

Table of Contents

Volume 1

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

I. RISK

- § 1:1 Profiting from Risk Management and Compliance: Basic Principles
- § 1:2 Risk
- § 1:3 Risk and Coronavirus
- § 1:3.30 COVID-19: Risk Assessment
- § 1:3.50 Evaluation of the Government's Legal and Policy Responses to the COVID-19 Pandemic
- § 1:3.70 Human Health as a First Priority
- § 1:4 Risk and Harm—A Wide Spectrum of Subject Areas
- § 1:5 Risk Matrix: Basic Principles
- § 1:6 Matrix: Macro Priorities
- § 1:7 Matrix: Micro Calculations
- § 1:8 Thresholds within the Risk Matrix: Life and Safety
- § 1:9 Risk Matrix and Due Diligence
- § 1:10 Risk Matrix and Trumping Gravity of Harm over Probability
- § 1:11 Risk and a Theory of Justice
- § 1:12 Framework: Rawls and the Veil of Ignorance
- § 1:13 Injecting a Behavioural Model into the Framework
- § 1:14 Application of Justice as Fairness to Matrix Thresholds and Priorities
- § 1:15 Application of Justice as Fairness to the Legislative Choice of Ex Ante versus Ex Post Regulation and Determination of Priorities
- § 1:16 A Fair Organization and Profit
- § 1:17 Positive Risk Matrix—Basic Principles
- § 1:18 Risk and Causes of Non-compliance: An Introduction
- § 1:19 Behavioural Theory and Predictions of Irrational Behaviour

- § 1:20 Gender, Diversity, Risk Management and Compliance
- § 1:21 Risk from the Regulator's Perspective: A Regulator's Risk Matrix
- § 1:22 Compliance Templates—Basic Principles

II. LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF RISK MANAGEMENT

- § 1:23 Ex Ante versus Ex Post
- § 1:24 Pyramid Approach
- § 1:25 True Crimes
- § 1:26 Regulatory Offences
- § 1:27 Violations and Administrative Remedies
- § 1:28 Governance and the Best Interests of the Corporation

III. DUE DILIGENCE

- § 1:29 Due Diligence
- § 1:30 Due Diligence: Common Elements in Crimes, Regulatory Offences and Administrative Violations
- § 1:31 Due Diligence and Logical Paradoxes
- § 1:32 The Reasonable Person and Gender
- § 1:33 Matrix Analysis and Due Diligence
- § 1:34 Due Diligence to Prevent Reputational Harm: A Shield and a Sword
- § 1:35 Due Diligence in Merger Review

IV. ORGANIZATIONAL LIABILITY

- § 1:36 Corporate Criminal Liability
- § 1:37 Corporate Liability for Regulatory Offences
- § 1:38 Corporate Liability for Administrative Violations
- § 1:39 Corporate Liability for Civil Liability

V. INDIVIDUAL LIABILITY—A TYPOLOGY

- § 1:40 Introduction
- § 1:41 Principal
- § 1:42 Party
- § 1:43 Authorize and Permit or Acquiesce
- § 1:44 Stigma: Offences
- § 1:45 Stigma and AMPs
- § 1:46 Risk Management Designed for Individuals

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VI. SUBSTANTIVE CRIMES, OFFENCES AND VIOLATIONS

- § 1:47 Bribery and Corruption
- § 1:48 Fraud
- § 1:49 Price Fixing
- § 1:50 Misleading Advertising
- § 1:51 Deceptive Marketing
- § 1:52 Abuse of Dominance
- § 1:53 Insider Trading and Securities Offences
- § 1:54 Environmental Offences
- § 1:55 Occupational Health and Safety
- § 1:56 Financial and Banking
- § 1:57 Risk-based Offences and Violations

VII. COMPLIANCE SYSTEMS

- § 1:58 Drivers of Compliance
- § 1:59 —Internal Drivers
- § 1:60 —External Drivers
- § 1:61 Drivers of Non-compliance
- § 1:62 Matrix Analysis and Levels of Audit
- § 1:63 Design of a Business to Enhance Compliance
- § 1:64 Gender, Diversity and Compliance
- § 1:65 The Seven Steps of Compliance
- § 1:66 —Step 1: Management Commitment and Support:
Evolution
- § 1:67 —Step 2: Risk-based Corporate Compliance
Assessment: Evolution
- § 1:68 —Step 3: Corporate Compliance Policies and
Procedures: Evolution
- § 1:69 —Step 4: Compliance Training and Communication:
Evolution
- § 1:70 —Step 5: Monitoring, Verification and Reporting
Mechanisms: Evolution
- § 1:71 —Step 6: Consistent Disciplinary Procedures and
Incentives for Compliance: Evolution
- § 1:72 —Step 7: Compliance Program Evaluation:
Evolution
- § 1:73 Templates
- § 1:74 Charts
- § 1:75 Codes
- § 1:76 Risk Software

VIII. OPERATIONAL CHANGE MANAGEMENT

- § 1:77 Profiting from Risk Compliance at Operational Levels
- § 1:78 Change Management
- § 1:79 Obstacles to Operational Change
- § 1:80 Behavioural Operational Matrix: A Blueprint for Change Management
- § 1:81 —Slow Down
- § 1:82 —Recognizing Irrational Tendencies and Counteracting Them
- § 1:83 —Welcome Dissonance: The Need for Continuing and Regular Education
- § 1:84 —Peer Review
- § 1:85 —Compensation for Compliance
- § 1:86 The Positive Matrix and Change Management
- § 1:87 The Spectrum from Permissible Persuasion to Improper Manipulation

IX. THE ZONE OF NON-DISCOVERY BY GOVERNMENT, INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS AND NEGOTIATING WITH THE GOVERNMENT

- § 1:88 Zone of Non-discovery
- § 1:89 Internal Whistleblowing
- § 1:90 Internal Investigations: Framework
- § 1:91 Internal Investigations: Protocols
- § 1:92 Is There a Duty to Cease Activities and/or Remediate
- § 1:93 Is There a Duty to Self-report?
- § 1:94 Self-reporting and the Due Diligence Defence
- § 1:95 Self-reporting and Mitigation of Penalty
- § 1:96 Game Theory in the Zone of Non-discovery
- § 1:97 Deferred Prosecutions
- § 1:98 —Legislation to Enact a Canadian Deferred Programme
- § 1:99 —Purpose of Remediation Agreements
- § 1:100 —Threshold Conditions to be Considered in Relation to the Availability of a Deferred Prosecution Agreement
- § 1:101 —Factors Considered in the Public Interest
- § 1:102 — —The Circumstances in which the Act or Omission that Forms the Basis of the Offence was

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	brought to the Attention of Investigative Authorities
§ 1:103	— —The nature and gravity of the act or omission and its impact on any victim
§ 1:104	— —The degree of involvement of senior officers of the organization in the act or omission
§ 1:105	— —Whether the organization has taken disciplinary action, including termination of employment, against any person who was involved in the act or omission
§ 1:106	— —Whether the organization has made reparations or taken other measures to remedy the harm caused by the act or omission and to prevent the commission of similar acts or omissions;
§ 1:107	— —Whether the organization has identified or expressed a willingness to identify any person involved in wrongdoing related to the act or omission
§ 1:108	— —Whether the organization—or any of its representatives—was convicted of an offence or sanctioned by a regulatory body, or whether it entered into a previous remediation agreement or other settlement, in Canada or elsewhere, for similar acts or omissions;
§ 1:109	— —Whether the organization—or any of its representatives—is alleged to have committed any other offences, including those not listed in the schedule to this Part
§ 1:110	— —Any other factor that the prosecutor considers relevant
§ 1:111	—Factors not to Consider
§ 1:112	—The List of Eligible Offences
§ 1:113	—Procedural Provisions of a Remedial Agreement
§ 1:114	—Application for Court Approval
§ 1:115	—Variation, Termination or Successful Completion of Remediation Agreements
§ 1:116	—Publication of Remedial Agreements
§ 1:117	—Regulatory aspects
§ 1:118	—Deferred Prosecutions and Individuals
§ 1:119	—Deferred Prosecutions in Regulatory Offences
§ 1:120	—Deferred Administrative Action in Administrative Schemes
§ 1:121	—Immunity and Leniency

X. THE CHARTER AND PROCEDURAL FAIRNESS

§ 1:122 Generally

XI. REMEDIES AND SENTENCING

§ 1:123 Generally

XII. DEBARMENT PROPOSALS LOOSEN THEIR GRIP BUT REACH OUT FARTHER

§ 1:124 Introduction

§ 1:125 Maximum Ceilings but no Minimum Floors

§ 1:126 Loosened Grip

§ 1:127 Matrix Analysis of Factors Based on Two Axes

§ 1:128 —Application to AMPs if Conduct is Serious, Repetitive or Otherwise Egregious

§ 1:129 —Conviction of a Provincial Offence Relating to Fraud, Collusion or Insider Trading

§ 1:130 —Commercial Integrity

§ 1:131 —Application to Foreign Offences

§ 1:132 —Actions or Omissions of Affiliates: Acquiescence and an Objective Test

§ 1:133 Conclusion

§ 1:134 —Individual Sentencing

§ 1:135 —Corporate Sentencing

XIII. CONCLUSION—PROFITING FROM RISK MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE

§ 1:136 Generally

CHAPTER 2. REGULATING AND DEREGULATING RISK

I. INTRODUCTION

§ 2:1 Generally

II. A BRIEF HISTORICAL AND PHILOSOPHICAL JOURNEY

§ 2:2 Introduction

§ 2:3 Risk and Historical Analysis of Financial Crimes

TABLE OF CONTENTS

III. DEREGULATING RISK

§ 2:4 Generally

IV. 2008 AND ITS AFTERMATH: CIVIL PENALTIES, BUT NO CONVICTION(S), CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CONSEQUENCES

§ 2:5 Generally

V. WALKERTON: A CASE STUDY OF THE IMPORTANCE OF REGULATIONS

§ 2:6 Introduction

§ 2:7 History Repeats Itself: The Water Crisis in Flint, Michigan

VI. “EVERYONE IN THE WORLD EATS A HOT DOG”

§ 2:8 Generally

VII. RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

A. LAC-MÉGANTIC, BRITISH PETROLEUM OIL SPILL, FUKUSHIMA DAIICHI NUCLEAR ACCIDENT AND VOLKSWAGEN SCANDAL: FAILURES OF RISK MANAGEMENT

§ 2:9 Lac-Mégantic Defendants Acquitted

§ 2:10 Regulatory Charges

§ 2:11 Oil by Rail

§ 2:12 Regulatory Capture Contributed to the Tragedy in Lac-Mégantic

§ 2:13 Devolving Risk Analysis, Limited Oversight

§ 2:14 Calls for Change

B. INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENTS: BRITISH PETROLEUM AND FUKUSHIMA

§ 2:15 Introduction

§ 2:16 Deepwater Horizon and British Petroleum

§ 2:17 Fukushima Daiichi

C. “IF YOU AIN’T CHEATING, YOU AIN’T TRYING”

§ 2:18 Introduction

PROFITING FROM RISK MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE

- § 2:19 Wells Fargo Cross-Selling Scandal
- § 2:20 —A Failure in Risk Management
- § 2:21 Canadian Banks Also Employ High Pressure Sales Tactics
- § 2:22 Volkswagen Emissions Scandal
- § 2:23 The Panama Papers: Regulatory Implications in Canada
- § 2:24 Bread Price Fixing: The Importance of Whistleblowers
- § 2:25 California Wildfires
- § 2:26 Boeing 737 MAX 8

VIII. PRIVACY AND THE LACK OF REGULATION

- § 2:27 Equifax Data Breach
- § 2:28 Facebook-Cambridge Analytica Data Scandal
- § 2:29 Pollution and the Effect on Indigenous Communities in Ontario—Mercury Poisoning in Grassy Narrows
- § 2:30 —“Chemical Valley”
- § 2:31 —Environmental Injustice: Ontario’s Environmental Commissioner Critical of “Decades of Neglect”
- § 2:32 —Shale Oil Wells in Saskatchewan
- § 2:33 —Allegations of Public Money Flowing to Companies Breaching Environmental Rules
- § 2:33.50 The Ongoing Water Crisis in Indigenous Communities in Canada
- § 2:34 OSC Rules Sino Forest Defrauded Its Investors
- § 2:35 Rana Plaza Collapse and Corporate Social Responsibility Standards
- § 2:36 Closure of Nova Scotia’s Northern Pulp mill
- § 2:36.50 The Freedom Convoy 2022

IX. RISKS AND FINANCIAL CRIME

- § 2:37 Generally

X. FROM RISK ASSESSMENT TO RISK MANAGEMENT AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

- § 2:38 Introduction
- § 2:39 Risk Assessment
- § 2:40 Risk Assessment: Expert Evidence versus the Public Opinion

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 2:41 Risk Calculations, Cost-benefit Calculations, and Alternate Explanations in Biology and Sociology
- § 2:42 Risk Assessment and Empirical Measurement
- § 2:43 Risk Assessment in an Era of Fake News
- § 2:44 Risk Management
- § 2:45 —Time Horizon
- § 2:46 —Scenarios
- § 2:47 —Risk Measure
- § 2:48 —Benchmarks
- § 2:49 —Grey Areas and White Collar Crime
- § 2:50 —Enterprise Risk Management

XI. CODIFICATION OF RISK: THE ROLE OF REGULATIONS

- § 2:51 Generally

XII. RISK MANAGEMENT AND ENFORCEMENT OF REGULATIONS

- § 2:52 Generally

XIII. RISK INTERPRETATION (COMMUNICATION): THE ROLE OF THE COURTS

- § 2:53 Generally

XIV. A NEW RISK-BASED REGULATORY APPROACH

- § 2:54 Generally

CHAPTER 3. COMPLIANCE: BEHAVIOURAL THEORY, GENDER AND DIVERSITY

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 3:1 Generally

II. APPLYING THE MATRIX TO ORGANIZATIONAL THEORY IN CORPORATE MANAGEMENT

A. APPLICATION OF MATRIX PLANNING AT THE POLICY LEVEL

§ 3:2 Generally

B. MATRIX PLANNING APPLIED TO SPECIFIC TASKS

§ 3:3 Introduction

§ 3:4 STEP 1: Calculation of the Probability of Non-Compliance

§ 3:5 It is not appropriate to consider the risks of getting caught as weighed against permitting the improper activity to continue

§ 3:6 STEP 2: Calculation of Potential Gravity of Harm

§ 3:7 STEP 3: Drawing the Matrix

§ 3:8 STEP 4: Identifying Thresholds Within the Matrix

C. CORPORATE COMPLIANCE SYSTEMS AND DUE DILIGENCE: A SUGGESTED SEVEN-STEP PROGRAM

§ 3:9 Introduction

§ 3:10 Self Reporting: Can this Constitute Due Diligence to be a Defence of Taking All Reasonable Measures?

§ 3:11 Corporate Compliance Programs: Competition Model

§ 3:12 Practical Tips

III. A BLUEPRINT FOR CHANGE: LINKING CAUSES OF NON-COMPLIANCE TO THE SOLUTIONS

§ 3:13 Generally

§ 3:14 Moral Incrementalism

§ 3:15 Meltdowns and Autopsies: Learning from Mistakes

§ 3:16 Blueprint for Change Techniques

IV. THE POSITIVE SIDE OF RISK AND REWARD: A POSITIVE MATRIX

§ 3:17 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**CHAPTER 4. GOVERNANCE AND THE
BEST INTERESTS OF THE CORPORATION
IN A GLOBAL CONTEXT**

- § 4:1 The New Math After Enron
- § 4:2 Moral Corporation Model
- § 4:3 Economic Corporation Model
- § 4:4 Legal Corporation Model
- § 4:5 Overlapping Models
- § 4:6 —Peoples v. Wise: Moving in the Direction of
Overlapping Models
- § 4:7 —BCE: The Legal Corporation Predominates and
Overlaps the Moral Corporation
- § 4:8 —Directors' Liability
- § 4:9 From Chain Management to Hubs
- § 4:10 The New Empiricism
- § 4:11 Diversity, Governance and Risk Management
- § 4:12 Governance for Government Entities

**CHAPTER 5. RESPONSIVE REGULATION,
RESTORATIVE JUSTICE AND
REGULATORY PYRAMIDS**

- § 5:1 Introduction
- § 5:2 Responsive Regulation
- § 5:3 Regulatory Pyramids
- § 5:4 Restorative Justice
- § 5:5 Restorative Justice and Enforcement
- § 5:6 Procedural Justice and Regulation
- § 5:7 Corporate and Individual Liability
- § 5:8 Regulating Unknown Future Risks
- § 5:9 Conclusion

**CHAPTER 6. THE GROWTH AND
VALIDITY OF ADMINISTRATIVE
MONETARY PENALTIES**

I. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

- § 6:1 Generally
- § 6:2 AMP Schemes and the Punitive Paradigm
- § 6:3 Robust Procedural and Appeal Protections
- § 6:4 Wrongful Violations and Innocence in the World of
Administrative Monetary Penalties

§ 6:5 A New Pyramid

II. ADMINISTRATIVE MONETARY PENALTIES: HISTORY AND TYPES

A. COMPETITION CIVIL TRACK

§ 6:6 Generally

B. TYPES OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES FOR REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

§ 6:7 Internal Discipline

§ 6:8 Civil Redress

§ 6:9 Tailor-Made AMPs

§ 6:10 Multiple Track AMPs

§ 6:11 AMPs with Due Diligence as a Defence

§ 6:12 AMPs Where Due Diligence is a Factor on
Sentencing

§ 6:13 Environmental AMPs: Pushing the Edge of the
Envelope

§ 6:14 —Innocent Acts Are Now Punishable

§ 6:15 —Any and All Contraventions are Subject to AMPs
in Bill 133

§ 6:16 —Calculation of the Amount of the Penalty

§ 6:17 —Applicability only to a “Regulated Person”

§ 6:18 —Reverse Onus Provisions in the New
Environmental AMPs

§ 6:19 —Double Jeopardy

§ 6:20 Institutional Features of AMP Schemes—Hearings

§ 6:21 —Appeals

§ 6:22 —Ability to Pay

§ 6:23 —Summary

III. CONSTITUTIONAL PARAMETERS

A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

§ 6:24 Introduction: The *Guindon* decision

§ 6:25 Framing the Punitive Paradigm

§ 6:26 —A Balancing Test to Determine Whether the
Punitive Paradigm and Constitutional Rights
Will Apply

§ 6:27 Section 7: A Potential Alternate Route

§ 6:28 Section 11: Pre *Guindon* Cases: The Historical
Context

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 6:29	—The “by nature” Test
§ 6:30	—The “true penal consequences” Test
§ 6:31	—Securities AMPs
§ 6:32	—Investment Enforcement
§ 6:33	Implications of “Offence” Characterization
§ 6:34	—Burden of Proof
§ 6:35	—Disclosure of Evidence
§ 6:36	—Impartiality of the Tribunal
§ 6:37	—Void for Vagueness
§ 6:38	—Section 1
§ 6:39	Post- <i>Guindon</i> Cases
§ 6:40	AMPS: there is no Afterlife after Bankruptcy
§ 6:40.50	Implications of “Offence” Characterization— Section 12: Cruel and Unusual Punishment

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW PRINCIPLES

§ 6:41	Introduction
§ 6:42	Scope of Disclosure of Details of Complaints or Nature of the Violation
§ 6:42.50	—A Duty to Warn
§ 6:43	When Will an Oral Hearing Be Granted as Contrasted to the Making of Written Submissions Concerning the Imposition of an AMP?
§ 6:44	Should the Enforcement Branch in Charge of Investigating and Issuing Notices of Violations Be Formally Separated?
§ 6:45	Should the enforcement branch in charge of investigating and issuing Notices of Violations be formally separated from other divisions of the CRTC?

V. POLICY ARGUMENTS

A. A PRINCIPLED APPROACH TOWARD AMPS

§ 6:46	Introduction
§ 6:47	A Proposed Regulatory Pyramid—Warning Letters
§ 6:48	—Mediation
§ 6:49	—Ticket AMPs
§ 6:50	—Basket (Multiple Track) and Hybrid AMPs
§ 6:51	—Topic Specific AMPs
§ 6:52	—Mandatory Orders
§ 6:53	—Quasi-Criminal Sanctions

- § 6:54 —Apex of the Pyramid
- § 6:55 —De-escalating the Pyramid

VI. BRIGHT LINES

- § 6:56 Generally

VII. A PRINCIPLED APPROACH

- § 6:57 Introduction
- § 6:58 Principle 1: AMPs Should be Capped at a Moderate Level to Minimize the Damage from the Possibility of a Wrongful Finding of a Violation
- § 6:59 Principle 2: AMPs Should be capped at Moderate Levels to Draw a Bright line between Monetary Penalties (Prices) and Regulatory and Criminal Offences (Sanctions)
 - § 6:60 —Violations of Which the Regulator is Aware
 - § 6:61 —Violations of Which the Regulator is Not Aware
- § 6:62 Principle 3: AMPs Imposed for Anti-Competitive Conduct Should Only be Imposed After a Warning Letter has been Issued and Non-Compliance has Continued
- § 6:63 Principle 4: The Criteria for Assessment of the Amount of a Particular AMP Should Reflect Risk Management and Compliance Efforts
- § 6:64 Principle 5: Separate Categories of AMPs Should be Created in Proportion to the Gravity of Potential Harm
- § 6:65 Principle 6: Procedure Should Precisely Match the Escalating Penalty Sought, and can be Varied at Each Level
- § 6:66 Principle 7: At the Higher Levels of Penalty, Judicial Membership in the Tribunal May Counter the Problem of Potential Agency Bias When Administrative Agencies are Given the Power to Levy Monetary Penalties
- § 6:67 Principle 8: The Right to an Appeal Should Become Wider as the Penalty Category Increases
- § 6:68 Principle 9: Ex Post Penalties Should be Severe Enough to Act as a Disincentive—The New Role of Regulatory Offences
- § 6:69 Principle 10: New Criminal Offences
- § 6:70 Principle 11: Ex Post Enforcement Should be Swift

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VIII. A SUGGESTED APPROACH TOWARD DETERMINING THE MAGNITUDE OF ADMINISTRATIVE MONETARY PENALTIES IN SPECIFIC CASES

- § 6:71 Regulatory Pyramids
- § 6:72 Factors to Determine the Quantum of an AMP
- § 6:73 A Matrix Approach
- § 6:74 —Matrix Analysis Simplifies the Analysis in Three Respects
- § 6:75 Caps on AMPs and Warnings
- § 6:76 Post-Violation Rehabilitation Efforts

IX. “CLEAR AND CONVINCING” EVIDENCE CANNOT RESIDE IN THE HOUSE OF BALANCE OF PROBABILITIES: A SCIENTIFIC APPROACH

- § 6:77 Part 1—Introduction
- § 6:78 Part 2—Different Standards for Different Regimes—
If the legislation is silent, the “common law balance of probabilities” standard of proof applies
- § 6:79 Part 2 — Different Standards for Different Regimes—If the legislation in issue explicitly refers to the standard of “clear and convincing” evidence, this stipulation creates a higher standard of proof than balance of probabilities
- § 6:80 Part 3—A Scientific Analysis
- § 6:81 A Scientific Analysis—Assigning Mathematical Numbers to Categories of Standards of Proof
- § 6:82 —Conventional Probability versus Competing Models
- § 6:83 —Science, Mathematics and Risk Management
- § 6:84 Part 4—Burdens of Proof and Moral Incrementalism
- § 6:85 Part 5—A Patchwork Quilt of Legal Regimes
Occupying the Space Where Serious Allegations of Misconduct Are Made
- § 6:86 Part 6—Conclusion: Legislative Reform
- § 6:87 Conclusion: Legislative Reform—Administrative monetary penalties (AMPs) are penalties that punish and are not the same as civil damages
- § 6:88 —Despite the penalty role of AMPs, the Balance of Probabilities Standard is well suited to minor or middle range AMPs and Discipline Hearings which do not involve the loss of a licence

- § 6:89 —The Higher Standard of Proof of Clear and Convincing Evidence is appropriate for more serious AMPs and Discipline Hearings, particularly those involving significant reputational damage

X. THE POLITICS OF AMPS

- § 6:90 Support for the Concept of AMPS
§ 6:91 The Inverting of the Pyramid
§ 6:92 Negative Consequences of an Inverted Pyramid
§ 6:93 The Way Forward

CHAPTER 7. EVOLUTION AND CLASSIFICATION OF OFFENCES

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 7:1 Generally

II. EX ANTE VERSUS EX POST RULES

- § 7:2 Introduction
§ 7:3 Advantages of Ex Ante Systems
§ 7:4 Disadvantages of an Ex Ante System
§ 7:5 A Suggested Approach
§ 7:6 —The Proper Role for Ex Ante Regulation
§ 7:7 — —Pro-Active Ex Ante Constraints
§ 7:8 — —Risk Assessment as a Core Concept
§ 7:9 —Rules versus Principles within Ex Ante
§ 7:10 —Rules versus Principles within Ex Post
§ 7:11 —Application of Four Models

III. HISTORICAL EXPANSION OF THE CRIMINAL MODEL

- § 7:12 Generally

IV. PHILOSOPHICAL REVIEW OF REGULATORY OFFENCES BY THE LAW REFORM COMMISSION OF CANADA

- § 7:13 Introduction
§ 7:14 Pro-active Prescriptions
§ 7:15 Negligence versus Intentional Conduct
§ 7:16 Streamlined Hearings versus Traditional Trials

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 7:17 Lower Stigma
- § 7:18 No Jail
- § 7:19 A New Code

V. THE BUILDING OF THE HALFWAY HOUSE IN CANADA

- § 7:20 Generally

VI. THE IMPACT OF THE CHARTER ON REGULATORY OFFENCES: EXPANDING THE REACH OF STRICT LIABILITY

- § 7:21 Generally

VII. FROM WHOLESALE TRAVEL TO REGULATORY OFFENCES IN THE NEW MILLENNIUM

- § 7:22 Generally

VIII. A METHODOLOGY FOR CLASSIFICATION

- § 7:23 Generally

IX. THE USE OF CIVIL AND ADMINISTRATIVE TRACKS: FULL CIRCLE BACK TO THE LAW REFORM COMMISSION'S PROPOSALS

- § 7:24 Introduction
- § 7:25 More Dual Tracks

X. CONSTITUTIONAL OBSTACLES

- § 7:26 Generally

XI. COMPETITION ACT AMENDMENTS 2009

- § 7:27 Introduction
- § 7:28 Cartels/Conspiracy
- § 7:29 Civil/Administrative Track
- § 7:30 Abuse of Dominance
- § 7:31 —Substantial or Complete Control (Section 79(1)(a) of the Competition Act)
- § 7:32 —Practice of Anti-Competitive Acts (Section 79(1)(b) of the Competition Act)

- § 7:33 —Substantial Prevention of Competition (Section 79(1)(c) of the Competition Act)
- § 7:34 Misleading/Deceptive Advertising

XII. COMPETITION ACT AMENDMENTS 2022

- § 7:35 Generally

XIII. THE NEED FOR A NEW HIERARCHY OF OFFENCES

- § 7:36 Generally

CHAPTER 8. THE ACTUS REUS: A RISK-BASED SYSTEM

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 8:1 Generally
- § 8:2 The Newest Word From the Supreme Court of Canada in Regulatory Offences: *R. v. Greater Sudbury (City)*
- § 8:3 —A Broad definition of “employer” does not require the prosecution to prove control
- § 8:4 —The Safety Valve of Due diligence
- § 8:5 —Functional Analysis: Employers Have the Best Evidence About Contractual Relationships with subcontractors
- § 8:6 — —Prosecutors should exercise discretion
- § 8:7 — —The fiction that regulatory offences do not punish should be jettisoned
- § 8:8 —The Dissent with Respect to Control
- § 8:9 —The Test of Stigma
- § 8:10 —The Sequel
- § 8:11 —The Path Forward

II. TYPES OF EX POST REGULATION

- § 8:12 Introduction
- § 8:13 Precise Codified Standards
- § 8:14 Specific Prescription with Limited Site-specific Assessment
- § 8:15 General Prohibitions with Site-specific Balancing
- § 8:16 —Inherently Toxic
- § 8:17 —Not Inherently Toxic

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:18 —Site Specific Licences
- § 8:19 Reasonableness Components in the Actus Reus of Some Offences

III. PROSECUTORIAL DISCRETION TO CHOOSE WHICH REGIME

- § 8:20 Generally

IV. IS FORESEEABILITY PART OF THE ACTUS REUS OR DUE DILIGENCE OR BOTH?

- § 8:21 Is Foreseeability part of the Actus Reus?
- § 8:22 The Ontario approach: Uncertainty as to whether Foreseeability is an issue that the prosecution must prove or whether it is deferred to the due diligence stage
- § 8:23 The Western Approach: the reasonable foreseeability of steps needed to address an unsafe condition is to be considered in deciding whether both the Crown and defence have met their respective but different burdens of proof
- § 8:24 The pathway forward: The doctrine of Threshold Foreseeability

V. THE ALLOCATION OF BURDENS OF PROOF WILL IMPACT THE DOCTRINE THAT THE PROSECUTION CANNOT SPLIT ITS CASE

- § 8:25 The rule against the Crown splitting its case
- § 8:26 —The issue of foreseeability and splitting the Crown's case under the prevailing Ontario law: with respect to foreseeability
- § 8:27 —The issue of foreseeability and splitting the Crown's case under the Western Provinces cases
- § 8:28 —The way forward: The doctrine of Threshold Foreseeability

VI. THE ROLE OF EXPERT EVIDENCE IN THE PROOF OF THE ACTUS REUS

- § 8:29 Evidence law with respect to expert evidence

VII. BEHAVIORAL RESEARCH AND PROOF OF THE ACTUS REUS

- § 8:30 Critiques of the Foreseeability Doctrine

- § 8:31 Behavioral Research and Foreseeability
- § 8:32 Risk of Hindsight Bias
- § 8:33 Courts recognition of the dangers of tunnel vision and hindsight bias
- § 8:34 Layers and levels of risk
- § 8:35 A Suggested Mathematical Approach

VIII. SUBSEQUENT REMEDIAL MEASURES

- § 8:36 Generally

IX. CONTINUING OFFENCES?

- § 8:37 Generally

X. MATCHING TYPES OF REGULATION TO DUE DILIGENCE

- § 8:38 Generally

XI. SUBSEQUENT CIVIL PROCEEDINGS: ARE FINDINGS REGARDING THE ACTUS REUS ADMISSIBLE OR POTENTIALLY RES JUDICATA?

- § 8:39 Generally

XII. INTERSECTION OF CRIMINAL CODE JURISPRUDENCE WITH REGULATORY LAW

- § 8:40 Generally

CHAPTER 9. DUE DILIGENCE

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 9:1 Generally

II. THE REASONABLE PERSON: NEGLIGENCE, DUE DILIGENCE AND ALL REASONABLE MEASURES

- § 9:2 Generally

III. RES IPSA LOQUITUR AND THE COMMON LAW FLAW

- § 9:3 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**IV. REGULATORY OFFENCES: A PARADOXICAL
TALE OF TWO LEVELS OF RISK ANALYSIS**

§ 9:4 Generally

**V. STEPS TAKEN TO PREVENT THE
PARTICULAR EVENT: ANOTHER PARADOX**

§ 9:5 Generally

§ 9:5.50 Examples of the Paradox

§ 9:5.51 The Defence Need not “Negate” the Actus Reus

§ 9:5.52 The Importance of Demonstrating a System is in
Place *Before* the Act in Issue occurs

§ 9:5.53 The Burden of a Balance of Probabilities to Show
Diligence Equals 50.1%

VI. MISTAKE OF FACT

§ 9:6 Generally

VII. OFFICIALLY INDUCED ERROR

§ 9:7 Generally

**VIII. THE SHOPPING LIST OF 14 FACTORS OF
DUE DILIGENCE: PART RISK
ASSESSMENT, PART RISK MANAGEMENT**

§ 9:8 Introduction

§ 9:9 The Nature and Gravity of the Adverse Effect

§ 9:10 The Foreseeability of the Effect, Including Abnormal
Sensitivities

§ 9:11 The Alternative Solutions Available

§ 9:12 Legislative or Regulatory Compliance

§ 9:13 Industry Standards

§ 9:14 The Character of the Neighbourhood

§ 9:15 What Efforts Have Been Made to Address the
Problem

§ 9:16 Over What Period of Time and Promptness of
Response

§ 9:17 Matters Beyond the Control of the Accused,
Including Technological Limitations

§ 9:18 Skill Level Expected of the Defendant

§ 9:19 The Complexities Involved

§ 9:20 Preventative Systems

- § 9:21 Economic Considerations
- § 9:22 —Economic Factors and Governmental Defendants:
A Subset
- § 9:23 Actions of Government Officials
- § 9:24 Summary of 14 Factors

IX. TRENDS IN THE DUE DILIGENCE CASES

- § 9:25 Generally

X. A MATRIX FOR RISK MANAGEMENT IN DUE DILIGENCE

- § 9:26 Introduction
- § 9:27 Precautions Taken to Avoid the Event
- § 9:28 Systems to Measure Potential Gravity of Impact
- § 9:29 External Factors to Risk Management

XI. A TEMPLATE FOR DUE DILIGENCE

- § 9:30 Generally

XII. GENDER: A NEW REASONABLE PERSON

- § 9:31 Generally

XIII. THE COSTS CONSEQUENCES OF DUE DILIGENCE

- § 9:32 Introduction
- § 9:33 Crown has Proven the Actus Reus Beyond a
Reasonable Doubt
- § 9:34 Due Diligence: The Shopping List of Factors
Expands Towards a Civil Model
- § 9:35 Proposal for Reform for Costs in Regulatory
Proceedings

XIV. THE CIVIL CONSEQUENCES OF DUE DILIGENCE

- § 9:36 Generally

XV. DUE DILIGENCE PRINCIPLES APPLIED IN MENS REA OFFENCES: A TEMPLATE FOR WHITE COLLAR CRIME

- § 9:37 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**CHAPTER 10. THE CHANGING FACE OF
CORPORATE AND ORGANIZATIONAL
CRIMINAL LIABILITY**

I. INTRODUCTION

§ 10:1 Generally

**II. THE OLD LAW OF DIRECTING MINDS AND
THE POLICY-MAKING/MANAGERIAL DIVIDE**

§ 10:2 Introduction

§ 10:3 Multiple Minds

§ 10:4 Policy versus Operational Decisions

§ 10:5 Rogue Managers and Directors

**III. MODERN ORGANIZATIONS AND PROBLEMS
OF PROOF**

§ 10:6 Generally

**IV. THE NEW LAW OF EXPANDED
ORGANIZATIONAL CRIMINAL LIABILITY**

§ 10:7 Expanded Definition of Organization

§ 10:8 New Definition of Senior Officer

§ 10:9 A Fundamental Shift

§ 10:10 Responsible for Managing

§ 10:11 Important Aspect of the Organization's Activities

§ 10:12 Implications for Sentencing of a Corporation—
Above the Senior Officer Rung, the Ladder is Flat

§ 10:13 —Analysis of Factors

§ 10:14 A Matrix to Determine Senior Officer Status

§ 10:15 Expanded Definition of Representative

§ 10:16 Is There a Two-step Requirement that the
Prosecution Show Recklessness and then a
Failure to take Reasonable Care?

§ 10:17 Summary: A Dichotomy when Dealing with Agents
or Contractors

§ 10:18 Matrix Analysis

§ 10:19 Subjective Intent Offences

§ 10:20 A Step-by-step Analysis of Section 22.2: Senior
Officer Level Distinguished from Sectors under
Senior Officers' Supervision

- § 10:21 Senior Officer Level
- § 10:22 —Intent at Least in Part to Benefit the Organization
- § 10:23 —Acting Within the Scope of their Authority
- § 10:24 —Directs the Work of Other Representatives
- § 10:25 Levels Below that of Senior Officer—Taking of All Reasonable Measures as a Potential Defence
- § 10:26 — —Reasonable Steps and Mathematical Formulas
- § 10:27 Wilful Blindness
- § 10:28 Negligence Offences
- § 10:29 Protecting Workers
- § 10:30 Comparative Perspectives and the Compliance Defence

V. A PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF THE NEW LAW

- § 10:31 Introduction
- § 10:32 Bill C-45 Track Record
- § 10:33 A Comparison with the United States
- § 10:34 Convergence of the Left and Right
- § 10:35 The Symbolic Role of Corporate Criminal Liability
- § 10:36 Individual versus Corporate Liability
- § 10:37 The Role of an Imbedded Auditor
- § 10:38 Incentives for Private Informations
- § 10:39 A Restorative Justice Approach Towards the Enforcement of Bill C-45
- § 10:40 Corporate Civil Liability

CHAPTER 11. CORPORATE DIRECTING MINDS AND REGULATORY OFFENCES

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 11:1 Generally

II. DIRECTING MIND IN REGULATORY OFFENCES AND ADMINISTRATIVE MONETARY PENALTIES

- § 11:2 The “Directing Mind” of Corporate Due Diligence: True Crimes Compared to Regulatory Offences
- § 11:3 The Regulatory Offence: Primary Corporate Liability

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 11:4 Administrative Monetary Penalties: Primary Corporate Liability
- § 11:5 Directing Mind: From Sword to Shield in Regulatory Offences and Administrative Penalties

III. ILLEGAL, BUT NOT CRIMINAL

- § 11:6 Generally

IV. LEGISLATIVE REFORMS AND THE ROLE OF REGULATORY OFFENCES

- § 11:7 Generally

CHAPTER 12. INDIVIDUAL LIABILITY WITHIN ORGANIZATIONS

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 12:1 Generally

II. TYPES OF LIABILITY

- § 12:2 Principal
- § 12:3 —Nature of Testimony
- § 12:4 —Due Diligence and Reliance upon Experts
- § 12:5 Party
- § 12:6 Authorize, Permit or Acquiesce
- § 12:7 Specific Duties to Take Reasonable Care
- § 12:8 Statutory Language Defining Influence and Control
- § 12:9 Common Law Influence or Control: Back to Basic Liability as a Principal (Instructs) or Party (Aids and Abets)

III. SUMMARY

- § 12:10 Generally

CHAPTER 13. THE STIGMA OF INDIVIDUAL LIABILITY IN THE 21ST CENTURY

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 13:1 Generally

**II. THE NEW SECURITIES OFFENCES: A WOLF
IN SHEEP'S CLOTHING**

§ 13:2 Generally

III. A RETURN TRIP TO WHOLESALE TRAVEL

§ 13:3 Introduction

§ 13:4 Stigma

§ 13:5 Licensing Rationale

§ 13:6 Discovery of Evidence in Regulatory Offences

**IV. A NEW GENERAL THEORY OF LICENSING
INDIVIDUAL EXPOSURE**

§ 13:7 Generally

**CHAPTER 14. RISK MANAGEMENT
SYSTEMS FOR INDIVIDUALS**

I. INTRODUCTION

§ 14:1 Generally

II. COMPLIANCE OFFICERS

§ 14:2 Generally

**III. SYSTEMS FOR INDIVIDUAL DUE
DILIGENCE SEPARATED FROM
CORPORATE DUE DILIGENCE**

§ 14:3 Introduction

§ 14:4 Documentation of Individual Due Diligence

§ 14:5 Competing Directing Minds

§ 14:6 Conflicts of Interest

§ 14:7 Compensation

§ 14:8 Indemnities

**IV. THE DYNAMIC BETWEEN INDIVIDUALS
AND CORPORATIONS WHEN CHARGES
OCCUR**

§ 14:9 Generally

V. INDIVIDUAL MORALITY WITHIN THE GROUP

§ 14:10 Generally

Volume 2

CHAPTER 15. BRIBERY AND CORRUPTION

I. INTRODUCTION

§ 15:1 Generally

II. CFPOA ESSENTIALS

- § 15:2 Introduction
- § 15:3 Centerpiece of the CFPOA
- § 15:4 “Agrees” to Give or Offer
- § 15:5 Level of Intent Required
- § 15:6 Exemption for Reasonable Promotional Expenses
- § 15:7 Facilitation Payments
- § 15:8 Books and Records
- § 15:9 Six Categories of Books and Records Offences
- § 15:10 Transparency in Books and Records
- § 15:11 Nationality Jurisdiction and the Real and Substantial Connection

III. CORPORATE LIABILITY UNDER THE CFPOA

- § 15:12 Introduction
- § 15:13 Senior Officer—Policies or Managing
- § 15:14 —Important Aspect of the Organization’s Activities
- § 15:15 Two Levels of Due Diligence: Senior Officer Level Distinguished from Sectors under Senior Officers’ Supervision
- § 15:16 Senior Officer Level—Intent at least in Part to Benefit the Organization
- § 15:17 —“Scope of Authority”
- § 15:18 Levels Below That of Senior Officer: Taking of All Reasonable Measures as a Potential Defence
- § 15:19 Levels Below That of Senior Officer—Reasonable Steps and Mathematical Formulas
- § 15:20 Comparative Perspectives
- § 15:21 —Comparative Perspectives and the Compliance Defence
- § 15:22 —Comparative Perspectives and a U.K. Separate Offence for Failure to Take Steps to Prevent Bribery

- § 15:23 —Comparative Perspectives and Bribing a FPO—
U.K. Section 6
- § 15:24 —Comparative Perspectives and Failure to
Prevent—U.K. Section 7

IV. AGENTS AND CONTRACTORS

- § 15:25 Introduction
- § 15:26 Maintain a Full Roster of All Third Party Agents

V. SENTENCING

- § 15:27 Jail Sentences
- § 15:28 —Aggravating Factors
- § 15:29 —Mitigating Factors
- § 15:30 Eight-Year Threshold Passed
- § 15:31 Sentencing Jurisprudence
- § 15:32 Lessons Learned from Griffiths Energy
- § 15:33 Corporate Criminal Law Sentencing
- § 15:34 —Above the Senior Officer Rung, the Ladder is
Flat
- § 15:35 —Analysis of Factors

VI. DEBARMENT

A. HISTORICAL DEBARMENT MODEL

- § 15:36 Introduction
- § 15:37 Generally
- § 15:38 Strict Rules with a Ten-Year Ban
- § 15:39 Affiliates: Historical Rules
- § 15:40 —Control Test
- § 15:41 —The Control Test is Consistent with Traditional
Corporate Law
- § 15:42 Lack of Administrative Discretion
- § 15:43 Very Narrow Public Interest Exceptions
- § 15:44 Economic Impact
- § 15:45 No Administrative Appeals or Reviews

B. REVISED DEBARMENT RULES: THE INTEGRITY REGIME POST 2015

- § 15:46 Introduction
- § 15:47 Potential Reduction to 5 Years: A Disjunctive Test
- § 15:48 Affiliates: Participation or Involvement

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 15:49 Permanent Ineligibility
- § 15:50 Interim Suspensions and Admissions of Guilt
- § 15:51 Due Process
- § 15:52 Existing contracts
- § 15:53 Third Party Monitoring and Verification

VII. DEBARMENT PROPOSALS LOOSEN THEIR GRIP BUT REACH OUT FARTHER

- § 15:54 Introduction
- § 15:55 Maximum Ceilings but no Minimum Floors
- § 15:56 Loosened Grip
- § 15:57 Matrix Analysis of Factors based on Two Axes

VIII. DIRECTORS' LIABILITY

- § 15:58 Generally

IX. EXTRACTIVE SECTOR TRANSPARENCY MEASURES ACT

- § 15:59 Generally

X. CONTROL MEASURES

- § 15:60 Generally

XI. RISK ASSESSMENT

A. METHODOLOGY: A RISK MATRIX

- § 15:61 Introduction
- § 15:62 Generally

**B. FOREIGN CORRUPT PRACTICES:
CALCULATION OF THE PROBABILITY OF
NON-COMPLIANCE**

- § 15:63 Introduction
- § 15:64 Preventative Systems
- § 15:65 Foreseeability of the Effect
- § 15:66 Alternative Solutions/Scenarios
- § 15:67 Past Compliance
- § 15:68 Past Efforts to Address the Problem
- § 15:69 Over What Period of Time, and Promptness of Response

- § 15:70 Skill Level
- § 15:71 Matters Beyond Control and Third Parties
- § 15:72 —Risk that Agents and Contractors may be Considered as Senior Officers
- § 15:73 —Risk that Senior Officers do not take All Reasonable Steps to Supervise Agents and Contractors
- § 15:74 Complexities: The Law Concerning Subsidiaries
- § 15:75 Industry Standards
- § 15:76 Aggregate Probability of Non-compliance

C. CALCULATION OF THE POTENTIAL GRAVITY OF HARM

- § 15:77 Introduction
- § 15:78 Formula for Calculation of the Gravity of Potential Risk
- § 15:79 Seriousness of Harm: Subsets
- § 15:80 Volume and Scope of Activities

D. DRAWING THE MATRIX

- § 15:81 Generally

XII. SELF REPORTING: CAN THIS CONSTITUTE DUE DILIGENCE TO THE DEFENCE OF TAKING ALL REASONABLE MEASURES?

- § 15:82 Introduction
- § 15:83 Information Sharing
- § 15:84 —Immunity from Canadian Law
- § 15:85 —Comments on the Seriousness of Corruption

XIII. NEW SYSTEMS TO ENCOURAGE COMPLIANCE

- § 15:86 Regulator Response to the Existence of Compliance Programmes
- § 15:87 Key Steps in a Risk Based Approach
- § 15:88 Codes of Conduct: A Template
- § 15:89 Compensation Models and Documentation of Risk Management
- § 15:90 Compensation Models should Reward Compliance as well as Profit
- § 15:91 The Process of Risk Assessment Needs to be Compensated

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 15:92 Whistleblowers: Another Reason to Compensate Compliance
- § 15:93 Risk Assessment Processes must be Documented
- § 15:94 Tone from the Top
- § 15:95 Relationship Between Compliance Programmes and Enforcement

XIV. A PLAN FOR MEETING WITH THE GOVERNMENT

- § 15:96 Introduction
- § 15:97 Seek an Advance Opinion
- § 15:98 Take Ownership of the Issue
- § 15:99 Any Misconduct has Stopped
- § 15:100 The Investigation was Thorough
- § 15:101 Remediation has Taken Place
- § 15:102 Regular Risk Assessments of the Compliance Programme are Occurring

XV. THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES

- § 15:103 Generally

XVI. CONCLUSION

- § 15:104 Risk Management and Anti-Corruption
- § 15:105 The Sociology of Enforcement of Laws in Relation to Foreign Corrupt Practices

CHAPTER 16. FRAUD

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 16:1 Generally
- § 16:2 White Collar Crime

II. THE LAW OF FRAUD

- § 16:3 Introduction
- § 16:4 The Actus Reus of Fraud—Underhanded Designs—The Elements of the Actus Reus: Dishonest Act and Deprivation
- § 16:5 The Mens Rea of Fraud—Knowledge That There is a Risk of Deprivation
- § 16:6 —The Elements of the Mens Rea: Subjective

Knowledge of the Act and Subjective Knowledge of
the Risk of Deprivation

§ 16:7 The Mens Rea of Corporate Officials

§ 16:8 Case studies of allegations of fraud in educational
settings

III. THE CIVIL LAW OF FRAUD AND THE PARALLEL TO CRIMINAL FRAUD

§ 16:9 Introduction to the Law of Civil Fraud

§ 16:10 Civil Fraud Compared to Criminal Fraud

IV. SECRET COMMISSIONS

§ 16:11 Generally

V. RULES AND PRINCIPLES

§ 16:12 Introduction

§ 16:13 Where Health and Safety of Consumers is at Risk,
we can Envision Some Justified Need for Ex Ante
Approval by a Regulator

§ 16:14 Where Vulnerable Groups may be Vulnerable to
Fraud, an Ex Ante Approach Fills the Gap

VI. ETHICAL BEHAVIOUR OF PROFESSIONALS

§ 16:15 Generally

CHAPTER 17. COMPETITION ACT OFFENCES, VIOLATIONS AND EFFICIENCY

I. INTRODUCTION

§ 17:1 Generally

II. ENFORCEMENT PYRAMID

§ 17:2 Introduction

§ 17:3 Cartels/Conspiracy

§ 17:4 Misleading Advertising

§ 17:5 Regulatory Offences

§ 17:6 Deceptive Marketing

§ 17:7 Parameters of Deceptive Marketing

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 17:8 Defence of Due Diligence
- § 17:9 Maximum Administrative Monetary Penalties
- § 17:10 Examples of AMPs in the Global World of Multi-National Companies
- § 17:11 Behavioural Research Applied to Deceptive Marketing
- § 17:12 Health, Wellbeing and Advertising

**III. DIGITAL ADVERTISING AND PURCHASING:
FUN OR A NEW TYPE OF DECEPTION?**

- § 17:13 Generally

**IV. DECEPTIVE MARKETING IN THE CANADIAN
CONTEXT**

- § 17:14 Framework Governing Deceptive Marketing
- § 17:15 Drip Pricing and the Canadian Legal Regime
- § 17:16 Drip Pricing and the International Legal Regime
- § 17:17 Issues Specific to the Digital Economy

**V. NAVIGATING ONLINE ADVERTISING AND
PURCHASING**

- § 17:18 New Developments in Technology
- § 17:19 From Partition Pricing to Drip Pricing: A Case Study within the New Digital Framework
- § 17:20 Drip Pricing in the New Digital Economy

VI. LITERATURE REVIEW

- § 17:21 Introduction
- § 17:22 Behavioural Psychological Literature
- § 17:23 Economic harm literature
- § 17:24 A Consumer Needs Hierarchy
- § 17:25 Existing Recommendations from Literature

**VII. MATRIX ANALYSIS OF COMPLEXITY
VERSUS TIME**

- § 17:26 Generally

**VIII. SUGGESTED PRINCIPLES FOR
MARKETING IN THE DIGITAL WORLD**

- § 17:27 Generally

IX. CONCLUSION

§ 17:28 Closing Commentary

X. EFFICIENCIES

§ 17:29 Debating the Merits of Retaining the Efficiencies
Defence

§ 17:30 The Newest Word: Secure Energy or Tervita 2.0

§ 17:31 Flexibility and Balancing Between Economic
Efficiency Risks and the Welfare of Consumers

§ 17:32 New Approach Based on Justice as Fairness

Appendix 17A. Traditional Media Newspaper
Advertisement

Appendix 17B. Friedman's Diagram

CHAPTER 18. INSIDER TRADING AND SECURITIES OFFENCES

§ 18:1 Introduction to the Pyramid Approach

§ 18:2 The Inverting of the Pyramid

§ 18:3 Circles of Responsibility

§ 18:4 Comparison with the United States

§ 18:5 Criminal Enforcement of Securities Offences

§ 18:6 Regulatory Enforcement of Securities Offences: a
Wolf in Sheep's Clothing

§ 18:7 Authorize, Permit or Acquiesce

§ 18:8 Administrative Enforcement of Securities
Violations

§ 18:9 Procedure and Evidence at Securities
Administrative hearings

§ 18:10 Sentencing for Securities Offences and Violations

§ 18:11 Climate Related Disclosures: A New Challenge

CHAPTER 19. ENVIRONMENTAL OFFENCES AND VIOLATIONS

§ 19:1 Environmental Offences

§ 19:2 General Prohibitions with Site-Specific Balancing

§ 19:3 —Inherently Toxic

§ 19:4 —Not Inherently Toxic

§ 19:5 —Site Specific Licences

§ 19:6 Hollywood and Environmental offences

§ 19:7 Due Diligence in Environmental Offences

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 19:8 The Doctrine of Collateral Attack
- § 19:9 Sentencing and Penalties

CHAPTER 20. OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY

- § 20:1 Introduction
- § 20:2 Types of Ex Post Regulation
- § 20:3 —Precise Codified Standards
- § 20:4 —Specific Prescription with Limited Site-specific Assessment
- § 20:5 —Reasonableness Components in the Actus Reus of Some Offences
- § 20:6 Prosecutorial Discretion to Choose Which Regime
- § 20:7 Subsequent Remedial Measures
- § 20:8 Continuing Offences?
- § 20:9 Matching Types of Regulation to Due Diligence
- § 20:10 Sentencing and Imbedded Auditors

CHAPTER 21. COMPLIANCE SYSTEMS AND OPERATIONAL CHANGE

I. PROFITING FROM RISK COMPLIANCE AT OPERATIONAL LEVELS

- § 21:1 Generally
- § 21:2 Change Management Attitudes

II. OBSTACLES TO OPERATIONAL CHANGE

- § 21:3 Generally

III. BEHAVIOURAL OPERATIONAL MATRIX: A BLUEPRINT FOR MANAGEMENT ATTITUDINAL CHANGE

- § 21:4 Introduction
- § 21:5 Slow Down
- § 21:6 Recognizing Irrational Tendencies and Counteracting Them
- § 21:7 Welcome Dissonance: The Need for Continuing and Regular Education
- § 21:8 Peer Review
- § 21:9 Compensation for Compliance

IV. THE POSITIVE MATRIX AND CHANGE MANAGEMENT

- § 21:10 Introduction
- § 21:11 Anonymous Feedback Mechanisms
- § 21:12 The Progression of Change

V. ADAPTING TO RAPID CHANGES IN TECHNOLOGY AND GROWTH

- § 21:13 Introduction
- § 21:14 Knowledge Management
- § 21:15 Competence
- § 21:16 Performance
- § 21:17 Feedback Mechanisms
- § 21:18 An Integrated Approach

VI. WHISTLEBLOWERS: ANOTHER REASON TO COMPENSATE COMPLIANCE

- § 21:19 Generally

VII. EVOLUTION OF PAID WHISTLEBLOWER PROGRAMS

- § 21:20 Introduction
- § 21:21 The Loneliness of the Long Distance Whistleblower
- § 21:22 The Zone of Non-discovery by Government and Internal Whistleblowing
- § 21:23 Psychology and Whistleblowing

VIII. CONCLUSION

- § 21:24 Closing Commentary

CHAPTER 22. INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS

I. ZONE OF NON-DISCOVERY

- § 22:1 Generally

II. WHISTLEBLOWING

- § 22:2 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

III. INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS: A CASE STUDY OF STAGES

- § 22:3 Introduction
- § 22:4 Internal Investigations: Protocols—Basic Framework Issues
- § 22:5 —Formatting Objectives
- § 22:6 —Scoping the Parameters of the Investigation
- § 22:7 —Avoid Tunnel Vision and a Rush to Judgment

IV. INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS: FIVE ESSENTIAL STEPS

- § 22:8 Introduction
- § 22:9 Determine the Nature and Seriousness of the Allegations—and then Ascertain who the Appropriate Client is and who should Conduct the Investigation
- § 22:10 Develop the Facts Through Document Review and Interviews
- § 22:11 Identify and Analyze Legal Issues
- § 22:12 Report the Results of the Investigation to the Client
- § 22:13 Recommend Appropriate Remedial Measures—and Timely Implement any Approves Recommendations

V. INTERVIEWS

- § 22:14 In Depth Inquiries
- § 22:15 Memorializing the Interview

VI. INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS FOR GOVERNMENT OR CROWN CORPORATIONS

- § 22:16 Generally

VII. IS THERE A DUTY TO CEASE ACTIVITIES OR REMEDIATE?

- § 22:17 Generally

VIII. IS THERE A DUTY TO SELF-REPORT?

- § 22:18 Introduction
- § 22:19 Self-reporting and the Due Diligence Defence

- § 22:20 Incentives in the Zone of Non-discovery
- § 22:21 Self-reporting in Situations Where it is Not
Compelled by Statute

IX. INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS AND PRIVILEGE

- § 22:22 Self Audits
- § 22:23 A Separate Self Audit Privilege
- § 22:24 Solicitor-client Privilege
- § 22:25 —Canadian Cases on Privilege in Internal
Investigations: Grey Areas
- § 22:26 —Developments in the U.K.
- § 22:27 —A Dichotomous Approach

CHAPTER 23. IMMUNITY, LENIENCY AND DEFERRED PROSECUTION AGREEMENTS: PERMISSIBLE AND PROHIBITED ROUTES

I. COMPETITION AND ANTI-TRUST: IMMUNITY AND LENIENCY

- § 23:1 History

II. MULTIPLE ACCUSED: IMMUNITY AND LENIENCY DYNAMICS

- § 23:2 Generally

III. REVISED IMMUNITY AND LENIENCY 2018

- § 23:3 Introduction
- § 23:4 Individuals Must Prove Themselves Worthy of
Immunity
- § 23:5 Interim to Final Immunity
- § 23:6 Leniency of up to 50% has a Sliding Scale based on
Quality of Information Shared: The Leniency
Cooperation Credit (the LCC)

IV. DEFERRED PROSECUTION AGREEMENTS: THE CONCEPT

- § 23:7 Introduction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 23:8 Non-prosecution and Deferred Prosecution Agreements
- § 23:9 High Profile Examples of Deferred Prosecution Agreements
- § 23:10 Legislation to Enact a Canadian Deferred Programme
- § 23:11 A Roadmap to Remediation Agreements
- § 23:12 The SNC-Lavalin Affair and Remediation Agreements
- § 23:13 Purpose of Remediation Agreements
- § 23:14 No One Objective Trumps the Others: The Role of Matrix Analysis
- § 23:15 Threshold Conditions to be Considered in Relation to the Availability of a Deferred Prosecution Agreement
- § 23:16 The Remediation Legislation Requires Attorney General Consent on the way up the Ladder but not on the Way Down
- § 23:17 Application to the SNC-Lavalin Case
- § 23:18 Factors Considered in the Public Interest—Section 715.32(2)
- § 23:19 —The circumstances in which the act or omission that forms the basis of the offence was brought to the attention of investigative authorities
- § 23:20 —The nature and gravity of the act or omission and its impact on any victim
- § 23:21 —The degree of involvement of senior officers of the organization in the act or omission
- § 23:22 —Whether the organization has taken disciplinary action, including termination of employment, against any person who was involved in the act or omission
- § 23:23 —Whether the organization has made reparations or taken other measures to remedy the harm caused by the act or omission and to prevent the commission of similar acts or omissions
- § 23:24 —Whether the organization has identified or expressed a willingness to identify any person involved in wrongdoing related to the act or omission
- § 23:25 —Whether the organization —or any of its representatives —was convicted of an offence or sanctioned by a regulatory body, or whether it entered into a previous remediation agreement or other settlement, in Canada or elsewhere, for similar acts or omissions

PROFITING FROM RISK MANAGEMENT AND COMPLIANCE

- § 23:26 —Whether the organization —or any of its representatives—is alleged to have committed any other offences, including those not listed in the schedule to this Part
- § 23:27 —Any other factor that the prosecutor considers relevant
- § 23:28 SNC-Lavalin: Balancing the Myriad Factors
- § 23:29 Matrix Analysis
- § 23:30 —The Prohibited Road: Factors Not to Consider
- § 23:31 —Three Sub Routes that are Prohibited
- § 23:32 — —The National Economic Interest
- § 23:33 — —The Potential Effect on Relations with a State other than Canada
- § 23:34 — —The Identity of the Organization or Individual Involved
- § 23:35 The List of Eligible Offences
- § 23:36 Procedural Provisions of a Remedial Agreement
- § 23:37 Application for Court Approval
- § 23:38 Variation, Termination or Successful Completion of Remediation Agreements
- § 23:39 Publication of Remedial Agreements
- § 23:40 Regulatory Aspects

V. DEFERRED PROSECUTIONS AND INDIVIDUALS

- § 23:41 Generally

VI. DEFERRED PROSECUTIONS IN OTHER REGIMES

- § 23:42 Introduction
- § 23:43 Reform Proposals
- § 23:44 Immunity and Leniency

VII. CONCLUSION

- § 23:45 Closing Commentary

CHAPTER 24. INSPECTIONS, INVESTIGATIONS, RIGHTS AND REMEDIES

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 24:1 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

II. BRIGHT LINE TEST BETWEEN ROUTINE COMPLIANCE INSPECTIONS AND ADVERSARIAL EVIDENCE GATHERING

- § 24:2 Generally
- § 24:3 Rights in Administrative Proceedings
- § 24:4 Right to Counsel and the Duty to Hold Off
- § 24:5 Arbitrary Detention and Revisiting the Test for Exclusion of Evidence
- § 24:6 Documents

III. A NOTE ABOUT PROCEDURE

- § 24:7 Generally

IV. LEGAL COMPARTMENTS

- § 24:8 Individual versus Corporate Rights
- § 24:9 The Broad Ambit of Inspection Powers Under Regulatory Statutes
- § 24:10 Crossing the Rubicon to Adversarial Territory
- § 24:11 Crossing the Rubicon in Strict Liability Regulatory Offences
- § 24:12 Post-Jarvis World
- § 24:13 Dawn Raids
- § 24:14 The Line Between Investigations of Administrative Monetary Penalties and Offences
- § 24:15 Regulatory Warrants in Anticipation of Due Diligence: An Attempt to Reconcile the Decision in CanadianOxy with Wholesale Travel
- § 24:16 Corporate Rights and C-45—Standing
- § 24:17 —Adverse in Interest: Reality versus Legal Construct
- § 24:18 Use Immunity: Good Faith Redundancy
- § 24:19 Consent Searches and the Psychology of Compliance
- § 24:20 The Doctrine of Entrapment is Alive and Well

V. REMEDIES IN THE POST-JARVIS WORLD

- § 24:21 Generally

VI. REMEDIES FOR PROCEDURAL VIOLATIONS

- § 24:22 Introduction

- § 24:23 Costs as Remedies for Charter Violations: A Remarkable Event
- § 24:24 The Jurisdictional Threshold
- § 24:25 The Test
- § 24:26 Procedure for Applying the Test
- § 24:27 Function of the Remedy
- § 24:28 Proposals for Reform

VII. TRIAL WITHIN A REASONABLE TIME REVISITED

- § 24:29 Generally

CHAPTER 25. SENTENCING IN CORPORATE AND REGULATORY OFFENCES

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 25:1 Generally

II. STATUTORY FRAMEWORK

A. TRUE CRIMES: A NEW SENTENCING REGIME FOR ORGANIZATIONS

- § 25:2 Introduction
- § 25:3 Sentencing Principles for Organizations
- § 25:4 —Above the Senior Officer Rung, the Ladder is Flat
- § 25:5 —Analysis of Factors
- § 25:6 Probation Orders for Organizations
- § 25:7 Report Card on Corporate Sentencing Provisions

B. HISTORICAL DEBARMENT MODEL

- § 25:8 Introduction
- § 25:9 Strict Rules with a Ten-Year Ban
- § 25:10 Affiliates: Historic
- § 25:11 —Control Test: Historic
- § 25:12 —Certification Test: Historic
- § 25:13 —The Control Test is Consistent with Traditional Corporate Law
- § 25:14 Lack of Administrative Discretion: Historic
- § 25:15 Very Narrow Public Interest Exceptions: Historic

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 25:16	Economic Impact
§ 25:17	No Administrative Appeals or Reviews
C. REVISED DEBARMENT RULES: THE NEW INTEGRITY REGIME	
§ 25:18	Introduction
§ 25:19	Potential Reduction to Five Years: A Disjunctive Test
§ 25:20	Affiliates: Participation or Involvement
§ 25:21	Permanent Ineligibility
§ 25:22	Interim Suspensions and Admissions of Guilt
§ 25:23	Due Process
§ 25:24	Existing Contracts
§ 25:25	Third Party Monitoring and Verification
D. DEBARMENT PROPOSALS LOOSEN THEIR GRIP BUT REACH OUT FARTHER	
§ 25:26	Introduction
§ 25:27	Maximum Ceilings but no Minimum Floors
§ 25:28	Loosened Grip
§ 25:29	Matrix Analysis of Factors Based on Two Axis
§ 25:30	Seriousness of the Conduct Engaged in the Supplier's Role in the Conduct
§ 25:31	Steps Taken to Address Concerns
§ 25:32	Application to AMPs if Conduct is Serious, Repetitive or Otherwise Egregious
§ 25:33	Conviction of a Provincial Offence Relating to Fraud, Collusion or Insider Trading
§ 25:34	Commercial Integrity
§ 25:35	Application to Foreign Offences
§ 25:36	Actions or Omissions of Affiliates: Acquiescence and an Objective Test
§ 25:37	Creative Sentencing to Avoid Debarment: SNC-Lavalin
§ 25:38	<i>[Reserved]</i>
E. MINIMUM SENTENCES FOR LARGE FRAUDS: BILL C-21 STANDING UP FOR VICTIMS OF WHITE COLLAR CRIME	
§ 25:39	Introduction
§ 25:40	Community Impact Statements

F. REGULATORY OFFENCES

- § 25:41 Federal Regulatory Offences and Application of the Criminal Code
- § 25:42 Provincial Regulatory Offences

III. SENTENCING CASES AND GENERAL PRINCIPLES

A. PROPORTIONALITY IN SENTENCING: WHITE COLLAR OFFENDERS BEWARE

- § 25:43 Introduction
- § 25:44 Generally
- § 25:45 General Sentencing Principles
- § 25:46 —Facts
- § 25:47 Standard for Intervention on an Appeal from a Sentence
- § 25:48 Proportionality
- § 25:49 Proportionality Trumps Parity and Allows for Evolution of Sentencing Law
- § 25:50 Deterrence and Denunciation
- § 25:51 Local Situation
- § 25:52 Conclusion

B. NOT A MERE LICENCE: DETERRENCE IN REGULATORY SENTENCING

- § 25:53 Generally

C. DENUNCIATION AND RETRIBUTION

- § 25:54 Generally

D. REHABILITATION

- § 25:55 Generally

E. INCAPACITATION AND JAIL

- § 25:56 Introduction
- § 25:57 Imprisonment as a Last Resort in the Motor Vehicle Context
- § 25:58 Imprisonment as a Last Resort in the Environment Context

F. RESTORATIVE JUSTICE

- § 25:59 Generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**IV. RETHINKING THEORIES OF SENTENCING
SPECIFIC TO ORGANIZATIONAL
COMPLIANCE**

A. DETERRENCE

- § 25:60 Traditional Theory
- § 25:61 Specific Deterrence
- § 25:62 General Deterrence

B. CORPORATE THEORY

- § 25:63 Generally

**C. THE FINE AS DETERRENT: A FURTHER
LEVEL OF RISK ASSESSMENT**

- § 25:64 External Measurement
- § 25:65 Internal Factors
- § 25:66 Marginal Utility

**D. RISK OF APPREHENSION: THE OTHER SIDE
OF THE FORMULA**

- § 25:67 Generally

E. THE MYTH OF RATIONALITY

- § 25:68 Individuals in Regulated Sectors
- § 25:69 Corporate Rationality

**F. RETHINKING DETERRENCE: AN EMPIRICAL
ONUS**

- § 25:70 Introduction
- § 25:71 Denunciation and Retribution
- § 25:72 Rehabilitation
- § 25:73 Incapacitation
- § 25:74 Restorative Justice
- § 25:75 A Tiered Approach
- § 25:76 A Theory of Justice related to Punishment

CHAPTER 26. SENTENCING A CORPORATION: IMBEDDED AUDITORS, SECURED BONDS, AND OTHER CREATIVE STRATEGIES

I. INTRODUCTION

§ 26:1 Generally

II. IMBEDDED AUDITORS

§ 26:2 Generally

§ 26:3 Imbedded auditors based on the monitor model

§ 26:4 Jurisprudence on the Imbedded Auditor

§ 26:5 Addressing Concerns about Legal Liability Arising from the Imbedded Auditor Concept

III. SENTENCING AND THE CORPORATION: STRETCHING THE LIMITS OF THE “NATURAL PERSON” ANALOGY

§ 26:6 The Fine

§ 26:7 Enforcing the Fine Against an Undercapitalized Corporation

§ 26:8 The Corporate Veil

§ 26:9 Subsidiary Corporations

§ 26:10 Comparative Law: The American Experience

IV. THEORY APPLIED TO PRACTICE

§ 26:11 Multinational Corporations and Jurisdictional Hurdles

§ 26:12 Government as Unsecured Creditor for Corporate Risk

V. SECURED CORPORATE MODEL

§ 26:13 Generally

VI. A NEW MODEL BASED ON CORPORATE REPUTATION

§ 26:14 Introduction

§ 26:15 Background

§ 26:16 Reverse Piercing of the Corporate Veil

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 26:17 Corporate Governance and Reputation
- § 26:18 *Québec Inc.* and Administrative Monetary Penalties

**CHAPTER 27. RISK MANAGEMENT
FLOWCHART ANALYSIS**

- § 27:1 Introduction
- § 27:2 Step 1: Risk Management and Corporate Governance
- § 27:3 Step 1 (A) Governance, Gender and Diversity
- § 27:4 Step 1 (B): Governance and Risk Management
- § 27:5 Step 1 (C): Management Commitment and Support
- § 27:6 Step 1 (D): Compliance Frames the Issues
- § 27:7 Step 2: Regulatory Compliance Frameworks: Due Diligence
- § 27:8 Step 3: Compliance Frameworks in Relation to Criminal Code Provisions
- § 27:9 Compliance Frameworks in Relation to Criminal Code Provisions—Senior Officer Commits to the Act or Directs It
- § 27:10 Step 3: Compliance Frameworks in Relation to Criminal Code Provisions—Under Supervision of Senior Officer—Intent Offences
- § 27:11 — —Negligence Offences
- § 27:12 Step 4: Risk Assessment
- § 27:13 Step 5: Risk Management: Drafting a Basic Risk Matrix
- § 27:14 Step 6: Risk Matrix Macro Priorities
- § 27:15 Step 7: Micro Calculations in the Matrix
- § 27:16 Step 8: Thresholds in the Matrix
- § 27:17 Step 9: Trumping Priorities in the Matrix
- § 27:18 Step 10: Moral Obligation Beyond the Legal
- § 27:19 Step 11: Project Management
- § 27:20 Step 12: Compensation Systems for Compliance
- § 27:21 Step 13: Behavioral Techniques and Methodology
- § 27:22 —Slow Down
- § 27:23 Step 13: Behavioural Techniques and Methodology—Welcome Dissonance
- § 27:24 —Peer Review
- § 27:25 Step 14: Positive Matrix
- § 27:26 Step 15: Compliance Training and Communication
- § 27:27 Step 16: Monitoring, Verification and Reporting Mechanisms

- § 27:28 —Monitoring Risk and Causes of Non-Compliance
- § 27:29 —Verification and Stress Testing
- § 27:30 —Internal Reporting Systems
- § 27:31 —Whistleblower Policy
- § 27:32 Step 17: Consistent Disciplinary Procedures
- § 27:33 Step 18: Documenting Compliance Metrics
- § 27:34 Step 19: Compliance Program Evaluation

Appendix 27A. Risk Management Flowchart

CHAPTER 28. INTERNAL DISCOVERY OF POTENTIAL NON-COMPLIANCE: ACTION PLAN

- § 28:1 Introduction
- § 28:2 Internal Discovery of Potential Non-Compliance
- § 28:3 Step One: Factual Analysis
- § 28:4 Step Two: Legal Analysis: Actus Reus
- § 28:5 Step Three: Duty to Cease and Take Remedial Steps
- § 28:6 —Duty to Cease
- § 28:7 —Remedial Steps
- § 28:8 Step Four: Legal Analysis: Responsibility and Due Diligence
- § 28:9 —Criminal Allegations
- § 28:10 —Regulatory or Administrative Allegations
- § 28:11 —Corporate Liability for either Crimes or Violations
- § 28:12 Step Five: Analysis of Whether There is a Duty to Self-Report to the Regulator or Market
- § 28:13 —Zone where there is no duty to self-report; but there is a discretion to self-report
- § 28:14 —Zone where there is a duty to self-report
- § 28:15 —Communications Strategy
- § 28:16 Step Six: Self-Reporting Where There is a Deferred Prosecution Regime
- § 28:17 —Duty to Self-Report
- § 28:18 —Discretion to Self-Report
- § 28:19 —Imbalance between Enforcement Regimes

Appendix 28A. Corporate Internal Investigations Action Plan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 29. CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY: FLOWCHARTS AND CHECKLISTS

- § 29:1 Anti-Bribery and Corruption Policy
- § 29:2 Anti-Bribery and Corruption Due Diligence Questions
- § 29:3 Corporate Criminal Liability Flowchart—Senior Officer Level
- § 29:4 Corporate Criminal Liability Flowchart—Under Supervision of a Senior Officer
- § 29:5 Secret Commissions
- § 29:6 Books and Records Flowchart
- § 29:7 Accounts that do not appear in books and records
- § 29:8 Threshold Matrix
- § 29:9 Matrix of Harm Where Gravity of Harm Trumps Probability
- § 29:10 Positive Matrix

APPENDIX

Appendix A. Leading and Seminal Cases in Sentencing

Table of Cases

Index