	(iii) Ambiguity	27A-68			
	(c) Where Decision Not Yet Made, Is a Nullity, Or An Issue				
	Remains Outstanding	27A-70			
	(i) Reconsidering Before Final Decision Made				
	(ii) Reserving Jurisdiction				
	(iii) Compliance With Procedural and Form Requirements				
	(iv) No Jurisdiction to Make Order				
	(ν) Failure to Comply With Natural Justice or Fairness				
	(vi) Failure to Dispose of Issue				
	(vii) Who Determines Integrity of Original Order				
	(d) Fraud and Other Circumstances Undermining Integrity	27A-102			
	(i) Fraud				
	(ii) Other Circumstances Undermining the Integrity of the				
	Process	27A-108			
27A.5	Agency Reconsideration and Judicial Review				
	Chapter 27A Update	27A Update-1			
	CHAPTER 27B				
	External Review of Agency Decisions:				
	Petitions to Cabinet				
27B.1	Petitions To Cabinet	27B-1			
27B.2	The Legal Characteristics Of A Petition To Cabinet				
27B.3	Provisions For Petitions				
27B.4	Concerns Respecting Petitions To Cabinet				
27B.5	Form				
27B.6	Parties To Petition Proceedings	27B-17			
	CHAPTER 25C				
	CHAPTER 27C				
	External Review of Agency Decisions:				
	Appeals to Court				
27C.1	Existence Of Right To Appeal To A Court	27C-1			
27C.2	What Can Be Achieved By Appealing A Decision				
	(a) Securing Delay By Appealing A Decision (Stays)				
	(i) Where To Look To Determine If Appeal Stays Decision				
	(ii) Effect Of Stay	27C-8			
	(iii) Test For Stay	27C-8			
	(b) Securing Redress Through Appeal (Standard of Review)	27C-9			
	(i) Application of Dunsmuir Standard Of Review Approa	ch To			
	Statutory Appeals				
	(ii) Dunsmuir Standard Of Review	27C-14			
27C.3	The Extent Of A Right To Appeal				
27C.4	Seeking Appeal Before Agency Proceedings Are Completed				
	(a) Prematurity				
	(b) Appeal Of Interim and Final Decisions	27C-20			

27C.5	Who Can Appeal	27C-21
	(a) Entities Which Are Directly Affected	
	(b) Ability Of Agency To Appeal Or Participate On Appeal	27C-23
27C.5A	Interventions	27C-29
27C.6	Leave To Appeal	27C-29
27C.7	Timing and Time Limits	27C-35
	(a) Appeal Should Be Brought Respecting Decision Which	
	First Embodies Decision Sought To Be Appealed	27C-35
	(b) Mandatory or Directory Time Limit To Appeal Decision	27C-37
	(c) When Does A Time Limit To Appeal Begin To Run	27C-38
	(d) Authority To Extend Time Limits To Bring Appeal	27C-40
	(i) Existence of Authority To Extend Time Limits To Ap	peal 27C-40
	(ii) Test Respecting Extension of Time To Appeal	27C-43
27C.8	Raising New Issues Or Admitting New Evidence on Appeal	27C-45
	(a) Raising New Issues on Appeal	27C-45
	(b) Admitting New Evidence On Appeal	
27C.9	Nature of Appeal: De Novo or Traditional "On the Record"	27C-56
27C.10	Appellate Review of Discretion	27C-64
27C.11	Burden Of Proof On Appeal	
	Chapter 27C Update	27C Update-1
	CHAPTER 28 External Review of Agency Decisions: Judicial Review	
20.1	Nature Course and Donness of Laborat Davison	20.1
28.1 28.2	Nature, Source and Purpose of Judicial Review	
20.2	(a) Ability Of State To Limit Of Stream Judicial Review	
	(a) Ability Of State To Stream or Designate Review Process	
28.3	Matters Susceptible To Judicial Review	
26.3	(a) Judicial Review Is Available Only Respecting State Action	
	(b) Judicial Review Concerned Only With State Action Of A	28-10
	Public Nature	29 17
		28-17
	(c) Judicial Review Only Concerned With Questions Which Are Justiciable	29.24
	(i) Decisions Which The Courts Are Precluded From	20-24
	Interfering With Out Of Respect For	
	Constitutional Roles Assigned To Them And	
	The Executive	28.26
	(ii) Decisions Which Are Purely Political In Nature	
	(iii) Statutory Provisions Which Are Intended To Be	20-31
	Enforced Through The Legislature Rather	
	Than The Courts	28_27
	(iv) The Purely Internal Matters Of Religion Which	20-37
	Do Not Intrude Upon Civil Rights,	
	Contract Or Property Rights	28_30
	Continue Of Fighty Mights	0-33

	(d) Matter Must Raise A Ground Of Review Recognized	
	By Administrative Law	28-40
	(e) Judicial Review Only Concerned With State Actions That	
	Have An Actual Impact	28-41
28.4	The Discretionary Nature Of Judicial Review	
	(a) Court Has Discretion To Intervene Through Judicial Review	
	(b) Intervention Through Judicial Review Must Serve Some Purpose.	
	(c) Raising An Issue For The First Time On Judicial Review	
	(d) Unreasonable Delay In Seeking Judicial Review	
	(e) Prematurity	
	(i) Court Will Not Entertain Judicial Review Where	
	It Is Premature To Do So	28-51
	(ii) Reasons Underlying Prematurity Principle	
	(iii) Adequate Alternative Remedy	
	(A) Judicial Reluctance To Intervene Through	
	Judicial Review Where An Alternative Route	
	Capable of Providing An	
	Adequate Remedy Exists	28-67
	(B) Where An Express Statutory Process Exists To	20 07
	Review Decision	28-68
	(C) Where Agency Proceedings Still Underway	
	(D) Jurisdictional or Fairness Errors Are	20 72
	No Exception To Prematurity	28-74 1
	(E) Alternative Route Of Review Must Be Adequate	
	(iv) The Reasonableness Approach To Prematurity	
28.5	Judicial Review As A Precondition To Other Actions	
28.6	The Roots Of Judicial Review: The Prerogative Remedies	
28.7	Standard Of Review	
20.7	(a) What Is "Standard Of Review"	
	(b) The Shifting Concept Of Standard Of Review	
	(i) Standard of Review Evolved Over Time	
	(ii) Reviewing Court Must Correctly Identify	20 12)
	Standard of Review	28-130
	(c) Why Is There A Shifting Concept Of	20 130
	Standard Of Review	28_133
	(d) Privative Clauses	
	(e) The Eras Leading Up To <i>Dunsmuir</i>	
	(i) The "Let Live" Period: 1900 - 1945	
	(ii) The Interventionist Period	
	(iii) The Curial Deference Period	
	(iv) The Pragmatic and Functional Period	
	(A) Who is Best Suited to Decide?	
	(B) Formalization Of Three Standards Of Review	
	(C) Getting to the Correct Standard:	20-133
		28 155
	The Pragmatic and Functional Approach (D) The Pragmatic And Functional Approach —	20-133
	(D) The Pragmatic And Functional Approach — "The Emperor's New Clothes"	28 162
28.8	The Dunsmuir Era	
40.0	THE DUNSHUU EIA	20-103

	(a)	Rejection Of The Pragmatic and Functional
		Standard of Review Analysis28-166
	(b)	Dunsmuir: Overview
28.9	Dui	nsmuir: The Two Standards Of Review Under Dunsmuir:
		Correctness and Reasonableness
	(a)	Dunsmuir: What Is Meant By The Correctness
	` ′	Standard Of Review
	(b)	What Is Meant By The Reasonableness Standard Of Review28-174
	(c)	
		What Falls Outside of Reasonableness
		Examples Of Errors Leading To Finding Of Unreasonableness28-185
	(0)	(i) Failing To Take Into Account A Relevant
		Consideration Or Criteria
		(ii) Failing To Determine An Essential Aspect Of The Case28-186
		(iii) Failing To Take Into Account Relevant Facts28-186
		(iv) Reaching Conclusions On An Insufficient, Incorrect,
		Or Irrelevant Evidentiary Basis
		(v) Misunderstanding Material Pieces Of Evidence
		(vi) Requiring An Undue Amount Of Evidence To
		Establish A Fact (I.E. Adopting An Incorrect
		Standard Of Proof)
		(vii) Failing to Properly Apply Principles Respecting
		Legislative Interpretation
		(viii) Interpreting Legislation In A Way That Would
		Enable It To Operate Beyond Its Intended Purposes28-191
		(ix) Issuing An Unclear Order Or Order Which Is
		Impossible To Comply With Is Unreasonable28-193
		(x) Misunderstanding Or Misapplication Of A Legal Principle28-194
		(xi) Absence Of Any Comprehensible Reasons For Decision 28-195
	(f)	Error Must Be Material To Make Decision Unreasonable28-196
	(g)	Reasoning As Well As Conclusion Must Be Reasonable28-197
	(h)	•
		Decision Is Reasonable
	(i)	The Precedential Value Of A Finding Of Reasonableness 28-202.1
28.10	Dui	nsmuir: Determining The Appropriate Standard Of Review 28-202.9
	(a)	Reviewing Court Must Still Be Correct In Its Determination of
		Appropriate Standard Of Review
	(b)	Different Standards May Apply To Different Issues
		Within One Decision
	(c)	Legislature Can Direct Appropriate Standard28-202.10
		Agreement Of The Parties28-204
	(e)	
		Into Correctness
	(f)	Dunsmuir's Two Steps To Determining Standard of Review28-218
	(g)	Step One: Earlier Jurisprudence
	(h)	Step Two: Analysis Of Relevant Factors
	(i)	Application Of <i>Dunsmuir</i> To Statutory Appeals28-229
	(j)	Dunsmuir And Other Forms Of Review
	97	201

		(<i>i</i>)	References To Court And Stated Cases	28-231
		(ii)	Statutory Reviews	28-232
		(iii)	Civil Suits For Damages	28-233
28.11	Det	ermini	ng Deference On The Basis Of The Nature Of The Question	
	(a)		retionary Decisions	
		(<i>i</i>)	Determination as to Existence or Extent of Discretion	
		(ii)	Identification/Application Of Legal Principles	
			Respecting Discretion	28-237
		(iii)	Merits Of The Discretionary Decision	
	(b)	Ques	tions Of Fact	
		(<i>i</i>)	What Is A Question Of Fact	28-244
		(ii)	Standard Of Review Respecting Questions Of Fact	28-246
	(c)	Mixe	d Questions Of Fact And Law	
		(<i>i</i>)	What Is A Mixed Question Of Fact And Law	
		(ii)	Standard of Review Respecting Mixed Questions	
			Of Fact And Law	28-258
	(d)	Ques	tions Of Natural Justice And Fairness	
		(<i>i</i>)	Fairness Questions Subject To Correctness Standard	28-260
		(ii)	Should Fairness Questions Be Subject To	
			Reasonableness Standard	28-264
		(iii)	Statutory Procedure Requirements	28-273
	(e)	Ques	tions Of Law	
		(<i>i</i>)	Question of Law Generally (Including Agency's	
			Interpretation Of Its Own Or Related Legislation)	28-276
		(ii)	What Legislation Is Closely Connected To	
			An Agency's Functions	28-286
		(iii)	Presumption Of Reasonableness Standard Can Be Rebutted	28-288
		(iv)	Application Of Presumption Of Deference	
			Re Questions Of Law To Agencies Other Than	
			Formal Adjudicative Tribunals	28-295
		(v)	Deference Re Questions Of Law Does Not Mean	
			"Anything Goes"	28-300.3
		(vi)	Deference To Agency's Interpretation Of Own Statute	
			When Offered In Argument	28-301
		(vii)	Concerns Respecting Presumption Of Deference For Agency	y
			Interpretation Of Law	28-302
	(f)	Cons	titutional Questions	28-312
		(<i>i</i>)	Agency Determinations Of Constitutionality	
			Respecting The Actions Of Others —	
			Including Legislation	28-314
		(ii)	Whether Agency Decisions Properly Reflect	
			Charter Values	28-318
	(g)	Ques	tions Of True Jurisdiction	28-329
		(<i>i</i>)	Standard Of Review Respecting	
			True Jurisdictional Questions	28-329
		(ii)	What Are Questions Of True Jurisdiction	28-330
		(iii)	What Does The Judicial Review Concept Of	
			True Jurisdiction Mean At The Agency Level	28-348

	(h)	Questions Of Law Of Central Importance To The Legal System	
		As A Whole And Outside Of Agency's Expertise	28-350
		(i) Standard Of Review Respecting Questions Of Law	
		Of Central Importance To The Legal System	
		As A Whole	28-351
		(ii) What Is A Question Of Central Importance To	
		The Legal System As A Whole?	28-351
		(iii) Cases Illustrating Questions Of Law Of	
		Central Importance To The Legal System	
		As A Whole	28-364
		(iv) Question Must Also Fall Outside Of Agency's Expertise	28-369
	(i)	Questions Drawing Jurisdictional Lines Between Expert Agencies	28-373
28.12	The	Other Three Dunsmuir Factors	
	(a)	The Presence Or Absence Of A Privative Clause	28-375
	(b)	The Purpose Of The Tribunal As Determined By	
		The Interpretation Of Enabling Legislation	28-379
		The Expertise Of The Tribunal	
28.13	The	Existence Of A Right Of Appeal As A Dunsmuir Factor	28-387
28.14	Jud	icial Stays Of On-Going Agency Proceedings	
		Pending Judicial Review	28-391
	(a)	Must Seek Stay To Suspend Agency Proceedings Or Order	28-391
	(b)	Act Promptly To Secure Stay	28-394
	(c)	The Test For A Stay	28-396
	(d)	Same Test For Injunctive Relief	28-397
	(e)	The Individual Criteria That Must Be Met To Secure A Stay	
		(i) Serious Question	28-398
		(ii) Irreparable Harm	
		(iii) Balance of Convenience	
28.15		sing New Issues On Judicial Review	
28.16	Age	ency Participation In A Judicial Review Of Its Own Decision	
	(a)	•	
	(b)		
	(c)		
	(d)	The Liberal Approach To Agency Participation	28-425
		(i) The Road To The Liberal Approach To	
		Agency Participation	28-426
		(ii) Cases Illustrating The Application Of The	
		Liberal Approach To Agency Participation	
		Prior To Ontario Energy Board	28-436
		(iii) The Liberal Approach As Embodied In	
		Supreme Court Of Canada Decision In	
		Ontario Energy Board	28-440
		(iv) Addressing The Merits Of A Decision Under	
		Ontario Energy Board	
•0.4=		Agency Interventions And Costs	
28.17		ervenors	
28.18		ice of Constitutional Question	
	(a)	Legislative Requirements for Notice of Constitutional Questions	28-456

	(b)	Failure to Give Notice as Required	28-464
28.19	Evi	dence on Judicial Review	28-47
	(a)	Admissibility Driven By Purpose Of Judicial Review	28-471
	(b)	Evidence From The Record	
		(i) The Common Law Meaning Of Record	28-47
		(ii) Legislated Definition of Record	
	(c)	Evidence From Outside of the Record	
	. ,	(i) Evidence From Outside The Record To Establish	
		Errors That Cannot Be Ascertained From	
		Only The Record Itself	28-496
		(ii) Evidence To Better Understand The Record/Issues	
		(iii) Fresh Evidence Where Necessary	
		"In The Interests Of Justice"	28-502
	(d)	Mechanism For Bringing Forward Evidence Which Is	
	()	Not Evident On The Record	28-503
	(e)		
	(f)	Evidence From the Decision-maker	
	(-)	(i) Common Law Privilege Against Testimonial Compulsion	
		(ii) Which Agencies Enjoy Common Law Privilege	
		(iii) What Constitutes A Prima Facie Case	
		(iv) Statutory Testimonial Privilege	
		(v) Decision-Maker's Personal Notes	
		(A) Personal Notes Should Share In Relevant	0 0
		Privilege Against Testimonial Immunity	. 28-527
		(B) Access Legislation and Personal Notes	
28.20	Ou	ashing the Decision	
20.20		Remitting the Matter to the Agency	
		Court Makes the Decision Itself.	
		Court Prohibits Further Proceedings Respecting the Matter	
		Court Makes No Direction Respecting	20 3 1
	(u)	Consequences of Quashing	28-54
	(e)	Partial Quashing of Decision	
28.21		icial Review in the Federal Courts	
20.21		Introduction and Jurisdiction	
		What Constitutes a Federal Board, Commission or Other Tribunal	
		Agencies Subject To Review By The Federal Court	
		Agencies Subject To Review By Federal Court of Appeal	
	(e)		
	(0)	(i) Jurisdiction Granted By The Federal Courts Act	
		(ii) Continued Judicial Review Authority Of	20-301
		The Provincial Superior Courts	28-565
		(A) Where Jurisdiction Not Transferred To Federal Courts	28-56
		(B) Where Federal Parliament Lacked	20-300
		Constitutional Authority To Transfer	
		Jurisdiction To Federal Courts	28 570
			20-3/(
		(iii) Where Concurrent Jurisdiction Is Shared By Federal And Provincial Superior Courts	28 577
	(£)		
	(f)	Grounds for Review in the Federal Courts	40-J14.3

	(g) Remedies	28-575
	(h) Interim Relief	
	(i) Appeal Rights Bar Judicial Review	28-579
	Chapter 28 Update	
	CHAPTER 29	
	Implied Powers of an Agency	
29.1	The Necessity for a Grant of Authority	29-1
29.2	Determining an Implied Grant of Authority	29-3
29.3	Determining the Practical Necessity	
	Chapter 29 Update	
	CHAPTED 20A	
	CHAPTER 29A	
	Contempt	
29A.1	Introduction	29A-1
29A.2	Definition	29A-3
29A.3	Civil and Criminal Contempt	29A-4
	(a) Types of Criminal Contempt	29A-8
	(b) Matters Which are not Criminal Contempts	
29A.4	Contempt Before (In facie) and Outside of (Ex facie) the Court	
	(a) Contempt in the Presence of the Court	
	(b) Contempt out of the Presence of the Court	
	(c) Failure to Obey Orders	
	(i) Third Parties	
	(ii) Where Order Not Formally Issued	
	(iii) Void Orders and the Rule Against Collateral Attack	
29A.5	Contempt Power of Administrative Agencies	
	(a) Sources of Contempt Authority	29A-39
	(b) Agency Contempt Power Usually Expressed in Terms of	
	Courts of Record	
	(i) Types of Courts	29A-40
	(ii) Common Law Power of Courts of Record to Cite For	20.4.42
	Contempt	29A-43
	(c) Agency Power of Contempt Contingent on Statutory	20 4 42
29A.6	Grant	
29A.0	Development of Agency Contempt Power In Canada	29A-43
	Interpretation of Grant of "Powers of Supreme	
	Court"	20 / 44
	(b) Re Hawkins and Halifax County Residential Tenancies Commi	
	Philosophy Behind Restrictive Approach	
	(c) Canadian Broadcasting Corp. v. Cordeau: Supreme Court of	43A-40
	Canada Endorses Restrictive Approach	29A-47