

## Table of Contents

<i>Preface</i> . . . . .	v
<i>List of Contributors</i> . . . . .	ix

### **Chapter 1 The Evolution and Jurisprudence of Canadian Environmental Law**

*Alastair R. Lucas and William A. Tilleman*

1. Introduction . . . . .	1
2. The Early Cases . . . . .	2
3. Environmental Law in the Supreme Court of Canada . . . . .	3
4. Environmental Assessment . . . . .	4
5. Aboriginal Law and Environment . . . . .	5
6. Environmental Cases and Policy Development . . . . .	7
(a) Public Inquiries . . . . .	7
7. Environmental Appeal Tribunals . . . . .	8
8. Public Participation and Involvement . . . . .	9
(a) Standing . . . . .	9
(b) North American Agreement on Environmental Cooperation . . . . .	9
(c) Private Prosecutions . . . . .	10
(d) Environmental Bills of Rights . . . . .	10
9. Spaces and Species Protection . . . . .	11
10. Public Trust . . . . .	12
11. Climate Change . . . . .	15
12. The Chapters . . . . .	16

### **PART I: INTERNATIONAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL LAW – PUBLIC INQUIRIES**

#### **Chapter 2 Promoting Precaution: The *Hudson (Spraytech)* Case at the Supreme Court of Canada**

*Jerry V. DeMarco*

1. Case and Context . . . . .	27
2. Intervention . . . . .	28
3. The Precautionary Principle . . . . .	31
4. The Supreme Court Decision – Intervention Impact . . . . .	32
5. The Influence of International Law . . . . .	34
6. Conclusion . . . . .	36

**Chapter 3 *Nuclear Liability Act Challenge: Energy Probe v. Canada*  
(Attorney General)**

*Theresa McClenaghan with input from David Poch*

1. Origins and Impetus of the Case . . . . .	39
2. Legal Theory and What Clients and Counsel Hoped to Come of it . . . . .	41
3. Interim Proceedings . . . . .	42
4. Standing . . . . .	44
5. Reasonable Cause of Action . . . . .	46
6. Action . . . . .	48
7. Section 7 . . . . .	50
8. Section 15 . . . . .	53
9. Canadian Bill of Rights . . . . .	53
10. Costs . . . . .	54
11. Appeal . . . . .	55
12. Conclusion; Resolution? . . . . .	56

**Chapter 4 *Citizen Complaints to the North American Commission for  
Environmental Cooperation***

*Katia Opalka*

1. Introduction . . . . .	60
2. The Kathryn Spirit . . . . .	60
3. Politics and Environmental Protection . . . . .	61
4. Manure . . . . .	63
5. Lead . . . . .	64
6. Acid Mine Drainage . . . . .	65
7. Vehicle Exhaust . . . . .	66
8. PCBs . . . . .	66
9. Conclusion . . . . .	67

**Chapter 5 *The Berger Inquiry and the Committee for Justice and Liberty  
Case***

*Alastair R. Lucas*

1. Introduction . . . . .	69
2. Chapter Scope and Theory – The Inquiry's Legal Legacy . . . . .	72
3. Preamble to the Inquiry . . . . .	73
4. Preliminary Hearings and Rulings . . . . .	75
5. The Hearings . . . . .	77
6. Key Inquiry Recommendations . . . . .	78
7. The Inquiry's Environmental Law Legacy . . . . .	79
(a) Public Participation – Standing and Intervenor Funding. . . . .	79
(b) Environmental Assessment . . . . .	81
(c) Environmental Protection and Conservation . . . . .	82

(d) Aboriginal Land Claims . . . . .	83
8. The National Energy Board and the Marshall Crowe Case . . . . .	83
(a) Federal Court of Appeal Reference . . . . .	86
(b) The Crowe Case in the Supreme Court of Canada . . . . .	87
9. Conclusions . . . . .	89
<b>Chapter 6 Tragedy on Tap: Representing Concerned Walkerton Citizens at the Walkerton Inquiry</b>	
<i>Theresa McClenaghan, Paul Muldoon, Rick Lindgren, Ramani Nadarajah and Fe de Leon</i>	
1. Origins of the Case: Crisis . . . . .	91
2. Canadian Environmental Law Association's (CELA) Involvement. . . . .	91
3. Concerned Walkerton Citizens . . . . .	93
4. Inquiry Launched . . . . .	94
5. CELA Team Participation in the Inquiry . . . . .	95
6. Key Issues for CELA's Clients, the Concerned Walkerton Citizens . . . . .	96
7. The Walkerton Inquiry Hearings Process . . . . .	99
8. Results of Part 1 of the Walkerton Inquiry . . . . .	101
9. Part 2 . . . . .	103
10. Law Reform Following the Inquiry . . . . .	105
11. Conclusion . . . . .	107
<b>PART II: INDIGENOUS RIGHTS</b>	
<b>Chapter 7 Haida Nation – The Origins of the Haida Litigation</b>	
<i>Greg McDade</i>	
1. Background . . . . .	111
2. The Haida Petition . . . . .	113
3. The Original Petition . . . . .	115
4. The Second Petition . . . . .	117
5. The Aftermath Post-Haida . . . . .	121
<b>Chapter 8 Tsilhqot'in Nation: A Territorial Land-Use Concept of Aboriginal Title and Environmental Governance</b>	
<i>Nicole Schabus</i>	
1. Introduction . . . . .	127
2. History of the Case . . . . .	129
3. Use-based Territorial Approach . . . . .	132
4. Underlying Issues . . . . .	138
5. Justification of Infringement . . . . .	144
6. Conclusion . . . . .	147

**PART III: ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION****Chapter 9 Leave to Appeal Under Ontario's *Environmental Bill of Rights: Lafarge Canada Inc. v. Ontario (Environmental Review Tribunal)****Joseph F. Castrilli and Richard D. Lindgren*

1. Introduction . . . . .	151
2. Background to the Development of the <i>Environmental Bill of Rights</i> . . . . .	153
3. Key Provisions of the Act . . . . .	154
4. The Development of Environmental Review Tribunal Jurisprudence on Leave to Appeal Applications. . . . .	155
5. The Lafarge Alternative Fuels Project, Ministry of the Environment Approvals, and the Leave to Appeal Applications . . . . .	157
6. The Tribunal Decision: <i>Dawber v. Ontario (Director, Ministry of the Environment)</i> . . . . .	159
7. The Divisional Court Decision: <i>Lafarge Canada Inc. v. Ontario (Environmental Review Tribunal)</i> . . . . .	161
8. Impact of <i>Lafarge</i> on Environmental Decision-Making in Ontario . . . . .	164
9. Conclusions . . . . .	171

**Chapter 10 Protecting and Expanding the Scope of Environmental Legislation: *Castonguay Blasting Ltd. v. Ontario (Environment)****Joseph F. Castrilli and Ramani Nadarajah*

1. Introduction . . . . .	173
2. Background . . . . .	175
(a) The Facts . . . . .	175
(b) The Charge . . . . .	176
(c) The Issue . . . . .	177
3. Arguments Before the Courts. . . . .	177
(a) Appellant company . . . . .	177
(b) Respondent Crown. . . . .	178
4. Judicial History of the Case. . . . .	178
(a) Ontario Court of Justice. . . . .	178
(b) Superior Court. . . . .	178
(c) Ontario Court of Appeal . . . . .	179
(i) The majority holding . . . . .	179
(ii) The dissent . . . . .	179
5. Supreme Court of Canada – Decision and Reasons of the Court. . . . .	180

(a) Overview: When in Doubt, Report . . . . .	180
(b) Parsing the Section 15 Reporting Requirement . . . . .	181
(c) Discharge of Contaminant . . . . .	181
(d) Discharge into Natural Environment . . . . .	182
(e) Discharge Out of the Normal Course of Events . . . . .	182
(f) Discharge Causing or Likely to Cause An Adverse Effect . . . . .	182
(g) Interpretation Based on the Precautionary Principle . . . . .	184
(h) Summary . . . . .	185
6. Analysis: Not Just Another Rock Blasting Case . . . . .	186
7. Impact of <i>Castonguay</i> . . . . .	188
8. Conclusions . . . . .	189
<b>Chapter 11 The Alberta Disadvantage on Public Participation: Martha Kostuch v. Ken Kowalski</b>	
<i>Shaun Fluker</i>	
1. Introduction . . . . .	191
2. The Prelude: Oldman River Dam . . . . .	194
3. The Cement Plant . . . . .	199
4. The Aftermath . . . . .	210
<b>Chapter 12 The Ekati Story – Canada’s First Diamond Mine</b>	
<i>David H. Searle</i>	
1. The Diamond Discovery . . . . .	215
2. Environmental Assessment . . . . .	217
3. Impact and Benefit Agreements . . . . .	219
4. Approvals . . . . .	220
5. Outcomes and Comment . . . . .	221
<b>PART IV: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT</b>	
<b>Chapter 13 Friends of the Oldman River: The Veterinarian, the Environment, and the Constitution</b>	
<i>Judith B. Hanebury, Q.C.</i>	
1. Introduction . . . . .	227
2. The Road to a Federal Role in Environmental Impact Assessment . . . . .	228
3. The Development of Opposition to the Oldman River Dam . . . . .	231
4. Friends of the Oldman River at the Federal Court . . . . .	233
5. The Federal Court of Appeal Decision and its Aftermath . . . . .	234
6. The Appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada . . . . .	237
(a) The Constitutional Question . . . . .	238
(i) Policy aspects of the constitutional question . . . . .	238
(ii) Legal aspects of the constitutional question . . . . .	240

(b) The Hearing . . . . .	242
7. The Supreme Court of Canada Decision . . . . .	243
(a) The Assessment Trigger . . . . .	245
(b) Scope of the Project . . . . .	245
(c) Factors to be Assessed . . . . .	246
(d) Mitigation Measures . . . . .	247
(e) Conclusion on the Constitutional Question . . . . .	247
8. The Panel Report Under EARPGO . . . . .	248
9. Epilogue . . . . .	249
<b>Chapter 14 The Project Scoping Fights: Revisiting the Cases in Sunpine, TrueNorth and MiningWatch</b>	
<i>Martin Ignasiak and Jackie Johnson</i>	
1. Introduction . . . . .	253
2. The Legal Framework under CEAA . . . . .	254
3. The Project Scoping Fights . . . . .	256
4. The Aftermath . . . . .	264
<b>Chapter 15 Reconsidering Red Chris: Federal Environmental Decision-Making After MiningWatch Canada v. Canada (Fisheries and Oceans)</b>	
<i>Martin Z. Olszynski</i>	
1. Introduction . . . . .	267
2. Analysis . . . . .	271
(a) Decision-Making vs Legislating . . . . .	271
(b) Deconstructing Federal Cost-Benefit Analysis . . . . .	273
(c) Federal Decision-making in the Public Interest . . . . .	278
3. Conclusion . . . . .	282
<b>Chapter 16 Nothing (Significant) to See Here: The Kearl Cases and the Growing Mess in the Alberta Oil Sands</b>	
<i>Sean Nixon and Melissa Gorrie</i>	
1. Introduction . . . . .	283
2. The Kearl Project and Oil Sands Mining Generally . . . . .	284
3. The Environmental Assessment of the Kearl Project . . . . .	285
(a) Cumulative Landscape Effects of Oil Sands Development . . . . .	286
(b) Assessing Effects on Species at Risk . . . . .	287
(c) Greenhouse Gases and Climate Change . . . . .	288
(d) Panel Report . . . . .	289
4. The First Kearl Case . . . . .	291
(a) Cumulative Landscape Effects . . . . .	295
(i) Assessing Effects on Species at Risk . . . . .	297
(b) Effects of the Project's Greenhouse Gas Emissions . . . . .	297

5. The Second Kearsal Case . . . . .	298
6. Implications of the Kearsal Cases . . . . .	299
(a) Duty to Give Reasons in Environmental Assessment . . . . .	299
(b) Mitigation and Adaptive Management . . . . .	300
(c) Fast-tracked Approval for the Kearsal Project . . . . .	301
7. Oil Sands Decision-making Post-Kearsal . . . . .	302
(a) Cumulative Landscape Effects . . . . .	303
(b) Assessing Effects on Species at Risk . . . . .	305
(c) Assessing Climate Impacts . . . . .	307
(d) Mitigation Measures and Adaptive Management . . . . .	308
(e) Moving Beyond the Mess . . . . .	310
8. Recommendations . . . . .	312

## **PART V: SPACES AND SPECIES PROTECTION**

### **Chapter 17 Ecological Integrity in Canada's National Parks**

*William A. Tilleman*

1. Introduction . . . . .	317
2. Ecological Integrity Legislation . . . . .	318
3. Case Law Interpreting Ecological Integrity as "First Priority" . . . . .	320
4. Parks Canada Development Policy: Commercial and Residential Leaseholders . . . . .	322
5. Indigenous Peoples: From Exclusion to Partnership . . . . .	326

### **Chapter 18 Legal Efforts to Protect Species at Risk in Canada: A Case Study of the Greater Sage-Grouse**

*Devon Page and Melissa Gorrie*

1. The Federal <i>Species at Risk Act</i> : Assessing Opportunities For Protection . . . . .	329
2. Taking Action to Protect Species at Risk: Early Litigation Under SARA . . . . .	331
3. The Poster Child: Sage-Grouse . . . . .	333
(a) Going to Court to Protect the Sage-Grouse: Round 1 . . . . .	334
(b) Setting the Stage for Round 2: The Need For Further Action to Protect the Sage-Grouse . . . . .	336
(c) Going to Court to Protect the Sage-Grouse: Round 2 . . . . .	338
(d) Challenging the Government's Claim of Cabinet Confidence . . . . .	339
(e) Success at the Federal Court of Appeal . . . . .	341
(f) Establishing Important Precedents . . . . .	342
(g) New Challenges for Sage-Grouse . . . . .	343
4. Looking Forward: Incremental Victories . . . . .	344
5. Conclusion . . . . .	344

**PART VI: ENFORCEMENT****Chapter 19 The Syncrude “Ducks” Trial: How Interagency Cooperation Helped Solve the Problem of the “Mega File”***Susan McRory and Tim McRory*

1. Introduction . . . . .	347
2. History . . . . .	349
(a) First Suncor Prosecutions . . . . .	349
(b) The Western Co-operative Fertilizers Incident . . . . .	351
(c) 1995 . . . . .	353
(d) Wabumun 2005 . . . . .	354
3. 2008 – Syncrude . . . . .	356
4. The Creative Sentencing Projects from the <i>Syncrude</i> File . . . . .	361
5. Closing Thoughts . . . . .	363

**Chapter 20 The Suncor Saga: Transforming Alberta Environmental Law Enforcement***David Estrin*

1. Introduction . . . . .	365
2. Saga Highlights . . . . .	366
3. The Suncor Saga in Context: Alberta Environment's First 18 Years: “Work with industry . . . settle our differences through technical discussions” . . . . .	370
4. Beginnings of the Suncor Saga . . . . .	374
5. My Introduction to the Suncor Saga . . . . .	378
6. The Suncor Trials, Part 1: October, 1982 <i>Clean Water Act</i> Charges for Excessive Contaminant Discharges Dismissed; and Start of <i>Fisheries Act</i> Trial . . . . .	380
7. The Suncor Trials Part 2: the January, 1983 <i>Fisheries Act</i> Trial (Continued) . . . . .	382
8. The Suncor Sentence and its Aftermath . . . . .	386
9. Why did Suncor Become a <i>Cause Célèbre</i> ? . . . . .	389
10. 1983-1986: Vacillating Towards Environmental Law Enforcement . . . . .	393
11. 1987-1991: Alberta Environment Enters the Environmental Enforcement Era . . . . .	406
12. Further Progress 1991-2016 . . . . .	415
13. Environmental Compliance for Energy Sector Projects – the Role of the Alberta Energy Regulator . . . . .	421
14. Concluding Reflections . . . . .	429