

Publisher's Note

An Update has Arrived in Your Library for:

Please circulate this notice to anyone in your office who may be interested in this publication. <i>Distribution List</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>

CANADIAN DIVORCE LAW AND PRACTICE

James C. MacDonald, Q.C.

Release No. 2, March 2026

Canadian Divorce Law and Practice includes digests of thousands of divorce cases organized under 300 specific subjects. In addition, this product features the full text of the *Divorce Act* and other related statutes, sample pleadings and the most commonly used divorce precedents, all relevant provincial rules of practice and all prescribed forms, and extensive commentary on the Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines.

This release focuses on issues related to parenting, specifically parenting schedules including nesting orders, a parent's entitlement to information, contact orders, and changes in a child's place of residence.

Thomson Reuters®

Customer Support

1-416-609-3800 (Toronto & International)

1-800-387-5164 (Toll Free Canada & U.S.)

E-mail CustomerSupport.LegalTaxCanada@TR.com

This publisher's note may be scanned electronically and photocopied for the purpose of circulating copies within your organization.

Highlights

- A party who has not been granted decision-making responsibility nonetheless still has the right to request and receiving information about their child's well-being. See *Fatima v. Tunio*, 2025 CarswellOnt 17863, 2025 ONSC 5474 (Ont. S.C.J.).
- The mother's move with the child was a change of place of residence, and not a relocation. See *Hiebert v. Hiebert*, 2025 CarswellOnt 19401, 2025 ONSC 6595 (Ont. S.C.J.).
- Where the child had endured years of destructive family dynamics, the risk of irreparable emotional harm necessitated the immediate implementation of a parenting plan that prioritized his safety and wellbeing. See *J.M.M. v. C.R.M.*, 2025 CarswellOnt 8029, 2025 ONSC 3067 (Ont. S.C.J.).
- While the child's relationship with the paternal grandmother was important, granting her application for in-person contact time with the child was dismissed, where she could have time with the child during the husband's primary care, and where the wife's limited time with the child should not be further impaired. See *A.N.K. v. E.K.*, 2024 CarswellBC 2264, 2024 BCSC 1391 (B.C. S.C.).