

## Index

### ABUSE OF DOMINANCE

Generally, **1:52, 7:30**

### ACTUS REUS IN REGULATORY OFFENCES

Generally, **8:1**

Behavioral Research, **8:30 et seq.**

Burden of Proof, allocation of, **8:25 et seq.**

crown splitting, rule against, **8:25**

Continuing offences, **8:37**

Criminal Code jurisprudence and regulatory law, **8:40**

Due diligence

foreseeability, **8:21 et seq.**

type of regulation, **8:38**

Expert evidence, **8:29**

Prosecutorial discretion in regime choice, **8:20, 20:6**

Remedial measures, **8:36**

Subsequent civil proceedings and use of actus reus findings, **8:39**

Subsequent remedial measures, **8:36**

Threshold foreseeability, doctrine of, **8:24, 8:28**

Types of ex post regulation

generally, **8:12 et seq.**

general prohibition with site-specific balancing

see also Environmental offences

generally, **8:15**

inherently toxic, **8:16**

not inherently toxic, **8:17**

site specific licences, **8:18**

precise codified standards, **8:13**

### ACTUS REUS IN REGULATORY OFFENCES—Cont'd

Types of ex post regulation

—Cont'd

reasonableness components in actus reus of some offences, **8:19**

specific prescription with limited site-specific assessment, **8:14**

### ADMINISTRATIVE MONETARY PENALTIES (AMPS)

Generally, **1:26, 6:1 et seq.**

Ability to pay, **6:22**

Administrative law principles

generally, **6:41 et seq.**

disclosure, scope, **6:42**

enforcement branch, **6:44, 6:45**

oral hearings vs. written submissions, **6:43**

Administrative vs. punitive penalty, **6:2**

Appeals, **6:21**

Bright line tests to separate AMPs from regulatory offences, **6:56**

Charter, application of

generally, **6:24 et seq.**

introduction, the Guindon decision, **6:24**

investment enforcement, **6:32**

“offence” characterization, **6:41**

post-Guindon cases, **6:39**

s. 7, **6:27**

s. 11, **6:28**

securities, **6:31**

Wigglesworth test

“by nature” test, **6:29**

**ADMINISTRATIVE MONETARY  
PENALTIES (AMPS)—Cont'd**

Charter, application of—Cont'd  
     Wigglesworth test—Cont'd  
         “true penal consequences”  
         test, **6:30**

Competition Act , under  
     ability to pay, **6:22**  
     appeals, **6:21**  
     civil track, **6:6**  
     hearings, **6:20**  
     remedies available for regulatory  
         compliance  
         civil redress, **6:8**  
         due diligence as factor on  
         sentencing, **6:12**  
         due diligence defence, **6:11**  
         internal discipline, **6:7**  
         multiple track AMPs, **6:10**  
         tailor-made AMPs, **6:9**

Debarment, **25:32**

Duty to Warn, **6:42.50**

Environmental, **6:13**

Governing procedures, **6:4**

Hearings, **6:20**

Investigations of AMPs vs.  
     offences, **24:14**

Magnitude, determining  
     caps on AMPs and warnings,  
         **6:75**  
     factors to determine quantum,  
         **6:72**  
     matrix approach, **6:73**  
     post-violation rehabilitation  
         efforts, **6:76**  
     regulatory pyramids, **6:71**

Policy arguments, **6:46 et seq.**  
     proposed regulatory pyramid,  
         **6:47**

Politics of AMPs  
     inversion of pyramid, **6:91**  
     negative consequences of, **6:92**  
     support for, **6:90**

**ADMINISTRATIVE MONETARY  
PENALTIES (AMPS)—Cont'd**

Principled approach proposal  
     assessment criteria reflect risk  
         management and compli-  
         ance efforts, **6:63**

capping to draw bright line  
     between penalties and  
     offences, **6:59**

capping to minimize damage  
     from wrongful finding of  
     violation, **6:58**

categories created in proportion  
     to gravity of potential harm,  
         **6:64**

ex post enforcement to be swift,  
     **6:70**

ex post penalties severe enough  
     to be disincentive, **6:68**

imposed only after warning letter  
     and continued non-compli-  
     ance, **6:62**

judicial membership in tribunal  
     to counter potential agency  
     bias, **6:66**

new criminal offences, **6:69**

procedures to match escalating  
     penalty, **6:65**

right to appeal to widen as  
     penalty increases, **6:67**

Procedural and appeal protections,  
     **6:3**

Rational pyramid, **6:5**

Stigma, **1:44**

**ADVERTISING, MISLEADING**

Generally, **1:50, 7:21, 7:24 et seq.,  
     7:34**

Enforcement, **17:4**

**ALGORITHMS**

Generally, **1:2**

**ANTI-BRIBERY AND  
CORRUPTION DUE  
DILIGENCE QUESTIONS**

Generally, **29:2**

**ANTI-BRIBERY AND  
CORRUPTION POLICY**

Generally, **29:1**

**ANTITRUST COMPLIANCE  
TOOLKIT**

Risk matrix, and, **1:5**

Threshold matrix, **1:8**

**BEHAVIORAL THEORY AND  
COMPLIANCE**

Generally, **3:1**

Change techniques, blueprint for,  
**3:16**

Consent searches and the psychol-  
ogy of compliance, **24:19**

Due diligence and corporate  
compliance systems  
generally, **3:9 et seq.**

competition model, **3:11**

practical tips, **3:12**

self-reporting, **3:10**

Linking causes non-compliance to  
solutions, **3:13**

Matrix planning

application at policy level, **3:2**

application to specific tasks

generally, **3:3 et seq.**

calculation of potential gravity  
of harm, **3:6**

calculation of probability of  
non-compliance, **3:4**

drawing the matrix, **3:7**

identifying thresholds within  
matrix, **3:8**

Mistakes, learning from, **3:15**

Moral incrementalism, **3:14**

Positive matrix, **3:17**

**BEHAVIOURAL ECONOMICS**

Generally, **1:19**

**BILL C-21 — STANDING UP FOR  
VICTIMS OF  
WHITE-COLLAR CRIME  
ACT**

Community impact statements,  
**25:40**

**BILL C-21 — STANDING UP FOR  
VICTIMS OF  
WHITE-COLLAR CRIME  
ACT—Cont'd**

Minimum sentences for large  
frauds, **25:39, 25:40**

**BILL C-45**

Generally, **9:1, 10:1**

Enforcement, **10:35, 10:39**

Plain Language Guide, **10:8**

Preliminary evaluation

generally, **10:31 et seq.**

comparison with US, **10:33**

convergence of the left and right,  
**10:34**

corporate civil liability, **10:40**

imbedded auditor, role of, **10:37**

incentive for private informa-  
tions, **10:38**

individual vs. corporate liability,  
**10:36**

restorative justice approach

towards enforcement, **10:39**

symbolic role of corporate crimi-  
nal liability, **10:35**

track record, **10:32**

Sentencing principles, **25:43 et seq.**

**BOEING 737 MAX 8**

Generally, **1:2, 2:26**

**BREAD PRICE FIXING SCHEME**

Generally, **1:1, 2:24, 17:1**

**BRIBERY AND CORRUPTION OF  
FOREIGN PUBLIC  
OFFICIALS**

Generally, **1:46**

See also Corruption of Foreign Pub-  
lic Officials Act

Anti-Bribery and Corruption due  
diligence questions, **29:2**

Anti-Bribery and Corruption Policy,  
**29:1**

Control measures, **15:60**

**BRIBERY AND CORRUPTION OF  
FOREIGN PUBLIC  
OFFICIALS—Cont'd**

Debarment, **15:36 et seq.**, **15:54 et seq.**

see also Debarment

Directors, liability of, **15:58**

Extractive Sector Transparency  
Measures Act, **15:59**

Foreign corrupt practices, sociology  
of enforcement of laws regard-  
ing, **15:105**

Government, plan for meeting with  
generally, **15:96 et seq.**

advance opinion, **15:97**

ownership of issue, **15:98**

regular risk assessments taking  
place, **15:102**

remediation has taken place,  
**15:101**

stop misconduct, **15:99**

thorough investigation, **15:100**

R. v. Carson, **1:47**

“Red Flags” analysis, **15:61**

Risk assessment

generally, **15:61 et seq.**

foreign corrupt practices, prob-  
ability of non-compliance

generally, **15:63 et seq.**

aggregate probability of non-  
compliance, **15:76**

alternative solutions/scenarios,  
**15:66**

foreseeability of effect, **15:65**

industry standards, **15:75**

matters beyond control and  
third parties, **15:71 to**  
**15:73**

past compliance, **15:67**

past efforts to address problem,  
**15:68**

preventative systems, **15:64**

skill level, **15:70**

subsidiaries, **15:74**

**BRIBERY AND CORRUPTION OF  
FOREIGN PUBLIC  
OFFICIALS—Cont'd**

Risk assessment—Cont'd

foreign corrupt practices, prob-  
ability of non-compliance

—Cont'd

time period/promptness of  
response, **15:69**

potential gravity of harm,  
calculation, **15:77 et seq.**

Risk management, anti-corruption,  
**15:104**

Self-reporting

generally, **15:82 et seq.**

information sharing, **15:83 to**  
**15:85**

Systems to encourage compliance

generally, **15:86 et seq.**

codes of conduct, **15:88**

compensation models and  
documentation of risk  
management, **15:89**

key steps to risk-based approach,  
**15:87**

regulator response to compliance  
programmes, **15:86**

relationship between compliance  
programmes and enforce-  
ment, **15:95**

rewarding compliance and profit,  
**15:90**

risk assessment needs to be  
compensated, **15:91**

risk assessment needs to be  
documented, **15:93**

tone from the top, **15:94**

whistleblowers, **15:92**

Theoretical perspectives, **15:103**

**BUSINESS THEORY**

Best interest of corporation, Gener-  
ally, **4:1**

Compliance systems

see Compliance systems

Directors' liability, **4:8**

**BUSINESS THEORY—Cont'd**

- Diversity, Governance and Risk Management, **4:11**
- Economic corporation model, **4:3**
- Empiricism, **4:10**
- Governance, government entities, **4:12**
- Legal corporation model, **4:4**
- Management theory
  - generally, **4:9**
  - chain, **4:9**
  - hub, **4:9**
  - set, **4:9**
  - web, **4:9**
- Moral corporation model, **4:2**
- Overlapping models, **4:5**

**CALIFORNIA WILDFIRES**

- Generally, **2:25**

**CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS**

- Absolute liability offences, and, **7:23**
- Administrative monetary penalties do not attract protection, **6:59**
- Administrative proceedings, rights in, **24:3**
- Arbitrary detention, exclusion of evidence, **24:5**
- “By nature,” test, **6:29**
- Consent searches, **24:19**
- Costs as remedy for violation, **24:23**
- Delay in proceedings, **1:26**
- Documents, **24:6**
- Evidence, reliability of, matrix analysis, **24:20**
- “Important role,” vagueness of, **10:11**
- Individual vs. corporate rights, **24:8**
- Inspection powers under regulatory statutes, **24:9**
- Offence characterization, implications of, **6:33**

**CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS —Cont'd**

- Organizational liability under Bill C-45, “Charter -free zone,” **24:16**
- Procedural fairness, and, **1:121**
- Procedure, **24:7**
- Regulatory offences, impact on, **7:21**
- Remedies for procedural violations, **24:22 to 24:28**
- Right to counsel and duty to hold off, **24:4**
- Rights in administrative proceedings, **24:3**
- s. 22.2 of Criminal Code , and, **10:25**
- Stigma, and, **13:4**
- Trial within reasonable time, **24:29**
- “True penal consequence,” and, **6:30**
- Whistleblowers and searches, **24:2 to 24:6**

**COMPETITION ACT**

- Generally, **17:1, 17:22**
- Abuse of dominance, **7:30**
- Amendments, **7:27 et seq.**
- Cartels/conspiracy, **7:28**
- Civil track/administrative track, **7:29**
- Digital age challenges, **17:8, 17:18**
- Drip pricing
  - Canadian treatment, **17:12**
  - international perspective, **17:11**
  - new digital economy, and generally, **17:13**
  - first screen vs. Internet process, **17:17**
- Efficiencies, **17:29**
  - efficiencies defence, merits of retaining, **17:29**
- Enforcement pyramid
  - generally, **17:2 et seq.**
  - cartels/conspiracy, **17:3**

**COMPETITION ACT—Cont'd**

- Enforcement pyramid—Cont'd
  - deceptive marketing, **17:6, 17:7**
  - misleading advertising, **17:4**
  - regulatory offences, **17:5**
- Flexibility, balancing of interests, **17:31**
  - consumer welfare, **17:31**
  - economic efficiency risks, **17:31**
- Justice as fairness approach, **17:32**
- Misleading/deceptive advertising, **7:34**
- Online advertising and purchasing
  - generally, **17:13, 17:18 et seq.**
  - interactive advertising and purchasing, **17:13, 17:18 et seq.**
  - pricing, all-inclusive to drip, **17:15, 17:16, 17:19, 17:20**
- Practice of anti-competitive Acts (s. 79(1)(b)), **7:32**
- Proportional overlap model, **17:19**
- Psychological perspectives, **17:22**
- Remedies, assessment of
  - economic harm, **17:23**
- Secure energy, **17:30**
- Substantial or complete control (s. 79(1)(a)), **7:31**
- Substantial prevention of competition (s. 79(1)(c)), **7:33**
- Suggested approach to marketing issues in digital age, **17:18**
- Tervita 2.0, **17:30**

**COMPETITION BUREAU**

- Generally, **17:1**
- Abuse of dominance, **6:62, 7:30**
- Civil track, and, **6:6**
- Conformity Continuum, pyramid approach to compliance, **5:3**
- Corporate Compliance Programs Bulletin, **1:64, 3:11, 15:87**
- Deceptive Marketing Practices Directorate, digital economy, and, **1:50, 17:14 et seq.**

**COMPETITION BUREAU**

**—Cont'd**

- Enforcement Guidelines: Price Maintenance, **7:29**
- Immunity and leniency programs, **23:1 to 23:6**
- Mergers, approach to, **1:34**
- Warning letters, **6:47**

**COMPETITION OFFENCES**

- Abuse of dominance, **1:52**
- Deceptive marketing, **1:51**
- Insider trading and securities offences, **1:53**
- Misleading advertising, **1:50**
- Price fixing, **1:49**

**COMPLIANCE SYSTEMS**

- Behavioural theory
  - see Behavioural theory
- Charts, **1:74**
- Codes of conduct, **1:75**
- Drivers of compliance
  - external, **1:60**
  - internal, **1:59**
- Drivers of non-compliance, **1:61**
- Enhancing compliance through business design, **1:63**
- Gender and diversity, **1:64**
- Matrix analysis and levels of audit, **1:62**
- Operational change, and
  - see Compliance systems and operational change
- Preventative systems, **9:20**
- Risk software, **1:76**
- Steps of compliance
  - compliance program evaluation, **1:72**
  - compliance training and communication, **1:69**
  - consistent disciplinary procedures and incentives, **1:71**
  - corporate compliance policies and procedures, **1:68**

## COMPLIANCE SYSTEMS

### —Cont’d

- Steps of compliance—Cont’d
  - management commitment and support, **1:66**
  - monitoring, verification and reporting mechanisms, **1:70**
  - risk-based corporate compliance assessment, **1:67**
- Templates, **1:73**

## COMPLIANCE SYSTEMS AND OPERATIONAL CHANGE

- Behavioural operational matrix, **21:4 et seq.**
- Change management attitudes, **21:2**
- Obstacles to change, **21:3**
- Profiting from risk compliance, **21:1 et seq.**

## CORPORATE AND ORGANIZATIONAL CRIMINAL LIABILITY

- Generally, **10:1**
- Bill C-45, **10:1, 10:8**
- “Directing mind” concept
  - generally, **10:2 et seq., 10:6**
  - multiple minds, **10:3**
  - outdated, **10:1**
  - policy vs. operational decisions, **10:4**
  - rogue managers and directors, **10:5**
- Expanded organizational liability
  - agents or contractors, dealing with, **10:15, 15:25 et seq.**
  - comparative perspectives and compliance defence, **10:30**
  - fundamental shift, **10:9**
  - “importance criterion”/ “important role”
    - generally, **10:10**
    - analysis of factors, **10:13**
    - corporate ladder, **10:12**
    - matrix analysis, **10:18**
    - negligence offences, **10:28**

## CORPORATE AND ORGANIZATIONAL CRIMINAL LIABILITY

### —Cont’d

- Expanded organizational liability
  - Cont’d
    - organization, expanded definition of, **10:7**
    - prosecution to show recklessness and failure to take reasonable care, **10:16**
    - protecting workers, **10:29**
    - representative, expanded definition of, **10:15**
    - responsible for managing, **10:10**
    - senior officer
      - corporate criminal liability flowchart, **29:3**
      - Criminal Code definition, **15:13**
      - determining senior officer status, **10:14, 15:14**
      - distinguished from sectors under supervision, **10:20, 15:15**
      - “intent . . . to benefit the organization”
        - generally, **10:22**
        - acting within authority, **10:23**
        - directing work of other representatives, **10:24**
        - levels below senior officer, **10:25, 10:26**
        - management, part of, **10:21**
        - new definition, **10:8, 10:11**
        - under supervision of senior officer, liability flowchart, **29:4**
    - sentencing, implications for, **10:12, 10:13**
    - subjective intent offences, **10:19**
    - wilful blindness, **10:27**
  - Flowcharts
    - senior officer, corporate criminal liability flowchart, **29:3**

**CORPORATE AND  
ORGANIZATIONAL  
CRIMINAL LIABILITY  
—Cont'd**

Flowcharts—Cont'd  
under supervision of senior  
officer, corporate criminal  
liability flowchart, **29:4**  
Problems of proof in modern  
organizations, **10:6**

**CORPORATE COMPLIANCE  
PROGRAMS BULLETIN,  
COMPETITION BUREAU**

7 steps of compliance, **1:65**  
Basic requirements for effective  
program, **3:11**  
Benchmarking, **3:11**  
Consideration given to existence of  
program, **3:11**  
Disciplinary procedures and incen-  
tives, **3:11**  
Due diligence, program and, **3:11**  
Enforcement pyramid, **3:11**  
Evaluation of program, **3:11**  
Management commitment and sup-  
port, **3:11**  
Monitoring, auditing and reporting  
mechanisms, **3:11**  
Policies and procedures, **3:11**  
Practical tools, **3:11**  
Risk-based compliance assessment,  
**3:11**  
Third party programs, **3:11**  
Training and education, **3:11**

**CORPORATE LIABILITY**

Generally, **5:7**  
See also Corporate and  
organizational criminal  
liability  
Civil, **1:39**  
Criminal, **1:36**  
Regulatory offences, **1:37**

**CORPORATIONS, SENTENCING**

Generally, **26:1**

**CORPORATIONS, SENTENCING  
—Cont'd**

Corporate reputation, new model  
based on  
administrative monetary penalties  
(AMPs), **26:18**  
background, **26:15**  
corporate governance and reputa-  
tion, **26:17**  
introduction, **26:14**  
piercing corporate veil, reverse,  
**26:16**  
Corporate veil  
generally, **26:8**  
American case law, **26:10**  
group enterprise theory, **26:9**  
subsidiaries, treatment of, **26:9**

**Fines**

generally, **26:6**  
enforcement against  
undercapitalized corpora-  
tion, **26:7**

Government as unsecured creditor  
for corporate risk, **26:12**

Imbedded auditors, **26:2**

Multinational corporations,  
jurisdictional issues, **26:11**

Secured corporate model, **26:13**

**CORRUPTION OF FOREIGN  
PUBLIC OFFICIALS ACT**

Generally, **1:47, 15:1**

See also Bribery and corruption of  
foreign public officials

Agents and contractors

generally, **15:25 et seq.**  
list of all third party agents,  
**15:26**

Corporate criminal liability,  
comparison  
generally, **15:20**  
compliance defence, **15:21**  
U.K. s. 6, bribing FPO, **15:23**  
U.K. s. 7, failure to prevent,  
**15:24**

**CORRUPTION OF FOREIGN  
PUBLIC OFFICIALS ACT**

**—Cont’d**

- Corporate criminal liability,  
comparison—Cont’d
  - U.K. separate offence for failure  
to take steps to prevent bribery,  
**15:22**
- Doctrine of conscious avoidance  
(U.S.), **15:5**
- Elements of Act
  - generally, **1:47, 15:1**
  - “agrees” to give or offer, **15:4**
  - books and records
    - generally, **15:8**
    - categories of offences (s. 4),  
**15:9**
    - flowchart, **29:6**
    - transparency, **15:10**
  - definitions, **15:2 et seq.**
  - essentials of Act, **15:2 et seq.**
  - facilitation payments, **15:7**
  - intent, level required, **15:5**
  - nationality jurisdiction (s. 5), real  
and substantial connection,  
**15:11**
  - reasonable promotional expenses,  
exemption for (s. 3(3)), **15:6**
  - s. 3(1), offence of bribing foreign  
public official, **15:3, 15:4**
- Extractive Sector Transparency  
Measures Act, **15:59**
- Foreign Corrupt Practices Act  
(U.S.), **14:30:10.50(a), 15:6,  
15:7, 15:10**
- Global Fuels
  - interpretation of Criminal Code  
definition of “senior  
officer,” **15:13, 15:14**
  - sentencing, **15:35**
- Middle managers, liability of
  - generally, **15:12 et seq.**
  - distinguished from sectors under  
supervision, **15:15**
  - due diligence, **15:15**

**CORRUPTION OF FOREIGN  
PUBLIC OFFICIALS ACT**

**—Cont’d**

- Middle managers, liability of
  - Cont’d
  - important aspect of organiza-  
tion’s activities, **15:14**
  - levels below senior officer,  
defence of reasonable  
measures, **15:19**
  - matrix analysis, **15:14**
  - responsible for managing, **15:13**
  - “senior officer,” Criminal Code  
definition, **15:13, 15:14**
  - senior officer as party
    - intent to benefit organization,  
**15:16**
    - scope of authority test, **15:17**
- Pirate of Prague, United States v.  
Bourke, **15:5**
- R. v. Griffiths Energy International  
Inc., **15:1, 15:31, 15:32**
- R. v. Karigar, **1:46, 15:4, 15:11,  
15:25, 15:27 to 15:29, 15:31**
- RCMP National Division, **15:1**
- Risk assessment
  - see Bribery and corruption of  
foreign public officials
- Sentencing
  - aggravating factors, **15:28**
  - case law, **15:31**
  - corporate criminal
    - above senior officer, **15:33,  
15:34**
    - analysis of factors, **15:35**
    - eight-year threshold, **15:30**
    - mitigating factors, **15:29**

**CRAWFORD REPORT**

- Generally, **7:25, 13:2, 18:6**

**CRIMINAL CODE**

- Bill C-45, **1:36, 9:1, 10:1, 25:43 et  
seq.**
- Compliance frameworks, **27:8 to  
27:11**

**CRIMINAL CODE—Cont'd**

- Federal regulatory offences and application of, **25:41**
- Jurisprudence and regulatory law, **8:15**
- Offences for which remediation agreement available, **1:112**
- Remediation agreements
  - s. 715.4, order declaring successful completion, **1:115, 23:38**
  - s. 715.31, purpose, **1:99, 23:12, 23:14**
  - s. 715.32, conditions for, **1:100, 23:11, 23:15**
  - s. 715.33, notice to organization, **1:113, 1:118, 23:15, 23:35, 23:36, 23:41**
  - s. 715.34, mandatory contents, **1:113, 23:36**
  - s. 715.35, independent monitor, **1:113, 23:36**
  - s. 715.36, duty to inform victims, **1:113, 23:36**
  - s. 715.37, court approval, **1:114, 23:36**
  - s. 715.38, variation order, **1:115, 23:38**
  - s. 715.39, termination order, **1:115, 23:38**
  - s. 715.41, deadline, **1:115, 23:38**
  - s. 715.42, publication, **1:116, 23:39**
- s. 2, organization defined, **10:7**
- s. 2, representative defined, **10:15, 15:25 et seq.**
- s. 2, senior officer defined, **3:3 et seq., 3:11, 10:8, 15:13**
- s. 22.1, **8:36, 10:28, 20:7**
- s. 22.2, organization as party to offence, **3:3 et seq., 10:19, 10:20, 10:22, 15:15, 15:19**
- s. 217.1, directing work of another, **1:8, 1:10, 1:11, 1:41, 3:8, 10:29**
- s. 382.1, insider trading, **13:2, 18:2**

**CRIMINAL CODE—Cont'd**

- s. 425.1, whistleblower protection, **3:9 et seq.**
- s. 426, secret commissions, **16:10**

**CRIMINAL LIABILITY**

See Corporate and organizational criminal liability and Individual liability

**DEBARMENT**

- Generally, **1:124, 15:36 et seq., 15:54, 25:26 et seq.**
- Affiliates
  - acts or omissions, **25:36**
  - historical rules, **15:39**
- AMPs, application to, **25:32**
- Commercial integrity, **25:34**
- Corporate sentencing, **1:135**
- Foreign offences, application to, **25:35**
- Fraud, collusion or insider trading, **25:33**
- Historical model, **15:36 et seq.**
- Individual sentencing, **1:134**
- Integrity Framework, Public Works and Government Services Canada
  - generally, **15:37**
- affiliates
  - generally, **15:39, 15:48, 25:10**
  - certification test, **25:12**
  - control test, **15:40, 25:11**
  - control test consistent with traditional corporate law, **15:41, 25:13**
- economic impact, **15:44, 25:16**
- Integrity Regime post-2015
  - generally, **15:46 et seq., 25:18 et seq.**
  - affiliates, participation or involvement, **15:48, 25:20**
  - due process, **15:51, 25:23**
  - existing contracts, **15:52, 25:24**

**DEBARMENT—Cont'd**

Integrity Framework, Public Works  
and Government Services Can-  
ada—Cont'd

Integrity Regime post-2015  
—Cont'd

interim suspensions and admis-  
sions of guilt, **15:50,**  
**25:22**

permanent ineligibility, **15:49,**  
**25:21**

potential reduction to 5 years,  
**15:47, 25:19**

third party monitoring and  
verification, **15:53, 25:25**

lack of administrative discretion,  
**15:42, 25:14**

narrow public interest exceptions,  
**15:43, 25:15**

no administrative appeals or  
review, **15:45, 25:17**

Leniency, **1:126, 15:56, 25:28**

Matrix analysis

generally, **1:127, 15:57, 25:29**

actions or omissions of affiliates,  
**1:132**

application to AMPs where  
conduct serious, repetitive,  
egregious, **1:128**

commercial integrity, **1:130**

foreign offences, **1:131**

fraud, collusion or insider trad-  
ing, **1:129**

Maximum period, **1:125, 15:55,**  
**25:27**

Provincial offence relating to fraud,  
collusion or insider trading,  
**25:33**

Seriousness of conduct, **25:30**

Steps taken to address concerns,  
**25:31**

Strict rules with 10-year ban, **15:37,**  
**15:38, 25:9**

**DECEPTIVE MARKETING**

Generally, **1:51, 7:34**

**DECEPTIVE MARKETING**

—Cont'd

Behavioural research applied to,  
**17:11**

Enforcement, **17:6**

**DEEPWATER HORIZON OIL  
SPILL**

Ego bias and near misses, **3:4,**  
**15:67**

Facts, **2:16**

**DEFERRED PROSECUTIONS**

Court approval, **1:114**

Deferred prosecution agreements  
(DPAs)

generally, **23:7 et seq.**

application for court approval,  
**23:37**

availability of, threshold condi-  
tions, **23:15**

consent of Attorney General  
required, **23:16**

eligible offences, **23:35**

Institute for Research on Public  
Policy, pros and cons, **23:8**

legislation to enact, **23:10**

matrix analysis, **23:14, 23:29**

non-prosecution and DPAs, **23:8**

publication, **23:39**

regulatory aspects, **23:40**

remediation agreements, **23:11,**  
**23:12, 23:36**

SNC-Lavalin affair, application  
to, **23:12, 23:17, 23:28**

variation, termination or success-  
ful completion, **23:38**

Eligible offences, **1:112, 23:35**

Factors not to consider, **1:111,**  
**23:30 to 23:34**

Factors to consider in public interest  
under s. 715.32, **23:18 to**  
**23:27**

Individuals, and, **1:116, 23:41**

Legislation, **1:98, 23:10**

**DEFERRED PROSECUTIONS**

**—Cont'd**

- Procedural provisions of agreement, **1:113, 23:36**
- Public interest considerations, **1:101**
- Reform proposals, **23:43**
- Regulatory aspects, **1:117, 23:40**
- Regulatory offences
  - generally, **1:118, 23:42 et seq.**
  - immunity and leniency, **23:44**
- Remediation agreements, **1:98, 1:115, 1:116**
- Self-reporting, **28:16 to 28:19**
- SNC-Lavalin affair, **1:97, 23:12, 23:17, 23:28**
- Threshold conditions for DPA, **1:100**

**DEREGULATION**

- Generally, **2:4**
- See also Regulation
- Airline industry, **2:4**
- Globalization, and, **2:4**
- Mad cow disease, **2:4**
- Privatization, **2:4, 2:6 et seq.**
- Reagan, Ronald, **2:4**
- Social regulation, **2:4**
- Thatcher, Margaret, **2:4**
- 2008 financial crisis, **2:4, 2:5**
- 2008 Listeriosis outbreak, **2:8**
- Walkerton water contamination, and, **2:6 et seq.**

**DETERRENCE**

- See Organizational compliance, deterrence theories of sentencing in and Sentencing

**DIGITAL ECONOMY, CHALLENGES OF**

- Generally, **1:50**

**DIRECTING MINDS AND REGULATORY OFFENCES**

- Generally, **11:1**
- Illegality vs. criminality, **11:6**

**DIRECTING MINDS AND REGULATORY OFFENCES**

**—Cont'd**

- Legislative reforms, **11:7**
- Primary corporate liability, **11:3**
- Shield vs. sword, **11:5**
- True crimes vs. regulatory offences, **11:2**

**DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS**

- See also Corporate and organizational criminal liability
- Liability of, **1:42, 4:8**

**DIVERSITY**

- Compliance and, **1:64**
- Risk management and, **1:20**

**DRIP PRICING**

- Generally, **1:51, 17:6, 17:8 to 17:16, 17:19, 17:20**

**DUE DILIGENCE**

- Generally, **1:29 et seq., 9:1**
- AMPs
  - defence written into legislation, **6:11**
  - factor on sentencing, **6:12**
- Civil consequences, **9:36**
- Corporate compliance systems, and generally, **3:9 et seq.**
  - competition model, **3:11**
  - practical tips, **3:12**
  - self-reporting, **3:10**
- Costs consequences
  - generally, **9:32 et seq.**
  - Crown proves actus reus beyond reasonable doubt, **9:33**
  - factors expand toward civil model, **9:34**
  - proposal for reform, **9:35**
- Crimes, offences and violations, common elements, **1:30**
- Defence, **2:53, 6:11, 7:24 et seq.**
- Environmental offences, **19:6**

## **DUE DILIGENCE—Cont'd**

Factors of defence  
 generally, **9:8 et seq., 9:24**  
 actions of government officials, **9:23**  
 alternative solutions, **9:11**  
 character of neighbourhood, **9:14**  
 complexity of issues, **9:19**  
 economic considerations, **9:21**  
 efforts made to address problem, **9:15**  
 foreseeability of effect, **9:10**  
 industry standards, **9:13**  
 legislative or regulatory compliance, **9:12**  
 matters beyond control of  
   accused, technological limitations, **9:17**  
 nature and gravity of adverse effect, **9:9**  
 preventative systems, **9:20**  
 skill level expected, **9:18**  
 time period/promptness of response, **9:16**  
 Gender, reasonable person, and, **1:32, 9:31**  
 Hindsight bias, **1:2**  
 Internal discovery of potential non-compliance, **28:1 et seq.**  
 Link to risk analysis, **9:3**  
 Logical paradox, as, **1:31**  
 Matching types of regulation to, **8:38, 20:9**  
 Mens rea offences, application of principles to, **9:37**  
 Merger review, **1:35**  
 Mistake of fact, **9:6**  
 Officially induced error, **9:7**  
 Reasonable person, **9:2**  
 Reputational harm, prevention of, **1:34**  
 Res ipsa loquitur , and  
   generally, **9:3**  
   environmental cases, **9:3**  
   hindsight bias, **9:3**

## **DUE DILIGENCE—Cont'd**

Res ipsa loquitur , and—Cont'd  
   link to risk analysis, **9:3**  
   non-suit motions, **9:3**  
   obsolete in tort law, **9:3**  
   “representativeness heuristic,” **9:3**  
 Risk analysis, two levels of, **9:4**  
 Risk management, flowchart analysis, **27:7**  
 Risk matrix, and, **1:9, 1:33, 9:26 et seq., 9:30**  
 Self-reporting, and, **1:94, 3:10, 28:16**  
 Senior officer, **15:15**  
 Steps taken to prevent event, **9:5**  
 Table, **Appendix B (Vol. 3)**  
 Template for, **9:30**  
 Trends in case law, **9:25**

## **ECONOMIC CORPORATION MODEL**

Generally, **4:3**

## **ENFORCEMENT PYRAMIDS**

Generally, **1:24, 5:3, 7:36**  
 AMPS, and, **6:23, 6:59, 6:71**  
 Competition Act offences  
   generally, **17:2 et seq.**  
   cartels/conspiracy, **17:3**  
   deceptive marketing, **17:6, 17:7**  
   misleading advertising, **17:4**  
   regulatory offences, **17:5**

## **ENRON SCANDAL**

Compensation should be linked to risk management, **14:7**  
 Corporate governance after scandal, **4:1, 4:2, 10:6, 12:1**  
 Due diligence, **14:4**  
 Failure to take reasonable care, **12:7**  
 Individual morality, and, **14:10**  
 Reputational harm, **1:1 et seq., 10:33, 10:35**  
 Stigma, **13:4**

## ENVIRONMENTAL OFFENCES

Generally, **1:54, 19:1**

### AMPs

any and all contraventions, **6:15**

applicability, **6:17**

calculation of amount of penalty,  
**6:16**

double jeopardy, **6:19**

innocent acts punishable, **6:14**

reverse onus, **6:18**

Collateral attack, **19:8**

Due diligence, **19:6**

General prohibitions with site-  
specific balancing

generally, **19:2**

inherently toxic, **19:3**

not inherently toxic, **19:4**

site specific licences, **19:5**

Res ipsa loquitur, and, **9:3**

Sentencing and penalties, **19:9**

## EQUIFAX DATA BREACH

Generally, **2:27**

## EXTRACTIVE SECTOR TRANSPARENCY MEASURES ACT

Generally, **15:59**

## FACEBOOK-CAMBRIDGE ANALYTICA DATA SCANDAL

Generally, **1:27, 2:28, 17:10**

## FINANCIAL AND BANKING OFFENCES

Generally, **1:57**

## FLINT, MICHIGAN WATER CRISIS

Generally, **2:7**

## FORD PINTO CASE

Behaviour theory, and, **1:19**

Burn chart, **1:8, 2:47**

Criminal vs. regulatory proceed-  
ings, **11:6**

## FORD PINTO CASE—Cont'd

Potential gravity of harm, **15:77 et  
seq.**

Reputational harm, **3:6, 5:2**

Risk measurement, **2:47, 3:8**

## FRAUD

Generally, **1:48, 16:1 et seq., 16:3  
et seq.**

Civil fraud compared to criminal  
fraud

generally, **16:9 et seq.**

civil fraud, generally, **16:9**

comparison, **16:10**

Debarment, **25:33**

Elements of

actus reus, dishonest act and dep-  
rivation, **16:4**

mens rea, knowledge of risk of  
deprivation, **16:5, 16:6**

Ethical behaviour of professionals,  
**16:15**

Mens rea of corporate officials,  
**16:7**

Rules of principles

generally, **16:12 et seq.**

consumer health and safety, need  
for ex ante regulatory  
approval, **16:13**

vulnerable groups and ex ante  
regulatory approval, **16:14**

Secret commissions, **16:11, 29:5**

White collar crime, **16:2**

## FUKUSHIMA DAIICHI NUCLEAR ACCIDENT

Generally, **2:15 et seq., 2:17**

## GENDER

Compliance and, **1:64**

Holmes, Elizabeth, **1:20**

Khan, Roomy, **1:20**

Reasonable person and, **1:32, 9:1,  
9:2, 9:31**

Risk management and, **1:20**

**GLOBAL FUELS (R. C. PETROLES GLOBAL INC.)**

Corporate criminal liability, first decision in Canada, **1:36, 15:12 et seq.**  
 “Important role,” **10:11**  
 Interpretation of Criminal Code definition of “senior officer,” **15:13, 15:14**  
 “Senior officer” interpreted, **10:8, 10:9, 15:13**  
 Sentencing, **1:49, 15:31 to 15:35, 17:3, 25:3**

**GLOBALIZATION**

Deregulation, and, **2:4**  
 Regulating risk, and, **2:51**

**HINDSIGHT BIAS**

Generally, **1:2, 8:36, 9:3, 9:10, 9:15, 10:28**

**HIPSTER ANTITRUST MOVEMENT**

Generally, **1:15**

**HOLMES, ELIZABETH**

Generally, **1:20**

**IMMUNITY AND LENIENCY**

Cartel behaviour, **1:120**  
 History, **23:1**  
 Multiple accused, **23:2**  
 Revised programs, 2018  
     generally, **23:3 et seq.**  
     individuals must prove themselves worthy of immunity, **23:4**  
     interim to final immunity, **23:5**  
 Leniency Cooperation Credit (LCC), **23:6**

**INDIVIDUAL LIABILITY**

Generally, **1:40 et seq., 5:7, 12:1, 12:10**  
 Directors and officers, **1:42**  
 Licensing exposure, **13:7**  
 Party, **1:42**

**INDIVIDUAL LIABILITY**

**—Cont’d**

Principal, **1:41**  
 Risk management, and, **1:46**  
 Stigma  
     generally, **13:1**  
     AMPs, **1:45**  
     offences, **1:44**  
 R. v. Wholesale Travel Group Inc., fundamental pillars of, **13:3 et seq.**  
 securities offences, **13:2**  
 Types of liability  
     authorize, permit or acquiesce, **12:6**  
     influence and control  
         common law, **12:9**  
         statutory language defining, **12:8**  
     party, **12:5**  
     principal  
         generally, **12:2**  
         due diligence and reliance on experts, **12:4**  
         nature of testimony, **12:3**  
     reasonable care, specific duties to take, **12:7**

**INFORMATION FIDUCIARIES**

Generally, **1:28**

**INSIDER TRADING AND SECURITIES OFFENCES**

Generally, **1:53, 18:1**  
 Administrative enforcement of violations, **18:8**  
 Authorize, permit or acquiesce, **18:7**  
 Climate related disclosures, **18:11**  
 Criminal enforcement, **18:5**  
 Debarment, **25:33**  
 Inverting pyramid, **18:2**  
 Regulatory enforcement, **18:6**  
 Responsibility, circles of, **18:3**  
 Sentencing, **18:10**

**INSIDER TRADING AND  
SECURITIES OFFENCES**

**—Cont'd**

United States, comparison with,  
**18:4**

**INSPECTIONS,  
INVESTIGATIONS, RIGHTS  
AND REMEDIES**

Charter issues

arbitrary detention, exclusion of  
evidence, **24:5**

documents, **24:6**

individual vs. corporate rights,  
**24:8**

inspection powers under regula-  
tory statutes, **24:9**

procedure, **24:7**

right to counsel and duty to hold  
off, **24:4**

rights in administrative proceed-  
ings, **24:3**

trial within reasonable time,  
**24:29**

Corporate rights and Bill C-45

generally, **24:17**

adverse in interest, **24:17**

standing, **24:16**

Entrapment, **24:20**

Investigations of AMPs vs.  
offences, **24:14**

Post-Jarvis remedies, **24:21**

Regulatory warrants and due dili-  
gence

generally, **24:15**

CanadianOxy Chemicals Ltd. v.  
Canada (Attorney General),  
**24:15**

post-event documents, seizure of,  
**24:15**

R. v. Wholesale Travel Group  
Inc., **24:15**

Remedies for procedural violations,  
costs for Charter violations

generally, **24:22 to 24:28**

function of remedy, **24:27**

**INSPECTIONS,  
INVESTIGATIONS, RIGHTS  
AND REMEDIES—Cont'd**

Remedies for procedural violations,  
costs for Charter violations

**—Cont'd**

jurisdiction threshold, **24:24**

proposals for reform, **24:28**

test, **24:23**

Routine compliance inspections vs.  
adversarial evidence gathering,

**24:1, 24:10**

Strict liability regulatory offences,  
**24:11**

Use immunity, **1:96, 1:122, 3:9 et  
seq., 5:6, 5:7, 24:1, 24:10,  
24:18**

Whistleblowers and searches, **24:2  
to 24:6**

**INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH ON  
PUBLIC POLICY**

Deferred prosecution agreements,  
pros and cons, **23:8**

**INTEGRITY FRAMEWORK,  
PUBLIC WORKS AND  
GOVERNMENT SERVICES  
CANADA, DEBARMENT  
AND**

Generally, **15:37**

Affiliates

generally, **15:39, 15:48**

control test, **15:40**

control test consistent with  
traditional corporate law,  
**15:41**

Economic impact, **15:44**

Integrity Regime post-2015

generally, **15:46**

affiliates, participation or  
involvement, **15:48**

due process, **15:51**

existing contracts, **15:52**

interim suspensions and admis-  
sions of guilt, **15:50**

permanent ineligibility, **15:49**

**INTEGRITY FRAMEWORK,  
PUBLIC WORKS AND  
GOVERNMENT SERVICES  
CANADA, DEBARMENT  
AND—Cont'd**

- Integrity Regime post-2015  
—Cont'd
  - potential reduction to 5 years, **15:47**
  - third party monitoring and verification, **15:53**
- Lack of administrative discretion, **15:42**
- Narrow public interest exceptions, **15:43**
- No administrative appeals or review, **15:45**

**INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS**

- Deferred administrative action in administrative schemes, **1:120**
- Deferred prosecutions
  - generally, **1:97**
  - court approval, **1:114**
  - eligible offences, **1:112**
  - factors not to consider, **1:111**
  - individuals, and, **1:117**
  - legislation, **1:98**
  - procedural provisions of agreement, **1:113**
  - public interest considerations, **1:101**
  - regulatory aspects, **1:117**
  - regulatory offences, **1:118**
  - remediation agreements, **1:99, 1:115, 1:116**
  - SNC-Lavalin affair, **1:98**
  - threshold conditions for DPA, **1:100**
- Duty to cease activities or remediate, **1:92, 22:17**
- Duty to self-report
  - generally, **1:93, 22:18 et seq.**
  - due diligence defence, **1:94, 22:19**

**INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS  
—Cont'd**

- Duty to self-report—Cont'd
  - incentives in zone of non-discovery, **22:20**
  - mitigation of penalty, **1:95**
  - where not compelled by statute, **22:21**
- Essential steps
  - generally, **22:8 et seq.**
  - determine nature and seriousness of allegations, **22:9**
  - develop facts through document review and interviews, **22:10**
  - identify and analyze legal issues, **22:11**
  - recommend remedial measures, **22:13**
  - report results of investigation to client, **22:12**
- Framework, **1:90**
- Government or Crown corporations, **22:16**
- Immunity and leniency, **1:121**
- Interviews
  - generally, **22:14 et seq.**
  - in-depth inquiries, **22:14**
  - memorialize interview, **22:15**
- Potential non-compliance, see **NON-COMPLIANCE**
- Privilege, and
  - generally, **22:22 et seq.**
  - self audits, **22:22, 22:23**
  - solicitor-client
    - generally, **22:24**
    - case law, **22:25**
    - developments in U.K., **22:26**
    - dichotomous approach, **22:27**
- Protocols
- Restorative justice pyramid
- Stages, a case study
  - generally, **22:3 et seq.**
  - protocols
    - generally, **22:4**

## **INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS**

### **—Cont'd**

- Stages, a case study—Cont'd
- protocols—Cont'd
  - avoid tunnel vision and rush to judgment, **22:7**
  - basic framework issues, **22:4**
  - objectives, **22:5**
  - parameters of investigation, **22:6**
- Whistleblowing, **1:89, 22:2, 27:31**
- Zone of non-discovery
  - generally, **1:88, 22:1**
  - game theory in, **1:96**
  - incentives to self-report in, **22:20**

## **JUSTICE AS FAIRNESS**

- Application to matrix thresholds and priorities, **1:14**
- Application to regulatory policy, **1:15**
- Behavioural model, and, **1:13**
- Profit, **1:16**
- Veil of ignorance, **1:12**

## **KHAN, ROOMY**

- Generally, **1:20**

## **LAC-MEGANTIC**

- Generally, **2:9 et seq.**
- Oil-by-rail regulatory framework and suggested changes, **2:11 to 2:14**

## **LAW REFORM COMMISSION OF CANADA REVIEW OF REGULATORY OFFENCES**

- Competition Act amendments, and, **7:24 et seq.**
- Lower stigma, **7:17**
- Negligence vs. intentional conduct, **7:15**
- New code, **7:19**
- No jail, **7:18**
- Proactive prescriptions, **7:14**
- Streamlined hearings vs. traditional trials, **7:16**

## **LEGAL CORPORATION MODEL**

- Generally, **4:4**

## **LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF RISK MANAGEMENT**

- Administrative remedies, **1:27**
- Corporation's best interests, **1:28**
- Ex ante vs. ex post, **1:23**
- Pyramid approach to enforcement, **1:24**
- Regulatory offences, **1:26**
- Regulatory pyramids, **1:24**
- True crimes, **1:25**

## **LENIENCY COOPERATION CREDIT (LCC)**

- Generally, **23:6**

## **MARKETING, DECEPTIVE**

- Generally, **1:51, 7:34**
- Behavioural research applied to, **17:11**
- Enforcement, **17:10**

## **MATRIX PLANNING**

- Application at policy level, **3:2**
- Application to specific tasks
  - generally, **3:3 et seq.**
  - calculation of potential gravity of harm, **3:6**
  - calculation of probability of non-compliance, **3:4**
  - drawing the matrix, **3:7**
  - identifying thresholds within matrix, **3:8**

## **MENS REA**

- Corruption of Foreign Public Officials Act , under, **15:5**
- Fraud, **16:5, 16:7**
- Provincial offences, **1:24**
- True mens rea offences, **7:24 et seq.**

## **MERGER REVIEW, DUE DILIGENCE IN**

- Generally, **1:35**

**MISLEADING ADVERTISING**

Generally, **1:50, 7:34**

Enforcement, **17:4**

**MISTAKE OF FACT**

Generally, **1:29, 6:14, 9:6**

**MORAL CORPORATION MODEL**

Generally, **3:13, 4:2, 4:6, 4:7**

**NON-COMPLIANCE**

Calculating probability of, **3:4, 15:63 et seq.**

Causes, **1:18, 3:13**

Drivers of, **1:61**

Internal discovery of potential non-compliance

generally, **28:1, 28:2**

Corporate internal investigations action plan, **Appendix 28A**

Step 1: factual analysis, **28:3**

Step 2: legal analysis, **28:4**

Step 3:

duty to cease, **28:6**

duty to cease and take remedial steps, **28:7**

remedial steps, **28:5**

Step 4:

corporate liability for either crimes or violations, **28:11**

criminal allegations, **28:9**

regulatory or administrative allegations, **28:10**

responsibility and due diligence, **28:8**

Step 5:

analysis of whether duty to self-report to regulator or market exists, **28:12**

communications strategy, **28:15**

duty to self-report, **28:14**

no duty but discretion to self-report, **28:13**

Step 6:

discretion to self-report, **28:18**

**NON-COMPLIANCE—Cont'd**

Internal discovery of potential non-compliance—Cont'd

Step 6:—Cont'd

duty to self-report, **28:17**

imbalance between enforcement regimes, **28:19**

self-reporting where deferred prosecution regime exists, **28:16**

Linking causes to solutions, **3:13**

**NON-DISCOVERY, ZONE OF**

Generally, **1:88**

Deferred prosecutions, and, **1:100, 1:101, 1:113, 23:7 et seq., 23:41 to 23:44**

Duty to cease activities/remediate, **1:92, 22:17**

Game theory in, **1:96**

Immunity and Leniency Programs, 2018, **23:3 et seq.**

Incentives to self-report in, **1:97 to 1:99, 22:20**

Internal investigations, **22:1**

Whistleblowing, **1:89, 21:22, 22:2**

**NORDION (CANADA) INC.**

Email communications, **1:91, 22:4**

Facts, **1:90, 15:82 et seq.**

Internal investigation, **1:88, 22:1, 22:3 et seq.**

Risk management matrix, **15:81**

Self-reporting, **1:47, 1:93, 1:95, 3:7, 3:10, 22:18**

Zone of non-discovery, **1:88, 22:1**

**OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY**

Generally, **1:55, 20:1**

Continuing offences, **20:8**

Due diligence, matching types of regulation to, **20:9**

Prosecutorial discretion, **20:6**

Sentencing principles, **25:43 et seq**

Subsequent remedial measures, **20:7**

**OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY—Cont'd**

Types of ex post regulation  
generally, **20:1**  
precise codified standards, **20:3**  
reasonableness components in  
actus reus of some offences,  
**20:5**  
specific prescription with limited  
site-specific assessment,  
**20:4**

**OECD PRINCIPLES FOR MODERN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

Generally, **1:28**

**OFFENCES, EVOLUTION AND CLASSIFICATION**

Generally, **7:1**  
See also Actus reus in regulatory  
offences  
Charter impact on regulatory  
offences, **7:21**  
Civil track cases, **7:24 et seq.**  
Classification methodology  
generally, **7:23**  
absolute liability offences, **7:23**  
steps for determining category of  
offence, **7:23**  
strict liability offences, **7:23**  
Competition Act amendments, **7:24**  
**et seq., 7:27 et seq.**  
Constitutional issues, **7:26**  
Criminal model, historical expan-  
sion, **7:12**  
Directing minds  
see Directing minds and regula-  
tory offences  
Dual track cases, **7:25**  
Ex ante vs. ex post rules  
generally, **7:2 et seq.**  
application of four models, **7:11**  
rules vs. principles within ex  
ante, **7:9**

**OFFENCES, EVOLUTION AND CLASSIFICATION—Cont'd**

Ex ante vs. ex post rules—Cont'd  
rules vs. principles within ex  
post, **7:9**  
“Halfway house” created in R. v.  
Sault Ste. Marie, **7:20**  
Law Reform Commission of Can-  
ada review  
generally, **7:13 et seq.**  
Competition Act amendments,  
**7:24 et seq.**  
lower stigma, **7:17**  
negligence vs. intentional  
conduct, **7:15**  
new code, **7:19**  
no jail, **7:18**  
proactive prescriptions, **7:14**  
streamlined hearings vs.  
traditional trials, **7:16**  
Licensing regime (ex ante )  
generally, **7:1**  
advantages, **7:3**  
disadvantages, **7:4**  
proper role for ex ante regulation  
generally, **7:6**  
proactive ex ante constraints,  
**7:7**  
risk assessment as core  
concept, **7:8**  
rules vs. principles, **7:9**  
suggested approach, **7:5**  
Need for new hierarchy of offences,  
**7:35**  
Public welfare offences, **7:21, 7:22**  
R. v. Wholesale Travel Group Inc .,  
**7:21, 7:22**  
Risk analysis, two levels of, **9:4**  
Securities Act , multiple tracks of  
enforcement, **7:25**  
Sentencing  
federal offences and application  
of Criminal Code, **25:41**  
provincial offences, **25:42**

**OFFENCES, EVOLUTION AND CLASSIFICATION—Cont'd**

True criminal offences vs. regulatory offences, **7:22**

**OFFICIALLY INDUCED ERROR**

Generally, **9:7**

**OPERATIONAL CHANGE MANAGEMENT**

Generally, **1:77, 21:24**

Adapting to rapid changes in technology and growth

generally, **21:13 et seq.**

competence, **21:15**

feedback mechanisms, **21:17**

integrated approach, **21:18**

knowledge management, **21:14**

performance, **21:16**

Behavioural operational matrix

generally, **1:80, 21:4 et seq.**

compensation for compliance, **1:85, 21:9**

education, continuing and regular, **1:83, 21:7**

irrational tendencies, recognizing and counteracting, **1:82, 21:6**

peer review, **1:84, 21:8**

slow down, **1:81, 21:5**

Change management, **1:78, 21:2**

Compensating compliance, **21:19**

Obstacles, **1:79, 21:3**

Persuasion vs. manipulation, **1:87**

Positive matrix

generally, **1:86, 21:10 et seq.**

anonymous feedback mechanisms, **21:11**

progression of change, **21:12**

Profiting from risk compliance, **21:1 et seq.**

Whistleblowers

compensating compliance, **21:19**

evolution of paid whistleblower programs, **21:20 et seq.**

loneliness of, **21:21**

**OPERATIONAL CHANGE MANAGEMENT—Cont'd**

Whistleblowers—Cont'd

psychology, **21:23**

zone of non-discovery by government, **21:22**

**ORGANIZATIONAL COMPLIANCE, DETERRENCE THEORIES OF SENTENCING IN**

Corporate theory, **25:63**

Fine as deterrent

external measurement, **25:64**

internal factors, **25:65**

marginal utility, **25:66**

General deterrence, **25:62**

Rationality, myth of

corporate, **25:69**

individuals in regulated sectors, **25:68**

Rethinking deterrence

generally, **25:70 et seq.**

denunciation and retribution, **25:71**

incapacitation, **25:72**

rehabilitation, **25:72**

restorative justice, **25:74**

tiered approach, **25:75**

Risk of apprehension, **25:67**

Specific deterrence, **25:61**

Theory of justice related to punishment, **25:76**

Traditional theory, **25:60**

**PANAMA PAPERS**

Generally, **1:28, 1:122, 2:23, 15:59, 24:6**

**PARTY, LIABILITY OF**

Generally, **1:42**

**PENALTIES**

See Remedies and Sentencing

**PIRATES OF PRAGUE**

United States v. Bourke, **15:6**

**PRICE FIXING**

Generally, **1:49**  
Banks, **2:18 et seq.**  
Bread, **1:1, 2:24**

**PRINCIPAL, LIABILITY OF**

Generally, **1:41**

**PRIVACY AND THE LACK OF  
REGULATION**

Equifax data breach, **2:27**  
Facebook-Cambridge Analytica  
data scandal, **2:28**

**PRIVATIZATION**

Generally, **2:4, 2:6 et seq.**

**PROCEDURAL FAIRNESS AND  
THE CHARTER**

Generally, **1:122**

**PROCEDURAL JUSTICE AND  
REGULATION**

Generally, **5:6**

**R. v. KARIGAR**

Agrees to give or offer, **15:4**  
Facts, **1:47**  
First individual jailed under Corruption  
of Foreign Public Officials  
Act, **15:1, 25:56 et seq.**  
Nationality jurisdiction, **15:25 et  
seq.**  
Real and substantial link, **15:11,  
15:25 et seq.**  
Sentencing, **15:27**

**R. v. LACASSE**

Deterrence and denunciation, **25:50,  
25:51**  
Facts, **25:46**  
General sentencing principles,  
**25:44, 25:45**  
Local situation considered in  
sentencing, **25:51**  
Proportionality, **25:44, 25:48, 25:49**  
Standard for intervention on appeal  
from sentence, **25:47**

**R. v. SAULT STE. MARIE (CITY)**

“Cause or permit,” **12:8**  
Creation of “halfway house” of  
reasonable care, **7:20, 7:22**  
Due diligence, **6:20, 7:23, 9:2, 9:3,  
9:16**  
Evolution of public welfare  
offences, **1:25**  
Mistake of fact, **9:6**  
Regulatory offence, creation of  
modern concept, **24:29**

**R. v. WHOLESALE TRAVEL  
GROUP INC.**

Generally, **7:21, 7:22, 7:24 et seq.**  
Due diligence defence, **9:4, 10:25,  
12:7, 24:15**  
Regulatory offence at heart of case  
no longer exists, **7:27 et seq.,  
17:5**  
Stigma, **1:44, 9:34, 13:3 et seq.**

**RANA PLAZA COLLAPSE**

Generally, **2:35**

**RANDOM AGENDA SELECTION**

Generally, **2:51**

**REASONABLE PERSON**

Generally, **1:20**  
Gender, **1:32**

**RECOLLECTION BIAS**

Generally, **1:2**

**REGULATION**

Generally, **2:1, 2:2 et seq.**  
See also Deregulation  
American regulation, **2:2 et seq.**  
Boeing 737 MAX 8, **2:26**  
Californian wildfires, **2:25**  
Environmental issues  
“Chemical Valley,” Sarnia, **2:29**  
environmental injustice and  
decades of neglect, **2:31**  
Grassy Narrows mercury poison-  
ing, **2:29**

**REGULATION—Cont'd**

- Environmental issues—Cont'd
  - public money and companies that breach environmental rules, **2:33**
  - Shale oil wells in Saskatchewan, **2:32**
- Financial crimes, **2:3, 2:18 et seq., 2:36**
- History and development, **2:2 et seq.**
- Lac-Megantic, **2:9 et seq.**
- Panama Papers, implications of, **2:23**
- Privacy and the lack of regulation
  - Equifax data breach, **2:27**
  - Facebook-Cambridge Analytica data scandal, **2:28**
- Procedural justice, and, **5:6**
- Rana Plaza collapse and corporate social responsibility standards, **2:35**
- Risk-based approach, **2:52, 2:54**
- Sino Forest Corp. defrauds investors, **2:34**
- 2008 Listeriosis outbreak, **2:8**
- Unknown future risks of, **5:8**
- Walkerton water contamination, **2:1, 2:6 et seq.**
- Water Crisis, Indigenous communities, **2:33.50**

**REGULATIONS**

- Enforcement, **2:52**
- Environmental racism, **2:52**
- Political visibility: criminal offences vs. regulatory offences, **2:47, 2:51**
- Public opinion, **2:51**
- Random agenda selection, **2:51**
- Role of, **2:49**

**REGULATORY OFFENCES**

- See Offences, evolution and classification

**REGULATORY PYRAMIDS**

- Generally, **1:24, 5:1, 5:3, 5:9**

**REMEDIES**

- Generally, **1:123**
- See also Sentencing
- Debarment
  - generally, **1:124 et seq.**
  - corporate sentencing, **1:135**
  - individual sentencing, **1:134**
  - leniency, **1:126**
  - matrix analysis, **1:127**
  - maximum period, **1:125**
- Environmental offences, **19:7**
- Insider trading and securities offences, **18:6**
- Post-Jarvis, **24:12**
- Procedural violations, costs as remedy, **24:22 to 24:28**

**REPRESENTATIVENESS****HEURISTIC**

- Generally, **3:10, 9:3, 9:24, 10:26, 15:19**

**RESPONSIVE REGULATION**

- Generally, **5:1, 5:2**

**RESTORATIVE JUSTICE**

- Generally, **5:1, 5:4**
- Enforcement, and, **5:5**
- Sentencing, in, **25:59**

**RISK**

- Generally, **1:1 et seq.**
- Codification of, role of regulations, **2:51**
- Compliance templates, **1:22**
- Harm, **1:4**
- Irrational behaviour, predictions of, **1:19**
- Non-compliance, causes, of, **1:18**

**RISK ASSESSMENT**

- Generally, **2:37 et seq., 2:38, 9:9**
- Alternate explanations in biology and sociology, **2:41**
- Cost-benefit calculations, **2:41**

**RISK ASSESSMENT—Cont’d**

Dose/response curve, **2:39**  
 Empirical measurement, and, **2:42**  
 Expert evidence vs. public opinion, **2:40**  
 Exposure estimation, **2:39**  
 Fake news, **2:43**  
 Foreign corrupt practices, probability of non-compliance, **15:63 et seq.**  
 Hazard identification, **2:39**  
 NAS-NRC model, **2:38**  
 PCB releases during 2001 World Championships, **2:39**  
 Precautionary principle, **2:39**  
 Result categorization, **2:39**  
 Risk calculations, **2:41**  
 Walkerton water contamination, **2:39**

**RISK-BASED OFFENCES AND VIOLATIONS**

Generally, **1:57**

**RISK COMMUNICATION**

Role of courts, **2:53**

**RISK MANAGEMENT**

Generally, **2:44**  
 Basic principles, **1:1**  
 COSO framework, **2:50**  
 Diversity, **1:20, 4:11**  
 Enforcement of regulations, **2:52**  
 Enterprise risk management, **2:50**  
 Essential elements of  
     generally, **2:44**  
     benchmarks, **2:48**  
     risk measure, **2:47**  
     scenarios, **2:46**  
     time horizon, **2:45**  
 Ford Pinto case, **1:8, 1:19, 2:47**  
 Gender, **1:20**  
 Individuals, and  
     see also Risk management systems for individuals  
     generally, **1:46**

**RISK MANAGEMENT—Cont’d**

Legal framework  
     administrative remedies, **1:27**  
     corporation’s best interests, **1:28**  
     ex ante vs. ex post, **1:23**  
     pyramid approach to enforcement, **1:24**  
     regulatory offences, **1:26**  
     regulatory pyramids, **1:24**  
     true crimes, **1:25**  
 Matrix for due diligence, **9:26 et seq.**  
 Privatization, and, **2:4, 2:6 et seq.**  
 Random agenda selection, **2:51**  
 Regulations and, **2:51**  
 Templates, **1:72**  
 White collar crime and grey areas, **2:49**

**RISK MANAGEMENT, FLOWCHART ANALYSIS**

Generally, **27:1**  
 Flowchart, **Appendix 27A**  
 Step 1:  
     (A)governance, gender and diversity, **27:3**  
     (B)governance and risk management, **27:4**  
     (C)management commitment and support, **27:5**  
     (D)compliance frames issues, **27:6**  
     risk management and corporate governance, **27:2**  
 Step 2: due diligence, **27:7**  
 Step 3: compliance and Criminal Code provisions, **27:8 to 27:11**  
 Step 4: risk assessment, **27:12**

**RISK MANAGEMENT FAILURES**

Boeing 737 MAX 8, **2:26**  
 Californian wildfires, **2:25**  
 Enron scandal, **1:2**  
 Environmental issues  
     “Chemical Valley,” Sarnia, **2:30**

**RISK MANAGEMENT FAILURES  
—Cont'd**

- Environmental issues—Cont'd  
environmental injustice and  
decades of neglect, **2:31**
- Grassy Narrows mercury poison-  
ing, **2:29**
- public money and companies that  
breach environmental rules,  
**2:33**
- Shale oil wells in Saskatchewan,  
**2:32**
- Financial crimes, **2:36**
- Freedom Convoy 2022, **2:36.5**
- Flint, Michigan water crisis, **2:7**
- Fukushima Daiichi nuclear  
accident, **2:17**
- Lac-Mégantic, **2:9 et seq.**
- Panama Papers, **2:23**
- Rana Plaza collapse and corporate  
social responsibility standards,  
**2:35**
- Sino Forest Corp. defrauds inves-  
tors, **2:34**
- 2008 financial meltdown, **1:2, 2:4,**  
**2:5**
- 2008 Listeriosis outbreak, **2:8**
- 2010 British Petroleum oil spill —  
Deepwater Horizon, **2:16**
- Volkswagen emissions scandal,  
**2:22**
- Walkerton water contamination,  
**1:2, 2:6 et seq.**
- Wells Fargo Cross-Selling Scam,  
**2:19, 2:51**

**RISK MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS  
FOR INDIVIDUALS**

- Generally, **14:1**
- Compliance officers, **14:2**
- Due diligence, systems for individu-  
als separated from corporations  
generally, **14:3 et seq.**
- compensation, **14:7**
- competing directing minds, **14:5**
- conflicts of interest, **14:6**

**RISK MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS  
FOR INDIVIDUALS—Cont'd**

- Due diligence, systems for individu-  
als separated from corporations  
—Cont'd
- documentation of individual due  
diligence, **14:4**
- indemnities, **14:8**
- Dynamic between individuals and  
corporations when charges  
occur, **14:9**
- Individual morality within the  
group, **14:10**

**RISK MATRIX**

- Generally, **15:1**
- Basic principles, **1:4**
- COVID-19, coronavirus, **1:3**
- government response, legal,  
**1:3.50**
- government response, policy,  
**1:3.50**
- human health, **1:3.70**
- risk assessment, **1:3.30**
- Drafting, **27:13**
- Due diligence, and, **1:9, 1:33**
- Gravity of harm vs. probability,  
**1:10, 29:9**
- Justice as fairness
- application to matrix thresholds  
and priorities, **1:14**
- application to regulatory policy,  
**1:15**
- behavioural model, and, **1:13**
- profit, **1:16**
- veil of ignorance, **1:12**
- Levels of audit, **1:62**
- Macro priorities, **1:6, 27:14**
- Matrix planning
- application at policy level, **3:2**
- application to specific tasks, **3:3**  
**et seq.**
- corporate compliance systems  
and due diligence, **3:9 et**  
**seq.**
- Micro calculations, **1:7, 27:15**

**RISK MATRIX—Cont'd**

Positive risk matrix, **1:17, 29:10**  
 Press coverage, and, **1:27**  
 Priorities, trumping, **27:17**  
 Regulator's risk matrix, **1:21**  
 Theory of justice, **1:11**  
 Thresholds of life and safety, **1:8, 27:16, 29:8**  
 Veil of ignorance, **1:12**

**RISK SOFTWARE**

Generally, **1:76**

**SECURITIES ACT (ONTARIO)**

Authorize, permit or acquiesce, **18:7**  
 Crawford Report, **7:25, 13:2**  
 Multiple tracks of enforcement, **6:5, 7:25**  
 Purpose, **13:2**  
 Regulatory enforcement, **18:6**  
 s. 76, tipping, **12:4, 18:6**  
 s. 122, misleading statement, **1:43, 1:52, 7:23, 12:4, 12:6, 13:2, 18:6, 18:7**  
 s. 126.1, fraud and market manipulation, **13:2, 18:6**  
 Stigma of conviction, **1:44**

**SELF-REPORT, DUTY TO**

Generally, **1:93, 28:12**  
 Due diligence defence, **1:94**  
 Mitigation of penalty, **1:95**

**SENTENCING**

Generally, **1:123, 25:43 et seq.**  
 See also Remedies  
 Case law, **25:43 et seq., Appendix A (Vol. 2)**  
 Corporate and regulatory offences  
     generally, **25:1**  
     debarment  
         see also Debarment  
         generally, **25:8, 25:18 et seq.**  
     principles for organizations  
         generally, **25:2**  
         above senior officer, **25:4**

**SENTENCING—Cont'd**

Corporate and regulatory offences  
     —Cont'd  
         principles for organizations  
             —Cont'd  
                 analysis of factors, **25:5**  
                 probation orders, **25:6**  
 Corporations  
     generally, **26:1**  
     corporate veil  
         generally, **26:8**  
         American case law, **26:10**  
         group enterprise theory, **26:9**  
         subsidiaries, treatment of, **26:9**  
 fines  
     generally, **26:6**  
     enforcement against  
         undercapitalized corpora-  
         tion, **26:7**  
     government as unsecured creditor  
         for corporate risk, **26:12**  
     imbedded auditors, **26:2**  
     multinational corporations,  
         jurisdictional issues, **26:11**  
     secured corporate model, **26:13**  
 Corruption of Foreign Public  
     Officials Act  
         aggravating factors, **15:28**  
         corporate criminal  
             above senior officer, **15:34**  
             analysis of factors, **15:35**  
             eight-year threshold, **15:30**  
         mitigating factors, **15:29**  
 Creative sentencing to avoid debar-  
     ment, **25:37**  
 Denunciation and retribution, **25:54**  
 Deterrence and denunciation  
     see also Organizational compli-  
         ance, deterrence theories of  
         sentencing in  
     compliance programs, **25:52**  
     fine must not be mere licence fee,  
         **25:53**  
     white collar crime, and, **25:50**

**SENTENCING—Cont’d**

- Fraud, Bill C-21 and white collar crime, **25:39 et seq.**
- General principles, **25:45**
- Incapacitation and jail
  - generally, **25:56 et seq.**
  - imprisonment as last resort in environmental cases, **25:58**
  - imprisonment as last resort in motor vehicle cases, **25:57**
- Local situation considered in sentencing, **25:51**
- Matrix analysis, **25:43 et seq.**
- Occupational health and safety cases, **25:52**
- Proportionality
  - generally, **25:44, 25:48**
  - parity, and, **25:49**
- R. v. Lacasse
  - deterrence and denunciation, **25:50, 25:51**
  - facts, **25:46**
  - general sentencing principles, **25:45**
  - local situation considered in sentencing, **25:51**
  - proportionality, **25:44, 25:48, 25:49, 25:52**
  - standard for intervention on appeal from sentence, **25:47**
- Regulatory offences, **25:41**
  - deterrence, **25:53**
  - federal offences and application of Criminal Code, **25:41**
  - provincial offences, **25:42**
- Rehabilitation, **25:55**
- Restorative justice, **25:59**

**SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND ASSAULT, WORKPLACE**

- Macro priorities, **1:6**
- Risk and harm, **1:4**
- Threshold of risk, **1:8**

**SNC-LAVALIN AFFAIR**

- Class action lawsuit, **15:79**

**SNC-LAVALIN AFFAIR—Cont’d**

- “Corruption,” **1:47**
- Debarment
  - generally, **1:124 et seq., 15:54 et seq.**
  - creative sentencing to avoid debarment, **25:37**
- Deferred prosecution agreements, **1:98, 23:9, 23:12, 23:17, 23:28**
- Enforcement, **15:1**
- Information sharing, **15:83 to 15:85**
- Self-reporting, and, **1:94, 22:18 et seq.**

**STIGMA OF INDIVIDUAL LIABILITY**

- Generally, **13:1**
- Administrative monetary penalties, **1:45**
- Freedom from, not Charter right, **7:23**
- Licensing exposure, **13:7**
- Offences, **1:44**
- R. v. Wholesale Travel Group Inc., fundamental pillars of, **13:3 et seq.**
- Securities offences, **13:2**

**2008 FINANCIAL CRISIS**

- Generally, **1:2, 1:21, 1:28, 1:44, 2:4, 2:5, 12:6, 13:4, 18:4, 26:5**

**2008 LISTERIOSIS OUTBREAK**

- Generally, **2:2 et seq., 2:6 et seq., 2:8, 2:54**

**2010 BRITISH PETROLEUM OIL SPILL — DEEPWATER HORIZON**

- Generally, **2:16**

**UNITED STATES V. BOURKE, “PIRATE OF PRAGUE,” DOCTRINE OF CONSCIOUS AVOIDANCE, AND**

- Generally, **15:5**

**USE IMMUNITY**

Generally, **1:96, 1:122, 3:9 et seq., 5:6, 5:7, 24:2, 24:10, 24:18**

**VOLKSWAGEN DIESEL  
EMISSIONS SCANDAL**

Generally, **1:1, 1:8, 2:22, 4:2, 25:6**

**WALKERTON WATER  
CONTAMINATION**

Budget reductions, **2:52**  
Precautionary principles, **1:54**  
Recommendations, **8:1**  
Regulating risk, **2:1, 2:6 et seq., 2:8, 2:49**  
Risk, **1:2**  
Risk assessment, **2:38**  
Risk interpretation, **2:53**

**WELLS FARGO  
CROSS-SELLING SCAM**

Generally, **2:19, 2:53**

**WESTRAY MINE EXPLOSION**

Generally, **1:35**  
Bill C-45, **9:3, 10:1, 10:39**  
Policy vs. operational decisions, **10:4**  
Res ipsa loquitur, and, **9:3**  
Senior officer status, **10:14**  
Under-regulation, and, **5:1**

**WHISTLEBLOWERS**

Compliance compensation, **15:92, 21:19**  
Evolution of paid whistleblower programs, **21:20 et seq.**  
Importance of, **2:24**  
Internal investigations, **22:2**  
Loneliness of, **21:21**

**WHISTLEBLOWERS—Cont'd**

Monitoring verification and reporting mechanisms, **27:31**  
Psychology, **21:23**  
Searches, and, **24:3 et seq.**  
Zone of non-discovery by government, and, **1:88, 21:22**

**WHITE COLLAR CRIME**

Generally, **1:4, 2:40, 16:2**  
Deterrence and denunciation in sentencing, **25:50**  
General principles, **25:45**  
Grey areas in risk management, and, **2:47**  
Incapacitation and jail, **25:56 et seq.**  
Mens rea offences, application of due diligence principles to, **9:37**  
Minimum sentences, Bill C-21, **25:39 et seq.**  
Non-compliance, causes of, **1:17**  
Restorative justice and, **5:5**

**ZONE OF NON-DISCOVERY**

Generally, **1:88**  
Deferred prosecutions, and, **1:100, 1:101, 1:113, 23:7 et seq., 23:41 to 23:44**  
Duty to cease activities/remediate, **22:17**  
Game theory in, **1:96**  
Immunity and Leniency Programs, **23:3**  
Incentives to self-report in, **1:97, 1:99, 22:20**  
Internal investigations, **22:1**  
Whistleblowing, **1:89, 2:2, 21:22**