Index

AACE RP29R-03 FORENSIC SCHEDULE ANALYSIS
Generally, **17:1 to 17:7**
Analysis evaluation, **17:5**
Choosing method, **17:6**
Method implementation, **17:4**
Organization and scope, **17:2**
Review, **17:7**
Source validation, **17:3**
Summary, **17:1**

ABANDONMENT
No damage for delay, abandonment exception to contractual provisions, **5:7**

ACCELERATION
Generally, **3:8**
ASCE standard ANSI/ASCE/CI 67-17 schedule delay analysis, **15:9**
Concurrency, **3:14**

ANALYSIS AND METHODS
Generally, **6:1 et seq.**
As-built critical path category
generally, **6:5**
As-Built Critical Path, this index
ASCE Standard ANSI/ASCE/CI 67-17 Schedule Delay Analysis, this index
Categories of schedule delay analysis methods, **6:2**
Collapsed as-built category
generally, **6:4**
Collapsed As-Built, this index
Fact pattern, **6:8**
Guidelines for schedule delay analysis
checklist, **13:1**
details, **13:2**
Impacted as-planned category
generally, **6:6**
Impacted As-Planned, this index

ANALYSIS AND METHODS —Cont'd
Method Comparison Study, this index
Time impact analysis (TIA) category
generally, **6:3**
Time Impact Analysis, this index
Total time category
generally, **6:7**
Total Time, this index

AS-BUILT CRITICAL PATH
Generally, **6:5, 9:1 to 9:9**
Case summaries, **9:9**
Example implementation, **9:6, 9:7**
Major delay types, treatment of, **9:8**
Negative treatment, **9:5**
Positive treatment, **9:2 to 9:4**

ASCE STANDARD ANSI/ASC/CI 71-21
Identifying, quantifying, and proving loss of productivity, **24:1 et seq.**

ASCE STANDARD ANSI/ASCE/CI 67-17 SCHEDULE DELAY ANALYSIS
Generally, **15:1 to 15:10**
Acceleration, **15:9**
Changing schedules after the fact, **15:8**
Chronology of delay, **15:5**
Concurrent delay, **15:6**
Critical path, **15:2**
Early completion, **15:4**
Float, **15:3**
Responsibility for delay, **15:7**
Review of schedule delay analysis standard, **15:10**
Summary, **15:1 et seq.**

AS PLANNED
Impacted As-Planned, this index

BONUS PAYMENTS
Generally, **3:7**

BURDEN OF PROOF
Generally, **3:1**
Contemporaneously granted CPM time extensions, **3:4**
Delay to critical path, **3:2**
Dynamic CPM, **3:3**
Updated CPM schedules, **3:3**

CAUSATION
Disruption, **18:2, 21:2**

COLLAPSED AS-BUILT
Generally, **6:4, 8:1 to 8:10**
Case summaries, **8:10**
Cautionary tale (Youngdale), **8:2**
Contemporaneous updates, using, **8:8**
Contractor delays, removing, **8:6**
Major delay types, treatment, **8:9**
Negative treatment of method, **8:3**
Owner delays, removing, **8:5**
Positive treatment of method, **8:4**
Stepped removal, **8:7**
Traditional implementation, **8:5**

COMMON LAW
Exceptions to contractual provisions. No Damage for Delay, this index

COMPARISON METHODS FOR PROVING DISRUPTION
Disruption, **23:1 to 23:5**

COMPENSABLE DELAY
Generally, **1:2**

COMPLETION
Early completion, right to, **3:6**
Waiver of completion, **3:16**

CONCURRENCY
Generally, **3:9 to 3:14**
Acceleration, **3:14**
Apportionment, **3:13**
ASCE standard ANSI/ASCE/CI 67-17 schedule delay analysis, **15:6**
Float, delay absorbing, **3:11**
Noncritical delay, **3:11**
Offsetting delay, **3:12**
Pacing, **3:10**

CRITICAL PATH METHOD (CPM)
Generally, **2:1 to 2:37**
Actual progress. Progress updating, below
Adjusting contract completion date to account for excusable delay, **2:34**
After-the-fact corrections to CPM schedules, **2:37**
As-built
generally, **2:7, 6:5**
As-Built Critical Path, this index
As-planned vs. as-built, **2:5**
Assigned constraints, **2:21**
Burden of Proof, this index
Calendar constraints, **2:22**
Categories of scheduling constraints
generally, **2:23 et seq.**
contractual constraints, **2:25**
physical constraints, **2:24**
preferential sequencing constraints, **2:26**
Collapsed as-built/but for, **2:8**
Completion date, adjusting to account for excusable delay, **2:34**
Constraints
Categories of scheduling constraints, above
Means of schedule constraint, below
Contractual constraints, **2:25**
Cost loading, **2:27**
Critical path
generally, **2:11**
expiration of contract time, delays after, **2:13**
float on the critical path, **2:15**
longest path or zero float path, **2:12**
resolution, **2:14**
Evolution of methods over time, **2:9**
Excusable delay, adjusting contract completion date to account for, **2:34**
Expiration of contract time, delays after, **2:13**
Float
generally, **2:10**

CRITICAL PATH METHOD (CPM) —Cont'd
Float—Cont'd
critical path, float on, **2:15**
longest path or zero float path, **2:12**
History, **2:1 et seq.**
Impacted as-planned, **2:6**
Incorrect dates, updating schedule to reflect actual progress, **2:31**
Intermediary milestones, **2:28**
Leads and lags, **2:18**
Logic and updates
generally, **2:16 et seq.**
after-the-fact corrections to CPM schedules, **2:37**
Categories of scheduling constraints, above
Means of schedule constraint, below
Progress updating, below
responsibility for failing to update schedules, **2:35**
subcontractor harmed by owner changes, **2:36**
Long duration activities, **2:19**
Longest path or zero float path, **2:12**
Means of schedule constraint
generally, **2:17 to 2:22**
assigned constraints, **2:21**
calendar constraints, **2:22**
leads and lags, **2:18**
logic ties, **2:17**
long duration activities, **2:19**
open-ended activities, **2:20**
Open-ended activities, **2:20**
Origins, schedule delay analysis methods
generally, **2:3 to 2:8**
as-built critical path, **2:7**
as-planned vs. as-built, **2:5**
collapsed as built/but for, **2:8**
impacted as-planned, **2:6**
time impact analysis, **2:4**
Origins of CPM, **2:2**
Owner changes, subcontractor harmed by, **2:36**
Physical constraints, **2:24**

CRITICAL PATH METHOD (CPM) —Cont'd
Preferential sequencing constraints, **2:26**
Progress updating
generally, **2:29 to 2:34**
actual progress, updating schedule to reflect
generally, **2:30**
incorrect dates, **2:31**
retained logic vs. progress override, **2:32**
excusable delay, adjusting contract completion date to account for, **2:34**
retained logic vs. progress override, **2:32**
revising schedule to reflect changes and revisions to plan going forward, **2:33**
Resolution, **2:14**
Resource loading, **2:27**
Responsibility for failing to update schedules, **2:35**
Retained logic vs. progress override, **2:32**
Subcontractor harmed by owner changes, **2:36**
Time impact analysis, **2:4**
Updates
Progress updating, above
responsibility for failing to update schedules, **2:35**

DAMAGES
Delay Damages, this index
Disruption, **18:5 to 18:9**
Liquidated Damages, this index
No Damage for Delay, this index

DAUBERT ISSUES
Generally, **1:5**

DELAY
Disruption distinguished, **18:3**

DELAY DAMAGES
Generally, **4:1 to 4:14**
Breach damages, **4:4**
Common types
generally, **4:6 to 4:11**

DELAY DAMAGES—Cont'd
Common types—Cont'd
equipment costs, **4:9**
extended project overhead costs (direct overhead), **4:10**
labor costs, **4:7**
material costs, **4:8**
unabsorbed home office overhead costs (indirect overhead), **4:11**
Disruption vs. delay, **4:12**
Equipment costs, **4:9**
Equitable adjustments vs. damages
generally, **4:2**
breach damages, **4:4**
equitable adjustments, **4:3**
Extended project overhead costs (direct overhead), **4:10**
Labor costs, **4:7**
Liquidated damages, **4:13**
Material costs, **4:8**
Mitigation of damages, **4:5**
Overhead
extended project overhead costs (direct overhead), **4:10**
unabsorbed home office overhead costs (indirect overhead), **4:11**
Proof, **4:14**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR BULLETIN 917
Disruption, **21:37 to 21:39**

DISRUPTION
Generally, **18:1 et seq.**
Causes, **18:2**
Comparison methods for proving disruption, **23:1 to 23:5**
Comparison to bid, **20:1 to 20:27**
Contractual limitations on loss of productivity, **18:11**
Damages, **18:5 to 18:9**
Delay distinguished, **18:3**
Earned value, **20:2 to 20:5**
Impact of changes on unchanged work, **18:10**
Inefficiency, **18:3**
Measured mile, **19:1 to 19:10**
Modified total cost, **20:9 to 20:19**

DISRUPTION—Cont'd
Productivity
generally, **18:2 et seq., 21:1 to 21:40**
ASCE Standard ANSI/ASC/CI 71-21, **24:1 et seq.**
combining factors, **21:10**
comparable and appropriate study, **21:5 to 21:9**
Department of Labor Bulletin 917, **21:37 to 21:39**
generally, **18:2 et seq.**
identifying, quantifying, and proving loss of productivity, **24:1 et seq.**
industry and academic studies, **21:11**
lack of contractor-caused inefficiencies, **21:4**
Leonard Thesis, **21:34 to 21:36**
Mechanical Contractors Association of America factors, **21:12 to 21:24**
National Electrical Contractors Association factors, **21:25 to 21:30**
proof of causation, **21:2**
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Modification Impact Evaluation Guide, **21:31 to 21:33**
Proof of disruption, **18:4**
Similar projects compared, **20:6 to 20:8**
Total cost, **20:20 to 20:27**
Visual observation judgment, **22:37 to 22:10**

EARLY COMPLETION
Right to, **3:6**

EARNED VALUE
Disruption, **20:2 to 20:5**

EQUIPMENT
Delay damages, equipment costs, **4:9**

EQUITABLE ADJUSTMENTS
Delay Damages, this index

EVIDENCE
Comparison methods for proving disruption, **23:1 to 23:5**

EVIDENCE—Cont'd
Disruption, **18:4**

EXCUSABLE DELAY
Adjusting contract completion date to account for excusable delay, **2:34**
Excusable but not compensable, **1:3**

FEDERAL CONTRACTS
Delay vs. suspensions, **3:5**
No damage for delay, **5:11**

FLOAT
Critical Path Method (CPM), this index
Delay absorbing, **3:11**

FORENSIC SCHEDULE ANALYSIS
AACE RP29R-03 Forensic Schedule Analysis, this index

GUIDELINES FOR SCHEDULE DELAY ANALYSIS
Checklist, **13:1**
Details, **13:2**

IMPACTED AS-PLANNED
Generally, **6:6, 10:1 to 10:9**
Case summaries, **10:9**
Example implementation
global insertion, **10:5**
owner/contractor impacted schedules compared, **10:6**
stepped insertion, **10:7**
Historical treatment
generally, **10:2**
early acceptance, **10:3**
suspicion, **10:4**
Major delay types, treatment of, **10:8**

IMPOSSIBILITY OF SCHEDULE
Generally, **3:15**

INCENTIVE BONUS PAYMENTS
Generally, **3:7**

INEFFICIENCY
Disruption, **18:3**

INEXCUSABLE DELAY
Noncompensable, **1:4**

LEONARD THESIS
Disruption, **21:34 to 21:36**

LIQUIDATED DAMAGES
Delay damages, **4:13**
Inexcusable, noncompensable delay, **1:4**

MEASURED MILE
Disruption, **19:1 to 19:10**

MECHANICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA FACTORS
Disruption, **21:12 to 21:24**

METHOD COMPARISON STUDY
Generally, **12:1 to 12:3**
Conclusions, **12:2**
Global schedule delay method comparison, **12:5**
Results, **12:1**
Summary of International cases referencing schedule delay methods, **12:4**
Summary of U.S. cases referencing schedule delay methods, **12:3**

MITIGATION OF DAMAGES
Delay damages, **4:5**

MODIFIED TOTAL COST
Disruption, **20:9 to 20:19**

NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION FACTORS
Disruption, **21:25 to 21:30**

NO DAMAGE FOR DELAY
Generally, **5:1 to 5:11**
Abandonment exception to contractual provisions, **5:7**
Active interference exception to contractual provisions, **5:6**
Common law exceptions to contractual provisions
generally, **5:4 to 5:9**
abandonment, **5:7**
active interference, **5:6**
material breach of contract, **5:9**

NO DAMAGE FOR DELAY —Cont'd
Common law exceptions to contractual provisions—Cont'd
preference afforded to remedy granting provisions, **5:5**
unreasonable length of delay, **5:7**
waiver, **5:8**
Contractual clauses, **5:3**
Federal contracts, **5:11**
Legislative responses, **5:10**
Material breach of contract, exception to contractual provisions, **5:9**
Preference afforded to remedy granting provisions, **5:5**
Source of rule, **5:2**
Unreasonable length of delay exception to contractual provisions, **5:7**
Waiver, exception to contractual provisions, **5:7**

NO FAULT
Excusable but not compensable delays, **1:3**

OVERHEAD
Delay Damages, this index

PRODUCTIVITY
Disruption, this index

SCHEDULE CONTRACT SPECIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES
Generally, **14:1 to 14:4**
Best practices
contractor's (or subcontractor's) schedule, **14:4**
owner's (or owner's agent's) schedule administration, **14:3**
Example provisions, **14:1**
Recommended topics, **14:2**

SCHEDULE IMPOSSIBILITY
Generally, **3:15**

SHARED FAULT
Excusable but not compensable delays, **1:3**

SOCIETY OF CONSTRUCTION LAW DELAY AND DISRUPTION PROTOCOL
Generally, **16:1 to 16:9**
Changes from 2002 Protocol to 2017 Protocol, **16:5 to 16:8**
Comparison with industry standard, **16:9**
Core principles, **16:2**
Guidance sections, **16:3**
Reversinig protocol position on offsetting delay, **16:7, 16:8**
Review, **16:4 to 16:9**
Summary, **16:1**

SUBCONTRACTORS
Owner changes, subcontractor harmed by, **2:36**
Schedule contract specifications and responsibilities, best practices, **14:4**

TIME IMPACT ANALYSIS (TIA)
Generally, **6:3, 7:1 to 7:14**
Adjusted
generally, **7:2**
example implementation, **7:3**
judicial analysis, **7:4**
Case summaries, **7:14**
Prospective TIA
generally, **7:8**
example implementation, **7:9**
judicial analysis, **7:10**
Wide windows
generally, **7:11**
example implementation, **7:12**
judicial analysis, **7:13**
Windows (unadjusted)
generally, **7:5**
example implementation, **7:6**
judicial analysis, **7:7**

TOTAL COST
Disruption, **20:20 to 20:27**

TOTAL TIME
Generally, **6:7, 11:1 to 11:6**
Case summaries, **11:6**
Example implementation, **11:4**

TOTAL TIME—Cont'd
Total time and total cost method
generally, **11:2**
historical treatment, **11:3**
Treatment of major delay types, **11:5**

TYPES OF DELAY
Generally, **1:1 to 1:4**
Compensable delay, **1:2**
Excusable but not compensable delays, **1:3**
Inexcusable, noncompensable delay, **1:4**

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS MODIFICATION IMPACT EVALUATION GUIDE
Disruption, **21:31 to 21:33**

VISUAL OBSERVATION JUDGMENT
Disruption, **22:37 to 22:10**

WAIVER
Completion, waiver of, **3:16**
No damage for delay, **5:8**