# **INDEX**

### **ACCOUNTANTS, 518-532**

#### ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNALS

courts, 441. See also COURTS expertise and deference, 412, 428-429, 436-437 privative clauses, 423-424, 435 reasonable apprehension of bias, 445 tradition vs. modern regulation, 412-418

distinctions between tribunals and

### ALIBI

disbelief vs. fabrication, 298 generally, 290-292

### ASSUMPTION OF INTEGRITY

chain of evidence, 7

# BERTILLON, ALPHONSE

body measurements, 3-4

### CONFIDENTIAL RECORDS

compelled production criteria, 315-316
Crown's duty to inquire, 347-348 destruction of materials, 316-324 equality, 338-339
first party disclosure vs. third party production, 346-347
full answer and defence, 331-334 onus, 342-343
police disciplinary information, 348-351
privacy, 334-338, 345-346

# **COURTS**

constitutional framework, 407-408 federal courts, 410-411 organization, 408-409 provincial and territorial courts, 411 Supreme Court of Canada, 409-410

two-part test for production, 341

### CRIME SCENE

reconstruction, 4-7

### **CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY**

refusing to be examined, 300-306, 308 requirements of section 657.3, 309-311

#### DELAY

counsel of choice vs. trial within a reasonable time, 542 court's understanding of delay, 537-540, 544-546, 559-561, 571-572, 593-594 important factors, 572 inherent time requirements, 574new section 11(b) framework, 598 pre-charge delay, 553-556 prejudice, 566-567 problems with the pre-2017 framework, 594-598 transience, 535-537 waiver, 546-548, 565-566, 573-574, 582 young offenders, 585-590

### **DISCLOSURE**

bad character evidence, 286-288 Crown obligation, 261-265 custody and control of, 279-283 obligation not absolute, 266-268 prior to election or plea, 268, 274 reciprocal disclosure, 262, 277-278, 290-292 triggering disclosure, 268 what should be disclosed, 268-270, 272-273

### **ENGINEERS, 504-511**

### **EVIDENCE**

circumstantial

- definition, 193, 202-203, 206
- inconsistent with any other rational conclusion, 207, 212

circumstantial — cont'd

• limited weighing of evidence, 214, 217

#### demonstrative

- dangers, 229, 235-237
- definition, 229
- degree of similarity, 238-240, 246-250
- standard forms of demonstration, 252-257

#### direct

- definition, 189, 193, 206
- perceived by the senses, 197

dynamics, 7-10

real

- definition, 220
- electronically stored data, 226-227
- proof of condition at relevant time, 222-223, 225

#### **EXPERT TESTIMONY**

admissibility, 610-613, 617-619, 767-768

advances in forensic evidence, 756-761, 763-767, 802-808

bias, 669-677, 768-772, 779-784

commenting on credibility, 633-635 duration of litigation privilege, 646-652, 702-703

duty to preserve evidence, 773-776 error rate, 607, 622-623

ethics, 689-692, 701-702

exceeding area of expertise, 629-631 falsification rates, 606

fibre analysis and comparison, 716-717

general acceptance of, 607

hair analysis and comparison, 708-716, 722-723, 726-734

impeachment of, 635-636, 658, 661-663, 666-667

lie detection, 600-601

novel scientific theory, 788-789

peer review, 606

sanctions, 692-698

significance of the oath, 700-703

work product privilege, 638-645, 654-665

#### **GOVERNING BODIES**

attributes of self-governing professions, 463-470

history of regulated professions, 462

professional licensing schemes, 456-457

### HOLMES, SHERLOCK

deduction, 2

### **JUDGES**

conduct unbecoming, 117-121, 175-179, 180-183

Cosgrove, Justice Paul

- apologies, 121-126
- competence, 129
- removal from office, 129

ethical principles of, 116-117

fairness in charging the jury, 130-140

improper interventions, 157-165, 172-174

O'Driscoll, Justice John

- as contempt of court subject, 110-115
- found to be unfair at trial, 129-140

reasonable apprehension of bias, 143-156, 165-169, 445-450 rhetorical questions, 140

### JUNK SCIENCE

contrasted with real science, 686-688

definition, 686

# **LAWYERS**

defence counsel

- competency, 80-83
- conflict of interest, 85-91
- contempt of court, 112-113
- guilty plea, 92-95
- obstruction of justice, 99-110
- role of, 74-77, 79, 99

# prosecutors

- abusive questioning, 55-59
- fairness of, 52-53, 67-69
- jury addresses, 52, 65-66, 71-73
- role of, 53, 58, 60
- threatening conduct, 60-63
- winning or losing, 53

### LOCARD, DR. EDMOND

exchange principle, 4

### MEDIA INFLUENCE

CSI effect, 12, 14-16, 19, 24 "mean world" message, 21 "tech" effect, 19-21

MISCARRIAGES OF JUSTICE. See MORIN, GUY PAUL; SMITH, DR. CHARLES; TRUSCOTT, STEVEN

MORIN, GUY PAUL, 703-708

NURSES, 479-504

PHYSICIANS, 471-479

### **POLICE**

abuse of power, 46-52 dangers of the job, 37-38, 40-41, 43-45 public attitudes towards, 28-29 role of, 33

# **PRIVILEGE**

doctor and patient

- breach of trust, 383
- predicting dangerousness, 382
- rationale for, 379-383

# informer

- open court principle, 398-401
- procedure to be followed, 401-405
- rationale for, 394-398

# religious

- case-by-case assessment, 376-378
- rationale for, 375-376

### solicitor and client

- limitations of, 387-392
- physical evidence, 102-104
- rationale for, 87-88, 102, 351-353, 356-357, 385-388

Wigmore criteria, 406

SMITH, DR. CHARLES, 736-737, 740-752, 754, 756, 760

**SOCIAL WORKERS, 511-518** 

TRUSCOTT, STEVEN, 789-814