

Index

AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE

admissibility, 6:20

case law, 6:40

 Court of Appeal, 6:40.20

 Superior/Provincial Court, 6:40.30

 Supreme Court of Canada, 6:40.10

CC 254(3)(a)(i) (demand), 6:20

CC 258(1)(c)(ii) (sample), 6:20

Crown can call expert, 6:20

Deruelle, 6:20

Forsythe, 6:20

forthwith, compared to, 6:20

“hard” time limits, 6:30, 6:50

 offence “within three hours”, 6:30

 no later than two hours, 6:30

presumption, 6:20

unexplained delay, 6:40.30

“within two hours”, case law, 6:50

 Court of Appeal, 6:50.20

 of identity, 6:40.30

 Superior/Provincial Court, 6:50.30

 Supreme Court of Canada, 6:50.10

DETENTION

advised of reasons for

 change in gravity of offence, 3:20

 change in police investigation, 3:20

Charter s. 10(a), 3:20

 breach of, 3:70.30

effect of not understanding, 3:20

Evans test, 3:30

 possible changes to the *Evans* test, 3:40, 3:50

Orbanski & Mann, 3:40, 3:70

express statement, 3:20

objective nature, 3:20

 nature of investigation, 3:20

“ought to have known”, 3:20

questioned about alcohol consumption, 3:20

DETENTION — *continued*

- case law, 3:70
 - Court of Appeal, 3:70.20
 - Superior/Provincial Court, 3:70.30
 - Supreme Court of Canada, 3:70.10
- grounds for
 - arbitrary detention, 2:20, 2:30.10, 2:30.20
 - Charter s. 9, 2:20, 2:30.20
 - detention at roadside, 2:20
 - detention in residence, 2:20
 - articulable cause for, 2:50
 - case law, 2:70.20
 - check stop, 2:30.10, 2:30.20
 - not general search warrant, 2:30.20
 - R.I.D.E Check Stop. *See* DETENTION, grounds for, check stop
 - saved by Charter s. 1, 2:30.20
 - not at roadside, 2:60
 - accused in residence, 2:50
 - case law, 2:70.30
 - CC 495, 2:50
 - CC 529.3, 2:50
 - no duty on police to arrange transportation, 2:70.30.30
 - non-consensual search, 2:70.30.30
 - reasonable expectation of privacy, 2:70.30.30
 - strip search without just cause, 2:70.30.30
 - random stop, 2:20
 - abuse of, 2:30.10
 - intrusive searches, 2:30.10
 - length of detention, 2:30.10
 - reasons for detention, 2:30.10
 - questions at the roadside, 2:30.10
 - “random roving” stops, 2:30.30
 - “articulable cause” not required, 2:30.30
 - formal check stop not required, 2:30.30
 - random traffic stop, 2:30.10
 - reasonable and probable grounds for, 2:30.10
 - reasonable grounds to detain, 2:50
 - hearsay, 2:50
 - hunch, 2:50
 - misunderstanding of law, 2:50
 - rural v. large cities, 2:50
 - subjective and objective grounds, 2:50
 - traffic stop, 2:20
 - highway traffic purpose. *See* DETENTION, grounds for, traffic stop,
 - traffic safety purpose

INDEX

DETENTION — *continued*

grounds for — *continued*

traffic stop — *continued*

subjective nature of test, 2:30.10

traffic safety purpose, 2:20, 2:30.10, 2:70.10

EVIDENCE TO CONTRARY

case law, 8:50

checklist, 8:10

overview, 8:20

amendments, July 2, 2008, 8:20, 8:40

author's opinion, 8:40.40

case law since, 8:60

examples, 8:40.30

new rules, 8:40.20

overview, 8:40.10

R. v. St-Onge Lamoureux, 8:40.50

presumption of accuracy, 8:20

presumption of identity, 8:20

Gibson and MacDonald, 8:20, 8:50:10

dissent, 8:30.40

“majority”, 8:30.20

meaning of decision, 8:30.50

“minority”, 8:30.30

pre-*Gibson* rule, 8:30.10

REASONABLE AND PROBABLE GROUNDS

case law, 5:50

Court of Appeal, 5:50.20

Superior/Provincial Court, 5:50.30

Supreme Court of Canada, 5:50.10

Charter s. 8, 5:20, 5:50.30

statutory compliance, 5:20

Charter s. 10, 5:50.30

Charter s. 24(2), 5:50.30

common law position, 5:20

“fail” reading on ASD, 5:20

hearsay, 5:20

indicia precedes before demand, 5:20

objective and subjective component, 5:20

prima facie case not required, 5:20

Rilling, the rule in, 5:20, 5:30

Bernshaw, interpretation, 5:30

Crown burden, 5:30

statutory compliance v. Charter application, 5:30

REASONABLE AND PROBABLE GROUNDS — *continued*

Rilling, the rule in — *continued*

Woods, interpretation, 5:30

spousal incompetency rule, 5:50.30

“totality of the evidence”, 5:20

REMEDY

adjudication on merits, 9:20.30

balancing interests, 9:30.30

impact on accused, 9:20.20, 9:30.30

case law, 9:30

 Court of Appeal, 9:30.20

 Superior/Provincial Court, 9:30.30

 Supreme Court, 9:30.10

Charter protected rights of accused, impact on, 9:20.20

 case law, 9:30.10, 9:30.20, 9:30.30

checklist, 9:10

evidence to the contrary, 9:30.20

main test, 9:20

seriousness of breach, 9:20.10

 case law, 9:30.10, 9:30.20, 9:30.30

 young person, 9:30.30

seriousness of charter infringing state conduct, 9:20.10, 9:30.30

society’s interest, adjudicating on merits, 9:20.30, 9:30.30

RIGHT TO COUNSEL

accused changes his mind, 7:20

arrest or detention, 7:20

basic rule, 7:20

Bill of Rights, 7:20

check stop, 7:40

 sobriety tests, 7:40

 suspension of right to counsel, 7:40

choice of counsel, 7:70.20

 Canadian lawyer, 7:70.20

 case law, 7:90.50, 7:90.60

 hold-off, 7:70.20

 internet, 7:90.50

 right to third party, 7:90.50

defence burden of proof, 7:20

detention, 7:30, 7:90.20

 based on circumstances, 7:30

 psychological detention, 7:30

diligence, 7:20, 7:90.60

“hold-off”, 7:50.30

INDEX

RIGHT TO COUNSEL — *continued*

“hold-off” — *continued*

- accused changes his mind, 7:50.30
- prosper, 7:50.30
- waiver, 7:50.30

implementational component, 7:20, 7:60.10, 7:90.50

- 24-hour duty counsel, 7:60.10, 7:60.20, 7:90.40.30

- case law, 7:90.50

- choice of counsel, 7:90.50.30

- comments/inquiries implying confusion ignored, 7:90.40.30

- English as a second language, 7:90.50.30

- lawyer personally present, no right to, 7:60.40

- not read *vertabim*, 7:90.40.30

- “one phone call,” not limited to, 7:50.60, 7:90.50.30

- personal cell phone of officer, 7:90.50.30

- phone, 7:60.20

- phone books, 7:60.20

- missing pages, 7:90.50.30

- phone call to non-lawyer, 7:90.50.30

- phone numbers, 7:60.20

- police do not have to speak to counsel, 7:50.60

- reasonable opportunity, 7:60.10

- refrain from gathering evidence, 7:60.10

- request for translator, 7:90.50.30

- special circumstances, 7:90.50.30

- time given for call, 7:90.50.30

- time limit of presumption not “urgent and dangerous”, 7:60.10

- “urgent and dangerous” circumstances, 7:60.10

informational component, 7:20, 7:50.10, 7:50.20, 7:90.40

- accused understands, 7:50.40

- English (or French) as a second language, 7:50.40, 7:90.40.30

- too intoxicated, 7:50.50

- advised phone call is “free”, 7:50.60

- availability of 24-free legal aid assistance, 7:90.40.30

- comments/inquiries implying confusion ignored, 7:90.40.30

- duty counsel, 7:50.20

- no “one phone call” rule, 7:90.40.30

- not read *vertabim*, 7:90.40.30

- phone call to non-lawyer, 7:90.40.30

- request for translator, 7:90.40.30

- special circumstances, 7:90.40.30

- “without” delay, 7:90.40.30

minimum standards rule, 7:70.30

reasonable diligence, 7:70.10, 7:90.60

- case law, 7:90.60

RIGHT TO COUNSEL — *continued*

reasonable diligence — *continued*

choice of counsel, 7:90.60.30

reasonable opportunity, 7:20

availability of 24-free legal aid assistance, 7:90.40.30

comments/inquiries implying confusion ignored, 7:90.40.30

not read verbatim, 7:90.40.30

roadside, 7:40, 7:90.30

special circumstances, 7:90.60.30

“trump” rule, 7:70.30

“urgent circumstances”, 7:20

Crown’s need for statutory presumption not urgent, 7:20

waiver, 7:20, 7:80.10

case law, 7:100

choice of counsel, 7:100.30

“high” standard, 7:20, 7:80.10

not fully informed, 70:100.30

waiving informational component, 7:80.10

special circumstances, 7:100.20

without delay, 7:20, 7:60.40

ROADSIDE SCREENING

ASD (Approved Screening Device), 4:20

effect of “fail” reading, 4:20

“fail reading”, 4:30

breach of CRF s. 8, 4:30

case law, 4:70

Court of Appeal, 4:70.10.20

Superior/Provincial Court, 4:70.10.30

Supreme Court of Canada, 4:70.10.10

Crown must prove reasonableness of search, 4:30

forthwith, 4:20, 4:30, 4:70.10

case law, 4:70.10

delay, 4:70.10.20

forthwith means immediately?, 4:40

meaning of, 4:70.10.20

“magic” words required, 4:50.40

officer must articulate reasons for demand, 4:50.40

other issues, 4:70.30

reasonable and probable grounds, provides, 4:20

reasonable suspicion, 4:20, 4:50, 4:70.20

basic standard, 4:50.20

belief need only relate to alcohol in body, 4:50.30

bona fide belief, 4:50.30

case law, 4:70.20

INDEX

ROADSIDE SCREENING — *continued*

reasonable suspicion — *continued*

compared to reasonable and probable grounds standard, 4:50.20

examined from viewpoint of officer when formed, 4:50.20

constellation of objectively discernible facts, 4:50.30

delay, 4:70.20.30

demanding officer must have reasonable suspicion, 4:50.40, 4:70.20.30

error to view evidence in isolation, 4:50.30

hearsay, based on, 4:50.40

hunch is not enough, 4:50.20

objective and subjective component, 4:50.20, 4:70.20.30

prima facie case not required, 4:50.30

right to counsel, suspension of, 4:30