Table of Contents

PART I. FOUNDATIONS

CHAPTER 1. FRAMEWORK FOR DELAY ANALYSIS

§ 1:1 Types of delay
§ 1:2 —Compensable delay: time is money
§ 1:3 —Excusable but not compensable delays: shared fault or no fault
§ 1:4 —Inexcusable, noncompensable delay: liquidated damages
§ 1:5 *Daubert* issues and the art of analysis
§ 1:6 —Failure to provide factual basis for opinions
§ 1:7 —Failure to use a reliable method
§ 1:8 —Offering legal conclusions
§ 1:9 —Opinions on bad faith, speculative statements, or irrelevant opinions

CHAPTER 2. CRITICAL PATH METHOD (CPM)

§ 2:1 History
§ 2:2 —Origins of critical path method
§ 2:3 —Origin of schedule delay analysis methods
§ 2:4 — —Time Impact Analysis
§ 2:5 — —As-planned versus as-built
§ 2:6 — —Impacted as-planned
§ 2:7 — —As-built critical path
§ 2:8 — —Collapsed as-built/"but-for"
§ 2:9 —Evolution of methods over time
§ 2:10 Float
§ 2:11 Critical path
§ 2:12 —Longest path or zero float path
§ 2:13 —Delays after the expiration of contract time
§ 2:14 —Resolution
§ 2:15 —Float on the critical path
§ 2:16 Logic and updates
§ 2:17 —Means of schedule constraint—Logic ties
§ 2:18 — —Leads and lags
§ 2:19 — —Long duration activities
§ 2:20 — —Open-ended activities

§ 2:21 — —Assigned constraints
§ 2:22 — —Calendar constraints
§ 2:23 —Categories of scheduling constraints
§ 2:24 — —Physical constraints
§ 2:25 — —Contractual constraints
§ 2:26 — —Preferential sequencing constraints
§ 2:27 —Resource loading or cost loading the schedule
§ 2:28 —Intermediary milestones
§ 2:29 —Progress updating
§ 2:30 — —Updating schedule to reflect actual progress
§ 2:31 — — —Incorrect dates
§ 2:32 — — —Retained logic vs. progress override
§ 2:33 — —Revising the schedule to reflect changes and revisions to the plan going forward
§ 2:34 — —Adjusting the contract completion date to account for excusable delay
§ 2:35 —Responsibility for failing to update schedules
§ 2:36 —Subcontractor harmed by owner changes
§ 2:37 —Corrections to CPM schedules after-the-fact

CHAPTER 3. LEGAL ISSUES IN DELAY ANALYSIS

§ 3:1 Burden of proof
§ 3:2 —Delay to critical path required
§ 3:3 —CPM is dynamic/updated CPM schedules required
§ 3:4 —Contemporaneously granted time extensions
§ 3:5 Delays vs. suspensions under federal contracts
§ 3:6 Right to early completion
§ 3:7 —Incentive bonus payments
§ 3:8 Acceleration
§ 3:9 Concurrency
§ 3:10 —Pacing
§ 3:11 —Noncritical delay/delay absorbing float
§ 3:12 —Offsetting delay
§ 3:13 —Apportionment
§ 3:14 —Acceleration
§ 3:15 Schedule impossibility
§ 3:16 Waiver of completion

CHAPTER 4. DELAY DAMAGES

§ 4:1 Generally
§ 4:2 Equitable adjustments vs. damages
§ 4:3 —Equitable adjustments
§ 4:4 —Breach damages
§ 4:5 Mitigation of damages
§ 4:6 Common types of delay damages

§ 4:7 —Labor costs
§ 4:8 —Material costs
§ 4:9 —Equipment costs
§ 4:10 —Direct overhead (extended project overhead costs)
§ 4:11 —Indirect overhead (unabsorbed home office overhead costs)
§ 4:12 Disruption vs. delay
§ 4:13 Liquidated damages
§ 4:14 Proving delay damages

CHAPTER 5. NO DAMAGE FOR DELAY

§ 5:1 The basics
§ 5:2 —Source of the rule
§ 5:3 —"No damage for delay" clauses
§ 5:4 Common law exceptions to contractual provisions
§ 5:5 —Preference afforded to remedy granting provisions
§ 5:6 —Active interference
§ 5:7 —Abandonment or delays unreasonable in length
§ 5:8 —Waiver
§ 5:9 —Material breach of contract
§ 5:10 Legislative responses to "no damage for delay"
§ 5:11 Federal contracts

PART II. ANALYSIS AND METHODS

CHAPTER 6. OVERVIEW OF SCHEDULE DELAY ANALYSIS METHODS

§ 6:1 Method introduction
§ 6:2 Categories of schedule delay analysis methods
§ 6:3 Time impact analysis (TIA) category
§ 6:4 Collapsed as-built category
§ 6:5 As-built critical path category
§ 6:6 Impacted as-planned category
§ 6:7 Total time category
§ 6:8 Fact pattern

CHAPTER 7. TIME IMPACT ANALYSIS

§ 7:1 Time impact analysis
§ 7:2 Time impact analysis (adjusted)
§ 7:3 —Example implementation
§ 7:4 —Judicial analysis
§ 7:5 Windows (unadjusted)
§ 7:6 —Example implementation
§ 7:7 —Judicial analysis
§ 7:8 Prospective TIA

§ 7:9 —Example implementation
§ 7:10 —Judicial analysis
§ 7:11 Wide windows
§ 7:12 —Example implementation
§ 7:13 —Judicial analysis
§ 7:14 Summary of cases involving time impact analysis

CHAPTER 8. COLLAPSED AS-BUILT

§ 8:1 Collapsed as-built method
§ 8:2 A cautionary tale: *Youngdale & Sons Construction Co. v. U.S.*
§ 8:3 Negative treatment of the collapsed as-built method
§ 8:4 Positive treatment of the collapsed as-built method
§ 8:5 Traditional collapsed as-built implementation (remove owner delays)
§ 8:6 Collapsed as-built (removing contractor delays)
§ 8:7 Collapsed as-built (stepped removal)
§ 8:8 Collapsed as-built (using contemporaneous updates)
§ 8:9 Treatment of major delay types
§ 8:10 Summary of cases involving collapsed as-built method

CHAPTER 9. AS-BUILT CRITICAL PATH

§ 9:1 As-built critical path
§ 9:2 Positive treatment of the as-built critical path method
§ 9:3 —*Cogefar-Impresit USA*
§ 9:4 —*Sunshine Construction & Engineering, Inc.*
§ 9:5 Negative treatment of the as-built critical path method
§ 9:6 Example implementation: as-built critical path
§ 9:7 Example implementation: as-built critical path using schedule updates and as-built data
§ 9:8 Treatment of major delay types
§ 9:9 Summary of cases involving as-built critical path method

CHAPTER 10. IMPACTED AS-PLANNED

§ 10:1 Impacted as-planned method
§ 10:2 Historical treatment of the impacted as-planned method in the United States
§ 10:3 —Early acceptance
§ 10:4 —Suspicion
§ 10:5 Example implementation: impacted as-planned global insertion
§ 10:6 Example implementation: impacted as-planned compare owner/contractor impacted schedules
§ 10:7 Example implementation: impacted as-planned stepped insertion

§ 10:8 Treatment of major delay types
§ 10:9 Summary of cases involving impacted as-planned method

CHAPTER 11. TOTAL TIME/AS-PLANNED VS. AS-BUILT

§ 11:1 Total time method
§ 11:2 —Total time method and total cost method
§ 11:3 —Historical treatment
§ 11:4 Example implementation
§ 11:5 Treatment of major delay types
§ 11:6 Summary of cases involving total time/as-planned vs. as-built method

CHAPTER 12. METHOD COMPARISON STUDY

§ 12:1 Method comparison study results
§ 12:2 Method comparison study conclusions
§ 12:3 Summary of U.S. cases referencing schedule delay methods
§ 12:4 Summary of international cases referencing schedule delay methods
§ 12:5 Global schedule delay method comparison

PART III. GUIDANCE AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CHAPTER 13. GUIDELINES FOR SCHEDULE DELAY ANALYSIS

§ 13:1 Guidelines for schedule delay analysis—Checklist
§ 13:2 —Details

CHAPTER 14. SCHEDULE CONTRACT SPECIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

§ 14:1 Example schedule specification provisions
§ 14:2 Recommended schedule contract and specification topics
§ 14:3 Owner's (or owner's agent's) schedule administration best practices
§ 14:4 Contractor's (or subcontractor's) schedule best practices

CHAPTER 15. ASCE STANDARD ANSI/ ASCE/CI 67-17 SCHEDULE DELAY ANALYSIS SUMMARY AND REVIEW

§ 15:1 Summary of ASCE Standard ANSI/ASCE/CI 67-17 Schedule Delay Analysis
§ 15:2 Summary of ANSI/ASCE/CI 67-17 Schedule Delay Analysis Standard—Critical path
§ 15:3 —Float
§ 15:4 —Early completion
§ 15:5 —Chronology of delay
§ 15:6 —Concurrent delay
§ 15:7 —Responsibility for delay
§ 15:8 —Changing schedules after the fact
§ 15:9 —Acceleration
§ 15:10 Review of ANSI/ASCE/CI 67-17 Schedule Delay Analysis Standard

CHAPTER 16. SOCIETY OF CONSTRUCTION LAW DELAY AND DISRUPTION PROTOCOL SUMMARY AND REVIEW

§ 16:1 Summary of Society of Construction Law Delay and Disruption Protocol
§ 16:2 —22 Core Principles
§ 16:3 —Guidance sections
§ 16:4 Review of Society of Construction Law Delay and Disruption Protocol
§ 16:5 —Changes from 2002 Protocol to 2017 Protocol
§ 16:6 — —No longer recommending a (prospective) time impact analysis time distant from the delay
§ 16:7 — —Reversing the Protocol position on offsetting delay
§ 16:8 — — —Time at large and waiver of the completion date
§ 16:9 —Comparison with industry standard

CHAPTER 17. AACE RP29R-03 FORENSIC SCHEDULE ANALYSIS SUMMARY AND REVIEW

§ 17:1 Summary of RP29R-03 Forensic Schedule Analysis
§ 17:2 —Organization and scope
§ 17:3 —Source validation
§ 17:4 —Method implementation
§ 17:5 —Analysis evaluation
§ 17:6 —Choosing a method

§ 17:7 Review of RP29R-03 Forensic Schedule Analysis

PART IV. DISRUPTION

CHAPTER 18. DISRUPTION, INEFFICIENCY, LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY

§ 18:1 Construction productivity
§ 18:2 Causes of disruption, inefficiency, and loss of productivity
§ 18:3 Disruption vs. delay
§ 18:4 Proof of disruption
§ 18:5 Disruption damages
§ 18:6 —Discrete pricing
§ 18:7 —Specificity of damages
§ 18:8 —Methods for proving loss of productivity
§ 18:9 — —Utilizing multiple methods
§ 18:10 Impact of changes on unchanged work—Cumulative Impact
§ 18:11 Contractual limitations on loss of productivity

CHAPTER 19. MEASURED MILE

§ 19:1 Measured mile
§ 19:2 —Implementation
§ 19:3 —Preferred method for proving disruption
§ 19:4 —Requirements for Selection of periods
§ 19:5 —Requirements for selection of periods—Comparing similar work
§ 19:6 — —Adequate sample size
§ 19:7 —Selection of periods—Causal basis rather than result based
§ 19:8 Measured mile positive treatment
§ 19:9 Measured mile negative treatment
§ 19:10 Summary of measured mile cases

CHAPTER 20. COMPARISON TO BID

§ 20:1 Comparison to bid methods
§ 20:2 Earned value
§ 20:3 —Positive treatment of earned value
§ 20:4 —Negative treatment of earned value
§ 20:5 —Summary of cases
§ 20:6 Comparison to similar projects
§ 20:7 —Legal acceptance
§ 20:8 —Summary of comparison to similar projects cases
§ 20:9 Modified total cost
§ 20:10 —Lack of proof of causation

§ 20:11 —Impracticability of proving actual costs directly
§ 20:12 — —Failure to track costs or to directly price loss of productivity
§ 20:13 — —Failure to show that a better method such as measured mile, could have been used
§ 20:14 —Unreasonable bid
§ 20:15 —Reasonableness of actual costs
§ 20:16 —Inadequate acknowledgement of responsibility for increased costs
§ 20:17 —Positive treatment of modified total cost
§ 20:18 —Other negative treatment of modified total cost
§ 20:19 —Summary of modified total cost cases
§ 20:20 Total cost
§ 20:21 —Impracticability of proving actual losses directly
§ 20:22 —Reasonableness of bid
§ 20:23 —Reasonableness of actual costs
§ 20:24 —Lack of responsibility for the added costs
§ 20:25 —Positive treatment of total cost
§ 20:26 —Other negative treatment of total cost
§ 20:27 —Summary of total cost cases

CHAPTER 21. PRODUCTIVITY FACTORS

§ 21:1 Productivity factor studies
§ 21:2 —Proof of causation
§ 21:3 —Other methods for accurately pricing loss of productivity are not possible
§ 21:4 —Bid was reasonable and lack of contractor-caused inefficiencies
§ 21:5 —Apply an appropriate and comparable study
§ 21:6 — —Application by experts
§ 21:7 — —Validity of studies
§ 21:8 — —Comparability of conditions
§ 21:9 — —Selection of factors to match period and timing of impact
§ 21:10 —Combining productivity factors
§ 21:11 Industry and academic studies
§ 21:12 Mechanical Contractors Association of America (MCAA) factors
§ 21:13 —Basis for data
§ 21:14 —Application to mechanical trades
§ 21:15 —Approximation of inefficiency
§ 21:16 —Application by expert
§ 21:17 —Improper use of factors in accordance with the manual
§ 21:18 — —Applying factors to total hours
§ 21:19 — —Applying factors to the entire project duration

§ 21:20 — —Failure to remove change order hours from the analysis
§ 21:21 — —Failure to remove contractor's own inefficiencies
§ 21:22 —Positive treatment of MCAA factors
§ 21:23 —Negative treatment of MCAA factors
§ 21:24 —Summary of MCAA factor cases
§ 21:25 National Electrical Contractors Association (NECA) factors
§ 21:26 —Legal acceptance of the NECA manual
§ 21:27 —Summary of NECA cases
§ 21:28 Business Roundtable
§ 21:29 —Legal acceptance of Business Roundtable study
§ 21:30 —Summary of Business Roundtable cases
§ 21:31 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Modification Impact Evaluation Guide
§ 21:32 —Legal acceptance of USACE Modification Impact Evaluation Guide
§ 21:33 —Summary of USACE Modification Impact Evaluation Guide cases
§ 21:34 Leonard Thesis
§ 21:35 —Legal acceptance of Leonard Thesis
§ 21:36 —Summary of Leonard Thesis cases
§ 21:37 Department of Labor Bulletin 917
§ 21:38 —Legal acceptance of Department of Labor Bulletin 917
§ 21:39 —Summary of Department of Labor Bulletin 917 cases
§ 21:40 Other published factor cases

CHAPTER 22. VISUAL OBSERVATION/ JUDGMENT

§ 22:1 Visual observation or judgment
§ 22:2 Visual observation—From site observations
§ 22:3 —Craft sampling and questionnaire method
§ 22:4 Judgment factor—Unsupported factor
§ 22:5 —Based on project record
§ 22:6 —Based on unpublished study
§ 22:7 Use of expert testimony in presenting visual observation or judgment factors
§ 22:8 Positive treatment of visual observation/judgment factor
§ 22:9 Negative treatment of visual observation/judgment factor
§ 22:10 Summary of visual observation/judgment factor cases

CHAPTER 23. COMPARISON OF METHODS FOR PROVING DISRUPTION

§ 23:1 Comparison of legal acceptance of methods

§ 23:2 Frequency of use and legal acceptance of productivity factors
§ 23:3 Comparison between the United States and international disruption method acceptance
§ 23:4 Lessons
§ 23:5 Summary of disruption cases

CHAPTER 24. ASCE STANDARD ANSI/ ASCE/CI 71-21 IDENTIFYING, QUANTIFYING, AND PROVING LOSS OF PRODUCTIVITY SUMMARY AND REVIEW

§ 24:1 Summary of ASCE Standard ANSI/ASCE/CI 71-21 Identifying, Quantifying, and Proving Loss of Productivity
§ 24:2 Summary of ANSI/ASCE/CI 71-21 Identifying, Quantifying, and Proving Loss of Productivity Standard—Productivity basics
§ 24:3 —Identifying productivity loss
§ 24:4 —Establishing recoverable loss of productivity
§ 24:5 —Quantifying productivity loss
§ 24:6 —Avoiding productivity loss
§ 24:7 Review of ANSI/ASCE/CI 71-21 Identifying, Quantifying, and Proving Loss of Productivity Standard

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index