

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Preface to Thirteenth Edition</i> .....	iii
<b>Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
1. THE ADVERSARY SYSTEM.....	1
(a) Not a Scientific Inquiry .....	1
(b) Truth and Justice: Competing Goals? .....	3
<i>Imperial Oil v. Jacques</i> .....	5
<i>R. v. Mullins-Johnson</i> .....	8
<i>Lisa Dufraimont, Evidence Law and the Jury</i> .....	10
(c) The Role of the Trial Judge.....	12
<i>R. v. Stuckey</i> .....	12
<i>R. v. Lawes</i> .....	15
(d) The Role of Lawyers .....	18
<i>R. v. Felderhof</i> .....	18
<i>Groia v. Law Society of Upper Canada</i> .....	22
2. SOURCES .....	26
<i>R. v. Salituro</i> .....	26
3. CODIFICATION.....	28
Arguments for a Comprehensive Statement.....	29
Arguments Against a Comprehensive Statement .....	30
4. CONTEXT MATTERS.....	32
(a) Relaxing the Rules of Evidence.....	32
<i>Québec v. Bombardier Inc.</i> .....	32
<i>Nassiah v. Peel Regional Police</i> .....	34
(b) Aboriginal Rights and Indigenous Traditions.....	39
<i>Delgamuukw v. British Columbia</i> .....	39
<i>Mitchell v. M.N.R.</i> .....	41
<i>Ignace v. B.C. A.G.</i> .....	42
<b>Chapter 2 BURDENS OF PROOF</b> .....	45
1. TERMINOLOGY .....	45
2. ALLOCATING AND MEASURING BURDENS OF PROOF .....	47
(a) Allocation of Burdens.....	47
(i) Civil Cases.....	48
<i>Fontaine v. Insurance Corp. of British Columbia</i> .....	48
<i>Peart v. Peel Regional Police Service</i> .....	50
(ii) Criminal Cases .....	54
Expediency .....	55
Facts Peculiarly Within Knowledge of Accused .....	57

(b) Measure of Burden of Persuasion .....	57
(i) Balance of Probabilities Standard for Civil Cases.....	57
<i>F.H. v. McDougall</i> .....	58
(ii) Reasonable Doubt Standard for Criminal Cases .....	65
<i>R. v. Lifchus</i> .....	65
<i>R. v. Starr</i> .....	67
(iii) Choosing Between Competing Versions .....	68
<i>R. v. W. (D.)</i> .....	69
<i>R. v. S. (J.H.)</i> .....	69
<i>R. v. G.W.</i> .....	74
<i>R. v. Nyznik</i> .....	77
(iv) Considering Individual Pieces of Evidence .....	80
<i>R. v. Morin</i> .....	80
(v) Direct and Circumstantial Evidence.....	83
<i>R. v. Munoz</i> .....	84
<i>R. v. Calnen</i> .....	88
<i>R. v. Angelis</i> .....	98
<i>R. v. White</i> .....	98
<i>R. v. Jack</i> .....	100
<i>R. v. Hanemaayer</i> .....	104
(vi) <i>Hodge's Case</i> .....	109
<i>R. v. Villaroman</i> .....	110
(vii) Preliminary Findings of Fact .....	118
<i>R. v. Evans</i> .....	118
<i>R. v. Arp</i> .....	119
(viii) Presumption of Innocence and the <i>Charter</i> .....	119
<i>R. v. Oakes</i> .....	119
<i>R. v. Whyte</i> .....	119
<i>R. v. Chaulk</i> .....	120
(c) Measure of Evidential Burden.....	123
(i) Criminal Cases .....	123
<i>U.S.A. v. Shephard</i> .....	123
<i>R. v. Arcuri</i> .....	124
PROBLEM .....	128
Lisa Dufraimont, <i>R. v. Hay</i> .....	129
<i>R. v. Cinous</i> .....	131
(ii) Civil Cases.....	135
<i>Hryniak v. Mauldin</i> .....	135
<i>FL Receivables Trust v. Cobrand Foods Ltd.</i> .....	141
<b>Chapter 3 RELEVANCE AND DISCRETION TO EXCLUDE</b> .....	145
1. RELEVANCE.....	145
(a) Tests.....	145
<i>Morris v. R.</i> .....	147
(b) Materiality.....	150
(c) Multiple Relevance .....	151

(d) Relevance and Social Context .....	151
<i>R. v. Lavallee</i> .....	152
<i>R. v. S. (R.D.)</i> .....	154
2. DISCRETION AND LAW OF EVIDENCE .....	164
(a) Introduction .....	164
(b) Discretion to Exclude .....	166
(i) Discretion to Exclude Read In to a Statute under the <i>Charter</i> .....	167
<i>Corbett v. R.</i> .....	167
<i>R. v. Potvin</i> .....	173
(ii) Balancing Probative Value and Prejudicial Effect .....	175
<i>R. v. Seaboyer</i> .....	175
<i>R. v. Grant (2015)</i> .....	177
<i>R. v. Hart</i> .....	182
<i>R. v. Mills</i> .....	184
<i>R. v. Hunter</i> .....	188
<i>Anderson v. Maple Ridge (District)</i> .....	191
(iii) Assessing Trial Fairness .....	194
<i>R. v. Harter</i> .....	194
(iv) Bringing Administration of Justice into Disrepute ( <i>Charter</i> , s. 24(2)) .....	200
<i>R. v. Grant (2009)</i> .....	201
<i>R. v. Harrison</i> .....	201
<i>R. v. McGuffie</i> .....	202
<i>R. v. Paterson</i> .....	203
<i>R. v. Le</i> .....	203
PROBLEMS .....	205
<i>Chapter 4 EXCLUSIONARY CANONS</i> .....	211
A. <b>Character Evidence</b> .....	211
1. <b>HABIT</b> .....	211
<i>Belknap v. Meakes</i> .....	212
<i>R. v. Watson</i> .....	213
<i>Devgan v. College of Physicians &amp; Surgeons (Ontario)</i> .....	216
<i>R. v. B. (L.)</i> .....	218
2. <b>CHARACTER OR DISPOSITION</b> .....	219
(a) Admissible as Directly Relevant to Material Issue .....	219
<i>R. v. W. (L.)</i> .....	220
<i>R. v. Johnson</i> .....	221
<i>R. v. Brissard</i> .....	222
(b) Underlying Assumptions Grounding Character Evidence .....	227
<i>R. v. Clarke</i> .....	228
(c) Character of Parties in Civil Cases .....	228
<i>Rawdah v. Evans</i> .....	229
<i>Plester v. Wawanesa Mutual Insurance Co.</i> .....	230

(d) Character of Accused in Criminal Cases .....	231
(i) Good Character Evidence .....	231
<i>R. v. Profit</i> (Ont. C.A.).....	232
<i>R. v. Profit</i> (S.C.C.).....	233
(ii) Bad Character Evidence.....	233
<i>R. v. Handy</i> .....	234
(iii) When Does an Accused Put His Character in Issue?.....	236
<i>R. v. P. (N.A.)</i> .....	238
<i>R. v. A. (W.A.)</i> .....	240
(iv) How Character May be Proved .....	241
<i>R. v. Levasseur</i> .....	243
<i>R. v. Brown</i> .....	245
(v) Warnings to Jury.....	247
PROBLEMS.....	247
(e) Similar Facts .....	248
(i) Need for Connection Between Previous Acts and Accused.....	251
<i>R. v. Millar</i> .....	251
(ii) How are Similar Facts Relevant? .....	253
<i>R. v. B. (C.R.)</i> .....	253
<i>R. v. Arp</i> .....	258
<i>R. v. Handy</i> .....	263
<i>R. v. Blake</i> .....	275
<i>R. v. Titmus</i> .....	276
<i>R. v. Shearing</i> .....	277
PROBLEMS.....	282
(iii) Civil Cases.....	284
<i>Johnson v. Bugera</i> .....	284
<i>S. (R.C.M.) v. K. (G.M.)</i> .....	285
PROBLEM .....	288
(f) Character of Third Party/Co-Accused.....	288
<i>R. v. Khan</i> .....	289
(g) Character of Victim .....	293
(i) Self-defence.....	293
(ii) Sexual Assault .....	295
Common Law.....	295
<i>Charter of Rights</i> .....	296
<i>R. v. Seaboyer</i> .....	296
New Legislation — Bill C-49 and Bill C-51.....	309
<i>R. v. Darrach</i> .....	312
<i>R. v. A.</i> .....	315
<i>R. v. Barton</i> .....	316
<i>R. v. Goldfinch</i> .....	327
<i>R. v. R.V.</i> .....	343
<i>R. v. Ghomeshi</i> .....	347

	<i>R. v. JC</i> .....	350
	PROBLEMS.....	355
<b>B. Hearsay</b> .....		358
1. THE RULE .....		358
(a) History .....		358
(b) Reason for Rule .....		361
(c) Identifying Hearsay .....		363
<i>R. v. Khelawon</i> .....		366
<i>R. v. Baldree</i> .....		368
PROBLEMS.....		375
(d) Approaches to Hearsay.....		376
<i>R. v. Khan</i> .....		378
<i>R. v. B. (K.G.)</i> .....		383
<i>R. v. Devine</i> .....		392
<i>R. v. Khelawon</i> .....		393
<i>R. v. Bradshaw</i> .....		407
<i>R. v. Blackman</i> .....		414
<i>R. v. Baldree</i> .....		417
PROBLEMS.....		420
(e) Establishing Necessity.....		421
<i>R. v. Smith</i> .....		421
<i>R. v. Parrott</i> .....		422
PROBLEM .....		428
<i>Dodge v. Kaneff Homes Inc.</i> .....		429
2. EXCEPTIONS .....		431
(a) Admissions .....		32
(i) Generally.....		432
<i>R. v. Lo</i> .....		433
<i>R. v. Phillips</i> .....		435
<i>R. v. Streu</i> .....		437
(ii) Confessions.....		440
(iii) Implied Admissions .....		440
<i>R. v. Scott</i> .....		440
(iv) Statements Authorized by Party.....		444
<i>R. v. Strand Electric Ltd.</i> .....		444
(v) Statements of Person with Common Purpose.....		445
<i>R. v. Mapara</i> .....		446
(b) Exceptions where Declarant or Testimony Unavailable.....		452
(i) Declarations Against Interest .....		452
<i>R. v. Demeter</i> .....		453
<i>R. v. Lucier</i> .....		454
(ii) Dying Declarations.....		455
<i>R. v. Aziga</i> .....		456
(iii) Declarations in Course of Duty .....		459
General.....		459

	<i>R. v. Larsen</i> .....	460
	Business Records .....	462
	<i>R. v. Martin</i> .....	464
	<i>R. v. L. (C.)</i> .....	467
(iv)	Former Testimony .....	470
	<i>R. v. Potvin</i> .....	471
	PROBLEM .....	475
(c)	Exceptions Not Dependent on Availability of Declarant .....	475
	(i) Declarations as to Physical Sensation .....	475
	(ii) Declarations as to Mental or Emotional State .....	476
	<i>R. v. Starr</i> .....	478
	PROBLEM .....	481
	(iii) Spontaneous Statements (Excited Utterances) .....	482
	<i>R. v. Bedingfield</i> .....	482
	<i>R. v. Clark</i> .....	483
	<i>R. v. Shea</i> .....	488
	<i>R. v. Brown</i> .....	490
<b>C.</b>	<b>Voluntary Confession Rule</b> .....	493
	1. PERSONS IN AUTHORITY.....	493
	<i>R. v. Hodgson</i> .....	493
	2. MODERN APPROACH IN <i>R. v. OICKLE</i> .....	502
	<i>R. v. Oickle</i> .....	502
	3. THE PRE-TRIAL RIGHT TO SILENCE AND INTERROGATION.....	516
	<i>R. v. Hebert</i> .....	516
	<i>R. v. Singh</i> .....	519
	4. CONFESSIONS IN UNDERCOVER OPERATIONS.....	532
	<i>R. v. Hart</i> .....	532
	<i>R. v. Mack</i> .....	552
	PROBLEMS.....	558
	5. VIDEOTAPING OF INTERROGATIONS .....	561
	Should Confessions be Videotaped? .....	561
	<i>R. v. Moore-McFarlane</i> .....	561
	<i>R. v. Wilson</i> .....	564
	6. MIXED INCULPATORY AND EXCULPATORY STATEMENTS.....	567
	<i>R. v. Rojas</i> .....	568
<b>D.</b>	<b>Opinion Evidence and Experts</b> .....	569
	1. OPINION RULE .....	569
	<i>Graat v. R.</i> .....	570
	<i>R. v. B. (H.)</i> .....	575
	2. EXPERT EVIDENCE.....	578
	(a) Tests.....	579
	<i>White Burgess Langille Inman v. Abbott and Haliburton Co.</i> ..	581
	<i>R. v. Abbey</i> .....	595

	<i>R. v. J. (J.-L.)</i> .....	606
	<i>R. v. Trochym</i> .....	608
	<i>R. v. Sekhon</i> .....	612
	<i>R. v. Bingley</i> .....	615
	<i>Moore v. Getahun</i> .....	623
(b)	Examples of Judicial Resistance to Experts .....	629
	(i) Identification .....	629
	<i>R. v. McIntosh</i> .....	629
	<i>R. v. Dimitrov</i> .....	634
	(ii) Testimony of Children .....	635
	<i>R. v. Marquard</i> .....	635
	<i>R. v. D. (D.)</i> .....	638
	<i>R. v. Talbot</i> .....	645
	(iii) Memory .....	648
	<i>R. v. Perlett</i> .....	648
	<i>R. v. T.W.S.</i> .....	651
	<i>R. v. Horswill</i> .....	651
	(iv) False Confessions .....	652
	<i>R. v. Osmar</i> .....	652
	<i>R. v. Phillion</i> .....	654
	<i>R. v. Pearce</i> .....	655
	PROBLEM .....	657
3.	EXAMINING EXPERT .....	657
	(a) Hypothetical Questions .....	657
	(b) Use of Textbooks .....	659
	<i>R. v. Marquard</i> .....	659
4.	EXCHANGE OF EXPERTS' REPORTS .....	661
	<i>Criminal Code</i> .....	661
	<i>Westerhof v. Gee Estate</i> .....	664
E.	Privilege .....	666
	1. PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATIONS .....	666
	(a) Introduction .....	666
	<i>R. v. Gruenke</i> .....	666
	(b) Solicitor-Client Privilege .....	670
	(i) Generally .....	670
	<i>Descôteaux v. Mierzwinski</i> .....	671
	<i>Canada (Privacy Commissioner) v. Blood Tribe</i>	
	<i>Department of Health</i> .....	677
	<i>R. v. Olusaga</i> .....	679
	<i>Goodis v. Ontario (Ministry of Correctional</i>	
	<i>Services)</i> .....	680
	<i>M.N.R. v. Newport Pacific Financial Group</i> .....	682
	<i>Blank v. Canada (Minister of Justice)</i> .....	684
	(ii) Exceptions .....	691
	Inadvertent Disclosure .....	691

	<i>Airst v. Airst</i> .....	691
	Public Safety — Future Harm Exception .....	694
	<i>Smith v. Jones</i> .....	694
	Innocence at Stake Exception .....	700
	<i>R. v. McClure</i> .....	700
	Legal Advice About Police Legality .....	705
	<i>R. v. Shirose and Campbell</i> .....	705
	The Common Interest Exception .....	707
	<i>Pritchard v. Ontario (Human Rights Commission)</i> .....	707
(c)	Marital Communications .....	710
	<i>R. v. Nero</i> .....	711
	<i>R. v. Zylstra</i> .....	715
(d)	Privilege for Without Prejudice Communications .....	715
	<i>Middelkamp v. Fraser Valley Real Estate Board</i> .....	715
2.	<b>PUBLIC INTEREST IMMUNITY</b> .....	719
	(a) Statutory Provisions .....	719
	(b) Identity of Informers .....	721
	<i>R. v. Durham Regional Crime Stoppers Inc.</i> .....	721
3.	<b>CASE-BY-CASE PRIVILEGE</b> .....	725
	<i>Slavutych v. Baker</i> .....	726
	<i>Denis v. Côté</i> .....	727
	<i>M. (A.) v. Ryan</i> .....	728
4.	<b>BALANCING CHARTER VALUES</b> .....	735
	<i>R. v. Mills</i> .....	751
	<i>R. v. McNeil</i> .....	751
	Bill C-51 .....	752
	<b>Chapter 5 MECHANICS OF PROOF</b> .....	755
A.	<b>Matters Not Requiring Proof</b> .....	755
1.	<b>FORMAL ADMISSIONS OF FACT</b> .....	755
	<i>R. v. Falconer</i> .....	755
	<i>R. v. Lo</i> .....	759
2.	<b>JUDICIAL NOTICE</b> .....	762
	(a) Introduction .....	762
	(b) The Modern Approach .....	763
	<i>R. v. Spence</i> .....	763
	<i>Moge v. Moge</i> .....	771
	<i>Cronk v. Canadian General Insurance Co.</i> .....	775
	<i>R. v. Le</i> .....	778
	<i>CTV Television v. The Queen</i> .....	783
	<i>R. v. Hernandez-Lopez</i> .....	786
	<b>PROBLEMS</b> .....	790
B.	<b>Real Evidence</b> .....	791
1.	<b>AUTHENTICATION</b> .....	791



	<i>R. v. Nikolovski</i> .....	794
	<i>R. v. Andalib-Goortani</i> .....	799
2.	DOCUMENTS .....	806
3.	BEST EVIDENCE RULE.....	807
	<i>R. v. Cotroni</i> .....	808
4.	ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS .....	809
	<i>Canada Evidence Act</i> , ss. 31.1-31.8 .....	809
	<i>R. v. Martin</i> .....	810
5.	DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE .....	821
	<i>R. v. MacDonald</i> .....	821
	<i>McCutcheon v. Chrysler Canada Ltd.</i> .....	827
	<i>R. v. Collins</i> .....	830
6.	VIEWS.....	832
C.	<b>Witnesses</b> .....	834
1.	COMPETENCE AND COMPELLABILITY .....	834
(a)	Introduction .....	834
(b)	Oath .....	834
	<i>R. v. Kalevar</i> .....	836
	<i>R. v. J. (T.R.)</i> .....	839
(c)	Age.....	841
	<i>R. v. W. (R.)</i> .....	842
	Nick Bala et al., Bill C-2: A New Law for Canada's Child Witnesses .....	846
	<i>R. v. Levogiannis</i> .....	855
	<i>R. v. L. (D.O.)</i> .....	858
	<i>R. v. F. (C.)</i> .....	859
(d)	Mental Capacity .....	863
	<i>Canada Evidence Act</i> , s. 16.....	863
	<i>R. v. I. (D.)</i> .....	864
	<i>R. v. Parrott</i> .....	869
(e)	Interest .....	871
	Evidence Acts .....	873
(f)	Spousal Competence and Compellability.....	874
(g)	Compellability of Accused .....	874
(i)	History of Privilege Against Self-incrimination.....	874
(ii)	<i>Canada Evidence Act</i> .....	876
(iii)	Sections 11(c) and 13 of the <i>Charter</i> .....	878
	<i>R. v. Henry</i> .....	878
	<i>R. v. Nedelcu</i> .....	880
	PROBLEMS.....	886
	<i>Knutson v. Registered Nurses Assn. (Sask.)</i> .....	886
(iv)	Pre-trial Right to Silence: <i>Charter</i> , s. 7.....	887
(v)	Principle Against Self-incrimination: <i>Charter</i> , s. 7 ...	887
(vi)	No Adverse Inference from Pre-trial Silence.....	891
	<i>R. v. Turcotte</i> .....	891

(vii) No Adverse Inference from Trial Silence.....	897
<i>R. v. Noble</i> .....	898
(viii) Comments on Accused's Failure to Testify .....	903
<i>R. v. Prokofiew</i> .....	905
2. MANNER OF QUESTIONING.....	910
(a) Leading Questions .....	910
<i>R. v. Rose</i> .....	913
(b) Refreshing Memory/Past Recollection Recorded.....	918
<i>R. v. Wilks</i> .....	918
<i>R. v. B. (K.G.)</i> .....	923
<i>R. v. Mattis</i> .....	925
PROBLEMS.....	927
(c) Adoption.....	929
<i>R. v. McCarroll</i> .....	929
(d) Cross-examination .....	931
<i>R. v. Lyttle</i> .....	933
<i>R. v. R. (A.J.)</i> .....	936
<i>R. v. Osolin</i> .....	941
<i>R. v. Mills</i> .....	942
<i>R. v. Shearing</i> .....	943
<i>R. v. Schmaltz</i> .....	943
(e) Duty to Cross-examine (Rule in <i>Browne v. Dunn</i> ) .....	951
<i>R. v. McNeill</i> .....	951
<i>Curley v. Taafe</i> .....	925
(f) Collateral Facts Rule.....	959
<i>R. v. R. (D.)</i> .....	960
<i>R. v. D.S.</i> .....	961
<i>Landmark v. Vehicle Leasing</i> .....	963
<i>R. v. MacIsaac</i> .....	964
PROBLEMS.....	968
3. IMPEACHMENT .....	969
(a) Prior Inconsistent Statements.....	969
<i>Canada Evidence Act</i> , ss. 10, 11.....	970
(i) Impeaching One's Own Witness .....	971
<i>Anderson v. Flying Saucer Drive-in Ltd.</i> .....	972
<i>R. v. Malik</i> .....	974
<i>R. v. Osae</i> .....	976
(ii) <i>Canada Evidence Act</i> , s. 9(1).....	979
<i>R. v. Figliola</i> .....	979
(iii) <i>Canada Evidence Act</i> , s. 9(2).....	981
<i>R. v. Milgaard</i> .....	982
<i>R. v. Dupuis</i> .....	983
PROBLEM .....	989
(b) Bias .....	990
<i>R. v. Ghorvei</i> .....	992
(i) Motives of Accused and Complainants .....	994

	<i>R. v. Bartholomew</i> .....	994
	<i>R. v. D.S.</i> .....	997
	<i>R. v. Ellard</i> .....	998
	<i>R. v. Laboucan</i> .....	999
(c)	Character of Witness .....	1005
	(i) Extrinsic Evidence .....	1005
	<i>R. v. Clarke</i> .....	1005
	(ii) Evidence Elicited on Cross-examination .....	1007
	(iii) Accused as Witness .....	1008
	<i>Canada Evidence Act</i> , s. 12 .....	1009
	<i>R. v. King</i> .....	1012
	<i>R. v. Underwood</i> .....	1018
	<i>Ontario Evidence Act</i> , s. 22 .....	1020
	<i>Hutton v. Way</i> .....	1020
(d)	Incapacity of Witness .....	1022
4.	SUPPORTING OR REHABILITATING CREDIBILITY .....	1023
	(a) General Rule Prohibiting .....	1023
	<i>R. v. Béland</i> .....	1023
	<i>R. v. Tash</i> .....	1030
	(b) Exceptions .....	1033
	(i) To Rebut Allegation of Recent Fabrication .....	1033
	<i>R. v. Stirling</i> .....	1033
	<i>R. v. Ellard</i> .....	1036
	(ii) Prior Identification .....	1037
	<i>R. v. Tat</i> .....	1038
	(iii) Part of Narrative .....	1038
	<i>R. v. Dinardo</i> .....	1038
	<i>R. v. Gill</i> .....	1041
	(iv) Exculpatory Arrest Statement .....	1045
	<i>R. v. Edgar</i> .....	1045
	(v) Recent Complaint .....	1050
5.	DEMEANOUR AS GUIDE TO CREDIBILITY .....	1052
	<i>R. v. Norman</i> .....	1053
	<i>R. v. S. (N.)</i> .....	1054
	<i>R. v. Santhosh</i> .....	1061
6.	CORROBORATION .....	1063
	(a) When Required? .....	1063
	(i) Treason .....	1064
	(ii) Forgery .....	1064
	(iii) Accomplices .....	1065
	<i>Vetrovec v. R.</i> .....	1066
	<i>R. v. Khela</i> .....	1069
	(iv) Informers .....	1073
	<i>R. v. Brooks</i> .....	1073
	(v) Primary Witnesses in Sex Cases .....	1076
	<i>R. v. S. (F.)</i> .....	1078

(vi) Unsworn Evidence of Children .....	1078
(vii) Miscellaneous Provisions .....	1079
(b) What is Corroboration? .....	1079
<i>R. v. B. (G.)</i> .....	1080
<i>Table of Cases</i> .....	1083