TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

CHAPTER 1 – FIRST STEPS IN HANDLING A PERSONAL INJURY CASE 1–1

1.1 INITIAL CLIENT INTERVIEW 1–1

1.1.1 INTRODUCTION – GOALS AND IMPORTANCE OF FIRST INTERVIEW 1–1

1.1.2 RESPONSE TO INITIAL CLIENT CONTACT 1–2

1.1.3 DETERMINE THE CLIENT'S GENERAL PURPOSE 1–2

1.1.4 DETERMINE POTENTIAL "URGENCY" MATTERS 1–3

1.1.5 AFTER THE FIRST CONTACT 1–4

1.1.6 PRELIMINARY PHONE ADVICE 1–5

1.1.7 WHAT TO ADVISE THE POTENTIAL CLIENT TO BRING TO THE FIRST MEETING 1–7

1.1.8 DISCUSSING FEES 1–9

1.1.9 FOLLOW UP WITH CONFIRMATION LETTER 1–9

1.1.10 PREPARING FOR INITIAL MEETING 1–10

1.1.11 CONDUCTING FIRST OFFICE CONSULTATION – GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS 1–11

1.1.12 USE OF CLIENT "INFORMATION REPORT" (CHECKLIST) 1–12

1.1.13 TAPE RECORDING MEETING 1–14

1.1.14 OBTAINING DETAILS – INFORMATION TO ELICIT DURING INTERVIEW 1–14

1.2 AFTER THE INITIAL INTERVIEW 1–19

1.2.1 PROMPTLY CONFIRM REJECTION IN WRITING 1–21

1.2.2 COMPARE – WITHDRAWAL AFTER ACCEPTING REPRESENTATION 1–22

1.2.3 CASE WITH CLEAR VALUE WARRANTING LEGAL ACTION 1–23

1.2.4 FACTORS TO CONSIDER IN MAKING DECISION 1–24

1.2.4.1 LIABILITY V. DAMAGES 1–24

1.2.4.2 EXTENT OF DAMAGES 1–25

1.2.4.3 LIABILITY RISKS FROM PURSUING MERITLESS SUIT 1–25

1.2.5 ARE PARALEGALS LIABLE FOR ASSISTING ON MERITLESS CLAIMS? 1–26

1.2.6 COMPARE – NO SANCTIONS FOR GOOD FAITH FILING OF SUIT TO TOLL STATUTORY TIME BARS 1–27

1.2.7 IMPACT OF PROP. 51 1–27

1.2.8 CLAIMANT'S PERSONALITY 1–28

1.3 COMPLICATIONS OF CASE 1–28

1.3.1 COSTS 1–28

1.3.2 COMPETENCE; ASSOCIATING SPECIALISTS AND CASE REFERRAL 1–28

1.3.3 FEE SHARING WITH SPECIALIST 1–29

1.3.4 TIME BAR PROBLEMS 1–30

1.3.5 SUBROGATION RIGHTS AND LIENS 1–30

1.3.6 CLAIMANT'S CONSULTATIONS WITH OTHER ATTORNEYS IMPACTING EMPLOYMENT DECISION 1–32

CHAPTER 2 – PART I – PRELITIGATION INVESTIGATION AND EMPLOYMENT OF EXPERTS 2–1

2.1 INTRODUCTION – WHY THE "INFORMAL" INVESTIGATION 2–1

2.1.1 FINANCIAL DISCOVERY DILEMMA 2–1

2.1.2 DEFENSE OBLIGATION TO INVESTIGATE IN THE WAKE OF PROP. 51 2–2

2.2 GENERAL INVESTIGATION TACTICS 2–2

2.2.1 WHEN TO COMMENCE INVESTIGATION..... 2–2

2.2.2 WHAT ATTORNEYS (AND YOU) SHOULD INVESTIGATE..... 2–2

2.2.3 FIRST NOTICE TO INSURANCE CARRIER WHERE CARRIER'S IDENTITY KNOWN..... 2–6

2.2.4 FIRST NOTICE TO POTENTIAL DEFENDANT WHERE CARRIER IDENTITY UNKNOWN..... 2–7

2.2.5 OTHER REASONS FOR PROMPT CONTACT WITH INSURER 2–7

2.2.6 ASCERTAINING POLICY LIMITS..... 2–7

2.2.7 COMPARE – FORMAL DISCOVERY RE DEFENDANT'S FINANCIAL CONDITION..... 2–8

2.2.8 THE USE OF PROFESSIONAL INVESTIGATORS 2–8

2.2.9 CLIENT AS INVESTIGATOR 2–9

2.2.10 ASCERTAINING SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION 2–9

2.2.11 OBTAINING "NEGATIVE STATEMENTS" 2–23

2.2.12 LOCATING MISSING WITNESSES..... 2–23

2.2.13 ENTERING PREMISES OPEN TO THE PUBLIC 2–24

2.2.14 DEFENSE CONSIDERATION – PLAINTIFF'S SIMILAR CLAIMS..... 2–24

2.2.15 STATUTORY LIMITATION ON DISCLOSURE TO PROTECT CLAIMANTS' PRIVACY RIGHTS..... 2–24

2.3 SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS RE PRODUCT LIABILITY INVESTIGATIONS 2–25

2.3.1 INTRODUCTION..... 2–25

2.3.2 OBTAIN PRODUCT OR ITS FACSIMILE..... 2–25

2.3.3 OBTAIN REMNANTS IF PRODUCT DESTROYED 2–26

2.3.4 SAFEGUARD PRODUCT FOR USE IN LITIGATION 2–26

2.3.5 PRODUCT IN THIRD PERSON'S CUSTODY 2–26

2.3.6 SPOLIATION OF EVIDENCE 2–26

2.3.7 PRODUCT UNAVAILABLE 2–27

2.3.8 RESEARCH PRODUCT HISTORY 2–28

2.3.9 SPECIAL PROBLEM – IDENTIFYING RESPONSIBLE MANUFACTURER 2–29

2.3.9.1 E-COMMERCE 2–29

2.3.10 IDENTIFYING OTHER CLAIMANTS AND SIMILAR ACCIDENTS 2–30

2.3.11 OBTAIN RELEVANT LITERATURE, WARNING AND USE INSTRUCTIONS 2–30

2.3.12 DETERMINE IF MANUFACTURING COMPLIED WITH INDUSTRIAL STANDARDS 2–31

2.3.13 EXAMINE SIMILAR PRODUCTS OF OTHER MANUFACTURERS 2–32

2.4 EMPLOYMENT OF EXPERTS 2–32

2.4.1 NEED FOR EXPERT TESTIMONY 2–32

2.4.2 CAUSATION ISSUES 2–32

2.4.3 DISMISSAL ABSENT EXPERT TESTIMONY 2–34

2.4.4 DISTINGUISH – SUBJECT MATTER WITHIN COMMON LAY KNOWLEDGE 2–34

2.4.5 WHEN EXPERT TESTIMONY PROHIBITED 2–35

2.4.6 INNOVATIVE USE OF EXPERT TESTIMONY 2–35

2.4.7 WHEN TO EMPLOY EXPERTS 2–36

2.4.8 HOW TO LOCATE AND SELECT APPROPRIATE EXPERTS 2–37

2.4.9 SELECTING MOST EFFECTIVE EXPERTS 2–40

2.4.10 OTHER FACTORS TO CONSIDER IN SELECTING AN EXPERT 2–41

2.4.11 INITIAL INTERVIEWS WITH POTENTIAL EXPERTS 2–42

2.4.12 INFORMATION TO PROVIDE POTENTIAL EXPERT 2–42

2.4.13 ASCERTAIN POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST 2–42

2.4.14 CAUTION – CONTACTING EXPERT PRESENTLY ENGAGED BY OPPOSING PARTY 2–43

2.4.15 INITIAL "STRATEGY" MEETING WITH EXPERT CONSULTANT 2–43

2.4.16 ELICIT EXPERT'S INITIAL ADVICE AND INPUT 2–45

2.4.17 MAINTAINING CONTACT WITH EXPERTS; CONTROLLING COSTS 2–46

CHAPTER 3 – DAMAGES 3–1

3.1 INTRODUCTION 3–1

3.1.1 REVIEW 3–1

3.1.2 WHAT DAMAGES ARE RECOVERABLE IN GENERAL 3–2

3.1.3 "GENERAL" AND "SPECIAL" DAMAGES 3–3

3.1.4 PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE DAMAGES 3–4

3.1.5 ATTORNEY FEES 3–4

3.1.6 INCOME TAX TREATMENT OF DAMAGES RECOVERY 3–5

3.1.7 SOME DETAILS ABOUT COMPENSATORY DAMAGES 3–6

3.1.8 MEDICAL EXPENSES 3–6

3.1.9 EVIDENCE PARALEGALS NEED TO OBTAIN IN TO SUPPORT MEDICAL EXPENSES 3–8

3.1.10 NO OFFSET FOR EXPENSES PAID BY "COLLATERAL SOURCES" – THE "COLLATERAL SOURCE RULE" 3–9

3.1.11 FUTURE MEDICAL EXPENSES – REQUIREMENTS AND PROOF 3–10

3.1.12 LOSS OF EARNINGS 3–12

3.1.13 PROVING LOSS OF FUTURE EARNINGS 3–13

3.1.14 DISCOUNTING TO PRESENT VALUE 3–14

3.1.15 INABILITY TO PURSUE CAREER 3–15

3.1.16 PAIN AND SUFFERING 3–15

3.1.16.1 HOW TO PROVE PAST PAIN AND SUFFERING 3–16

3.1.16.2 CLAIMANT'S PECULIAR SENSITIVITIES NO DEFENSE 3–17

3.1.16.3 FAILURE TO MITIGATE PAIN AND SUFFERING WITH REMEDIAL TREATMENT 3–17

3.1.16.4 CALCULATING PAIN AND SUFFERING DAMAGES 3–18

3.1.16.5 COMPENSATION FOR MENTAL DISTRESS WHERE NO CONCURRENT PHYSICAL INJURY 3–19

3.1.16.6 INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS 3–19

3.1.16.7 NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS 3–22

3.2 POTENTIAL CLAIMANTS IN A PERSONAL INJURY CASE 3–23

3.2.1 POTENTIAL CLAIMANTS: OVERVIEW 3–23

3.2.2 PHYSICALLY INJURED PARTY 3–23

3.2.3 SPOUSE, DOMESTIC PARTNER OR PARENTS 3–23

3.2.3.1 LOSS OF CONSORTIUM 3–23

3.2.3.2 NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS FROM SHOCK OF WITNESSING INJURY 3–24

3.2.4 INJURED PARTY'S ESTATE ("SURVIVAL" ACTIONS) 3–24

3.3 MITIGATING FACTORS IN REDUCTION OF DAMAGES 3–26

3.3.1 GENERAL OBSERVATIONS 3–26

3.3.2 COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE 3–26

3.3.3 ASSUMPTION OF RISK 3–26

3.3.4 "PRIMARY ASSUMPTION OF RISK" A COMPLETE DEFENSE 3–27

3.3.5 WHAT CREATES A DUTY OF CARE? 3–27

3.3.5.1 EXAMPLES 3–29

3.3.5.2 INSTRUCTOR-INFLICTED INJURY IN SPORTING ACTIVITY 3–32

3.3.5.3 INJURY TO SPECTATORS AT SPORTING EVENTS 3–34

3.3.6 "SECONDARY ASSUMPTION OF RISK" SUBSUMED IN COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE SCHEME 3–36

3.3.7 EFFECT OF A RELEASE 3–36

3.3.8 GROSS NEGLIGENCE 3–39

3.3.9 STATUTORY "SEATBELT DEFENSE" AS COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE OR ASSUMPTION OF RISK 3–40

3.3.10 CALCULATING DAMAGES UNDER COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE PRINCIPLES 3–40

3.3.11 JURY'S BROAD DISCRETION RE FAULT APPORTIONMENT 3–41

3.3.12 "AVOIDABLE CONSEQUENCES" – DUTY TO MITIGATE DAMAGES 3–41

3.3.13 STATUTORY LIMITS ON RECOVERABLE DAMAGES 3–43

3.4 PUNITIVE DAMAGES 3–46

3.4.1 STATUTORY AUTHORITY FOR PUNITIVES AWARD 3–46

3.4.2 PRIMA FACIE PUNITIVES CASE MUST BE PROVED BY "CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE" 3–46

3.4.3 DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO BIFURCATE TRIAL ON "WEALTH EVIDENCE" 3–48

3.4.4 "ACTUAL DAMAGES" / "ACTUAL HARM" LIMITATION 3–48

3.4.5 CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES 3–48

3.4.6 IMPACT ON CALIFORNIA PUNITIVE DAMAGES AWARDS 3–49

3.4.7 RESTRICTIONS ON PUNITIVES AWARDS TO CERTAIN PLAINTIFFS AND/OR AGAINST CERTAIN DEFENDANTS 3–50

3.4.8 TYPES OF CONDUCT SUPPORTING PUNITIVE DAMAGES 3–51

3.4.8.1 "MALICE" 3–52

3.4.8.2 "OPPRESSION" 3–53

3.4.8.3 "FRAUD" 3–54

3.4.9 PUNITIVES RECOVERY AGAINST EMPLOYER FOR ACTS OF EMPLOYEE 3–54

3.4.10 "RATIFICATION" 3–56

3.4.11 EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT'S WEALTH 3–59

CHAPTER 4 – PERSONAL INJURY CLAIM SETTLEMENTS 4–1

4.1 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS 4–1

4.1.1 WHEN TO COMMENCE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS 4–4

4.1.2 DISADVANTAGES TO EARLY FILING 4–6

4.1.3 WHOM SHOULD EARLY SETTLEMENT TALKS BE DIRECTED TO 4–6

4.2 DETERMINING SETTLEMENT VALUE 4–7

4.2.1 FACTORS AFFECTING SETTLEMENT VALUE 4–7

4.2.1.1 INJURY FACTORS 4–8

4.2.1.2 OTHER RELEVANT FACTORS 4–8

4.2.2 USE OF JURY VERDICT SUMMARIES TO EVALUATE CLAIM 4–9

4.3 EFFECTIVE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS 4–10

4.3.1 ADMONITION WITH RESPECT TO SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS 4–10

4.3.2 SETTLEMENT AUTHORITY 4–10

4.3.3 STATUTORY DUTY TO KEEP CLIENT INFORMED 4–11

4.3.4 THREATS AND MISREPRESENTATIONS IN SETTLEMENT PROCESS 4–11

4.3.5 HOW NEGOTIATIONS WILL BEGIN FROM THE PLAINTIFF'S POINT OF VIEW 4–12

4.3.6 HOW NEGOTIATIONS WILL BEGIN FROM THE DEFENSE POINT OF VIEW 4–12

4.3.7 MAKING THE INITIAL DEMAND 4–14

4.3.8 INVOLVING CLIENT IN THE NEGOTIATION PROCESS 4–15

4.3.9 PREPARATION FOR NEGOTIATING WITH A CLAIMS REPRESENTATIVE 4–16

4.3.10 SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS AFTER SUIT IS FILED 4–17

4.4 TECHNIQUES WHERE SETTLEMENT NOT FORTHCOMING 4–19

4.4.1 PLAINTIFF'S "POLICY LIMITS DEMAND" LETTER 4–19

4.4.2 CCP § 998 STATUTORY OFFER TO COMPROMISE 4–19

4.4.3 SERVING AN OFFER TO COMPROMISE 4–22

4.4.4 FORM AND TIMING OF ACCEPTANCE 4–23

4.4.5 WRITTEN ACCEPTANCE WITH ATTORNEY SIGNATURE REQUIRED 4–24

4.4.6 JUDGMENT ON STATUTORY COMPROMISE 4–25

4.4.7 RIGHT TO § 1033.5 COSTS....4–25

4.4.8 EFFECT OF CONTINUED NEGOTIATIONS OR COUNTEROFFER 4–26

4.4.9 EVIDENTIARY EFFECT OF TERMINATED § 998 OFFER....4–27

4.4.10 PENALTIES TO PLAINTIFF FOR REJECTION OF § 998 OFFER....4–27

4.4.11 PENALTIES FOR DEFENDANT'S FAILURE TO ACCEPT....4–28

4.4.12 MANDATORY APPLICATION....4–28

4.4.13 LIMITED TO PERSONAL INJURY DAMAGES ACTIONS 4–28

4.4.14 COURT COSTS 4–29

4.4.15 EXPERT WITNESS FEE RECOVERY 4–29

4.4.16 "PIECEMEAL SETTLEMENTS" IN MULTIDEFENDANT CASES 4–29

4.4.17 RISK OF "EMPTY CHAIR" ARGUMENT TO JURY 4–30

4.4.18 THE EFFECT OF RELEASING A DEFENDANT 4–30

4.4.19 THE "GOOD FAITH DETERMINATION" MOTION 4–30

4.4.20 EVIDENTIARY SHOWING TO JUSTIFY FAIRNESS OF ALLOCATION....4–31

4.4.21 *TECH-BILT* "REASONABLE RANGE" / "BALLPARK" TEST FOR "GOOD FAITH" SETTLEMENTS 4–31

4.4.22 DISTINGUISH – WHERE "GOOD FAITH" UNCONTESTED 4–33

4.4.23 SETTLOR'S "PROPORTIONATE LIABILITY" INCLUDES INDEMNITY LIABILITY 4–33

4.4.24 "GOOD FAITH" TESTED AS OF TIME OF SETTLEMENT 4–33

4.4.25 FULL "MINI-TRIAL" NOT REQUIRED 4–34

4.4.26 PROCEDURE FOR DETERMINING "GOOD FAITH" 4–34

4.4.27 MINIMUM NOTICE 4–36

4.4.28 PERSONS ENTITLED TO NOTICE 4–37

4.5 SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS RE "STRUCTURED SETTLEMENTS" 4–37

4.5.1 NATURE OF "STRUCTURED SETTLEMENT" 4–37

4.5.2 ADVANTAGES TO DEFENSE – INSURANCE COMPANY SAVINGS 4–39

4.5.3 POTENTIAL DISADVANTAGES AND PITFALLS FOR CLAIMANT 4–39

4.6 COURT-CONDUCTED SETTLEMENT PROCEEDINGS 4–40

4.6.1 MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE (MSC) 4–40

4.6.2 BASIC PURPOSES OF COURT SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES 4–40

4.6.3 MEDIATION 4–41

4.6.4 THE PARALEGAL AND THE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE 4–41

4.6.5 TONE OF STATEMENT 4–45

4.6.6 OTHER FACTS TO KNOW 4–45

4.6.7 WHAT AND WHOM TO BRING TO THE CONFERENCE 4–46

4.6.8 CONSULTATION WITH EXPERTS 4–47

4.6.9 OBTAIN SETTLEMENT AUTHORITY FROM CLIENT/CARRIER 4–48

4.6.10 BECOME FAMILIAR WITH THE JUDGE'S PRACTICES 4–49

4.6.11 APPEARANCES AT MSC 4–49

4.6.12 REMEMBER THE CLIENT DURING THE CONFERENCE 4–50

4.6.13 MEDICARE LIEN 4–51

4.6.14 SETTLEMENTS REQUIRING INSURED'S CONSENT 4–51

4.6.15 TRIAL DATE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE 4–52

4.7 CONCLUDING AND ENFORCING SETTLEMENT 4–52

4.7.1 CONCLUDING SETTLEMENTS 4–52

4.7.2 EXECUTION OF A "RELEASE" 4–53

4.7.3 DRAFTING CONSIDERATIONS 4–53

4.7.4 REVIEW RELEASE LANGUAGE WITH CARE 4–54

4.7.5 LIMITATION – RELEASE OF "KNOWN AND UNKNOWN" CLAIMS 4–55

4.7.6 MUTUAL RELEASE 4–55

4.7.7 ISSUES AFFECTING ENFORCEABILITY 4–55

4.7.8 COMPARE – NO RESCISSION BASED ON ATTORNEY'S PRESSURING CLIENT TO SETTLE 4–56

4.7.9 SETTLEMENTS REACHED AFTER SUIT FILED – REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL 4–56

4.7.10 CONFIDENTIALITY PROVISION 4–56

4.7.11 WHEN CONFIDENTIALITY ENFORCEABLE 4–56

4.7.12 SETTLEMENTS CONCLUDED AT IN-COURT CONFERENCE – PUTTING SETTLEMENT "ON THE RECORD" 4–57

4.7.13 WRITTEN "MEMORIAL" OF ORAL SETTLEMENT 4–58

4.7.14 SPECIAL RULES FOR CONCLUDING SETTLEMENT WHERE CLAIMANT IS A MINOR OR DISABLED ADULT 4–59

4.7.15 DUTY TO NOTIFY COURT AND REQUEST DISMISSAL WHEN SETTLEMENT REACHED 4–63

4.7.16 ENFORCING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS 4–65

4.7.17 OTHER ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES (SETTLEMENTS NOT SUBJECT TO CCP § 664.6) 4–69

4.7.18 PAYMENT OF LIENS 4–70

CHAPTER 5 – CLAIM – PLEADING REQUIREMENTS 5–1

5.1 IN GENERAL 5–1

5.2 WHEN TO SUE – STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS 5–1

5.2.1 GENERAL TWO-YEAR STATUTE FOR INJURY OR DEATH ACTIONS 5–2

5.2.2 TIME RUNS FROM ACCRUAL OF CAUSE OF ACTION 5–2

5.2.3 GENERAL "ACCRUAL" RULE 5–2

5.2.4 "DELAYED DISCOVERY RULE" POSTPONING ACCRUAL 5–2

5.2.5 "KNOWLEDGE" TRIGGERING ACCRUAL 5–3

5.2.6 MEDICAL MALPRACTICE ACTIONS 5–3

5.2.7 CHILDHOOD SEXUAL ABUSE 5–3

5.2.8 PLEADING AND PROOF LIMITATIONS 5–4

5.2.9 DELAYED ACCRUAL BASED ON FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT OF FACTS 5–4

5.2.10 COMPUTING TIME 5–5

5.2.11 COMPARE – THREE-YEAR LIMITATIONS PERIOD FOR PROPERTY DAMAGE 5–5

5.2.12 GENERAL TOLLING PROVISIONS 5–5

5.2.12.1 ABSENCE OF DEFENDANT 5–5

5.2.13 SPECIAL STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS 5–5

5.2.13.1 MEDICAL MALPRACTICE ACTIONS 5–6

5.2.13.2 THREE-YEAR STATUTE COMMENCES UPON MANIFESTATION OF “INJURY” 5–6

5.2.13.3 PLAINTIFF’S AWARENESS OF INJURY NOT ESSENTIAL 5–6

5.2.13.4 EXCEPTION – CLAIMS SUBJECT TO CONTRACT ARBITRATION 5–7

5.2.14 WAIVER OF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS 5–7

5.2.15 DEFENDANT’S BURDEN ON DEMURRER 5–7

5.3 WHO CAN SUE – REAL PARTY IN INTEREST REQUIREMENT 5–8

5.3.1 EXCEPTION – CLAIMS BASED ON SUBROGATION 5–8

5.3.2 “CAPACITY” LIMITATIONS RE WHO CAN SUE OR DEFEND 5–8

5.3.2.1 MINORS AND INCOMPETENTS 5–8

5.3.2.1.1 APPOINTMENT OF GUARDIAN 5–8

5.3.2.2 ESTATES 5–10

5.4 WHO MUST SUE AND BE SUED – PARTY JOINDER 5–10

5.4.1 COMPULSORY JOINDER 5–10

5.4.1.1 JOINDER ORDERED IF FEASIBLE 5–11

5.4.2 PERMISSIVE JOINDER 5–11

5.4.2.1 PERSONS CLAIMING INTEREST IN CONTROVERSY 5–11

5.4.2.2 PERSONS INVOLVED IN TRANSACTION SUED UPON 5–11

5.4.2.2.1 EXAMPLES 5–11

5.4.2.3 UNRELATED CLAIMS MAY BE JOINED 5–12

5.5 INTERVENTION 5–12

5.5.1 INTERVENTION AS OF RIGHT 5–12

5.5.1.1 EXAMPLES 5–13

5.5.1.2 CONTRAST – NONPARTY'S INTEREST ADEQUATELY REPRESENTED 5–14

5.5.2 PERMISSIVE INTERVENTION 5–14

5.5.2.1 REQUIREMENTS 5–14

5.5.2.2 EXAMPLE – INSURANCE CARRIER SUBROGEE MAY INTERVENE 5–14

5.5.2.3 COMPARE – NO INTERVENTION BY INSURER IF COVERAGE DISPUTED 5–15

5.5.3 EFFECT OF INTERVENTION 5–15

5.5.3.1 INTERVENOR HAS SAME RIGHTS AS ANY PARTY 5–15

5.5.3.2 "RELATION BACK" FOR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS PURPOSES 5–15

5.6 SUING GOVERNMENT ENTITIES – FORMAL CLAIM PREREQUISITE UNDER GOVERNMENT CLAIMS ACT 5–17

5.6.1 COMPLAINT SUBJECT TO GENERAL DEMURRER FOR NONCOMPLIANCE 5–17

5.6.2 FORM AND CONTENT OF CLAIM 5–18

5.6.3 PRESENTATION OF CLAIM: TIME FOR PRESENTMENT – SIX-MONTH LIMIT FOR PERSONAL INJURY OR PROPERTY DAMAGE 5–20

CHAPTER 6 – GOVERNMENT CLAIMS 6–1

6.1 IN GENERAL 6–1

6.1.1 CLAIMS AGAINST STATE 6–1

6.1.2 CLAIMS AGAINST LOCAL ENTITY.....6–1

6.1.3 PRESENTMENT TO SUBORDINATE EMPLOYEE NOT SUFFICIENT.....6–2

6.1.4 TIME LIMITS.....6–3

6.1.5 OTHER CONSIDERATIONS 6–3

6.2 GOVERNMENT RESPONSE TO CLAIM.....6–4

6.2.1 APPROVAL OF CLAIM.....6–4

6.2.2 NOTICE OF DEFECTIVE CLAIM.....6–4

6.2.3 EXPRESS REJECTION OF CLAIM 6–4

6.2.4 REEXAMINATION OF REJECTED CLAIM.....6–5

6.2.5 DEEMED REJECTION BY FAILURE TO ACT WITHIN 45 DAYS.....6–5

6.2.5.1 EXCEPTION – EXTENSION BY STIPULATION 6–5

6.2.6 MANNER OF DELIVERY.....6–5

6.2.6.1 PROOF OF DELIVERY BY MAIL 6–5

6.2.7 TWO-YEAR LIMITATIONS PERIOD IF REJECTION NOTICE DEFICIENT 6–6

6.2.8 COMPARE – NO EFFECT ON ACCRUAL OR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.....6–6

6.3 FILING SUIT AFTER REJECTION OF CLAIM – SIX-MONTH TIME LIMIT 6–6

6.3.1 SUIT MUST BE BASED ON CLAIM FILED 6–7

6.3.2 MEASURING SIX-MONTH PERIOD 6–7

6.3.2.1 NO EXTENSION FOR MAIL SERVICE 6–7

6.3.3 STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS EXTENDED BY CLAIM FILING PROCEDURES 6–8

6.3.4 SIX-MONTH TIME LIMIT SUPERSEDES CCP § 335.1 TWO-YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS 6–8

CHAPTER 7 – DISCOVERY 7–1

7.1 INTRODUCTION 7–1

7.2 DISCOVERY PLAN 7–1

7.2.1 OVERVIEW OF SELECTED DISCOVERY PROCEDURES 7–2

7.2.1.1 INITIAL DISCLOSURES 7–2

7.2.2 WHAT IS DISCOVERABLE 7–4

7.2.2.1 “RELEVANCY” TEST APPLIED 7–6

7.2.2.1.1 TANGIBLE EVIDENCE 7–6

7.2.2.1.2 EXPERT WITNESSES 7–7

7.2.2.1.3 OTHER SIMILAR CLAIMS 7–7

7.2.2.1.4 DEFENDANT'S INSURANCE COVERAGE 7–8

7.2.2.1.5 PLAINTIFF’S PHYSICAL/MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS RELATED TO INJURY IN CONTROVERSY DISCOVERABLE 7–9

7.2.2.1.6 RECORDS OF “UNRELATED” CONDITIONS DISCOVERABLE RE *CAUSE* OF ACCIDENT 7–9

7.2.2.1.7 SETTLEMENT OFFERS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS 7–9

7.2.2.2 PRIVILEGE AND STATUTORY "CONFIDENTIALITY" LIMITATIONS ON DISCOVERY 7–10

7.2.2.2.1 APPLICABLE PRIVILEGES, GENERALLY..... 7–10

7.2.3 PROCEDURE TO EFFECT PRIVILEGE PROTECTION.....7–11

7.2.3.1 PRIVILEGES COMMON TO PERSONAL INJURY LITIGATION.....7–12

7.2.4 PHYSICAL OR MENTAL EXAMINATIONS 7–24

7.2.4.1 PHYSICAL EXAMINATION BY DEMAND IN PERSONAL INJURY CASES.....7–24

7.2.4.2 EXAMINATION UPON COURT ORDER 7–27

7.2.4.3 EXCHANGE OF MEDICAL REPORTS.....7–28

7.2.5 EXPERTS AND EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE 7–29

7.2.5.1 WHAT IS AN EXPERT?.....7–29

7.2.5.2 DEMAND FOR EXCHANGE OF EXPERTS 7–29

7.2.5.3 EXCHANGE OF EXPERT INFORMATION.....7–30

CHAPTER 8 – JUDICIAL ARBITRATION; UNINSURED MOTORIST ARBITRATION.....8–1

8.1 INTRODUCTION.....8–1

8.2 THE CALIFORNIA JUDICIAL ARBITRATION LAW.....8–1

8.2.1 RIGHT TO TRIAL DE NOVO AFTER ARBITRATION.....8–2

8.2.2 MEDIATION 8–2

8.2.2.1 MEDIATION PROGRAM.....8–2

8.2.2.2 SELECTION OF MEDIATOR.....8–3

8.2.2.3 IMPACT OF MEDIATION ON DISCOVERY PROCEEDINGS 8–4

8.2.2.4 MEDIATION STATEMENTS 8–4

8.2.2.5 PARTIES' AND ATTORNEYS' ATTENDANCE REQUIRED 8–5

8.2.2.6 DEADLINE FOR COMPLETION 8–5

8.2.2.7 CONFIDENTIALITY 8–5

8.2.2.8 "DERIVATIVE" MATERIALS PROTECTED 8–6

8.2.2.9 EXCEPTIONS 8–7

8.2.3 TACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS – PROS AND CONS OF JUDICIAL ARBITRATION 8–7

8.2.3.1 PLAINTIFF'S CONSIDERATION 8–7

8.2.3.2 COMPARE – POTENTIAL RISKS 8–8

8.2.3.3 DEFENSE CONSIDERATIONS 8–9

8.2.4 ACTIONS SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL ARBITRATION 8–9

8.2.4.1 CASES EXEMPT FROM ARBITRATION 8–10

8.2.4.2 CASES SUBJECT TO MANDATORY TRIAL PREFERENCE 8–10

8.2.4.3 TACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS – ELECTION TO ARBITRATE V. STIPULATION TO ARBITRATE V. MANDATED ARBITRATION 8–11

8.2.4.4 PROS AND CONS OF ELECTION TO ARBITRATE 8–11

8.2.4.5 MANDATORY (COURT-ORDERED) ARBITRATION 8–12

8.2.5 SCREENING CASES FOR JUDICIAL ARBITRATION 8–13

8.2.5.1 DETERMINATION WHETHER "AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY" WITHIN $50,000 8–13

8.2.5.2 NO EFFECT ON AMOUNT OF RECOVERY 8–13

8.2.5.3 DETERMINATION WHETHER EQUITABLE RELIEF CLAIM EXEMPTS CASE FROM ARBITRATION 8–13

8.2.5.4 SELECTION OF ARBITRATOR 8–13

8.2.5.4.1 BY STIPULATION 8–14

8.2.5.4.2 BY LOCAL PROCEDURE 8–14

8.2.5.4.3 BY COURT APPOINTMENT – ”RANDOM SELECTION” 8–14

8.2.5.5 PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE 8–15

8.2.5.6 PERMITTED EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS 8–15

8.2.6 PREPARING FOR ARBITRATION – NECESSITY FOR PREPARATION 8–16

8.2.6.1 AFTER MANDATED ARBITRATION, MOST FURTHER DISCOVERY MAY BE PRECLUDED 8–16

8.2.6.2 COSTS AND SANCTIONS RISKS 8–17

8.2.6.3 SCOPE OF PREPARATION 8–17

8.2.7 ARBITRATION HEARING 8–19

8.2.7.1 HEARING TO BE COMPLETED WITHIN 90 DAYS 8–20

8.2.7.2 CONDUCT OF HEARING 8–20

8.2.7.3 SPECIAL EVIDENTIARY RULES APPLY 8–20

8.2.7.4 CLOSING ARGUMENTS 8–23

8.2.8 ARBITRATION AWARD AND JUDGMENT 8–23

8.2.8.1 NOTICE TO PARTIES 8–23

8.2.8.2 JUDGMENT ON AWARD 8–23

8.2.9 TRIAL DE NOVO AFTER ARBITRATION AWARD 8–24

8.2.9.1 30-DAY JURISDICTIONAL DEADLINE FOR REQUESTING TRIAL DE NOVO 8–24

8.2.9.2 FORM OF REQUEST 8–25

8.2.9.3 POTENTIAL MONETARY CONSEQUENCES FOR REQUESTING TRIAL DE NOVO 8–25

8.2.9.4 CERTAIN LIMITATIONS ON COSTS 8–26

8.2.10 TRIAL SETTING AND TRIAL 8–26

8.3 ARBITRATION OF UNINSURED MOTORIST CLAIMS 8–27

8.3.1 UNINSURED MOTORIST LAW – IN GENERAL 8–27

8.3.2 COVERAGE RULES 8–27

8.3.2.1 DISTINGUISH – HIGHER NEGOTIATED UM COVERAGE 8–28

8.3.2.2 STATUTORY EXCLUSIONS FROM COVERAGE 8–28

8.3.2.3 COVERAGE MAY BE WAIVED OR MODIFIED BY WRITTEN AGREEMENT 8–28

8.3.2.4 AUTOMATIC MINIMUM COVERAGE ABSENT WRITTEN WAIVER 8–29

8.3.2.5 VEHICLE OWNER OR OPERATOR "UNKNOWN" – PHYSICAL CONTACT PREREQUISITE 8–29

8.3.2.6 PERSONS INSURED 8–29

8.3.3 WHAT MAKES THE INSURANCE COMPANY LIABLE 8–29

8.3.4 NO PUNITIVE DAMAGES 8–30

8.3.5 TWO-YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS 8–30

8.3.5.1 HOW STATUTE TOLLED 8–30

8.3.6 SCOPE OF ARBITRABLE ISSUES 8–31

8.3.7 INITIATING ARBITRATION 8–32

8.3.7.1 FORM OF ARBITRATION 8–32

8.3.8 PETITION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION OR APPOINT ARBITRATOR 8–33

8.3.8.1 FOUR-YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS 8–33

8.3.9 ARBITRATION PREPARATION AND DISCOVERY 8–34

8.3.9.1 COURT JURISDICTION TO RESOLVE DISCOVERY DISPUTES 8–34

8.3.10 ARBITRATION HEARING 8–35

8.3.11 POSTHEARING PROCEDURES 8–35

8.3.11.1 EFFECT OF AWARD 8–36

8.3.11.2 CONFIRMING AND CORRECTING AN AWARD 8–38

CHAPTER 9 – TRIAL 9–1

9.1 INTRODUCTION 9–1

9.2 CASE MANAGEMENT 9–1

9.2.1 EXCEPTED CASES 9–5

9.2.2 TIME ESTIMATES, COMMITMENTS, SCHEDULING ISSUES 9–5

9.3 TRIAL SETTING PREFERENCE 9–6

9.4 NOTICE OF TRIAL 9–7

9.5 MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL 9–7

9.6 FINAL DISCOVERY 9–9

9.7 PRETRIAL MOTIONS 9–10

9.8 EVIDENCE 9–11

9.9 WITNESSES AND RECORDS NEEDED AT TRIAL 9–11

9.10 EXHIBITS 9–11

9.11 DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE 9–12
9.12 NOTEBOOK FOR FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE 9–13
9.13 WITNESS FOLDER 9–14
9.14 STATEWIDE AND LOCAL RULES OF COURT 9–14
9.15 EXPEDITED JURY TRIALS ACT 9–15
9.16 DEMANDING JURY TRIAL 9–20
9.17 WHAT CONSTITUTES JURY TRIAL 9–22
9.17.1 "JURY" DEFINED 9–22
9.17.2 STIPULATION TO FEWER THAN 12 9–22
9.17.2.1 STIPULATION IN CHAMBERS 9–22
9.17.2.2 QUESTIONABLE NEED FOR CLIENT CONSENT 9–22
9.18 THE FUNCTION OF A JURY – TRIER OF FACT 9–23
9.19 IMPORTANCE OF DEVELOPING A "CASE THEME" 9–23
9.20 GATHERING EVIDENCE 9–24
9.21 COMPELLING ATTENDANCE OF WITNESSES 9–26
9.22 OBTAINING DOCUMENTS AND RECORDS 9–27
9.23 RETAINING EXPERT WITNESSES 9–35
9.24 PREPARING WITNESSES TO TESTIFY 9–37
9.24.1 HOSTILE OR RELUCTANT WITNESSES 9–41
9.24.2 PREPARING EXPERTS 9–41
9.24.3 WITNESS FOLDER 9–43
9.25 TRIAL NOTEBOOK 9–43
9.26 TRIAL BRIEF 9–45
9.27 TRIAL BRIEFCASE 9–46
9.28 REMOTE OR IN-PERSON TRIAL 9–47

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

INDEX