilations: Ordinary business or anticipation of litigation?
§ 7:38 —Intracorporate investigations and materials created in anticipation of litigation
§ 7:39 —Insurance company claims investigations and anticipation of litigation
§ 7:40 — —Claims procedure focus
§ 7:41 — —Attorney involvement
§ 7:42 — —Denial of claim
§ 7:43 — —Resolve to litigate
§ 7:44 —Tax planning documents and anticipation of litigation
§ 7:45 —Documents prepared for third party litigation funders
§ 7:46 Assertion of work product in subsequent litigation; or by non-parties
§ 7:47 Assertion of work product in subsequent litigation—"Parties must be the same" approach
§ 7:48 —Related issues or action approach
§ 7:49 —Perpetual protection approach
§ 7:50 Identifying opinion work product
§ 7:51 —Scale of work product protection
§ 7:52 Discovery of work product: Questions of proof
§ 7:53 Discovery of opinion work product

§ 7:54 —Absolute protection
§ 7:55 —Discovery: Policies beyond work product
§ 7:56 —Discovery: Disclosure to experts who will testify
§ 7:57 —Discovery: Unusual circumstances
§ 7:58 Discovering ordinary work product: Substantial need and undue hardship
§ 7:59 —Substantial need factor
§ 7:60 —Undue hardship factor
§ 7:61 Waiver of work product protection
§ 7:62 —Power to make waiver
§ 7:63 —Voluntary disclosure and waiver
§ 7:64 — —Disclosure and increased chance that adversary will gain access
§ 7:65 — —Disclosure to third party litigation funders
§ 7:66 — —Disclosure to government
§ 7:67 — —Disclosure to generative AI
§ 7:68 —Inadvertent disclosure
§ 7:69 —Partial disclosure and subject matter waiver
§ 7:70 —Issue in case and work product
§ 7:71 — —At issue waiver
§ 7:72 — —Bad faith insurance cases
§ 7:73 —Testimonial use of work product documents
§ 7:74 —Using work product to refresh witness recollection
§ 7:75 Crime-fraud exception and work product
§ 7:76 —Attorney assertion of work product when exception applies to client's activities
§ 7:77 Attorney misconduct exception—Relationship between attorney ethics and work product protection
§ 7:78 Good cause exception and work product
§ 7:79 Summary

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Attorney-Client Privilege Checklists
Appendix B. Practice Forms

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index