

Table of Contents

Barney Sneiderman	iii
Preface	v
Authors	vii
Table of Cases	xxxvii

PART I GENERAL PRINCIPLES

<i>Chapter 1</i>	Introduction to the Legal Process: A Case of Medical Malpractice	Professor Irvine	
	SUMMARY		1
	CASE STUDY: <i>MacDonald v. York County Hospital</i>		2
	1. INTRODUCTION.....		2
	2. PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS.....		3
	3. PLEADINGS.....		4
	4. DISCOVERY		6
	5. PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS		8
	6. PREPARATION FOR TRIAL.....		8
	7. PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE		10
	8. THE TRIAL.....		10
	(a) Facts.....		10
	(b) The Law.....		12
	9. COURT OF APPEAL.....		15
	10. SUPREME COURT OF CANADA		17
	11. CONCLUSION		17
<i>Chapter 2</i>	Consent to Treatment	Professor Osborne	
	SUMMARY		19
	CASE STUDY: <i>Allan v. New Mount Sinai Hospital</i>		19
	1. INTRODUCTION.....		20
	2. BATTERY: A GENERAL DEFINITION.....		20
	3. BATTERY AND MEDICAL TREATMENT		21
	4. BATTERY AND NEGLIGENCE: A LAWYER'S PERSPECTIVE		23
	5. EXPRESS AND IMPLIED CONSENT.....		23
	6. PROOF OF CONSENT.....		24
	7. EMERGENCY TREATMENT.....		26
	8. EXTENDED PROCEDURES.....		26
	9. CONDITIONAL CONSENT		28
	10. REVOCATION AND REFUSAL OF CONSENT.....		30

x Table of Contents

	11. VOLUNTARY CONSENT	31
	12. CONSENT TO STERILIZATION	32
	13. CAPACITY TO CONSENT	32
	14. LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVES IN ONTARIO AND BRITISH COLUMBIA	33
	15. CONCLUSION	34
<i>Chapter 3</i>	The Consent of Minors Professor Osborne	
	SUMMARY	37
	CASE STUDY: <i>Johnston v. Wellesley Hospital</i>	38
	CASE STUDY: <i>C. (J.S.) v. Wren</i>	38
	1. INTRODUCTION	38
	2. COMMON LAW	40
	(a) Infants (Children up to the Age of Approximately Six)	40
	(b) Immature Minors	43
	(c) Mature Minors	43
	3. LEGISLATIVE INTERVENTION	49
	4. DEFENSIVE PRACTICE	51
	5. CONCLUSION	51
<i>Chapter 4</i>	Informed Consent Professor Osborne	
	SUMMARY	55
	CASE STUDY: <i>Reibl v. Hughes</i>	56
	CASE STUDY: <i>Ferguson v. Hamilton Civil Hospitals</i>	56
	CASE STUDY: <i>Haughian v. Paine</i>	57
	1. INTRODUCTION	57
	2. BATTERY OR NEGLIGENCE?	58
	3. THE NEGLIGENCE MODEL: <i>REIBL v. HUGHES</i>	59
	4. DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS SINCE <i>REIBL v.</i> <i>HUGHES</i>	62
	5. CAUSATION SINCE <i>REIBL v. HUGHES</i>	64
	6. SPECIAL SITUATIONS	66
	(a) Cosmetic Surgery and Procedures	66
	(b) The Inquisitive Patient	67
	(c) Waiver of the Right to Know	67
	(d) Therapeutic Privilege	68
	(e) Medical Research	68
	(f) Innovative Procedures	69
	(g) Reproductive Choice	71
	7. THE IMPACT OF THE DOCTRINE OF INFORMED CONSENT ON THE ROLE OF PHYSICIANS	71
	(a) The Physician as Teacher	71

	(b) The Physician's Duty to Know the Patient.....	72
	(c) The Physician as Adviser.....	73
	(d) The Physician and Errors, Blunders and Misadventures in the Course of Treatment.....	74
	(e) The Physician's Professional and Personal Attributes.....	74
	8. CONCLUSION.....	75
<i>Chapter 5</i>	Medical Negligence: An Overview Professor Irvine	
	SUMMARY	79
	CASE STUDY: <i>Carlsen v. Southerland</i> (British Columbia 2006)	80
	CASE STUDY: <i>Reynard v. Carr</i>	81
	CASE STUDY: <i>Cabral v. Gupta</i>	82
	1. THE CONCEPT OF ACTIONABLE NEGLIGENCE.....	83
<i>Chapter 6</i>	Medical Negligence: The Essential Elements Professor Irvine	
	SUMMARY	91
	1. THE DUTY OF CARE.....	92
	2. BREACH OF THE DUTY OF CARE: THE "STANDARD OF CARE" QUESTION.....	101
	3. DAMAGES.....	113
	(a) The Issue of Causation-in-fact.....	114
	(b) Remoteness of Damage: The Issue of "Proximate Cause".....	121
	(c) The Evaluation of Legally Protected Interests: The Question of Quantum	126
	(d) The Concept of Vicarious Liability	130
<i>Chapter 7</i>	Medical Negligence: Defences and Problems of Proof Professor Irvine	
	SUMMARY	139
	1. DEFENCES	139
	2. HOW NEGLIGENCE IS PROVED	141
<i>Chapter 8</i>	Medical Negligence in Specific Contexts Professor Irvine	
	SUMMARY	153
	1. INTRODUCTION	153
	2. THE MULTIPLE ASPECTS OF THE DUTY OF CARE AND SKILL	154
	(a) The Duty to Attend the Patient	154
	(b) The Duty to Diagnose.....	159

xii Table of Contents

	(c) The Duty to Refer	167
	(d) The Duty to Treat and to Provide Adequate Aftercare	168
	(e) The Duty to Communicate with Other Involved Professionals	170
	(f) The Duty to Keep Full and Accurate Records	172
	(g) The Duty to Supervise Colleagues	173
	3. CONCLUSION	174
<i>Chapter 9</i>	Nursing Liability	Professor Irvine
	SUMMARY	179
	1. THE CHANGED FACE OF THE NURSING PROFESSION	179
	2. THE NURSE AND THE TORT OF BATTERY	183
	3. THE NURSE AND THE INFORMED CONSENT DOCTRINE	185
	4. THE NURSE AND THE LAW OF NEGLIGENCE	188
	5. THE NURSE AND MEDICAL RECORDS	197
	6. THE NURSE'S OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES	203
<i>Chapter 10</i>	Compensation for Medical Injuries: An Uncertain Future	Professor Osborne
	SUMMARY	211
	CASE STUDY: <i>Ferguson v. Hamilton Civic Hospitals</i>	212
	1. INTRODUCTION	213
	2. ACCIDENT COMPENSATION: THE BROAD PICTURE	214
	3. COMPENSATION FOR THE VICTIMS OF MEDICAL ACCIDENTS	216
	4. MEDICAL ACCIDENTS AND THE FAULT SYSTEM: AN APPRAISAL	216
	(a) In Defence of the Fault System	217
	(i) Compensation	217
	(ii) Punishment	217
	(iii) Deterrence	218
	(iv) Appeasement and Vindication	218
	(v) Education	218
	(b) The Fault System Under Attack	219
	(i) The Fault System as a Deterrent	219
	(ii) Fault as a Compensatory Vehicle	220
	(A) The Irrationality of Fault as the Basis of Compensation	220

	(B) The Cost of the Fault System.....	220
	(C) The Limited Range of Injured Patients Covered	221
	(D) The Risks of Litigation	221
	(E) Lump Sum Awards.....	222
	(F) Delay.....	223
5.	MEDICAL ACCIDENTS: POLICY CHOICES.....	224
	(a) Reform of the Tort System	225
	(b) The No-Fault Option	227
	(c) First Party Life and Disability Insurance.....	228
	(d) The New Zealand and Swedish Models	229
	(i) New Zealand	229
	(ii) Sweden.....	231
6.	THE REPORT ON LIABILITY AND COMPENSATION IN HEALTH CARE: TOWARDS A CANADIAN SOLUTION.....	232
	(a) Principal Findings.....	233
	(b) Recommendations on Reform by the Current Fault/ Insurance System.....	233
	(c) No-Fault Compensation for Serious Avoidable Health Care Injuries.....	234
7.	CONCLUSION.....	235

<i>Chapter 11</i>	The Physician's Other Duties: Good Faith, Loyalty and Confidentiality	Professor Irvine
	SUMMARY	237
	1. THE DUTY TO PRESERVE CONFIDENTIALITY.....	238
	2. EXCEPTIONS TO THE DUTY OF NON-DISCLOSURE.....	249
	(a) Disclosure Within a Court of Law.....	249
	(b) Disclosure Outside a Court of Law	250
	(i) Statutory Duties of Disclosure.....	250
	(ii) Where Countervailing Public Interest Dictates Disclosure	252
	(iii) Where the Patient Expressly or Impliedly Consents.....	257
	3. CONFIDENTIALITY AND PATIENT RECORDS.....	259

<i>Chapter 12</i>	Public Health Law	Jennifer Schulz, B.A., LL.B., M. Phil.
	SUMMARY	269
	1. INTRODUCTION	269
	2. DUTIES OF MEDICAL HEALTH OFFICERS.....	270
	(a) The Duty to Report.....	271

(b) The Duty of Confidentiality.....	271
3. NOTIFICATION.....	272
(a) Contact Notification.....	272
(b) Managing Contact Notification through Mediation ..	273
4. POWERS OF MEDICAL HEALTH OFFICERS.....	274
(a) The Power to Examine	274
(b) The Power to Enter	275
(c) The Power to Detain.....	276
(d) The Power to Isolate	277
(e) The Power to Close	278
5. PENALTY PROVISIONS	278
(a) Public Health Law	278
(b) Criminal Law.....	279
(i) Section 180.....	279
(ii) Section 221.....	280
(iii) Section 273.....	281
6. CONCLUSION.....	283

<i>Chapter 13</i> Law And Mental Illness in Canada	Professor Carver
SUMMARY	291
1. INTRODUCTION	293
(a) An Overview of Canadian Mental Health Law	293
(b) The Historical and Social Context.....	295
2. INVOLUNTARY COMMITTAL.....	297
(a) Procedural Requirements.....	298
(i) Apprehension for Purpose of Assessment.....	298
(ii) Admission to Hospital.....	299
(iii) Tribunal Review of Committal	299
(b) Substantive Requirements	300
(i) Presence of a Mental Disorder.....	301
(ii) Need for Psychiatric Treatment	302
(iii) Harm Criteria	302
(iv) Not suitable for Voluntary Admission.....	305
(v) Capacity to Consent to Treatment.....	305
3. CONSENT TO TREATMENT	305
(a) General Principles Governing Consent to Treatment.....	306
(b) Consent to Psychiatric Treatment.....	307
(i) Ontario: Recognition of a Right to Refuse Treatment	308
CASE STUDY: <i>Starson v. Swayze</i>	310
(ii) No Right to Refuse Treatment (British Columbia)	313

(iii)	Right to Refuse, Subject to Best Interests Override (Alberta, Manitoba, P.E.I.).....	313
(iv)	Involuntariness Requires Treatment Incapacity (Saskatchewan, Nova Scotia and Newfoundland and Labrador).....	314
(c)	Non-Psychiatric and Exceptional Treatments	314
4.	COMMUNITY TREATMENT OPTIONS.....	315
(a)	Overview	315
(b)	Discussion of CTO Effectiveness.....	316
(c)	Leaves of Absence	318
5.	TORT LIABILITY ISSUES	319
(a)	Liability to Patients.....	319
	CASE STUDY: <i>Ganger (Guardian ad litem of) v.</i> <i>St. Paul's Hospital</i>	320
(b)	Liability to Third Parties: The Duty to Warn.....	321
	CASE STUDY: <i>Smith v. Jones</i>	322
(c)	Liability to Third Parties in Non- <i>Tarasoff</i> Circumstances.....	323
6.	FORENSIC PSYCHIATRY.....	324
(a)	Mental Disorder Provisions in the <i>Criminal Code</i>	324
(b)	The Role of Forensic Review Boards.....	326
7.	ADVOCACY AND RIGHTS OF PERSONS WITH MENTAL ILLNESS	328

**PART II
SPECIAL ISSUES**

All of Part II was written by Professor Sniderman, with the exception of Chapter 25, section 4, “The Euthanasia Policy of the Netherlands”, which was co-authored by Professor Maria Vernhoef.

<i>Chapter 14</i>	Issues In Reproductive Choice: Part 1	Professor Shariff
	SUMMARY	337
1.	GENETIC COUNSELLING/SCREENING AND PRENATAL TESTING: THE TORT OF WRONGFUL BIRTH.....	338
2.	THE TORT OF WRONGFUL BIRTH: LEADING AMERICAN CASES.....	340
	(a) Pre-Conception Negligence: Failure to Alert Plaintiffs of Their Risk of Bearing a Child with a Congenital/Genetic Disease.....	340
	(i) <i>Park v. Chessin</i> (New York, 1978).....	340
	(ii) <i>Schroeder v. Perkel</i> (New Jersey, 1981)	340
	(b) Pre-Conception Negligence: Failure to Inform Plaintiffs of the Risks of Birth Defects from Prescription Drugs Resulting in the Birth of a Child with Disability	341
	(i) <i>Harbeson v. Parke-Davies Inc.</i> (Washington, 1983)	341
	(c) Post-Conception Negligence: Failure to Recommend and Consequently Perform Amniocentesis Resulting in the Birth of a Child with Disability	342
	(i) <i>Berman v. Allan</i> (New Jersey, 1979).....	342
	(ii) <i>Goldberg v. Ruskin</i> (Illinois, 1984).....	343
	(d) Post-Conception Negligence: Failure to Diagnose Congenital Condition that Results in the Birth of a Child with Disability	343
	(i) <i>Jacobs v. Theimer</i> (Texas, 1975).....	343
3.	THE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF THE TORT OF WRONGFUL BIRTH.....	344
	(a) Duty	344
	(b) Causation	345
	(c) Damages	347
	(i) Wrongful Birth Cases.....	347
	(ii) Wrongful Life Cases	347

4. OTHER CANADIAN CASES ON WRONGFUL BIRTH/LIFE	352
(a) <i>Arndt v. Smith</i> (Supreme Court, 1997)	352
(b) <i>S. v. S.</i> (Manitoba, 1994).....	354
(c) <i>H. (R.) v. Hunter</i> (Ontario, 1996)	354
(d) <i>Krangle (Guardian ad litem of) v. Briscoe</i> (British Columbia, 1997).....	355
(e) <i>Jones (Guardian ad litem of) v. Rostvig</i> (British Columbia, 1999).....	355
(f) <i>Mickle v. Salvation Army Grace Hospital, Windsor</i> (Ontario, 1999)	355
5. THE TORT OF WRONGFUL PREGNANCY	358
6. SUMMING UP	359

<i>Chapter 15</i> Issues In Reproductive Choice: Part 2 Professor Shariff	
SUMMARY	365
1. STERILIZATION	366
(a) Eugenic Sterilization	366
(b) Contraceptive Sterilization	370
(i) The Case of <i>Eve, Re</i> (Supreme Court of Canada, 1986)	371
(ii) The Case of <i>Adam Crockett</i> (British Columbia, 2002)	379
2. ABORTION	380
(a) The Case of <i>Regina v. Morgentaler</i> (Supreme Court of Canada, 1988)	380
(b) The Law and Abortion: What Next? Access to Abortion; Systemic Abortion; and Sex-Selection Abortion.....	383
3. MATERNAL/FETAL CONFLICT	386
(a) Introduction	386
(b) The Status of the Fetus at Common Law	389
(c) Court-Ordered Obstetrical Interventions — Coerced Cesarean Delivery	393
(i) The American Experience.....	393
(ii) The English Experience	395
(iii) The Canadian Experience: <i>Baby R., Re</i> (British Columbia, 1988).....	397
(d) Substance Abuse in Pregnancy	398
(i) The American Response	398
(ii) The Canadian Response: The Case of <i>Winnipeg Child & Family Services (Northwest Area) v. G. (D.F.)</i> (Supreme Court of Canada, 1997).....	399

	(e) The Supreme Court and the Dobson case.....	406
4.	ASSISTED HUMAN REPRODUCTION.....	407
	(a) Assisted Human Reproduction Act	407
	(b) Surrogacy.....	411
	(i) Commercial Surrogacy	412
	(ii) Altruistic Surrogacy	413
<i>Chapter 16</i>	Defining Death in Law and Medicine Professor Shariff	
	SUMMARY	421
	1. INTRODUCTION	422
	2. DEATH AT COMMON LAW	424
	3. DEATH AND LEGISLATION	431
	4. DEATH, PARLIAMENT, AND CRIMINAL LAW	434
	5. THE LAW REFORM COMMISSION OF CANADA PROPOSAL FOR A UNIFORM DEFINITION OF DEATH	435
	6. CONCLUSION.....	437
<i>Chapter 17</i>	Organ and Tissue Donation Professor Shariff	
	SUMMARY	443
	1. INTRODUCTION	444
	2. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATION.....	446
	(a) <i>Inter Vivos</i> Gifts.....	446
	(i) Defining Tissue	446
	(ii) The Statutory Requirements	446
	(iii) The Manitoba, P.E.I., and Quebec Statutes.....	447
	(iv) The Minor Transplant Donor	450
	(b) Post-Mortem Gifts	457
	(i) The Statutory Requirements	457
	(ii) Required Request and Required Referral	461
	(iii) Opting-In Versus Opting-Out.....	464
	(iv) Brain Death and Anencephaly	465
<i>Chapter 18</i>	The Refusal or Termination of Life-Prolonging Treatment, Euthanasia and Assisting Suicide: Definitional Considerations Professor Shariff	
	SUMMARY	471
	1. THE FIVE CASES OF DR. COMPASSIONATE.....	472
	Case 1 A Case of Withholding Life-Prolonging Treatment at the Behest of a Mentally Competent Patient (Passive Voluntary Euthanasia).....	472

Case 2	A Case of Withdrawing Life-Prolonging Treatment of a Mentally Incompetent Patient (Passive Non-Voluntary Euthanasia)	473
Case 3	A Case of Mercy-Killing at the Behest of a Mentally Competent Patient (Active Voluntary Euthanasia)	473
Case 4	A Case of Mercy Killing of a Mentally Incompetent Patient (Active Non-Voluntary Euthanasia)	474
Case 5	A Case of Physician-Assisted Suicide	474
2.	COMMENTARY	474
3.	CONCLUSION	479

Chapter 19 **The Mentally Competent Adult Patient and the Refusal of Treatment** Professor Shariff

SUMMARY	485
1. INTRODUCTION	486
2. THREE LEADING AMERICAN CASES	486
(a) Abe Perlmutter (Florida, 1978)	486
(b) Robert Quackenbush (New Jersey, 1978)	487
(c) Rosaria Candura (Massachusetts, 1978)	488
3. CANADIAN LAW — NANCY B. (B. (N.) v. HÔTEL-DIEU DE QUÉBEC) (QUEBEC, 1992) AND ROBERT CORBEIL (QUEBEC, 1992)	490
4. COMMENT	493
5. MENTAL COMPETENCY TO REFUSE TREATMENT	495
6. THE OUTCOME TRAP	504
7. MENTAL COMPETENCY: THE PHYSICIAN'S RESPONSIBILITY	505
8. THE RIGHT OF THE COMPETENT PATIENT TO REFUSE LIFE-PROLONGING TREATMENT: CRIMINAL CODE CONSIDERATIONS	506
9. THE SOCIAL POLICY IMPLICATIONS OF THE NANCY B. CASE	513

Chapter 20 **The Mature Minor Patient and the Refusal of Treatment** Professor Shariff

SUMMARY	521
1. SEVEN CANADIAN CASES	522
(a) Lisa Dorothy Kosack (Ontario, 1985)	522
(b) Adrian Yeatts (Newfoundland, 1993)	524

(c) Joshua Walker (New Brunswick, 1994)	525
(d) H. (T.) (Ontario, 1996).....	527
(e) Tyrell Dueck (Saskatchewan, 1999).....	528
(f) H. (B.) (Alberta, 2002)	530
(g) A.C. (Manitoba, 2009).....	533
2. EVOLUTION OF THE MATURE MINOR DOCTRINE: PRELIMINARY CONSIDERATIONS.....	537
3. MATURE MINOR DOCTRINE AND CANADIAN LAW.....	540
4. THE MATURE MINOR AND LIFE-PROLONGING TREATMENT.....	547
5. THE ENGLISH CASE, <i>RE. W.</i>	549
6. LAST WORD	550

Chapter 21 **The Mentally Incompetent Adult Patient and the Foregoing of Life-Prolonging Treatment: The American Perspective** Professor Shariff

SUMMARY	555
1. THE TWO LANDMARK CASES	556
(a) Karen Ann Quinlan (New Jersey, 1976).....	556
(b) Nancy Cruzan (U.S. Supreme Court, 1990).....	559
2. COMMENTARY ON AMERICAN CASE LAW	562
(a) Medical Indications for Terminating Treatment.....	564
(b) Who Decides and By What Criteria?	569
(c) Standards of Surrogate Decision Making: Effectuating the Incompetent Patient's Wants or Needs	571
(i) The Substituted Judgment Test	574
(ii) The Best Interests Test	583
(d) Futility: That Troublesome "F" Word.....	589
(e) Removal of the Patient's Feeding Tube.....	598
(i) The Case of Paul Brophy (Massachusetts, 1986).....	598
(ii) The Emerging Jurisprudence	599
(f) The No-Code (DNR) Order.....	601
(g) The Legal Theory	603
(i) A Common Law (Judge-Made) Right.....	603
(ii) A Constitutional Right of Privacy.....	603

Chapter 22 **The Mentally Incompetent Adult Patient and the Foregoing of Life-Prolonging Treatment** Professor Shariff

SUMMARY	609
1. INTRODUCTION	610

2.	TWO LANDMARK U.K. CASES: RE. J. AND AIREDALE NHS TRUST v. BLAND	614
	(a) <i>J. (A. Minor), Re</i> (English Court of Appeal, 1992).....	614
	(b) <i>Airedale NHS Trust v. Bland</i> (House Of Lords, 1993).....	615
	(c) Comment on the U.K. Position Regarding the Withholding or Withdrawal of Treatment from the Incompetent Patient	616
3.	CASE STUDY: <i>CHILD & FAMILY SERVICES OF CENTRAL MANITOBA v. LAVALLEE</i> (MANITOBA COURT OF APPEAL).....	617
	(a) Overview of the Case	617
	(b) Commentary	619
	(i) Medical Treatment Decisions versus Philosophical and Quality-of-Life Judgments	619
	(ii) Consent and the “Treatment Plan”: Addressing the Touching versus Non-Touching Dichotomy	620
	(iii) The Right to Refuse Treatment versus the Right to Demand Treatment: The Exacerbating Issue of “Futility” and the Concept of Unilateral Withholding of Treatment	624
4.	CASE STUDIES: WITHHOLDING/WITHDRAWING OF TREATMENT AND CAREGIVER-FAMILY CONFLICT	626
	(a) <i>Sawatzky v. Riverview Health Centre Inc.</i> (Manitoba Queen’s Bench, 1998)	626
	(b) <i>Golubchuk v. Salvation Army Grace General Hospital</i> (Manitoba Queen’s Bench, 2008	629
	(c) <i>Sweiss v. Alberta Health Services</i> (Alberta Queen’s Bench, 2009).....	635
	(d) <i>Rasouli v. Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre</i> (Ontario, 2011)	637
5.	THE ROLE OF THE FAMILY	642

<i>Chapter 23</i>	Foregoing of Treatment for Neonates and Pre-Adolescents	Professor Shariff
	SUMMARY	651
	1. INTRODUCTION	651
	2. FIVE CANADIAN CASES.....	652
	(a) Stephen Dawson (British Columbia, 1983).....	652

(b) Catherine Couture-Jacquet (Quebec, 1986)	653
(c) K'aila Paulette (Saskatchewan, 1990)	654
(d) Cara B. (New Brunswick, 1990)	658
(e) Sheena B. (Supreme Court, 1995)	659
3. <i>IN RE A</i> — THE CONJOINED TWINS CASE (England, 2001)	660
4. THE BEST INTERESTS TEST	662
(a) The Parent As Legal Guardian	666
(b) The Uncertain Prognosis	668
5. THE CANADIAN PAEDIATRIC SOCIETY GUIDELINES	670

Chapter 24 **Advance Directives**

Professor Hilder and Professor Shariff

SUMMARY	675
1. INTRODUCTION	676
2. DEFINING THE TERMS	677
3. THE FIRST GENERATION DIRECTIVE: THE LIVING WILL	682
4. THE SECOND GENERATION DIRECTIVE: THE ADVANCE DIRECTIVE	685
(a) Commentary on the Instructional Directive	692
(i) Advantages	692
(ii) Critiques	696
(iii) Barriers	703
(b) Commentary on the Proxy Directive	705
5. THE STATUS OF ADVANCE DIRECTIVES IN CANADA: AT COMMON LAW	710
6. THE STATUS OF ADVANCE DIRECTIVES IN CANADA: LEGISLATION	712
(a) Federally — The Criminal Code	712
(b) Provincially — Advance Directive Legislation	715
7. THE THIRD GENERATION?: ADVANCE CARE PLANNING	722
8. ADVANCE DIRECTIVE FORMS	727
(a) Emanuel/Emanuel Medical Directive	727
(b) Molloy Directive	734
(c) Heyland Personal Directive – Completed	736
9. APPENDICES	740
(a) Provincial and Territorial Legislation	740
(b) Canadian Advance Directive Registries	741
(c) Sample Advance Directive Forms – Online	741
(d) Advance Care Planning Information and Tools	743

<i>Chapter 25</i>	Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide	Professor Shariff
	SUMMARY	749
1.	INTRODUCTION AND COMMENT ON PHYSICIAN ATTITUDES AND FREQUENCY OF EUTHANASIA AND ASSISTED SUICIDE	751
PART ONE — EUTHANASIA		
2.	EUTHANASIA BY LAYPERSONS	754
3.	EUTHANASIA BY HEALTH PROFESSIONALS	764
	(a) The Canadian Cases	764
	(i) Dr. N.G. (Alberta, 1982)	764
	(ii) Nurse Scott Mataya (Ontario, 1992)	765
	(iii) Dr. X (Quebec, 1992)	766
	(iv) Dr. Alberto De La Rocha (Ontario, 1993)	766
	(v) Dr. Nancy Morrison (Nova Scotia, 1997)	767
	(b) The English Cases	771
	(i) Dr. John Bodkin Adams (1957)	771
	(ii) Dr. Leonard Arthur (1981)	772
	(iii) Dr. Nigel Cox (1992)	773
	(iv) Dr. John Moor (1999)	775
	(c) The American Cases	776
	(i) Dr. Hermann Sander (New Hampshire, 1950)	776
	(ii) Dr. Vincent Montemarano (New York, 1974)	778
	(iii) Dr. John Kraii (New York, 1985)	779
	(iv) Dr. Donald Caraccio (Michigan, 1988)	779
	(v) Dr. Ernesto Pinzon-Reyes (Florida, 1997)	780
	(vi) The Trial of Dr. I. Stan Naramore (Kansas, 1998)	781
	(A) The Charge of Attempted Murder of Patient Leach	781
	(B) The Charge of Murder of Patient Wiltt	782
	(C) The Prosecution and Defence Arguments	782
	(D) The Court's Ruling	783
	(vii) The Trial of Dr. Jack Kevorkian (Michigan, 1999)	784
	(viii) Dr. C. Douglas Wood (Oklahoma, 2000)	785
4.	THE LAW'S HARD LINE(?): "ESCAPE HATCHES" AND LEGAL DEVELOPMENTS	787
5.	DOUBLE EFFECT AND PALLIATIVE SEDATION	793
	(a) Double Effect	793
	(b) Palliative Sedation	797
	(c) Guidelines and Ethico-Legal Considerations	800

PART TWO — ASSISTED SUICIDE

6. COUNSELLING AND AIDING SUICIDE BY LAYPERSONS: CANADIAN CASES	804
7. COUNSELLING AND AIDING SUICIDE BY PHYSICIANS: CANADIAN CASES.....	806
8. THE MENTAL ELEMENT OF THE OFFENCE OF COUNSELLING AND AIDING SUICIDE	807
(a) Case 1	807
(b) Case 2	808
(c) Commentary on Cases 1 and 2	808
(d) Case 3	809
(e) Commentary on Case 3	810

PART THREE — CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES TO ASSISTED DEATH

9. PHYSICIAN-ASSISTED SUICIDE: THE CASE OF SUE RODRIGUEZ (SUPREME COURT OF CANADA, 1993).....	810
(a) Summary of Case	810
(b) Commentary	813
10. PHYSICIAN-ASSISTED SUICIDE AND EUTHANASIA: THE CASE OF CARTER (BRITISH COLUMBIA SUPREME COURT, 2012).....	815
(a) Summary of Case	815
(b) Commentary	819

Chapter 26 **Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide: Developments in the United States and Europe** Professor Shariff

SUMMARY	831
1. DEVELOPMENTS IN THE UNITED STATES	832
(a) The Assisted Suicide Cases of Dr. Kevorkian	832
(b) Physician-Assisted Suicide and the U.S. Supreme Court.....	834
(c) Physician-Assisted Suicide in Oregon.....	836
(i) Overview of the Law	836
(ii) Statistics	839
(iii) Commentary.....	842
(d) Physician-Assisted Suicide in Washington.....	845
(i) Overview of the Law	845
(ii) Statistics	846
(e) Physician-Assisted Suicide in Montana	847
(i) Overview of the Law	847

(ii) Commentary.....	848
(iii) Statistics.....	849
(f) Physician-Assisted Suicide in Montana.....	849
(i) Overview of the Law.....	849
(ii) Commentary.....	852
2. EUTHANASIA/ASSISTED SUICIDE IN THE NETHERLANDS.....	852
(a) Evolution of the Dutch Law.....	852
(b) The Dutch Legislation.....	859
(i) Overview of the Law.....	859
(ii) Statistics.....	862
(iii) Commentary.....	864
3. EUTHANASIA/ASSISTED SUICIDE IN BELGIUM....	870
(a) The Belgium Legislation.....	870
(i) Overview of the Law.....	870
(ii) Statistics and Commentary.....	873
4. EUTHANASIA/ASSISTED SUICIDE IN LUXEMBOURG.....	875
(a) The Luxembourg Legislation.....	875
(i) Overview of the Law.....	876
(ii) Statistics and Commentary.....	878
5. ASSISTED SUICIDE IN SWITZERLAND.....	878
(a) The Swiss Penal Code and Narcotics Laws.....	878
(i) Overview of the Law.....	880
(ii) Statistics.....	882
(iii) Commentary.....	883
6. THE SPECTRE OF THE NAZI EUTHANASIA PROGRAM.....	884
7. THE LEGALIZATION ISSUE: A COMMENT ON SUFFERING, PALLIATIVE CARE AND LOGIC.....	886
INDEX.....	901