

Index

Albert, R., legal systems approach to social work, 34, 41

apprehension in child welfare case vignette

- case study, 49-50
- course of action: decision, 56-57
- ethical dilemma, 50-56

autonomy, respect for, 16

Barker, A. and Branson, D., legal aspects of social work, 33

Barker, R.L., 1

Beauchamp, T.L. and Childress, principlism, J.F., 16, 17

beneficence, 16-17, 61, 77

Bentham, Jeremy, utilitarian philosopher, 15

biomedical ethics, 16-17

Birnbaum, R. and Mosher, J., law and social work, 34, 40, 41, 47, 55, 68, 89

Braye, S. and Preston-Shoot, M., law and social work, 34, 39

Braye, S., Preston-Shoot, M. and Johns, M., law and social work, 31

Braye, S., Preston-Shoot, M. and Wigley, V., law and social work, 31, 40

Busch-Armendariz, N., Jones Johnson, R., Buel, S. and Lungwitz, J., collaboration, 31

case vignettes, *see also* specific topics

- child welfare, 49-57
- conclusion, 90
- custody and access, 58-65
- social media and technology, implications of, 73-79

- suicidal ideation, 80-89
- youth criminal justice, 66-72

child welfare case vignette

- case study, 49-50
- course of action: decision, 56-57
- ethical dilemma, 50-56

clinical findings, interpretation of, 38

***Code of Ethics, Canadian Association of Social Workers*, 2-4, 39, 47, 60-61, 64, 66, 75, 83, 85, 86, 88**

***Code of Ethics, National Association of Social Work*, 10**

***Code of Ethics and Standards of Practice Handbook, Ontario College of Social Workers and Social Service Workers*, 39, 47, 60-61, 64, 68, 71, 74, 75, 83, 84, 85, 86, 88**

collaboration

- legal profession, 31, 32, 33, 34, 39-40
- police, 37-38

Collins, P.H., intersectionality and matrix of domination, 29

contemporary medical-based theory

- beneficence, 16-17
- distributive justice, 17
- generally, 2-3, 16-17
- non-maleficence, 17
- respect for autonomy, 16

Crenshaw, K., intersectionality, 29

Criminal Code, 41

custody and access case vignette

- case study, 58-59
- course of action: decision, 65
- ethical dilemma, 59-65

D’Cruz, H., Gillingham, P. and Melendez, S., reflexivity, 23

deontology, 2, 7, 12, 13-14, 52, 76, 78, 87

distributive justice, 14, 17

**Dolgoff, R., Loewenberg, F.M., Harrington, D.,
decision-making principles, 10**

Douglas, W.O., emotions in decision-making, 21

drug treatment/therapeutic courts, 39

Durkheim, E., reflexivity, 22

duty to report in adult mental health (suicidal ideation) case vignette

- case study, 80-81
- course of action: decision, 89
- ethical dilemma, 82-89

ethical decision-making principles model, 12

ethical practice, generally, 93-95

ethical theories

- deontology (rule-based), 13-14
- generally, 12-13
- principlism (contemporary medical-based), 16-17
- utilitarianism/teleology (results-based), 14-15

ethics of care, 17-18

feminist relationship focus theory, 17-18

Flexner, A., social work as profession, 31

forensic social work, 38

Freeman, S.J., philosophy and practice, 13-14, 15, 16

Gambrill, E.D., critical thinking, 23

Gewirth, A., hierarchical approach, 9

Gilligan, C., relational theory, 17

Guidelines for Ethical Practice, Canadian Association of Social Workers, 47, 51, 60, 67, 68, 69, 71, 74, 75, 84

Heidegger, M., concepts of the self, 22

institutional self

- exercise, 26-27
- generally, 21-22, 25-26

integrative pragmatist approach to moral problem-solving, 9

Johns, A., law and social work, 33, 34

Johns, R., law and social work, 41

Kant, Immanuel, metaphysics and morals, deontology, 13-14

Lach, L. and Birnbaum, R.

- case vignettes, 46
- components of ethical decision-making model, 12
- five sources of knowledge, 11
- generally, 4, 15

law and social work, intersection of

- collaboration in legal system, 39-40
- education, need for, 41
- generally, 31, 41
- knowledge of law, 32-33, 94
- professions' view of each other, 41
- shared ethical dimensions, 35
- similarities between legal profession and social work, 33-35
- unique functions of social workers
 - • adverse effects of participation in legal system, 37
 - • agents of change, 39
 - • collaborating with police, 37-38
 - • drug treatment/therapeutic courts, 39
 - • forensic social work, 38
 - • information gathering and dissemination, 36
 - • interpretation of clinical findings, 38
 - • mitigation specialists, 36
 - • policy development, 37
 - • representing clients before tribunals, 38

Madden, R. and Wayne, R., law and social work, information gathering, 36, 37

Mattison, M., “person in the process” model, 4, 10, 46

Mental Health Act, 89

metaethics, 16

Mill, John Stuart, utilitarian philosopher, 15

mitigation specialists, 36

National Association of Social Work Code of Ethics (U.S.), 10

non-maleficence, 16

Patterson, G., criminal justice system and social work, 31, 34, 37, 41

Pawlukewicz, J. and Ondrus, S., use of applied scenarios, 45

person in the process model, 10

personal self

- exercise examining influences on critical thinking, 24-25
- generally, 21, 23

Phillips, A., law and social work, 32

policy development, 37

practical issues, 45-48

principlism

- beneficence, 16-17
- generally, 2-3, 16-17
- justice, 17
- non-maleficence, 17
- respect for autonomy, 16

Racine, E., health care and ethical decision-making, 8

Rawls, J., theory of justice, 14

Reamer, F., framework for ethical decision-making, 10-11, 12, 14-15, 45, 46

reflexivity, 22-23

results-based theory (utilitarianism/teleology), 14-15

Roberts, A. and Springer, D., duty owed to client, 35

Rossiter, A., Walsh-Bowers, R. and Prilleltensky, I., sociopolitical self, 28

Rothman, J.C., decision-making principles, 8, 13

rule-based theory (deontology), 2, 13-14

Saleebey, D., strengths perspective, 46

Saltzman, A. and Furman, D., law and social work, 32

Schroder, J., Guin, C., Pogue, R. and Bordelon, D., body language of client, effects of legal system on clients, 36, 37

section 34 reports, 36

self, use of

- defining the self, 22
- emotions underlying decision-making, 21
- institutional self, 21-22, 25-27
- personal self, 21, 23-25
- reflexivity, 22-23
- sociopolitical self, 22, 28-29

Slater, L. and Finck, K., law and social work, 32

social media and technology case vignette

- case study, 73-74
- course of action: decision, 78-79
- ethical dilemma, 74-78, 94

sociopolitical self

- exercise, 28-29
- generally, 22, 28

Stein, T., law and social work, 31, 38

Steinberg, R., law and social work, collaboration, 39, 40

suicidal ideation case vignette

- case study, 80-81
- course of action: decision, 89
- ethical dilemma, 82-89

Taylor, S., law and social work, collaboration, 34, 41

technology, use of, *see* social media and technology case vignette

teleology, 14-15

tribunals, representing clients before, 38

utilitarianism/teleology, 2, 12, 14-15, 53, 69, 86

Watzlawick, P., Weakland, J.H. and Fisch, R., strengths-based social work, client's best interest, 46

West, H.R., rule utilitarianism, 15

Wilks, T., use of case vignettes, 45

Youth Criminal Justice Act, 36

youth criminal justice case vignette

- case study, 66
- course of action: decision, 72
- ethical dilemma, 66-72