

# Table of Contents

|                                |        |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| <b>Barney Sneiderman</b> ..... | iii    |
| <b>Preface</b> .....           | v      |
| <b>Authors</b> .....           | vii    |
| <b>Table of Cases</b> .....    | xxxvii |

## PART I GENERAL PRINCIPLES

|                  |   |                   |    |
|------------------|---|-------------------|----|
| <i>Chapter 1</i> | <b>Introduction to the Legal Process: A Case of Medical Malpractice</b> | Professor Irvine  |    |
|                  | SUMMARY .....   |                   | 1  |
|                  | CASE STUDY: <i>MacDonald v. York County Hospital</i> .....              |                   | 2  |
|                  | 1. INTRODUCTION.....  |                   | 2  |
|                  | 2. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS.....                                      |                   | 3  |
|                  | 3. PLEADINGS.....   |                   | 4  |
|                  | 4. DISCOVERY .....  |                   | 6  |
|                  | 5. PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS .....  |                   | 8  |
|                  | 6. PREPARATION FOR TRIAL.....   |                   | 8  |
|                  | 7. PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE .....   |                   | 10 |
|                  | 8. THE TRIAL.....   |                   | 10 |
|                  | (a) Facts.....  |                   | 10 |
|                  | (b) The Law.....  |                   | 12 |
|                  | 9. COURT OF APPEAL.....   |                   | 15 |
|                  | 10. SUPREME COURT OF CANADA .....                                       |                   | 17 |
|                  | 11. CONCLUSION .....  |                   | 17 |
| <i>Chapter 2</i> | <b>Consent to Treatment</b>   | Professor Osborne |    |
|                  | SUMMARY .....   |                   | 19 |
|                  | CASE STUDY: <i>Allan v. New Mount Sinai Hospital</i> .....              |                   | 19 |
|                  | 1. INTRODUCTION.....  |                   | 20 |
|                  | 2. BATTERY: A GENERAL DEFINITION.....                                   |                   | 20 |
|                  | 3. BATTERY AND MEDICAL TREATMENT .....                                  |                   | 21 |
|                  | 4. BATTERY AND NEGLIGENCE: A LAWYER'S PERSPECTIVE .....                 |                   | 23 |
|                  | 5. EXPRESS AND IMPLIED CONSENT.....                                     |                   | 23 |
|                  | 6. PROOF OF CONSENT.....  |                   | 24 |
|                  | 7. EMERGENCY TREATMENT.....   |                   | 26 |
|                  | 8. EXTENDED PROCEDURES.....   |                   | 26 |
|                  | 9. CONDITIONAL CONSENT .....  |                   | 28 |
|                  | 10. REVOCATION AND REFUSAL OF CONSENT.....                              |                   | 30 |

x Table of Contents

|                  |  |    |
|------------------|--|----|
|                  | 11. VOLUNTARY CONSENT .....  | 31 |
|                  | 12. CONSENT TO STERILIZATION .....   | 32 |
|                  | 13. CAPACITY TO CONSENT .....  | 32 |
|                  | 14. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVES IN ONTARIO AND<br>BRITISH COLUMBIA .....                 | 33 |
|                  | 15. CONCLUSION .....   | 34 |
| <i>Chapter 3</i> | <b>The Consent of Minors</b> Professor Osborne                                       |    |
|                  | SUMMARY .....  | 37 |
|                  | CASE STUDY: <i>Johnston v. Wellesley Hospital</i> .....                              | 38 |
|                  | CASE STUDY: <i>C. (J.S.) v. Wren</i> .....   | 38 |
|                  | 1. INTRODUCTION .....  | 38 |
|                  | 2. COMMON LAW .....  | 40 |
|                  | (a) Infants (Children up to the Age of Approximately<br>Six) .....                   | 40 |
|                  | (b) Immature Minors .....  | 43 |
|                  | (c) Mature Minors .....  | 43 |
|                  | 3. LEGISLATIVE INTERVENTION .....  | 49 |
|                  | 4. DEFENSIVE PRACTICE .....  | 51 |
|                  | 5. CONCLUSION .....  | 51 |
| <i>Chapter 4</i> | <b>Informed Consent</b> Professor Osborne  |    |
|                  | SUMMARY .....  | 55 |
|                  | CASE STUDY: <i>Reibl v. Hughes</i> .....   | 56 |
|                  | CASE STUDY: <i>Ferguson v. Hamilton Civil Hospitals</i> .....                        | 56 |
|                  | CASE STUDY: <i>Haughian v. Paine</i> .....   | 57 |
|                  | 1. INTRODUCTION .....  | 57 |
|                  | 2. BATTERY OR NEGLIGENCE? .....  | 58 |
|                  | 3. THE NEGLIGENCE MODEL: <i>REIBL v. HUGHES</i> .....                                | 59 |
|                  | 4. DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS SINCE <i>REIBL v.</i><br><i>HUGHES</i> .....              | 62 |
|                  | 5. CAUSATION SINCE <i>REIBL v. HUGHES</i> .....                                      | 64 |
|                  | 6. SPECIAL SITUATIONS .....  | 66 |
|                  | (a) Cosmetic Surgery and Procedures .....  | 66 |
|                  | (b) The Inquisitive Patient .....  | 67 |
|                  | (c) Waiver of the Right to Know .....  | 67 |
|                  | (d) Therapeutic Privilege .....  | 68 |
|                  | (e) Medical Research .....   | 68 |
|                  | (f) Innovative Procedures .....  | 69 |
|                  | (g) Reproductive Choice .....  | 71 |
|                  | 7. THE IMPACT OF THE DOCTRINE OF INFORMED<br>CONSENT ON THE ROLE OF PHYSICIANS ..... | 71 |
|                  | (a) The Physician as Teacher .....   | 71 |

|                  |   |     |
|------------------|---|-----|
|                  | (b) The Physician's Duty to Know the Patient.....   | 72  |
|                  | (c) The Physician as Adviser.....   | 73  |
|                  | (d) The Physician and Errors, Blunders and<br>Misadventures in the Course of Treatment..... | 74  |
|                  | (e) The Physician's Professional and Personal<br>Attributes.....                            | 74  |
|                  | 8. CONCLUSION.....  | 75  |
| <i>Chapter 5</i> | <b>Medical Negligence: An Overview</b> Professor Irvine                                     |     |
|                  | SUMMARY .....   | 79  |
|                  | CASE STUDY: <i>Carlsen v. Southerland</i> (British Columbia<br>2006) .....                  | 80  |
|                  | CASE STUDY: <i>Reynard v. Carr</i> .....  | 81  |
|                  | CASE STUDY: <i>Cabral v. Gupta</i> .....  | 82  |
|                  | 1. THE CONCEPT OF ACTIONABLE NEGLIGENCE.....  | 83  |
| <i>Chapter 6</i> | <b>Medical Negligence: The Essential Elements</b> Professor Irvine                          |     |
|                  | SUMMARY .....   | 91  |
|                  | 1. THE DUTY OF CARE.....  | 92  |
|                  | 2. BREACH OF THE DUTY OF CARE:<br>THE "STANDARD OF CARE" QUESTION.....                      | 101 |
|                  | 3. DAMAGES.....   | 113 |
|                  | (a) The Issue of Causation-in-fact.....   | 114 |
|                  | (b) Remoteness of Damage: The Issue of "Proximate<br>Cause".....                            | 121 |
|                  | (c) The Evaluation of Legally Protected Interests:<br>The Question of Quantum .....         | 126 |
|                  | (d) The Concept of Vicarious Liability .....  | 130 |
| <i>Chapter 7</i> | <b>Medical Negligence: Defences and Problems of Proof</b> Professor Irvine                  |     |
|                  | SUMMARY .....   | 139 |
|                  | 1. DEFENCES .....   | 139 |
|                  | 2. HOW NEGLIGENCE IS PROVED.....  | 141 |
| <i>Chapter 8</i> | <b>Medical Negligence in Specific Contexts</b> Professor Irvine                             |     |
|                  | SUMMARY .....   | 153 |
|                  | 1. INTRODUCTION.....  | 153 |
|                  | 2. THE MULTIPLE ASPECTS OF THE DUTY OF CARE<br>AND SKILL .....                              | 154 |
|                  | (a) The Duty to Attend the Patient .....  | 154 |
|                  | (b) The Duty to Diagnose.....   | 159 |

xii Table of Contents

|                   |  |                   |
|-------------------|--|-------------------|
|                   | (c) The Duty to Refer .....  | 167               |
|                   | (d) The Duty to Treat and to Provide Adequate<br>Aftercare .....       | 168               |
|                   | (e) The Duty to Communicate with Other Involved<br>Professionals ..... | 170               |
|                   | (f) The Duty to Keep Full and Accurate Records .....                   | 172               |
|                   | (g) The Duty to Supervise Colleagues .....                             | 173               |
|                   | 3. CONCLUSION .....  | 174               |
| <br>              |  |                   |
| <i>Chapter 9</i>  | <b>Nursing Liability</b> .....   | Professor Irvine  |
|                   | SUMMARY .....  | 179               |
|                   | 1. THE CHANGED FACE OF THE NURSING<br>PROFESSION .....                 | 179               |
|                   | 2. THE NURSE AND THE TORT OF BATTERY .....                             | 183               |
|                   | 3. THE NURSE AND THE INFORMED CONSENT<br>DOCTRINE .....                | 185               |
|                   | 4. THE NURSE AND THE LAW OF<br>NEGLIGENCE .....                        | 188               |
|                   | 5. THE NURSE AND MEDICAL RECORDS .....                                 | 197               |
|                   | 6. THE NURSE'S OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES .....                            | 203               |
| <br>              |  |                   |
| <i>Chapter 10</i> | <b>Compensation for Medical Injuries: An Uncertain Future</b> .....    | Professor Osborne |
|                   | SUMMARY .....  | 211               |
|                   | CASE STUDY: <i>Ferguson v. Hamilton Civic Hospitals</i> .....          | 212               |
|                   | 1. INTRODUCTION .....  | 213               |
|                   | 2. ACCIDENT COMPENSATION: THE BROAD<br>PICTURE .....                   | 214               |
|                   | 3. COMPENSATION FOR THE VICTIMS OF MEDICAL<br>ACCIDENTS .....          | 216               |
|                   | 4. MEDICAL ACCIDENTS AND THE FAULT SYSTEM:<br>AN APPRAISAL .....       | 216               |
|                   | (a) In Defence of the Fault System .....                               | 217               |
|                   | (i) Compensation .....   | 217               |
|                   | (ii) Punishment .....  | 217               |
|                   | (iii) Deterrence .....   | 218               |
|                   | (iv) Appeasement and Vindication .....                                 | 218               |
|                   | (v) Education .....  | 218               |
|                   | (b) The Fault System Under Attack .....                                | 219               |
|                   | (i) The Fault System as a Deterrent .....                              | 219               |
|                   | (ii) Fault as a Compensatory Vehicle .....                             | 220               |
|                   | (A) The Irrationality of Fault as the Basis of<br>Compensation .....   | 220               |

|    |   |     |
|----|---|-----|
|    | (B) The Cost of the Fault System.....   | 220 |
|    | (C) The Limited Range of Injured Patients<br>Covered .....                                      | 221 |
|    | (D) The Risks of Litigation .....   | 221 |
|    | (E) Lump Sum Awards.....  | 222 |
|    | (F) Delay.....  | 223 |
| 5. | MEDICAL ACCIDENTS: POLICY CHOICES.....  | 224 |
|    | (a) Reform of the Tort System .....   | 225 |
|    | (b) The No-Fault Option .....   | 227 |
|    | (c) First Party Life and Disability Insurance.....  | 228 |
|    | (d) The New Zealand and Swedish Models .....  | 229 |
|    | (i) New Zealand .....   | 229 |
|    | (ii) Sweden.....  | 231 |
| 6. | THE REPORT ON LIABILITY AND<br>COMPENSATION IN HEALTH CARE: TOWARDS A<br>CANADIAN SOLUTION..... | 232 |
|    | (a) Principal Findings.....   | 233 |
|    | (b) Recommendations on Reform by the Current Fault/<br>Insurance System.....                    | 233 |
|    | (c) No-Fault Compensation for Serious Avoidable<br>Health Care Injuries.....                    | 234 |
| 7. | CONCLUSION.....   | 235 |

|                   |  |                  |
|-------------------|--|------------------|
| <i>Chapter 11</i> | <b>The Physician's Other Duties: Good Faith, Loyalty and<br/>Confidentiality</b> | Professor Irvine |
|                   | SUMMARY .....  | 237              |
|                   | 1. THE DUTY TO PRESERVE CONFIDENTIALITY.....                                     | 238              |
|                   | 2. EXCEPTIONS TO THE DUTY OF<br>NON-DISCLOSURE.....                              | 249              |
|                   | (a) Disclosure Within a Court of Law .....                                       | 249              |
|                   | (b) Disclosure Outside a Court of Law .....                                      | 250              |
|                   | (i) Statutory Duties of Disclosure .....   | 250              |
|                   | (ii) Where Countervailing Public Interest Dictates<br>Disclosure .....           | 252              |
|                   | (iii) Where the Patient Expressly or Impliedly<br>Consents .....                 | 257              |
|                   | 3. CONFIDENTIALITY AND PATIENT RECORDS.....                                      | 259              |

|                   |   |  |
|-------------------|---|--|
| <i>Chapter 12</i> | <b>Public Health Law</b>                  | Jennifer Schulz, B.A., LL.B., M. Phil. |
|                   | SUMMARY .....                             | 269                                    |
|                   | 1. INTRODUCTION .....                     | 269                                    |
|                   | 2. DUTIES OF MEDICAL HEALTH OFFICERS..... | 270                                    |
|                   | (a) The Duty to Report.....               | 271                                    |

xiv Table of Contents

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| (b) The Duty of Confidentiality.....                   | 271 |
| 3. NOTIFICATION.....                                   | 272 |
| (a) Contact Notification.....                          | 272 |
| (b) Managing Contact Notification through Mediation .. | 273 |
| 4. POWERS OF MEDICAL HEALTH OFFICERS.....              | 274 |
| (a) The Power to Examine .....                         | 274 |
| (b) The Power to Enter .....                           | 275 |
| (c) The Power to Detain.....                           | 276 |
| (d) The Power to Isolate .....                         | 277 |
| (e) The Power to Close .....                           | 278 |
| 5. PENALTY PROVISIONS .....                            | 278 |
| (a) Public Health Law .....                            | 278 |
| (b) Criminal Law.....                                  | 279 |
| (i) Section 180.....                                   | 279 |
| (ii) Section 221.....                                  | 280 |
| (iii) Section 273.....                                 | 281 |
| 6. CONCLUSION.....                                     | 283 |

*Chapter 13* **Law And Mental Illness in Canada**      Professor Carver

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| SUMMARY .....  | 291 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION .....  | 293 |
| (a) An Overview of Canadian Mental Health Law .....              | 293 |
| (b) The Historical and Social Context.....                       | 295 |
| 2. INVOLUNTARY COMMITTAL .....                                   | 297 |
| (a) Procedural Requirements.....                                 | 298 |
| (i) Apprehension for Purpose of Assessment.....                  | 298 |
| (ii) Admission to Hospital.....                                  | 299 |
| (iii) Tribunal Review of Committal .....                         | 299 |
| (b) Substantive Requirements .....                               | 300 |
| (i) Presence of a Mental Disorder.....                           | 301 |
| (ii) Need for Psychiatric Treatment .....                        | 302 |
| (iii) Harm Criteria .....  | 302 |
| (iv) Not suitable for Voluntary Admission.....                   | 305 |
| (v) Capacity to Consent to Treatment.....                        | 305 |
| 3. CONSENT TO TREATMENT .....                                    | 305 |
| (a) General Principles Governing Consent to<br>Treatment.....    | 306 |
| (b) Consent to Psychiatric Treatment.....                        | 307 |
| (i) Ontario: Recognition of a Right to Refuse<br>Treatment ..... | 308 |
| CASE STUDY: <i>Starson v. Swayze</i> .....                       | 310 |
| (ii) No Right to Refuse Treatment (British<br>Columbia) .....    | 313 |

|       |  |     |
|-------|--|-----|
| (iii) | Right to Refuse, Subject to Best Interests<br>Override (Alberta, Manitoba, P.E.I.).....                            | 313 |
| (iv)  | Involuntariness Requires Treatment<br>Incapacity (Saskatchewan, Nova Scotia and<br>Newfoundland and Labrador)..... | 314 |
| (c)   | Non-Psychiatric and Exceptional Treatments .....   | 314 |
| 4.    | COMMUNITY TREATMENT OPTIONS.....   | 315 |
| (a)   | Overview .....   | 315 |
| (b)   | Discussion of CTO Effectiveness.....   | 316 |
| (c)   | Leaves of Absence .....  | 318 |
| 5.    | TORT LIABILITY ISSUES .....  | 319 |
| (a)   | Liability to Patients.....   | 319 |
|       | CASE STUDY: <i>Ganger (Guardian ad litem of) v.</i><br><i>St. Paul's Hospital</i> .....                            | 320 |
| (b)   | Liability to Third Parties: The Duty to Warn.....  | 321 |
|       | CASE STUDY: <i>Smith v. Jones</i> .....  | 322 |
| (c)   | Liability to Third Parties in Non- <i>Tarasoff</i><br>Circumstances.....   | 323 |
| 6.    | FORENSIC PSYCHIATRY.....   | 324 |
| (a)   | Mental Disorder Provisions in the <i>Criminal Code</i> ....  | 324 |
| (b)   | The Role of Forensic Review Boards.....  | 326 |
| 7.    | ADVOCACY AND RIGHTS OF PERSONS WITH<br>MENTAL ILLNESS .....  | 328 |

**PART II  
SPECIAL ISSUES**

All of Part II was written by Professor Sniderman, with the exception of Chapter 25, section 4, “The Euthanasia Policy of the Netherlands”, which was co-authored by Professor Maria Vernhoef.

|                   |  |                   |
|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| <i>Chapter 14</i> | <b>Issues In Reproductive Choice: Part 1</b>   | Professor Shariff |
|                   | SUMMARY .....  | 337               |
| 1.                | GENETIC COUNSELLING/SCREENING AND<br>PRENATAL TESTING: THE TORT OF WRONGFUL<br>BIRTH.....  | 338               |
| 2.                | THE TORT OF WRONGFUL BIRTH: LEADING<br>AMERICAN CASES.....   | 340               |
|                   | (a) Pre-Conception Negligence: Failure to Alert<br>Plaintiffs of Their Risk of Bearing a Child with a<br>Congenital/Genetic Disease.....   | 340               |
|                   | (i) <i>Park v. Chessin</i> (New York, 1978).....   | 340               |
|                   | (ii) <i>Schroeder v. Perkel</i> (New Jersey, 1981) .....   | 340               |
|                   | (b) Pre-Conception Negligence: Failure to Inform<br>Plaintiffs of the Risks of Birth Defects from<br>Prescription Drugs Resulting in the Birth of a Child<br>with Disability ..... | 341               |
|                   | (i) <i>Harbeson v. Parke-Davies Inc.</i> (Washington,<br>1983) .....   | 341               |
|                   | (c) Post-Conception Negligence: Failure to<br>Recommend and Consequently Perform<br>Amniocentesis Resulting in the Birth of a Child<br>with Disability .....                       | 342               |
|                   | (i) <i>Berman v. Allan</i> (New Jersey, 1979).....   | 342               |
|                   | (ii) <i>Goldberg v. Ruskin</i> (Illinois, 1984).....   | 343               |
|                   | (d) Post-Conception Negligence: Failure to Diagnose<br>Congenital Condition that Results in the Birth of a<br>Child with Disability .....  | 343               |
|                   | (i) <i>Jacobs v. Theimer</i> (Texas, 1975).....  | 343               |
| 3.                | THE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF THE TORT OF<br>WRONGFUL BIRTH.....   | 344               |
|                   | (a) Duty .....   | 344               |
|                   | (b) Causation .....  | 345               |
|                   | (c) Damages .....  | 347               |
|                   | (i) Wrongful Birth Cases.....  | 347               |
|                   | (ii) Wrongful Life Cases .....   | 347               |



|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 4. OTHER CANADIAN CASES ON WRONGFUL BIRTH/LIFE .....                               | 352 |
| (a) <i>Arndt v. Smith</i> (Supreme Court, 1997) .....                              | 352 |
| (b) <i>S. v. S.</i> (Manitoba, 1994).....  | 354 |
| (c) <i>H. (R.) v. Hunter</i> (Ontario, 1996) .....                                 | 354 |
| (d) <i>Krangle (Guardian ad litem of) v. Briscoe</i> (British Columbia, 1997)..... | 355 |
| (e) <i>Jones (Guardian ad litem of) v. Rostvig</i> (British Columbia, 1999).....   | 355 |
| (f) <i>Mickle v. Salvation Army Grace Hospital, Windsor</i> (Ontario, 1999) .....  | 355 |
| 5. THE TORT OF WRONGFUL PREGNANCY .....  | 358 |
| 6. SUMMING UP .....  | 359 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <i>Chapter 15</i> <b>Issues In Reproductive Choice: Part 2</b> Professor Shariff   |     |
| SUMMARY .....  | 365 |
| 1. STERILIZATION .....   | 366 |
| (a) Eugenic Sterilization .....  | 366 |
| (b) Contraceptive Sterilization .....  | 370 |
| (i) The Case of <i>Eve, Re</i> (Supreme Court of Canada, 1986) .....   | 371 |
| (ii) The Case of <i>Adam Crockett</i> (British Columbia, 2002) .....   | 379 |
| 2. ABORTION .....  | 380 |
| (a) The Case of <i>Regina v. Morgentaler</i> (Supreme Court of Canada, 1988) .....   | 380 |
| (b) The Law and Abortion: What Next? Access to Abortion; Systemic Abortion; and Sex-Selection Abortion.....  | 383 |
| 3. MATERNAL/FETAL CONFLICT .....   | 386 |
| (a) Introduction .....   | 386 |
| (b) The Status of the Fetus at Common Law .....  | 389 |
| (c) Court-Ordered Obstetrical Interventions — Coerced Cesarean Delivery .....  | 393 |
| (i) The American Experience.....   | 393 |
| (ii) The English Experience .....  | 395 |
| (iii) The Canadian Experience: <i>Baby R., Re</i> (British Columbia, 1988).....  | 397 |
| (d) Substance Abuse in Pregnancy .....   | 398 |
| (i) The American Response .....  | 398 |
| (ii) The Canadian Response: The Case of <i>Winnipeg Child &amp; Family Services (Northwest Area) v. G. (D.F.)</i> (Supreme Court of Canada, 1997)..... | 399 |

|                   |   |     |
|-------------------|---|-----|
|                   | (e) The Supreme Court and the Dobson case.....  | 406 |
| 4.                | ASSISTED HUMAN REPRODUCTION.....  | 407 |
|                   | (a) Assisted Human Reproduction Act .....   | 407 |
|                   | (b) Surrogacy.....  | 411 |
|                   | (i) Commercial Surrogacy .....  | 412 |
|                   | (ii) Altruistic Surrogacy .....   | 413 |
| <i>Chapter 16</i> | <b>Defining Death in Law and Medicine</b> Professor Shariff   |     |
|                   | SUMMARY .....   | 421 |
|                   | 1. INTRODUCTION .....   | 422 |
|                   | 2. DEATH AT COMMON LAW .....  | 424 |
|                   | 3. DEATH AND LEGISLATION .....  | 431 |
|                   | 4. DEATH, PARLIAMENT, AND CRIMINAL LAW .....  | 434 |
|                   | 5. THE LAW REFORM COMMISSION OF CANADA<br>PROPOSAL FOR A UNIFORM DEFINITION OF<br>DEATH .....   | 435 |
|                   | 6. CONCLUSION.....  | 437 |
| <i>Chapter 17</i> | <b>Organ and Tissue Donation</b> Professor Shariff  |     |
|                   | SUMMARY .....   | 443 |
|                   | 1. INTRODUCTION .....   | 444 |
|                   | 2. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATION.....  | 446 |
|                   | (a) <i>Inter Vivos</i> Gifts.....   | 446 |
|                   | (i) Defining Tissue .....   | 446 |
|                   | (ii) The Statutory Requirements .....   | 446 |
|                   | (iii) The Manitoba, P.E.I., and Quebec Statutes.....  | 447 |
|                   | (iv) The Minor Transplant Donor .....   | 450 |
|                   | (b) Post-Mortem Gifts .....   | 457 |
|                   | (i) The Statutory Requirements .....  | 457 |
|                   | (ii) Required Request and Required Referral .....   | 461 |
|                   | (iii) Opting-In Versus Opting-Out.....  | 464 |
|                   | (iv) Brain Death and Anencephaly .....  | 465 |
| <i>Chapter 18</i> | <b>The Refusal or Termination of Life-Prolonging<br/>Treatment, Euthanasia and Assisting Suicide: Definitional<br/>Considerations</b> Professor Shariff |     |
|                   | SUMMARY .....   | 471 |
|                   | 1. THE FIVE CASES OF DR. COMPASSIONATE.....   | 472 |
|                   | Case 1 A Case of Withholding Life-Prolonging<br>Treatment at the Behest of a Mentally<br>Competent Patient (Passive Voluntary<br>Euthanasia).....       | 472 |

|        |  |     |
|--------|--|-----|
| Case 2 | A Case of Withdrawing Life-Prolonging Treatment of a Mentally Incompetent Patient (Passive Non-Voluntary Euthanasia) | 473 |
| Case 3 | A Case of Mercy-Killing at the Behest of a Mentally Competent Patient (Active Voluntary Euthanasia)                  | 473 |
| Case 4 | A Case of Mercy Killing of a Mentally Incompetent Patient (Active Non-Voluntary Euthanasia)                          | 474 |
| Case 5 | A Case of Physician-Assisted Suicide   | 474 |
| 2.     | COMMENTARY   | 474 |
| 3.     | CONCLUSION   | 479 |

*Chapter 19* **The Mentally Competent Adult Patient and the Refusal of Treatment** Professor Shariff

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| SUMMARY   | 485 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION   | 486 |
| 2. THREE LEADING AMERICAN CASES   | 486 |
| (a) Abe Perlmutter (Florida, 1978)  | 486 |
| (b) Robert Quackenbush (New Jersey, 1978)   | 487 |
| (c) Rosaria Candura (Massachusetts, 1978)   | 488 |
| 3. CANADIAN LAW — NANCY B. (B. (N.) v. HÔTEL-DIEU DE QUÉBEC) (QUEBEC, 1992) AND ROBERT CORBEIL (QUEBEC, 1992) | 490 |
| 4. COMMENT  | 493 |
| 5. MENTAL COMPETENCY TO REFUSE TREATMENT  | 495 |
| 6. THE OUTCOME TRAP   | 504 |
| 7. MENTAL COMPETENCY: THE PHYSICIAN'S RESPONSIBILITY  | 505 |
| 8. THE RIGHT OF THE COMPETENT PATIENT TO REFUSE LIFE-PROLONGING TREATMENT: CRIMINAL CODE CONSIDERATIONS       | 506 |
| 9. THE SOCIAL POLICY IMPLICATIONS OF THE NANCY B. CASE  | 513 |

*Chapter 20* **The Mature Minor Patient and the Refusal of Treatment** Professor Shariff

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| SUMMARY                                 | 521 |
| 1. SEVEN CANADIAN CASES                 | 522 |
| (a) Lisa Dorothy Kosack (Ontario, 1985) | 522 |
| (b) Adrian Yeatts (Newfoundland, 1993)  | 524 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| (c) Joshua Walker (New Brunswick, 1994) .....                                 | 525 |
| (d) H. (T.) (Ontario, 1996).....  | 527 |
| (e) Tyrell Dueck (Saskatchewan, 1999).....                                    | 528 |
| (f) H. (B.) (Alberta, 2002) .....   | 530 |
| (g) A.C. (Manitoba, 2009).....  | 533 |
| 2. EVOLUTION OF THE MATURE MINOR<br>DOCTRINE: PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS..... | 537 |
| 3. MATURE MINOR DOCTRINE AND CANADIAN<br>LAW.....                             | 540 |
| 4. THE MATURE MINOR AND LIFE-PROLONGING<br>TREATMENT.....                     | 547 |
| 5. THE ENGLISH CASE, <i>RE. W.</i> .....                                      | 549 |
| 6. LAST WORD .....  | 550 |

*Chapter 21* **The Mentally Incompetent Adult Patient and the Foregoing of Life-Prolonging Treatment: The American Perspective** Professor Shariff

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| SUMMARY .....   | 555 |
| 1. THE TWO LANDMARK CASES .....   | 556 |
| (a) Karen Ann Quinlan (New Jersey, 1976).....   | 556 |
| (b) Nancy Cruzan (U.S. Supreme Court, 1990).....  | 559 |
| 2. COMMENTARY ON AMERICAN CASE LAW .....  | 562 |
| (a) Medical Indications for Terminating Treatment.....  | 564 |
| (b) Who Decides and By What Criteria? .....   | 569 |
| (c) Standards of Surrogate Decision Making:<br>Effectuating the Incompetent Patient's Wants or<br>Needs ..... | 571 |
| (i) The Substituted Judgment Test .....   | 574 |
| (ii) The Best Interests Test .....  | 583 |
| (d) Futility: That Troublesome "F" Word.....  | 589 |
| (e) Removal of the Patient's Feeding Tube.....  | 598 |
| (i) The Case of Paul Brophy (Massachusetts,<br>1986).....   | 598 |
| (ii) The Emerging Jurisprudence .....   | 599 |
| (f) The No-Code (DNR) Order.....  | 601 |
| (g) The Legal Theory .....  | 603 |
| (i) A Common Law (Judge-Made) Right.....  | 603 |
| (ii) A Constitutional Right of Privacy.....   | 603 |

*Chapter 22* **The Mentally Incompetent Adult Patient and the Foregoing of Life-Prolonging Treatment** Professor Shariff

|                       |     |
|-----------------------|-----|
| SUMMARY .....         | 609 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION ..... | 610 |

|    |   |     |
|----|---|-----|
| 2. | TWO LANDMARK U.K. CASES: RE. J. AND AIREDALE NHS TRUST v. BLAND .....   | 614 |
|    | (a) <i>J. (A. Minor), Re</i> (English Court of Appeal, 1992).....   | 614 |
|    | (b) <i>Airedale NHS Trust v. Bland</i> (House Of Lords, 1993).....  | 615 |
|    | (c) Comment on the U.K. Position Regarding the Withholding or Withdrawal of Treatment from the Incompetent Patient .....  | 616 |
| 3. | CASE STUDY: <i>CHILD &amp; FAMILY SERVICES OF CENTRAL MANITOBA v. LAVALLEE</i> (MANITOBA COURT OF APPEAL).....  | 617 |
|    | (a) Overview of the Case .....  | 617 |
|    | (b) Commentary .....  | 619 |
|    | (i) Medical Treatment Decisions versus Philosophical and Quality-of-Life Judgments .....  | 619 |
|    | (ii) Consent and the “Treatment Plan”: Addressing the Touching versus Non-Touching Dichotomy .....  | 620 |
|    | (iii) The Right to Refuse Treatment versus the Right to Demand Treatment: The Exacerbating Issue of “Futility” and the Concept of Unilateral Withholding of Treatment ..... | 624 |
| 4. | CASE STUDIES: WITHHOLDING/WITHDRAWING OF TREATMENT AND CAREGIVER-FAMILY CONFLICT.....   | 626 |
|    | (a) <i>Sawatzky v. Riverview Health Centre Inc.</i> (Manitoba Queen’s Bench, 1998) .....  | 626 |
|    | (b) <i>Golubchuk v. Salvation Army Grace General Hospital</i> (Manitoba Queen’s Bench, 2008 .....   | 629 |
|    | (c) <i>Sweiss v. Alberta Health Services</i> (Alberta Queen’s Bench, 2009).....   | 635 |
|    | (d) <i>Rasouli v. Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre</i> (Ontario, 2011) .....   | 637 |
| 5. | THE ROLE OF THE FAMILY.....   | 642 |

|                   |  |                   |
|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| <i>Chapter 23</i> | <b>Foregoing of Treatment for Neonates and Pre-Adolescents</b> | Professor Shariff |
|                   | SUMMARY .....  | 651               |
|                   | 1. INTRODUCTION .....  | 651               |
|                   | 2. FIVE CANADIAN CASES.....                                    | 652               |
|                   | (a) Stephen Dawson (British Columbia, 1983).....               | 652               |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| (b) Catherine Couture-Jacquet (Quebec, 1986) .....                    | 653 |
| (c) K'aila Paulette (Saskatchewan, 1990) .....                        | 654 |
| (d) Cara B. (New Brunswick, 1990) .....                               | 658 |
| (e) Sheena B. (Supreme Court, 1995) .....                             | 659 |
| 3. <i>IN RE A</i> — THE CONJOINED TWINS CASE<br>(England, 2001) ..... | 660 |
| 4. THE BEST INTERESTS TEST .....                                      | 662 |
| (a) The Parent As Legal Guardian .....                                | 666 |
| (b) The Uncertain Prognosis .....                                     | 668 |
| 5. THE CANADIAN PAEDIATRIC SOCIETY<br>GUIDELINES .....                | 670 |

*Chapter 24* **Advance Directives**

Professor Hilder and Professor Shariff

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| SUMMARY .....   | 675 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION .....   | 676 |
| 2. DEFINING THE TERMS .....   | 677 |
| 3. THE FIRST GENERATION DIRECTIVE:<br>THE LIVING WILL .....           | 682 |
| 4. THE SECOND GENERATION DIRECTIVE:<br>THE ADVANCE DIRECTIVE .....    | 685 |
| (a) Commentary on the Instructional Directive .....                   | 692 |
| (i) Advantages .....  | 692 |
| (ii) Critiques .....  | 696 |
| (iii) Barriers .....  | 703 |
| (b) Commentary on the Proxy Directive .....                           | 705 |
| 5. THE STATUS OF ADVANCE DIRECTIVES IN<br>CANADA: AT COMMON LAW ..... | 710 |
| 6. THE STATUS OF ADVANCE DIRECTIVES IN<br>CANADA: LEGISLATION .....   | 712 |
| (a) Federally — The Criminal Code .....                               | 712 |
| (b) Provincially — Advance Directive Legislation .....                | 715 |
| 7. THE THIRD GENERATION?: ADVANCE CARE<br>PLANNING .....              | 722 |
| 8. ADVANCE DIRECTIVE FORMS .....                                      | 727 |
| (a) Emanuel/Emanuel Medical Directive .....                           | 727 |
| (b) Molloy Directive .....  | 734 |
| (c) Heyland Personal Directive – Completed .....                      | 736 |
| 9. APPENDICES .....   | 740 |
| (a) Provincial and Territorial Legislation .....                      | 740 |
| (b) Canadian Advance Directive Registries .....                       | 741 |
| (c) Sample Advance Directive Forms – Online .....                     | 741 |
| (d) Advance Care Planning Information and Tools .....                 | 743 |

|                       |  |                   |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------|
| <i>Chapter 25</i>     | <b>Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide</b>   | Professor Shariff |
|                       | SUMMARY .....  | 749               |
| 1.                    | INTRODUCTION AND COMMENT ON PHYSICIAN<br>ATTITUDES AND FREQUENCY OF EUTHANASIA<br>AND ASSISTED SUICIDE ..... | 751               |
| PART ONE — EUTHANASIA |  |                   |
| 2.                    | EUTHANASIA BY LAYPERSONS .....   | 754               |
| 3.                    | EUTHANASIA BY HEALTH PROFESSIONALS .....   | 764               |
|                       | (a) The Canadian Cases .....   | 764               |
|                       | (i) Dr. N.G. (Alberta, 1982) .....   | 764               |
|                       | (ii) Nurse Scott Mataya (Ontario, 1992) .....  | 765               |
|                       | (iii) Dr. X (Quebec, 1992) .....   | 766               |
|                       | (iv) Dr. Alberto De La Rocha (Ontario, 1993) .....   | 766               |
|                       | (v) Dr. Nancy Morrison (Nova Scotia, 1997) .....   | 767               |
|                       | (b) The English Cases .....  | 771               |
|                       | (i) Dr. John Bodkin Adams (1957) .....   | 771               |
|                       | (ii) Dr. Leonard Arthur (1981) .....   | 772               |
|                       | (iii) Dr. Nigel Cox (1992) .....   | 773               |
|                       | (iv) Dr. John Moor (1999) .....  | 775               |
|                       | (c) The American Cases .....   | 776               |
|                       | (i) Dr. Hermann Sander (New Hampshire, 1950) .....   | 776               |
|                       | (ii) Dr. Vincent Montemarano (New York, 1974) .....  | 778               |
|                       | (iii) Dr. John Kraii (New York, 1985) .....  | 779               |
|                       | (iv) Dr. Donald Caraccio (Michigan, 1988) .....  | 779               |
|                       | (v) Dr. Ernesto Pinzon-Reyes (Florida, 1997) .....   | 780               |
|                       | (vi) The Trial of Dr. I. Stan Naramore (Kansas,<br>1998) .....   | 781               |
|                       | (A) The Charge of Attempted Murder of<br>Patient Leach .....   | 781               |
|                       | (B) The Charge of Murder of Patient Wiltt .....  | 782               |
|                       | (C) The Prosecution and Defence Arguments .....  | 782               |
|                       | (D) The Court's Ruling .....   | 783               |
|                       | (vii) The Trial of Dr. Jack Kevorkian (Michigan,<br>1999) .....  | 784               |
|                       | (viii) Dr. C. Douglas Wood (Oklahoma, 2000) .....  | 785               |
| 4.                    | THE LAW'S HARD LINE(?): "ESCAPE HATCHES"<br>AND LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS .....                                     | 787               |
| 5.                    | DOUBLE EFFECT AND PALLIATIVE SEDATION .....  | 793               |
|                       | (a) Double Effect .....  | 793               |
|                       | (b) Palliative Sedation .....  | 797               |
|                       | (c) Guidelines and Ethico-Legal Considerations .....   | 800               |

PART TWO — ASSISTED SUICIDE

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 6. COUNSELLING AND AIDING SUICIDE BY LAYPERSONS: CANADIAN CASES .....        | 804 |
| 7. COUNSELLING AND AIDING SUICIDE BY PHYSICIANS: CANADIAN CASES.....         | 806 |
| 8. THE MENTAL ELEMENT OF THE OFFENCE OF COUNSELLING AND AIDING SUICIDE ..... | 807 |
| (a) Case 1 .....   | 807 |
| (b) Case 2 .....   | 808 |
| (c) Commentary on Cases 1 and 2 .....  | 808 |
| (d) Case 3 .....   | 809 |
| (e) Commentary on Case 3 .....   | 810 |

PART THREE — CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES TO ASSISTED DEATH

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 9. PHYSICIAN-ASSISTED SUICIDE: THE CASE OF SUE RODRIGUEZ (SUPREME COURT OF CANADA, 1993).....                 | 810 |
| (a) Summary of Case .....   | 810 |
| (b) Commentary .....  | 813 |
| 10. PHYSICIAN-ASSISTED SUICIDE AND EUTHANASIA: THE CASE OF CARTER (BRITISH COLUMBIA SUPREME COURT, 2012)..... | 815 |
| (a) Summary of Case .....   | 815 |
| (b) Commentary .....  | 819 |

*Chapter 26* **Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide: Developments in the United States and Europe** Professor Shariff

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| SUMMARY .....  | 831 |
| 1. DEVELOPMENTS IN THE UNITED STATES.....                      | 832 |
| (a) The Assisted Suicide Cases of Dr. Kevorkian .....          | 832 |
| (b) Physician-Assisted Suicide and the U.S. Supreme Court..... | 834 |
| (c) Physician-Assisted Suicide in Oregon.....                  | 836 |
| (i) Overview of the Law .....                                  | 836 |
| (ii) Statistics .....  | 839 |
| (iii) Commentary.....  | 842 |
| (d) Physician-Assisted Suicide in Washington.....              | 845 |
| (i) Overview of the Law .....                                  | 845 |
| (ii) Statistics .....  | 846 |
| (e) Physician-Assisted Suicide in Montana .....                | 847 |
| (i) Overview of the Law .....                                  | 847 |



|  |            |
|--|------------|
| (ii) Commentary.....   | 848        |
| (iii) Statistics.....  | 849        |
| (f) Physician-Assisted Suicide in Montana.....                                       | 849        |
| (i) Overview of the Law.....   | 849        |
| (ii) Commentary.....   | 852        |
| 2. EUTHANASIA/ASSISTED SUICIDE IN THE<br>NETHERLANDS.....                            | 852        |
| (a) Evolution of the Dutch Law.....  | 852        |
| (b) The Dutch Legislation.....   | 859        |
| (i) Overview of the Law.....   | 859        |
| (ii) Statistics.....   | 862        |
| (iii) Commentary.....  | 864        |
| 3. EUTHANASIA/ASSISTED SUICIDE IN BELGIUM....  | 870        |
| (a) The Belgium Legislation.....   | 870        |
| (i) Overview of the Law.....   | 870        |
| (ii) Statistics and Commentary.....  | 873        |
| 4. EUTHANASIA/ASSISTED SUICIDE IN<br>LUXEMBOURG.....                                 | 875        |
| (a) The Luxembourg Legislation.....  | 875        |
| (i) Overview of the Law.....   | 876        |
| (ii) Statistics and Commentary.....  | 878        |
| 5. ASSISTED SUICIDE IN SWITZERLAND.....  | 878        |
| (a) The Swiss Penal Code and Narcotics Laws.....                                     | 878        |
| (i) Overview of the Law.....   | 880        |
| (ii) Statistics.....   | 882        |
| (iii) Commentary.....  | 883        |
| 6. THE SPECTRE OF THE NAZI EUTHANASIA<br>PROGRAM.....                                | 884        |
| 7. THE LEGALIZATION ISSUE: A COMMENT ON<br>SUFFERING, PALLIATIVE CARE AND LOGIC..... | 886        |
| <b>INDEX.....</b>  | <b>901</b> |