

# **Summary of Contents**

## **Volume 1**

- Chapter 1. Introduction
- Chapter 2. Taking A Statement
- Chapter 3. Statements by Young Persons
- Chapter 4. The Accused's Right to Remain Silent
- Chapter 5. The Right to retain and Instruct Counsel
- Chapter 6. The Voir Dire — Its Purpose and Need

## **Volume 2**

- Chapter 7. The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms
- Chapter 8. Determining Voluntariness
- Chapter 9. Technical Aids
- Chapter 10. Problems of Evidence
- Chapter 11. Conclusion

### **Appendices**

- Appendix A. Abbreviations
- Appendix B. Constitution Act, 1982
- Appendix C. Regina v. Demeter

### **Table of Cases**

### **Index**



# Table of Contents

## Volume 1

### CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

- § 1:1 Introduction
- § 1:2 Free and Voluntary — The Concept of Oppression
- § 1:3 Hypnosis
- § 1:4 Threats, Inducements, Promises
- § 1:5 What Statements Concern the Court?
- § 1:6 Incriminatory-Exculpatory Statements — *Duncan Instruction*
- § 1:7 Does Alibi Notice Constitute Statement of Accused?
- § 1:8 The Possible Relation between the Reid Technique and False Statements
- § 1:9 Incidence of False Statements on Wrongful Convictions
- § 1:10 Violation of ss. 2(a) and 15 of Charter
- § 1:11 Refusal to Attend
- § 1:12 The English Judges' Rules and *Police and Criminal Evidence Act*

### CHAPTER 2. TAKING A STATEMENT

- § 2:1 Introduction
- § 2:2 Persons in Authority—Those Engaged in Arrest, Detention and Prosecution
- § 2:3 —Masters and Servants
- § 2:4 —Psychiatrists
- § 2:5 —Psychiatrists and Protected Statements
- § 2:6 —Social Workers
- § 2:7 —Youth Workers
- § 2:8 —Police Informants (*Pre-Charter* and *Post-Charter*)
- § 2:9 —Parents and Guardians
- § 2:10 —Ambulance Attendants and Doctors
- § 2:11 —Detention Officials
- § 2:12 —Victims
- § 2:13 —Parents, Relatives and Friends of Victims
- § 2:14 —Undercover Officers
- § 2:15 —Foreign Attorneys
- § 2:16 —Mr. Big Strategy

- § 2:17 —Will Mr. Big Strategy Survive Ministerial Review under Part XX1.1, s. 696.2, *Criminal Code*?
- § 2:18 —Mr. Big Operation Revisited by the Supreme Court of Canada
- § 2:19 —Cross-examination of Officers in a Mr. Big Operation
- § 2:20 —Mr. Big Confessions Admitted
- § 2:21 —Accused in “Mr. Big” Operation not Necessarily Allowed Disclosure of Police Force’s Policy Governing Covert Operations
- § 2:22 —“Mr. Big” Operation: Lack of Independent Confirmation
- § 2:23 —Persons Capable of Controlling Activities of Corrupt Police
- § 2:24 —Insurance Adjusters
- § 2:25 —Respective Burden of Proof on Accused and Crown
- § 2:26 The Warning
- § 2:27 —Purpose of Warning or Caution
- § 2:28 —Detention and Arrest: The Charter
- § 2:29 —Investigation Preceding Arrest or Detention: Absence of Constraint
- § 2:30 —*Grant* Principles Placed in Context
- § 2:31 —*Abandoned Vehicle*
- § 2:32 —*Search Incident to Investigative Detention*
- § 2:33 —*Valid or Informed Consent to Search*
- § 2:34 —*Nature of Warning*
- § 2:35 —*Satisfying Obligation Under Section 10(a) of Charter*
- § 2:36 —*Substantive Obligation Under Section 10(b) of Charter: The Right to Counsel*
- § 2:37 —S. 8 of Charter — Co-opting Blood Samples and/or Hospital Records
- § 2:38 —Second Statement — A Fresh Start?
- § 2:39 —*Right to Counsel s. 10(b) Charter — Roadside — Officers’ Personal Phones*
- § 2:40 —*Should Informational Component Include Counsel of Choice?*
- § 2:41 —*Suggested Forms of Warning*
- § 2:42 —*Inadequate Warning or Caution*
- § 2:43 —*Awareness of Consequences of Speaking to Police*
- § 2:44 —*Police Not Required to Assist Accused in Making Choices Under Charter*
- § 2:45 —*Police Not Required to Ensure the Quality of Counsel’s Advice*
- § 2:46 —Police Obligation to Fully Comply with

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Informational Component of s. 10 (b) — Choice of Counsel
§ 2:47	— <i>The Right to Counsel to be Present During Interrogation</i>
§ 2:48	— <i>Special Warning — Rights of Foreign Nationals Under Vienna Convention</i>
§ 2:49	— <i>Language Difficulties</i>
§ 2:50	— <i>Mentally Ill Persons — Implementational Duties — Right to Counsel</i>
§ 2:51	— <i>Claim of ADHD and Claustrophobia by Accused</i>
§ 2:52	— <i>Trial judge’s Obligation to Provide Version of Events in the Other Official Language</i>
§ 2:53	— <i>Confession by Person With Operating Mind</i>
§ 2:54	— <i>Obligation to Re-Warn After Change in Jeopardy</i>
§ 2:55	— <i>Taking Formal Statements: Avoiding Atmosphere of Compulsion</i>
§ 2:56	— <i>Oppression — Touching by Interviewer — Proximity to Accused and Physical Contact</i>
§ 2:57	— <i>Abandoned Cellular Phone Number not Involuntary Statement</i>
§ 2:58	— <i>Statement “May Be” Given in Evidence</i>
§ 2:59	— <i>Exaggerating Evidence Gathered</i>
§ 2:60	Role of Officers at Taking of Statements
§ 2:61	— <i>Accounting for Time During Interview</i>
§ 2:62	— <i>Duration of Detention for Questioning</i>
§ 2:63	— <i>What Should be Recorded</i>
§ 2:64	— <i>Absence of Police Written Notes, a Fatal Deficiency Admissibility of a Statement on a Voir-Dire</i>
§ 2:65	— <i>Recollection of Past Conversation with Accused</i>
§ 2:66	— <i>Right to be Informed of State of Investigation and Nature of Jeopardy</i>
§ 2:67	— <i>Leading Accused to Believe Role That of Witness Rather Than Suspect</i>
§ 2:68	Form of Statement
§ 2:69	Duration of Interview
§ 2:70	Illegal Detention
§ 2:71	Inducements
§ 2:72	Written Apology by Accused Due to Police Inducement - Excluded
§ 2:73	Spiritual Inducements
§ 2:74	Intimidation
§ 2:75	Confronting Suspect With Exhibit
§ 2:76	Recording/Videotaping of Interviews
§ 2:77	Reference to Accused’s Criminal Record During Videotaped Interrogation

- § 2:78 Re-enactment
- § 2:79 Meeting the Requirements of Section. 715.1 of the Criminal Code
- § 2:80 Police Obligation to Interview Prior to Arrest
- § 2:81 Standard of review — Finding of Voluntariness
- § 2:82 Trial Judge Must Reconcile Discrepancies Before Ruling on Accused's Right to Counsel

## CHAPTER 3. STATEMENTS BY YOUNG PERSONS

- § 3:1 Young Offenders Act
- § 3:2 Admissibility of Statements Prior to Enactment of Section 56 of Young Offenders Act
- § 3:3 The Charter and Section 56 of Young Offenders Act
- § 3:4 —Questioning Persons Over Eighteen About Offences Committed While Young Offender
- § 3:5 —Persons in Authority for Purposes of Section 56
- § 3:6 —The Waiver
- § 3:7 —Conflict Between *Criminal Code* and *Young Offenders Act*
- § 3:8 Contrasting s. 146(2) of the YCJA and 10(b) of the Charter
- § 3:9 Meaning of “Spontaneous” in Section 56(3) of Young Offenders Act
- § 3:10 Meaning of “Statement” in Section 13.1(1) of Young Offenders Act
- § 3:11 Videotaped Statement of Child and Section 715.1 of Criminal Code
- § 3:12 Voluntariness of Statements YCJA — Revisited
- § 3:13 Videotaped Statements Admitted under Section 715.1 of the Criminal Code Cannot Serve to Bolster Credibility of Complainant
- § 3:14 Post Conviction and Sentence DNA Order for Young Offenders
- § 3:15 Privilege Attaching to Parent and Child Communication
- § 3:16 Youth Criminal Justice Act
- § 3:17 Burden of Proof in Relation to the Waiver in s. 146 of the *Y.C.J.A.*
- § 3:18 Undercover Officer Not Bound by s. 146(2), *Y.C.J.A.*
- § 3:19 Curative Proviso of Section 146(2)(b)(iii) of *Y.C.J.A.* Requires Police to Explain the Information Contained in Proviso of Section 146(2)(b)
- § 3:20 Accused not to Cross-examine Youth Witness Personally s. 486.3(1) Criminal Code

## **CHAPTER 4. THE ACCUSED'S RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT**

- § 4:1 Right to Silence in Face of Incriminating Conversations
- § 4:2 Off-the-Record Statements
- § 4:3 Restriction on Comments by Counsel about Silence of an Accused
- § 4:4 Restrictions on Comments by Counsel About Silence of Co-Accused
- § 4:5 Right to Silence in Pretrial Stage
- § 4:6 Self-Incrimination and Pretrial Custody
- § 4:7 Right Against Self-Incrimination at Trial
- § 4:8 Right to Silence at Trial
- § 4:9 Right to Silence and Alibi Exception
- § 4:10 Inferring Guilt From False Alibi
- § 4:11 No Adverse Inference — Failure to Make Timely Disclosure
- § 4:12 Evidence of Fabrication or Concocted Statement and Inference of Guilt
- § 4:13 Right to Silence in Post-Conviction Stage
- § 4:14 Right to Silence When Required by Statute to Provide Information
- § 4:15 Special Investigations Unit (SIU) (Ontario) and Right to Have Lawyer Vet Police Notes
- § 4:16 Compulsion under Provincial Legislation - *Motor Vehicle Act*
- § 4:17 When Is a Statement Inadmissible as Compelled?
- § 4:18 Right to Silence While “At Large”
- § 4:19 Raising Section 7 Charter Issue for First Time on Appeal
- § 4:20 Introducing Different Positions on Appeal: General View of Appellate Courts

## **CHAPTER 5. THE RIGHT TO RETAIN AND INSTRUCT COUNSEL**

- § 5:1 Introduction
- § 5:2 What is Arrest or Detention?
- § 5:3 Demand for Breath Test in Screening Device
- § 5:4 Holding off Right to Counsel — Demand for Breath Sample
- § 5:5 Post Demand Delay Not Fatal Nor Meriting Exclusion
- § 5:6 Objective Test of Detention
- § 5:7 Directive by School Authority

- § 5:8 Roadside Screening
- § 5:9 Valid Car Stop — Plain View Doctrine
- § 5:10 Automatic Roadside Prohibition Regime (ARP)  
and s. 10(b) of Charter
- § 5:11 Random Stops of Vehicles Revisited
- § 5:12 Request for Co-ordination Test
- § 5:13 Request for Sample for DNA Analysis
- § 5:14 Discarded Straw - DNA
- § 5:15 Standard of Proof to Establish Consent to DNA  
Sample
- § 5:16 Order to Provide Samples of DNA upon  
Conviction
- § 5:17 Ex parte DNA Orders (Post Conviction and  
Sentence)
- § 5:18 —DNA Matches Obtained Many Years after  
Sexual Offences
- § 5:19 —Stay of DNA Orders Pending Conviction  
Appeal
- § 5:20 Customs Searches
- § 5:21 Pre-Clearance Officer — Airport to U.S.A.
- § 5:22 Pedestrian Identification
- § 5:23 Approaching Youth on School Property? Arbitrary  
Detention?
- § 5:24 Accused Running Away — Police Chasing
- § 5:25 Questioning of Passengers
- § 5:26 Initial Investigative Detention - Pat-down Search  
— Common Law Power to Search Incident to  
Investigative Detention
- § 5:27 Request to Attend at Police Station
- § 5:28 Second or Subsequent Request to Attend at  
Police Station
- § 5:29 Officer's Safety Concerns
- § 5:30 Police Searches
- § 5:31 Doctrine of Investigative Detention/Detention for  
Investigative Purposes
- § 5:32 Police Are Entitled to Conduct Warrantless  
Search/Entry in Exigent Circumstances
- § 5:33 Can Police Ask Questions While Executing a Pat-  
down Search Incident to Investigative  
Detention?
- § 5:34 Passenger Search after Anonymous Tip — S. 25  
of Charter — Aboriginal Rights and Freedoms  
not Affected by Charter
- § 5:35 Private Citizen Not Agent of the State in  
Relation to Investigative Detention
- § 5:36 Detention by Security Guard — Not Triggering  
Arbitrary Detention



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 5:37	Police Stop of Vehicle Not Always Arbitrary Detention
§ 5:38	Police Seeking Name of Passenger — No Detention
§ 5:39	Conditions under which Roadblocks may Justify a Stop and Search of All Cars
§ 5:40	Odour of Marijuana From Car — Objective Belief
§ 5:41	Less Expectation of Privacy in Vehicle
§ 5:42	Police Good Faith as a Factor in Applying s. 24(2) Charter
§ 5:43	Police Misconduct — A factor in Applying s. 24(2)
§ 5:44	Common Law Doctrine of Search — Cell Phones “Not Locked” or “Password Protected”
§ 5:45	Police Arranging Purchase of Drugs to be Delivered in a Red Van — No Arbitrary Detention
§ 5:46	Pursuing Investigation When Accused is Remanded in Custody
§ 5:47	Holding For Questioning
§ 5:48	Attempting to Reach Counsel
§ 5:49	Detention by Non-Governmental Person
§ 5:50	Touching Person After Entry of Dwelling
§ 5:50.50	Racial Profiling
§ 5:51	“Knock and Announce Rule”
§ 5:52	Entry by Police — Warrants s. 11 <i>Controlled Drugs and Substances Act</i>
§ 5:53	Entry After 911 Call
§ 5:54	Principle of Vicarious Liability of Government Not Available Remedy Against Individual’s Charter Violation
§ 5:55	Suspect Must be Informed of Right to Retain and Instruct Counsel Without Delay
§ 5:56	Adequate Advice from Counsel
§ 5:57	Tainting of Subsequent Statements
§ 5:58	Police Must Grant Opportunity to Exercise Rights Guaranteed by Section 10(b)
§ 5:59	Duty Under Section 10(b) Extends to Incriminating “Statements” and Incriminating “Evidence”
§ 5:60	Obligation to Explain Right to Counsel
§ 5:61	Refusal of Request For Counsel
§ 5:62	Further Consultation Where More Serious Charges Contemplated
§ 5:63	Contradictory Evidence
§ 5:64	Right to Consult Counsel of Choice

- § 5:65 Onus of Establishing Violation of Right to Counsel
- § 5:66 Can Accused Waive Rights Under Section 10(b)?
- § 5:67 Right to have Counsel Throughout Police Interview
- § 5:68 —Fresh Start Principle
- § 5:69 —Right to be Informed of Specific Offences s. 10(a) Charter
- § 5:70 Belittling, Denigrating or Otherwise Undermining Counsel's Advice
- § 5:71 Section 10(b) Charter Rights Include Right to Consult in Privacy
- § 5:72 Privacy of Telephone Calls Made in Hospitals
- § 5:73 Right to Privacy in Emergency Situations
- § 5:74 Reasonable Expectation of Privacy—Lockers in Bus Depots
- § 5:75 —Sniffer dogs
- § 5:76 —Officer's Everyday Practical Drug Experience Providing Reasonable Suspicion?
- § 5:77 —Briefcases
- § 5:78 —Posted Sign in Store Window to Prevent Police Entrance
- § 5:79 —Airline Passenger List
- § 5:80 —Police Power of Search Incidental to Arrest
- § 5:81 —Privacy in Utility Records — Digital Recording Ammeter (DRA)
- § 5:82 —Privacy — Telephone Subscribers
- § 5:83 —Privacy — EDR Data Recorder on Private Vehicle
- § 5:84 —Search Warrant not Extending to Computers or Cellular Telephones
- § 5:85 —Subscriber Information Matching IP Address from ISP
- § 5:86 —Standing and Subjective Expectation of Privacy Required in Production Order to Challenge Production of Text Message from Service Provider
- § 5:87 —Reasonable Expectation of Privacy in Text Message of Conversation on Accomplice's Device
- § 5:88 —Reasonable Expectation of Privacy in Shared Computer
- § 5:89 —Subjective Expectation to Privacy in School Provided Laptop
- § 5:90 Computer Left for Repairs — Establishing Knowledge of Content
- § 5:91 Police Search of Lawyer's Residence for

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Electronic Devices and Documents Containing Child Pornography
§ 5:92	No Open-Ended Power to Search Hand Held Communication Devices Without Warrant
§ 5:93	Privacy Right — Passport and Birth Certificate
§ 5:94	Privacy Right in Video Files
§ 5:95	Section 487.1(1) of the <i>Criminal Code</i> — Impracticability of Warrant under ss. 256 and 487
§ 5:96	Opportunity to Exercise Right to Place Call to Counsel
§ 5:97	Obstructive Accused
§ 5:98	Failure to Exercise Rights in Diligent Manner
§ 5:99	Reasonable Opportunity and Due Diligence in Consulting Counsel
§ 5:100	Ensuring Accused Understands Right to Counsel
§ 5:101	Obligation to Re-Caution
§ 5:102	Evidence Required to Support Denial Under Section 10(b)
§ 5:103	Allowing Reasonable Interval Between Caution and Questioning
§ 5:104	Police Obligation to Inform Counsel
§ 5:105	Police Not Required to Disclose Reasonable and Probable Grounds for Demand
§ 5:106	Unsuccessful Attempt to Contact Counsel
§ 5:107	Can Police Question Suspect After Counsel Has Been Consulted or Retained?
§ 5:108	Refusal to Grant Rowbotham Order not Resulting in Miscarriage of Justice
§ 5:109	Police Not Required to Wait For Arrival of Counsel
§ 5:110	Rowbotham Order — the Right to Legal Aid Counsel at Trial
§ 5:111	Right to Counsel of Choice at Trial
§ 5:112	Guidelines to Exercise of Right to Counsel

## CHAPTER 6. THE VOIR DIRE — ITS PURPOSE AND NEED

§ 6:1	Introduction
§ 6:2	Judicial Discretion not to Hold Voir Dire
§ 6:3	General Rule
§ 6:4	Voir-dire on Allegations of Charter Violations
§ 6:5	Exclusion of Evidence on Basis of Charter
§ 6:6	Exceptions—Statements Made When Refusing Breath Test
§ 6:7	—Statements Made Under Police Services Act

- § 6:8 —Res Gestae Statements
- § 6:9 Absence of Voir Dire
- § 6:10 Investigatory vs. Arrest Statements
- § 6:11 Waiver of Voir Dire
- § 6:12 Introducing the Statement
- § 6:13 Testifying at Voir Dire
- § 6:14 Order of Introduction of Statements
- § 6:15 Prosecutor Withholding Statement Until  
Cross-Examination
- § 6:16 Exculpatory Post-Arrest Statements
- § 6:17 Voir Dire to Introduce Other Contested Evidence
- § 6:18 Statements Admissible For/Against Accused
- § 6:19 Trial Judge may not Edit to Exclude Exculpatory  
Utterances
- § 6:20 Witnesses at Voir Dire
- § 6:21 Calling All Witnesses — Not Always Absolute Rule
- § 6:22 Placing Crown Witness at Disposal of Defence
- § 6:23 Peripheral Witnesses
- § 6:24 Cross-Examination of Accused at Voir Dire
- § 6:25 Recanting Confession at Trial
- § 6:26 False Statements and Post-Offence Behaviour as  
Evidence of Consciousness of Guilt
- § 6:27 Consciousness of Guilt Derived from an Exculpatory  
Statement
- § 6:28 Consciousness of Guilt not Established by Refusal to  
Participate in a Line-up
- § 6:29 Failure to Co-operate with the Police
- § 6:30 Demeanour or State of Mind Evidence as Evidence  
of Consciousness of Guilt
- § 6:31 Police Opinion on Veracity of Statement —  
Irrelevant
- § 6:32 Post-Offence Conduct - ‘consciousness of guilt’ - Lack  
of Hesitation before Flight from Scene
- § 6:33 False Alibi Evidence as Evidence of Consciousness  
of Guilt
- § 6:34 Changing Appearance — Post-Offence  
Consciousness of Guilt
- § 6:35 Failing to Call Emergency Services or Assist  
Deceased Following Killing
- § 6:36 Asking Accused About Victim’s Motive to Fabricate
- § 6:37 Voir Dire at Preliminary Inquiry
- § 6:38 Admissibility Ruling of Preliminary Hearing Judge  
Not Binding at Trial
- § 6:39 Standard of Proof of Voluntariness of Statements  
Required on Voir Dire

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 6:40	Voir-dire on Allegations of Charter Violations
§ 6:41	Role of Trier of Fact
§ 6:42	Blended Voir-Dire — Informing Unrepresented Accused on Right to Testify s. 13 of Charter
§ 6:43	Voir Dire Ruling in a Jury Trial
§ 6:44	Effective Assistance of Counsel
§ 6:45	Effective Assistance of Counsel — Conflict of Interest
§ 6:46	Ineffective Assistance of Counsel
§ 6:47	Data — Police Databases — Limited Access
§ 6:48	Liability of Trial Counsel for Concession
§ 6:49	Counsel's Conduct Reprehensible — Personal Costs Ordered
§ 6:50	Judge Entitled to Reverse an Inadmissibility Ruling to Restore Fairness
§ 6:51	Inadmissible Statements Not Admitted for Any Purpose
§ 6:52	Summary Ruling by Trial Judge on Admissibility — Reasons to Follow
§ 6:53	Lack of Reasons for Judgment by Trier of Fact
§ 6:54	Judge “Clarifying” Reasons by Adding Alternative Findings
§ 6:55	Cross-Examination of Maker of Statement and Consideration of Section 13 of Charter
§ 6:56	Proof Witness Aware of Legal Effect of Section 13 of Charter
§ 6:57	Judicial Control of Proceedings
§ 6:58	Judge non-Compellable at Trial
§ 6:59	Trial Judge Stepping into the Fray
§ 6:60	Unrepresented Accused
§ 6:61	Interference by Trial Judge
§ 6:62	Powers of Chief Justice Do Not Compromise Independence of Judges
§ 6:63	Procedural (Un)fairness
§ 6:64	Appellate Judges Expected to Enter Fray Without Attracting Apprehension of Bias
§ 6:65	Reasonable Apprehension of Bias
§ 6:66	Judicial Bias Reflected on Record
§ 6:67	Judge's Comments in Unrelated Case not Reasonable Apprehension of Bias
§ 6:68	Judicial Myths and Stereotypes
§ 6:69	Appellate Judges Expected to Enter Fray Without Attracting Apprehension of Bias
§ 6:70	Predisposition of Judicial Justice in Granting (ITO)
§ 6:71	Random Virtue Testing — Entrapment

- § 6:72 Duty to Give Reasons in Support of Admissibility Ruling
- § 6:73 Opinion/Expert Evidence
- § 6:74 Complainant's Motive to Fabricate
- § 6:75 Entrapment and Solicitor-Client Privilege
- § 6:76 Right to Appeal Against Ruling on Voir Dire
- § 6:77 Weight and Admissibility
- § 6:78 Admissibility of Incomplete Statements
- § 6:79 Incomplete Utterances Not Receivable Without Context
- § 6:80 Publication Bans on Undercover Operational Methods Used to Obtain Confessions
- § 6:81 Refusal at Trial to Disclose Investigative Techniques
- § 6:82 Broadcasting Videotaped Statement of Accused
- § 6:83 False Confession — Accused Mentally Ill

## Volume 2

### CHAPTER 7. THE CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

- § 7:1 Charter Application Procedure (Ontario)
- § 7:2 Judicial Discretion to Exclude Statements—  
Infringement of Constitutional Right
- § 7:3 —Discovery of Physical Evidence Confirming Statement
- § 7:4 —Statements to Informants
- § 7:5 —Overview of Exclusionary Rule in England
- § 7:6 —Overview of Exclusionary Rule in United States
- § 7:7 —Canada and the Miranda Rule
- § 7:8 Exclusion of Evidence — Other Canadian Views—Report of Canadian Committee on Corrections
- § 7:9 —Report of Law Reform Commission of Canada
- § 7:10 Impact of Section 24 of Charter—Early Rulings
- § 7:11 —Sharpening the Focus — R. v. Collins
- § 7:12 —Inadvertent Breach of Rights of Accused
- § 7:13 —Restating Principles on Exclusion and Preservation of Evidence
- § 7:14 —Inadmissible Statement Under Section 24(2) of Charter May be Admissible at Civil Proceedings
- § 7:15 —Notion of Conscriptive Evidence
- § 7:16 —Claim for Relief Under Section 24(2) of Charter

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	is Personal (Third Party's Breach Cannot be Invoked)
§ 7:17	—Derivative Evidence
§ 7:18	—Re-enactment
§ 7:19	—Understanding One's Jeopardy
§ 7:20	—Police Urgency to Continue Questioning vs. Accused's Rights
§ 7:21	—Admission of Evidence for Limited Purposes
§ 7:22	Police Behaviour — Sections 7 and 11 of Charter Not Engaged
§ 7:22.50	Identification by Police
§ 7:23	Extraterritorial Application of Charter
§ 7:24	Disclosure Available to Canadian Charged in United States Following Joint Police Operation
§ 7:25	Raising Charter Violations for First Time on Appeal
§ 7:26	Remedy for Infringement s. 24(1) <i>Charter</i> of Rights and Freedoms — Crown-directed Stay
§ 7:27	Availability of Other Remedies Under s. 24(1) of Charter

## CHAPTER 8. DETERMINING VOLUNTARINESS

§ 8:1	Voluntariness and Cross-Examination by Counsel for Co-Accused
§ 8:2	All Factors Explored When Determining Voluntariness
§ 8:3	Determination of Truthfulness and Weight by Jury
§ 8:4	Assisting an Unrepresented Accused
§ 8:5	Scope of Judge's Assistance Does Not Extend to Advice of Counsel
§ 8:6	Judicial Duty to Assist Extends to <i>Charter</i> Issues Only
§ 8:7	Voluntariness and Burden of Proof Beyond Reasonable Doubt
§ 8:8	Onus of Proof
§ 8:9	State of Mind of Accused
§ 8:10	Past Sexual Conduct of Complainant
§ 8:11	Notion of Oppression
§ 8:12	Exaggerating Evidence and Physical Gestures — Oppression or "Body Language"?
§ 8:13	Consumption of Drugs
§ 8:14	Balance of Mind — Delusions
§ 8:14.50	Limited Intelligence

- § 8:15 Mental Condition and Ability to Instruct Counsel
- § 8:16 Awareness of Consequences Test
- § 8:17 Stratagems or Tricks—Before Charter
- § 8:18 —Post-Charter
- § 8:19 Actively Eliciting Admissions
- § 8:20 Right to Silence and Doctrine of Recent Possession of Stolen Property
- § 8:21 Crown Counsel Undermining Accused's Right to Counsel - Cross-Examination and in Address to Jury
- § 8:22 Police Artifice
- § 8:23 Befriending Accused to Obtain Admission
- § 8:24 Undercover Officer Posing as Spiritual Advisor
- § 8:25 Inmate Obtaining Information From Accused in Exchange for Police Favours
- § 8:26 Protection Under Section 7 of Charter for Statements to Agents of State
- § 8:27 Security Guards — Agents of State?
- § 8:28 Access to Personal Diaries and Journals
- § 8:29 Recorded Conversation With Inmate Girlfriend
- § 8:30 Casual Remark to Off-Duty Police Officer
- § 8:31 Community Shock Test
- § 8:32 Good Faith of Police
- § 8:33 Accused's Subjective Belief re Speaking to Informer
- § 8:34 Confession Made During Compelled Psychiatric Assessment
- § 8:35 Subterfuge — Absence of Prompting or Cajoling
- § 8:36 Police Attempting to Overhear Jail Cell Conversations Between Co-Conspirators
- § 8:37 Ruse Practised by Manager as Agent of State
- § 8:38 Stratagems and Abuse of Process
- § 8:39 Change in Crown Position — Stay of Proceedings Granted on Appeal by SCC
- § 8:40 Crown Misleading Court — Stay Not Granted on Appeal
- § 8:41 No Stay of Proceedings Under s. 7 for Psychological Harm
- § 8:42 Stay of Proceedings — Violation of s. 7 of Charter
- § 8:43 Late Disclosure
- § 8:44 Hypnosis and Narcoanalysis

## CHAPTER 9. TECHNICAL AIDS

- § 9:1 The Polygraph
- § 9:2 —Polygraph Evidence Not Admissible to Bolster Credibility



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 9:3	—Qualifying and Balancing Expert Evidence Tendered
§ 9:4	—Anecdotal Evidence Is Not Expert Evidence
§ 9:5	—Result of Test Not Admissible
§ 9:6	—Polygraph Test as Inducement
§ 9:7	—No adverse Inference From Refusal of Polygraph
§ 9:8	—Fulfilling Informational Requirements at Pre-Interview
§ 9:9	—Admissibility of Post-Polygraph Statement
§ 9:10	—Recanting Statement and Re-enactment — Right to Counsel
§ 9:11	—Polygraph Evidence at Civil Trial
§ 9:12	—Scientific Comments on Reliability of Polygraph
§ 9:13	—Polygraph Examiner Cannot Express Belief in Reliability of Test to Affirm Truth of Statement Obtained
§ 9:14	—Advice of Counsel re Polygraph Not Admissible
§ 9:15	—Role of Polygraph Technician in Obtaining Statements
§ 9:16	—Polygraph-Induced Confessions
§ 9:17	—Police not Obligated to Notify Accused of the Tape Recording at Interview
§ 9:18	—Recording Polygraph Examination Sessions
§ 9:19	—Personal Privacy and Polygraph Examinations
§ 9:20	—Whither the Polygraph?
§ 9:21	The Tape Recorder
§ 9:22	—Studies and Reports on Audio/Video Recordings
§ 9:23	—Quality of Videotape
§ 9:24	—Admissibility of Audio Recordings
§ 9:25	—TV/Radio Broadcasting of Accused’s Videotaped Statement
§ 9:26	—General Protection of Police Informer beyond Discretion of Trial Judge
§ 9:27	—In Camera Hearing to Prevent Applicant’s Identification
§ 9:28	—Informer Privilege — Crime Stoppers
§ 9:29	— —Safeguards in Preserving Recordings
§ 9:30	— —Recordings as Exhibits
§ 9:31	— —Playing Part of Complainant’s Videotaped Statement at Trial
§ 9:32	— —Viewing of Videotaped Statements by Jury During Deliberations
§ 9:33	— —Voir Dire re Admissibility of Recordings
§ 9:34	— —Video Made Within a Reasonable Time (s. 715.1 Criminal Code)

- § 9:35 — —GSR — Gunshot Residue
- § 9:36 — —Participant Surveillance and Section 8 of Charter
- § 9:37 Duarte Revisited by Supreme Court of Canada
- § 9:38 Police Interception of Messages
- § 9:39 Cell Phone Propagation Maps
- § 9:40 Cell Phone Intercepts (DNR) and Expectation of Privacy
- § 9:41 Text Messages and Orders to Intercept Cr. Code s. 186
- § 9:42 Use of Seized Cellphones by Police to Receive Calls From Individuals Ordering Drugs
- § 9:43 Non-Use of Available Video Facilities
- § 9:44 Failure to Inform Accused Questioning Being Videotaped
- § 9:45 Photographing Detained Persons
- § 9:46 Police Installation of Probes and Resulting Statements
- § 9:47 Audio/Video Recordings—Video Recording to Prove Events
- § 9:48 —Confessions
- § 9:49 —Videotaped Statements Viewed by Jury During Deliberations
- § 9:50 —Suspect’s Reasonable Expectation of Privacy
- § 9:51 Civil Liability for Police Wrongful Actions
- § 9:52 Reasonable Expectation of Privacy — Police Service Record
- § 9:53 Reasonable Expectation of Privacy — Police Occurrence Report
- § 9:54 Unlocked and Unprotected Password Cell Phones
- § 9:55 Police Use of Updated Software
- § 9:56 Order Under s. 487.02 of Code to Require Accused to Provide Passcode to Locked Cellphone Unconstitutional
- § 9:57 Reasonable Expectation of Privacy — Cell Phone Records
- § 9:58 Reduced Expectation of Privacy in Apartment Parking Garage
- § 9:59 Reduced Reasonable Expectation of Privacy - Telephone Communications Detention Centre
- § 9:60 Low Expectation of Privacy in Outbuildings
- § 9:61 Expectation of Privacy — Farmer’s Field
- § 9:62 Phone Tower Records
- § 9:63 No Continuing Privacy Expectation in Discarded Straw

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 9:64	Reasonable Expectation of Privacy — Residence Used for Business — Trafficking in Narcotics
§ 9:65	Expectation of Privacy in Home Partially Converted in Place of Business
§ 9:66	Reasonable Expectation of Privacy — Driveway Entry
§ 9:67	Reasonable Expectation of Privacy — Chewing Gum
§ 9:68	Reasonable Expectation of Privacy (SDM) Sensing Diagnostic Module
§ 9:69	Privacy Rights and Interference With Accused's Dignity or Integrity
§ 9:70	Reasonable Expectation of Privacy in an Ambulance
§ 9:71	Reasonable Expectation of Privacy — Garbage Left for Collection
§ 9:72	Reasonable Expectation of Privacy — Airline Passenger List
§ 9:73	Reasonable Suspicion — a Relatively Low Threshold — Judge not Required to Evaluate Inculpatory Negative Factors
§ 9:74	Reasonable Expectation of Privacy — Electrical Consumption
§ 9:75	Reasonable Expectation of Privacy — Telephone Subscribers
§ 9:76	Reasonable Expectation of Privacy — Internet Protocol (IP)
§ 9:77	Reasonable Expectation of Privacy in a School Provided Laptop Computer
§ 9:78	Camera Pen and Expectation to Privacy — Teacher in School Settings — s. 162(1) Criminal Code
§ 9:79	Reasonable Expectation of Privacy in Video Files
§ 9:80	Objective/Subjective Expectation of Privacy in Internet Service Provider ("ISP")
§ 9:81	Historical Text Messages

## CHAPTER 10. PROBLEMS OF EVIDENCE

§ 10:1	Use of Notes and Diagrams by Witness
§ 10:2	Police Failure to Disclose Romantic Relationship with Complainant
§ 10:3	Adequacy of Disclosure
§ 10:4	Mid-trial Crown Disclosure — Breach of s. 7 Charter — Mistrial
§ 10:5	Crown Failing to Keep/Disclose Draft Police Reconstruction Report — s. 7 Charter
§ 10:6	Electronic Disclosure and Software
§ 10:7	Role of Crown Counsel
§ 10:8	Conduct of Defence Counsel

- § 10:9 Counsel Unduly Provocative During Personal Cross-Examination
- § 10:10 Crown Disclosure
- § 10:11 Non Disclosure of Jury Vetting
- § 10:12 Non Disclosure of Peripheral Issues by Crown
- § 10:13 Production of Records Relating to Previous Stops of Out-of-Province Vehicles by Officer
- § 10:14 Accused Entitled to Record of Case (ROC) under s. 32(2) of *Extradition Act*
- § 10:15 Production of Evidence of Misconduct of Officer Involved in Case Against Accused
- § 10:16 Disclosure of Legal Advice by Crown Counsel
- § 10:17 Disclosure of Third Party Records
- § 10:18 —Disclosure — Occurrence Reports
- § 10:19 Judicial Discretion to Limit Disclosure
- § 10:20 Disclosure — Children's Aid Society and Counselling Records
- § 10:21 Stay of Proceedings for Crown Non-Disclosure
- § 10:22 Delayed Disclosure by Crown — Only Becoming Apparent at Trial
- § 10:23 Costs s. 24 (1) — Failure to Make Timely Disclosure
- § 10:24 Stay of Proceedings — Police Behaviour
- § 10:25 Prosecutorial Immunity
- § 10:26 Stay of Proceedings not Appropriate for Police Misconduct
- § 10:27 Police not Required to Investigate Possible Defences
- § 10:28 Stay of Proceedings Granted for Police Misconduct
- § 10:29 Stay of Proceedings — Police Brutality — Appropriate Remedy
- § 10:30 Stay of Proceedings — Accused's Physical Health
- § 10:31 Police Erasing Audiotapes — Stay of Proceedings Not Appropriate Remedy
- § 10:32 Stay of Proceedings — Detention Review
- § 10:33 Stay of Proceedings — Trial not Within a Reasonable Delay
- § 10:34 Battered Woman Syndrome
- § 10:35 Stay of Proceedings Granted for Delay Reaching Outer Limits
- § 10:36 Stay of Proceedings Not Granted Into Destruction of Evidence
- § 10:37 Stay of Proceedings — Police Intentionally not Taking Notes

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 10:38	Stay of Proceedings not Appropriate Remedy for Arbitrary Detention or Imprisonment under s. 503 of <i>Criminal Code</i>
§ 10:39	Stay of Proceedings – Bail Hearing Delayed
§ 10:40	Absence of Interpreter Not Appropriate to Grant a Stay of Proceedings
§ 10:41	Party Seeking Stay of Proceedings Entitled to Have Issue Litigated
§ 10:42	Stay of Proceeding — Disclosure Crown Resolution Discussion
§ 10:43	Stay of Proceeding not Allowed — Violation s. 530.1 Criminal Code
§ 10:44	Stay of Proceedings — Judge Cannot Order a Stay on his/her own motion
§ 10:45	Awarding Costs for Non-Disclosure
§ 10:46	Sections 487.012 and 487.015 — Production Orders — No Compensation for Costs
§ 10:47	Defence Disclosure
§ 10:48	Refreshing Memory
§ 10:49	Use of Police Report as Evidence
§ 10:50	Police-Informer Privilege
§ 10:51	Police Promise of Confidentiality — Informer Status
§ 10:52	Disclosure Requirements re Informers
§ 10:53	Police Charged with Crime of Misconduct — Not Exempted
§ 10:54	Innocence at Stake Exception
§ 10:55	Crown Privilege — Section 38, <i>Canada Evidence Act</i> - CSIS Human Sources
§ 10:56	Entrapment
§ 10:57	Defence Counsel Has No Right to Seek Out Informer Identity
§ 10:58	Setting Aside an Order Protecting Identity
§ 10:59	Reliability of Informers
§ 10:60	Credibility of Informer Always a Significant Factor
§ 10:61	Police Intelligence Not Necessarily Protected — Case-by-Case Approach
§ 10:62	Dying Declarations
§ 10:63	Privileged Communications
§ 10:64	—Spousal Communications
§ 10:65	—Incompetence Rule in s. 4(1) of the <i>Canada Evidence Act</i> Does not Offend s. 15(1) of Charter
§ 10:66	—Spousal Communications Overheard by Third Parties

- § 10:67 —Interception of Communications — Spouses and Counsel
- § 10:68 —Solicitor-Client Communications
- § 10:69 —Telephone Interception of Private Communication Between Solicitor and Client
- § 10:70 —Solicitor-Client Privilege and Protection of Draft Documents
- § 10:71 —Solicitor-Client Relationship and Innocence at Stake
- § 10:72 —Need for Counsel to Assert Solicitor-Client Relationship
- § 10:73 —Solicitor-Client Privilege and Public Safety Exception
- § 10:74 —Solicitor-Client Privilege and Entrapment
- § 10:75 —Resolution Discussions Between Counsel
- § 10:76 —Solicitor-Client Privilege and Seizure Under Section 488.1 of Criminal Code
- § 10:77 —Searches of Law Offices
- § 10:78 —Information to Obtain (ITO) From Energy Provider — Residential Search
- § 10:79 —The Supreme Court of Canada on ITO
- § 10:80 —Definition of Law Office Within Meaning of Section 487 of Criminal Code
- § 10:81 —Real Estate Reporting Letter Not Privileged
- § 10:82 —Other Privileged Communications
- § 10:83 Accused's Prior Consistent Statements
- § 10:84 Prior Inconsistent Statements
- § 10:85 Prior Inconsistent Statements After R. v. Khan
- § 10:86 Reliance on Prior Consistent Statements
- § 10:87 Necessity to Give a W.(D.) Instruction in Respect of Witnesses' Exculpatory Identification Evidence
- § 10:88 Necessity and Reliability after Khan
- § 10:89 Judicial Discretion to Exclude when Necessity and Reliability Criteria Satisfied
- § 10:90 Post-*Khelawon*
- § 10:91 Witness Refusing to Answer Question
- § 10:92 Admissibility of Similar Fact Evidence — Sexual Assault
- § 10:93 Judicial Stereotypical Assumptions
- § 10:94 Oath Helping
- § 10:95 Section 13 of Charter — Applicable Only to "Incriminating" Evidence
- § 10:96 *Khelawon* — Criteria of Necessity/Reliability and Prejudice vs. Probative Value

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 10:97	Witness Recanting Portions of Agreed Statement Implicating Accused
§ 10:98	Not Inevitable that Statement Admissible Under Principled Exception — s. 715.1, Criminal Code
§ 10:99	Judge Required to Give Reasons to Satisfy Functional Requirements for Admission of Hearsay
§ 10:100	Judge Editing Reasons for Judgement
§ 10:101	Trial Judge's Obligation to Give Sufficient Reasons
§ 10:102	Failure to Resolve Material Inconsistencies
§ 10:103	Admission Against Penal Interest — Exception to Hearsay Rule
§ 10:104	Co-Conspirator's Exception to Hearsay Rule
§ 10:105	Privilege Against Self-Incrimination
§ 10:106	The Supreme Court of Canada Holds Section 13 is not Available to an Accused Choosing to Testify at his or her Retrial on the Same Indictment
§ 10:107	Section 13 of the Charter and its Effect on an Agreed Statement of Facts
§ 10:108	Self-incrimination while Testifying
§ 10:109	Instruction to Jury Regarding Prior Inconsistent Statement of Witness
§ 10:110	Self-Serving Evidence
§ 10:111	Reconciling Inconsistencies
§ 10:112	Platonic Relationship: "Friends with Benefits"
§ 10:113	Statement Can be Evidence For as Well as Against Accused
§ 10:114	Statements by Compulsion of Statute
§ 10:115	Section 7 of Charter and Requirement for Statements Under Provincial Motor Vehicle Legislation
§ 10:116	Statutorily Compelled Statements — Income Tax Act
§ 10:117	Provincial Automatic Roadside Prohibition (ARP) — Statutory or Criminal?
§ 10:118	Regulatory and Criminal Audit
§ 10:119	Members of Police Forces Under Investigation
§ 10:120	Police Officer's Notes — Right to Consult Counsel to Vet and Assist in Preparation of Notes to be Provided to Member of Special Investigations Unit (SIU) Ontario
§ 10:121	Implications for Police of <i>R. v. Calder</i>
§ 10:122	Police "Use of Force Report" and Section 7 of Charter

- § 10:123 Possible Reform of Police Legislation in Canada
- § 10:124 Protected Statements Made Under Section 672.21 of Criminal Code
- § 10:125 Waiver of Protection under s. 672.21 of the Criminal Code
- § 10:126 Compelled Testimony and Use and Derivative Use Immunity
- § 10:127 Unreasonable or Unsafe Verdict — Circumstantial Evidence
- § 10:128 Disclosure in Provincial Offences
- § 10:129 Data — Police Databases — Limited Access
- § 10:130 Recanting
- § 10:131 Costs Against the Crown

## **CHAPTER 11. CONCLUSION**

- § 11:1 The Future
- § 11:2 Good Faith Factor in Applying s. 24(2) of Charter
- § 11:3 Bad Faith Factor in Excluding under s. 24(2) of Charter
- § 11:4 Flagrant/Unexplained Disregard for the Terms of a Search Warrant
- § 11:5 Ministerial Review — s. 696 Criminal Code
- § 11:6 Unacceptable Police Conduct
- § 11:7 Conclusion

## **APPENDICES**

- Appendix A. Abbreviations
- Appendix B. Constitution Act, 1982
- Appendix C. Regina v. Demeter

### **Table of Cases**

### **Index**