

2026–2027 Highlights

Starting in 2024, this set has been converted into a more user-friendly pamphlet set. For all updates going forward, subscribers will receive a pamphlet or pamphlets (as needed) to replace any relevant revised content within the respective volumes. This should greatly improve the reader's experience in terms of filing pages within a limited binder system—allowing the work to easily expand as discussion of the law dictates.

Written for attorneys, business executives, and government policymakers, *Internet Law and Practice* offers authoritative discussion of the latest legislative and caselaw developments related to all aspects of the Internet. Topics include:

- Anti-cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act (ACPA)
- Artificial Intelligence and Copyright Infringement
- Online liabilities
- Personal jurisdiction through Internet contacts
- Copyright infringement online
- Deep linking
- Pop-ups
- Key word buys
- Free speech and copyright law
- The latest ACPA and Uniform Domain-Name Dispute Resolution (UDRP) cases relating to domain names and trademark rights, online patents, and Internet crime, security, and privacy
- Commercial transactions and contracts
- Electronic communications
- Public networks
- Email in the workplace
- Communicating with the government
- Torts between users

This title includes tables of cases, statutes, and rules.

New features and recent developments in this 2026–2027 update include:

- **New Section:** Personal Jurisdiction and the Hague Service Convention; Case Illustrations. Adds discussion of the Second Circuit’s decision in *Smart Study Co., Ltd. v. Shenzhenshixindajixieyouxiangongsi*, clarifying that email service on China-based corporate defendants is ineffective where the Hague Service Convention applies and China has objected to Article 10(a) service methods. The update emphasizes that courts may not rely on Rule 4(f)(3) to bypass Hague Convention requirements absent valid grounds showing the Convention is inapplicable. See § 9:48.
- **Expanded Section:** Copyright Foundations and Progress. Discussion of the Supreme Court’s decision in *Cox Communications, Inc. v. Sony Music Entertainment*, clarifying that contributory copyright liability for service providers requires intent to facilitate infringement, not merely knowledge of repeated user infringement or failure to terminate infringing accounts. The update highlights the Court’s limitation on secondary liability for ISPs absent inducement or a service specifically tailored to infringing use. See § 12:25.
- **Expanded Section:** Free Speech on the Internet. Examines *J&D Dental v. Hou*, where the Minnesota Court of Appeals held that a negative Google review of a dental provider was not protected under Minnesota’s UPEPA because, viewed in context, it primarily reflected a private treatment dispute rather than a matter of public concern. The decision clarifies that courts assessing anti-SLAPP protection must evaluate the content, form, and context of the challenged speech under the totality of the circumstances. See § 24:18.
- **Expanded Section:** Crimes Online. Covers the TAKE IT DOWN Act, a new federal law criminalizing the online publication or threatened publication of non-consensual intimate images, including AI-generated and digitally altered intimate depictions. The update explains the Act’s 48-hour platform takedown framework, FTC enforcement mechanism, exclusions, safe harbor, and limits, including the absence of a counter-notice process or private civil remedy. See § 26:21.

Additional sections reviewed for changes in the law and updated as appropriate.

The Publisher
July 13, 2026