

Index

ACCOMPLICES

- Competence and compellability of, § 20:13
- Corroboration warning, § 34:9, § 34:18

ACCUSED

- Admissions by as hearsay exception, see HEARSAY RULE
- Appeals by
 - see APPEALS
- Character of
 - see CHARACTER EVIDENCE
- Compellability of
 - see COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY; SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST
- Competence of
 - see COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY
- Confessions by
 - see CONFESSIONS
- Court interpreter, right to
 - see COURT INTERPRETERS
- Cross-examination of
 - see CROSS-EXAMINATION
- Evidential burden on, § 27:9 to § 27:11
- Failure to testify
 - see ADVERSE INFERENCES
- Innocence
 - see INNOCENCE
- Persuasive burden on, § 28:24 to § 28:33
- Previous convictions of
 - see PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS
- Prior consistent statements by
 - see PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS
- Statements to sureties, § 35:22

ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE

- See also EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE
- Bail hearings, at, § 35:1, § 35:16, § 35:20 to § 35:27
- Circumstantial evidence
 - see CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE

—Cont'd

- Confession
 - see CONFESSIONS
- Confidential communications
 - see PRIVILEGE
- Documentary evidence
 - see DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE
- Exculpatory statements, § 11:26
- Expert opinion evidence
 - see EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE
- Lay witness opinion evidence, § 12:2
- Relevance and, § 4:7
- Supreme Court of Canada (SCC)
 - approach to
 - see SUPREME COURT OF CANADA, evidentiary reforms
- Testimony in prior proceedings, § 7:59 to § 7:64

ADMISSIONS

- By accused
 - see HEARSAY RULE
- Formal
 - see FORMAL AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS
- Informal
 - see FORMAL AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS
- Remand, § 35:1

ADVERSE INFERENCES

- Accused's failure to act, from, § 33:1
- Accused's failure to testify, from, § 33:1
- Accused' silence, from, § 33:1
- Alibi defence, re
 - failure to testify, § 33:1
 - late disclosure, § 33:1
- Alternatives to
 - generally, § 33:7 to § 33:10
 - alternative language for instructions, § 33:9
 - Crown's case, § 33:10
 - defence case, § 33:9
 - instruction as last resort, § 33:9

ADVERSE INFERENCES—Cont'd

- Alternatives to—Cont'd
 - issue preclusion, § 33:8
 - reasonable doubt instruction, § 33:10
 - removing defence from jury, § 33:9
- Best evidence principle and, § 33:7 to § 33:10
- Brown v. Dunn, rule in, § 33:4
- Canada Evidence Act, s. 4(6) prohibition, § 33:1
- Comments respecting
 - by Crown and trial judge, § 33:1, § 33:9
- Confession, failure to record or investigate, from, § 33:2, § 33:10
- Criminal Code, s. 258(3), under, § 33:1
- Dangers of, § 33:8
- Defence witnesses, § 33:5
- Exculpatory evidence, § 33:6
- Failure to confront witness with contradictory evidence, § 33:4, § 33:9
- Failure to preserve or investigate evidence, from
 - Charter s. 7 rights and, § 33:2
 - confession, § 33:2
 - electronic evidence, history of, § 33:7 to § 33:10
- Inadequate investigation, and, § 33:9, § 33:10
- Jury instructions re
 - failure to confront witness, § 33:4, § 33:9
 - failure to preserve or investigate evidence, § 33:2
 - missing witness, § 33:3, § 33:9
 - reasonable doubt, § 33:10
 - “special” instruction, § 33:4, § 33:9
- Limited use of
 - to assess credibility, § 33:1, § 33:9
- Lyttle case, § 21:77, § 33:4, § 33:7 to § 33:10
 - application to cross-examination of defence witness, § 21:77
 - “good faith” requirement, § 21:77, § 33:7 to § 33:10
- Mandatory inference, § 33:7 to § 33:10
- Mental disorder defence, re
 - refusal to be examined, § 33:1
- Nature of, § 33:7 to § 33:10
- Permissive, inference, § 33:3, § 33:7 to § 33:10

ADVERSE INFERENCES—Cont'd

- Prohibited
 - generally, § 33:1
 - Cleghorn exception, § 33:1
 - Marcoux exception, § 33:1
 - Noble exception, § 33:1, § 33:9
 - Sweeney exception, § 33:1
- Purpose of, § 33:7 to § 33:10
- Reverse onus danger, § 33:8
- Right to silence and, § 33:1
- Sexual assault cases
 - delayed disclosure, § 33:6

ADVERSE WITNESS

- Generally, § 21:46 to § 21:51

ALIBI

- Disbelief of
 - see CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE
- Disproof of, § 21:166
- Failure of accused to give proper notice of, § 33:1
- Failure of accused to testify in support of, § 33:1

APPEALS

- Conviction appeals, § 37:3
- Fresh evidence, admission of
 - fact-based applications, § 37:4
 - admissibility, § 37:2, § 37:11
 - cogency requirement, § 37:2, § 37:5, § 37:11
 - credibility, § 37:5
 - impact on verdict, § 37:5
 - relevance, § 37:5
 - due diligence requirement, § 37:2, § 37:6, § 37:11
- fairness-based applications, § 37:7
- ineffective assistance of counsel, § 37:8
- jury deliberations, § 37:9
- jurisdiction-based fresh evidence applications, § 37:2, § 37:10
- Palmer test, § 37:2
- Role of modern appellate court, § 37:1
- Sentence appeals, § 37:11

ARREST

- Confessions on
 - see CONFESSIONS

AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDINGS

- See REAL EVIDENCE

BAIL HEARINGS

- Generally, § 35:1
- Admissibility of evidence issues, § 35:1
- Charter bail rights s. 11(e), § 35:1, § 35:5
- Evidence on review, § 35:30
- Evidentiary requirements for release (CC s. 518(1)), § 35:3 to § 35:19
 - constitutional requirements, § 35:5
 - deemed admissible evidence, § 35:16
 - “evidence,” nature of (s. 518(1)(e)), § 35:4
 - jurisdictional limits of inquiry (s. 518(1)(a)), § 35:6
 - “other relevant evidence,” § 35:7
 - bad character evidence, § 35:7
 - Gladue principles, § 35:7
 - plan for release, evidence of, § 35:7
 - “public confidence,” § 35:7
 - public fear and concern, evidence of, § 35:7
 - s. 515(10)(c) analysis, importance of four factors, § 35:7
 - spousal or partner violence, § 35:7
- pre-trial delay, § 35:17
 - nature of evidentiary inquiry contemplated by s. 525, § 35:17
- pre-trial detention conditions, § 35:15
- sworn evidence, § 35:4
- wiretap evidence (CC s. 518(d.2)), § 35:19
- Inadmissible evidence, § 35:20 to § 35:27
 - circumstances of offence (CC s. 515(1)(b)), § 35:21
 - prior acquittals, § 35:27
 - statements made by accused to sureties, § 35:22
 - Charter s. 7 principle against self-incrimination, § 35:22
 - irrelevance, collateral fact issues and policy concerns, § 35:22
 - questioning of surety as unfair use of court process, § 35:22
- Onus of proof, § 35:2
- Reasons, duty to give, § 35:28, § 35:29
 - bail hearing, reasons for judgment in, § 35:29
 - general principles, § 35:28
- Remand admissions, § 35:1
- Standard of proof, § 35:2

BANKING RECORDS

- Generally, § 24:22, § 24:23

BURDEN OF PROOF

- See EVIDENTIAL BURDEN; PERSUASIVE BURDEN

BUSINESS RECORDS

- Generally, § 24:18 to § 24:21

CHARACTER EVIDENCE

- Generally, § 9:1 to § 9:5
- Bad character
 - see character; exclusionary rule, exceptions to; statutory exceptions to exclusionary rule
- Character
 - defined, § 9:6
 - habit vs, § 9:9
 - relevance of, § 9:7
 - subjective, assessment of as, § 9:8
- Complainant in sexual offences
 - see SEXUAL OFFENCES
- Credibility, relevance to, § 9:2
- Credibility and character, § 9:11 to § 9:34
- Credibility vs. conduct, § 9:8
- Crown, limitation on, § 9:3
- Defined, § 9:9
- Exclusionary rule, exceptions to, see also statutory exceptions to exclusionary rule
 - accused puts character in issue, § 9:22
 - character of other suspects, § 9:22
 - conduct of defence, § 9:22
 - Scopelletti defences, § 9:22
 - administration of justice issue, § 9:13
 - conduct, proof of, § 9:10
 - credibility, § 9:11 to § 9:34
 - drug offences, § 9:13
 - inadequate police investigations, allegations re, § 9:13
 - incidental to proper cross-examination, § 9:25
 - lifestyle establishing involvement/means/opportunity, § 9:13
 - motive, and evidence of intent, § 9:13
 - narrative, context and background, § 9:13
 - probative vs. prejudicial value, § 9:12 to § 9:25
 - prosecution’s theory of liability, direct relevance, § 9:13

CHARACTER EVIDENCE—Cont'd

- Exclusionary rule, exceptions to, see also statutory exceptions to exclusionary rule—Cont'd
 - relevance to issue, § 9:13
 - state of mind/conduct of witness, § 9:13
- Good character of accused, § 9:35 to § 9:46
 - admissibility rules, § 9:36
 - expert opinion evidence, § 9:42
 - relevance, § 9:43
 - limitation of, § 9:41
 - proof, manner of, § 9:39
 - accused, testimony of, § 9:40
 - extrinsic proof, § 9:39
 - reputation rule, § 9:39
 - putting character in issue, § 9:36
 - examples, § 9:38
 - rebuttal by prosecution, § 9:44
 - cross-examination by, § 9:45
 - extrinsic evidence, § 9:46
 - timing and mode, § 9:37
 - improper cross-examination, examples of, § 9:11 to § 9:34
 - issue in question, § 9:2
 - limiting and use jury instructions, § 9:55 to § 9:61
 - bad character of accused, § 9:56
 - character re conduct, § 9:60
 - co-accused, § 9:61
 - third party suspects, § 9:60
 - criminal record of accused, § 9:58
 - good character of accused, § 9:59
 - others, character of, § 9:47 to § 9:54
 - co-accused, § 9:54
 - credibility, to assess/attack, § 9:48
 - peaceable disposition, for establishing, § 9:52
 - relevant conduct, for establishing, § 9:50
 - suspects, other, § 9:53
 - veracity, reputation for, § 9:49
 - violent disposition, for establishing, § 9:51
 - proof, methods of, § 9:4
 - reform re, § 9:1 to § 9:5
 - Rowton rule, § 9:4

CHARACTER EVIDENCE—Cont'd

- Good character of accused, § 9:35 to § 9:46—Cont'd
 - timing and mode, § 9:37—Cont'd
 - limiting and use jury instructions, § 9:55 to § 9:61—Cont'd
 - statutory exceptions to exclusionary rule, § 9:26 to § 9:34
 - Canada Evidence Act, s. 12, § 9:29
 - CC s. 666, § 9:27
 - Corbett applications, § 9:29
 - examples, successes and failures, § 9:29
 - factors re weight of prejudicial effect, § 9:29
 - scope of examination permitted, § 9:27
 - scope of provision, § 9:29
 - target of, § 9:5
 - types of, § 9:4
- Sentencing considerations, § 36:4

CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

- Bail rights, § 35:1, § 35:5
- Confessions and
 - see CONFESSIONS
- Court interpreter, right to, § 22:4.
- Effect on law of evidence, § 2:3
- Exclusion of evidence under s 24. see EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE
- Fair trial, right to
 - see TRIAL FAIRNESS
- Non-compellability of accused, § 15:2
- Presumptions and
 - see PRESUMPTIONS
- Privilege and, § 13:7, § 13:16, § 13:37
- Rights and values, § 5:34
- Right to privacy
 - see PRIVACY, RIGHT TO
- Right to silence under
 - see SILENCE, RIGHT TO
- Self-incrimination
 - see SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST

CHILD

- Collateral fact rule, credibility prohibition, § 6:7
- Competence of, § 20:6
- Corroboration warning, § 34:5, § 34:21

CHILD—Cont'd

Videotaped statements of, § 7:65

CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

Generally, § 31:1, § 31:35

Admissibility stage, § 31:27

After-the-fact conduct, § 31:36

Appeals, § 31:34

Association, § 31:37

Burden(s) of proof, § 31:20

Charge to jury —

generally, §§ 31:3 to § 31:33

assistance, more, case for, § 31:33

Bayes theorem, re, § 31:11

discretion, judicial, § 31:31

sentencing, § 31:33.50

specimen instructions, § 31:32

Common sense approach, § 31:16

Consciousness of guilt, § 31:36

Consciousness of innocence, § 31:36

Dangers —

competing inferences not considered,
§ 31:26

competing interpretations not
considered, § 31:26

a contextual assessment, § 31:24

inference not grounded in evidence,
§ 31:23

inference not reasonable, § 31:25

primary facts are wrong, § 31:22

Defining, § 31:3

Deliberation process, § 31:20

Demeanour evidence, § 31:38

Direct evidence, distinguished from,
§ 31:2

Discreditable conduct, other, evidence of,
§ 31:42

DNA evidence —

Adams litigation, § 31:11

after-the-fact conduct, § 31:36

inference vs. speculation, § 31:17

R. v. T, § 31:12

trace evidence, § 31:45

Documents, possession of, § 31:43

Evaluation —

cumulative, § 31:19

individual fact, § 31:19, § 31:20

recent possession, evidence of, § 31:43

Experiential approach, § 31:16

Habit evidence, § 31:39

CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

—Cont'd

Hodge's Case —

American position, § 31:6

Australian position, § 31:6

British position, § 31:6

Cooper decision, § 31:6

Griffin and Harris decision, § 31:6

Lewin report, § 31:4

rule in, § 31:5

summary of case, § 31:4

survival of, § 31:6

Wills report, § 31:4

Ineligible, § 31:47

Inference —

competing, § 31:26

drawing, process of, § 31:6, § 31:17,
§ 31:18 to § 31:21

analogies for, § 31:18 to § 31:21

burden(s) of proof, § 31:20

cumulative evaluation preferred,
§ 31:19

Morin case, § 31:20

“proven/proved facts,” § 31:20

strength of, § 31:21

ungrounded, § 31:23

unreasonable, § 31:25

vs. speculation, § 31:17

Inferential chain, § 31:21

Intermediate facts, § 31:20

Knowledge, specialized —

as proof of identity, § 31:46

Logic and, § 31:16

Mathematical approaches —

generally, § 31:8

Adams litigation, § 31:11

alternatives to, § 31:13

Bayes theorem, § 31:8

Cella case, § 31:10

Collins case, § 31:8

likelihood ratios, § 31:12

other examples, § 31:14

R. v. Nicholson, § 31:13

R. v. T, § 31:12

Means evidence, § 31:46

Motive evidence, § 31:40

Opportunity evidence, § 31:41

Physical characteristic

as proof of identity, § 31:46

CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

—Cont'd

- Possession, to prove, § 31:43
 - constructive possession, § 31:43
 - permissive presumption, § 31:43
 - weight of evidence, § 31:43
- Post-offence conduct, § 31:20, § 31:36
- Preliminary inquiry committal, § 31:28
- Presumption of innocence and, § 31:5, § 31:20, § 31:36, § 31:40
- “Proven/proved facts,” § 31:20
- Recent possession, evidence of, § 31:43
- Skill, specialized
 - as proof of identity, § 31:46
- Speculation, mere, § 31:17
- Standard of proof
 - Australian jurisprudence, § 31:20
 - Morin case, § 31:20
 - White case, § 31:20
- Tools of the trade, § 31:44
- Trace evidence, § 31:45
- Translations, § 31:20
- Verdict and —
 - directed verdict, § 31:28
 - final, arriving at, § 31:29
- Voice identification evidence, § 31:20, § 32:30 to § 32:36

CO-ACCUSED

- Character of, § 9:61
- Competence and compellability of, § 15:3, § 20:13
- Joint trial, cross-examination of, § 21:140 to § 21:142
- Similar acts of, § 10:71

CO-CONSPIRATOR

See HEARSAY RULE

COLLATERAL FACT RULE

- Generally, § 6:1
- Case-splitting prohibition and, § 6:6
 - fair trial issues and, § 6:6
 - test re, § 6:6
- “Collateral fact,” meaning of, § 6:1
- Credibility prohibition and, § 6:7
 - child sexual assault cases, in, § 6:7
 - credibility as ultimate collateral issue, § 6:7
- Cross-examination and, § 6:4
 - scope of, § 6:4
- Curative proviso, application of, § 6:20

COLLATERAL FACT RULE—Cont'd

- Essential issue and contradictory evidence, § 6:5
- Exceptions to, § 6:8
 - generally, § 6:8
 - American, § 6:18
 - bias, § 6:9
 - corruption, § 6:9
 - general reputation for untruthfulness, § 6:12
 - good character evidence of accused, rebuttal of, § 6:16
 - mental disorder, medical evidence re, § 6:13
 - partiality, § 6:9
 - physical deficit of witness, medical evidence re, § 6:14
 - prior conviction, proof of, § 6:10
 - prior inconsistent statement, proof of, § 6:11
 - proven pattern of false allegations, § 6:15
 - Wigmore list re, § 6:17
 - witness interest, § 6:9
- Flexible approach to, § 6:21
- Judge's discretion re, § 6:3
 - appellate deference to, § 6:3
- Liberal approach to rule, § 6:21
- Prosecution rebuttal and, § 6:6
- Surrebuttal, role of, § 6:19
- Traditional rule, § 6:2
 - application of, § 6:2
 - exclusionary rule, as, § 6:2
 - policy rationale, § 6:2

COMMISSION EVIDENCE

Generally, § 7:66 to § 7:71

COMPELLABILITY

See COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

- See also SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST; SILENCE, RIGHT TO
- Compellability, § 20:12 to § 20:21
 - generally, § 20:12 to § 20:21
 - accused, of, § 20:13
 - complainants, of, § 20:17
 - corporate officers, § 20:18

COMPETENCE AND

COMPELLABILITY—Cont'd

- Compellability, § 20:12 to § 20:21
 - Cont'd
 - defined, § 20:1
 - history of, § 20:2
 - judges, of, § 20:19
 - jurors, of, § 20:20
 - lawyers, of, § 20:21
 - spouses, of, § 20:14 to § 20:16
 - marital communications, § 20:16
 - witness for defence, as, § 20:14
 - witness for prosecution, as, § 20:15
 - CEA, s. 4(2), § 20:15
- Competence, § 20:3 to § 20:11
 - accused, of, § 20:3
 - children and witnesses under 14, of, § 20:6
 - presumption of competence, § 20:6
 - defined, § 20:1
 - history of, § 20:2
 - mental incapacity, § 20:7 to § 20:11
 - nature and scope of inquiry, § 20:8
 - ability to communicate evidence, § 20:10
 - promise to tell truth, § 20:11
 - relevance of capacity test of person under 14, § 20:6
 - understanding oath/affirmation, § 20:9
 - procedure for challenge, § 20:7
 - spouses, of, § 20:4, § 20:5
 - CEA s. 4(1) exception: witness for defence, § 20:5
 - CEA ss. 4(2) exception: witness for prosecution, § 20:5
 - general rule re, § 20:4
 - statutory exceptions re, § 20:5
- Oath, § 20:22
- Solemn affirmation, § 20:23

COMPLAINANT

- Character evidence and
 - see CHARACTER EVIDENCE
- Compellability of, § 20:17
- Medical, psychiatric and counselling communications, § 13:40
- Therapeutic records, production of
 - see SEXUAL OFFENCES, therapeutic records (CC. s. 278.2)

COMPUTER EVIDENCE

Generally, § 24:24 to § 24:26

CONFESSIONS

- Aboriginal interrogations, § 8:29
- Common law voluntary confessions rule, § 8:1 to § 8:7
 - Charter of Rights —
 - applications, use on, § 8:7
 - practical impact of, § 8:5
 - elements of, § 8:2
 - rationale for, § 8:3
 - rule in St. Lawrence, § 8:4
- Expert evidence and false confessions, § 8:31
- Jury instructions, § 8:32
 - Hodgson statements, § 8:32
 - mixed statements, § 8:32
- Mr. Big, § 8:27
- Person in authority, § 8:8 to § 8:11
 - legal test for, § 8:8
 - examples, § 8:10
 - onus, § 8:9
 - voir dire requirement, § 8:11
- Record, sufficiency of, § 8:12, § 8:13
 - audiotaping, § 8:13
 - videotaping, § 8:13
 - weight or admissibility, § 8:12
- Reid technique of interrogation, § 8:30
- Voluntariness, § 8:14 to § 8:26
 - derived confessions rule, § 8:26
 - irrelevant factors, § 8:24
 - consular access, § 8:24
 - truth of statement, § 8:24
 - principled approach, § 8:14
 - relevant factors, § 8:15
 - operating mind, § 8:21
 - oppression, § 8:16
 - deprivation, § 8:16
 - police trickery, § 8:16
 - polygraph use, § 8:16
 - physical harm, § 8:15
 - police caution and right to silence, § 8:23
 - police trickery, § 8:22
 - promises, § 8:15
 - threats, § 8:15
 - Young persons, § 8:28

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATIONS

See PRIVILEGE

CONFIRMATION

See CORROBORATION

CONVICTIONS

See PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS

CORROBORATION

Accomplices, § 34:9, § 34:18

Appeals, § 34:39 to § 34:42

basis of, § 34:39

curative proviso of Code, § 34:42

defence failure to request warning,
§ 34:41

deference to trial judge, scope of,
§ 34:40

Bias, § 34:20

CC s. 274 requirements, § 34:35

Child witnesses, § 34:5, § 34:21

Common law, at, see also modern rule,
issues re

accomplices, modern rule re, § 34:9

Baskerville rule, § 34:8

history of, § 34:2

18th century rule re, § 1:5

Vetrovec, effect of, § 34:9

Contradictory statements, § 34:22

Criminal history, § 34:23

Crown, favourable witness relationship
with, § 34:25

Defence evidence, need to corroborate,
§ 34:33

Disreputable/unsavoury witnesses,
§ 34:24

General rule re, § 34:1

Immunity agreements, § 34:25

Improper bolstering, § 34:34

Indicia of unreliability, list of, § 34:26

Jailhouse informants, § 34:26

Jury instructions, § 34:36 to § 34:38

content warning, discretionary nature
of, § 34:37

general considerations, § 34:36

model instructions, § 34:38

Modern rule, issues re, § 34:10 to § 34:16

common sense, application of, § 34:11

confirmation, nature and scope, § 34:14

confirmatory evidence

requisite qualities, § 34:16

types of, § 34:16

discretion to warn

obligatory circumstances, § 34:12

CORROBORATION—Cont'd

Modern rule, issues re, § 34:10 to § 34:16

—Cont'd

discretion to warn—Cont'd

scope of, § 34:10

independence from suspect's witness's
testimony, § 34:13

judicial experience, application of,
§ 34:11

prejudice to accused's case, § 34:15

Multiple accused, § 34:32

Mutual corroboration, § 34:31

Perjurers, § 34:6, § 34:19

Psychiatric history, § 34:28

Resentencing, witness subject to, § 34:30

Statutorily required corroboration, § 34:3
to § 34:7

generally, § 34:3

abrogation initiatives, § 34:4

child witnesses, § 34:5

perjury, § 34:6

sexual offence cases, complainants in,
§ 34:4

treason, § 34:7

Suspect witnesses, categories of, § 34:17

Unsentenced witness, § 34:29

Vetrovec warning, § 34:9 to § 34:30

COURT INTERPRETERS

Appeals and review, § 22:31 to § 22:34

establishing actual unreliability,
§ 22:33

failure to make timely objection re:
interpretation, § 22:31

misinterpretation fallacy, § 22:32
remedy, § 22:34

Bilingual trier-of-fact, § 22:7

Constitutional framework, § 22:4.

Forms of interpretation, § 22:2.

Interpreted evidence -

admissibility of, § 22:6

credibility of, § 22:8

reliability of, § 22:15 to § 22:29

constitutional assurance of, § 22:15
to § 22:19

competence, precision and
completeness, § 22:18

impartiality, § 22:19

minimum standards, § 22:15

qualified interpreter, § 22:16

COURT INTERPRETERS—Cont'd

- Interpreted evidence —Cont'd
 - reliability of, § 22:15 to § 22:29
 - Cont'd
 - constitutional assurance of, § 22:15 to § 22:19—Cont'd
 - requirements beyond bilingualism, § 22:17
 - impediments to securing and monitoring of, § 22:20 to § 22:28
 - continuity, lack of, § 22:26
 - fatigue of interpreter, § 22:25
 - frailties, generally, § 22:20
 - judicial failure to control process, § 22:28
 - oath or affirmation, failure to administer, § 22:24
 - shortage of qualified interpreters, § 22:21
 - simultaneous vs. consecutive interpretation, § 22:23
 - source language interpretation, no record of, § 22:27
 - uncertified or unqualified interpreters, use of, § 22:22
 - working conditions of interpreter, § 22:25
 - qualification voir dire, § 22:29
 - Judicial instructions re, § 22:30
 - Means to make evidence intelligible, § 22:1.
 - Need for, assessing, § 22:9 to § 22:14
 - adverse inference, § 22:13
 - in-trial notice of interpretation issues, § 22:14
 - limited proficiency in target language, § 22:10
 - standby interpreter model, § 22:12
 - threshold question, § 22:9
 - waiver, § 22:11
 - Party interpreter, right to, § 22:5

CREDIBILITY

- Collateral fact rule and
 - see COLLATERAL FACT RULE
- Cross-examination re
 - see CROSS-EXAMINATION
- Interpreted evidence, of, § 22:8
- Lay witness opinion of, § 12:11.50
- Prior consistent statements
 - see PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS

CREDIBILITY—Cont'd

- SCC reforms re assessments, § 3:7
- Unreasonable verdict and, § 37:5

CREDIBILITY ASSESSMENT

- Credibility, meaning, § 30:1
- Methodology of assessment, § 30:2, § 30:3
 - common law approach, § 30:3
 - soft science, § 30:2
- Particular concerns, § 30:4 to § 30:16
 - defence evidence, scrutiny standard, § 30:9
 - demeanour, § 30:4
 - disbelieved testimony, prior trial, § 30:12
 - evidence, scrutiny standard, § 30:9
 - interest in outcome, § 30:11
 - motive to lie, § 30:10
 - reasonable doubt application, § 28:9 to § 28:22, § 30:13
 - belief, focus on, § 30:13
 - disbelieved testimony use, § 30:13
 - social context, § 30:8
 - stereotypes, § 30:5
 - children, evidence, § 30:6
 - racialized witnesses, § 30:7
 - women, evidence, § 30:6
 - trial judge, personal opinion, § 30:15

CRIMINAL EVIDENCE, RULES OF

- Generally, § 1:1
- Boundaries of, § 1:11
- CEA, provisions of, § 2:2
- Charter, effect of, § 2:3
 - common law exclusionary rule, § 2:3
 - disclosure and production, § 2:3
 - evidence gathering, § 2:3
 - proof, burden of, § 2:3
 - self-incrimination, principle against, § 2:3
 - silence, right to, § 2:3
 - witnesses, examination of, § 2:3
- Codification, § 1:14
- Common law origins of, § 2:1
- Ethical rules, § 2:4
- “Evidence” defined, § 1:2
- History of, § 1:3
 - common law origins, § 1:3
 - exclusionary rules, development of, § 1:3

CRIMINAL EVIDENCE, RULES OF

—Cont'd

- History of, § 1:3—Cont'd
 - 18th century common law rules, § 1:4
 - corroboration rule, § 1:5
 - voluntary confession rule, § 1:6
 - 19th century common law rules, § 1:7
- Judge-made, as, § 2:1
- Judges —
 - normative and technical expertise of, § 2:1
 - reform by, § 2:1
 - trial judges, role of, § 1:13
- Rationalist approach, § 1:12
- Scholarship re —
 - generally, § 1:1
 - American, § 1:9
 - Bentham, Jeremy, § 1:8
 - Boyle, MacCrimmon and Martin, § 1:10
 - Canadian, § 1:10
 - Cross, Sir Rupert, § 1:8
 - Delisle, R.J., § 1:10
 - England, in, § 1:8
 - Gilbert, Lord Chief Baron, § 1:8
 - Greenleaf, Simon, § 1:9
 - MacRae, D.A., § 1:10
 - McCormick, Charles, § 1:9
 - McWilliams, P.K., § 1:10
 - Paciocco and Stuesser, § 1:10
 - Popple, A.E., § 1:10
 - SCC citations, § 2:4
 - Sopinka, Lederman and Bryant, § 1:10
 - Starkie, Thomas, § 1:8
 - Stephen, Sir James Fitzjames, § 1:8
 - Thayer, James, § 1:9
 - Wigmore, John Henry, § 1:9
- Statutory background, § 2:2
- Theoretical underpinnings, § 1:12

CRIMINAL RECORD

- Character evidence and
 - see CHARACTER EVIDENCE
- Cross-examination of witness on, § 21:105

CRIMINAL TRIAL

- Adversarial process of, § 3:1
- Fair process vs. search for truth, § 3:1
- Purpose of, § 3:1
- Qualified search for truth, as, § 3:1

CRIMINAL TRIAL—Cont'd

“Truth” defined, § 3:1

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- Accused, of, § 21:124 to § 21:139
 - ability to fabricate evidence having heard other witnesses testify, on, § 21:131
 - abusive, § 21:125
 - bad character evidence including prior convictions, § 21:139
 - Crown witness's veracity or motive to lie, § 21:126
 - defence theory of case, on, § 21:136
 - excluded or prima facie inadmissible evidence, § 21:133
 - exercise of right to silence, § 21:128
 - exception to general prohibition, § 21:129
 - failure to disclose pertinent facts to third parties, § 21:130
 - late disclosure of alibi, § 21:130
 - failure to put questions to Crown witnesses, § 21:134
 - opposition to admissibility of evidence, § 21:132
 - prior compelled statements, § 21:138
 - receipt or review of disclosure, § 21:127
 - view re matters of law, § 21:137
 - why other defence witnesses not called, § 21:135
- Adverse witness, of, § 21:50, § 21:52 to § 21:57
- Confrontation principle, § 21:112 to § 21:123
 - breach of, consequences of, § 21:117 to § 21:123
 - affording evidence more or less weight, § 21:121
 - possible future trends re, § 21:122
 - importance and timing of objection to breach, § 21:123
 - limiting offending party's closing submissions, § 21:119
 - precluding offending party from calling contradictory evidence, § 21:118
 - recalling witness, § 21:120
- breach of, factors indicating, § 21:114 to § 21:116
- significance of matter, § 21:115

CROSS-EXAMINATION—Cont'd

- Confrontation principle, § 21:112 to § 21:123—Cont'd
 - breach of, factors indicating, § 21:114 to § 21:116—Cont'd
 - whether attack on witness's credibility plainly evident, § 21:116
 - justification for, § 21:113
- Failure to conduct
 - see confrontation principle, *supra*
- Hostile witness, of, § 21:42 to § 21:45
- Impeaching credibility through, § 21:83 to § 21:111
 - no evidence elicited from witness in chief, § 21:111
 - partiality, § 21:110
 - prior inconsistent statements, § 21:84 to § 21:103
 - common law and CEA ss. 10 and 11, current relationship between, § 21:86
 - common law and origins of CEA ss. 10 and 11, § 21:85
 - cross-examination on, § 21:87
 - production of statement, § 21:93
 - proof of inconsistency not a precondition, § 21:88
 - statements made by counsel for witness, § 21:92
 - witness entitled to explain alleged inconsistency, § 21:91
 - written statement, format for putting to witness, § 21:90
 - written statement generally need not be shown to witness, § 21:89
- Crown compliance with CEA ss. 10 and 11, § 21:99
- exhibits, trial judge's discretion to make, § 21:102
- general principles, § 21:84
- limited use of, § 21:103
- non-compliance with CEA ss. 10 and 11, § 21:100
- notice requirement vs. rule in *Brown v. Dunne*, § 21:101
- proving, § 21:94
 - extrinsic evidence, § 21:95
 - notice requirement, § 21:97
 - statement must be inconsistent, § 21:96

CROSS-EXAMINATION—Cont'd

- Impeaching credibility through, § 21:83 to § 21:111—Cont'd
 - prior inconsistent statements, § 21:84 to § 21:103—Cont'd
 - proving, § 21:94—Cont'd
 - statement must be relative to subject matter of case, § 21:98
 - prior misconduct, § 21:104 to § 21:109
 - bad acts not resulting in conviction, § 21:109
 - previous convictions, § 21:105
 - discretion to prevent cross-examination on convictions falling within CEA s. 12, § 21:108
 - offences falling within CEA s. 12, § 21:106
 - scope of cross-examination under CEA s. 12, § 21:107
 - use of evidence by fact finder, § 21:107
- Importance of, § 21:64
- Incomplete, § 21:143 to § 21:149
 - accused, of, § 21:149
 - discretion of trial judge re remedy, § 21:145
 - available ameliorative remedies, § 21:148
 - extent of prejudice, § 21:147
 - reasons for incomplete cross-examination, § 21:146
 - when remedy should be addressed, § 21:144
- Joint trials, at, § 21:140 to § 21:142
 - of co-accused's witness by accused, § 21:142
 - of Crown witnesses by multiple accused, § 21:141
- Leading questions Generally permitted on, § 21:65
- Limits on, § 21:67 to § 21:82
 - abusive, harassing, insulting, prolix or repetitive, § 21:69
 - Charter s. 13, Crown cross-examination of defence witness as to knowledge of, § 21:81
 - credibility of another witness, inviting comment on, § 21:79
 - good faith basis requirement, § 21:72
 - applicability to cross-examination of defence witnesses, § 21:77

CROSS-EXAMINATION—Cont'd

- Limits on, § 21:67 to § 21:82—Cont'd
 - good faith basis requirement, § 21:72
 - Cont'd
 - assessing, § 21:75
 - conflict in law: Bencardino and Howard decisions, § 21:73
 - counsel's knowledge that witness will deny suggestion, § 21:76
 - Crown counsel, application to, § 21:77
 - jury instruction where counsel's suggestion denied by witness, § 21:78
 - test in *R. v. Lyttle*, § 21:74
 - inadmissible evidence elicited, § 21:70
 - irrelevant matters, § 21:68
 - rape shield legislation, § 21:82
 - restricting witness's answers, § 21:71
 - unrelated matter, cross-examination on witness's testimony or allegation in, § 21:80
- Rebuttal evidence
 - see REPLY EVIDENCE
- Re-cross-examination, § 21:155
- Reply evidence
 - see REPLY EVIDENCE
- Rule in *Browne v. Dunn*. see confrontation principle, *supra*
- Scope of not restricted to matters touched upon in chief, § 21:66

CROWN

- Appeal by
 - see APPEALS
- Compellability, of, § 20:21
- Discretion to call witnesses, § 21:186
- Evidential burden on, § 27:2 to § 27:8
- Failure to call witnesses, § 33:3, § 33:10
- Informer privilege, assertion of by
 - see INFORMER PRIVILEGE
- Persuasive burden on, § 28:2 to § 28:23
- Privilege
 - see PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY AND RELATED PRIVILEGES

DECLARATIONS AGAINST INTEREST

- See HEARSAY RULE

DEFENCE

- Cross-examination
 - see CROSS-EXAMINATION
- Disclosure obligations re expert witness, § 12:42 to § 12:46
- Evidence, approach to, § 3:8
- Failure of accused to testify, § 33:1
- Failure to call witnesses, § 33:3, § 33:9
- Failure to retain expert, § 12:40
- Putting character in issue, § 9:50
- Spousal witness, § 20:5, § 20:14

DEFENCES

- Alibi
 - see ALIBI
- Full answer and defence
 - see FULL ANSWER AND DEFENCE
- Not criminally responsible
 - see MENTAL CONDITION
- Persuasive burden re, § 28:28
- Raising, evidentiary burden on defence, § 27:9 to § 27:11

DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE

- Generally, § 23:1
- Assisting trier of fact, § 23:18
- Charts, § 23:24
- Computer simulations, § 23:21
- Demonstrations, § 23:20
- Experiments, § 23:19
- Other jury aids, § 23:25
- Re-enactments, § 23:21
- Schedules, § 23:24
- Summaries, § 23:24
- Views, § 23:23

DERIVATIVE USE IMMUNITY

- Generally, § 15:9

DISCLOSURE AND PRODUCTION

- Charter effect on, § 2:3
- Complainant's therapeutic records
 - see SEXUAL OFFENCES, therapeutic records (CC s. 278.2)
- Confidential communications and
 - see PRIVILEGE
- Expert opinion evidence and
 - see EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE
- Prior consistent statements and, § 11:30
- Third party records
 - see THIRD PARTY RECORDS

DISCREDITABLE CONDUCT

See SIMILAR FACT RULE

DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE

Generally, § 24:1

Banking records, § 24:22, § 24:23

authentication of, § 24:23

scope of, § 24:22

Business records, § 24:18 to § 24:21

CEA, s. 30(3) and authentication of,
§ 24:20

CEA, s. 30(1) and inherent reliability,
§ 24:18

definition of, § 24:19

exclusions, § 24:21

Definition of “document,” § 24:2

Electronic evidence, § 24:24 to § 24:26

generally, § 24:24

authentication and best evidence rule,
§ 24:25

secure electronic signatures regulation,
§ 24:26

Judicial documents, § 24:16, § 24:17

alternative means of proof, § 24:17

definition and authentication, § 24:16

Proving documents, § 24:5 to § 24:9

authentication, § 24:5

copies, § 24:8

documentary originals rule, § 24:6,
§ 24:7

historical context of, § 24:6

modern day relevance of, § 24:7

notice requirements, § 24:9

Public documents, § 24:10 to § 24:15

generally, § 24:10

government documents, § 24:11 to
§ 24:14

CEA, s. 25, relevance of, § 24:12

CEA, s. 24 and certified copies,
§ 24:11

CEA, s. 26 and government books,
§ 24:13

statutory instruments, § 24:15

Relevance of, § 24:3, § 24:4

document in possession doctrine,
§ 24:4

purpose of document, § 24:3

DYING DECLARATIONS

Generally, § 7:56, § 7:57

EVIDENTIAL BURDEN

Accused, on, § 27:9 to § 27:11

affirmative defences, § 27:9

air of reality threshold, § 27:10

reverse onus defences, § 27:11

Burdens and standards of proof

distinguished, § 27:1

Crown, on, § 27:2 to § 27:8

procedures for review, § 27:2

scope of review, § 27:3

circumstantial evidence, § 27:4

direct evidence, § 27:3

extradition hearings, § 27:8

EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF

See EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES

EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES

See also CROSS-EXAMINATION; RE-EXAMINATION

Generally, § 21:1 to § 21:4

evidence elicited by questions by

counsel for each party, § 21:2

questions are not evidence, § 21:3

three phases of questioning, § 21:4

Cross-examination

see CROSS-EXAMINATION

Examination-in-chief, § 21:5 to § 21:63

leading questions, § 21:6 to § 21:10

general prohibition on, § 21:6 to
§ 21:10

exceptions to, § 21:8

rationale for, § 21:7

objections to by opposing counsel,
§ 21:9

weight of evidence, impact on,
§ 21:10

memory problems, § 21:11 to § 21:33

materials obtained by unconstitu-
tional means, use of, § 21:33

past recollection recorded, admis-
sibility of, § 21:23

entering record’s contents into
evidence, § 21:31

exhibit, as, § 21:31

reading aloud, § 21:31

evidence must be otherwise
admissible, § 21:30

hearsay exception, as, § 21:24

jury instructions, § 21:32

memory must be exhausted,
§ 21:26

EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES

—Cont'd

- Examination-in-chief, § 21:5 to § 21:63
 - Cont'd
 - memory problems, § 21:11 to § 21:33
 - Cont'd
 - past recollection recorded, admissibility of, § 21:23—Cont'd
 - preconditions for, § 21:25
 - recollection must be recorded in reliable way, § 21:27
 - timing of making of record, § 21:28
 - witness must assert that record accurately represented knowledge and recollection at time, § 21:29
 - present memory revived vs. past recollection recorded, § 21:12
 - refreshing memory at trial, § 21:15
 - impeaching credibility, § 21:19
 - materials obtained by unconstitutional means, § 21:33
 - material that can be used, § 21:16
 - cross-examination on, § 21:20
 - disclosure of, § 21:20
 - jury instructions, § 21:22
 - material does not ordinarily become exhibit, § 21:21
 - material that cannot be used, § 21:17
 - procedure for, § 21:18
 - refreshing memory prior to trial, § 21:13
 - hypnosis, by, § 21:14
- Jury, by, § 21:190
- Re-examination
 - see RE-EXAMINATION
- Trial judge, by, § 21:189
- Unfavourable witnesses, § 21:34 to § 21:63
 - adverse witness (CEA s. 9(1)), § 21:46 to § 21:51
 - “adverse,” definition of, § 21:49
 - calling evidence that contradicts own witness, § 21:48
 - cross-examination of, § 21:50
 - impeaching by general evidence of bad character, prohibition against, § 21:47

EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES

—Cont'd

- Unfavourable witnesses, § 21:34 to § 21:63—Cont'd
 - adverse witness (CEA s. 9(1)), § 21:46 to § 21:51—Cont'd
 - prior inconsistent statement, proof of, § 21:49
 - procedure on application, § 21:51
 - discretion of trial judge to refuse leave under CEA s. 9, § 21:58 to § 21:60
 - CEA s. 9(1), § 21:60
 - CEA s. 9(2), § 21:59
 - hearsay rule, relationship between CEA s. 9 and principled exception to, § 21:63
 - hostile witness, § 21:42 to § 21:45
 - cross-examination of at common law, § 21:42 to § 21:45
 - future of common law exception re, § 21:45
 - “hostile,” definition of and manner of proof, § 21:43
 - scope of cross-examination of, § 21:44
 - impeaching own witness, rule against, § 21:35
 - asking own witness to explain prior inconsistent statement, § 21:37
 - calling other evidence that contradicts own witness, § 21:38
 - eliciting own witness's criminal record, § 21:36
 - exceptions to rule against impeachment, § 21:39 to § 21:41
 - leave to cross-examine required, § 21:40
 - refreshing memory, § 21:41
 - prior inconsistent statement, cross-examination on (CEA s. 9(2)), § 21:52 to § 21:57
 - in front of jury, § 21:53
 - procedure on application, § 21:57
 - proving statement on voir dire and before jury, § 21:54
 - statement must be inconsistent, § 21:56
 - statement must be in specified format, § 21:55

EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES

—Cont'd

- Unfavourable witnesses, § 21:34 to § 21:63—Cont'd
 - prior inconsistent statement, permissible uses of, § 21:62
 - re-examination of, § 21:61

EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE

See also PREJUDICIAL EFFECT; TRIAL FAIRNESS

- Generally, § 19:1 to § 19:5
- Appellate review, § 19:50 to § 19:56
 - decisions under s. 24(1), § 19:50
 - decisions under s. 24(2), § 19:51
 - applying section on appeal, § 19:55
 - standard of review, § 19:52 to § 19:54
 - decision to admit or exclude, § 19:54
 - factual circumstances, § 19:52
 - underlying breach, § 19:53
 - where appeal not necessary, § 19:56
- Applying under s. 24, § 19:6 to § 19:14
 - onus of proof, § 19:12
 - procedural considerations, § 19:13
 - standing, § 19:6
 - state and state agents, § 19:7
 - total or partial, § 19:14
- Charter effect on, § 2:3
- Collateral fact rule, § 6:2
- Common law discretion, § 19:4
- Court of competent jurisdiction, § 19:8
 - administrative tribunals, § 19:11
 - criminal, § 19:9
 - provincial offences, § 19:10
- Expert opinion evidence
 - see EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE
- Fresh evidence on appeal, § 37:1 to § 37:11
- Hearsay
 - see HEARSAY RULE
- History of, § 1:3, § 19:2, § 19:3
 - enactment of s. 24 of Charter, § 19:3
 - pre-Charter, common law approach, § 19:2
- Onus of proof, § 19:12
- Opinion evidence, § 12:1
- Policies and principles, § 19:5

EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE—Cont'd

- Prior consistent statements
 - see PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS
- Procedural considerations, § 19:13
- Similar facts
 - see SIMILAR FACT RULE
- s. 24(1) of Charter, § 19:15 to § 19:20
 - generally, § 19:15
 - Bjelland test, critique of, § 19:20
 - exclusion under, § 19:16
 - summary of Bjelland Approach, § 19:19
 - where admission would result in unfair trial, § 19:17
 - where necessary to protect integrity of justice system, § 19:18
- s. 24(2) of Charter, § 19:21 to § 19:49
 - bringing administration of justice into disrepute, considerations, § 19:23
 - different types of evidence, application to, § 19:39 to § 19:43
 - bodily evidence, § 19:41
 - derivative evidence, § 19:43
 - non-bodily physical evidence, § 19:40
 - statements, § 19:42
 - history of, § 19:24 to § 19:28
 - Collins/Stillman test, § 19:25 to § 19:27
 - judicial and academic critique of, § 19:28
 - R. v. Grant, § 19:29, § 19:44 to § 19:49
 - discussion of s. 24(2) under, § 19:44 to § 19:49
 - conscriptive/non-conscriptive distinction, abandoning, § 19:45
 - discoverability, § 19:49
 - protection against self-incrimination, § 19:47
 - seriousness of offence, § 19:48
 - inquiry, three lines of, § 19:15 to § 19:20 to § 19:38
 - balancing the factors, § 19:38
 - hierarchical analysis, comparison to, § 19:46
 - impact of breach on protected interests, § 19:36
 - seriousness of infringing state conduct, § 19:30

EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE—Cont'd

- s. 24(2) of Charter, § 19:21 to § 19:49
- Cont'd
- R. v. Grant, § 19:29, § 19:44 to § 19:49
- Cont'd
- inquiry, three lines of, § 19:15 to § 19:20 to § 19:38—Cont'd
- society's interest in adjudication on merits, § 19:37
- threshold question, § 19:22
- Standard of review, § 19:52 to § 19:54
- decision to admit or exclude, § 19:54
- factual circumstances, § 19:52
- underlying breach, § 19:53

EXCULPATORY STATEMENTS

- Post arrest, § 11:25

EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE

- Admissibility of, confirmed, see also provisional admissibility criteria generally, § 12:14
- Abbey/Lavallee debate, § 12:37
- fact-based requirement, § 12:36
- foundation criterion, § 12:36
- hearsay issues, § 12:37, § 12:38
- hypothetical question, § 12:39
- Mohan admissibility criteria, § 12:14, § 12:36
- second-hand information/sources, § 12:38
- Appeals, § 12:59 to § 12:63
- fresh evidence on appeal, § 12:60
- scope of review re admissibility, § 12:59
- Assessing need for, § 12:28
- Australian guidelines re, § 12:14
- Character evidence, § 9:2
- Dangers of, § 12:14
- Disclosure obligations, § 12:42 to § 12:46
- CC s. 657.3(3)-(7) requirements, § 12:42 to § 12:46
- defence, of, § 12:42 to § 12:46
- instructions to expert, § 12:42 to § 12:46
- pre-trial consultations, § 12:42 to § 12:46
- prosecution, of, § 12:42 to § 12:46
- Exclusion of, residual discretion re, § 12:32 to § 12:35
- generally, § 12:32 to § 12:35
- ability to respond, § 12:32 to § 12:35

EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE

—Cont'd

- Exclusion of, residual discretion re, § 12:32 to § 12:35—Cont'd
- alternatives to exclusion, § 12:32 to § 12:35
- benefits of admission, § 12:32 to § 12:35
- confusion, risk of, § 12:32 to § 12:35
- costs, danger of, § 12:32 to § 12:35
- inaccessibility, § 12:32 to § 12:35
- overwhelming of triers, § 12:32 to § 12:35
- threat to right to silence, § 12:32 to § 12:35
- undue time consumption, § 12:32 to § 12:35
- Expert report, contents of, § 12:41
- Expert witness —
- costs against prosecution expert, § 12:57
- court-appointed, § 12:56
- defence failure to retain, § 12:40
- examination of, § 12:51 to § 12:54
- cross-examination, § 12:51 to § 12:54
- in-chief, § 12:51 to § 12:54
- re-examination, § 12:51 to § 12:54
- exclusion from courtroom, § 12:50
- qualification, § 12:29, § 12:30
- subpoena for, § 12:47
- Handwriting, § 32:38
- Judge's gatekeeper function, § 12:14
- Judicial notice and, § 26:1, § 26:34
- Jury instructions, § 12:58
- burden of proof, § 12:61
- de-specializing expert evidence, § 12:59
- limiting instructions, § 12:63
- review of expert testimony, § 12:62
- weighing expert evidence, § 12:60
- Number of experts, § 12:48
- Probative value and, § 5:9
- Proliferation of, § 12:14
- Provisional admissibility criteria, § 12:15 to § 12:35
- Bayes Rule Theorem, use of, § 12:16
- exclusionary rule and, § 12:31
- exclusion discretion, § 12:32 to § 12:35

EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE

—Cont’d

- Provisional admissibility criteria, § 12:15 to § 12:35—Cont’d
 - expertise qualification, § 12:29, § 12:30
 - logical relevance, § 12:16
 - Mohan factors, § 12:15
 - necessity, § 12:28
 - reliability factor
 - see reliability factor, *infra*
- Qualification of expert, § 12:29, § 12:30
 - expertise qualification, § 12:29, § 12:30
 - impartiality/independence qualification, § 12:29, § 12:30
- Reliability factor, § 12:15
 - generally, § 12:17 to § 12:27
 - additional factors re, § 12:17 to § 12:27
 - behavioural/social science, § 12:17 to § 12:27
 - error rate/existence of standards, § 12:17 to § 12:27
 - general acceptance, § 12:17 to § 12:27
 - J.(J.L.)/Daubert approach, § 12:17 to § 12:27
 - mental health professionals, § 12:17 to § 12:27
 - novel science, § 12:17 to § 12:27
 - peer review/publication, § 12:17 to § 12:27
 - reliability inquiry, § 12:17 to § 12:27
 - scientific opinion process, § 12:17 to § 12:27
 - testability, § 12:17 to § 12:27
- Reply evidence, § 12:55
- Sentencing hearing, § 36:2
- Test re admissibility, § 12:14
- U.s. Federal Rules of Evidence re, § 12:14, § 12:16, § 12:32 to § 12:35
- U.s. position re, § 12:14
- Voice identification, re, § 32:31
- Voir dire determination of admissibility, § 12:49

EXPERT WITNESS

- See also EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE
- Cross-examination of, § 12:51 to § 12:54
- False confessions, § 8:31

EXTRADITION HEARINGS

- Evidential burden and, § 27:8

EXTRADITION HEARINGS—Cont’d

- Hearsay rule and, § 7:4
- Reliability of evidence and, § 5:15

FAILURE TO TESTIFY

- Generally
 - see ADVERSE INFERENCES
- Circumstantial evidence, ineligible, § 31:47
- Self-incrimination and
 - see SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST

FAIRNESS

- See TRIAL FAIRNESS

FORMAL AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS

- Generally, § 25:1
- Conclusivity of, § 25:3
- Distinction between, § 25:3
- Judicial admissions, § 25:3
- Jury instructions re, § 7:126, § 25:9
- Law, admissions of, § 25:8
- Medium for factual admission, § 25:2
- Procedural issues re, § 25:5
- Statute, admissions by, § 25:4
- Survivorship of, § 25:7
- Withdrawal of, § 25:6

FULL ANSWER AND DEFENCE

- Complainant’s therapeutic records
 - see SEXUAL OFFENCES, therapeutic records (CC s. 278.2)
- Silence, right to
 - see SILENCE, RIGHT TO
- Solicitor-client privilege vs., § 13:13, § 13:16

GUILT

- Consciousness of
 - see CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE
- Third party, evidence of, § 31:41

GUILTY PLEA

- See FORMAL AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS

HEARSAY RULE

- Generally, § 7:1 to § 7:4
- Admissions
 - see categorical exceptions
- Age, § 7:152

HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd

- Analyzing hearsay, § 7:23 to § 7:30
 - methodology
 - see methodology
 - principle vs. pragmatism, § 7:23
- Categorical exceptions
 - generally, § 7:55
 - admissions, § 7:120 to § 7:126
 - generally, § 7:120
 - adoption of statement by others, § 7:124
 - agents, statements by, § 7:125
 - basis of knowledge, § 7:121
 - completeness of statement, § 7:123
 - context, § 7:123
 - jury instruction, § 7:126
 - operating mind, § 7:122
 - vicarious statements, § 7:125
- co-conspirators, § 7:127 to § 7:142
 - admissions, § 7:127 to § 7:132
 - agency, § 7:127 to § 7:132
- Carter process, § 7:137, § 7:138 to § 7:141
- co-venturers vs., § 7:138
- “failsafe” exclusion, § 7:139
- functional approach, § 7:127 to § 7:132
- jury direction, § 7:137
- non-hearsay uses, § 7:139
- operation of rule, § 7:133 to § 7:136, § 7:139
 - proof of conspiracy, § 7:134
 - proof of involvement, § 7:135
 - three-stage analysis, § 7:133
 - use of acts/declarations “in furtherance,” § 7:136
- practical considerations, § 7:132
- principled approach analysis, § 7:142
- res gestae, § 7:127 to § 7:132
- scope of rule re, § 7:127 to § 7:132
- common enterprise rule
 - see co-conspirators
- declarations made outside present proceedings, § 7:87 to § 7:103
 - past recollections, § 7:55 to § 7:103
 - post-Starr analysis, § 7:102
 - recorded past recollections, § 21:23

HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd

- Categorical exceptions—Cont'd
 - declarations made outside present proceedings, § 7:87 to § 7:103
 - Cont'd
 - past recollections, § 7:55 to § 7:103—Cont'd
 - revived past recollections, § 7:103, § 21:11 to § 21:33
 - prior inconsistent statements, § 7:88 to § 7:99
 - application of K.G.B. exception, § 7:99
 - debate re, § 7:88
 - K.G.B. statements exception, § 7:89
 - “orthodox rule,” § 7:88
 - preconditions to admissibility, § 7:90
 - prior statements, generally, § 7:87
 - dying declarations, § 7:56, § 7:57
 - assessment of rule re, § 7:57
 - common law rule re, § 7:56
 - excited/spontaneous statements, § 7:59 to § 7:64
 - accused making declaration, § 7:63
 - contemporaneity, § 7:60
 - duration of event, § 7:61
 - limitations on relaxed rule, § 7:59 to § 7:64
 - overpowering nature of event, § 7:65
 - preconditions to admission, § 7:59 to § 7:64
 - principled approach and, § 7:64
 - historical/ancient events, § 7:143
 - perception/emotion/intent, statements re current, § 7:66 to § 7:71
 - bodily sensations, § 7:70
 - present intention, § 7:71
 - state of mind, § 7:67
 - prior testimony
 - see testimony given not in presence of trier of fact
- records, § 7:72 to § 7:86
 - business records, § 7:73 to § 7:86
 - Canada Evidence Act rules, § 7:76
 - common law re, § 7:73
 - oral statements made in course of business, § 7:75
 - principled analysis re, § 7:85
 - statutory provisions, § 7:76

HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd

- Categorical exceptions—Cont'd
 - records, § 7:72 to § 7:86—Cont'd
 - business records, § 7:73 to § 7:86
 - Cont'd
 - use of, § 7:86
 - public documents, § 7:72
 - statements made pursuant to duty
 - see business records
 - res gestae, § 7:58 to § 7:71
 - generally, § 7:58
 - conduct
 - see verbal acts
 - excited/spontaneous statements
 - see excited/spontaneous statements
 - perception/emotion/intent
 - see perception/emotion/intent, statements re current
 - statements by accused
 - see admissions
 - testimony given not in presence of trier of fact, § 7:104 to § 7:119
 - CC s. 715 provision, § 7:105
 - discretion element, § 7:110
 - instruction to jury, § 7:111
 - necessity requirement, § 7:108
 - preconditions to admissibility, § 7:106 to § 7:111
 - reliability requirement, § 7:109
 - testimony, types of, § 7:107
- Co-conspirators
 - see categorical exceptions
- Commission evidence, § 7:118
 - depositions, § 7:119
 - videotaped statement of children/vulnerable witnesses (CC ss. 715.1, 715.2), § 7:112 to § 7:117
 - preconditions to admission, § 7:113
 - purpose of provisions, § 7:112
 - verbal acts, § 7:65
- Declarations against interest, § 7:145 to § 7:150
 - pecuniary interest, § 7:145, § 7:146
 - development and operation of exception, § 7:145
 - post-Starr analysis, § 7:146
 - penal interest, § 7:147 to § 7:150
 - dead declarant, where, § 7:149

HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd

- Declarations against interest, § 7:145 to § 7:150—Cont'd
 - penal interest, § 7:147 to § 7:150
 - Cont'd
 - English cases, § 7:147
 - modern Canadian approach, § 7:148
 - post-Starr, § 7:150
- Definition of hearsay, § 7:9
- Dying declarations
 - see categorical exceptions
- Exceptions to
 - see categorical exceptions
- Expert opinion evidence and, § 7:144
- Extradition proceedings and, § 7:4
- Fair trial considerations, § 7:52
- Family history, § 7:153
- General principles
 - necessity
 - see necessity principle
 - reliability
 - see reliability principle
- Historical/ancient events, § 7:143
- Jury instructions, § 7:53
- Marriage, § 7:153
- Methodology, § 7:24
 - categorical exception
 - inability to find, where, § 7:28
 - modifying, § 7:26
 - searching for, § 7:25
 - evidence of necessity and reliability, § 7:28
 - fail-safe provision, § 7:27
 - pigeon holes, no new, § 7:28
 - “rare case” approach, § 7:27
 - voir dire, procedure on, § 7:28
- Necessity principle, § 7:31 to § 7:44
 - children, § 7:38
 - co-accused, § 7:37
 - contempt, § 7:40
 - cost/benefit analysis, § 7:43
 - deceased declarant, § 7:32
 - illness, § 7:36
 - incapacity, § 7:35
 - incompetence, § 7:34
 - inconvenience of producing declarant, § 7:43
 - memory, lack of, § 7:39
 - mental disability, § 7:38

HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd

- Necessity principle, § 7:31 to § 7:44
 - Cont'd
 - multiple hearsay statements, use of, § 7:42
 - out-of-court evidence as superior evidence, § 7:41
 - recanted prior statement, § 7:39
 - refusal to testify, § 7:40
 - unavailability of witness, § 7:33
- Origins of, § 7:5 to § 7:8
- Past recollections
 - see categorical exceptions, declarations made outside present proceedings
- Pedigree, § 7:153
- Preliminary inquiries, at, § 7:2
- Prior inconsistent statements
 - see categorical exceptions, declarations made outside present proceedings
- Public rights, § 7:155
- Reasons for, § 7:5 to § 7:8
 - jury distrust, § 7:7
 - reliability, inability to test, § 7:6
 - trial fairness, § 7:8
- Reasons for admitting/excluding evidence, § 7:54
- Records
 - see categorical exceptions
- Reliability principle, § 7:45 to § 7:51
 - corroborative evidence, § 7:51
 - factors, § 7:46
 - framework for assessing reliability, § 7:45
 - memory, § 7:46
 - narration, § 7:46
 - perception, § 7:46
 - sincerity, § 7:46
- Res gestae
 - see categorical exceptions
- Scope of, § 7:9 to § 7:22
 - conduct intended to be communicative, § 7:17
 - functional approach to identifying hearsay, § 7:10
 - cross-examination, absence of contemporaneous opportunity re, § 7:12
 - statement adduced for truth of content, § 7:11
 - “implied assertions” problem, § 7:14

HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd

- Scope of, § 7:9 to § 7:22—Cont'd
 - implied vs. unintended assertions, § 7:14
 - non-assertive conduct, § 7:15
 - non-hearsay uses of evidence
 - see original evidence situations
 - original evidence situations
 - contract/conspiracy/consent, § 7:20
 - investigative hearsay, § 7:22
 - knowledge, § 7:19
 - narrative, § 7:21
 - reluctance to define hearsay, § 7:9
 - self-identification, § 7:154
 - testimony, prior
 - see categorical exceptions
 - videotaped statements
 - see categorical exceptions
- Sentencing hearings, at, § 7:3

HOSTILE WITNESS

- Generally, § 21:42 to § 21:45

IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE

- Generally, § 32:1
- Admissibility, § 32:3 to § 32:9
 - generally, § 32:3
 - classification debate, hearsay or not, § 32:4
 - current law, § 32:5
- Appellate review of, § 32:42 to § 32:47
 - errors of law, § 32:43
 - factors to consider, § 32:46
 - standard of review under s. 686(1)(a)(i), § 32:45
 - sufficiency of charge, § 32:43
 - factors to consider, § 32:44
 - unreasonableness under s. 686, § 32:44
 - factors to consider, § 32:44
- Cognitive science, lessons of, § 32:11 to § 32:23
 - generally, § 32:11
 - memory, § 32:12
 - system variables, factors affecting reliability, § 32:13
 - blind administration, § 32:14
 - composites, § 32:18
 - cross-racial identifications, § 32:20
 - estimator variables, § 32:19
 - feedback, § 32:17
 - line-up construction, § 32:16

IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE**—Cont'd**

- Cognitive science, lessons of, § 32:11 to § 32:23—Cont'd
 - system variables, factors affecting reliability, § 32:13—Cont'd
 - pre-identification instructions, § 32:15
 - show-ups, § 32:18
 - stress, § 32:21
 - successive arrays, § 32:18
 - witness attention, § 32:22
- Defined, § 32:2
- Discretion to exclude, § 32:25 to § 32:28
 - Canada, § 32:27
 - probative value/prejudicial effect assessment, § 32:25 to § 32:28
 - United States, § 32:26
- Exculpatory evidence, presence of, § 32:8
- Expert evidence, § 32:24
 - handwriting, § 32:38
 - voice identification, § 32:31
- Eyewitness identity opinion —
 - assessment of, § 32:10 to § 32:23
 - defined, § 32:2
- Handwriting, § 32:37 to § 32:41
 - analytical approach, § 32:41
 - assessment of, § 32:40
 - by the trier, § 32:39
 - expert evidence, § 32:38
- Judicially developed factors, § 32:10
- Jury instructions, § 32:29
- Mezzo/Buric rule and, § 5:12
- Out of court identifications
 - re-consideration of prior, § 32:6
 - use of, § 32:4
- Recognition evidence, § 32:9
- Resemblance evidence, Chartier rule, § 32:7
- Voice identification, § 32:30 to § 32:36
 - assessment of, § 32:33
 - Australia, in, § 32:35
 - cognitive psychology, § 32:36
 - expert evidence tendered to prove, § 32:31
 - general principles, § 32:30
 - jury instructions, § 32:34
 - lay opinion evidence, § 32:32
 - United States, in, § 32:35

INFERENCES

- See ADVERSE INFERENCES;
CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

INFORMER PRIVILEGE

- Described, § 14:28 to § 14:34
- Importance of, § 14:28 to § 14:34
- Innocence at stake exception to, § 13:16, § 14:30
- Invocation and waiver of, § 14:28
- Scope of, § 14:29

INNOCENCE

- Consciousness of, § 11:28, § 31:36
- Innocence at stake, § 13:16
- Presumption of
 - see CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE;
PERSUASIVE BURDEN;
PRESUMPTIONS

INTERMEDIARIES

- Generally, § 22:35
- Adoption of, in Canada, § 22:39
- External intermediary, § 22:37
 - role of in English model, § 22:38
- Jury charge, § 22:41
- Process issues, § 22:40
- Trial judge as intermediary, § 22:36
 - authority to set communication ground rules, § 22:36

ISSUE ESTOPPEL

- Abuse of process, relationship with, § 18:9
- Burden of proof, § 18:8
- Definition, § 18:1
- Onus, § 18:8
- Origin, § 18:1
- Prerequisites, § 18:2
 - earlier decision final, § 18:4
 - issue previously decided, § 18:3
 - mutuality, § 18:5
- Procedural issues, § 18:7
- Similar act evidence, and, § 18:6
- Special pleas, relationship with, § 18:9

ISSUE IN QUESTION

- Identification of, § 5:8
- Similar fact probative value analysis, § 10:68

JUDGES

- Competency and compellability, § 20:19

JUDGES—Cont'd

- Criminal evidence rules, as authors of, § 2:1
- Discretion re —
 - collateral fact rule, § 6:3
 - examination of witnesses by, § 21:189
 - re-examination, § 21:152
 - reply evidence, § 21:159
 - subpoena for expert witness, issuance of, § 12:47
- Expert opinion evidence, function re, § 12:14
- Failure to call witnesses, comment by defence, § 33:3
- Informer privilege, assertion of
see INFORMER PRIVILEGE
- Jury instruction by —
 - generally
see JURY INSTRUCTION
 - duty
see APPEALS
- Power to call witnesses, § 21:187
- Role of trial judge, § 1:13
- Sentencing role, § 36:13
- Unfavourable witnesses, re, § 21:58 to § 21:60

JUDICIAL NOTICE

- Generally, § 26:1
- Authoritative sources, § 26:12
- Business/commerce, of, § 26:17
- Common knowledge, § 26:3, § 26:10
- Common sense, § 26:3
- Conclusivity of fact judicially noticed, § 26:15
- Contextual facts, § 26:33 to § 26:38
 - generally, § 26:33
 - contextual law vs., § 26:38
 - directions to jurors re, § 26:38
 - expert evidence and, § 26:34
 - Hamilton/Mason cases, § 26:37
 - judicial activism and, § 26:36
 - legislative vs. adjudicative facts, § 26:33
 - nature of proceeding and, § 26:35
 - notice obligation and, § 26:36
 - sentencing hearing, § 26:35
 - social framework analysis, § 26:34
- Crime, of, § 26:18
- Custom, of, § 26:19
- Definition of, § 26:1

JUDICIAL NOTICE—Cont'd

- Drugs, of, § 26:20
- Examples, § 26:16
- Experience, § 26:3
- Expert evidence vs., § 26:1
- Express notice of facts, § 26:7 to § 26:32
 - common law concept of, § 26:9
 - scope of, § 26:7
- Geography, of, § 26:21
- Historical facts, of, § 26:22
- Human behaviour, of, § 26:23
- Indisputable sources option, § 26:12
- Informal notice of facts, § 26:2 to § 26:6
 - judges and, § 26:5
 - jurors and, § 26:4
- Judicial role, § 26:13
- Jury —
 - charging, § 26:32
 - directions to, § 26:38
 - informal notice of facts, § 26:8
- Law, of, § 26:39 to § 26:41
 - common law, § 26:39
 - domestic legislation, § 26:40
 - foreign law, § 26:41
- Legal community facts, of, § 26:24
- Miscarriage, risk of, § 26:6
- Miscellaneous facts, of, § 26:25
- Notice, § 26:14, § 26:36
- Notoriety/indisputable approach, § 26:10, § 26:11
 - character of notoriety, § 26:10
 - indisputability element, § 26:11
- Personal knowledge prohibition, § 26:2
- Physiology, of, § 26:27
- Publicity, of, § 26:28
- Racism, of, § 26:30
- Rejection, examples of, § 26:31
- Science, physical/natural, § 26:26
- Social context theory
 - see contextual facts, supra
- Statute-authorized, § 26:8
- Technology, of, § 26:30

JURY

- Compellability of jurors, § 20:20
- Distrust for as rationale for hearsay rule, § 7:7
- Examination of witnesses by, § 21:190
- Exclusion of jury deliberation, § 14:36 to § 14:41

JURY—Cont'd

- Failure of accused to testify, adverse conclusions by, § 33:1
- Judicial notice and
 - see JUDICIAL NOTICE
- Prejudice and jury's emotions, § 5:18
- Real evidence, delivery to
 - see REAL EVIDENCE
- Undue evidence given by, § 5:1
- Unreasonable verdict by, § 37:9

JURY INSTRUCTION

- Admissions
 - see FORMAL AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS
- Adverse inferences, re
 - see ADVERSE INFERENCES
- Character evidence
 - see CHARACTER EVIDENCE, limiting and use jury instructions
- Circumstantial evidence —
 - generally, §§ 31:3 to § 31:33
 - assistance, more, case for, § 31:33
 - Bayes theorem, re, § 31:11
 - discretion, judicial, § 31:31
 - specimen instructions, § 31:32
- Co-accused, similar acts of, § 10:72
- Co-conspirators, rule re, §§ 7:55 to § 7:103
- Comment on failure of accused to testify, § 8:32, § 15:4
- Comment on failure to call witness, § 33:3, § 33:9
- Confession, § 8:32
- Corroboration warning
 - see CORROBORATION
- Court interpreter, re, § 22:30
- Expert opinion evidence
 - see EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE
- Hodge's case, rule in, § 31:5
- Identification evidence, re, § 32:29
 - voice, re, § 32:34
- Lay witness opinion evidence, § 12:13
- Material used to refresh witness's memory, § 21:22
- Reasonable doubt, § 28:4 to § 28:6
- Similar facts
 - see SIMILAR FACT RULE
- Testimony in prior proceedings, § 7:63

JUSTICE, RIGHT TO

- See TRIAL FAIRNESS

LAWYERS

- Competency and compellability, § 20:21
- Solicitor-client privilege
 - see SOLICITOR-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

LEADING QUESTIONS

- See CROSS-EXAMINATION; EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES; RE-EXAMINATION

MEMORY

- Refreshing Generally
 - see EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES
- Reliability, § 7:6

MENTAL CONDITION

- Lay opinion witness re mental condition, § 12:8
- Mental disorder, medical evidence re, § 6:13
- Mental health professionals, § 12:17 to § 12:27
- Mental incapacity and competence, § 20:7 to § 20:11
- Operating mind, § 8:21

MR. BIG CONFESSIONS

- See CONFESSIONS

OATH-HELPING

- See PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS

OATHS

- Generally, § 20:22
- Understanding, § 20:9

ONUS OF PROOF

- See EVIDENTIAL BURDEN; PERSUASIVE BURDEN

OPINION EVIDENCE

- Exclusionary rule, § 12:1
- Expert
 - see EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE
- Historical perspective, § 12:1
- Lay witness opinion evidence, § 12:2 to § 12:13
 - admissibility rules, § 12:2
 - age, re, § 12:3
 - credibility of another witness, § 12:4

OPINION EVIDENCE—Cont'd

- Lay witness opinion evidence, § 12:2 to § 12:13—Cont'd
 - handwriting, re, § 12:5
 - historical perspective, § 12:2
 - impairment, re, § 12:11
 - impermissible, § 12:12
 - jury instructions re, § 12:13
 - mathematical calculations, § 12:7
 - mental, physical emotional condition, re, § 12:8
 - modern statement re, § 12:2
 - persons, places things, identity of, § 12:6
 - physical properties, re, § 12:10
 - shoeprints, re, § 12:9
 - speed, distance, etc., re, § 12:10
 - subject matter of, § 12:3 to § 12:12
 - substance intake, re, § 12:11
 - voice identification, re, § 32:32
 - weather, re, § 12:11.50
- “Opinion” defined, § 12:1
- Opinion vs. fact, § 12:1, § 12:2

OPPRESSION

See CONFESSIONS

PERSON IN AUTHORITY

See CONFESSIONS

PERSUASIVE BURDEN

- Generally, § 28:1
- Balance of probabilities, § 28:24 to § 28:33
 - generally, § 28:24
 - Crown's case, application to, § 28:27
 - defences, § 28:28
 - automatism, § 28:30
 - due diligence, § 28:31
 - entrapment, § 28:29
 - exceptions, exemptions, excuses, § 28:32
 - extreme intoxication, § 28:30
 - mental disorder, § 28:30
 - officially induced error, § 28:29
 - meaning of, § 28:26
 - policy considerations, § 28:25
- Preliminary findings of fact, § 28:33
- Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, § 28:2 to § 28:23
 - adversarial context, § 28:2

PERSUASIVE BURDEN—Cont'd

- Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, § 28:2 to § 28:23—Cont'd
 - circumstantial evidence, § 28:7, § 31:4 to § 31:6
 - constitutional imperative, § 28:3
 - credibility, assessment of, § 28:9 to § 28:22
 - W.(D.), lower court application of, § 28:13
 - “acceptance” and “rejection” of evidence, § 28:19
 - additional instruction: acquittal follows if unable to decide whom to believe, § 28:14
 - Alberta Court of Appeal modification of (2019), § 28:21
 - application to judge alone trials, § 28:12
 - comparing evidence of accused with that of complainant, § 28:20
 - consequence of belief in both accused and complainant, § 28:18
 - corroboration and sexual assault cases, § 28:22
 - improper isolation of accused's testimony, § 28:16
 - leaping from belief in Crown witness to guilt, § 28:17
 - process recommendation: order of assessment of evidence, § 28:15
 - W.D.'s Supreme Court progeny, § 28:13
 - W.(D.) and s.(W.D.), § 28:9
 - application to assessments of objective liability, § 28:11
 - application to defence evidence, § 28:10
 - application to reverse onus defences, § 28:11
 - individual items of evidence, § 28:8
 - jury charge on, § 28:4 to § 28:6
 - R. v. Lifchus, § 28:4
 - R. v. Starr, § 28:5
 - substantial compliance, § 28:6
 - meaning of, § 28:4 to § 28:6
 - “might reasonably be true” test, avoiding, § 28:23
 - presumption of innocence, § 28:3

POLICE

- Interrogation by on arrest
see CONFESSIONS
- Investigative techniques, § 14:35
- Solicitor-client privilege between Crown
and, § 13:10

POLICE INFORMER PRIVILEGE

- See INFORMER PRIVILEGE

POSSESSION

- Generally
see CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE
- Documents, of, § 24:4

PREJUDICIAL EFFECT

- See also HEARSAY RULE
- Judicial discretion to exclude relevant
evidence —
 - historical roots, § 5:2
 - Wray formula, § 5:2
- Prior consistent statements, § 11:9 to
§ 11:13, § 11:26
- Seaboyer case, § 5:2 to § 5:30
 - balancing approach, § 5:2 to § 5:30
 - defence evidence, principled
approach to, § 5:4
 - jury instructions, § 5:5
 - prior sexual history and, § 5:6
- cumulative evidence, § 5:30
- prejudice, meaning of, § 5:16 to § 5:29
 - creating distracting side issue, § 5:18
 - distorting truth-seeking, § 5:18
 - fairness to witness, § 5:27
 - limiting instructions, § 5:25
 - moral prejudice, § 5:17
 - reasoning prejudice, § 5:18
 - undue consumption of time, § 5:18
 - unduly arousing jury’s emotions,
§ 5:18
 - unfair surprise, § 5:28
 - usurping jury function, § 5:18
- probative value, meaning of, § 5:7 to
§ 5:15
 - expert opinion evidence and, § 5:9
 - “issue in question,” identification of,
§ 5:8
 - reliability of evidence, § 5:10
 - extradition cases, § 5:15
 - identification cases, § 5:12
 - Mezzo/Buric rule, § 5:11

PREJUDICIAL EFFECT—Cont’d

- Seaboyer case, § 5:2 to § 5:30—Cont’d
 - probative value, meaning of, § 5:7 to
§ 5:15—Cont’d
 - reliability of evidence, § 5:10
—Cont’d
 - retreat from Mezzo/Buric rule,
§ 5:13
 - R. v. Hart decision, § 5:14
 - strength of inference, § 5:9
 - Sweitzer formulation, § 5:3
 - threshold test, § 5:3
- Similar facts and
see SIMILAR FACT RULE

PRELIMINARY INQUIRY

- Evidential burden and, § 27:2
- Hearsay rule and, § 7:2

PRESUMPTIONS

- Generally, § 29:1 to § 29:13
- Analytical checklist, § 29:24
- Case law analysis, § 29:14 to § 29:23
- Defined
 - not true, § 29:4
 - “irrebuttable presumption of law,”
§ 29:6
 - permissive inferences, § 29:5
 - “presumptions of fact,” § 29:5
 - rule of substantive law, § 29:6
 - true, usual structure of, § 29:7
 - presumptions abolished by Bill C-51,
§ 29:9
 - with basic and presumed facts,
§ 29:10
 - without basic facts, § 29:8, § 29:16
- Evidentiary burden, § 29:10, § 29:11,
§ 29:18
- Free and democratic society, justifying in,
§ 29:19
 - minimal impairment, § 29:22
 - pressing and substantial objective,
§ 29:20
 - proportionality, § 29:23
 - rational connection, § 29:21
- Innocence, of, § 28:3, § 29:8
 - see also mandatory, reverse onus, infra
circumstantial evidence and
see CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVI-
DENCE
- Key concepts, § 29:3

PRESUMPTIONS—Cont'd

- Mandatory
 - evidentiary burden, § 29:18
 - with and without basic facts, § 29:15
- Recent possession, re, § 31:43
- Reverse onus, § 29:10, § 29:12, § 29:14, § 29:15, § 29:19
 - reverse onuses abolished by Bill C-51, § 29:13
- Sanity, § 29:8, § 29:16
- Standards of proof, § 29:14
- Strict liability offences, § 29:17
- Terminology, § 29:2
- Voluntariness, § 29:16

PRETRIAL DETENTION

- Generally, § 35:15, § 35:17

PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS

- Generally, § 6:10, § 9:58, § 21:105, § 21:108

PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS

- Generally, § 11:1
- Accused, testimony of, § 11:3
- Admission of, see also exceptions to exclusionary rule
 - absence of instruction, when fatal, § 11:33
 - absence of instruction, when not fatal, § 11:34
 - limiting instructions requirement, § 11:32 to § 11:34
- Declarant, testimony of, § 11:3
- Exceptions to exclusionary rule, § 7:57, § 11:14 to § 11:31
 - disclosure narrative, § 11:30
 - exculpatory post-arrest statement, § 11:25
 - admissibility of contents, § 11:26
 - consciousness of innocence, § 11:28
 - fact made, § 11:25
 - probative value vs. prejudicial effect, § 11:26
 - recent fabrication, § 11:26
 - tailoring of evidence, § 11:26
 - recent complaint, § 11:21 to § 11:23
 - CC provision re, § 11:23
 - common law approach, § 11:22
 - human nature assumption, § 11:21 to § 11:23
 - summary of principles re, § 11:23

PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS

—Cont'd

- Exceptions to exclusionary rule, § 7:57, § 11:14 to § 11:31—Cont'd
 - recent fabrication, § 11:15 to § 11:19
 - invocation of, § 11:15 to § 11:19
 - “recent,” meaning of, § 11:16
 - recent complaint vs., § 11:18
 - summary of application, § 11:19
 - triggering of exception, § 11:17
 - res gestae statements, § 11:29
 - statements/conduct of accused not tendered by Crown, § 11:24 to § 11:28
 - Exclusionary rule discussed, § 11:1
 - Fabrication principle, § 11:4
 - Hearsay principle, § 11:3
 - Irrelevance principle, § 11:2
 - Oath-helping, rule against, § 11:6
 - scope of prohibition, § 11:7
 - Pigeonhole approach, § 11:10
 - Principled approach to, § 11:9 to § 11:13
 - fairness, § 11:13
 - probative vs. prejudicial balance, § 11:9 to § 11:13
 - rational decision-making, § 11:11
 - simplicity, § 11:10
 - truth-finding, enhancement of, § 11:12
 - Principled reasons for exclusion, § 11:2 to § 11:8
 - Purposes of exclusion, § 11:1, § 11:2 to § 11:8
 - Self-serving evidence, as, § 11:4
 - Trial efficiency principle, § 11:5
- PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENT**
 - Generally
 - see CROSS-EXAMINATION; EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES
 - Proof of, § 6:11
- PRIVACY, RIGHT TO**
 - Complainant's therapeutic records in sex assault cases
 - see SEXUAL OFFENCES, therapeutic records (CCs. 278.2)
 - Confidential communications and
 - see PRIVILEGE
 - Rape shield provisions
 - see SEXUAL OFFENCES, prior sexual history evidence

PRIVACY, RIGHT TO—Cont'd

Third party records, disclosure of
see **THIRD PARTY RECORDS**

PRIVILEGE

Case-by-case, § 13:4, § 13:36 to § 13:44
application to various settings, § 13:38
criteria and process for establishing,
§ 13:37
journalist-informant communications,
§ 13:41
medical, psychiatric and counselling
communications, § 13:40
nature and rationale, § 13:36
other claims, § 13:44
religious communications, § 13:39
Charter and, § 13:7, § 13:16, § 13:37
Class privileges, § 13:4
Competency and compellability vs.,
§ 13:3
Confidences
non-privileged, § 13:5
privileges vs., § 13:3
Confidential communications
see case-by-case and confidences
Crown
see **PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY
AND RELATED PRIVILEGES**
Enjoyment, § 13:6
Forms of, § 13:4
Future directions in law of, § 13:8
General principles of, § 13:1 to § 13:8
Investigative technique, § 14:35
Invocation, § 13:6
Jury deliberations, § 14:36 to § 14:41
Litigation, § 13:22 to § 13:27
conditions precedent, § 13:23
duration, § 13:24
enjoyment, § 13:23
exceptions, loss and waiver, § 13:26
nature and rational, § 13:22
scope, informational, § 13:25
solicitor-client vs., § 13:22
Public interest privileges, § 14:27 to
§ 14:41
Purpose of privileges, § 13:2
Self-incrimination
see **SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVI-
LEGE AGAINST**

PRIVILEGE—Cont'd

Settlement negotiation, § 13:33 to
§ 13:35
application to criminal law setting,
§ 13:35
conditions precedent, § 13:34
exceptions, § 13:34
nature and rationale, § 13:33
scope, § 13:34
Solicitor-client
see **SOLICITOR-CLIENT PRIVI-
LEGE**
Spousal, § 13:28 to § 13:32
competency rule, vs., § 13:28
conditions precedent, § 13:29
duration, § 13:30
enjoyment, § 13:29
exceptions, loss and waiver, § 13:32
invocation, § 13:29
modern source, CEA s. 4(3), § 13:28
nature and rationale, § 13:28
same-sex, common-law spouses,
application to, § 13:29
scope, informational, § 13:31
wiretap interception, and, § 13:32
Surveillance-post, § 14:35
Waiver, § 13:6
Wiretap interception, and, § 13:6, § 13:32
Work product
see litigation

PROBATIVE VALUE

See **PREJUDICIAL EFFECT**

PROOF

Burden of
see **EVIDENTIAL BURDEN;**
PERSUASIVE BURDEN
Character trait, § 9:4
Conspiracy, of, § 7:89
Criminal record, of
see **CHARACTER EVIDENCE**
Documentary evidence
see **DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE**
Onus of
see **EVIDENTIAL BURDEN;**
PERSUASIVE BURDEN
Previous convictions, § 6:10, § 9:58
Prior inconsistent statement, § 6:11

PROOF—Cont'd

Standards of
see EVIDENTIAL BURDEN;
PERSUASIVE BURDEN

PSYCHIATRIC EVIDENCE

Bad character, re, § 9:36
Complainant's therapeutic records
see SEXUAL OFFENCES, therapeutic records (CC 278.2)
Confidential communications
see PRIVILEGE
Good character, re, § 9:35 to § 9:46

PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY AND RELATED PRIVILEGES

See also PRIVILEGE
Generally, § 14:1
Common law of public interest immunity, § 14:2 to § 14:9
continued relevance of, § 14:9
current state of, § 14:4
extent and effects of disclosure, § 14:8
inspection of documents by court, § 14:7
test and process, § 14:5
types of claim, § 14:6
historically "absolute" privilege, § 14:3
Confidences related to public interest
see privileges related to public interest, infra
Federal statutory protections, § 14:10 to § 14:25
Canada Evidence Act, s. 39 re cabinet secrecy, § 14:21 to § 14:24
cabinet confidentiality, effect of, § 14:24
general operation of, § 14:23
scope of material covered, § 14:22
Canada Evidence Act, s. 37 re general claims, § 14:11 to § 14:14
appellate review, § 14:14
general operation of, § 14:13
jurisdiction, § 14:12
Canada Evidence Act, s. 38 re international relations, national defence and national security, § 14:15 to § 14:20
appellate review, § 14:18
Attorney General's override, § 14:20

PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY AND RELATED PRIVILEGES—Cont'd

Federal statutory protections, § 14:10 to § 14:25—Cont'd
Canada Evidence Act, s. 38 re international relations, national defence and national security, § 14:15 to § 14:20—Cont'd
general operation of, § 14:17
jurisdiction, § 14:16
secrecy provisions, § 14:19
Canadian Security Intelligence Service, s. 18.1 re human source privilege, § 14:25
Law of privilege, relationship to, § 14:1
Privileges related to public interest, § 14:27 to § 14:41
informer privilege
see INFORMER PRIVILEGE
investigative technique privilege, § 14:35
jury deliberations, § 14:36 to § 14:41
constitutionality of, § 14:41
Criminal Code, s. 649(2018)—2, § 14:39
rationale for, § 14:37
relationship between common law rule and, § 14:40
scope of common law rule re, § 14:38
surveillance-post privilege, § 14:35
Provincial and territorial statutory protections, § 14:26

QUESTIONING OF DETAINED PERSONS

See CONFESSIONS

RAPE SHIELD PROVISIONS

See SEXUAL OFFENCES

REAL EVIDENCE

Generally, § 23:1
Accused as, § 23:13
Authentication, § 23:4 to § 23:8
general rule, § 23:4
manner of, § 23:6
computers, internet, evidence originating from, § 23:8
crime scene video tapes, § 23:7
standard of proof, § 23:5
Continuity, § 23:10.50

REAL EVIDENCE—Cont'd

- Defined, § 23:2
- Exclusion of
 - discretion of court, § 23:9
- Exhibits —
 - editing/altering, § 23:11
 - handling/processing of, § 23:12
 - interpreting, § 23:14
- Jury deliberations, introduced during, § 23:17
- Juryroom, § 23:16
- Prosecution disclosure/preservation, § 23:3
- Recording evidence, § 23:15
- Testing of, § 23:10
- Witness as, § 23:13

REASONABLE DOUBT

- See PERSUASIVE BURDEN

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT

- Bail hearing, reasons for judgment in, § 35:29
- General principles, § 35:28

REBUTTAL EVIDENCE

- See REPLY EVIDENCE

RECORDINGS

- See REAL EVIDENCE

RECORDS

- Hearsay rule and
 - see HEARSAY RULE
- Medical, psychiatric or counselling communications, § 13:40
- Public, § 24:10 to § 24:15
- Refreshing memory from written records, § 21:11 to § 21:33
- Therapeutic, applications for and disclosure of, § 17:1 to § 17:23

RE-EXAMINATION

- Generally, § 21:150 to § 21:155
- Discretion to permit, § 21:152
- Inadmissible matters, on, § 21:154
- Leading questions, general prohibition against, § 21:153
- Parameters of, § 21:151
- Re-cross-examination in response to new matters arising in, § 21:155

RELEVANCE

- Admissibility and, § 4:7

RELEVANCE—Cont'd

- Context, importance of, § 4:3
- Determining, § 4:3
- Equivocal statements, § 4:1
- Legal principles as determinant of, § 4:3
- Logical connection as determinant of, § 4:4
- Logic and experience as determinant of, § 4:3, § 4:5
- Materiality, relationship to, § 4:2
- Meaning of, § 4:1
- Similar facts and
 - see SIMILAR FACT RULE
- Social context and, § 4:6
- Threshold re, § 4:1

RE-OPENING

- Crown case, § 21:171 to § 21:182
 - application to reply, comparison to, § 21:180
 - application to re-open brought at first or second stage, § 21:181
 - application to re-open brought at third stage, § 21:182
 - when closed but defence has not elected, § 21:173
 - when defence has elected, § 21:174
 - examples of when Crown allowed to re-open, § 21:176
 - ex improviso test no longer valid, § 21:177
 - prejudice not alleviated by allowing defence to re-open or recall Crown witnesses, § 21:178
 - rationale for severely restricting Crown's ability to re-open, § 21:175
 - whether defence would have been different not relevant factor, § 21:179
 - when not yet closed, § 21:172
- Defence case, § 21:183 to § 21:185
 - after conviction, § 21:185
 - before conviction, § 21:184

REPLY EVIDENCE

- Case-splitting, rule against —
 - Aalders decision does not change law re, § 21:160
 - general parameters of, § 21:156
 - other rules governing admissibility of reply evidence and, § 21:157

REPLY EVIDENCE—Cont'd

- Case-splitting, rule against —Cont'd
 - rationale for, § 21:156
 - refining, § 21:158
- Countering prospective defences, § 21:161
- Discretion of trial judge to allow, § 21:159
- Irrelevant whether elicited from defence witness in chief or cross-examination, § 21:162
- Lately discovered evidence, § 21:163
- Permissible - examples of, § 21:164
 - character evidence, § 21:167
 - disproving alibi, § 21:166
 - expert evidence, § 21:165
 - NCRMD defence, § 21:168
 - prior inconsistent statements, § 21:169
- Surreply, § 21:170

REPUTATION

- Character and, § 9:39
- Untruthfulness, for, § 6:12

RES GESTAE

- Hearsay exception, as
 - see HEARSAY RULE

SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST

- See also SILENCE, RIGHT TO
- Generally, § 15:1
- Non-testimonial compulsion: s. 7 of Charter, § 15:15, § 15:16
 - linguistic evidence, § 15:15
 - non-linguistic evidence, § 15:16
- Testimonial compulsion, § 15:2 to § 15:14
 - accused's non-compellability, § 15:2
 - adverse inferences from accused failing to testify, § 15:4
 - Charter s. 11(c), application of, § 15:3
 - administrative proceedings, § 15:3
 - corporate defendants, § 15:3
 - extradition proceedings, § 15:3
 - forfeiture proceedings under Customs Act, § 15:3
 - post-conviction proceedings, § 15:3
 - constitutional exemption from testifying: s. 7 of Charter, § 15:12 to § 15:14
 - contemporary rule, § 15:13

SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST—Cont'd

- Testimonial compulsion, § 15:2 to § 15:14—Cont'd
 - constitutional exemption from testifying: s. 7 of Charter, § 15:12 to § 15:14—Cont'd
 - history of, § 15:12
 - timing of application re, § 15:14
 - derivative use immunity: s. 7 of Charter, § 15:9
 - proving that evidence is derivative, § 15:11
 - rationale for, § 15:10
 - transactional immunity, § 15:9
 - use immunity: s. 13 of Charter, § 15:5
 - contemporary rule: R. v. Henry and R. v. Nedelcu, § 15:7
 - cross-examination on knowledge of protection, § 15:8
 - history of, § 15:6

SENTENCING

- CC provisions, § 36:1
- Character evidence, § 36:4
- Community impact statements, § 36:17
- Dangerous offender hearings, § 36:10
- Defence conduct, relevance of, § 36:12
- Discretion to exclude evidence, § 36:2
- Distinctive evidentiary contexts, § 36:1
- Extrinsic criminal conduct, § 36:5
- Factual findings, § 36:6
- Fresh evidence, § 36:10
- Hearsay evidence, § 36:8
- Judge
 - judicial role re Gladue requirements, § 36:14
 - role in adducing evidence, § 36:13
- Jury charge, § 31:33.50
- Minimal reliability threshold, § 36:3
- Offender statements, § 36:18
- Pre-sentence reports, § 36:15
- Sentencing circles, factual differences, § 36:7
- Trial evidence, use of, § 36:9
- Victim impact statements, § 36:16

SEXUAL OFFENCES

- Evidentiary rules re, Generally, § 16:1
- Historical offences, § 16:27, § 17:9

SEXUAL OFFENCES—Cont'd

- Prior sexual history evidence (CC s. 276), § 16:2 to § 16:28
 - categories of relevance (CC s. 276(2)), § 16:13 to § 16:21
 - generally, § 16:13
 - consent defence, § 16:14
 - credibility of complainant, § 16:17
 - motive to fabricate, § 16:17
 - prior inconsistent statements, § 16:17
 - reliability, § 16:17
 - mistaken belief in consent defence, § 16:15
 - narrative or context, § 16:21
 - characterization as circumstantial not character evidence, § 16:2
 - factors to be balanced with probative value (CC s. 276(3)), § 16:22
 - general principles, § 16:4 to § 16:7
 - Crown, application of provision to, § 16:7
 - exclusionary rule, § 16:6
 - purpose of rape shield provision: preventing discrimination, § 16:5
 - test for admissibility, § 16:4
 - history of rape shield provision, § 16:3
 - HIV non-disclosure, § 16:16
 - procedure, § 16:23 to § 16:28
 - affidavit, § 16:24
 - historical offences, § 16:27
 - timing of application, § 16:26
 - voir dire, § 16:25
 - sexual activity, meaning of, § 16:8 to § 16:12
 - childhood sexual exploration, § 16:10
 - post-allegation consensual sexual activity, § 16:11
 - prior non-consensual acts, § 16:12
 - virginity, § 16:9
- Sexual reputation evidence (CC s. 277), § 16:29
- Therapeutic records (CC s. 278.2), § 17:1 to § 17:23
 - constitutional considerations, § 17:1, § 17:2
 - insufficient grounds for production (CC s. 278.3(4)), § 17:12

SEXUAL OFFENCES—Cont'd

- Therapeutic records (CC s. 278.2), § 17:1 to § 17:23—Cont'd
 - likely relevance threshold, § 17:14 to § 17:23
 - behavioural issues, § 17:19
 - credibility and prior inconsistent statements, § 17:16
 - diaries, § 17:15
 - disclosure of abuse in therapy, § 17:18
 - false allegations, § 17:21
 - false memory syndrome, § 17:23
 - lack of complaint in record, § 17:17
 - mental health diagnosis, § 17:20
 - narrative or context, § 17:22
 - procedure, § 17:9 to § 17:11
 - notice, § 17:11
 - preliminary inquiry, § 17:10
 - service, § 17:11
 - production to court and accused (CC ss. 278.4 and 278.5), § 17:13
 - provisions governing production, § 17:3, § 17:4
 - two-stage procedure, § 17:4
 - records falling under CC s. 278.2, § 17:5 to § 17:8
 - information in hands of police, § 17:7
 - joint sessions with complainant and accused, § 17:8
 - reasonable expectation of privacy, § 17:6
 - “record,” definition of (CC s. 278.1), § 17:5

SILENCE, RIGHT TO

- See also SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST
- Hebert rule, § 15:17
 - active elicitation requirement, § 15:21
 - right applies only during detention, § 15:19
 - state agency requirement, § 15:20
 - statements to persons in authority not included, § 15:18
- Inferences from pre-trial silence, § 15:22 to § 15:24
 - alibi, failure to give timely and sufficient notice of, § 15:24
 - permissible adverse inferences, § 15:23
 - permissible uses of silence, § 15:23

SIMILAR FACT RULE

- Admission of similar fact evidence, standard of proof, § 10:70
- Appellate review, § 10:79
- Canada, in, § 10:16 to § 10:26
 - generally, § 10:16
 - development of rule, § 10:18
 - English authority, adoption of, § 10:17
 - Makin v. New South Wales, influence of, § 10:17
 - principled approach
 - see principled approach, *infra*
 - relevance analysis
 - see relevance, *infra*
- Co-accused, similar acts of, § 10:71
 - jury instructions, § 10:72
 - rules re, § 10:71
- English common law, development of rule in, § 10:8 to § 10:15
 - generally, § 10:15
 - category approach, demise of, § 10:11
 - disputed allegations of similar acts, § 10:10
 - gatekeeper function, § 10:11, § 10:12
 - homosexual offences, § 10:10
 - “improbability of similar lies” analysis, § 10:10
 - juries, faith in, § 10:13
 - miscarriages of justice, § 10:14
 - propensity reasoning, prohibition of, § 10:9
 - relaxation of rule, § 10:14
 - similar facts vs. similar allegations, § 10:9
 - specific propensity, § 10:9
- Exclusionary rule, see also principled approach, *infra*
 - policy rationale for, § 10:2
 - investigative procedures issue, § 10:2
 - trial fairness issue, § 10:2
 - statement of, § 10:1 to § 10:7
- Group identification evidence, § 10:73 to § 10:75
 - conclusiveness test, re, § 10:73 to § 10:75
 - rotating gang, § 10:73 to § 10:75
 - static gang, § 10:73 to § 10:75
- Improbability of coincidence analysis, § 10:23, § 10:44 to § 10:64
- Issues in question, § 10:28 to § 10:37

SIMILAR FACT RULE—Cont'd

- Jury instructions, limiting, § 10:76 to § 10:78
 - generally, § 10:76 to § 10:78
 - negative instruction, § 10:78
 - positive instruction, § 10:77
- Multiple count indictments, § 10:76 to § 10:78 to § 10:82
 - evidence on each count, § 10:80
 - multi-count indictments, cross-admissibility, § 10:81
 - need to sever counts, § 10:82
- Prejudicial effect, § 10:65 to § 10:67
 - moral prejudice, § 10:66
 - reasoning prejudice, § 10:67
 - whether probative value exceeds, § 10:68
- Principled approach, see also probative value, *infra*
 - current rule stated, § 10:27 to § 10:69
 - prejudicial effect
 - see prejudicial effect, *supra*
 - probative value
 - see probative value, *infra*
 - threshold issues, § 10:28 to § 10:37
 - satisfaction of, § 10:28 to § 10:37
 - whether evidence caught by exclusionary rule, § 10:30
 - conduct framing subject-matter of charge, evidence of, § 10:31
 - conduct/narrative/relationship, evidence tendered re, § 10:33
 - context exception, § 10:33
 - habit and physical state, evidence of, § 10:32
 - motive/animus and post-offence conduct, evidence, § 10:33
 - other purposes, evidence tendered for, § 10:33
 - prior discreditable conduct evidence, § 10:33
 - whether evidence discreditable, § 10:29
 - whether evidence linked to accused, § 10:37
- Probative value, § 10:3, § 10:18, § 10:23, § 10:38 to § 10:64, § 10:68
 - assessment, § 10:44 to § 10:64
 - factors informing inquiry, § 10:47 to § 10:58
 - context/circumstances, § 10:47 to § 10:58

SIMILAR FACT RULE—Cont'd

- Probative value, § 10:3, § 10:18, § 10:23, § 10:38 to § 10:64, § 10:68—Cont'd
 - assessment, § 10:44 to § 10:64
 - Cont'd
 - factors informing inquiry, § 10:47 to § 10:58—Cont'd
 - detail, similarities in, § 10:47 to § 10:58
 - intervening events, § 10:47 to § 10:58
 - number of occurrences, § 10:47 to § 10:58
 - proximity in time, § 10:47 to § 10:58
 - similarities and dissimilarities, § 10:47 to § 10:58
 - strength of evidence that similar acts occurred, § 10:47 to § 10:58
 - unifying distinctive features, § 10:47 to § 10:58
 - general to specific propensity, § 10:44 to § 10:46
 - improbability of coincidence, probative value and, § 10:44 to § 10:46
 - propensity reasoning, § 10:44 to § 10:46
 - identity proof of, § 10:39, § 10:59 to § 10:64
 - preliminary inquiries, § 10:60
 - issue to which evidence directed, § 10:39 to § 10:43
 - actus reus, to prove, § 10:39
 - credibility, to support, § 10:39
 - identity, to prove, § 10:39
 - mens rea, to prove, § 10:39
 - whether probative value exceeds, § 10:68
 - Procedural considerations, § 10:83
 - Propensity reasoning, § 10:9, § 10:23
 - specific propensity reasoning, § 10:9, § 10:23
 - Relevance, § 10:19
 - behavioural science, § 10:19
 - situationalism, § 10:19
 - trait theory, § 10:19
 - Standard of proof, § 10:70

SOCIAL CONTEXT EVIDENCE

- Generally
 - see RELEVANCE

SOCIAL CONTEXT EVIDENCE

- Cont'd
 - Aboriginal offenders and, § 3:7
 - SCC approach to, § 3:6, § 3:7

SOLEMN AFFIRMATION

- Generally, § 20:23
- Understanding, § 20:9

SOLICITOR-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

- Conditions precedent, § 13:10
- Crown and police, between, § 13:10
- Deceased client, § 13:11
- Duration, § 13:11
- Enjoyment, § 13:10
- Exceptions, § 13:13
 - furtherance of crime/unlawful conduct, communications in, § 13:14
 - innocence at stake, § 13:16
 - public safety, § 13:15
- Freedom of information legislation, relationship to, § 13:21
- Identity of client, § 13:12
- Joint consultation, § 13:10
- Lawyers, in-house, salaried, government, § 13:10
- Lawyer's fees, § 13:12
- Lawyers' offices, search of, § 13:19
- Legislative incursions, § 13:18
- Legislative obligations to produce documents and information, § 13:20
- Loss, § 13:17
- McClure application, § 13:16
- Nature and rationale, § 13:9
- Scope, informational, § 13:12
- Special issues, § 13:18
- Third party, privy to, § 13:10
- Waiver, § 13:17

SPOUSAL COMMUNICATIONS

- Compellability, § 20:16
- Privilege and, § 13:28 to § 13:32

SPOUSE

- Competence and compellability
 - see COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY
- Failure to testify
 - see ADVERSE INFERENCES

STANDARDS OF PROOF

See EVIDENTIAL BURDEN;
PERSUASIVE BURDEN;
PRESUMPTIONS

STATEMENTS

See also HEARSAY RULE
Confessions
 see CONFESSIONS
Exculpatory statements
 see EXCULPATORY STATEMENTS
Prior consistent statements
 see PRIOR CONSISTENT STATEMENTS
Prior inconsistent statements
 see PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENT
Sureties, to, § 35:22
Victim, § 36:16
Videotaped statements of, § 7:65

STRICT LIABILITY

Generally, § 28:31

SUPREME COURT OF CANADA

Evidentiary reforms of, § 3:2
 Aboriginal offenders, social context evidence re, § 3:7
 admissibility —
 defence hearsay, § 3:8
 principled approach to, § 3:5
 constitutionalizing adversarial process, § 2:3, § 3:4
 defence evidence, approach to, § 3:8
 fairness in evidence-gathering process, § 3:3
 gender and age-based stereotypes, elimination of, § 3:6
 social context approach, § 3:6, § 3:7
 credibility assessments and, § 3:7
 stereotypes, sensitivity to
 gender and age-based, § 3:6
 racial, § 3:7
 systemic racism, factoring in, § 3:7
 wrongful convictions, protection against, § 3:8

SURREPLY EVIDENCE

Generally, § 21:170

TAPE RECORDINGS

See REAL EVIDENCE

TESTIMONY

See also CROSS-EXAMINATION;
EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES;
FAILURE TO TESTIFY; FORMAL
AND INFORMAL ADMISSIONS

Co-accused, of, § 20:13
Declarant, of, § 11:3
In prior proceedings, § 7:58 to § 7:71
Prior proceedings, in, § 7:59 to § 7:64
Spousal, § 20:4, § 20:5, § 20:14 to § 20:16

THIRD PARTY RECORDS

See also SEXUAL OFFENCES
Disclosure vs. production, § 17:30
General principles, § 17:24 to § 17:29
 alternatives to production, § 17:27
 balancing relevant factors, § 17:25
 credibility, § 17:26
 likely relevance, § 17:25
 reasonable expectation of privacy, § 17:26
Jurisdiction, § 17:31
Procedure, § 17:32 to § 17:35
 notice, § 17:33
 service, § 17:33
 timing, § 17:35
 voir dire, § 17:34

TRIAL

See CRIMINAL TRIAL

TRIAL FAIRNESS

Administration of justice, bringing into disrepute, § 5:31, § 5:33
Case-splitting, § 6:6
Charter rights and values and, § 5:34
“Fairness,” concept of, § 5:32
Harrer case, § 5:31 to § 5:36
 application of, § 5:36
 Seaboyer vs., § 5:35
Hearsay rules and, § 7:8
Historical roots, § 5:31
Jurisdiction: Harrer case vs. Seaboyer case, § 5:35
Similar fact rule, § 10:2
Threshold test, § 5:32
 assessing trial fairness, § 5:32
 repute of administration of justice, protecting, § 5:33

VERDICT

- Directed
see EVIDENTIAL BURDEN
- Unreasonable
see APPEALS

VICTIM

- Character of
see CHARACTER EVIDENCE
- Statements, § 36:16

VIDEOTAPE

- See REAL EVIDENCE

VOIR DIRE

- Confession, re
see CONFESSIONS
- Expert opinion evidence, re, § 12:49
- Rape shield provisions and, § 16:25
- Third party records, re, § 17:34

VOLUNTARINESS

- See CONFESSIONS

WITNESSES

- Accused as
see ACCUSED
- Adverse, § 21:46 to § 21:51
- Child
see CHILD
- Compellability
see COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY
- Competency
see COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

WITNESSES—Cont'd

- Corroboration
see CORROBORATION
 - Credibility of
see CREDIBILITY
 - Cross-examination of
see CROSS-EXAMINATION
 - Crown discretion to call witnesses,
§ 21:186
 - Examination of in-chief
see EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES
 - Expert
see EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE
 - Failure to call, § 33:3, § 33:9
 - Hostile, § 21:42 to § 21:45
 - Impeaching
see ADVERSE INFERENCES;
EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES;
CROSS-EXAMINATION;
PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENT
 - Interpreter for
see COURT INTERPRETERS
 - Lay witnesses
see OPINION EVIDENCE
 - Opinion evidence of
see OPINION EVIDENCE
 - Spouse as
see SPOUSE
 - Trial judge's power to call, § 21:187
 - Unfavourable
see EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES, unfavourable witnesses
- YOUNG PERSONS**
- Confessions of, § 8:28