## Index

#### Bill C-262

generally, 62, 66, 116-117, 127, 140, 143, 145 s. 3, 133

Bill S-3: The Gender Equity in Indian Registration Act, 27-33

Calder v. British Columbia (Attorney General), 131

#### Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms

generally, 131, 137 *Indian Act* reform bill, and, 18 s. 2(a), 54, 60 s. 15(1), 18, 20, 22, 23, 65

Carrier Sekani Tribal Council. See Duty to consult and the role of regulatory decision makers

## Chippewas of the Thames First Nation v. Enbridge Pipelines Inc. and the duty to consult

generally, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 43-46, 48-50, 51, 68, 80-82 implications of decision, 40, 41, 83

*Clyde River (Hamlet) v. Petroleum Geo-Services Inc.* and the duty to consult generally, 37-39, 43-49, 51, 68, 75-80, 81, 82 implications of decision, 83

#### Constitution Act, 1867

ss. 91 and 92, 94-95 s. 91(24) , 3-4, 10, 18, 85, 86, 88-91, 93, 94-96, 97-111 s. 109, 3

#### Constitution Act, 1982

s. 35, 1-2, 5, 6-11, 44, 54, 64, 67, 68, 75, 87, 91, 93-94, 98, 100, 103-105, 107, 111-114. See also Honour of the Crown; Religious freedom and claims for Indigenous land rights; United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP)

# Constitution Act, 1982 — continued s. 35.1, 10

### Critical Path for achievement of Ten Principles, 143-145

### Daniels v. Canada (Indian Affairs and Northern Development) from a Métis Nation perspective

court decisions -Federal Court of Appeal, 98-101 Supreme Court of Canada, 101-106 trial decision, 97-98 declarations sought, 88-89 generally, 85-86, 113-114 implications of case legal implications, 106-110 practical implications, 110-113 Manitoba Métis Foundation v. Canada (Attorney General), 88-89, 94, 104, 105, 110, 111 Métis Nation's perspective, 89-94 MMF-Canada Framework Agreement, 112 parties and procedural history, 86-87 R. v. Powley, 91, 93, 94, 98, 100, 105, 107, 108 s. 91(24), 94-96

#### Delgamuukw v. British Columbia

duty to consult, 7 extinguishment of rights or title, 10 fiduciary relationship with all Aboriginal peoples, 105 interjurisdictional immunity, and, 5

# **Duty to consult**. See also United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP)

Crown deliberations, content and process — accommodation measures, identifying, 48-50 acknowledging right and negative impacts on right, 48 adequacy of consultation process, 47-48 generally, 46-47 providing reasons, 47

Delgamuukw v. British Columbia, 7

Gitxaala Nation v. Canada, 36-37

Ktunaxa Nation v. British Columbia, 35-37

process of engagement — framing matters for consultation, 43-44

INDEX 149

```
Duty to consult — continued
process of engagement — continued
initiating consultation, 42-43
resourcing consultation, 44-45
submissions and responses, 45-46
SCC provides clarity —
definition of Aboriginal and treaty rights, 40-42
delegation of duty, 39-40
generally, 37-39
uncertainty surrounding —
generally, 35, 36-37
ongoing, 50-52
```

## Duty to consult and the role of regulatory decision makers

```
Carrier Sekani —
generally, 68, 69-73
implications of decision, 73-75
Chippewas of the Thames First Nation v. Enbridge Pipelines Inc. —
generally, 80-82
implications of decision, 83
Clyde River (Hamlet) v. Petroleum Geo-Services Inc. —
generally, 75-80
implications of decision, 83
Crown proponents and duty to consult, 74-75
generally, 67-68
regulatory procedures and duty to consult, 73-74
```

#### Free, informed and prior consent (FPIC)

generally, 134-136, 139, 141, 142, 143 Indigenous veto, as, 135, 136, 138, 139, 143, 144

Gitxaala Nation v. Canada, 36-37, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45

Grassy Narrows First Nation v. Ontario (Natural Resources), 1, 6, 8-10, 38, 107

Guerin v. The Queen, 6, 7

*Haida Nation v. British Columbia (Minister of Forests)*, 7, 37, 40, 67, 70, 74, 76, 105, 107, 109, 122-124

#### Honour of the Crown, s. 35 and

Beckman v. Little Salmon/Carmacks First Nation, 7

#### Honour of the Crown, s. 35 and — continued

Guerin v. The Queen, 6, 7 Haida Nation v. British Columbia (Minister of Forests), 7 Manitoba Métis Federation v. Attorney General (Canada), 7-8 Mikisew Cree First Nation v. Canada (Minister of Canadian Heritage), 7 Sparrow test for determining whether law rendered inoperative by s. 35, 6-7

#### Indian Act

Bill C-31 - *An Act to amend the Indian Act*, 18 s. 6(1), 18-19, 20-22, 27, 28, 30-31 s. 6(2), 19-22, 27, 28 s. 88, 4-5, 6, 8 separation of band membership from status, 19 two-tiered system of status under, 18-19, 20

#### "Indian" defined

*Indian Act*, generally, 91 Métis and non-status Indians included, 85

#### Interjurisdictional immunity

application limited, 9 generally, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11

#### Jurisdiction vs. rights

historical jurisdictional framework — assimilation, 2

Ontario Mining Co. v. Seybold, 3
post-Confederation change, 3
Re Southern Rhodesia, 4
St Catherine's Milling, 3
treaties of cession, 2
Treaty 3, 3
honour of the Crown, s. 35 and, 6-8
pre-Sparrow Indigenous rights, 1-2
rights and duties framework, move to, 8-11
using jurisdictional framework to advance Indigenous rights, 4-5

INDEX 151

#### Keewatin v. Minister of Natural Resources, 8-10

*Ktunaxa Nation v. British Columbia*, 35-38, 40, 41, 42, 49, 50, 53-56. *See also* Duty to consult; Religious freedom and claims for Indigenous land rights

Manitoba Métis Foundation v. Canada (Attorney General), 88-89, 94, 104, 105, 110, 111

**Métis, defined.** See Daniels v. Canada (Indian Affairs and Northern Development)

Métis Nation and Daniels v. Canada. See Daniels v. Canada (Indian Affairs and Northern Development)

**Missing and murdered Indigenous women**. *See* Status and the crisis of missing and murdered Indigenous women

Nation-to-nation discussions, 15, 29, 30-31, 33, 39-40, 116-117, 120, 141-144, 145

"Practice, custom or tradition" requirement, 58-59

#### Recognition of treaty harvesting/hunting rights

R. v. Sioui, 4-5 R. v. White and Bob, 4 Simon v. The Queen, 4

**Reconciliation Doctrine**, 116, 117, 123, 124, 125, 131, 133, 134, 136, 138-144, 146

# Religious freedom and claims for Indigenous land rights (*Ktunaxa Nation v. British Columbia* case)

Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, 54, 60

Ktunaxa Nation v. British Columbia (Forests, Land, and Natural Resource Operations), background, 53-56
generally, 53-56, 64-66
practice, custom or tradition requirement, 58-59
reasons for arguing under religious freedom rather than under s. 35—failure of claim, 59-61
future claims, 61-64
generally, 56-59
religious geography, 56

# Religious freedom and claims for Indigenous land rights (Ktunaxa Nation v. British Columbia case) — continued

*United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples* (UNDRIP), 53, 55, 60, 61-63, 66

"Religious geography", 56

**R. v. Powley, legal test for establishing rights under s. 35**, 91, 93, 94, 98, 100, 105, 107, 108, 109, 110

#### R. v. Sparrow

pre-*Sparrow* Indigenous rights, 1-2 test for determining whether law rendered inoperative by s. 35, 6, 7, 9

R. v. Van der Peet, test in, 58-59, 109

#### Status and the crisis of missing and murdered Indigenous women

Bill S-3: *The Gender Equity in Indian Registration Act*, 27-33 generally, 13-15, 33

Indian status defined, 15-16

links between discrimination in status and violence against Indigenous women —

lack of status devalues women and their connection to First Nations, 24-27

lack of status means lack of access to important benefits, 23-24 lack of status means lack of right to live on reserve, 24

problems with status —

1985 Indian Act, 18-19, 20-23

addressing discrimination in status provisions, 18

colonialism, patriarchy and law pre-1985, 16-17

double mother rule, 17

generally, 16

second generation cut-off, 18-19

- s. 6(1) of the *Indian Act*, 18-19, 20-22, 27, 28, 30-31
- s. 6(2) of the *Indian Act*, 19-22, 27, 28

Ten Principles, 140, 141, 143-145

**Treaty 3**, 3, 8-9

#### Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia

duty to negotiate when Aboriginal rights engaged, 105-107 expense of pursuing claim, 58

INDEX 153

```
Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia — continued
generally, 1, 6, 8-10, 38
s. 91(24) and Aboriginal rights and title, 96
United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP),
  reconciliation with Canadian law
Annual General Assembly of the Assembly of First Nations (AFNAGA),
  140, 143
Bill C-262 -
  generally, 116-117, 127, 140, 143, 145
  s. 3, 133
Code of Indigenous rights —
  consultation and legal reconciliation with, 123-124
  generally, 117, 133-134
  preamble, 127, 133-134
  rendering Code consistent with Canadian law, 137-145
     Critical Path for achievement of Ten Principles, 143-145
     Sixth Principle, "free, informed and prior consent", and, 140-143
     Ten Principles, 140, 141, 143, 144, 145
consultation, future of, 145-146
Declaration Act —
  generally, 117, 135, 136, 137, 139, 145
  legal reconciliation program, 124
  ss. 4 and 5, 126
Declaration generally, 53, 55, 60, 61-63, 66
"free, informed and prior consent"
  generally, 134-136, 139, 141, 142, 143
  Indigenous veto, as, 135, 136, 138, 139, 143, 144
legal reconciliation —
  consultation and, 123-124
  defined, 115
  history, in, 124-127
Reconciliation Doctrine, 116, 117, 123, 124, 125, 131, 133, 134, 136, 138-
  144, 146
relationship between Indigenous and non-Indigenous Canadians —
  Calder v. British Columbia (Attorney General), 131
  history, 127-131
  White Paper, 130-131
Six Articles, "free, informed and prior consent", and, 134-137
social reconciliation, 115
```

White Paper, 130-131