

Index

ABSENT WITNESSES

- Generally, **10:1**
- Absent from other jurisdictions and present in Canada
 - generally, **10:15**
 - foreign letters rogatory
 - evidentiary and procedural concerns, **10:20**
 - judicial discretion to refuse
 - conflict with Canadian policy objectives, **10:19**
 - materiality, **10:18**
 - undue burden, **10:17**
 - legislative prerequisites, **10:16**
 - mutual legal assistance in Canadian criminal courts, **10:21**
 - requesting the assistance of a Canadian court, **10:15**
- Commission evidence
 - civil cases
 - generally, **10:2**
 - criteria for making order, **10:3**
 - procedural concerns, **10:4**
 - criminal cases
 - generally, **10:5**
 - application procedure, **10:5**
 - criteria for making order, **10:6**
 - presence of accused, **10:7**
- Requesting the assistance of a Canadian court, **10:15**
- Testifying by video-conference or other means
 - Advances in technology, **10:8**
 - civil cases
 - historical approach, **10:9**
 - legislative guidelines, **10:10**
 - modern approach, **10:11**
 - witnesses in foreign jurisdictions, **10:12**
 - criminal cases
 - Evidentiary and procedural questions, **10:14**
 - factors for consideration, **10:14.50**
 - legislation, **10:13**

ACCUSED PERSON, COMPELLABILITY OF

- Canada Evidence Act, effect of s.4(6), **6:37**
- Co-accused, **6:39**
- Criminal (penal) proceedings, **6:36**
- General rule—not compellable at own trial, **6:35**
- Officers of corporations, **6:40**
- Separate proceedings, **6:38**
- Spouse of accused person
 - historical approach, **6:41**
 - provincial prosecutions, **6:42**
- Tactical compulsion, **6:35.50**

ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNALS

- Compelling attendance, **8:11**

ADMISSIBILITY

- See also EXPERT WITNESSES
- Generally, **2:3**
- Conditional admissibility, **2:8**
- Consent, on
 - formal admissions, civil cases, **2:10**
 - formal admissions, criminal cases, **2:11**
- Exclusion
 - judicial discretion
 - history, **2:16**
 - modernization of discretion, **2:17 to 2:19**
 - rationales for, **2:15**
 - limited exclusion, **2:30**
 - Judicial notice, **3:32**
 - Legal prerequisite, **2:2**
 - Legal relevance, **2:5**
 - Limited admissibility, **2:9**
 - Materiality, **2:4**
 - Prejudicial effect
 - generally, **2:23**
 - distraction or undue focus, **2:26**
 - emotional prejudice, hostility or sympathy, **2:24**
 - misleading nature, **2:25**
 - time and expense, **2:27**
 - ultimate issue, **2:29**

ADMISSIBILITY—Cont'd

Prejudicial effect—Cont'd
unfair surprise, **2:28**

Probative value
generally, **2:5**
measuring, **2:20 to 2:22**

Procedural issues
objections, **2:13**
voir dire, **2:14**

Relaxed standards, **2:7**

Weight, vs, **2:6**

**ADMISSION BY PARTIES,
EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE**

Generally, **14:13**

Adopted admissions, **14:14**

Vicarious admissions, **14:15**

ADULTERY

Privilege to protect against self-incrimination, **17:87**

ADVERSARIAL PROCESS

See TRIAL PROCESS

ADVERSE WITNESSES

See also HOSTILE WITNESSES

Generally, **11:31**

Adverse non-party witnesses, **11:34**

Adversity, meaning, **11:27**

Existing approach, concerns with, **11:29**

Manner of examination, **11:33**

Prohibited statements, **11:28**

Scope of rule—technical requirements,
11:32

Statutory construction issues, **11:26**

AFFIDAVITS

Generally, **3:4**

AFFIRMATION, SWEARING

Generally, **6:5**

**AUTHENTICATION OF
DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE**

Ancient documents, **3:8**

Basic rule, **3:6**

Direct or circumstantial evidence, by, **3:7**

Judicial records, **3:9**

Other statutory exceptions, **3:10**

BAD CHARACTER EVIDENCE

Civil cases, use in, **15:7**

BAD CHARACTER EVIDENCE

—Cont'd

Criminal cases, use in

accused, **15:2**

co-accused, **15:3**

non-accused

generally, **15:4**

deceased or victim/complainant,
15:6

third party suspect, **15:5**

Direct relevance

civil cases, **15:13**

criminal cases

charging trier of fact, **15:11**

prejudicial effect, **15:9**

probative value, **15:8**

raised by accused, **15:10**

relevance to credibility, **15:12**

**BASIC PRINCIPLES OF LAW OF
EVIDENCE**

Bentham, Jeremy, **1:11**

Categorical approach, **1:10**

Efficiency, **1:7**

Ehrenberg, Kenneth, **1:11**

Evidentiary discretion, **1:10**

Flexibility vs certainty, **1:10**

Principled approach, **1:10**

Protection of interests outside trial, **1:9**

Protection of jury, **1:8**

Requirement for, **1:11**

Right to fair trial, **1:6**

Search for truth, **1:5**

Traditional approach, **1:10**

BENTHAM, JEREMY

Generally, **1:11**

BEST EVIDENCE RULE

Electronic evidence, **3:15**

Exceptions

evidence not available, **3:13**

production inconvenient (public
records), **3:14**

Modern approach, **3:11**

Rationale, **3:11**

Scope, **3:12**

**BOARD AND TRIBUNAL MEMBERS,
COMPETENCE AND
COMPELLABILITY**

Compellability and scope of questioning,
6:30

**BOARD AND TRIBUNAL MEMBERS,
COMPETENCE AND
COMPELLABILITY—Cont'd**

Privative clauses, effect, **6:31**

BROWNE v. DUNN, RULE IN

Generally, **12:36**

BURDEN OF PROOF

Civil proceedings

allocating burdens, **4:11**

legal standard, **4:10**

third standard of proof, **4:10**

Criminal proceedings, accused

due diligence defence, regulatory
offences, and, **4:9**

statutory and common law burdens, **4:8**

Criminal proceedings, prosecution

generally, **4:3**

applies to case as whole, **4:4**

burden never shifts to accused, **4:5**

credibility, **4:7**

reasonable doubt, meaning, **4:6, 4:6.50**

Evidential burden

generally, **4:12**

absence of evidence, **4:6.50**

civil cases, **4:15**

criminal cases

accused, on, **4:14**

prosecution, on, **4:13**

Need for, **4:1**

Tendering evidence

threshold, **4:16**

who bears burden, **4:17**

Types, **4:2**

**BUSINESS RECORDS, EXCEPTION
TO HEARSAY RULE**

Definition, **14:37**

Historical development of exception,
14:35

Specific exclusions, **14:38**

Which exception governs, **14:36**

CALLING EVIDENCE

Appeal, on, **7:6**

due diligence (civil cases), **7:6.10**

due diligence (criminal cases), **7:6.10**

exceptions to general rule, **7:6.10**

importance of evidence, **7:6.10**

Order of evidence, **7:1**

Re-calling a witness, **7:3.50**

CALLING EVIDENCE—Cont'd

Re-opening case

civil cases, **7:4**

criminal cases, **7:5**

Rule against splitting case

generally, **7:2**

civil cases, **7:2**

criminal cases, **7:3**

CALLING WITNESSES

Generally, **7:7**

Civil cases

additional witnesses, **7:12**

failure to call witnesses, **7:13**

Crown in criminal cases

adverse inference, **7:10**

failure to call witness and adverse
inference, **7:10, 7:11**

crown commentary on in jury
address, **7:11.50**

no obligation to call witnesses, **7:8**

oblique motive/abuse of process, **7:9**

Defence in criminal cases, **7:11**

Expert witnesses, **7:24**

Trial judge's role

calling witnesses, **7:14**

jury's ability to question witnesses,
7:17

questioning witnesses in adversarial
proceedings, **7:15**

CANADA EVIDENCE ACT

Adverse inference and effect of s.4(6),
6:37

Child witnesses, presumption of compe-
tence, **6:16**

Cross-examination

s.10 of Canada Evidence Act

generally, **12:63**

intention to contradict witness, **12:65**

statement must have been made by
witness, **12:64**

s.11 of Canada Evidence Act

addressing denial, proof of former
statement, **12:67**

failing to contradict witness, **12:68**

witness response to prior statement,
12:66

Journalist-source privilege, protection of,
17:90

Public interest immunity, **18:6 to 18:16**

CHARACTER EVIDENCE

- Bad character evidence
 - civil cases, use in, **15:7**
 - criminal cases, use in
 - accused, **15:2**
 - co-accused, **15:3**
 - Crown witnesses, **15:4**
 - Deceased or Victim complainant, **15:6**
 - third party suspect, **15:5**
- direct relevance
 - civil cases, **15:13**
 - criminal cases
 - charging trier of fact, **15:11**
 - prejudicial effect, **15:9**
 - probative value, **15:8**
 - raised by accused, **15:10**
 - relevance to credibility, **15:12**
- Good character evidence, civil cases, **15:36**
- Good character evidence, criminal cases
 - generally, **15:30**
 - accused, **15:31**
 - impact of adducing
 - admitting bad character evidence in reply, impact, **15:34**
 - Crown's ability to respond, **15:33**
 - putting character "in issue," **15:32**
 - prosecution, **15:35**
- Similar fact evidence, civil cases, **15:29**
- Similar fact evidence, criminal cases
 - generally, **15:14**
 - admissibility
 - balancing process, **15:26**
 - deference to trial judge's decision, **15:25**
 - proving identity, **15:27**
 - conditions for admission
 - acts for which accused acquitted, **15:19**
 - evidence linking accused to similar acts, **15:20**
 - types of evidence, **15:18**
 - historical development of rule
 - early common law/iMakin/i rule, **15:15**
 - evolving past iMakin/i, **15:16**
 - R. v. Handy, **15:17**
 - multi-count indictments, **15:28**

CHARACTER EVIDENCE—Cont'd

- Similar fact evidence, criminal cases
 - Cont'd
 - prejudicial effect
 - moral prejudice, **15:23**
 - reasoning prejudice, **15:24**
 - probative value
 - collusion, **15:22**
 - relevant factors, **15:21**
- Utility and dangers, **15:1**

CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

- See also **IMPROPERLY OBTAINED EVIDENCE**
- Presumptions of law, and, **4:22**
- s.13 and compellability in separate proceedings, **6:35.50, 6:38**
- s.7 and self-incrimination
 - derivative use immunity, **17:15**
 - residual protection against self-incrimination, **17:14**
 - statements compelled by statute, **17:16**
- s.13 and self-incrimination
 - application, **17:7**
 - compelled testimony, **17:9**
 - non-criminal proceedings, **17:13**
 - testifies in any proceedings, **17:8**
 - using prior testimony for other non-incriminating purposes, **17:12**
 - using prior testimony to impeach, **17:11**
 - whether s.13 can be waived, **17:10**
- s.11(c) and compellability, **6:35.50, 6:37, 6:38**
- Source of evidence law, **1:18**
- Violation would be caused, grounds for quashing subpoena, **8:18**

CHILD WITNESSES

- Historical treatment, **6:13**
- Presumption of competence under Canada Evidence Act, **6:16**
- Procedural issues in assessing competence, **6:17**
- Promising to tell truth, **6:15**
- Techniques to assist in providing evidence
 - child-friendly techniques, rationale, **6:18**
 - other measures and potential reforms, **6:22**
 - personal cross-examination, **6:21**

CHILD WITNESSES—Cont'd

- Techniques to assist in providing evidence—Cont'd
 - screens, in-camera evidence and testifying remotely, **6:19**
 - support persons and animals, **6:20**
 - Unsworn testimony, **6:15**

CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

- Authenticating by, documentary evidence, **3:7**
- Criminal cases, special rules in, **3:2, 3:3**
- Distinguished from direct evidence, **3:1**

CO-ACCUSED, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

- Generally, **6:39**

CO-CONSPIRATOR STATEMENTS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

- Admissibility, framework, **14:31**
- Admissibility in civil cases, **14:33**
- Concerns with exceptions and compliance with principled approach, **14:32**
- Principled basis for admission, **14:30**

COLLATERAL FACT RULE

- Abolish or reform rule, need to, **12:41**
- Exceptions, **12:44**

COMMISSION EVIDENCE

- Civil cases
 - generally, **10:2**
 - criteria for making order, **10:3**
 - procedural concerns, **10:4**
- Criminal cases
 - generally, **10:5**
 - application procedure, **10:5**
 - criteria for making order, **10:6**
 - presence of accused, **10:7**

COMMON LAW AS SOURCE OF EVIDENCE LAW

- Generally, **1:12**

COMMON LAW PRIVILEGE

- Balancing of interests, **17:94**
- Confidentiality, **17:92**
- History, **17:91**
- Nature of relationship, **17:93**

COMMUNICATING WITH TESTIFYING WITNESS

- Communication between witnesses, **7:27**

COMMUNICATING WITH TESTIFYING WITNESS—Cont'd

- Examination for discovery, **7:26**
- Trial, **7:25**

COMPELLING ATTENDANCE

- Administrative tribunals, **8:11**
- Civil litigation
 - interprovincial subpoenas
 - generally, **8:3**
 - proceedings where subpoena available, **8:4**
 - witness fees and protection, **8:5**
 - witnesses within jurisdiction, **8:2**
- Coroners' inquests, **8:10**
- Criminal proceedings
 - duration of subpoena, **8:8**
 - issuance, **8:6**
 - likely to provide material evidence, **8:7**
 - technical requirements, **8:6**
- Enforcing attendance by arresting witness
 - civil and administrative proceedings, **9:2**
 - criminal proceedings, **9:3**
- Federal and provincial inquiries, **8:9**
- Power to compel, **8:1**
- Subpoenas or summons, setting aside
 - onus and procedure
 - civil proceedings, **8:13**
 - criminal proceedings, **8:14**
 - quashing, grounds for
 - abuse of process, **8:17**
 - Charter violation, **8:18**
 - health of witness would be at risk, **8:19**
 - irregularity in issuance, **8:15**
 - witness can provide to material evidence, **8:16**

COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

- Accused persons
 - Canada Evidence Act, effect of s.4(6), **6:37**
 - co-accused, **6:39**
 - criminal (penal) proceedings, **6:36**
 - general rule—not compellable at own trial, **6:35**
 - officers of corporations, **6:40**
 - separate proceedings, **6:38**

COMPETENCE AND**COMPELLABILITY—Cont'd**

- Accused persons—Cont'd
 - spouse of accused person
 - historical approach, **6:41**
 - provincial prosecutions, **6:42**
 - tactical compulsion, **6:35.50**
- Child witnesses
 - historical treatment, **6:13**
 - presumption of competence under Canada Evidence Act, **6:16**
 - procedural issues in assessing competence, **6:17**
 - promising to tell truth, **6:15**
 - techniques to assist in providing evidence
 - child-friendly techniques, rationale, **6:18**
 - other measures and potential reforms, **6:22**
 - personal cross-examination, **6:21**
 - screens, in-camera evidence and testifying remotely, **6:19**
 - support persons and animals, **6:20**
 - unsworn testimony, **6:14**
- Evolution of Rules, **6:1**
- Interest in proceedings
 - civil, **6:11**
 - criminal, **6:12**
- Intermediaries, **6:9.50**
- Lawyers
 - counsel for a party, **6:33**
 - counsel for opposing party, **6:34**
- Prerequisites
 - intelligibility, **6:8**
 - mental capacity, **6:9**
 - swearing oath or affirmation
 - affirmation, **6:5**
 - importance of sworn testimony, **6:3**
 - oath, **6:4**
 - questioning witness's choice, **6:6**
 - unsworn testimony, **6:7**
- Prior convictions, **6:10**
- State officials
 - board and tribunal members
 - compellability and scope of questioning, **6:30**
 - privative clauses, effect, **6:31**

COMPETENCE AND**COMPELLABILITY—Cont'd**

- State officials—Cont'd
 - Crown officials and Members of Parliament
 - discovery and inquiries, **6:25**
 - parliamentary privilege, **6:26**
 - prima facie compellable at trial, **6:24**
 - judges and jurors
 - incompetent in the particular proceeding, **6:28**
 - unrelated proceedings, **6:29**
 - ombudspersons, investigators, and mediators, **6:32**
 - representatives of foreign governments, **6:27**
 - sovereign, **6:23**
- CONFESSIONS AND OTHER PROTECTED STATEMENTS**
- Generally, **19:1**
- Application of confessions rule
 - generally, **19:9**
 - appellate review, **19:11**
 - burden of proof, **19:9**
 - ivoir dire/i, **19:10**
- Derived confessions rule, **19:6**
- Improper means: Mr. Big investigations
 - abuse of process, **19:32**
 - background: R v. Hart, **19:28**
 - extending approach to other types of investigations, **19:34**
 - jury instructions, **19:33**
 - measuring probative value
 - circumstances surrounding confession, **19:29**
 - markers of reliability, **19:30**
 - prejudicial effect, **19:31**
- Persons in authority
 - generally, **19:12**
 - confessions made to person not in authority, **19:14**
 - evidentiary burden, **19:15**
 - who is a person in authority, **19:13**
- Right to silence
 - detention and choice
 - elicitation, **19:26**
 - is recipient a state agent, **19:25**
 - recognition of right, R. v. Hebert, **19:24**
 - police questioning, **19:27**

**CONFESSIONS AND OTHER
PROTECTED STATEMENTS
—Cont'd**

- Scope of rule
 - applicable only in criminal proceedings, **19:8**
 - derivative evidence, **19:7**
 - statements by accused, **19:5**
 - subsequent statements, derived confessions rule, **19:6**
- Voluntariness
 - generally, **19:16, 19:22**
 - caution, **19:17**
 - excluding statements obtained by violations of fairness, **19:3**
 - modern approach to confessions rule, **19:4**
 - operating mind, **19:20**
 - oppression, **19:19**
 - police trickery, **19:21**
 - threats and inducements, **19:18**
 - unreliable statements, fear of prejudice/hope of advantage, **19:2**
- Young persons, **19:23**

CONTEMPT OF COURT

- See also NON-COMPLIANT WITNESSES, Punishment
- Generally, **9:5**
- Authority to punish for contempt, **9:7**
- Civil or criminal contempt, **9:6**
- Elements of offence, **9:8**
- Restraint in use, **9:9**

**CORONERS' INQUESTS,
COMPELLING ATTENDANCE**

- Generally, **8:10**

**CORROBORATION AND VETROVEC
WARNINGS**

- Civil cases
 - estates litigation, **13:6**
 - requirements, **13:5**
 - when required, **13:4**
- Criminal cases
 - common law
 - cautions reviewed on appeal, **13:13**
 - content of rule, **13:11**
 - content of warning, **13:12**
 - focus of rule, **13:8**
 - is Vetrovec warning required, **13:10**

**CORROBORATION AND VETROVEC
WARNINGS—Cont'd**

- Criminal cases—Cont'd
 - common law—Cont'd
 - Vetrovec warnings apply exclusively to Crown evidence, **13:9**
 - Vetrovec warnings in cases involving Co-Accused, **13:9.50**
 - Criminal Code, **13:7**
- Historical approach
 - absolute requirement, corroboration as, **13:2**
 - concept of proof, corroboration as, **13:1**
 - evolution of corroboration requirements, **13:3**
- Jailhouse informants
 - generally, **13:14**
 - precluding informants from testifying, **13:15**
 - Vetrovec warnings for, **13:16**

CREDIBILITY

- Cross-examination
 - generally, **12:1, 12:2**
 - prior judicial findings, **12:28**
- Enhancing, limits on
 - rule against oath helping, **11:11 to 11:14**
 - rule against prior inconsistent statements, **11:15 to 11:23**
- Experts, **16:20**
- Overview, **12:24**
- Physical symptoms, **12:15**
- Probative value and witness credibility, **2:21**
- Reasonable doubt, and, **4:7**

**CROSS-EXAMINATION OF
OPPOSING WITNESS**

- Avoid unfair/adverse inference, **12:20**
- Bias or interest in outcome, **12:69**
- Browne v. Dunn, rule in, **12:36 to 12:41**
- Collateral fact rule, controls on attacking credibility
 - procedure, **12:26**
 - scope, **12:25**
- Credibility
 - assessment, **12:1**
 - demeanor evidence, **12:2**
- Discretion
 - admissions, **12:59**

**CROSS-EXAMINATION OF
OPPOSING WITNESS—Cont'd**

- Discretion—Cont'd
 - convictions considered individually, **12:52**
 - convictions should be admitted, **12:51**
 - crimes against the administration of justice, **12:54**
 - crimes of an abhorrent nature, **12:56**
 - crimes of dishonesty, **12:53**
 - Indigenous offenders, **12:57**
 - remote or trivial convictions, **12:55**
 - similar crimes, **12:58**
- Failure to cross-examine witnesses—rule in *Browne v. Dunn*
 - generally, **12:36**
 - concerns with rule, **12:4**
 - honest mistaken belief, **12:23**
 - post charge sexual activity, **12:22**
 - remedies for breach of rule
 - drawing adverse inference, **12:40**
 - similar conduct, **12:21**
- Friendly parties and discretion to prohibit cross-examination, **12:4**
- General reputation, **12:70**
- Limits
 - contradicting testimony/statements of complainant, **12:17**
 - criminal case, in
 - contradicting element of actus reus, **12:16**
 - imposed on Crown, **12:29**
 - interest in outcome, **12:31**
 - presence in court, **12:33**
 - receipt of disclosure, **12:33**
 - silence, **12:30**
 - good faith for question, **12:5**
 - legal restrictions, **12:6**
 - narrative/relationship evidence, **12:18**
 - physical symptoms, **12:15**
 - Presumption of openness, **12:12**
 - prior judicial findings on credibility, **12:28**
 - sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code
 - admissibility, test under s.276(2), **12:13**
 - application to Crown, **12:8.50**
 - history, **12:7 to 12:14**
 - probative value and prejudicial effect—s.276(3), **12:14**

**CROSS-EXAMINATION OF
OPPOSING WITNESS—Cont'd**

- Limits—Cont'd
 - sexual experience of complainant—ss.276, 277 of Criminal Code—Cont'd
 - scope of the provision, **12:8 to 12:10**
 - Motive to fabricate, **12:19**
 - Prior convictions
 - discretion to exclude—civil cases, **12:61**
 - discretion to exclude—criminal cases
 - concerns with existing approach, **12:60**
 - factors to assess, **12:50**
 - procedural issues, **12:49**
 - R. v. Corbett*, **12:48**
 - offences for which pardon has been granted, **12:46**
 - procedural issues, **12:47**
 - scope of examination, **12:47**
 - types of offences covered, **12:45**
 - Prior inconsistent statements
 - generally, **12:62**
 - s.10 of Canada Evidence Act
 - generally, **12:63**
 - intention to contradict witness, **12:65**
 - statement must have been made by witness, **12:64**
 - s.11 of Canada Evidence Act
 - addressing denial, proof of former statement, **12:67**
 - failing to contradict witness, **12:68**
 - witness response to prior statement, **12:66**
 - Scope, **12:3**
 - Testimonial qualifications, lack of, **12:71**
 - Witness bias or interest in outcome, **12:69**
- CROWN OFFICIALS AND MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY**
- Discovery and inquiries, **6:25**
 - Parliamentary privilege, **6:26**
 - Prima facie compellable at trial, **6:24**
- CROWN PRIVILEGE**
- See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY
- DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE**
- Improper communication with witness, **5:16**

INDEX

DEALING WITH WITNESSES ON OPPOSITE SIDE—Cont'd

- Physicians and experts retained by party,
5:15
- Represented parties
 - generally, 5:13
 - employees and directors of, 5:14
- Unrepresented witnesses, 5:12

DECLARATIONS AGAINST INTEREST, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

- Pecuniary interest, 14:16
- Penal interest, 14:17

DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE, EXPERIMENTS, AND RECONSTRUCTIONS

- Demonstrative evidence, 3:28
- Distinctions, 3:24
- Experiments
 - generally, 3:25
 - opinion, and, 3:27
 - probative value, 3:26
- Re-enactments or reconstructions, 3:29

DIRECT EVIDENCE

- Authenticating documentary evidence,
3:7
- Criminal cases, special rules in, 3:2, 3:3
- Distinguished from circumstantial evi-
dence, 3:1

DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE

- Generally, 3:5
- Authentication
 - ancient documents, 3:8
 - basic rule, 3:6
 - direct or circumstantial evidence, by,
3:7
 - judicial records, 3:9
 - other statutory exceptions, 3:10
 - photographs, videos and other record-
ings, 3:17 to 3:19
- Definition, 3:5

DOUBLE HEARSAY

- Generally, 14:8

DYING DECLARATIONS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

- Generally, 14:24

ELECTRONIC EVIDENCE

- Generally, 3:15

EVIDENTIAL BURDEN

- Generally, 4:12
- Absence of evidence, 4:6.50
- Civil cases, 4:15
- Criminal cases
 - absence of evidence, 4:6.50
 - accused, on, 4:14
 - prosecution, on, 4:13

EXAMINATION OF OWN WITNESS

- Generally, 11:1
- Adverse witness
 - generally, 11:31
 - adverse non-party witness, 11:34
 - adversity, meaning, 11:27
 - existing approach, concerns with, 11:29
 - manner of examination, 11:33
 - permissible use of prior inconsistent
statement, 11:30
 - prohibited statements, 11:28
 - scope of rule—technical requirements,
11:32
 - statutory construction issues, 11:26
- Charging the jury, 11:23.30
- Enhancing credibility, limits
 - rule against oath helping, 11:11 to
11:14
 - rule against prior inconsistent state-
ments, 11:15 to 11:23
- Examination-in-chief
 - direct examination, 11:2
 - re-examination, rules of, 11:3
- Hostile witnesses
 - common law rule, 11:25
 - existing approach, concerns with, 11:29
- Impeaching own witness, rule against,
11:24
- Memory and witness testimony
 - general approach, 11:4
 - present memory revived, 11:5
- Prior consistent statements, 11:23.70
- Refreshing memory, aids
 - prior to trial
 - general procedure and disclosure,
11:6
 - hypnosis and similar methods, 11:7
 - trial
 - can witness review document, 11:8

EXAMINATION OF OWN WITNESS**—Cont'd**

- Refreshing memory, aids—Cont'd
 - trial—Cont'd
 - is witness's memory being refreshed, **11:9**
 - should document be admitted as exhibit, **11:10**
- Rule against oath helping
 - generally, **11:11**
 - accused in criminal case, **11:14**
 - common variants
 - opinions about credibility, **11:12**
 - polygraph evidence, **11:13**
 - self-bolstering statements, **11:12.50**
- Rule against prior inconsistent statements
 - adopting video recording—ss.715.1 and 715.2 of Criminal Code, **11:19**
 - exculpatory statements of accused on arrest, **11:22**
 - general rule, **11:15**
 - narrative/context to physical actions, **11:21**
 - permissible use, **11:30**
 - previous identification, **11:18**
 - recent complaints and narrative exception, **11:20**
 - recent fabrication, **11:17**
 - responding to prior inconsistent statement, **11:23**
 - theory of exceptions, **11:16**

EXCLUDING WITNESSES

- Generally, **7:18**
- Administrative hearings, **7:22**
- Discovery, during, **7:21**
- Failure to comply with exclusion order, **7:19**
- Ordering accused to testify first, **7:23**
- Trial, **7:20**

EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE

- Judicial discretion
 - history, **2:16**
 - modernization of discretion
 - civil cases, **2:19**
 - Crown evidence in criminal cases, **2:17**
 - defence evidence in criminal cases, **2:18**
 - rationales for, **2:15**
 - Limited, **2:30**

EXPERIMENTS, DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE AND RECONSTRUCTIONS

- Demonstrative evidence, **3:28**
- Distinctions, **3:24**
- Experiments
 - generally, **3:25**
 - opinion, and, **3:27**
 - probative value, **3:26**
- Re-enactments or reconstructions, **3:29**

EXPERT WITNESSES

- Admissibility, test for, **16:9, 16:13**
- Admissibility and cost/benefit analysis
 - balancing risks and benefits, **16:34**
 - factual foundation and hearsay concerns, **16:35 to 16:37**
- Court-appointed or jointly appointed experts
 - statutory rules, **16:38**
- Exclusionary rules
 - generally, **7:24**
 - rules of evidence, **16:25**
 - statutory restrictions
 - number of experts, **16:26**
 - restrictions in civil proceedings, **16:27**
- Necessity
 - identity or propensity, **16:22**
 - issues of credibility at trial, **16:20**
 - providing context to human behaviour, **16:21**
 - questions of law, **16:23**
 - threshold, **16:18**
 - ultimate issue, expertise going to, **16:24**
- Prejudicial effect, **16:6**
- Probative value, **16:5**
- Qualification
 - admissibility and weight, **16:28**
 - expertise in specialized area, **16:29, 16:30**
 - independence and impartiality, **16:31 to 16:33**
- Relevance
 - prerequisite for all evidence, **16:11**
- Reliability in cases of novel or contested science
 - concerns raised by reliability of expert opinion, **16:12**

INDEX

EXPERT WITNESSES—Cont'd

- Reliability in cases of novel or contested science—Cont'd
 - Frye/Daubert approaches to admissibility, **16:13**
 - reliability standard, application, **16:14 to 16:16**
 - specialized rather than scientific knowledge, **16:17**
- Scrutinizing expert testimony
 - classes of expert witness, **16:8**
 - judicial role, **16:7**
- Standard of review on appeal, **16:10**

FOREIGN LETTERS ROGATORY

- Evidentiary and procedural concerns, **10:20**
- Judicial discretion to refuse
 - conflict with Canadian policy objectives, **10:19**
 - materiality, **10:18**
 - undue burden, **10:17**
- Legislative prerequisites, **10:16**

GENERAL REPUTATION, CROSS-EXAMINATION

- Generally, **12:70**

GOOD CHARACTER EVIDENCE

- Generally, **15:30**
- Accused, **15:31**
- Civil cases, **15:36**
- Impact of adducing
 - admitting bad character evidence in reply, impact, **15:34**
 - Crown's ability to respond, **15:33**
 - putting character "in issue," **15:32**
- Prosecution, **15:35**

HEARSAY

- Categorical exceptions
 - admission by parties
 - generally, **14:13**
 - adopted admissions, **14:14**
 - vicarious admissions, **14:15**
 - bodily condition, statements as to, **14:21**
 - business records
 - definition, **14:37**
 - historical development of exception, **14:35**
 - specific exclusions, **14:38**
 - which exception governs, **14:36**

HEARSAY—Cont'd

- Categorical exceptions—Cont'd
 - co-conspirator statements
 - admissibility, framework, **14:31**
 - admissibility in civil cases, **14:33**
 - concerns with exceptions and compliance with principled approach, **14:32**
 - principled basis for admission, **14:30**
 - declarations against interest
 - pecuniary interest, **14:16**
 - penal interest, **14:17**
 - dying declarations, **14:24**
 - excluding evidence tendered under exception, **14:11.50**
 - historical matters, **14:40**
 - past recollection recorded, **14:26**
 - prior identification, **14:25**
 - prior inconsistent statements, **14:34**
 - public records, **14:39**
 - ires gestae/i/spontaneous utterances
 - components of exception, **14:19**
 - criticisms of exception, **14:20**
 - ires gestae/i as non-hearsay, **14:18**
 - state of mind
 - generally, **14:22**
 - circumstances of suspicion, **14:23.50**
 - inadmissible to prove third party's intention, **14:23**
 - sworn testimony from other proceedings
 - civil cases—common law, **14:27**
 - civil cases—statutory exceptions, **14:28**
 - criminal cases, **14:29**
- Elements
 - double hearsay, **14:8**
 - offered to prove truth
 - common examples of non-hearsay usages, **14:6**
 - implied assertions and hearsay by conduct, **14:7**
 - out-of-court statement
 - basic components, **14:2**
 - statements made by animals, **14:4**
 - statements made by computers, machines or instruments, **14:3**
 - witness's prior statements, **14:5**
- Exceptions to rule
 - charging trier of fact about admissible hearsay, **14:12**

HEARSAY—Cont'd

- Exceptions to rule—Cont'd
 - historical treatment—categorical or pigeon-hole approach, **14:9**
 - modern approach—categorical and principles, **14:11**
 - principled approach, **14:10**
- Principled or residual exception
 - admitting hearsay from defence, **14:62**
 - necessity
 - absolute necessity, **14:57**
 - general approach, **14:56**
 - necessity and fairness concerns, **14:60**
 - relativity necessity, **14:58, 14:59**
 - other policy concerns and resolving admissibility, **14:61**
 - procedural reliability—adequate substitutes for cross-examination
 - availability to cross-examination, **14:54**
 - cross-examination in another forum, **14:53**
 - lesser substitutes for cross-examination, **14:55**
 - reliability and fairness
 - circumstantial reliability, **14:41**
 - different ways of assessing reliability, **14:42**
 - importance of the evidence, **14:43**
 - substantive reliability
 - generally, **14:44**
 - contents of statement, **14:47**
 - corroborating evidence, **14:51**
 - motive to fabricate, **14:46**
 - relationship of declarant to recipient, **14:48**
 - reliability of declarant, **14:50**
 - reliability of testifying witness, **14:52**
 - statement taken under oath or in formal setting, **14:45**
 - timing and spontaneity, **14:49**
 - Wigmore principles, **17:91**

HISTORICAL MATTERS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

Generally, **14:40**

HODGE'S CASE, RULE IN

Generally, **3:2**

HOSTILE WITNESSES

- Common law rule, **11:25**
- Existing approach, concerns with, **11:29**

HUMAN SOURCE PRIVILEGE

Generally, **17:88**

HYPNOSIS, REFRESHING MEMORY THROUGH

Generally, **11:7**

IMPEACHING OWN WITNESS, RULE AGAINST

Choosing witnesses carefully, **11:24**

IMPROPERLY OBTAINED EVIDENCE

- Common law exclusionary rule since enactment of Charter
 - alternative route to exclusion in criminal trials, **20:37**
 - exclusion in civil proceedings
 - generally, **20:38**
 - concerns about prevailing approach, **20:40**
 - current approach, **20:39**
- Connection of evidence and breach, **20:30.50**
- Exclusion of evidence and s.24(2) in civil cases
 - generally, **20:33**
 - proceedings without state party, **20:34**
 - proceedings with state party, **20:35**
- Exclusion of evidence under Charter in criminal cases
 - adjudication on the merits, society's interest in, **20:31**
 - importance of evidence to prosecution case, **20:31.50**
 - reliability of evidence, **20:31.20**
 - seriousness of offence, **20:31.70**
- appellate review, **20:32**
 - deference, proper approach to, **20:32.70**
 - s. 24(2) findings made in the alternative, **20:32.70**
- bringing administration of justice into disrepute
 - current approach: R. v. Grant, **20:17**
 - history, **20:14**
 - R. v. Collins, **20:15**
 - R. v. Stillman, **20:16**
- court of competent jurisdiction, **20:4**
- government action, **20:5.50**

IMPROPERLY OBTAINED EVIDENCE—Cont'd

- Exclusion of evidence under Charter in criminal cases—Cont'd
 - impact on accused's interests
 - generally, **20:26**
 - bodily evidence, **20:28**
 - discoverability and impact on accused's interests, **20:30**
 - non-bodily physical evidence, **20:29**
 - statements, **20:27**
 - importance of evidence to prosecution case, **20:31.50**
 - obtained in a manner
 - causal connections and remoteness, **20:9**
 - evidence not obtained in a manner, severing the connection, **20:10**
 - modern approach: searching for contextual connections, **20:8**
 - modified approach proposal, **20:11**
 - no need for causal connection, **20:6**
 - temporal approach, **20:7**
 - procedural issues
 - burden of proof, **20:12**
 - revisiting a s.24(2) decision, **20:13, 20:32 to 20:32.70**
 - reliability of evidence, **20:31.20**
 - seriousness of Charter-infringing state conduct
 - generally, **20:18**
 - deceit or falsehoods by police, **20:23**
 - good faith, **20:19**
 - gravity of breach, **20:20**
 - magnitude of breach—impact on third parties, **20:25**
 - pattern of disregard, **20:24**
 - systemic concerns, **20:22**
 - urgency, **20:21**
 - seriousness of offence, **20:31.70**
 - standing, **20:5**
 - Exclusion of evidence under s.24(1), **20:36**
 - Historical approach
 - Bill of Rights and pre-Charter negotiations, **20:3**
 - English common law, **20:1**
 - R. v. Wray, **20:2**

INFORMER PRIVILEGE

- Exceptions
 - agent provocateur, **17:67**

INFORMER PRIVILEGE—Cont'd

- Exceptions—Cont'd
 - Charter challenge, **17:69**
 - innocence at stake, **17:66**
 - material witness, **17:68**
- Other uses, **17:69.50**
- Protecting confidential sources, **17:62**
- Scope
 - information protected by privilege, **17:64**
 - who is informant, **17:63**
- Waiver, **17:65**

INQUIRIES, COMPELLING ATTENDANCE

- Generally, **8:9**

INTERPRETERS AT COMMON LAW AND IN RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

- Charter, s.14, **7:30**
- Official languages, **7:31**
- Qualifying as interpreter, **7:29**
- Types of Translation, **7:29.50**
- When ordered, **7:28**

JAILHOUSE INFORMANTS

- Generally, **13:14 to 13:16**

JOURNALIST-SOURCE PRIVILEGE

- Common law, **17:89**
- Statutory protection—Canada Evidence Act, **17:90**

JUDGES AND JURORS, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

- Incompetent in the particular proceeding, **6:28**
- Unrelated proceedings, **6:29**

JUDICIAL NOTICE

- Generally, **3:30**
- Adjudicative facts
 - defining, **3:31**
 - established by sources of indisputable accuracy, **3:34**
 - impact of judicial notice, **3:35**
 - notorious or generally accepted facts, **3:33**
 - procedural concerns, **3:35**
 - threshold for admission, **3:32**
- Legislative facts or social framework, **3:36**

**JUROR DELIBERATIONS,
PRIVILEGE**Generally, **17:85****LAWYERS, COMPETENCE AND
COMPELLABILITY**Counsel for a party, **6:33**Counsel for opposing party, **6:34****LEGAL ADVICE PRIVILEGE**Generally, **17:17**Burden of proving valid claim, **17:39**

Communication between lawyer and client

who is client, **17:20**who is lawyer, **17:19**

Communication made in course of seeking legal advice

acting in legal role, **17:24**communication made for purpose of seeking legal advice, **17:26**part of lawyer-client relationship, **17:25**

Exceptions

crime/fraud, **17:34**innocence at stake, **17:36**limitation by statute, **17:37**public safety, **17:35**testamentary intentions, **17:38**

Express waiver

limited waiver, **17:28**who can waive privilege, **17:27**

Implied waiver

imputations against lawyer, **17:30**

loss of confidentiality

accidental or compelled disclosure, **17:31**deliberate disclosure to third parties (common interest privilege), **17:32**state of mind or other fairness considerations, **17:29**

Need for confidence

lack of relative confidentiality—parties with common interest, **17:22**presence of third parties, **17:21****LITIGATION PRIVILEGE**Generally, **17:40**

Core components

dominant purpose standard, **17:42**need for adversarial proceedings, **17:41****LITIGATION PRIVILEGE—Cont'd**

Core components—Cont'd

privilege can be invoked against any party, **17:45**purpose for which material was created, **17:44**when and how dominant purpose is measured, **17:43**Lawyer's work product, **17:46**

Limitations

end of litigation, **17:48**exceptions, **17:50**statutory intervention, **17:47**waiver, **17:49****MARITAL COMMUNICATIONS
PRIVILEGE**Generally, **17:80**Asserting privilege, **17:83**Need for valid marriage, **17:81**Protected communications, **17:82**Reform, need for, **17:84****MATERIALITY**Generally, **2:4****MEMORY OF WITNESS**General approach, **11:4**Present memory revived, **11:5**

Refreshing memory, aids

prior to trial

general procedure and disclosure, **11:6**hypnosis and similar methods, **11:7**

trial

can witness review document, **11:8**is witness's memory being refreshed, **11:9**should document be admitted as exhibit, **11:10****MISCONDUCT OF WITNESS**Generally, **9:1**Enforcing attendance by arresting witness civil and administrative proceedings, **9:2**criminal proceedings, **9:3**

Perjury

corroboration, **9:23**

elements of offence

falsity, **9:20**intent to mislead, **9:22**

MISCONDUCT OF WITNESS—Cont’d

- Perjury—Cont’d
 - elements of offence—Cont’d
 - knowledge of falsity, **9:21**
 - qualifying statement, **9:19**
 - historical approach, **9:18**
 - issue estoppel, **9:24**
 - offences related to perjury
 - contradictory statements, **9:25**
- Punishment for non-compliance
 - generally, **9:4**
 - civil action for damages, **9:17**
 - contempt exhibited by witnesses
 - disruptive conduct of witnesses
 - amounting to contempt, **9:11**
 - refusing to testify or answer, **9:10**
 - contempt of court
 - generally, **9:5**
 - authority to punish for contempt, **9:7**
 - civil or criminal contempt, **9:6**
 - elements of offence, **9:8**
 - restraint in use, **9:9**
 - contempt procedures
 - Charter considerations, **9:13**
 - criminal cases, **9:14**
 - summary process, **9:12**
 - criminal offences
 - refusal at preliminary inquiry, **9:15**
 - refusal at trial, **9:16**

**MR. BIG INVESTIGATIONS:
CONFESSIONS OBTAINED
THROUGH IMPROPER MEANS**

- Abuse of process, **19:32**
- Background: R v. Hart, **19:28**
- Extending approach to other types of investigations, **19:34**
- Jury instructions, **19:33**
- Measuring probative value
 - circumstances surrounding confession, **19:29**
 - markers of reliability, **19:30**
- Prejudicial effect, **19:31**

**MUTUAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE IN
CRIMINAL MATTERS ACT**

- Generally, **10:21**

**NON-COMPLIANT WITNESSES,
PUNISHMENT**

- Contempt exhibited by witnesses
 - disruptive conduct of witnesses
 - amounting to contempt, **9:11**

**NON-COMPLIANT WITNESSES,
PUNISHMENT—Cont’d**

- Contempt exhibited by witnesses
 - Cont’d
 - refusing to testify or answer, **9:10**
- Contempt of court
 - generally, **9:5**
 - authority to punish for contempt, **9:7**
 - civil or criminal contempt, **9:6**
 - elements of offence, **9:8**
 - restraint in use, **9:9**
- Contempt procedures
 - Charter considerations, **9:13**
 - criminal cases, **9:14**
 - summary process, **9:12**

OATH

- Generally, **6:3 to 6:7**

OATH HELPING, RULE AGAINST

- Generally, **11:11**
- Accused in criminal case, **11:14**
- Common variants
 - opinions about credibility, **11:12**
 - polygraph evidence, **11:13**
 - self-bolstering statements, **11:12.50**

OPINION EVIDENCE

- Distinguishing fact from opinion, **16:2**
- General prohibition, **16:1**
- Non-expert opinion evidence
 - lay opinion vs expert opinion, **16:4**
 - R. v. Graat, flexible approach, **16:3**

ORAL TESTIMONY AND AFFIDAVITS

- Generally, **3:4**

PARLIAMENT, WITNESSES BEFORE

- Jurisdiction of courts to review, **22:13**
- Power to summon witnesses
 - Parliament, **22:1**
 - provincial legislatures, **22:2**
- Problem witness
 - improving contempt procedures, **22:12**
 - procedural concerns, **22:10**
 - punishment, **22:11**
- Witness protections
 - immunity from prosecution, **22:6**
 - other privileges and right to refuse to answer, **22:7**
 - other protections, **22:8**

PARLIAMENT, WITNESSES BEFORE
—Cont'd

- Witness protections—Cont'd
 - Parliamentary privilege
 - privilege for statements made in provincial legislatures or committees, **22:5**
 - statements made in Parliament inadmissible in other proceedings, **22:3**
 - waiver, **22:4**

PARLIAMENTARY PRIVILEGE

- Generally, **6:26**
- Privilege for statements made in provincial legislatures or committees, **22:5**
- Statements made in Parliament inadmissible in other proceedings, **22:3**
- Waiver, **22:4**

PAST RECOLLECTION RECORDED, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

- Generally, **14:26**

PERJURY

- Corroboration, **9:23**
- Elements of offence
 - falsity, **9:20**
 - intent to mislead, **9:22**
 - knowledge of falsity, **9:21**
 - qualifying statement, **9:19**
- Historical approach, **9:18**
- Issue estoppel, **9:24**
- Offences related to perjury
 - contradictory statements, **9:25**

PERSONAL RECORDS IN CASES OF SEXUAL NATURE, s.278.1 CRIMINAL CODE — PRIVILEGE

- Constitutionality, **12:27, 17:74**
- Framework for resolving applications, **12:24 to 12:27, 17:72**
- History, **12:24 to 12:27, 17:70**
- Likely relevance, **17:75**
- Material in hands of defence, **12:24 to 12:27**
- Production
 - need for accused to make full answer and defence, **12:24 to 12:27, 17:76**
 - privacy interests, **17:78**
 - probative value, **17:77**

PERSONAL RECORDS IN CASES OF SEXUAL NATURE, s.278.1 CRIMINAL CODE — PRIVILEGE
—Cont'd

- Production—Cont'd
 - systemic and specific prejudice, **17:79**
- Sexual Assault cases
 - constitutionality and application, **12:27**
 - overview, **12:24**
 - procedure, **12:26**
 - scope of s. 278.1 of Criminal Code regime, **12:25**
- Types of protected records, **12:24 to 12:27, 17:71**

PREJUDICIAL EFFECT, EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE

- Generally, **2:23**
- Distraction or undue focus, **2:26**
- Emotional prejudice, hostility or sympathy, **2:24**
- Misleading nature, **2:25**
- Time and expense, **2:27**
- Ultimate issue, **2:29**
- Unfair surprise, **2:28**

PRESUMPTIONS

- Meaning, **4:18**
- Presumption of fact as matter of proof, **4:19**
- Presumption of law
 - Charter, and, **4:22**
 - irrebuttable presumptions, **4:21**
 - rebuttable presumptions, **4:20**
- Use, **4:18**

PRINCIPLED OR RESIDUAL EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

- Admitting hearsay from defence, **14:62**
- Necessity
 - absolute necessity, **14:57**
 - general approach, **14:56**
 - necessity and fairness concerns, **14:60**
 - relativity necessity, **14:58, 14:59**
- Other policy concerns and resolving admissibility, **14:61**
- Procedural reliability—adequate substitutes for cross-examination
 - availability to cross-examination, **14:54**
 - cross-examination in another forum, **14:53**

**PRINCIPLED OR RESIDUAL
EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE**

—Cont'd

- Procedural reliability—adequate substitutes for cross-examination
 - Cont'd
 - lesser substitutes for cross-examination, **14:55**
- Reliability and fairness
 - circumstantial reliability, **14:41**
 - different ways of assessing reliability, **14:42**
 - importance of the evidence, **14:43**
- Substantive reliability
 - generally, **14:44**
 - contents of statement, **14:47**
 - corroborating evidence, **14:51**
 - motive to fabricate, **14:46**
 - relationship of declarant to recipient, **14:48**
 - reliability of declarant, **14:50**
 - reliability of testifying witness, **14:52**
 - statement taken under oath or in formal setting, **14:45**
 - timing and spontaneity, **14:49**

**PRIOR CONVICTIONS,
CROSS-EXAMINATION**

- Discretion to exclude—civil cases, **12:61**
- Discretion to exclude—criminal cases
 - concerns with existing approach, **12:60**
 - factors to assess, **12:50**
 - procedural issues, **12:49**
 - R. v. Corbett, **12:48**
- Offences for which pardon has been granted, **12:46**
- Procedural issues, **12:47**
- Scope of examination, **12:47**
- Types of offences covered, **12:45**

**PRIOR IDENTIFICATION,
EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE**

- Generally, **14:25**

PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS

- Adopting video recording—ss.715.1 and 715.2 of Criminal Code, **11:19**
- Cross-examination, **12:62 to 12:68**
- Exculpatory statements of accused on arrest, **11:22**
- General rule, **11:15**
- Hearsay rule, and, **14:34**

PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS

—Cont'd

- Narrative/context to physical actions, **11:21**
- Permissible use, **11:30**
- Previous identification, **11:18**
- Recent complaints and narrative exception, **11:20**
- Recent fabrication, **11:17**
- Responding to prior inconsistent statement, **11:23**
- Theory of exceptions, **11:16**

PRIVILEGE

- Adultery, **17:87**
- Common law privilege
 - balancing of interests, **17:94**
 - confidentiality, **17:92**
 - history, **17:91**
 - nature of relationship, **17:93**
- Concept
 - categories of privilege, **17:2**
 - exclusion of probative information, **17:1**
- Human source privilege, **17:88**
- Informer privilege
 - generally, **17:62**
 - exceptions
 - agent provocateur, **17:67**
 - Charter challenge, **17:69**
 - innocence at stake, **17:66**
 - material witness, **17:68**
 - scope
 - information protected by privilege, **17:64**
 - who is informant, **17:63**
 - waiver, **17:65**
- Journalist-source privilege
 - common law, **17:89**
 - statutory protection—Canada Evidence Act, **17:90**
- Juror deliberations, **17:85**
- Legal advice privilege
 - generally, **17:17**
 - burden of proving valid claim, **17:39**
 - communication between lawyer and client, **17:18**
 - communication made in course of seeking legal advice, **17:23**
 - exceptions, **17:33**
 - express waiver, **17:27, 17:28**

PRIVILEGE—Cont'd

- Legal advice privilege—Cont'd
 - implied waiver, **17:29 to 17:32**
 - limiting state of mind waiver, **17:29.20**
 - need for confidence, **17:21, 17:22**
- Litigation privilege
 - generally, **17:40**
 - core components
 - dominant purpose standard, **17:42**
 - need for adversarial proceedings, **17:41**
 - privilege can be invoked against any party, **17:45**
 - purpose for which material was created, **17:44**
 - when and how dominant purpose is measured, **17:43**
 - lawyer's work product, **17:46**
 - limitations
 - end of litigation, **17:48**
 - exceptions, **17:50**
 - statutory intervention, **17:47**
 - waiver, **17:49**
- Marital communications
 - generally, **17:80**
 - asserting privilege, **17:83**
 - need for valid marriage, **17:81**
 - protected communications, **17:82**
 - reform, need for, **17:84**
- Personal records in cases of sexual nature, s.278.1 Criminal Code
 - constitutionality, **12:27, 17:74**
 - framework for resolving applications, **17:72**
 - history, **12:24 to 12:27, 17:70**
 - likely relevance, **17:75**
 - material in hands of defence, **12:24 to 12:27**
 - production
 - need for accused to make full answer and defence, **17:76**
 - privacy interests, **17:78**
 - probative value, **17:77**
 - systemic and specific prejudice, **17:79**
- Sexual Assault cases
 - constitutionality and application, **12:27**
 - overview, **12:24**
 - procedure, **12:26**

PRIVILEGE—Cont'd

- Personal records in cases of sexual nature, s.278.1 Criminal Code—Cont'd
 - Sexual Assault cases—Cont'd
 - scope of s. 278.1 of Criminal Code regime, **12:25**
 - types of protected records, **12:24 to 12:27, 17:71**
- Self-incrimination, privilege against
 - generally, **17:3**
 - common law privilege, **17:4**
 - protection against civil liability, **17:6**
 - s.7 of Charter
 - derivative use immunity, **17:15**
 - residual protection against self-incrimination, **17:14**
 - statements compelled by statute, **17:16**
 - s.13 of Charter
 - application, **17:7**
 - compelled testimony, **17:9**
 - non-criminal proceedings, **17:13**
 - testifies in any proceedings, **17:8**
 - using prior testimony for other non-incriminating purposes, **17:12**
 - using prior testimony to impeach, **17:11**
 - whether s.13 can be waived, **17:10**
 - statutory intervention, restricting future use of incriminatory statements, **17:5**
- Settlement privilege—statements made without prejudice
 - components of privilege
 - communication made in effort to settle, **17:54**
 - existence of dispute, **17:52**
 - intention for non-disclosure, **17:53**
 - exceptions
 - competing interest, **17:59**
 - general theory, **17:56**
 - threatening or fraudulent conduct, **17:58**
 - waiver, **17:57**
 - frank discussions in settlement negotiation, **17:51**
 - scope and application, **17:55**
 - statutory provisions creating, **17:60**
- Voting, **17:86**

INDEX

PROBATIVE VALUE

- Generally, **2:5**
- Measuring
 - factors guiding assessment, **2:20**
 - reliability, challenging common sense, **2:22**
 - witness credibility, **2:21**

PROOF, TYPES OF

- Best evidence rule
 - electronic evidence, **3:15**
 - exceptions
 - evidence not available, **3:13**
 - production inconvenient (public records), **3:14**
 - modern approach, **3:11**
 - rationale, **3:11**
 - scope, **3:12**
- Direct and circumstantial evidence
 - criminal cases, special rules
 - Hodge's Case, rule in, **3:2**
 - modern approach, **3:3**
 - distinction, **3:1**
- Documentary evidence
 - generally, **3:5**
 - authentication
 - ancient documents, **3:8**
 - basic rule, **3:6**
 - direct or circumstantial evidence, by, **3:7**
 - judicial records, **3:9**
 - other statutory exceptions, **3:10**
 - photographs, videos and other recordings, **3:17 to 3:19**
 - definition, **3:5**
- Experiments, demonstrative evidence and reconstructions
 - demonstrative evidence, **3:28**
 - distinctions, **3:24**
 - experiments
 - generally, **3:25**
 - opinion, and, **3:27**
 - probative value, **3:26**
 - re-enactments or reconstructions, **3:29**
- Judicial notice
 - adjudicative facts
 - defining, **3:31**
 - established by sources of indisputable accuracy, **3:34**
 - impact of judicial notice, **3:35**

PROOF, TYPES OF—Cont'd

- Judicial notice—Cont'd
 - adjudicative facts—Cont'd
 - notorious or generally accepted facts, **3:33**
 - procedural concerns, **3:35**
 - threshold for admission, **3:32**
 - legislative facts or social framework, **3:36**
 - Rationale and Underlying Conditions, **3:30**
 - types, **3:30.5**
- Oral testimony and affidavits, **3:4**
- Real evidence
 - objects, **3:16**
 - photographs and other recordings, authentication, **3:17**
 - videos
 - authentication, **3:18**
 - use of, **3:19**
- Views
 - evidentiary use, **3:22**
 - procedure, **3:20**
 - safeguards, **3:23**
 - when ordered, **3:21**

PROTECTION OF WITNESSES

- Generally, **21:1**
- Protection from civil actions—witness immunity
 - generally, **21:14**
 - expert witnesses, **21:18**
 - scope of immunity
 - all witness statements absolutely protected, **21:15**
 - is it a witness statement, **21:16**
 - statements ancillary to other actions not protected, **21:17**
- Protection from physical harm, intimidate or retribution
 - civil protection from retribution, **21:25**
 - need to protect witnesses, **21:19**
 - specific crimes
 - contempt, **21:23**
 - intimidation, **21:22**
 - obstruction of justice, **21:21**
 - witness protection program, **21:24**
- Protection from publicity
 - anonymity, **21:13**
 - Criminal Code and international comparisons, **21:13.50**

PROTECTION OF WITNESSES**—Cont'd**

- Protection from publicity—Cont'd
 - in camera hearing
 - civil and administrative proceedings, **21:6**
 - criminal proceedings, **21:5**
 - presumption of open courts, **21:4**
 - publication bans, civil proceedings, **21:12**
 - publication bans, criminal proceedings
 - generally, **21:7**
 - other witnesses, **21:10**
 - varying order, **21:11**
 - victims in cases of sexual offences, **21:8**
 - young persons, **21:9**
- Protection of witnesses in the courtroom, **21:26**
- Right to counsel, **21:2**
- Standing to represent witnesses in court, **21:3**
- Statutory Protections in Criminal Proceedings, **21:27**

PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY

- Generally, **18:1**
- Canada Evidence Act
 - generally, **18:6**
- Cabinet confidences—s.39
 - generally, **18:13**
 - constitutional challenges to immunity, **18:16**
 - procedural issues, **18:14**
 - waiver of immunity, **18:15**
- general public interest—s.37
 - balancing test for production, **18:7**
 - jurisdiction and procedure, **18:8**
- special information—s.38
 - criminal proceedings, **18:12**
 - information covered, **18:9**
 - procedural issues, **18:10**
 - residual power to withhold information—certificate, **18:11**
 - test for production, **18:10**
- Common law immunity
 - disclosure, balancing test, **18:5**
 - early developments, **18:2**
 - power to examine documents, **18:4**
 - relevance, **18:3**
- Jurisdictional concerns, **18:1**

PUBLICITY, PROTECTION OF WITNESSES FROM

- Anonymity, **21:13**
- Criminal Code and international comparisons, **21:13.50**
- In camera hearing
 - civil and administrative proceedings, **21:6**
 - criminal proceedings, **21:5**
- Presumption of open courts, **21:4**
- Publication bans, civil proceedings, **21:12**
- Publication bans, criminal proceedings
 - generally, **21:7**
 - other witnesses, **21:10**
 - varying order, **21:11**
 - victims in cases of sexual offences, **21:8**
 - young persons, **21:9**

PUBLIC RECORDS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

- Generally, **14:39**

REAL EVIDENCE

- Objects, **3:16**
- Photographs and other recordings, authentication, **3:17**
- Videos
 - authentication, **3:18**
 - use of, **3:19**
- Views
 - evidentiary use, **3:22**
 - procedure, **3:20**
 - safeguards, **3:23**
 - when ordered, **3:21**

RECONSTRUCTIONS, DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE AND EXPERIMENTS

- Demonstrative evidence, **3:28**
- Distinctions, **3:24**
- Experiments
 - generally, **3:25**
 - opinion, and, **3:27**
 - probative value, **3:26**
- Re-enactments or reconstructions, **3:29**

RE-ENACTMENTS

- Generally, **3:29**

REFRESHING MEMORY, AIDS TO

- Prior to trial
 - general procedure and disclosure, **11:6**

REFRESHING MEMORY, AIDS TO**—Cont'd**

- Prior to trial—Cont'd
 - hypnosis and similar methods, **11:7**
- Trial
 - can witness review document, **11:8**
 - is witness's memory being refreshed, **11:9**
 - should document be admitted as exhibit, **11:10**

RELEVANCE

- Objective of legal proceedings, **2:1**
- Rationale, **2:1**

RES GESTAE/SPONTANEOUS UTTERANCES, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

- Generally, **14:18**

RIGHT TO COUNSEL

- Generally, **21:2**

RIGHT TO FAIR TRIAL

- Generally, **1:6**

RIGHT TO REFUSE TO ANSWER

- Generally, **22:7**

RIGHT TO SILENCE

- Detention and choice elicitation, **19:26**
 - is recipient a state agent, **19:25**
 - recognition of right, *R. v. Hebert*, **19:24**
- Right to silence, **19:27**

RULE AGAINST OATH HELPING

- Generally, **11:11**
- Accused in criminal case, **11:14**
- Common variants
 - opinions about credibility, **11:12**
 - polygraph evidence, **11:13**
 - self-bolstering statements, **11:12.50**

RULE AGAINST PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS

- Adopting video recording—ss.715.1 and 715.2 of Criminal Code, **11:19**
- Exculpatory statements of accused on arrest, **11:22**
- General rule, **11:15**
- Narrative/context to physical actions, **11:21**
- Permissible use, **11:30**
- Previous identification, **11:18**

RULE AGAINST PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS**—Cont'd**

- Recent complaints and narrative exception, **11:20**
- Recent fabrication, **11:17**
- Responding to prior inconsistent statement, **11:23**
- Theory of exceptions, **11:16**

SELF-INCRIMINATION, PRIVILEGE AGAINST

- Generally, **17:3**
- Common law privilege, **17:4**
- Protection against civil liability, **17:6**
 - s.7 of Charter
 - derivative use immunity, **17:15**
 - residual protection against self-incrimination, **17:14**
 - statements compelled by statute, **17:16**
 - s.13 of Charter
 - application, **17:7**
 - compelled testimony, **17:9**
 - non-criminal proceedings, **17:13**
 - testifies in any proceedings, **17:8**
 - using prior testimony for other non-incriminating purposes, **17:12**
 - using prior testimony to impeach, **17:11**
 - whether s.13 can be waived, **17:10**
- Statutory intervention, restricting future use of incriminatory statements, **17:5**

SETTLEMENT PRIVILEGE—STATEMENTS MADE WITHOUT PREJUDICE

- Components of privilege
 - communication made in effort to settle, **17:54**
 - existence of dispute, **17:52**
 - intention for non-disclosure, **17:53**
- Exceptions
 - competing interest, **17:59**
 - general theory, **17:56**
 - threatening or fraudulent conduct, **17:58**
 - waiver, **17:57**
- Frank discussions in settlement negotiation, **17:51**
- Scope and application, **17:55**
- Statutory provisions creating, **17:60**

SIMILAR FACT EVIDENCE

- Generally, **15:14**
- Admissibility
 - balancing process, **15:26**
 - deference to trial judge's decision, **15:25**
 - presumption of inadmissibility, **15:29**
 - proving identity, **15:27**
- Civil cases, **15:29**
- Conditions for admission
 - acts for which accused acquitted, **15:22**
 - evidence linking accused to similar acts, **15:19**
 - types of evidence, **15:21**
- Historical development of rule
 - early common law/iMakin/i rule, **15:15**
 - evolving past iMakin/i, **15:16**
 - R. v. Handy, **15:17**
- Instructing jury, **15:28**
- Prejudicial effect
 - moral prejudice, **15:25**
 - reasoning prejudice, **15:26**
- Probative value
 - collusion, **15:24**
 - relevant factors, **15:23**

SOURCES OF EVIDENCE LAW

- Charter of Rights and Freedoms, **1:18**
- Common law, **1:12**
- Statutory intervention, **1:13 to 1:17**

SPONTANEOUS UTTERANCES, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

- Generally, **14:18**

SPOUSE OF ACCUSED PERSON, COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY

- Historical approach, **6:41**
- Provincial prosecutions, **6:42**

STATEMENTS AS TO BODILY CONDITION, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

- Generally, **14:21**

STATE OFFICIALS, COMPELLABILITY AND COMPETENCE

- Board and tribunal members
 - compellability and scope of questioning, **6:30**
 - privative clauses, effect, **6:31**

STATE OFFICIALS, COMPELLABILITY AND COMPETENCE—Cont'd

- Crown officials and Members of Parliament
 - discovery and inquiries, **6:25**
 - parliamentary privilege, **6:26**
 - prima facie compellable at trial, **6:24**
- Judges and jurors
 - incompetent in the particular proceeding, **6:28**
 - unrelated proceedings, **6:29**
- Ombudspersons, investigators, and mediators, **6:32**
- Representatives of foreign governments, **6:27**
- Sovereign, **6:23**

STATE OF MIND, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

- Generally, **14:22**
- Inadmissible to prove third party's intention, **14:23**

STATE SECRETS

- See PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY

STATUTORY INTERVENTION AS SOURCE OF EVIDENCE LAW

- Ethical rules, **1:16**
- Evidence legislation, **1:13**
- Other legislation, **1:14**
- Rules of Court and Civil Procedure, **1:15**
- Statutory reform, need for, **1:17**

SUBPOENAS OR SUMMONS, SETTING ASIDE

- See also COMPELLING ATTENDANCE
- Onus and procedure
 - civil proceedings, **8:13**
 - criminal proceedings, **8:14**
- Quashing, grounds for
 - abuse of process, **8:17**
 - Charter violation, **8:18**
 - health of witness would be at risk, **8:19**
 - irregularity in issuance, **8:15**
 - witness can provide to material evidence, **8:16**

SWORN TESTIMONY FROM OTHER PROCEEDINGS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE

- Civil cases—common law, **14:27**

SWORN TESTIMONY FROM OTHER PROCEEDINGS, EXCEPTION TO HEARSAY RULE—Cont'd

Civil cases—statutory exceptions, **14:28**
Criminal cases, **14:29**

TESTIFYING BY VIDEO-CONFERENCE OR OTHER MEANS

Advances in technology, **10:8**
Civil cases
historical approach, **10:9**
legislative guidelines, **10:10**
modern approach, **10:11**
witnesses in foreign jurisdictions, **10:12**
Criminal cases
Evidentiary and procedural questions, **10:14**
legislation, **10:13**

TRIAL PROCESS, GENERALLY

Adversarial process, generally, **1:2**
Calling evidence
appeal, on, **7:6**
order of evidence, **7:1**
re-calling a witness, **7:3.50**
re-opening case
civil cases, **7:4**
criminal cases, **7:5, 7:5.50**
rule against splitting case
generally, **7:2**
civil cases, **7:2**
criminal cases, **7:3**
Calling witnesses
generally, **7:7**
civil cases
additional witnesses, **7:12**
failure to call witnesses, **7:13**
Crown in criminal cases
adverse inference, **7:10**
failure to call witness and adverse inference, **7:10**
no obligation to call witnesses, **7:8**
oblique motive/abuse of process, **7:9**
defence in criminal cases, **7:11**
failure to call witnesses, **7:11**
crown commentary on, in jury address, **7:11.50**
trial judge's role
calling witnesses, **7:14**

TRIAL PROCESS, GENERALLY

—Cont'd

Calling witnesses—Cont'd
trial judge's role—Cont'd
jury's ability to question witnesses, **7:17**
questioning witnesses in adversarial proceedings, **7:15**
Communicating with testifying witness
communication between witnesses, **7:27**
examination for discovery, **7:26**
trial, **7:25**
Dealing with witnesses on opposite side
improper communication with witness, **5:16**
physicians and experts retained by party, **5:15**
represented parties
generally, **5:13**
employees and directors of, **5:14**
unrepresented witnesses, **5:12**
Excluding witnesses
generally, **7:18**
administrative hearings, **7:22**
discovery, during, **7:21**
failure to comply with exclusion order, **7:19**
ordering accused to testify first, **7:23**
trial, **7:20**
Expert witnesses, **7:24**
Inquisitorial process, generally, **1:3**
Interpreters at common law and in iRules of Civil Procedure/i Charter, s.14, **7:30**
official languages, **7:31**
qualifying as interpreter, **7:29**
when ordered, **7:28**
Types of Translation, **7:29.50**
Witnesses not property of any party, **5:1**
Witness preparation
Crown ability to communicate with witness pre-charge, **5:11**
interview, **5:10**

ULTIMATE ISSUE
Generally, **2:29**

UNFAIR SURPRISE
Generally, **2:28**

VETROVEC WARNINGS

Generally, **13:3, 13:8 to 13:13, 13:16**

VIDEO-CONFERENCE OR OTHER MEANS, TESTIFYING BY

Advances in technology, **10:8**

Civil cases

historical approach, **10:9**

legislative guidelines, **10:10**

modern approach, **10:11**

witnesses in foreign jurisdictions, **10:12**

Criminal cases

Evidentiary and procedural questions, **10:14**

legislation, **10:13**

VIDEO RECORDINGS

Authentication, **3:18**

Use of, **3:19**

VIEWS

Evidentiary use, **3:22**

Procedure, **3:20**

Safeguards, **3:23**

When ordered

contested issue, **3:21**

extent of change since material time, **3:21**

importance of issue to be decided, **3:21**

information available from other sources, **3:21**

logistical difficulties, **3:21**

security or safety concerns, **3:21**

WEIGHT, DISTINGUISHED FROM ADMISSIBILITY

Generally, **2:6**

WITNESSES BEFORE PARLIAMENT

Jurisdiction of courts to review, **22:13**

Power to summon witnesses

Parliament, **22:1**

WITNESSES BEFORE PARLIAMENT

—Cont'd

Power to summon witnesses—Cont'd
provincial legislatures, **22:2**

Problem witness

improving contempt procedures, **22:12**

procedural concerns, **22:10**

punishment, **22:11**

Witness protections

immunity from prosecution, **22:6**

other privileges and right to refuse to answer, **22:7**

other protections, **22:8**

Parliamentary privilege

privilege for statements made in provincial legislatures or committees, **22:5**

statements made in Parliament

inadmissible in other proceedings, **22:3**

waiver, **22:4**

WITNESS IDENTITY

Civil procedure

litigation privilege and witness identity, **5:4**

obligation to disclose identity of witnesses, **5:3**

Criminal procedure

Crown disclosure and witness identity, **5:5, 5:5.50**

defence disclosure and witness identity

alibi evidence, **5:8**

expert evidence, **5:9**

limited tactical disclosure, **5:7**

no general obligation to disclose, **5:6**

WITNESS PREPARATION

Crown ability to communicate with witness pre-charge, **5:11**

Interview, **5:10**