

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELUDE .....	1
<b>I. ANDANTE</b>	
<b>THE NATURE OF CLASS ACTIONS .....</b>	<b>5</b>
ANDANTE: A MODERATELY SLOW TEMPO	
What is a Class Action? .....	5
The Authorization Application .....	7
Suspension of Limitation Periods .....	8
Special Case Management and Timeline .....	9
Carriage, Service, and Preliminary Matters .....	10
Who Gets Carriage of the Class Action? .....	10
Who Chooses the Respondent’s Attorney? .....	10
Notification (Service) of Foreign Respondents .....	11
Applications to Dismiss .....	11
Preliminary Applications (Art. 574 C.C.P.) .....	13
The Authorization Hearing .....	16
The Class (Art. 574 C.C.P.) .....	17
The Criteria for Authorization (Art. 575 C.C.P.) .....	18
Commonality (Art. 575(1) C.C.P.) .....	19
Arguable Case (Art. 575(2) C.C.P.) .....	20
Limited Preferability (Art. 575(3) C.C.P.) .....	22
Adequacy of the Proposed Class Representative (Art. 575(4) C.C.P.) .....	22
Decision Authorizing a Class Action and Appeal (Arts. 578 and 579 C.C.P.) .....	23
Conduct of the Action .....	24

Final Judgment and Recovery .....	25
Settlement .....	26

## II. ADAGIO

THE HISTORY OF CLASS ACTIONS .....	27
------------------------------------	----

### ADAGIO: IN SLOW TIME

Setting the Scene: Anglo-American Origins and Development .....	27
1805: <i>Adair v. The New River Company</i> .....	30
1901: <i>Duke of Bedford v. Ellis</i> .....	31
1901: <i>The Taff Vale Railway Company v. The Amalgamated Society of Railway Servants</i> .....	32
1910: <i>Markt &amp; Co. Limited v. Knight Steamship Company, Limited</i> .....	33
From English Émigré to Naturalized Citizen: A Short Biography of Rule 23 .....	34
1853: <i>Smith et al. v. Swormstedt</i> .....	34
1921: <i>Supreme Tribe of Ben-Hur v. Cauble</i> .....	35
1940: <i>Hansberry v. Lee</i> .....	36
1969: <i>Snyder v. Harris</i> .....	38
1973: <i>Zahn v. International Paper Co.</i> .....	39
1974: <i>Eisen v. Carlisle &amp; Jacquelin</i> .....	39
A Civil Law Approach: Québec's Decision to Codify the Class Action .....	40
1981: <i>Nault v. Canadian Consumer Co. Ltd.</i> .....	42
1986: <i>Comité de citoyens et d'action municipale de St-Césaire v. Ville de St-Césaire</i> .....	43
1990: <i>Tremaine v. A.H. Robins Canada Inc.</i> .....	44
1990: <i>Comité d'environnement de La Baie Inc. v. Société d'électrolyse et de chimie Alcan ltée</i> .....	45
1994: <i>Syndicat national des employés de l'Hôpital St-Ferdinand v. Québec (Curateur public)</i> .....	46
A Canadian Common Law Approach .....	48
1983: <i>Naken v. General Motors of Canada Ltd.</i> .....	48
2001: Supreme Court Trilogy .....	50
The Class Action of Today .....	52

**III. MODERATO****CLASS ACTIONS BEFORE THE COURTS . . . . . 57**

## MODERATO: AT A MODERATE PACE

The Procedural Reforms of 2003 and Their Impact on Authorization . . . . .	58
<i>Option consommateurs v. Novopharm: The Voice         of Pragmatism</i> . . . . .	61
Establishing a “Good Colour of Right” . . . . .	61
Determining the Adequacy of a Proposed Representative . . . . .	62
Putting the Onus Where it Belongs . . . . .	63
<i>Bouchard v. Agropur Coopérative: The Court of         Appeal Takes a Hard Second Look</i> . . . . .	64
The Moderate Case Law: 2006 - 2013 . . . . .	66
<i>George v. Québec</i> . . . . .	66
<i>Lallier v. Volkswagen Canada Inc.</i> . . . . .	67
<i>Brochu v. Loto-Québec</i> . . . . .	68
The Airport Trilogy . . . . .	69
<i>Ata v. 9118-8169 Québec Inc.</i> . . . . .	71
<i>Deraspe v. Zinc électrolytique du Canada ltée</i> . . . . .	72
<i>Lorrain v. Pétro-Canada</i> . . . . .	72
The Applicants Strike Back: The Supreme Court of Canada Embraces a Large and Liberal Approach to Authorization . . . . .	73
<i>Infineon Technologies AG v. Option consommateurs             and Vivendi Canada Inc. v. Dell’Anniello</i> . . . . .	73
<i>Bank of Montreal v. Marcotte</i> . . . . .	78
<i>Sibiga v. Fido Solutions inc.</i> . . . . .	79
<i>Charles v. Boiron Canada inc.</i> . . . . .	83
<i>Asselin v. Desjardins Cabinets de services             financiers inc.</i> . . . . .	84
Secondary-Market Securities Class Actions . . . . .	88
<i>Theratechnologies inc. v. 121851 Canada inc.</i> . . . . .	89
<i>Groupe d’action d’investisseurs dans BioSyntech v.             Tsang and Robillard v. Arsenault</i> . . . . .	92

**IV. ALLEGRO**

<b>THE THEORY OF CLASS ACTIONS</b> .....	97
ALLEGRO: AT A BRISK SPEED	
The Apologia for Class-Wide Litigation .....	98
A Survey of Leading Counterarguments .....	102
On Representation .....	102
The Judge as Legislator .....	105
Procedural Extortion .....	108
Civil Procedure or Public Weapon? .....	111
Of Micro-Aggressions and Micro-Injuries .....	115
Cultures of Honour, Dignity, and Victimhood .....	115
The Class Action as a Reflection of Dignity Culture ..	117
The Class Action as a Reflection of Victim Culture ..	119
(i) Class Actions Often Involve “Micro-Injuries” ..	119
(ii) The Impartiality and Restraint of the Court are attenuated in the Class Action Setting .....	120
(iii) In a Class Action, the Court Reallocates Resources .....	122
(iv) The Class Action as Litigation Therapy .....	123
The Ethics of Legal Representation and Settlement .....	125
<i>Amchem Products, Inc. v. Windsor</i> .....	126
<i>Ortiz v. Fibreboard Corp.</i> .....	128
Perspectives and Proposed Reforms .....	130
The Ethics of Settlement in Canada and Québec .....	135
<b>POSTLUDE</b> .....	141
<b>NOTES TO THE NOTES</b> .....	145
<b>AFTERWORD AND CONCORDANCE</b> .....	165
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> .....	169
<b>TABLE OF LEGISLATION</b> .....	175
<b>TABLE OF CASES</b> .....	181
<b>INDEX</b> .....	191