

# Table of Contents

Acknowledgments . . . . .	iii
Foreword . . . . .	v
Also by Jeffrey Miller . . . . .	ix
Table of Cases . . . . .	xv
<b>Chapter One Overview . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter Two Judicial Notice, Definition and Scope . . . . .</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Guarding against facts as “opinions made dull” . . . . .	15
2.2 You say notice, I say knowledge; he says conusance, or maybe cognizance . . . . .	24
2.3 <i>Spence</i> and <i>Find</i> : The current Canadian position . . . . .	25
2.3(a) <i>R. v. Find</i> . . . . .	28
2.3(b) <i>R. v. Spence</i> : the Morgan criteria as “the gold standard” . . . . .	30
2.4 Intermingling theories: judicial notice from 1802 to the present . . . . .	34
2.4(a) Professor Thayer . . . . .	35
2.4(b) Professor Wigmore . . . . .	37
2.4(c) Professor Morgan . . . . .	38
2.4(d) Professor Davis . . . . .	42
2.4(e) Professors Monahan and Walker . . . . .	45
2.4(f) “Basic facts” . . . . .	47
2.5 What judicial notice of facts is not — at least sometimes . . . . .	48
2.5(a) Not always the consideration of “extrinsic evidence” . . . . .	48
2.5(b) Not inspection or real evidence, and not always common sense . . . . .	49
2.5(c) Judicial notice as presumption? . . . . .	53
2.6 Private knowledge and belief . . . . .	57
2.7 Noticing what we shouldn’t . . . . .	62

2.8	Judicial notice of amended propositions . . . . .	63
2.9	Who can take “judicial” notice . . . . .	63
	<b>Chapter Three What Everybody Knows, or Not . . . . .</b>	<b>71</b>
3.1	What not everybody knows (or: Shofar, not so good) . . . . .	71
3.2	Reasonable people taking trouble . . . . .	78
3.3	Time, tide, and culture. . . . .	81
3.4	Indisputable or rebuttable?: Is what we call “notorious” a fact, a presumption, or something else? . . . . .	86
	<b>Chapter Four Readily Accessible Sources of Indisputable Accuracy. . . . .</b>	<b>97</b>
4.1	The epistemological daisy chain. . . . .	97
4.2	The pause that refreshes – or not: the tribunal informing itself. . . . .	101
4.3	Internet research. . . . .	105
	<b>Chapter Five Facts That Are Not Always Factual: The Major Doctrinal Categories of Judicial Notice of Fact. . . . .</b>	<b>107</b>
5.1	Adjudicative “facts” (matters in issue). . . . .	107
5.2	Legislative “facts” (matters of law and policy) . . . . .	111
5.3	Social “facts” (Social framework matters, or matters of history, science, and sociology) . . . . .	117
5.4	Towards a more descriptive and comprehensible terminology . . . . .	118
	<b>Chapter Six The Dispositive (Core) Issue . . . . .</b>	<b>127</b>
6.1	What are dispositive adjudicative facts? . . . . .	127
6.1(a)	The legacy of <i>R. v. Zundel</i> . . . . .	127
6.1(b)	The dispositive issue in evolution . . . . .	134
	<b>Chapter Seven Constitutional Cases . . . . .</b>	<b>137</b>
	<b>Chapter Eight “Facts” of Cases on Appeal. . . . .</b>	<b>145</b>
	<b>Chapter Nine Noticing the Risks: Some Dangers Inherent in the Doctrine. . . . .</b>	<b>155</b>
9.1	The rules of evidence are primarily salutary . . . . .	155
9.2	The unknown knows: when what we accept as fact isn’t notorious, or necessarily factual. . . . .	156
9.3	Unwarranted judicial activism, a.k.a. social engineering. . . . .	157

<b>Chapter Ten Procedural Considerations: A Checklist . . . . .</b>	<b>165</b>
10.1 At first instance . . . . .	165
10.1.1 Is the matter in issue law? . . . . .	165
10.1.2 Is the matter presented as factual? If so: . . . . .	166
10.2 Matters on appeal or review . . . . .	169
10.3 Judicial notice and the internet . . . . .	169
10.4 This author's suggestions as to terminology . . . . .	170
<b>Chapter Eleven Notice of What?: A Selection of Categories and Instances of Judicial Notice . . . . .</b>	<b>171</b>
11.1 Law . . . . .	171
11.2 Facts . . . . .	184
11.3 Judicial Notice Database . . . . .	185
<i>Appendix I</i> "Ballade of Judicial Notice" . . . . .	241
<i>Appendix II</i> From Bill S-33, An Act to give effect, for Canada, to the Uniform Evidence Act, adopted by the Uniform Law Conference of Canada, as it was tabled in the Canadian Senate in 1982, first session (1980/04/14- 1983/11/30) . . . . .	243
<i>Appendix III</i> From the Law Reform Commission of Canada's model evidence code (Evidence: Study Papers, July 1973) . . . . .	245
Index . . . . .	249