# **Index**

#### ABOLISHING INQUIRIES, 5, 6. 175

# **ACCOMPLICES**, 87-8, 106

# **ADJOURNMENT**

- Charter motion, pending hearing of, 101
- Crown request for, 151
- · disclosure and
  - • remedy for late disclosure, 106
  - •• timing of disclosure, 16-18, 20, 106
- discretion of justice, 77, 132, 151-152
  - • failure to exercise, 151-152
- election and plea, of, 16
- inconvenience to witnesses, 125
- · loss of jurisdiction by refusing, 138
- prejudice and, 132
- public interest privilege application, for, 48
- remedy for late disclosure, 106
- review of decision re, 77, 110, 132, 151-152
- witnesses, to call or subpoena
  - • generally, 65, 66, 125, 138
  - • "relevant to the inquiry", 66, 77, 125, 132
  - • s. 536.3 notice 38-39,
  - •• s. 541(5) pursuant to, 66, 77, 110, 132

**AFFIDAVITS**, 47, 96, 118, 122-123,134-135

# AGREEMENT TO LIMIT SCOPE OF INQUIRY — s. 536.5, 43-44

# ALBERTA PRACTICE RULES AND FORMS, APPENDIX, 161-173

- absence of accused, requests for, 166
- application for appearance of witness under s. 540(9), 165
- application of practice note, 162
- · definitions, 162
- evidence tendered pursuant to s. 540, 165
- · forms
  - •• Form A Counsel Statement Identifying Issues and Witnesses, 167
  - • Form B Request for Hearing Pursuant to s. 536.4 of the *Criminal Code*,168-169

# ALBERTA PRACTICE RULES AND FORMS, APPENDIX (cont'd.)

- • Form C Agreement and Admissions at Hearing Held under s. 536.4 of the *Criminal Code*, 170-171
- •• Form D Mutual Agreement to Limit Scope of Preliminary Inquiry, 172-173
- notice under s. 540, 165
- offences governed by amendments, 163
- · overview, general, 161-162
- requests for inquiry, 163-164
- s. 536.4 pre-inquiry hearings, 164-165, 167-171
- scope of inquiry, limiting, 165, 172-173
- unrepresented accused, 162-166, 169
- · young persons, 166

# **AMENDMENTS OF 2004**

- enactment, 5
  - • legislative intent, 6

#### **APPEARANCE**

- election without, 28
- for examination under s. 540(9), 68, 120, 150, 152, 165-166
- generally, 68, 120, 150, 152

#### APPELLATE REVIEW

- decision to commit not open to appeal in R. v. Thomson, 154
- exercise of discretion in granting application not open to appeal in *R. c. M. (P.)*, 149-150
- generally, 149
- scope of review
  - • R. v. Francis, 150
  - • R. v. Hynes, 154-155
  - • R. v. Huynh, 151
  - • R. v. Martin, 151-152
  - • R. v. Papadopoulos, 151
  - •• R. v. Sweet, 152-153

# BEBBINGTON, HOWARD, COMMENTS TO SENATE RE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS, 7

BILL C-15A, 5, 8, 12, 38, 178-138, 158, 161-162, 175

# BROSSEAU, CAROLE, COMMENTS TO STANDING SENATE COMMITTEE ON LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS, 8

# CANADA EVIDENCE ACT

- s. 9 (adverse witnesses), 142, 143, 145
- s. 37 (public interest privilege), 48

#### **CERTIORARI**

- application by accused
  - • granted, 10, 45, 89, 138
  - refused
    - ••• adjournment, re, 77, 132
    - ••• calling of witness, re, 66-67, 108, 111,122, 132
    - ••• cross-examination, re, 47, 72, 109, 128, 133, 135
    - • generally, 89
    - ••• submissions, re, 150-151
    - ••• third party records, re, 111
- · application by Crown
  - •• granted, 90, 154
  - • refused, 37, 50, 55, 75, 105, 149, 151, 153
- application by witness, 130
- delay caused by, 126, 127, 157
- delayed disclosure, to challenge, 123
- · discretion of justice
  - • adjournments, re, 77, 151-152
  - • calling of witness on own motion, 97-98, 145
  - •• calling of witness, to permit, 50, 77, 151
  - • cross-examination, to limit, 45-47, 128, 153
  - • cross-examination, to permit, 2, 50, 74, 87, 128, 131, 139, 143, 149, 151
    - ••• party's own witness, 31, 143, 146
  - •• generally, 2, 5, 8, 14, 46, 59, 77, 131, 145, 151, 153, 157
  - •• s. 540(7) application, 30, 46, 74
  - •• s. 540(9) application, 50-51, 59, 68-69,74, 87,130, 137, 139, 149, 153, 157
  - •• s. 541(5), under, 77, 122, 131, 137, 157
  - •• submissions, re, 128, 150, 151
- · discretionary nature of remedy, 126
- failure to consider evidence, challenging, 90
- granted on appeal, 68, 110
- grounds generally, 10, 150
- limit on cross-examination, to challenge, 47, 108-109, 128, 140
- order for cross-examination, to challenge, 54, 152
- order permitting calling of witness, to challenge, 75
- order refusing adjournment, to challenge 77, 110, 132, 138, 151-152
- order refusing calling of witness, to challenge, 108, 132
- order refusing cross-examination, to challenge, 68, 72, 126, 133, 135
- order refusing to permit submissions, to challenge, 150-151
- powers of reviewing court, 154
- reversed on appeal, 10, 126, 133-134, 140
- s. 540(7) ruling, to challenge, 55, 67, 122
- s. 540(9) ruling, to challenge, 54, 68, 72, 73, 111, 137, 149, 152-153
- s. 541 non-compliance, to remedy, 89, 133-134
- s. 541(1) ruling, to challenge, 108

# CERTIORARI (cont'd.)

- s. 541(5) ruling, to challenge, 75, 110, 137
- scope of review, limited, 23, 77, 150
- subpoena, to quash, 105, 130
- sufficiency of evidence, contesting, 55, 73, 150, 154
- sufficiency of notice, challenging, 73
- timing of application, 127

# **CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS**

- abuse of process, 44, 101
- accused's rights where defence application to cross-examine witness refused, 62
- "court of competent jurisdiction", 5, 29, 114, 122, 129, 135
- exclusion of evidence, 92-93, 112, 114, 122, 142-143, 154
- fair trial, right to, see FAIR TRIAL, RIGHT TO
- full answer and defence, right to see FULL ANSWER AND DEFENCE, RIGHT TO
- generally, 3, 11, 34, 47, 62, 75, 94, 99, 101, 103, 105, 113, 121, 125, 146
- issue identification, 8, 9, 31, 48, 76, 103, 110-111, 147
- jurisdiction of preliminary inquiry justice to exclude evidence, 92-93, 112, 154
- jurisdiction of preliminary inquiry justice to hear evidence related to Charter issues
  - • R. v. Hynes, 112, 154
  - • R. v. P. (T.), 98
- no right to preliminary inquiry, 112
- principles of fundamental justice, 19, 62, 88, 112
- right to counsel, 135
- right to cross-examine witness at preliminary inquiry, 34, 35, 88, 100, 105-106, 127, 137
- role in preliminary inquiry, 8, 9
- s. 7, 19, 44, 88, 100, 106, 109, 112, 114, 119, 121
- s. 8, 103, 140, 146
- s. 11(b), 17, 19
- s. 11(d), 19
- s. 24, 109, 135
- s. 24(1), 95, 119, 154
- s. 24(2), 92-93, 112, 128, 140, 154-155
- s. 541(5) of the Criminal Code and, 122, 127-128, 130-131
- unreasonable delay, s. 11(b), 17, 19, 101

# CHASSE, KEN, COMMENTARY ON EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES DURING PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, 6-7

# **CHILD WITNESSES**

- application to cross-examine, 50, 55, 57, 59, 60, 74, 149, 152
  - • appellate review of, 50, 149, 152, 153
  - • factors considered, 58-59, 152
  - • purpose of cross-examination, 129

# CHILD WITNESSES (cont'd.)

- • refused, 129
- competence to testify, 55
- "credible and trustworthy" evidence, 55-59
- emotional harm, 60
- psychological harm, 60
- request for Crown to call, 35, 74
  - • issue: credibility, 35,129
  - • sufficiency of s. 536.3 notice, 74
- s. 540(7) applications
  - • "credible and trustworthy" evidence, 55-58
  - •• effect on inquiry process, 57-58
  - • generally, 55-58, 60, 63, 74, 115-116, 152
  - • granted, 50, 55, 74, 115
  - • "reason and common sense" standard, 57
  - • refused, 56, 60
  - • scope of provision, 56
  - • voir dire, 59, 115
- s. 540(9) applications, 57, 59, 60, 74, 115-116, 129, 149
  - • appellate review of, 50, 149, 152, 153
  - • factors considered, 58-59, 152
  - •• granted, 50, 55, 60-61, 116,149,152
  - • limits on cross-examination, 153
  - • narrow interpretation, 129
  - • onus, 153
  - • refused, 129
  - • voir dire, 59
- · third party records regarding, 111
- transcript of evidence, 60, 116, 152
- videotaped statements, 55, 57, 59, 60, 74, 115

### **CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE**, 22-23, 48-49, 85, 89-90

#### COMMITTAL

- direct evidence and inevitability of committal in R. v. Arcuri, 49
- limited weighing of evidence, 22, 24, 25, 49, 89
- review of, see APPELLATE REVIEW; CERTIORARI
- "scintilla of evidence" in R. v. Aikens, 23
- "sufficient evidence" test in *United States v. Shephard*, 20-21
- · test for committal
  - • R. v. Muir, 24-25
  - • R. v. Charemski, 21-23
  - •• s. 548, 15
  - • Shephard test, 3, 9, 20-21, 42, 85, 111,129

#### CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT, 47-48, 104, 127

# CROSS-EXAMINATION AND CALLING EVIDENCE UNDER ss. 540(9) AND 541(5), see also CHILD WITNESSES

- broad interpretation of ss. 540(9) and 541(5)
  - • "appropriate" in s. 540(9) broader in meaning than "relevant" to issue of committal in *R. v. Rao*, 139
  - • cross-examination not specifically prohibited by Parliament in amendments in *R. v. Hurley,* 137
  - • preliminary inquiry as discovery mechanism in R. v. LeBlanc, 137-138
  - • preliminary inquiry proper forum for testing credibility of Crown's witnesses
    - ••• R. v. Cover, 139-140
    - • R. c. M. (P.), 138-139
    - • R. v. Giroux, 138
- • scope of examination and cross-examination in R. v. George, 140-141
- calling witnesses at preliminary inquiry in R. v. Cramer, 127-128
- generally, 121-122
- narrow interpretation of ss. 540(9) and 541(5)
  - • accused's right to call evidence at preliminary inquiry in R. v. Tran, 136-137
  - • application to quash subpoenas in R. v. JTI-Macdonald Corp., 130
  - •• credibility not issue for preliminary inquiry in R. v. Morgan, 129-130
  - • cross-examination by defence of witnesses for purposes of "discovery" permitted in *R. v. Dawson*, 134-136
  - • defence limited by s. 541(5) to matters relevant to inquiry in *R. v. Gateway Industries Ltd.*, 134
  - • error in ordering Crown to call witness in R. v. Brass, 134
  - • onus on defence to show witness testimony meets s. 541(5) requirements
    - • R. v. Hiebert, 132
    - • R. v. Pilkington, 130-132
  - • purpose of cross-examination when determining reliability of evidence in *R. v. Uttak*, 129
  - • refusal to grant application to call witnesses an evidentiary ruling within jurisdiction in *R. v. Rutigliano*, 132-133
  - •• refusal to grant application to cross-examine in Yorke v. R., 133-134
  - • sole purpose of preliminary inquiry being determination of committal in *R. v. Caccamo*, 141
- "relevant to the inquiry", interpretations of
  - •• R. v. Gateway Industries Ltd., 122
  - • R. v. Hurley, 124
  - • R. v. McFadden and Rao, 125-126
  - •• R. v. Rao, 122
  - • R. v. Tran, 124
- time delays in *certiorari* applications
  - • Cohen v. R., 126
  - • R. v. M.B., 126-127

#### **DEFENCE EVIDENCE** — s. 541(5)

- applications by defence to cross-examine witnesses
  - •• R. v. Clancey, 142-143
  - •• R. v. Coffin, 143
  - • R. v. Ethier, 141-142
  - • R. v. Hurley, 146-147
  - • R. v. Wong, 143, 144-145
- calling witness during preliminary inquiry in R. v. Cook, 145-146
- effect of amendments on s. 541(5) generally, 75
- discovery, role of in preliminary inquiry, 75-76
- reasons for denial of applications under s. 541(5), 76-77

# DI LUCA, JOSEPH, "A DAY IN THE LIFE PRELIMINARY INQUIRY REDUX: BILL C-15A", 11, 158

**DIRECT EVIDENCE**, 22, 24, 49, 89-90, 116

**DIRECT INDICTMENT**, 32, 44, 50, 67, 88, 112, 154

**DIRECTED VERDICT**, 21-23

#### DISCLOSURE

- discovery, vs., 106-108
- incompleteness of, 19, 52, 93
- lack of, historically, 9-10, 11
- negating the necessity of preliminary inquiry, 158
- · when required of Crown, key judgments
  - • R. v. Biscette, 20
  - • R. v. Bjelland, 20
  - • R. v. Findlater, 19
  - • R. v. Fuller, 18-19
  - • R. v. Kiameh, 17-18
  - • R. v. Stinchcombe, 16-17

#### DISCOVERY

- "ancillary" or "incidental" purpose in preliminary inquiry process, 115, 158
  - • Charter issues and jurisdiction of preliminary inquiry justice
    - ••• R. v. Gill, 128
    - ••• R. v. Hynes, 112, 114
  - cross-examination under s. 540(1)(a), 108
  - • disclosure, vs., 106-108
  - • evidence "relevant to the inquiry", 108-110
  - • extent of discovery, lack of judicial consensus regarding, 102-106
  - • extent of discovery limited to evidence received in course of determining whether accused should be committed to stand trial, 101
  - • full answer and defence in R. v. Bjelland, 100, 114
  - • generally, 81, 99, 158
  - • limited judicial resources in R. v. Robichaud, 110-111

# **DISCOVERY** (cont'd.)

- • no right to examine or cross-examine witness prior to trial, 100, 101
- • not being purpose of preliminary inquiry
  - • R. v. Arviv, 112
  - ••• R. v. B. (S.A.), 111-112
  - • R. v. McFarlane, 113
- • obligation of Crown
  - ••• R. c. L. (S.J.), 115
  - • R. v. Girimonte, 113-114
- • powers limited by Criminal Code in R. v. Howard, 101
- "secondary" purpose in preliminary inquiry process
  - • generally, 158
  - •• importance of discovery in R. v. Rao, 119-120
  - • Parliament's intention to maintain preliminary inquiry process in *R. v. I. (S.P.)*, 115-116
  - •• role of discovery in R. v. C. (C.A.), 117
  - •• trial fairness vs. expediency in R. v. Inglis, 117-119

# **ELECTION AND PLEA**

- · adjournment of, 16
- appearance to enter, 28
- disclosure before, 2, 12, 16, 19, 28, 81, 107
- informed decision re, 5, 17, 27, 107
- · self-represented accused, 28

# EPP, H.A., "ABOLISHING PRELIMINARY INQUIRIES IN CANADA", 5,175

#### **EVIDENCE**

- affidavits, see AFFIDAVITS
- Canada Evidence Act, 48, 142, 143,145
- child witnesses, of, see CHILD WITNESSES
- circumstantial, 22-23, 48-49, 85, 89-90
- confidential informant, 47-48, 104, 127
- defence evidence, see DEFENCE EVIDENCE s. 541(5)
- direct, 22, 24, 49, 89-90, 116
- disclosure, see DISCLOSURE
- · discovery, see DISCOVERY
- hearsay, 51, 53, 56, 58, 61, 62-64
- identification, see IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE
- inferences from, 22-25, 49, 89-90
- KGB statements, 53, 63, 106, 118
- limits on cross-examination, 28, 45, 80, 101
- "no evidence", 22-24, 56
- notice to tender, and, see EVIDENCE AND NOTICE TO TENDER
- post-offence conduct, 150, 151
- "scintilla of evidence", see COMMITTAL

# EVIDENCE (cont'd.)

- "sufficient evidence", see COMMITTAL
- vulnerable witnesses, see VULNERABLE WITNESSES
- weighing, limited, 22, 24, 25, 49, 89

# **EVIDENCE AND NOTICE TO TENDER — ss. 540(7), 540(8), 540(9)**

- broad interpretation of evidentiary applications
  - • admission of evidence where witness fails to appear in R. v. McCormick, 61-62
  - • application to cross-examine witness under s. 540(9) in R. v. Vaughn, 58-59
  - • certiorari application in R. v. Sweet, 54-55
  - •• child witness statements in R. v. I. (S.P.), 55
  - •• "credible or trustworthy" in R. v. Sonier, 53-54
  - • credibility of witness who recants in R. v. Pinnock, 54
  - •• cross-examination of child in R. v. C. (C.A.), 60-61
  - • cross-examination of child in R. v. M. (C.), 60
  - • disclosure not substitute for cross-examination, 53
  - • documentary evidence in R. v. McFadden and Rao, 59-60
  - • lower evidentiary threshold in R. v. Francis, 55-56
  - •• oral statements, "reason and common sense" standard in R. v. Uttak, 56-57
  - • preliminary inquiry process in R. v. Vaughn, 57-58
- general principles
  - • direct evidence, 49
  - • evidence heard, generally, 48
  - • factors considered when determining s. 540(9) application, 51-52
  - •• jurisdiction of court under s. 540(9), 50-51
- narrow interpretation of evidentiary applications
- • admission of records through police officer under s. 540(7) in *R. v. McFarlane*, 71
- • admission of tapes and transcripts of 600 phone calls in R. v. Francis, 73
- •• application of ss. 540(7) and (9) in R. v. Francis, 73-74
- •• credibility and consideration of corroborative evidence in R. v. Safaei, 70
- •• "credible or trustworthy" and recanted statements in R. v. Scholberg, 72-72
- • cross-examination application denied where s. 536.3 Notice found inadequate in *R. v. Morgan*, 74
- •• discovery not relevant to inquiry in R. v. McFadden and Rao, 66-67
- • documentary evidence in R. v. McFadden and Rao, 65-66
- • hearsay evidence in R. v. Trac, 62-64
- • police officer's notes admitted under s. 540(7) in R. v. DaCosta, 72
- • reasonable notice under s. 540(8) in R. v. Saville, 69
- • refusal to grant cross-examination application and accused's *Charter* rights in *R. v. Arviv*, 62
- •• refusal to grant cross-examination application in R. v. Mantla, 70
- •• right of Crown to put case before court in paper form in R. v. Rao, 67-68
- •• self-represented accused's failure to apply under s. 540(9) in R. v. Dunbar, 72
- •• time requirements for preliminary inquiries in R. v. Dhak, 64

# **EVIDENCE AND NOTICE TO TENDER** — ss. 540(7), 540(8), 540(9) (cont'd.)

- • undercover police officer's notes under s. 540(7) in Williamson c. Québec (Juge de la Cour du Québec), 72
- •• videotaped statement admitted under s. 540(7) in R. v. Ireland, 70-71
- • videotaped statement admitted under s. 540(7) where complainant physically unable to testify in *R. v. Roth*, 71

#### **EXTRAORDINARY REMEDIES**

- certiorari, see CERTIORARI
- mandamus, see MANDAMUS
- prohibition, see PROHIBITION

#### FAIR TRIAL, RIGHT TO

- Charter, s. 11(d), 19
- · court's discretion to call witnesses and, 145
- generally, 52, 88, 145
- limits on cross-examination and, 45
- right to cross-examination and, 20, 45, 53, 88
- right to disclosure and, 19, 119

#### FLOW CHART, PROCEDURAL, 27-32

### FOCUS HEARING — s. 536.4

- evidence, 36, 39-42
- generally 16,
- importance of, and R. v. Leblanc, 38
- purpose
  - • generally, 39, 40
  - • R. v. Cheung and, 41
- voir dire prior to preliminary inquiry, 40
- when to request, 16
- witnesses, 42
- written copy of recorded admissions or agreements, 41

# FULL ANSWER AND DEFENCE, RIGHT TO

- available only at trial, 88, 100,111
- component removed by 2004 amendments, 11
- confidential informant, questioning re, 47
- delayed disclosure and, 19-20, 88, 123
- discovery mechanism as integral part of, 47, 135
- generally, 130
- right to call witness and, 130, 132, 152
- · right to cross-examine and
  - •• at prelim, not part of right, 20, 61, 88, 119
  - •• *Charter* issues, re, 135, 140
  - • discovery aspect of inquiry and, 135, 153
  - •• generally, 6, 47, 61, 88, 106, 130, 135, 140

# FULL ANSWER AND DEFENCE, RIGHT TO (cont'd.)

- • refusal to permit line of questioning, 140
- • s. 540(7) and, 61, 119, 153
- •• s. 540(9) and, 46, 119, 152-153
- • s. 541(5) and,130, 132
- right to disclosure and, 19-20, 88, 100, 106, 111, 123, 130
- role at preliminary inquiry, 119

GAROFOLI TEST, 103, 104, 146-147

# GOLD, ALAN, "THE NEW PRELIMINARY INQUIRY HEARING REGIME", 14

HEARSAY EVIDENCE, 51, 53, 56, 58, 61, 62-64

# HISTORY OF PRELIMINARY INQUIRY

- disclosure, lack of, 9-10, 11
- generally, 1-2, 5, 6

**IDENTIFICATION EVIDENCE**, 9, 23, 53, 54, 56, 73, 90, 92

#### JURISDICTION OF PRELIMINARY INQUIRY COURT

- application to cross-examine witnesses not called by Crown in R. v. Wong, 96-97
- determination of whether justice has jurisdiction in R. v. Hynes, 91-94
- directing Crown to call witness in R. v. P. (T.), 97-98
- hearing evidence related to *Charter* issues in R. v. P. (T.), 98
- limited to provisions of Criminal Code in R. v. Boyce, 91
- power to appoint counsel in R. v. Farewell, 94
- power to grant remedies in R. v. Farewell, 95-96

### JURISDICTIONAL ERROR

- application of rules of evidence and, 150
- errors of law not constituting, 150
- failing to consider "whole of the evidence", 24, 25
- failing to follow mandatory provisions of Criminal Code, 89
- generally, 86, 127, 136, 140, 149
- limiting cross-examination, 140
- non-compliance with s. 541, 89
- refusing right to cross-examination, 136, 140
- refusing to hear submissions, 151
- refusing to permit calling of witness, 68, 110, 120, 123

# LIMITING AGREEMENT, 43-44

MANDAMUS, 37, 66-67, 11, 157

MARTIN, ARTHUR, "PRELIMINARY HEARINGS", 9-10

NOTICE TO TENDER, see EVIDENCE AND NOTICE TO TENDER—ss. 540(7), 540(8), 540(9)

# O'BRIEN, NOEL C., LETTER TO EDITOR RE INTENT TO ABOLISH PRELIMINARY INQUIRIES, 12

#### ORDER TO STAND TRIAL OR DISCHARGE — s. 548(1)

• "sufficient evidence", 15-16

#### POWERS OF PRELIMINARY INQUIRY JUSTICE — s. 537, 45-48

**PREFERRED INDICTMENT**, 32, 44, 50, 109, 155

**PROHIBITION**, 66, 67, 91, 157

**PUBLICATION BAN, 55** 

#### PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY INQUIRY

- definitions of "appropriate" and "ancillary" in R. v. Rao, 85
- discovery
  - • "ancillary" purpose in preliminary inquiry process, 81
  - •• broad purpose of inquiry in R. v. LeBlanc, 89-90
  - • cross-examination of witnesses, accused's right to, 87-89
- exculpatory evidence and committal in R. v. Arcuri, 89
- generally, 79-81, 84, 85-87, 89, 157
- inferences made by court when considering evidence in R. v. Raddi, 90
- pre-Stinchcombe, 81-82, 84-85
- sufficient evidence for committal in R. v. Caccamo and R. v. O'Connor, 141
- time required to include inquiry in judicial process, 83

#### R. c. M. (P.)

- appellate review of preliminary inquiry rulings, 149-150
- s. 540(9) application being evidentiary ruling within discretion of preliminary inquiry justice, 51

# R. v. AIKENS AND "SCINTILLA OF EVIDENCE", 23

#### R. v. ARCURI

- direct evidence and committal, 49
- purpose of preliminary inquiry, 89

#### R. v. ARVIV

- discovery not being purpose of preliminary inquiry, 112
- refusal to grant cross-examination application and accused's *Charter* rights, 62

# R. v. B. (S.A.) AND PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY INQUIRY NOT BEING OPPORTUNITY FOR DISCOVERY, 111-112

# R. v. BISCETTE AND WHEN DISCLOSURE REQUIRED OF CROWN, 20

#### R. v. BJELLAND

- full answer and defence, 100, 114, 119
- when disclosure required of Crown, 20, 87-89

# R. v. BOYCE AND JURISDICTION OF PRELIMINARY INQUIRY COURT, 91

- R. v. BRASS AND ERROR IN ORDERING CROWN TO CALL WITNESS, 134
- R. v. CACCAMO AND SOLE PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, 141

### R. v. C. (C.A.)

- cross-examination of child, 60-61
- role of discovery, 117
- R. v. CHAREMSKI AND TEST FOR COMMITTAL, 21-23
- R. v. CHEUNG AND PURPOSE OF FOCUS HEARING, 41
- R. v. COOK AND DEFENCE APPLICATION TO CALL WITNESS DURING PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, 145-146
- R. v. COVER AND PRELIMINARY INQUIRY BEING PROPER FORUM FOR TESTING CREDBILITY OF CROWN'S WITNESSES, 139-140
- R. v. CRAMER AND WITNESSES, 34-35, 127-128
- R. v. DACOSTA AND ADMISSION OF POLICE OFFICER'S NOTES UNDER s. 540(7), 72
- R. v. DAWSON AND DEFENCE CROSS-EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES FOR PURPOSES OF "DISCOVERY", 134-136
- R. v. DHAK AND TIME REQUIREMENTS FOR PRELIMINARY INQUIRIES, 64
- R. v. DUNBAR AND FAILURE OF SELF-REPRESENTED ACCUSED TO APPLY UNDER s. 540(9), 72

#### R. v. FAREWELL

- power of preliminary inquiry justice to appoint counsel, 94
- power of preliminary inquiry justice to grant remedies, 95-96

# R. v. FINDLATER AND WHEN DISCLOSURE REQUIRED OF CROWN, 19

#### R. v. FRANCIS

- admission of tapes and transcripts of 600 phone calls, 73
- application of ss. 540(7) and (9), 73-74
- lower evidentiary threshold, 55-56
- scope of appellate review of preliminary inquiry rulings, 150

### R. v. FULLER AND WHEN DISCLOSURE REQUIRED OF CROWN, 18-19

# R. v. GATEWAY INDUSTRIES LTD.

- defence limited by s.541(5) to matters relevant to inquiry, 134
- interpretation of "relevant to the inquiry", 122
- R. v. GEORGE AND SCOPE OF EXAMINATION AND CROSS-EXAMINATION, 140-141
- R. v. GIRIMONTE AND CROWN OBLIGATIONS RE DISCOVERY IN PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, 113-114

# R. v. GIROUX AND PRELIMINARY INQUIRY BEING PROPER FORUM FOR TESTING CREDIBILITY OF CROWN'S WITNESSES, 138

# R. v. HIEBERT AND DEFENCE ONUS TO SHOW WITNESS TESTIMONY MEETS s. 541(5) REQUIREMENTS, 132

#### R. v. HURLEY

- cross-examination not specifically prohibited by Parliament in amendments, 137
- discretionary power to permit defence to cross-examine own witness, 146-147
- "relevant to the inquiry", interpretation of, 124

#### R. v. HYNES

- appeal of preliminary inquiry ruling, 154-155
- Charter issues in preliminary inquiry, 112, 114
- determination of jurisdiction of preliminary inquiry justice, 91-94

# R. v. INGLIS AND SCOPE OF DISCOVERY IN PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, 117-119

# R. v. IRELAND AND ADMISSIBILITY OF VIDEOTAPED STATEMENT, 71

#### R. v. I. (S.P.)

- child witness statements, 55
- Parliament's intention to maintain preliminary inquiry process, 115-116

# R. v. KIAMEH AND WHEN DISCLOSURE REQUIRED OF CROWN, 17-18

# R. v. L. (J.P.) AND CREDIBILITY ISSUES, 35-36

#### R. v. LEBLANC

- importance of focus hearing, 38
- preliminary inquiry discovery, 89-90, 137-138

# R. v. LENA AND EVIDENCE RELEVANT TO THE INQUIRY, 110

# R. v. MANTLA AND REFUSAL TO GRANT CROSS-EXAMINATION APPLICATION, $70\,$

R. v. M. (C.) AND CROSS-EXAMINATION OF CHILD, 60

# R. v. McCORMICK AND ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE WHERE WITNESS FAILS TO APPEAR, 61-62

#### R. v. McFADDEN AND RAO

- discovery, 66-67, 125-126
- documentary evidence, 65-66

#### R. v. MCFARLANE

- admission of records through police officer under s. 540(7), 71
- purpose of preliminary inquiry, 113

# R. v. MILLER AND PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING, 85

- R. v. MORGAN AND DENIAL OF s. 540(9) CROSS-EXAMINATION APPLICATION WHERE s. 536.3 NOTICE WAS INADEQUATE, 74
- R. v. MUIR AND TEST FOR COMMITTAL, 24-25
- R. v. NOVA SCOTIA (PROVINCIAL COURT JUDGE) AND ROLE OF DISCOVERY IN PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, 75-76
- R. v. O'CONNOR AND PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, 141
- R. v. PAPADOPOULOS AND SCOPE OF APPELLATE REVIEW OF PRELIMINARY INQUIRY RULINGS, 151
- R. v. P. (T.) AND PURPOSE OF STATEMENT OF ISSUES, 33
- R. v. PILKINGTON AND DEFENCE ONUS TO SHOW WITNESS TESTIMONY MEETS s. 541(5) REQUIREMENTS, 130-131
- R. v. PINNOCK AND CREDIBILITY OF WITNESS WHO RECANTS, 54
- R. v. RADDI AND INFERENCES MADE BY COURT WHEN CONSIDERING EVIDENCE, 90

#### R. v. RAO

- "appropriate" in s. 540(9) broader in meaning than "relevant" to issue of committal, 139
- Crown right to put case before court in paper form, 69
- importance of discovery in preliminary inquiry, 119-120
- purpose of preliminary inquiry, 85-87
- "relevant to the inquiry", 122
- R. v. ROBICHAUD AND LIMITED JUDICIAL RESOURCES FOR PRELIMINARY INQUIRIES, 110-111
- R. v. ROTH AND VIDEOTAPED STATEMENT ADMITTED UNDER s. 540(7) WHERE COMPLAINANT PHYSICALLY UNABLE TO TESTIFY, 71
- R. v. RUTIGLIANO AND REFUSAL TO GRANT APPLICATION TO CALL WITNESSES AS EVIDENTIARY RULING WITHIN JURISDICTION OF PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, 132-133
- R. v. SAFAEI AND DETERMINING CREDIBILITY USING CORROBORATIVE EVIDENCE, 70
- R. v. SAVILLE AND REASONABLE NOTICE UNDER s. 540(8), 69
- R. v. SCHOLBERG AND RECANTED STATEMENTS FOUND "CREDIBLE OR TRUSTWORTHY", 72-73
- R. v. SONIER AND COURT'S COMMENTS ON AMENDMENTS, 53-54
- R. v. STINCHCOMBE
- generally, 5
- when disclosure required of Crown, 16-17

#### R. v. SWEET

- appellate review of preliminary inquiry ruling, 152-153
- *certiorari* application, 54-55

#### R. v. THOMSON AND APPEAL OF COMMITTAL, 154

#### R. v. TRAC AND HEARSAY EVIDENCE, 62-64

#### R. v. TRAN

- accused's right to call evidence at preliminary inquiry, 136-137
- "relevant to the inquiry", interpretation of, 124

#### R. v. UTTAK

- oral statements, "reason and common sense" standard, 56-57
- purpose of cross-examination when determining reliability of evidence, 129

#### R. v. VAUGHN

- application to cross-examine witness under s. 540(9), 58-59
- preliminary inquiry process, 57-58
- **R. v. WONG AND CROSS-EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES**, 96-97, 143, 144-145

**RE-ELECTION, 32** 

REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY INQUIRY — s. 536(4), 33

**SCOPE OF INQUIRY, LIMITING, 43-44** 

**SEARCH WARRANT**, 47, 105, 136, 139, 151

SECTION 536(4), REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY INQUIRY, 33

SECTION 536.3, SECTION 536.3 NOTICE, see STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND WITNESSES — s. 536.3

SECTION 536.4, see FOCUS HEARING — s 536.4

SECTION 536.5, see AGREEMENT TO LIMIT SCOPE OF THE PRELIMINARY INQUIRY — s. 536.5

SECTION 537, see POWERS OF PRELIMINARY INQUIRY JUSTICE — s. 537

SECTION 540(7), see EVIDENCE AND NOTICE TO TENDER — ss. 540(7), 540(8), 540(9)

SECTION 540(8), see EVIDENCE AND NOTICE TO TENDER — ss. 540(7), 540(8), 540(9)

SECTION 540(9), see EVIDENCE AND NOTICE TO TENDER — ss. 540(7), 540(8), 540(9) and CROSS-EXAMINATION AND CALLING EVIDENCE UNDER ss. 540(9) AND 541(5)

# SECTION 541(5), see DEFENCE EVIDENCE — s. 541(5) and CROSS-EXAMINATION AND CALLING EVIDENCE UNDER ss. 540(9) AND 541(5)

SECTION 548, see ORDER TO STAND TRIAL OR DISCHARGE — s. 548(1)

**SELF-REPRESENTED ACCUSED**, 28,35,60,89,94,110

SEPARATE INFORMATIONS, 46, 83, 124

**SHEPHARD TEST**, 3, 9, 20-21, 42, 85, 111,129

#### STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND WITNESSES — s. 536.3

- compliance with, 37
- credibility issues in R. v. L. (J.P.), 35-36
- Crown decision to call witnesses, 35, 38-39
- difference between English and French versions of s. 536.3, 38
- generally, 15-16, 27, 28, 34
- interaction with ss. 536.4 and 536.5, 34, 36
- purpose in R. v. P. (T.), 33
- witnesses in R. v. Cramer, 34-35

# STEIN, DANIEL, COMMENTARY TO ONTARIO CRIMINAL LAWYERS' ASSOCIATION NEWLLETTER, 9

SUBMISSIONS, REFUSAL TO PERMIT, 128, 150, 151

# TOEWS, VIC, COMMENTS IN PARLIAMENT RE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS, 7

UNREPRESENTED ACCUSED, 8, 16, 28, 35, 162-166, 169

UNITED STATES v. SHEPHARD AND SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE, 20-21

**VULNERABLE WITNESSES**, 12, 31, 52, 53, 59, 83, 149, 152

# WARRANT

- arrest, 72
- digital number recorder (D.N.R.), 103, 104, 146
- extradition, 20
- information to obtain, 105, 136, 139
- material witness, 132
- search, 47, 105, 136, 139, 151

# WILLIAMSON c. QUEBEC (JUGE DE LA COUR DU QUEBEC) AND ADMISSION OF UNDERCOVER POLICE OFFICER'S NOTES UNDER s. 540(7), 72

WIRETAP AUTHORIZATIONS, 47, 62, 64, 103, 134-135, 146

YORKE v. R. AND REFUSAL TO GRANT APPLICATION TO CROSS-EXAMINE, 133-134