Table of Contents

Volume 1

PART I. BRAIN INJURY LITIGATION FROM A PERSONAL INJURY LAWYER'S PERSPECTIVE 1

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION 1

§ 1:1 Scope
§ 1:2 Epidemiology of brain injury
§ 1:3 Traumatic brain injury: Is it time we stop using the terms mild, moderate and severe?
§ 1:4 Biases in the civil justice system towards traumatic brain injury cases

CHAPTER 2. MYTHS OF TBI 13

§ 2:1 The myths of traumatic brain injury
§ 2:2 Myth 1: mild traumatic brain injury is not serious
§ 2:3 Myth 2: loss of consciousness is necessary to sustain a traumatic brain injury
§ 2:4 Myth 3: one must strike one's head in order to suffer a traumatic brain injury
§ 2:5 Myth 4: negative MRIs, CT scans and EEGs rule out brain injury
§ 2:6 Myth 5: the effects of TBI are immediate
§ 2:7 Myth 6: neuropsychological testing is subjective
§ 2:8 Myth 7: cognitive impairments on neuropsychological testing must fit a predictable pattern
§ 2:9 Myth 8: children with traumatic brain injury all get better
§ 2:10 Pediatric traumatic brain injury and behavior
§ 2:11 Myth 9: mild traumatic brain injury is not permanent
§ 2:12 Myth 10: mild traumatic brain injury is not disabling

CHAPTER 3. CASE SELECTION AND PREPARATION 47

§ 3:1 Special considerations in identifying and litigating brain injury cases

§ 3:2 The interview
§ 3:3 Representing the professional with traumatic brain injury
§ 3:4 Case examples
§ 3:5 Initial work to be done
§ 3:6 Assessing liability
§ 3:7 —Sample HIPPA authorization cover letter and form
§ 3:8 What to look for in the medical records
§ 3:9 The trial consultant
§ 3:10 Before and after witnesses
§ 3:11 Focus groups
§ 3:12 Voir dire—Special problems with a brain injury case

CHAPTER 4. THE EXPERT WITNESSES 83
§ 4:1 The biomechanical engineer
§ 4:2 The neurologist
§ 4:3 The neuropsychologist
§ 4:4 —Choosing a neuropsychologist
§ 4:5 The neuro-otologist
§ 4:6 The physiatrist
§ 4:7 The neuropsychiatrist
§ 4:8 The behavioral psychologist
§ 4:9 Annuity specialist
§ 4:10 The vocational rehabilitation expert
§ 4:11 Plaintiff's answer to defence motion to bar vocational expert
§ 4:12 Life care planner
§ 4:13 —Hiring the life care planner
§ 4:14 The economist
§ 4:15 —Methods used: discount to total offset
§ 4:16 —Value of household services
§ 4:17 The rebuttal expert

CHAPTER 5. DEFENSE TACTICS AND HOW TO COUNTER THEM 143
§ 5:1 General defense themes: no injury or pre-existing injury
§ 5:2 Expensive discovery
§ 5:3 Surveillance videotaping the client
§ 5:4 Outspending the plaintiff
§ 5:5 Delaying the case
§ 5:6 Rushing the trial date
§ 5:7 Focusing on pre-incident problems of the plaintiff
§ 5:8 Emphasizing lack of clinical symptoms
§ 5:9 Focusing on the client's normal aspect
§ 5:10 The low-impact accident defense

§ 5:11 Emphasizing plaintiff's low medical costs
§ 5:12 Claiming plaintiff's return to work or school is proof of low damages
§ 5:13 Defense medical examination and third-party observers
§ 5:14 Third-party observers during neuropsychological testing
§ 5:15 Raw data from neuropsychological examination
§ 5:16 Plaintiff's motion: neuropsychological testing
§ 5:17 Plaintiff's motion to exclude evidence of plaintiff's prior psychological treatment
§ 5:18 Barring testimony of symptom magnification
§ 5:19 Increased risk of future consequences after TBI: Are future consequences recoverable?
§ 5:20 Plaintiff's motion to strike net opinions of defense expert and compel production of neuropsychological raw data
§ 5:21 Brief in support of plaintiff's motion to bar neuropsychological examination, or to require defendants to produce raw test data and allow plaintiff to video record neuropsychogical examination
§ 5:22 Plaintiff's motion to obtain raw test data and video record defense neuropsychological examination [New]
§ 5:23 The interorganizational position paper on limiting attorney access to psychological test data—A rebuttal

CHAPTER 6. ADMISSIBILITY OF TESTING RESULTS 271

I. IN GENERAL

§ 6:1 General rule: admissibility of neuropsychological testimony on diagnosis, causation, and prognosis
§ 6:2 Minority position: limited admissibility of neuropsychological testimony on causation and/or prognosis
§ 6:3 General medical acceptance of neuropsychological testing
§ 6:4 Method skeptics: eliminating or limiting neuropsychological expert testimony
§ 6:5 Fixed vs. flexible neuropsychological test batteries
§ 6:6 Importance of a pre-morbid baseline
§ 6:7 Issue of ecological validity

II. EFFECT OF *DAUBERT*

§ 6:8 *Daubert* and its progeny
§ 6:9 Admissibility of neuropsychological testimony after *Daubert* and *Kumho*

§ 6:10 —The future of admissibility of neuropsychological testing under the *Daubert* standard
§ 6:11 Role of PET scans in diagnosing and treating brain injuries
§ 6:12 —Admissibility under *Daubert*
§ 6:13 Role of SPECT scans in diagnosing and treating brain injuries
§ 6:14 —Admissibility under Daubert
§ 6:15 Admissibility of SPECT scans under Daubert
§ 6:16 Brief in support of the admissibility of SPECT
§ 6:17 Direct examination of Expert using SPECT
§ 6:18 Role of diffusion tensor imaging in diagnosing and treating brain injuries
§ 6:19 —Admissibility under Daubert
§ 6:20 Sample direct of a Neuro-imaging expert and DTI
§ 6:21 Smell and taste
§ 6:22 *Daubert* and the admissibility of expert Life Care Planner (LCP) testimony
§ 6:23 Going on the offensive with *Daubert*
§ 6:24 —Counterattack by questioning the methodology and reliability of defendant's experts
§ 6:25 —Direct offensive challenge: barring the defendant's experts' testimony
§ 6:26 — —Know the science
§ 6:27 — —Preparing the attack; know what *Daubert* requires

CHAPTER 7. OPENING STATEMENTS AND CLOSING STATEMENTS..... 391

I. OPENING STATEMENTS

§ 7:1 Opening statement
§ 7:2 —Building a story
§ 7:3 Structuring your opening statement
§ 7:4 Plaintiff's opening statement: example one
§ 7:5 Plaintiff's opening statement: example two
§ 7:6 Plaintiff's opening statement: example three
§ 7:7 Plaintiff's opening statement: Example 4

II. CLOSING STATEMENTS

§ 7:8 Summation in brain injury cases
§ 7:9 —Providing favorable jurors with answers; techniques to arm your allies

CHAPTER 8. INTRODUCING EVIDENCE 433
§ 8:1 Sequence of evidence and witnesses in a traumatic brain injury case
§ 8:2 Early lay witness testimony
§ 8:3 Calling the investigating police officer
§ 8:4 Calling all treating physicians
§ 8:5 Calling neurologists and neuropsychologists
§ 8:6 Calling a physiatrists as an alternative to neurologist
§ 8:7 Calling a neuropsychiatrist
§ 8:8 Calling accident reconstruction/biomechanical engineers
§ 8:9 Calling medical witnesses to attest to injuries other than brain injury
§ 8:10 Calling the plaintiff and his or her family
§ 8:11 Economic testimony
§ 8:12 Presenting the life care planner in court
§ 8:13 The Affordable Care Act and life care planning
§ 8:14 Finale; presenting the concluding witness
§ 8:15 The best laid plans . . . flexible guidelines

CHAPTER 9. DIRECT AND CROSS-EXAMINATION OF EXPERTS 455
§ 9:1 Establishing the experts' qualifications
§ 9:2 Presenting the expert's opinions
§ 9:3 —Explaining brain anatomy
§ 9:4 Presenting details of the case
§ 9:5 Sample direct of a neurologist
§ 9:6 Sample direct of a neuropsychologist
§ 9:7 Sample direct examination of neuro-otologist
§ 9:8 Sample direct examination of a vocational economist
§ 9:9 Deposition of an emergency room physician
§ 9:10 Cross-examination of the defendant's experts
§ 9:11 —Obtaining concessions
§ 9:12 —Discrediting expert opinions
§ 9:13 —Making the witness your own

CHAPTER 10. MALINGERING AND TBI 493

I. IN GENERAL

§ 10:1 Introduction; other references
§ 10:2 Why attorneys seek testimony about malingering; the worthiness factor
§ 10:3 Proving that the client is not malingering

§ 10:4 —Using lay testimony
§ 10:5 Clinicians' opinions and court responses
§ 10:6 How neurolawyers should deal with malingering

II. SPECIFIC MEASURES

§ 10:7 Paul Lees-Haley Fake Bad Scale (FBS)
§ 10:8 Green's word memory test
§ 10:9 Axis V—The global assessment of functioning scale
§ 10:10 —Sample cross-examination; GAF
§ 10:11 American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine's definition of mild traumatic brain injury
§ 10:12 New diagnostic criteria for mild traumatic brain injury (MTBI) from the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine
§ 10:13 American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine's definition of mild traumatic brain injury—Sample cross-examination using definition
§ 10:14 Lack of effort by normal undergraduate students in neuropsychological test performance

III. FORMS

§ 10:15 Motion to preclude defense expert from opining on malingering or symptom magnification by plaintiff

PART II. BRAIN INJURY LITIGATION FROM A NEUROPSYCHIATRIST'S PERSPECTIVE 547

CHAPTER 11. AVOIDING PREDICTABLE CASE BLUNDERS 547

§ 11:1 Introduction
§ 11:2 Case selection
§ 11:3 —Example
§ 11:4 Defense resource allocation
§ 11:5 The difference between medical and legal reasoning
§ 11:6 —Nature of legal reasoning
§ 11:7 —Nature of medical reasoning
§ 11:8 — —Inductive reasoning; speculations about possibilities; diagnosis with reasonable medical probability
§ 11:9 Practical consequences of physician clinical-decision making; *Daubert* challenges; evidence based medicine (EBM)

§ 11:10 Overview of eight litigation process myths; the Emperor's New Clothes
§ 11:11 —Myth 1: truth doesn't matter
§ 11:12 —Myth 2: money doesn't matter
§ 11:13 Unintended consequences of not dealing directly with the money
§ 11:14 Overview of eight litigation process myths; the Emperor's New Clothes—Myth 3: specificity doesn't matter
§ 11:15 Myth 3: Specificity doesn't matter; the risk of relying on brain function imaging studies to "prove" the presence of traumatic brain injury
§ 11:16 New myth: Misperceiving history means malingering
§ 11:17 Myth 3: Specificity doesn't matter
§ 11:18 Myths: Self-Deception: the 800 pound gorilla in the room
§ 11:19 Overview of eight litigation process myths; the Emperor's New Clothes—Myth 4: effort doesn't matter
§ 11:20 Catastrophic reliance on "mind/body dualism"
§ 11:21 Critical "mind/psyche/body" issues regarding the accuracy, validity, and reliability of retroactive recollection of the traumatic event
§ 11:22 Intended and unintended consequences of "mind/body" dualism on admissibility of psychotherapeutic records
§ 11:23 Overview of eight litigation process myths; the Emperor's New Clothes—Myth 5: brain injured patients always are reliable factual historians
§ 11:24 —Myth 6: cases don't get settled
§ 11:25 —Myth 7: partial recovery should be ignored
§ 11:26 —Myth 8: TBI patients always are likeable

CHAPTER 12. APPROACHING THE CASE: A NEUROPSYCHIATRIC PERSPECTIVE .. 629
§ 12:1 Introduction
§ 12:2 The medical knowledge experts expect attorneys to have
§ 12:3 Understanding why the attorney picked the client
§ 12:4 Deciding to work with the attorney
§ 12:5 Deciding to work with the attorney: Three of the most humiliating mistakes any expert can make—and which one of the editors repeatedly has (!)
§ 12:6 Understanding the uses and limitations of DSM-5
§ 12:7 DSM-5 and malingering
§ 12:8 DSM-5 and "neurocognitive disorders": New DSM-5 approaches and new limitations
§ 12:9 The other 800 pound gorilla in the room that DSM-5 also leaves out: the "unused" brain

§ 12:10 Deciding to work with the attorney: The necessity of making clear policies regarding finances and "on call" rules for depositions, trials, and cases that get settled
§ 12:11 Deciding to work with the attorney: failure to anticipate plaintiffs fleeing treatment after the case is resolved
§ 12:12 New neuroscientific tools that should be used as early as possible during the client selection process
§ 12:13 Deciding to work with the attorney: making sure that any referring attorney has up-to-date knowledge about the uses and limitations of clinical history—And making sure they do not bully their own experts into ignoring/"dumbing down" facts that weaken the case
§ 12:14 The increasing importance—And pitfalls of using—Diffusion tensor imaging
§ 12:15 Painful lessons from 50 years of being an expert witness for plaintiff attorneys, defense counsel, and judges: Let the buyer of expert services beware

Volume 2

CHAPTER 13. PRETRIAL PREPARATIONS 1

I. PICKING THE EXPERT TEAM

§ 13:1 Overview; choosing a captain for the expert team
§ 13:2 Types of expert credentialing; board certifications; trends in the use of neurobehavioral experts
§ 13:3 —American Academy of Neurology guidelines for treating testifiers
§ 13:4 Compensation and fees
§ 13:5 *Daubert* challenges to the admissibility of clinical opinions not based on evidence-based medicine
§ 13:6 The treating expert as advocate; bias

II. MEMBERS OF THE EXPERT TEAM

§ 13:7 In general
§ 13:8 Neurologists; cross-examination questions
§ 13:9 Neuropsychologists
§ 13:10 —Bigler and Brooks review of forensic neuropsychology
§ 13:11 Neuropsychologists and the terrifying possibility of "real life" invalidity of in-office neuropsychological tests in proving or disproving the presence of true traumatic brain injury consequences
§ 13:12 Neuropsychologists—Sample cross examination questions
§ 13:13 Neuropsychiatrists

§ 13:14 Neuro-otologists
§ 13:15 Physiatrists and neuropsychiatrists
§ 13:16 Vocational rehabilitation experts
§ 13:17 Life care planners

III. COLLECTING DOCUMENTATION

§ 13:18 Determining what records to collect
§ 13:19 Emergency room records
§ 13:20 Other relevant records

IV. PREPARING FOR THE NEUROPSYCHIATRIC EXAMINATION

A. IN GENERAL

§ 13:21 History taking

B. FIRST CUT: IDENTIFYING CLINICAL ISSUES AND THE FACTS NEEDED FROM EXAM

§ 13:22 Clinical issue spotting
§ 13:23 —Skull fractures and lesions to the scalp and dura
§ 13:24 —Intracranial hematoma
§ 13:25 —Brain damage caused by increased intracranial pressure
§ 13:26 —Other types of focal damage
§ 13:27 —Diffuse axonal damage
§ 13:28 —Hypoxic-ischemic brain damage; carbon monoxide poisoning
§ 13:29 —Brain swelling

C. SECOND CUT: LOBE-BY-LOBE POINTS OF INTEREST

§ 13:30 Locations of injury, generally
§ 13:31 Locations of injury, generally
§ 13:32 Localization of injury, specifically
§ 13:33 Frontal lobes
§ 13:34 —Frontal operculum
§ 13:35 —Superior mesial region
§ 13:36 —Inferior mesial regions
§ 13:37 —Dorsolateral frontal region
§ 13:38 Temporal lobes
§ 13:39 —Mesial temporal region
§ 13:40 — —The hippocampal examples
§ 13:41 — —The amygdala
§ 13:42 The amygdala and posttraumatic stress

§ 13:43 Temporal lobes—Mesial temporal region—Other temporal regions
§ 13:44 Parietal lobes
§ 13:45 —Temporoparietal junction
§ 13:46 —Inferior parietal lobule; anosgnosia
§ 13:47 Occipital lobes; dorsal portion
§ 13:48 Occipital lobes; ventral part

D. THIRD CUT: UNDERSTANDING THE PURPOSE AND LIMITATIONS OF DSM-IV

§ 13:49 Issue of ecological validity of the diagnostic manual DSM-IV
§ 13:50 Suggested screening questions for clinical practice

V. THE NEUROPSYCHIATRIC EXAMINATION ITSELF

§ 13:51 Clinical TBI scale scores; Glasgow Coma Scale
§ 13:52 —Rancho Los Amigos Scale and other assessments
§ 13:53 Treatment interventions known to improve symptoms in traumatic brain injury survivors
§ 13:54 Reviewing and confirming important history
§ 13:55 Reviewing and confirming important history: Interviewing collaterals
§ 13:56 The clinical interview and mental status examination
§ 13:57 Psychological tests and neuropsychological screening

VI. PREPARING THE REPORT

§ 13:58 Length of the report
§ 13:59 Effect of preparing a draft report
§ 13:60 Differential diagnosis, comorbidity and causation: being aware of medical conditions that can result in symptoms that mimic mild traumatic brain injury
§ 13:61 —Multiple sclerosis
§ 13:62 —Diabetes
§ 13:63 —Alzheimer's disease
§ 13:64 —Cardiovascular disease
§ 13:65 —Stroke
§ 13:66 —Systemic Lupus Erythematosis
§ 13:67 —HIV Infection
§ 13:68 —Tuberculosis
§ 13:69 —Hypertension

VII. AFTER THE REPORT BUT BEFORE TRIAL: ANTICIPATING DEFENSE RESPONSES—AND DEFENSE BLUNDERS

§ 13:70 Scope of the expert's advice

§ 13:71 Counting on malingering
§ 13:72 Countering by turning malingering on its head
§ 13:73 Ignoring insurance company treatment denials
§ 13:74 Exaggerating the degree of recovery
§ 13:75 Not recommending or doing follow-up tests that are capable of detecting consequences of brain injury
§ 13:76 Exaggerating pre-morbid history and ignoring pre-accident fragility
§ 13:77 Ignoring lack of treatment response

VIII. ANTICIPATING ERRORS MADE BY FELLOW EXPERTS, PLAINTIFFS AND EVEN PLAINTIFF ATTORNEYS

§ 13:78 Common plaintiff expert team member miscalculations
§ 13:79 Common plaintiff blunders
§ 13:80 Common plaintiff attorney blunders
§ 13:81 Common plaintiff experts blunders
§ 13:82 Common plaintiff experts' blunders: Ignoring the specificity problem
§ 13:83 Common plaintiff and defense expert blunders: Ignoring the long-term consequences of traumatic brain injury
§ 13:84 Avoiding short-term tactical and long-term strategic blunders
§ 13:85 Seizing the initiative and understanding the impending dominance of the "substantial factor" test in traumatic brain injury litigation
§ 13:86 Understanding, using and defending against the concept of "thresholds"
§ 13:87 The increasing importance the resilience defense
§ 13:88 Increasing importance of the resilience defense: Posttraumatic stress disorder with implications for traumatic brain injury
§ 13:89 The critical importance of understanding the uses and limits of "localization" dogma
§ 13:90 One of the most serious pretrial blunders of all: ignoring the sensitivity and specificity traps
§ 13:91 Understanding and presenting the need for comprehensive—sometimes expensive but financially efficient—clinical interventions for traumatic brain injury victims
§ 13:92 Ignoring necessary treatment for post brain injury alcoholism
§ 13:93 Voir dire considerations and possible questions related to "moral hazard" and litigation "awards"
§ 13:94 Common defense expert blunders
§ 13:95 The uses and misuses of video depositions and video testimony

CHAPTER 14. AT TRIAL: CUTTING EDGE SCIENCE ON KEY CLINICAL CONDITIONS 203

I. POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER

§ 14:1 Issue of plaintiff's pre-accident condition
§ 14:2 Using posttraumatic stress disorder to explain TBI-caused injury
§ 14:3 Life care planning, functional independence and comorbidity
§ 14:4 Effect of hippocampal atrophy and white matter lesions
§ 14:5 Plaintiff's strategies using building blocks of pre-incident fragilities and conditions
§ 14:6 —Building a paper trail
§ 14:7 Other aspects; substance abuse; depression; neuroimaging fingerprint for posttraumatic stress disorder; hippocampal size
§ 14:8 Co-causality: explaining catastrophic emotional responses to "only mild" traumatic brain injury
§ 14:9 Co-causality: explaining catastrophic emotional responses to "only mild" traumatic brain injury: posttraumatic stress disorder
§ 14:10 Co-Causality: explaining catastrophic emotional responses to only mild traumatic brain injury: posttraumatic stress disorder
§ 14:11 Co-causality: Combination of injuries, stress of treatment. and post-traumatic stress
§ 14:12 Co-causality: explaining catastrophic emotional responses to only "mild" traumatic brain injury in comparison to data in patients having severe traumatic brain injury
§ 14:13 The importance of understanding co-morbid conditions
§ 14:14 The importance of understanding co-morbid conditions: attention deficit disorder
§ 14:15 The importance of understanding co-morbid conditions: HIV
§ 14:16 Hearing loss and dementia

II. MAJOR DEPRESSIVE DISORDER

§ 14:17 Correlation between depression and hippocampal size
§ 14:18 Depression as a complication of TBI
§ 14:19 —Neuroanatomical and biochemical markers of depression
§ 14:20 Depression as a complication of TBI-executive function disorders
§ 14:21 The integration of clinical, neuropsychological, neurophysiological, neuroimaging, and genetic measures of depression when present as a complication of TBI

§ 14:22 The interactions amongst genetics, major depression, brain structure and traumatic brain injury
§ 14:23 The interactions amongst genetics, major depression, brain structure and traumatic brain injury: recent findings regarding the hippocampus
§ 14:24 The interactions amongst genetics, major depression, brain structure and traumatic brain injury: factors likely to lead to recurrent depression
§ 14:25 Brain lesions manifesting themselves as psychiatric disorders
§ 14:26 Family history and depression vulnerability "markers"

III. BIPOLAR AFFECTIVE DISORDER

§ 14:27 Understanding the interaction between bipolar disorder and traumatic brain injury
§ 14:28 —recent studies

IV. THE IMPACT OF TRAUMA ON PRE-INCIDENT PERSONALITY DISORDERS

§ 14:29 Defense strategy: establish plaintiff's personality disorder

V. MALINGERING, SOMATOFORM, AND OTHER SYMPTOM EXAGGERATION DISORDERS

§ 14:30 Defining and identifing malingering
§ 14:31 Defining and identifying malingering—Symptom validity tests
§ 14:32 Effect on jury of accusation of malingering
§ 14:33 Research regarding malingering
§ 14:34 Research regarding malingering: Self deception: malingering or not?
§ 14:35 Somatoform disorders and other secondary gain syndromes
§ 14:36 DSM-IV categories of symptom exaggeration disorders
§ 14:37 Effect of finding new onset seizures;distinguishing organic and psychogenic seizures
§ 14:38 Plaintiff's strategies when faced with malingering defense

VI. NONCOMPLIANCE WITH TREATMENT

§ 14:39 Understanding noncompliance with treatment regimen
§ 14:40 Behavioral, neurocognitive, and affective side effects of medications used to treat TBI

VII. CEREBROVASCULAR DISORDERS AND TREATABLE CONCOMITANTS OF TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

§ 14:41 Cerebrovascular disorders
§ 14:42 Treatable concomitants of traumatic brain injury
§ 14:43 Treatable concomitants of traumatic brain injury: seizures
§ 14:44 Seizures
§ 14:45 Diabetes

VIII. ALCOHOL AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE

§ 14:46 Traumatic brain injury, attention deficit disorder and/or substance abuse
§ 14:47 The future: the relentless search for specific traumatic brain injury "footprints": cellular level "biomarkers"
§ 14:48 Traumatic brain injuries and pain related complaints: dealing with the elderly
§ 14:49 Consequences of intoxication at the time of traumatic brain injury
§ 14:50 Recognized neurobehavioral syndromes for alcohol-induced disorders
§ 14:51 Plaintiff's strategies for dealing with alcohol use before or at the time of a traumatic event; teenagers and alcohol use

IX. NON-ANALGESIC STREET DRUGS

§ 14:52 Neuropsychiatric and neurobehavioral syndromes secondary to the use of street drug abuse
§ 14:53 Issue of base rate prevalence of conditions in the plaintiff's population

X. USE AND ABUSE OF PRESCRIPTION MEDICATIONS

§ 14:54 Neurocognitive, affective, and neurobehavioral disturbances from pain medications
§ 14:55 Over-medication and self-medication issues

XI. ATTENTION-DEFICIT DISORDERS

§ 14:56 Symptoms of Attention-Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder and the sequelae of traumatic brain injury
§ 14:57 —Plaintiff's strategies

XII. LISTS OF CONDITIONS AND MEDICATIONS

§ 14:58 List of psychiatric disorders which can cause neurobehavioral and/or cognitive dysfunction

§ 14:59 List of psychiatric disorders which can cause neurobehavioral and/or cognitive disruption/functional impairment
§ 14:60 List of medical conditions and procedures which can cause neurobehavioral and/or cognitive dysfunction
§ 14:61 List of medications which can cause neurobehavioral and/or cognitive dysfunction
§ 14:62 List of conditions other than TBI which can cause functional imaging study abnormalities
§ 14:63 List of conditions associated with hippocampal changes

XIII. DISORDERS OF IMPULSE CONTROL AND DISINHIBITION

§ 14:64 Below the neurocognitive "tip of the iceberg"

XIV. CHRONIC PAIN SYNDROMES

§ 14:65 Traumatic brain injuries and pain related complaints
§ 14:66 Violence and TBI
§ 14:67 Personality disorders and the brain
§ 14:68 Recasting current twenty-first century neuroscience into cross-examination questions for experts on both sides
§ 14:69 Specific possible cross-examination questions for plaintiff experts in emotional and brain injury litigation
§ 14:70 Specific possible cross-examination questions for defense experts in emotional and brain injury litigation

CHAPTER 15. STRATEGIC PLANNING FOR FUTURE LITIGATION..... 449

§ 15:1 Organic injuries mimicking neuropsychiatric illness
§ 15:2 —Non-convulsive status epilepticus; DNA scarring
§ 15:3 Neuroplasticity and adaptive changes
§ 15:4 —Resilience in TBI cases
§ 15:5 —Resilience in TBI cases: treatability of cognitive impairment in TBI survivors
§ 15:6 Implications of resilience and dedifferentiation; kindling and supersensitization
§ 15:7 —Extent of functional recovery capacity
§ 15:8 —Posttraumatic stress disorder
§ 15:9 —Neurochemicals and hormonal mediators of the psychobiological response to extreme stress; Ritalin (methylphenidate) and other psychostimulants; deep brain stimulation
§ 15:10 Defendants' use of neuroplasticity, dedifferentiation; functional collateral circulation
§ 15:11 Kindling and supersensitization
§ 15:12 Demonstrating the presence of neuroplasticity using Diffusion Tensor Imaging

§ 15:13 Life care planning and the provision of an artificial brain: the new damages frontier
§ 15:14 —Costs of care and rehabilitation
§ 15:15 Early settlement-oriented independent medical mediation, clinical coordination and joint case conferences
§ 15:16 Lessons from current research
§ 15:17 The great coming convergence between neuropsychiatry and the law
§ 15:18 The great coming convergence between neuropsychiatry and the law: the continuing search for objective traumatic brain injury causes and consequences: neuroendocrinology
§ 15:19 The coming era of biomarkers for traumatic brain injury
§ 15:20 More on uses and limitations of biomarkers in diagnosing traumatic brain injury and other conditions
§ 15:21 Defending defense claims of "fraud on the court"
§ 15:22 Fraud, traumatic brain injury and the functional neuroanatomy of memory
§ 15:23 Fraud, traumatic brain injury, and the functional neuroanatomy of memory: dissociative disorders
§ 15:24 The coming legal revolution
§ 15:25 Myth 5: Brain injured patients always are reliable factual historians Litigants
§ 15:26 Twenty-first century neuroscience from the top down: getting ahead of the curve
§ 15:27 The coming critical importance of understanding interactions in presenting causation and damages in brain injury litigation
§ 15:28 The coming "duty to mitigate" by *Defense* counsel to pay for early intervention in TBI cases
§ 15:29 Defending against future malingering defenses
§ 15:30 Lifecare planning and suicide risks
§ 15:31 Caregiver burden: the new clinical and legal frontier
§ 15:32 The coming duty to mitigate by plaintiff litigants and plaintiff counsel in all future pain and brain cases
§ 15:33 Testing the limits of expert knowledge: awareness of "cutting edge" clinical research or not
§ 15:34 Anticipating the increasing use of neuroimaging studies and biomarkers to detect the presence of co-morbid traumatic brain injury and posttraumatic stress disorder
§ 15:35 Update on brain injury biomarker explosion
§ 15:36 The coming need to integrate the findings of neuroimaging studies and biomarker tests with the clinical concepts of resilience and treatability
§ 15:37 The coming neuropsychiatric revolution and its legal consequences: prions
§ 15:38 More on the looming battles regarding subjective perceptions and misperceptions of valid indicators nonetheless of brain

injury-caused psychological damage and "real world" functional limitations
§ 15:39 Dealing with brain injury-caused changes in sexual functioning
§ 15:40 Diffusion tensor imaging: The new battleground for admissibility and clinical credibility

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index