

INDEX

A

Accommodation

- drug and alcohol testing, 138-139, 154
- • key principle, 139
- • *Meiorin* test, 138-139
- • • factors to be considered for undue hardship, 138
- • positive result: accommodation in context of drug and alcohol testing, 154
- • undue hardship as onerous standard, 138
- medical and fitness testing, 75-76
- • three-step test for determining whether standard or requirement being BFOR, 75-76
- • • *Meiorin* test, 76

Alcohol testing, *see* Drug and alcohol testing

C

Conditional offer of employment

- education and professional or trade certification checks, 39
- introduction, xiv-xvi
- • background checks to be conducted after offer accepted, xiv-xvi

- • • credit check, xiv
- • • education checks, xv
- • • medical and fitness, drug and alcohol tests, xv
- • rationale for approach, xiv
- immigration, terrorism and industry-specific checks, 164
- sample background checking conditions, 203

Consent

- medical and fitness testing, 79-80
- • consent issues, 79-80
- • • confidentiality, 80
- • • consent to examination, 79-80
- • • consent to release of information, 80
- police records check, 110-111, 113
- • consent of applicant required, 110-111, 113
- privacy considerations, 186
- reference checking, 18, 22
- • consent of applicant, obtaining, 18, 22
- • • prior to contacting current employer, 22
- sample consent to background checks, 203

Index

Court searches

- court records
- • decisions vs court records, 175
- • executive summary, 175
- • jurisdictions, 177-181
- • jurisdictions, 177-181
 - • • Alberta, 178
 - • • British Columbia, 178
 - • • federal, 178
 - • • Manitoba, 178
 - • • New Brunswick, 178-179
 - • • Newfoundland, 179
 - • • Nova Scotia, 179
 - • • Ontario, 179
 - • • Prince Edward Island, 179-180
 - • • Quebec, 180
 - • • Saskatchewan, 180
 - • • Northwest Territories, 180
 - • • Nunavut, 180
 - • • Yukon, 180-181
- what to look for in search, 177
- • employment cases where applicant is plaintiff or defendant, 177
- • statement of claim, 177
- why search court records, 176-177
- • litigious employees, 176

CPIC records checks, 107-108,
see also **Police records checks**

Credit checks

- credit reporting statutes in Canada, 50-51

- • principles applied by credit reporting agencies, 50-51
- executive summary, 47
- how to obtain credit history, 49-50
- human rights considerations, 55-56
- introduction, 47-48
 - • credit ratings, 47
 - • credit reports, 47-48, 56
- privacy considerations in credit checking, 54-55
 - • “reasonableness” of credit checks, 54-55
 - • whether credit check warranted for particular job, 55
- provincial rules on credit reporting, 51-54
 - • Alberta, 51
 - • British Columbia, 51
 - • Manitoba, 52
 - • Newfoundland and Labrador, 54
 - • Nova Scotia, 53-54
 - • Ontario, 52-53
 - • Prince Edward Island, 54
 - • Quebec, 53
 - • Saskatchewan, 51-52
- sample consumer credit reports online, 56
- when to conduct credit check, 49
- why check person’s credit, 48
 - • CFO position, 48
- why not check person’s credit, 49

Index

- Credit checks (*cont'd*)
 - • applicant's "right of access" to information collected, 49
- D**
- Drug and alcohol testing**
 - defined, 136
 - executive summary, 133
 - future implications, 155
 - • case law, developing, 155
 - introduction, 134
 - human rights commission policies on pre-employment drug and alcohol testing, 142
 - • Alberta, 145
 - • British Columbia, 145
 - • Canada, 143-144
 - • cross-border trucking and bus operations, 152
 - • Manitoba, 146
 - • New Brunswick, 148
 - • Newfoundland and Labrador, 149
 - • Northwest Territories, 150
 - • Nunavut, 151
 - • Ontario, 147
 - • Prince Edward Island, 148
 - • Québec, 147
 - • Saskatchewan, 146
 - • Yukon, 150
 - law on pre-employment drug and alcohol testing, 136-141
 - • accommodation, 138-139
 - • • key principle, 138-139
 - • • *Meiorin* test, 138
 - • • factors to be considered for undue hardship, 138
 - • • undue hardship as onerous standard, 138
 - • additional decisions on pre-employment drug and alcohol testing, 139-141
 - • • bank's drug testing policy being *prima facie* discriminatory, 139
 - • • company's drug testing program being reasonably necessary, 139
 - • • • motor vehicle coach company distinguished from other employers, 139-140
 - • • • policy struck down as company not showing undue hardship, 140
 - • • employer's drug testing policy treating employees with positive test as disabled, 140
 - • background, 136
 - • labour arbitration decisions, 141
 - • • whether drug testing able to measure present impairment, 141
 - • leading case: *Entrop v. Imperial Oil Ltd.*, 136-138
 - • • flaws in pre-employment drug testing, 137-138
 - • • three-step process for determining whether policy violating OHRC, 136-137
 - resources, additional, 155-156

Index

- Drug and alcohol testing (*cont'd*)
 - what is pre-employment drug and alcohol testing, 136
 - when to conduct pre-employment drug and alcohol testing, 153
 - which employers should have pre-employment drug and alcohol testing policy, 152–154
 - • methods to be used for pre-employment drug and alcohol testing, 153–154
 - • • alcohol, 153
 - • • drugs, 154
 - • positive result: accommodation in context of drug and alcohol testing, 154
 - • strategic considerations: reducing risk of human rights complaints and upholding policy, 154
 - • what to consider, 152
 - • when applicant to undergo pre-employment drug and alcohol testing, 152
 - • who to be tested, 152–153
 - • • safety-sensitive positions, 152–153
 - why conduct pre-employment drug and alcohol testing, 134–135
 - • legislative requirements regarding occupational health and safety, 134–135, 155
 - • • *Canada Labour Code*, Part II, 134
 - • • *Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act*, 134
 - • • recent and developing case law, 155
 - • reducing risk of criminal liability for occupational health and safety offences, 134–135
 - • • “criminal” workplace health and safety duty, 134–135
 - • • duty mandated by *Criminal Code* being more onerous, 134–135
 - • reducing risk of vicarious liability, 135
 - • safety, efficiency, absenteeism, 134

E

Education and professional or trade certification checks

- cases involving misrepresentation of education or professional qualifications, 32–36
- education fraud on résumés, 29–30
- executive summary, 29
- how to verify education or professional or trade certification, 41–43
 - • educational institutions, contacting, 41–42
 - • professional associations, contacting, 42
 - • trade certifications, confirming, 42–43
- introduction, 29
- privacy considerations, 43
- screening applicants out solely on basis of overqualification: cautionary note, 39–41, 44

Index

Education and professional or trade certification checks (*cont'd*)

- • immigrants to Canada, 39-41
- • • correlation between visible minority immigrant and overqualification status, 40
- strategic considerations, 43-44
- what to be verified, 37
- • degrees or diplomas from colleges or universities, 37
- • professional certifications or licences, 37
- • trade certifications or qualifications, 37
- when to verify applicant's education or professional certification, 38-39
- • advertising for hiring and upon receipt of job application or résumé, 38
- • conditional offer of employment accepted, after, 39
- • job interview, during, 38
- whose education or professional qualifications to be verified, 31-32
- why verify applicant's education or professional certification, 30-31
- • future costs, protecting against, 31
- • negligence claims, reducing risk of, 30-31
- • misrepresentation of educational achievements not uncommon, 30

- • reputation of business and employee morale, 31

F

Fitness testing, *see* Medical and fitness testing

H

Human rights considerations

- credit checks, 55-56
- discrimination, grounds of, 2-3
- drug and alcohol testing, 136-142
- immigration and terrorism checks, 167
- medical and fitness testing, 76-77, 85
- personal interview, 2-5
- psychometric testing, 64-66
- reference checking, 25-26

I

Immigration and terrorism security checks

- employer's obligation to check if applicant entitled to work in Canada, 160, 164-165
- • *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act (IRPA)*, 160
- executive summary, 159
- how to confirm entitlement to work in Canada, 161-163
- • workers with social insurance number (SIN), 161
- • • temporary work permit, 161

Index

Immigration and terrorism security checks (*cont'd*)

- • workers without social insurance number (SIN), 161–163
- introduction, 159–160
- • privacy legislation, 160
- potential liability if immigration and terrorism security checks not obtained, 167
- • human rights and privacy considerations, 167
- • immigration checks, 167
- • terrorism checks, 167
- temporary foreign workers: special requirements, 163–164
- • exemption from temporary work permit, 163–164
- • HRSDC assessment of labour market, 163
- • HRSDC confirmation requirement, 163
- • • categories of exempt workers, 163
- terrorism checks, 165–167
- • cross-industry legislative regime, 165–166
- • how terrorism check performed, 166
- • security legislation, 165–166
- • terrorism regulations, 166
- when employer required to check whether applicant permitted to work in Canada, 160, 164–165
- • CHRC's guide to screening and selection, 164
- • conditional offer of employment, 164

- • questions not to ask, 164–165
- • where Canadian citizenship being qualification or requirement, 165
- when terrorism check to be done, 166
- who entitled to work in Canada, 160–161

Internet and social network searches

- internet searches, 171–172
- • risks of discrimination, accuracy, fairness, 171–172
- • search engine searches, 171
- social network searches, 172–173
- • increased use of, 172
- • privacy concerns, 172–173

Interview, *see* Personal Interview

M

Medical and fitness testing

- accommodation questions permitted, 77–78
- case examples, 85–97
- • cases on pre-employment medical and medical testing, 86–97
- • whether *bona fide* requirement, 85–86
- executive summary, 71
- • accommodation, 77–78
- introduction, 72
- law on pre-employment medical or fitness testing, 74–77
- • historical evolution of law on discrimination, 74–76

Index

- Medical and fitness testing (*cont'd*)
- • • direct vs. indirect discrimination, 74–76
 - • • three-step test for determining whether standard or requirement being BFOR, 75–76
 - • • • *Meiorin* test, 76
 - • human rights commission policies on pre-employment medical and fitness testing, 76–77
 - • • principles applicable, 77
 - methods to be used for pre-employment medical or fitness testing, 79–80
 - • consent issues, 79–80
 - • • confidentiality, 80
 - • • consent to examination, 79–80
 - • • consent to release of information, 80
 - • qualified medical professional, 80
 - process following receipt of results of pre-employment medical or fitness testing, 80–81
 - • applicant able to perform job duties with following accommodations, 81
 - • applicant able to perform job duties without accommodations, 80–81
 - • applicant not able to perform job duties with or without accommodations, 81
 - • • letter confirming inability, 81
 - questions able to be asked during hiring process re accommodation, 77–78
 - • whether any accommodation required, 77–78
 - • • Spinal Muscular Atrophy condition, 78
 - risks with pre-employment medical or fitness testing, 73–74
 - strategic considerations: reducing risk of human rights complaints, 85
 - • consistency, 85
 - • technical expertise, limits of, 85
 - what constitutes pre-employment medical or fitness testing, 72–73
 - when to conduct pre-employment medical testing, 78–79
 - where employer uncertain about accommodation requested, 81–85
 - • *Meiorin* three-step test, 82–84
 - • • whether accommodation of applicant creating undue hardship, 84–85
 - • • • accommodation measures, examples of, 84
 - • • • factors considered, 83–84
 - • • whether medical or fitness requirement having legitimate work-related purpose, 82–83
 - • • whether medical or fitness requirement rationally connected to job performance, 82–83
 - • undue hardship, 84–85

Index

Medical and fitness testing (*cont'd*)

- • whether applicant having disability, 82
- • • “disability” defined, 82
- why conduct pre-employment medical or fitness testing, 73

Meiorin test, 82-84, 85-86, 137-139, *see also* **Medical and fitness testing and Drug and Alcohol testing**

N

Negligent misrepresentation, *see also* **Personal interview**

- avoiding negligent misrepresentation, 8
- • British Columbia, special note re, 8-9
- generally, 5
- law of negligent misrepresentation, 5-8
- • detrimental reliance causing damages, 8
- • duty of care based on special relationship, 6
- • leading Canadian case, 5-6
- • misrepresentation made negligently, 7
- • reasonable reliance by employee, 7-8
- • representation that is untrue, inaccurate or misleading, 6-7

O

Overview

- bad hire, cost of, xi

- conditional offers of employment, xiv-xvi
- • background checks to be conducted after offer accepted, xv-xvi
- objective of book, xvi
- prevalence of background checks, xii-xiii
- résumé fraud and other misrepresentations, statistics on, xi
- trends in background checking, xiii-xiv
- • security checks increasing, xiii
- why conducting background checking, xii

P

Personal interview

- executive summary, 1
- human rights considerations, 2-5
- • human rights commission policies, 4-5
- • overqualification, note on, 5
- • prohibited grounds of discrimination, 2-3
- • questions during interview, 3-4
- • • questions to avoid, 4
- introduction, 1-2
- • legal risks in interview, 1-2
- means of background checking, 9-12
- • background checking policy, explaining, 11
- • • types of background checks, 11
- • background preparation, 9

Index

- Personal interview (*cont'd*)
- • demeanour of applicant, observing, 10
 - • information on application form and résumé, confirming, 9-10
 - • • education, 10
 - • • gaps in information, clarify, 10
 - • • prior work experience, 10
 - • information to be disclosed in background check, asking for, 11-12
 - • interviewers, training, 9
 - • notes of interview retained for appropriate time, 12
 - • notes of interview, taking, 11
 - • standard set of interview questions, drafting, 9
 - negligent misrepresentation, 5-9
 - • avoiding negligent misrepresentation, 8
 - • • British Columbia, special note re, 8-9
 - • generally, 5
 - • law of negligent misrepresentation, 5-8
 - • • detrimental reliance causing damages, 8
 - • • duty of care based on special relationship, 6
 - • • leading Canadian case, *Cognos Inc.*, 5-6
 - • • misrepresentation being negligently made, 7
 - • • reasonable reliance by employee, 7-8
 - • • representation that was untrue, inaccurate or misleading, 6-7
- Police records checks**
- child abuse registry searches, 128-129
 - • Manitoba, 128
 - • Nova Scotia, 128-129
 - executive summary, 101-102
 - how to obtain police records check, process, 109-114
 - • applicant sent to police station, 111
 - • pros and cons of each process, 111-112
 - • third-party background checking, 109-111
 - • • no vulnerable sector search by third-party, 109
 - • • steps in process, 110-111
 - • vulnerable sector check, 112-114
 - • • human rights considerations, 114
 - • • verification process, 113
 - • • vulnerable persons defined, 112
 - introduction, 102
 - • “conviction”, meaning of, 102
 - • criminal offences vs. provincial offences, 102
 - jurisdictions where employers able to refuse to hire applicant because of criminal record, 117-118
 - • where pardon, 118

Index

- Police records checks (*cont'd*)
- jurisdictions where employers required to show that criminal record related to job, 118–126
 - • four jurisdictions, 118–119
 - • • British Columbia, 118
 - • • Prince Edward Island, 119
 - • • Quebec, 118
 - • • Yukon, 118
 - • offences found to be related to particular jobs, 124–126
 - • “relatedness”, determining, 124–126
 - • • circumstances of conviction and particulars of offence, 125
 - • • elapse of time since conviction, 125
 - • • factors generally, 124
 - • • what individual doing since conviction, 125–126
 - • • whether criminal behaviour threatening safe conduct of business, 124–125
 - • • whether individual showing any tendencies to repeat criminal behaviour, 126
 - • tests used in legislation, 120–123
 - levels of police records checks, 106–109
 - • criminal records check (CRC), 106–107
 - • information check (PIC), 107–108
 - • vulnerable sector search (PVSC), 108–109
 - mental health apprehensions, 115–116
 - provincial offences, records of, 127
 - refusal to hire person because of charge, although found not guilty, 126–127
 - • Ontario Human Rights Commission only protecting those convicted and pardoned, 126
 - • risk of complaint if not hired where only charged, 127
 - resources, additional, 129
 - role of local police and RCMP in police records checks, 109–111
 - • provincial police forces, 109–111
 - types of police records checks, 106–109
 - • police criminal records check (CRC), 106–107
 - • police information check (PIC), 107–108
 - • • limitations of CPIC check, 114–115
 - • police vulnerable sector search (PVSC), 108–109
 - unionized environment, issues in, 127–128
 - • whether collective agreement providing protection, 127–128
 - vulnerable sector check, 112–114
 - ways to obtain information on person’s police history, 104–105
 - • asking person, 104–105
 - • police records check, 104–105

Index

Police records checks (*cont'd*)

- when to obtain police records check, 105-106
- why check police records, 103-104
 - • business reputation, 104
 - • legislative requirement to perform criminal records check, 103
 - • reducing risk of liability for negligence, 103
 - • reducing risk of vicarious liability, 103-104
- young offenders records check, 116-117

Privacy considerations

- best practices, 185-189
 - • access to information on job applicants, 189
 - • collection, limiting, 186-187
 - • consent, 186
 - • openness, 189
 - • purposes, identifying, 186
 - • retention of information on unsuccessful job applicants, 187-188, 189-190
 - • decision records, 189-190
 - • • retention period, 189-190
 - • safeguards, 188-189
 - • use and disclosure, limiting, 187
- credit checks, 54-55
 - • privacy considerations in credit checking, 54-55
 - • “reasonableness” of credit checks, 54-55

- • whether credit check warranted for particular job, 55
- education and professional certification checks, 43
- federally-regulated employers, 183
 - • PIPEDA, 183
- introduction, 183
- provincially-regulated employers, 184-185
 - • British Columbia, Alberta, Québec and Territories, 184
 - • Manitoba, 184-185
 - • Ontario, 184-185
 - • • *Personal Health Information Act (PHIPA)*, 185
- Saskatchewan, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, P.E.I. and Newfoundland, 184-185
- retention of records used to make decision, 187-190
 - • retention of information on unsuccessful job applicants, 187-188
 - • special rules, 189-190
 - • • PIPEDA principle, 189-190
 - • • provincial legislation, 190

Professional or trade certification checks, *see* Education and professional or trade certification checks

Psychometric testing

- choice of psychometric tests, 62-63
- administration of test considered, 63
- cost, 63

Index

- Psychometric testing (*cont'd*)
 - • determining skills or traits to be measured, 62-63
 - • tests intended to measure relevant skills or traits, 63
 - criticisms of psychometric tests, 67-68
 - • Ontario Law Reform Commission report, 67-68
 - • reliability of test, 68
 - defined, 60
 - executive summary, 59
 - further information, 69
 - introduction, 59-60
 - law on psychometric testing, 64-67
 - • adverse impact, 64-66
 - • • questions having negative affect, 64-66
 - • • whether culturally biased, 65
 - • • whether identifying mental disorders, 65
 - • employer conduct after discovering employee's psychological profile, 66-67
 - • • intentional infliction of mental suffering, 66-67
 - • human rights obligations, 64-66
 - • • adverse impact, 64-66
 - • • job relatedness, 64
 - practice tips, 68-69
 - confidentiality, 69
 - consistency, 68
 - psychometric testing being only part of hiring process, 68
 - types of, 61
 - • behavioural, 61
 - • cognitive or intelligence, 61
 - • personality, 61
 - • • RCMP Police Aptitude Battery (RPAB), 61
 - when to conduct, 62
 - • conditional offer of employment accepted, after, 62
 - • explanation of what testing involving, 62
 - why conduct, 60
 - • additional information obtained about applicant, 60
 - • better performance, 60
 - • employee retention and cost savings, 60

R

Reference checking

- consequences of not checking references, 16-17
 - • business cost, 16
 - • legal liability, 16-17
- employment verification checks, note on, 23-24
- executive summary, 15
- human rights considerations, 25-26
 - • generally, 25-26
 - • • information not permitted to gather, 25-26
 - • new Canadians, 26
- introduction, 15-16
- letters of reference, 24
- problems with reliability of reference checks, 18

Index

Reference checking (*cont'd*)

- steps to take before contacting referee, 18–22
 - • consent of applicant, obtaining, 18–19, 22
 - • • prior to contacting current employer, 22
 - • consistency, ensuring, 20–21
 - • • example of inconsistent treatment, 21
 - • cross-section of referees, contacting, 19
 - • industry standard for executive search: 5 years and 3 jobs, 21
 - • information not to be given to referee, 22
 - • job requirements, understanding, 20
 - • list of questions, preparing, 21
 - • names of referees from all past employers, obtaining, 19
 - • negative information about applicant, confirming, 21
 - • referee having sufficient experience with applicant, ensuring, 19
 - • referees not named by applicant, identifying, 19–20
 - • who conducting reference check, 20
 - • • human resources professionals, 20
 - • • third-party screening firms, 20
 - theory of reference checks, 16
 - ways of obtaining references, 17–18
 - • online questionnaire, 17

- when speaking with referee, 22–23
 - • suggestions for obtaining helpful important information, 22–23

Reference letters, *see* Reference checking

S

Sample background checking policy and procedure

- assessment of results, 200
- background checking procedure, 195–200
- confidentiality, 200
- documentation retention, 201
- introduction, 193
- privacy policy, 201
- which checks being performed, 194
- who performing checks, 194–195
- why performing background checking, 193

Social network searches, *see* Internet and social network searches

T

Terrorism checks, 165–166, 167, *see also* Immigration and terrorism security checks

U

Undue hardship

- drug and alcohol testing, 137–138

Index

- Undue hardship (*cont'd*)
 - • undue hardship as onerous standard, 138
 - • medical and fitness training, 73-74
 - • whether accommodation of applicant creating undue hardship, 81, 83, 84-85
 - • • accommodation measures, examples of, 84
 - • • factors considered, 83-84