

# **Table of Contents**

## **Volume 1**

### **PART I. STOP AND ARREST**

#### **CHAPTER 1. ISSUES FROM THE STOP TO THE ARREST**

- § 1:1 In general
- § 1:2 When can a police officer approach a parked vehicle?
- § 1:3 When can a police officer approach a vehicle that is stopped but not parked?
- § 1:4 Police jurisdiction to stop
- § 1:5 When can a police officer stop a moving vehicle?
- § 1:6 When can a police officer “stop” a parked vehicle?
- § 1:7 When can a police officer stop a person suspected of being the victim of a crime?
- § 1:8 When can a police officer ask the occupants of a lawfully stopped vehicle if they possess weapons?
- § 1:9 Standard for stop differs from standard for arrest
- § 1:10 Can the police run a person’s license plate for no reason?
- § 1:11 Can the police issue tickets for unobserved traffic infractions?
- § 1:12 Can the police arrest a person for a mere traffic infraction?
- § 1:13 Can the police arrest a person for an unobserved DWAI?
- § 1:14 When can a police officer pursue a fleeing person?
- § 1:15 When is a vehicle stop improper?
- § 1:16 Mistake of law stop
- § 1:17 Anonymous tip stops
- § 1:18 Stops based on tips from “known informant” or “identified citizen”
- § 1:19 Pretext stops
- § 1:20 Checkpoint stops
- § 1:21 Mistaken arrests
- § 1:22 Warrantless arrests in the home
- § 1:23 Out-of-state stops and arrests
- § 1:24 Authority of out-of-state police officers to make arrests in New York

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 1:25 Even where initial stop is lawful, continued detention may not be
- § 1:26 Length of traffic stop must be reasonable
- § 1:27 When can the police request a person's driver's license and registration?
- § 1:28 What if the person doesn't produce driver's license and registration?
- § 1:29 When can a police officer demand that the driver exit the vehicle?
- § 1:30 When can a police officer demand that passengers exit the vehicle?
- § 1:31 "Reasonable cause" and "probable cause" are synonymous
- § 1:32 Probable cause to arrest in a VTL § 1192 case
- § 1:33 A valid arrest is a prerequisite to a lawful request to submit to a chemical test
- § 1:34 Probable cause can generally consist of reliable hearsay
- § 1:35 Fellow officer rule
- § 1:36 Probable cause must exist at time of arrest
- § 1:37 When is a probable cause hearing required?
- § 1:38 Standing
- § 1:39 Proving the basis to stop at a suppression hearing
- § 1:40 Prosecution generally only has one chance to prove probable cause
- § 1:41 Where trial testimony conflicts with testimony at suppression hearing, defendant should move to reopen hearing
- § 1:42 Consequence of defendant's failure to appear for suppression hearing
- § 1:43 When can a police officer search the interior of a vehicle during a stop for a traffic infraction?
- § 1:44 Search of vehicle incident to lawful arrest for traffic infraction
- § 1:45 Search of defendant's person incident to arrest
- § 1:46 Search of vehicle incident to lawful DWI arrest
- § 1:47 Use of GPS device to track suspect's movements
- § 1:48 Lawfulness of canine sniff of automobile
- § 1:49 Lawfulness of stop based on automated license plate scanning device

## CHAPTER 2. OPERATION

- § 2:1 In general
- § 2:2 What is a "motor vehicle"?
- § 2:3 What constitutes "operation" of a motor vehicle?
- § 2:4 Operation requires intent to move the vehicle
- § 2:5 Where intent to operate in dispute, defendant entitled to grand jury instruction on this issue

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 2:6 Operation and intoxication must be simultaneous
- § 2:7 Accusatory instrument charging defendant with DWI must properly allege that defendant operated the vehicle
- § 2:8 Corroboration of admission of operation
- § 2:9 Applicability of CPL § 60.50 to misdemeanor informations
- § 2:10 Operation can be proven by circumstantial evidence
- § 2:11 Operation at command of police officer
- § 2:12 Operation to stop out-of-control vehicle
- § 2:13 Operation of boat
- § 2:14 Admissibility of prior driving record to prove vehicle operation
- § 2:15 Admissibility of statement of unavailable witness who claimed to have been the operator of the vehicle
- § 2:16 Sufficiency of evidence of operation before grand jury
- § 2:17 Effect of witness' inability to identify defendant at trial
- § 2:18 People cannot comment on defendant's failure to advise them that someone else drove the vehicle
- § 2:19 Cross-examination of defense witness who claims to have been the driver

## CHAPTER 3. PUBLIC HIGHWAYS, PRIVATE ROADS, AND PARKING LOTS

- § 3:1 In general
- § 3:2 Roadways upon which VTL § 1192 applies
- § 3:3 Proof that parking lot constitutes "parking lot" as defined in VTL § 1192(7) is element of VTL § 1192 charge
- § 3:4 Roadways upon which AUO statute applies
- § 3:5 Roadways upon which reckless driving statute applies
- § 3:6 Roadways upon which VTL Title VII applies
- § 3:7 Locations upon which penal law statutes apply
- § 3:8 Accusatory instrument must properly allege location of offense

## CHAPTER 4. WARRANTLESS ARRESTS IN THE HOME

- § 4:1 In general
- § 4:2 Standing to challenge warrantless arrest in home
- § 4:3 Exception to warrant requirement—Exigent circumstances
- § 4:4 —Hot pursuit
- § 4:5 *Welsh v. Wisconsin*
- § 4:6 Applying *Welsh*
- § 4:7 Admissibility of evidence obtained as the fruit of a *Payton* violation

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 4:8 Exception to warrant requirement—Consent
- § 4:9 Third party consent to warrantless entry
- § 4:10 Validity of warrantless arrest at threshold of home
- § 4:11 Validity of warrantless search of probationer's home

## CHAPTER 5. SOBRIETY CHECKPOINTS

- § 5:1 In general
- § 5:2 Supreme Court cases
- § 5:3 Court of Appeals cases
- § 5:4 Cases where checkpoint was found to be invalid
- § 5:5 Cases where checkpoint was upheld
- § 5:6 Necessity of written checkpoint guidelines
- § 5:7 Checkpoint evasion
- § 5:8 Checkpoint stops non-custodial for *Miranda* purposes
- § 5:9 Necessity of pre-trial hearing
- § 5:10 Miscellaneous checkpoint/roadblock issues

## PART II. FIELD SOBRIETY TESTS

## CHAPTER 6. FIELD SOBRIETY TESTS

- § 6:1 Nature of tests
- § 6:2 Validity and relevancy of tests
- § 6:3 Refusal to participate in field sobriety tests does not violate VTL
- § 6:4 Refusal to perform field sobriety tests as evidence
- § 6:5 Description of tests
- § 6:6 The “standardized” field sobriety tests
- § 6:7 The “validated” tests
- § 6:8 The Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus test
- § 6:9 The Walk and Turn test
- § 6:10 The One-Leg Stand test
- § 6:11 The “non”-standardized field sobriety tests—The Romberg test
- § 6:12 The Finger-to-Nose test
- § 6:13 The Alphabet test
- § 6:14 Mitigation
- § 6:15 Field sobriety tests and the 5th Amendment
- § 6:16 —Is the defendant in custody?
- § 6:17 —Is the defendant subjected to custodial interrogation?
- § 6:18 —Are defendant’s responses to field sobriety tests “testimonial or communicative” in nature?
- § 6:19 Constitutionality of NYPD’s policy of only offering field sobriety tests to English-speaking DWI suspects
- § 6:20 Permissibility of playing video of field sobriety tests where officer who administered tests is unavailable

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 6:21 State Police not required to file SFST procedures with  
Secretary of State

## CHAPTER 7. BREATH SCREENING TESTS (PBTs)

- § 7:1 In general
- § 7:2 Statutory authority for PBTs
- § 7:3 Duty of police to administer PBT
- § 7:4 Can PBT be used as substitute for probable cause?
- § 7:5 Applicability of 4th Amendment to PBTs
- § 7:6 Applicability of 5th Amendment to PBTs
- § 7:7 Applicability of discovery statute to PBTs
- § 7:8 Admissibility of PBT evidence at pre-trial hearing
- § 7:9 Admissibility of PBT evidence at trial
- § 7:10 Erroneous admission of PBT evidence subject to  
harmless error analysis
- § 7:11 Defense counsel should file motion *in limine* to  
preclude PBT evidence at trial
- § 7:12 Refusal to submit to PBT—Generally
- § 7:13 —Admissibility at trial
- § 7:14 Is refusal to submit to PBT a cognizable offense?
- § 7:15 Lawfulness of requirement that defendant submit to  
Alco-Sensor testing as condition of probation
- § 7:16 Admissibility of PBT evidence at VOP hearing
- § 7:17 Effect of arresting officer's false testimony before  
Grand Jury that no PBT had been administered
- § 7:18 PBTs and the right to counsel

## CHAPTER 8. GAZE NYSTAGMUS

- § 8:1 In general
- § 8:2 The HGN test is different than other field sobriety tests
- § 8:3 Admissibility of video demonstrating HGN test
- § 8:4 Required foundation for admission of results of HGN  
test
- § 8:5 The “standardized” elements of the HGN test
- § 8:6 Proper procedures for administering the HGN test
- § 8:7 Case law

## PART III. OFFENSES

## CHAPTER 9. FELONY DWI

- § 9:1 In general
- § 9:2 DWI, Aggravated DWI, DWAI Drugs or DWAI  
Combined Influence—Class E felony
- § 9:3 —Class D felony

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 9:4 Leandra's Law
- § 9:5 Vince's Law
- § 9:6 Court not required to advise defendant that subsequent DWI could be charged as felony
- § 9:7 What "counts" as predicate DWI conviction for purposes of elevating new DWI charge to felony status?
- § 9:8 Out-of-state DWI convictions
- § 9:9 Proving the predicate conviction—CPL § 200.60 issues
- § 9:10 Applicability of CPL § 200.60 to guilty plea
- § 9:11 Proving the predicate conviction—Trial issues
- § 9:12 —Grand Jury issues
- § 9:13 Challenging the predicate conviction
- § 9:14 When does defendant have to be notified of People's intent to present case to Grand Jury?
- § 9:15 Where defendant indicted for *misdemeanor* DWI, superior court can transfer case back to local court
- § 9:16 Defendant indicted for misdemeanor DWI is entitled to a 12-person jury
- § 9:17 Guilty plea to misdemeanor in local court as bar to prosecution for more serious charge in superior court
- § 9:18 Duty of prosecutor to present witnesses to Grand Jury
- § 9:19 Duty of prosecutor to present *Brady* material to Grand Jury
- § 9:20 Motion to delete reference in indictment to class level of felony DWI charge
- § 9:21 Propriety of amending indictment prior to trial
- § 9:22 Propriety of amending indictment during trial
- § 9:23 Use of prior DWI-related conviction(s) to impeach defendant's trial testimony
- § 9:24 Constitutionality of VTL § 1193(1)(c)
- § 9:25 There is no mandatory minimum fine for felony DWI
- § 9:26 If fine is imposed, it cannot be less than the statutory minimum
- § 9:27 Where defendant is sentenced to prison for felony DWI, what is the minimum sentence?
- § 9:28 Consecutive sentences for felony DWI and AUO 1st
- § 9:29 Merger of certain sentences
- § 9:30 Felony DWI sentence reduced as unduly harsh and severe
- § 9:31 Felony DWI plea vacated despite waiver of right to appeal
- § 9:32 Applicability of second felony offender statute to felony DWI
- § 9:33 Applicability of persistent felony offender statute to felony DWI
- § 9:34 Applicability of Double Jeopardy to repeat felony offender statutes

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 9:35 Felony DWI cannot serve as underlying felony for purposes of Assault 1st or Assault 2nd charge
- § 9:36 Felony DWI is inclusory concurrent count of Aggravated Vehicular Homicide
- § 9:37 Superior court information cannot replace simplified information
- § 9:38 Reduction of felony complaint to misdemeanor information
- § 9:39 Failure to comply with CPL § 195.20 is a non-waivable jurisdictional issue
- § 9:40 Time within which people must be ready for trial where misdemeanor DWI elevated to felony DWI
- § 9:41 Time within which people must be ready for trial where felony DWI reduced to misdemeanor DWI
- § 9:42 Forfeiture of vehicle used to commit felony DWI
- § 9:43 Joinder/Severance issues
- § 9:44 Can felony DWI plea be conditioned upon waiver of civil claim?
- § 9:45 Defendant does not have right to review all records available to Probation Department
- § 9:46 Defendant cannot be denied probation based solely on status as undocumented immigrant
- § 9:47 Conducting VOP hearing in defendant's absence can constitute reversible error
- § 9:48 Effect of participation in Impaired Driver Program on jail/prison sentence
- § 9:49 Effect of felony DWI conviction on law license

**CHAPTER 10. DRIVING WHILE ABILITY IMPAIRED BY DRUGS**

- § 10:1 In general
- § 10:2 What are the elements of DWAI Drugs?
- § 10:3 What are the elements of DWAI Combined Influence?
- § 10:4 What does it mean to be "impaired" within the meaning of VTL §§ 1192(4) and 1192(4-a)?
- § 10:5 Acquittal of VTL § 1192(4) does not require acquittal of VTL § 1192(4-a)
- § 10:6 What drugs count for purposes of VTL §§ 1192(4) and 1192(4-a)?
- § 10:7 Fact that drug was prescribed generally not a defense
- § 10:8 Proving DWAI Drugs charge without chemical test result
- § 10:9 Defendant under the influence of drugs cannot be charged with DWI in violation of VTL § 1192(3)
- § 10:10 Sufficiency of accusatory instrument charging defendant with DWAI Drugs
- § 10:11 Sufficiency of trial evidence in DWAI Drugs case

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 10:12 Penalties for conviction of VTL §§ 1192(4) and 1192(4-a)
- § 10:13 Plea bargain limitations
- § 10:14 Suspension pending prosecution
- § 10:15 Eligibility for conditional license
- § 10:16 Constitutionality of VTL § 1192(4)
- § 10:17 Probable cause to arrest in DWAI Drugs case
- § 10:18 Significance of odor of burnt marijuana
- § 10:19 What if arresting officer mistakenly arrests defendant for DWI rather than DWAI Drugs?
- § 10:20 Claims by DWI defendants that they were mischarged because they were impaired by drugs rather than alcohol generally fail
- § 10:21 Quantifying drug impairment
- § 10:22 Testing dried blood for drugs
- § 10:23 Admissibility of breath test result of .00%
- § 10:24 Admissibility of evidence that defendant's passenger appeared to be under the influence of drugs
- § 10:25 Admissibility of evidence that defendant's passenger possessed drugs
- § 10:26 Admissibility of testimony characterizing defendant as chronic cocaine user
- § 10:27 DWAI Drugs conviction reversed for improper cross-examination of defendant's doctor
- § 10:28 Conviction of DWAI Drugs does not preclude sealing of other, related charges pursuant to CPL § 160.58
- § 10:29 Insufficient evidence of Criminally Negligent Homicide where no evidence that defendant was impaired by drugs
- § 10:30 Insufficient evidence of Manslaughter 2nd even though defendant was impaired by both alcohol and drugs
- § 10:31 People's ability to change theory of which drug(s) defendant was impaired by is limited
- § 10:32 The DRE protocol—Generally
- § 10:33 The DRE Student Manual
- § 10:34 The 7 drug categories
- § 10:35 Drug category 1—CNS Depressants
- § 10:36 Drug category 2—CNS Stimulants
- § 10:37 Drug category 3—Hallucinogens
- § 10:38 Drug category 4—Dissociative Anesthetics
- § 10:39 Drug category 5—Narcotic Analgesics
- § 10:40 Drug category 6—Inhalants
- § 10:41 Drug category 7—Cannabis
- § 10:42 The Drug Influence Evaluation—Generally
- § 10:43 Drug Influence Evaluation Step 1—Breath alcohol test

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 10:44 Drug Influence Evaluation Step 2—Interview of the arresting officer
- § 10:45 Drug Influence Evaluation Step 3—Preliminary examination
- § 10:46 Drug Influence Evaluation Step 4—Examinations of the eyes
- § 10:47 Which drug categories cause nystagmus?
- § 10:48 Which drug categories cause lack of convergence?
- § 10:49 Which drug categories affect pupils?
- § 10:50 Drug Influence Evaluation Step 5—Divided attention tests
- § 10:51 Drug Influence Evaluation Step 6—Examination of vital signs
- § 10:52 Drug Influence Evaluation Step 7—Dark room examinations
- § 10:53 Drug Influence Evaluation Step 8—Examination of muscle tone
- § 10:54 Drug Influence Evaluation Step 9—Examination for injection sites
- § 10:55 Drug Influence Evaluation Step 10—Subject's statements and other observations
- § 10:56 Drug Influence Evaluation Step 11—Opinion of evaluator
- § 10:57 Drug Influence Evaluation Step 12—Toxicological examination
- § 10:58 Expected results—CNS Depressants
- § 10:59 —CNS Stimulants
- § 10:60 —Hallucinogens
- § 10:61 —Dissociative Anesthetics
- § 10:62 —Narcotic Analgesics
- § 10:63 —Inhalants
- § 10:64 —Cannabis
- § 10:65 Drug combinations (a.k.a. polydrug use)
- § 10:66 Medical conditions that can mimic drug impairment
- § 10:67 Drug Symptomatology Matrix
- § 10:68 Drug Influence Evaluation form
- § 10:69 Drug Influence Evaluation—What does it all mean?
- § 10:70 DRE Curriculum Vitae
- § 10:71 DRE Rolling Log
- § 10:72 The DRE protocol—*Frye* recognition
- § 10:73 Effect of Improper admission of DRE evidence

**CHAPTER 11. VTL § 1192 ISSUES**

- § 11:1 In general
- § 11:2 It is not illegal to drink and drive
- § 11:3 Legislative history of VTL § 1192
- § 11:4 What are the primary DWI statutes?

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 11:5 What is “common law DWI”?
- § 11:6 —VTL § 1192(3) only applies to intoxication caused by alcohol
- § 11:7 Attempted DWI is not a legally cognizable offense
- § 11:8 Can driving while intoxicated ever be “justified”?
- § 11:9 What is “per se DWI”?
- § 11:10 Reversal of VTL § 1192(2) conviction generally requires reversal of VTL § 1192(1)/(3) conviction(s)
- § 11:11 What is “Aggravated DWI”?
- § 11:12 High BAC is not synonymous with intoxication
- § 11:13 What is “felony DWI”?
- § 11:14 Effect of out-of-state convictions
- § 11:15 Proving a predicate DWI conviction
- § 11:16 Challenging a predicate DWI conviction
- § 11:17 What are the elements of DWI?
- § 11:18 —Reasonable cause to arrest defendant is not an element of DWI
- § 11:19 —Erratic driving is not an element of DWI
- § 11:20 Refusal to submit to chemical test is not an element of common law DWI
- § 11:21 What does it mean to be “intoxicated”?
- § 11:22 —*Cruz* imposes an objective standard in determining whether a person was intoxicated
- § 11:23 —Intoxication must be voluntary
- § 11:24 —A person can be intoxicated with a BAC below .08%, and can have a BAC above .08% without being intoxicated
- § 11:25 A person can be convicted of violating VTL § 1192(2) with a BAC of precisely .08%
- § 11:26 Intoxication alone does not constitute criminal negligence
- § 11:27 Opinion of intoxication can be rendered by layman
- § 11:28 What does it mean to be “impaired”?
- § 11:29 Significance of an odor of alcoholic beverage, or lack thereof
- § 11:30 What constitutes probable cause to arrest in a VTL § 1192 case?
- § 11:31 What constitutes “operation” of a motor vehicle?
- § 11:32 Operation and intoxication must be simultaneous
- § 11:33 Defense must be allowed to argue that defendant’s BAC was less than .08% at time of operation
- § 11:34 Operation must occur on a roadway covered by VTL § 1192(7)
- § 11:35 —Unless a Penal Law charge is involved
- § 11:36 Corroboration of admission of operation
- § 11:37 DWI is a “continuing crime”
- § 11:38 DWI is a “strict liability” offense

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 11:39	Per se DWI as a lesser included offense of Aggravated DWI
§ 11:40	DWAI as a lesser included offense of common law DWI
§ 11:41	DWAI as a lesser included offense of DWAI Combined Influence
§ 11:42	All motorists arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol are arrested for DWI as opposed to for DWAI
§ 11:43	DWAI as a lesser included offense of per se DWI
§ 11:44	—What about VTL § 1192(9)?
§ 11:45	Refusal to charge DWAI as a lesser included offense can be reversible error
§ 11:46	Misdemeanor DWAI as a lesser included offense of DWI
§ 11:47	Reckless driving as a lesser included offense of DWI
§ 11:48	Speeding as a lesser included offense of DWI
§ 11:49	What is a “chemical test”?
§ 11:50	Breath test result constitutes suppressible evidence
§ 11:51	Admissibility of chemical test result obtained despite refusal
§ 11:52	Breath test foundation—Generally
§ 11:53	Timeliness of instrument calibration
§ 11:54	Admissibility of calibration records
§ 11:55	The arresting officer can also be the breath test operator ( <i>i.e.</i> , no “witness” to the test is required)
§ 11:56	Necessity of expert witness testimony as part of chemical test foundation
§ 11:57	Failure of defense counsel to call expert as ineffective assistance of counsel
§ 11:58	Significance of failure to follow police department’s chemical test rules and regulations
§ 11:59	Preservation of sample of defendant’s breath for independent testing is not required
§ 11:60	Chain of custody of blood sample
§ 11:61	There is no constitutional right to refuse to submit to a chemical test
§ 11:62	Chemical tests and the 4th Amendment
§ 11:63	<i>Miranda</i> warnings need not precede request to submit to chemical test
§ 11:64	<i>Miranda</i> warnings need not precede most field sobriety tests
§ 11:65	Chemical tests and the right to counsel
§ 11:66	Defendant’s right to additional chemical test
§ 11:67	Unconscious defendant need not be formally arrested for purposes of implied consent law
§ 11:68	“Two-hour rule” inapplicable where defendant expressly and voluntarily consents to test

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 11:69 Constitutionality of VTL §§ 1192(1), (2) and (3)
- § 11:70 Constitutionality of VTL § 1192(4)
- § 11:71 Constitutionality of VTL § 1192(9)
- § 11:72 Constitutionality of VTL § 1193(1)(c)
- § 11:73 Constitutionality of VTL § 1193(2)(b)(3)
- § 11:74 Constitutionality of VTL § 1194(2)(b)(3)
- § 11:75 Constitutionality of VTL § 1194(2)(c)
- § 11:76 Constitutionality of VTL § 1194(2)(f)
- § 11:77 Constitutionality of implied consent law
- § 11:78 Constitutionality of prompt suspension law
- § 11:79 Constitutionality of compulsory chemical tests
- § 11:80 Constitutionality of pretext stops to hunt for drunk drivers
- § 11:81 Constitutionality of DWI checkpoints
- § 11:82 Constitutionality of vehicle seizure/forfeiture laws
- § 11:83 Court cannot impose “scarlet letter” penalty
- § 11:84 Conviction of both VTL §§ 1192(2) and 1192(3) does not violate Double Jeopardy
- § 11:85 Prosecution for DWI following chemical test refusal revocation by DMV does not violate Double Jeopardy
- § 11:86 Prosecution for DWI following suspension pending prosecution does not violate Double Jeopardy
- § 11:87 Double Jeopardy effect of dismissal for prosecutor’s failure to give sufficient opening statement
- § 11:88 Imposition of punitive damages in civil case arising out of DWI-related accident does not violate Double Jeopardy
- § 11:89 Admissibility of Alco-Sensor test evidence at trial
- § 11:90 Admissibility of chemical test result obtained pursuant to CPL § 690.10 search warrant
- § 11:91 Admissibility of evidence of defendant’s reputation for sobriety
- § 11:92 Sufficiency of “check box” Supporting Deposition/ DWI Bill of Particulars
- § 11:93 Court must advise defendant of direct consequences of plea
- § 11:94 People cannot comment on defendant’s failure to advise them that someone else drove the vehicle
- § 11:95 Where defendant indicted for misdemeanor DWI, superior court can transfer case back to local court
- § 11:96 Defendant indicted for misdemeanor DWI entitled to 12-person jury
- § 11:97 Court conducting bench trial cannot reconsider its verdict after the fact
- § 11:98 Police officer who arrests person for DWI is responsible for such person’s safety
- § 11:99 Insurer’s liability to motorist for injuries caused by driving in violation of VTL § 1192

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 11:100 Applicability of “moral certainty” standard to DWI cases
- § 11:101 Effect of VTL § 1192 conviction on pistol permit
- § 11:102 Effect of VTL § 1192 conviction on law license
- § 11:103 Arraigning Judge as witness for prosecution
- § 11:104 DWI conviction dismissed/reduced on appeal due to insufficient evidence
- § 11:105 Continued prosecution of VTL § 1192(3) charge after People lose appeal related to VTL § 1192(2) charge
- § 11:106 Court suppresses video portion of police station videotape where audio portion had been suppressed

## CHAPTER 12. VEHICULAR CRIMES

- § 12:1 In general
- § 12:2 Vehicular crimes—Legislative preemption
- § 12:3 Vehicular assault—Generally
- § 12:4 Serious physical injury
- § 12:5 Vehicular assault 2nd
- § 12:6 Vehicular assault 1st
- § 12:7 Aggravated vehicular assault
- § 12:8 Vehicular manslaughter—Generally
- § 12:9 Vehicular manslaughter 2nd
- § 12:10 Vehicular manslaughter 1st
- § 12:11 Aggravated vehicular homicide
- § 12:12 Causation
- § 12:13 Challenges to the new vehicular assault/vehicular manslaughter laws
- § 12:14 Limitation on roadways to which VTL § 1192 is applicable does not apply to vehicular crimes statutes
- § 12:15 Criminally negligent homicide
- § 12:16 Aggravated criminally negligent homicide
- § 12:17 Manslaughter 2nd
- § 12:18 Assault 1st
- § 12:19 Indictment cannot contain duplicitous counts
- § 12:20 Indictment cannot contain multiplicitous counts
- § 12:21 Inconsistent counts must be submitted to jury in the alternative
- § 12:22 Conviction of higher level offense mandates dismissal of lesser included offense(s)
- § 12:23 Marking UTTs in cases involving serious physical injury or death
- § 12:24 Endangering the welfare of a child
- § 12:25 Leaving the scene of a property damage accident without reporting
- § 12:26 Leaving the scene of a personal injury accident without reporting

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 12:27 Unlawful fleeing a police officer
- § 12:28 Reckless driving
- § 12:29 New York City's "Right of Way Law"
- § 12:30 Victim's contributory negligence not a defense
- § 12:31 DWI is not properly classified as a "violent crime"
- § 12:32 ATV not "motor vehicle" for purposes of Penal Law
- § 12:33 Fetus not "person" for purposes of manslaughter charge
- § 12:34 People's alteration of their theory of the case at trial results in dismissal of indictment
- § 12:35 Sufficiency of Grand Jury waiver of immunity by defendant
- § 12:36 Defendant's failure to recall accident does not render him/her unfit to stand trial
- § 12:37 Improper introduction of photograph of decedent can lead to reversal
- § 12:38 Relicensure after revocation for DWI-related fatal motor vehicle accident
- § 12:39 Court's authority to refuse to impose negotiated sentence
- § 12:40 Court's authority to impose community service
- § 12:41 Reduction in sentence pursuant to CPL § 440.47 and PL § 60.12

## CHAPTER 13. AGGRAVATED UNLICENSED OPERATION OF A MOTOR VEHICLE (AUO)

- § 13:1 In general
- § 13:2 AUO 3rd—Generally
- § 13:3 Proof that defendant "knew or had reason to know" license was suspended or revoked is essential element of AUO
- § 13:4 Applicability of *Crawford v. Washington* to AUO cases
- § 13:5 Effect of failure to notify DMV of address change
- § 13:6 Incriminating admission regarding knowledge of license suspension/revocation must be included in People's CPL § 710.30 notice
- § 13:7 License suspension/revocation does not automatically terminate
- § 13:8 Reading a DMV driving abstract
- § 13:9 Admissibility of passenger's driving record to attempt to prove that passenger—Rather than defendant—Operated vehicle
- § 13:10 Unlicensed operation is a lesser included offense of AUO
- § 13:11 DWAI and AUO 2nd are inclusory concurrent counts of AUO 1st
- § 13:12 Roadways upon which AUO statute applies

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 13:13 AUO is a “continuing crime”
- § 13:14 *Attempted* AUO is not a legally cognizable offense
- § 13:15 AUO 3rd—Sentence
- § 13:16 Sentence for AUO 3rd not required to include fine
- § 13:17 Sentence for AUO can be more lenient where license suspension was for support arrears or overdue taxes
- § 13:18 Successful IDP completion does not terminate sentence for AUO
- § 13:19 Court can consider pending AUO charge in sentencing defendant for DWI
- § 13:20 Attorney suspended from practice of law for 6 months for AUO 3rd conviction
- § 13:21 AUO 2nd—Generally
- § 13:22 Proof that defendant “knew or had reason to know” license was suspended on 3 or more separate dates not required in connection with VTL § 511(2)(a)(iv) charge
- § 13:23 AUO 2nd—Sentence
- § 13:24 Sentence for AUO 2nd must include fine and either jail or probation
- § 13:25 AUO 1st—Generally
- § 13:26 CPL § 200.60 applies to felony AUO
- § 13:27 CPL § 400.40 does not apply to felony AUO
- § 13:28 AUO 2nd is a lesser included offense of AUO 1st
- § 13:29 *Conviction* of VTL § 1192 is not an element of AUO 1st
- § 13:30 AUO 1st cannot serve as underlying felony for Assault 1st charge
- § 13:31 VTL § 511(3) is not unconstitutionally vague
- § 13:32 VTL § 511(3) is not an *ex post facto* law
- § 13:33 Conviction of both DWAI and AUO 1st does not violate Double Jeopardy
- § 13:34 AUO 1st—Sentence
- § 13:35 Sentence for AUO 1st must include fine and either jail or probation
- § 13:36 Consecutive sentences in AUO cases
- § 13:37 AUO convictions and “second felony offender” sentencing
- § 13:38 Violation of conditional license as AUO
- § 13:39 Can a person commit AUO on a lawn tractor?
- § 13:40 Can the police stop a car for suspicion of AUO?
- § 13:41 Suppressibility of DMV records as “fruit of the poisonous tree”
- § 13:42 AUO—Defense
- § 13:43 —*Not* a defense
- § 13:44 —Plea bargain limitations
- § 13:45 —Sentence of probation
- § 13:46 —Accusatory instrument sufficient

- § 13:47 Facial sufficiency of simplified traffic information charging AUO in NYC
- § 13:48 AUO—Accusatory instrument insufficient
- § 13:49 —Evidence before Grand Jury insufficient
- § 13:50 —Proof at trial insufficient
- § 13:51 When is an AUO verdict repugnant?
- § 13:52 Amendment of indictment during trial to change theory of prosecution of AUO 1st charge improper
- § 13:53 AUO plea vacated where plea allocution deficient
- § 13:54 AUO and *Sandoval*
- § 13:55 Where person arrested for AUO, police can impound vehicle and conduct inventory search
- § 13:56 Seizure and redemption of unlawfully operated vehicles
- § 13:57 Forfeiture of vehicles used in the commission of AUO 1st

## **CHAPTER 14. COMMERCIAL DRIVERS AND SPECIAL VEHICLES**

- § 14:1 In general
- § 14:2 What is a “CDL”?
- § 14:3 What is a “commercial motor vehicle”?
- § 14:4 What is *not* a commercial motor vehicle?
- § 14:5 A commercial motor vehicle can only be operated by a person possessing a full, unrestricted CDL
- § 14:6 Length of CDL revocation for VTL § 1192 offenses committed in a non-commercial vehicle
- § 14:7 CDL holders and chemical test refusals
- § 14:8 Periods of license revocation are *minimum* periods
- § 14:9 Successful IDP completion does *not* terminate CDL revocation
- § 14:10 Where a CDL is suspended or revoked pursuant to VTL §§ 510-a, 1193 or 1194, DMV will generally allow the holder to obtain a regular, noncommercial driver’s license (if he or she is otherwise eligible therefor)
- § 14:11 “Permanent” CDL revocation—Generally
- § 14:12 10-year “permanent” CDL revocation
- § 14:13 Truly permanent CDL revocation
- § 14:14 DMV will retain records that can lead to permanent CDL revocation for 55 years
- § 14:15 Non-DWI-related grounds for suspension/revocation of a CDL—Generally
- § 14:16 “Serious traffic violations” applicable to CDLs
- § 14:17 Dismissal of certain “serious traffic violations”
- § 14:18 Non-DWI-related grounds for *suspension* of a CDL
- § 14:19 Non-DWI-related grounds for *revocation* of a CDL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 14:20 What happens if a VTL § 510-a offense is committed by a person who does not possess a CDL?
- § 14:21 VTL § 510-a does not preclude other permissible CDL suspensions/revocations from being imposed
- § 14:22 VTL § 1192 offenses committed in commercial motor vehicles—Generally
- § 14:23 VTL § 1192(5)—Commercial motor vehicles: Per se—level I
- § 14:24 VTL § 1192(5) does not preclude a charge of DWAI or VTL § 1192-a where appropriate
- § 14:25 VTL § 1192(6)—Commercial motor vehicles: Per se—level II
- § 14:26 VTL § 1192(6) does not preclude a charge of DWAI where appropriate
- § 14:27 VTL §§ 1192(5) and 1192(6) only apply to operators of commercial motor vehicles
- § 14:28 Plea bargain limitation applicable to commercial drivers
- § 14:29 Applicability of certificate of relief from disabilities to commercial drivers
- § 14:30 Penalties for first VTL § 1192 offense committed in a commercial motor vehicle
- § 14:31 Penalties for first VTL § 1192 offense committed in a vehicle with a GVWR greater than 18,000 pounds transporting hazardous materials
- § 14:32 Commercial motor vehicles—Repeat offense
- § 14:33 Miscellaneous issues related to commercial motor vehicles and CDLs—Generally
- § 14:34 Unlicensed operation of a commercial motor vehicle
- § 14:35 AUO in vehicle with a GVWR greater than 18,000 pounds
- § 14:36 Vehicular assault/vehicular manslaughter provisions applicable to certain commercial motor vehicles
- § 14:37 Operating large commercial motor vehicle on wet road while speeding and impaired by alcohol constitutes criminal negligence
- § 14:38 Where element of offense requires operation of a commercial motor vehicle, failure to allege that vehicle in question was a commercial motor vehicle renders accusatory instrument facially insufficient
- § 14:39 Where CDL is a job requirement, failure to maintain a valid CDL precludes collection of unemployment insurance benefits
- § 14:40 Restrictions on use of radar/laser detector in certain vehicles
- § 14:41 The term “conviction” has a special definition as pertains to CDL holders
- § 14:42 Duty of CDL holder to notify employer and/or DMV of convictions, suspensions, revocations, etc.

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 14:43 Cheating on CDL exam can lead to suspension of driver's license by DMV independent of any criminal conviction flowing from the offense
- § 14:44 Special vehicles—Generally
- § 14:45 What is a "special vehicle"?
- § 14:46 Buses other than school buses—License sanctions
- § 14:47 Penalties for first VTL § 1192 offense committed in a school bus carrying at least 1 student passenger
- § 14:48 School buses—Additional license sanctions
- § 14:49 DMV will not revoke the registration of a school bus driven in violation of VTL § 1192
- § 14:50 Bus drivers cannot possess or consume alcohol or drugs while on duty or consume alcohol or drugs within 6 hours beforehand
- § 14:51 Penalties for first VTL § 1192 offense committed in certain special vehicles
- § 14:52 Special vehicles—Repeat offense
- § 14:53 Commercial/special vehicles penalties apply regardless of contrary provisions in other laws
- § 14:54 Commercial/special vehicles statute does not preclude other relevant felonies from being charged if appropriate
- § 14:55 Applicability of preconviction conditional license to taxicabs
- § 14:56 Applicability of regular, post-conviction conditional license to taxicabs
- § 14:57 Applicability of conditional license to other special vehicles
- § 14:58 Successful IDP completion does *not* terminate certain "special vehicle" license revocations
- § 14:59 Applicability of restricted use license to special vehicles
- § 14:60 Unlicensed operation of certain special vehicles

## CHAPTER 15. UNDERAGE OFFENDERS

- § 15:1 In general
- § 15:2 Whether person is "under 21" is determined by person's age on date of offense
- § 15:3 Junior learner's permits and driver's licenses
- § 15:4 Suspension/revocation of junior learner's permits and driver's licenses
- § 15:5 Probationary driver's licenses
- § 15:6 Suspension/revocation of probationary driver's licenses
- § 15:7 VTL §§ 1192-a and 1194-a—The Zero Tolerance laws
- § 15:8 Alleged violation of Zero Tolerance laws adjudicated at DMV—Not in court
- § 15:9 Person cannot be charged with Zero Tolerance law violation if charged with violating VTL § 1192

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 15:10 Person can be *convicted* of Zero Tolerance law violation if charged with violating VTL § 1192
- § 15:11 Plea bargain limitations applicable to underage offenders
- § 15:12 Sealing of records in Zero Tolerance law case
- § 15:13 Sealing of records where VTL § 1192 charge reduced to violation of VTL § 1192-a
- § 15:14 Zero Tolerance law generally inapplicable to operator of commercial motor vehicle
- § 15:15 Underage offenders—First offense
- § 15:16 —Second offense
- § 15:17 —Chemical test refusal revocation
- § 15:18 Effect of prior Zero Tolerance law adjudication
- § 15:19 Effect of prior Zero Tolerance law chemical test refusal adjudication
- § 15:20 Effect of out-of-state conviction(s) on underage offender's New York State driver's license
- § 15:21 Court must notify parent or guardian of certain minors of VTL charges
- § 15:22 Underage offenders and the Impaired Driver Program
- § 15:23 Chemical test refusals and the IDP
- § 15:24 Zero Tolerance law chemical test refusals and the IDP
- § 15:25 Successful IDP completion does not permit early termination of VTL § 1192 revocation for underage offenders
- § 15:26 Successful IDP completion *does* permit early termination of VTL § 1192-a suspension
- § 15:27 Suspension pending prosecution—Special rules applicable to underage offenders
- § 15:28 Suspension pending prosecution is *not* applicable to Zero Tolerance laws
- § 15:29 Zero Tolerance laws—Generally
- § 15:30 —Who can lawfully be requested to submit to a chemical test?
- § 15:31 Minor suspected of violating Zero Tolerance law cannot be arrested
- § 15:32 Zero Tolerance law—Procedure where chemical test result is obtained
  - § 15:33 —Chemical test result report—Verification
  - § 15:34 Zero Tolerance law hearings—Generally
  - § 15:35 Zero Tolerance law hearing—Waiver of right to hearing
    - § 15:36 —Hearing notice
    - § 15:37 —Time of hearing
    - § 15:38 —Prehearing discovery
    - § 15:39 —Failure of motorist to appear at hearing
    - § 15:40 —Failure of police officer to appear at hearing

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 15:41 —Requests for adjournments
- § 15:42 —Rights of motorist
- § 15:43 —Hearing procedures
- § 15:44 —Rules of evidence
- § 15:45 —Issues to be determined at hearing
- § 15:46 —Burden of proof
- § 15:47 —DMV action where evidence establishes all six issues at hearing
- § 15:48 —DMV action where evidence fails to establish all six issues at hearing
- § 15:49 —Right to appeal adverse decision
- § 15:50 Zero Tolerance laws—Civil penalty
- § 15:51 Zero Tolerance refusals—Procedure upon detention—Zero Tolerance Report of Refusal
- § 15:52 Zero Tolerance Report of Refusal—Verification
- § 15:53 —Contents
- § 15:54 —To whom is it submitted?
- § 15:55 Zero Tolerance refusals—There is no prehearing license suspension
- § 15:56 Zero Tolerance refusal hearings—Generally
- § 15:57 Zero Tolerance refusal hearing—Waiver of right to hearing
- § 15:58 Zero Tolerance refusals—Police officer must provide motorist with waiver form and notice of refusal hearing date
- § 15:59 Zero Tolerance refusal hearing—Time of hearing
- § 15:60 —Failure of motorist to appear at hearing
- § 15:61 —Failure of police officer to appear at hearing
- § 15:62 —Requests for adjournments
- § 15:63 —Issues to be determined at hearing
- § 15:64 —DMV action where evidence establishes all six issues at hearing
- § 15:65 —DMV action where evidence fails to establish all six issues at hearing
- § 15:66 —Right to appeal adverse decision
- § 15:67 Underage offenders and AUO
- § 15:68 Youthful offenders—License suspension/revocation applies
- § 15:69 —Mandatory surcharge applicable
- § 15:70 Youthful offender adjudication cannot be used as predicate conviction for felony DWI charge
- § 15:71 Youthful offender adjudication cannot be used as predicate conviction for lifetime license revocation
- § 15:72 Use of youthful offender or juvenile delinquency adjudication for impeachment purposes

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **PART IV. ACCUSATORY INSTRUMENTS**

### **CHAPTER 16. LOCAL CRIMINAL COURT ACCUSATORY INSTRUMENTS**

§ 16:1	In general
§ 16:2	Commencement of action in local criminal court
§ 16:3	Simplified information—Defined
§ 16:4	—Form and content
§ 16:5	—Sufficiency on face
§ 16:6	Simplified information can be based entirely upon information and belief
§ 16:7	Uniform traffic ticket cannot be used to charge parking, stopping or standing violations
§ 16:8	Information—Defined
§ 16:9	—Sufficiency on face
§ 16:10	Applicability of <i>Alejandro</i> to simplified informations and misdemeanor complaints
§ 16:11	Prosecutor's information—Defined
§ 16:12	—Form and content
§ 16:13	—Sufficiency on face
§ 16:14	Misdemeanor complaint—Defined
§ 16:15	—Sufficiency on face
§ 16:16	Replacement of misdemeanor complaint by information and waiver of right to be prosecuted by information
§ 16:17	Felony complaint—Defined
§ 16:18	Information, misdemeanor complaint and felony complaint—Form and content
§ 16:19	Information, misdemeanor complaint, felony complaint and supporting deposition—Verification
§ 16:20	Information, prosecutor's information and misdemeanor complaint—Severance and consolidation
§ 16:21	Amendment of informations and prosecutor's informations
§ 16:22	Amendment of simplified informations and misdemeanor complaints
§ 16:23	Information, prosecutor's information and misdemeanor complaint—Bill of particulars
§ 16:24	Bill of particulars cannot cure a deficient information
§ 16:25	Bill of particulars is not a discovery device
§ 16:26	Superseding informations and prosecutor's informations
§ 16:27	Simplified information may not be superseded
§ 16:28	Waivability of requirement that accusatory instrument be valid and sufficient

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 16:29 Latent vs. facially apparent defects
- § 16:30 Where defendant appears without counsel, Court is obligated to scrutinize accusatory instrument for facial sufficiency
- § 16:31 Sufficiency of informations and misdemeanor complaints—Specific cases
- § 16:32 Required contents of accusatory instrument charging violation of VTL § 1192(3)
- § 16:33 Required contents of accusatory instrument charging violation of VTL § 1192(2)
- § 16:34 Required contents of accusatory instrument charging misdemeanor DWAI
- § 16:35 Required contents of accusatory instrument charging DWI-related “recklessness” or “criminal negligence”
- § 16:36 Information charging common law DWI charges DWAI by operation of law
- § 16:37 People’s right to appeal dismissal for facial insufficiency
- § 16:38 Applicability of CPL § 60.50 to misdemeanor informations
- § 16:39 Appearance tickets
- § 16:40 Is defendant with BAC less than .08% required to be issued an appearance ticket?
- § 16:41 Parking summons constitutes an appearance ticket but not an accusatory instrument
- § 16:42 Return date of appearance ticket
- § 16:43 Effect of failure to file accusatory instrument prior to return date of appearance ticket
- § 16:44 Effect of failure of court to be in session on return date of simplified information
- § 16:45 Superior court information cannot replace simplified information
- § 16:46 What if defendant is charged with same offense in two different types of accusatory instruments?
- § 16:47 Prosecution of misdemeanors in local criminal court after Grand Jury failed to indict defendant for felony

## CHAPTER 17. SUPPORTING DEPOSITIONS

- § 17:1 In general
- § 17:2 Supporting deposition defined
- § 17:3 Supporting deposition must be verified
- § 17:4 Defendant’s right to supporting deposition
- § 17:5 Request for supporting deposition must be timely
- § 17:6 Request for supporting deposition can be made prior to arraignment
- § 17:7 Request for supporting deposition can be made by fax
- § 17:8 Request for supporting deposition need not be served upon District Attorney

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 17:9 Defendant not required to provide proof of service of request for supporting deposition
- § 17:10 Procedure where appearance ticket used in conjunction with simplified information
- § 17:11 Procedure upon timely request for supporting deposition
- § 17:12 If defendant represented by attorney, supporting deposition should be served on attorney
- § 17:13 Remedy for failure to supply supporting deposition is dismissal
- § 17:14 Motion to dismiss—Procedure
- § 17:15 Required contents of supporting deposition—Generally
- § 17:16 Voluntarily provided supporting deposition must satisfy requirements of CPL § 100.25
- § 17:17 Required contents of supporting deposition to DWI charge
- § 17:18 Required contents of supporting deposition to common law DWI charge where defendant's chemical test result less than 0.08%
- § 17:19 Required contents of supporting deposition to charge of misdemeanor DWAI
- § 17:20 Supporting deposition to DWI charge need not be "certified"
- § 17:21 Requirement that DMV "DALL" form attached to, and made part of, supporting deposition be "certified"
- § 17:22 Right to *renew* claim of insufficiency in supporting deposition waived by guilty plea
- § 17:23 Supporting depositions not applicable to traffic infractions governed by VTL Article 2-A
- § 17:24 Supporting depositions not applicable to parking violation summonses
- § 17:25 Denial of motion to dismiss simplified traffic information for failure to provide timely supporting deposition not reviewable in collateral proceeding
- § 17:26 Re-use of supporting deposition to DWI charge where original charge is dismissed and re-filed
- § 17:27 Officer's failure to file proof of service of supporting deposition results in dismissal
- § 17:28 Service of supporting deposition by Court rather than People

**PART V. PLEA BARGAINING**

**CHAPTER 18. LOCAL CRIMINAL COURT PRACTICE: PRACTICAL ASPECTS**

- § 18:1 The prosecutor

- § 18:2 Negotiating dispositions
- § 18:3 Motion practice
- § 18:4 The pretrial hearings
- § 18:5 The judge
- § 18:6 Court personnel
- § 18:7 Clients
- § 18:8 Fees
- § 18:9 Night jury trials
- § 18:10 Police officer's presence in jury room during deliberations requires reversal

## CHAPTER 19. PLEA BARGAINING

- § 19:1 Negotiating with the ADA—A typical approach
- § 19:2 What to tell the ADA
- § 19:3 The prosecutor's perspective
- § 19:4 Prosecutorial criteria
- § 19:5 Specific plea bargains—The first offender
- § 19:6 The second offender
- § 19:7 Multiple offenders
- § 19:8 Probationary sentences
- § 19:9 Conditioning dismissal on execution of civil release
- § 19:10 Plea bargain *can* be conditioned upon waiver of right to appeal
- § 19:11 Defendant may not be penalized for asserting right to trial
- § 19:12 Prosecution can validly indict defendant if misdemeanor plea offer is rejected
- § 19:13 Plea bargain may not be obtained via threat of incarceration
- § 19:14 Court policy of not accepting plea bargains on eve of trial improper
- § 19:15 Court policy of not accepting plea bargains in DWI cases improper
- § 19:16 Plea bargain cannot waive appeal of illegal sentence
- § 19:17 Court is limited in its power to correct erroneous plea bargain
- § 19:18 Failure to specify fine as part of plea bargain results in waiver
- § 19:19 Off-the-record plea bargain agreement not entitled to judicial recognition
- § 19:20 Plea bargain limitations
- § 19:21 Waiver of test refusal not allowed
- § 19:22 Plea bargaining restrictions applicable to indictment are inapplicable to felony complaint
- § 19:23 Court cannot offer defendant a plea bargain without the consent of the people
- § 19:24 Attorney's misrepresentations regarding client's prior

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DWI history results in nine-month suspension from practice

## **PART VI. PRETRIAL MATTERS**

### **CHAPTER 20. DISCOVERY IN CRIMINAL CASES**

§ 20:1	In general
§ 20:2	Summary of CPL Article 245
§ 20:3	CPL Article 245 embodies a presumption of openness
§ 20:4	Everything in possession of State and local law enforcement deemed to be in People's possession
§ 20:5	People required to ensure proper flow of information between prosecution and law enforcement
§ 20:6	People's obligation to gather evidence for defendant
§ 20:7	Court can issue order to facilitate compliance with CPL Article 245
§ 20:8	Accusatory instruments to which CPL Article 245 applies
§ 20:9	Discovery now applies to traffic infractions
§ 20:10	Waiver of discovery by defendant
§ 20:11	Form and content of waiver
§ 20:12	Defendant who waives discovery does not have to provide People with reciprocal discovery
§ 20:13	Plea bargain offer cannot be conditioned on defendant's waiver of discovery rights
§ 20:14	CPL § 245.25—Disclosure prior to bargained-for plea to crime
§ 20:15	Effect of People's failure to comply with CPL § 245.25
§ 20:16	Timing of discovery—People's initial discovery obligations
§ 20:17	—People's supplemental discovery obligations
§ 20:18	—Defendant's discovery obligations
§ 20:19	—Modification of statutory time periods requires motion and showing of good cause
§ 20:20	Automatic discovery—Generally
§ 20:21	CPL § 245.20(1)(a)—Statements of the defendant
§ 20:22	Inadequate CPL § 710.30 notice cannot be cured by discovery
§ 20:23	Timing of discovery of defendant's statements where defendant plans to testify before Grand Jury
§ 20:24	CPL § 245.20(1)(b)—Grand Jury testimony
§ 20:25	Procedure where Grand Jury transcript cannot be timely provided due to limited availability of transcription resources

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 20:26 CPL § 245.20(1)(c)—Names and contact information of civilian witnesses other than confidential informants
- § 20:27 —Names and contact information of confidential informants
- § 20:28 CPL § 245.20(1)(d)—Names and contact information of law enforcement witnesses other than undercover personnel
- § 20:29 —Names and contact information of undercover law enforcement personnel
- § 20:30 CPL § 245.20(1)(e)—Witness statements/*Rosario* material
- § 20:31 CPL § 245.20(1)(f)—Expert witnesses
- § 20:32 Procedure where expert witness information is unavailable within time frame of CPL § 245.10(1)
- § 20:33 Procedure where People's expert is called in response to disclosure of defense expert
- § 20:34 CPL § 245.20(1)(g)—Tapes and electronic recordings
- § 20:35 Procedure where tapes/recordings exceed 10 hours in length
- § 20:36 People's duty to preserve recordings of 911 calls, police radio transmissions, police body cams, and other police recordings
- § 20:37 Procedure where discoverable recordings are lost or destroyed
- § 20:38 CPL § 245.20(1)(h)—Photographs and drawings
- § 20:39 CPL § 245.20(1)(i)—Photographs of stolen property
- § 20:40 CPL § 245.20(1)(j)—Physical or mental examinations, scientific tests, experiments or comparisons
- § 20:41 Procedure where scientific testing performed by lab not under the People's direction or control
- § 20:42 CPL § 245.20(1)(k)—*Brady* material
- § 20:43 Timing of disclosure of CPL § 245.20(1)(k) material
- § 20:44 CPL § 245.20(1)(k) material applies to juvenile delinquency proceedings
- § 20:45 CPL § 245.20(1)(l)—*Giglio* material
- § 20:46 CPL § 245.20(1)(m)—Tangible objects obtained from, or allegedly possessed by, defendant or co-defendant
- § 20:47 CPL § 245.20(1)(n)—Search warrants and related documents
- § 20:48 CPL § 245.20(1)(o)—Tangible property related to the case
- § 20:49 Timing of disclosure of CPL § 245.20(1)(o) material
- § 20:50 CPL § 245.20(1)(p)—Defendant's rap sheet
- § 20:51 —Witness rap sheets
- § 20:52 CPL § 245.20(1)(q)—Pending charges against prosecution witnesses

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 20:53	CPL § 245.20(1)(r)—Date, time and place of offense(s) charged and defendant's seizure/arrest
§ 20:54	CPL § 245.20(1)(s)—Documents pertaining to scientific testing in VTL cases
§ 20:55	—Timing of disclosure of records not yet in existence
§ 20:56	CPL § 245.20(1)(t)—Offenses involving computers
§ 20:57	CPL § 245.20(1)(u)—Electronically created or stored information
§ 20:58	Procedure where possession of electronically created or stored information would be a crime
§ 20:59	CPL § 245.20(1)(u) does not alter or affect defendant's Constitutional rights
§ 20:60	Procedure where CPL § 245.20(1)(u) information is unavailable within time frame of CPL § 245.10(1)
§ 20:61	People's additional discovery obligations
§ 20:62	People's supplemental discovery obligations— <i>Sandoval/Ventimiglia/Molineux</i> material
§ 20:63	Court can order "discretionary discovery"
§ 20:64	Use of subpoena <i>duces tecum</i> to obtain discovery
§ 20:65	Police training manuals
§ 20:66	Inventory search guidelines
§ 20:67	People must certify compliance with CPL § 245.20(1)
§ 20:68	People's certificate of compliance—Required content
§ 20:69	People's supplemental certificate of compliance
§ 20:70	Absent proper certificate of compliance, People cannot validly assert readiness for trial
§ 20:71	Defendant must promptly challenge defective certificate of compliance
§ 20:72	What if People believe that certain materials are not discoverable?
§ 20:73	Defendant's discovery obligations
§ 20:74	Defendant must certify compliance with CPL § 245.20(4)
§ 20:75	Defendant's certificate of compliance—Required content
§ 20:76	Defendant's supplemental certificate of compliance
§ 20:77	Procedure where discovery required to be disclosed by defendant is unavailable within time frame of CPL § 245.10(2)
§ 20:78	What if defendant believes that certain materials are not discoverable?
§ 20:79	Timing of disclosure of information pertaining to witness defendant intends to call solely to impeach prosecution witness
§ 20:80	Court's authority to order defendant to provide non-testimonial evidence
§ 20:81	CPL §§ 245.10 and 245.20(1)-(4) have force and effect of court order

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 20:82 Parties have continuing duty to disclose discoverable material
- § 20:83 Failure to file certificate of compliance in good faith
- § 20:84 Remedies/sanctions for belated disclosure of discoverable material or information
- § 20:85 Remedies/sanctions for loss or destruction of discoverable material or information
- § 20:86 Remedies/sanctions for failure to comply with discovery order
- § 20:87 Work product not discoverable
- § 20:88 Redaction of social security and tax numbers permitted
- § 20:89 Motions/orders to preserve evidence
- § 20:90 Motions/orders to grant access to premises
- § 20:91 Motions/orders for DNA comparison
- § 20:92 Protective orders
- § 20:93 Procedure where protective order is sought/opposed
- § 20:94 Where request for protective order is opposed, prompt hearing must be held
- § 20:95 What constitutes good cause for issuance of protective order?
- § 20:96 Applicability of protective order to successor counsel
- § 20:97 Applicability of protective order to *pro se* defendant
- § 20:98 Adverse ruling with respect to certain protective orders subject to expedited appellate review
- § 20:99 Procedure for appealing adverse ruling on protective order
- § 20:100 CPL § 245.25 does not apply to material subject to protective order
- § 20:101 Failure to comply with protective order
- § 20:102 Effect of failure to call disclosed witness or introduce disclosed material at trial
- § 20:103 Applicability of statutory discovery to Violation of Probation (“VOP”) proceedings
- § 20:104 Annual report analyzing impact of CPL Article 245 to be generated and made public
- § 20:105 Applicability of CPL Article 245 to cases pending prior to effective date thereof
- § 20:106 Sealed case temporarily unsealed to retrieve discovery erroneously sealed therein
- § 20:107 Preliminary hearings

## CHAPTER 21. *BRADY MATERIAL*

- § 21:1 In general
- § 21:2 The People’s *Brady* obligation—Generally
- § 21:3 The Federal standard
- § 21:4 The State standard

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 21:5 Specific vs. general request
- § 21:6 Timing of disclosure of *Brady* material
- § 21:7 *Brady* applies to suppression hearing as well as to trial
- § 21:8 Remedy for *Brady* violation at suppression hearing
- § 21:9 Duty of prosecutor to present *Brady* material to Grand Jury
- § 21:10 When is *Brady* material deemed to be in the People's possession or control?
- § 21:11 People's obligation to gather *Brady* material for defendant
- § 21:12 Duty of police to conduct intoxication-related tests and/or to preserve potentially exculpatory evidentiary material
- § 21:13 Failure to administer field sobriety tests to non-English-speaking DWI suspects
- § 21:14 The rule of *Brady* trumps victim's physician-patient privilege
- § 21:15 The rule of *Brady* can trump the rule against hearsay
- § 21:16 People not required to turn over *Brady* material that defendant is aware of or should reasonably be aware of
- § 21:17 People not required to call every witness to crime and/or to disclose all law enforcement investigatory work to defendant
- § 21:18 Who decides whether potential *Brady* material must be disclosed?
- § 21:19 Propriety of *in camera* inspection of purported *Brady* material
- § 21:20 Specific situations where potential *Brady* violation required hearing
- § 21:21 *Brady* issue must be preserved
- § 21:22 *Brady* violation subject to harmless error analysis
- § 21:23 Does *Brady* claim survive guilty plea?
- § 21:24 Defendant's right to *Brady* material cannot be nullified by post-trial events
- § 21:25 Evidence affecting credibility (*Giglio* material)—Generally
- § 21:26 New York recognized the *Giglio* rule even before *Giglio* was decided
- § 21:27 All promises of leniency, potential leniency, or other cooperation agreements must be disclosed
- § 21:28 Disclosure of information that would impeach credibility of police officer
- § 21:29 How far and wide must the People search for impeachment material?
- § 21:30 Is a police officer's SFST training manual *Brady* material?

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 21:31 Failure to turn over police officer's personnel file containing *Brady* material can result in finding of malicious prosecution
- § 21:32 Witness contact information
- § 21:33 Photographs taken of defendant on arrest date
- § 21:34 Can defense witness' prior silence be used to impeach his/her trial testimony?
- § 21:35 What is the appropriate sanction for a *Brady* violation discovered before trial?
- § 21:36 People can appeal dismissal of indictment for *Brady* violation
- § 21:37 When is reversal required for a *Brady* violation?
- § 21:38 Specific situations where *Brady* or *Brady*-type violation resulted in reversal
- § 21:39 Does reversal of one conviction for *Brady* violation require reversal of all other convictions in case?
- § 21:40 Specific situations where *Brady* did not require reversal
- § 21:41 Death of People's primary witness is not *Brady* material
- § 21:42 Not all *Brady* material is admissible at trial
- § 21:43 Applicability of *Brady* to issue of joinder/severance
- § 21:44 Applicability of *Brady* to judicial misconduct hearings
- § 21:45 Applicability of *Brady* to traffic infractions

## CHAPTER 22. SANDOVAL/VENTIMIGLIA/MOLINEUX ISSUES

- § 22:1 In general
- § 22:2 People must disclose *Sandoval/Ventimiglia/Molineux* material to defendant
- § 22:3 Timing of disclosure of *Sandoval/Ventimiglia/Molineux* material
- § 22:4 *People v. Sandoval*—Generally
- § 22:5 *Sandoval* hearing—Generally
- § 22:6 —Burden of proof
- § 22:7 —Guidelines for weighing probative value vs. unfair prejudice
- § 22:8 *Sandoval* requires Court to affirmatively consider and weigh relevant factors in each case
- § 22:9 Court must make record of *Sandoval* ruling
- § 22:10 *Sandoval* compromise
- § 22:11 Scope of Court's discretion in rendering *Sandoval* ruling
- § 22:12 Scope of *Sandoval*-related cross-examination
- § 22:13 Defendant has right to rely on Court's *Sandoval* ruling
- § 22:14 Court's authority to alter *Sandoval* ruling mid-trial is limited

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 22:15 Defendant can “open the door” to use of evidence precluded by *Sandoval/Ventimiglia/Molineux* ruling
- § 22:16 Defendant’s right to be present at *Sandoval* hearing
- § 22:17 When is defendant’s absence from *Sandoval* hearing superfluous?
- § 22:18 Court cannot summarily exclude press from *Sandoval* hearing
- § 22:19 Necessity of *Sandoval* hearing if defendant chooses bench trial
- § 22:20 Judge who presides at *Sandoval* hearing not disqualified from presiding at bench trial in same case
- § 22:21 Applicability of *Sandoval* to Grand Jury
- § 22:22 Applicability of “law of the case” doctrine to *Sandoval* ruling
- § 22:23 Applicability of *Sandoval* to witness other than defendant
- § 22:24 Law enforcement witness treated same as any other witness for purposes of cross-examination
- § 22:25 *Sandoval* issue must be preserved
- § 22:26 *Sandoval/Ventimiglia/Molineux* claims forfeited by guilty plea
- § 22:27 *Sandoval/Ventimiglia/Molineux* error subject to harmless error analysis
- § 22:28 Lack of familiarity with *Sandoval/Ventimiglia/Molineux* as ineffective assistance of counsel
- § 22:29 Cross-examination regarding prior charge that did not result in conviction—Generally
- § 22:30 Cross-examination regarding prior charge that was ACODed
- § 22:31 Cross-examination regarding facts of charge that was dismissed as part of plea bargain to different charge
- § 22:32 Cross-examination of defendant regarding pending criminal charge
- § 22:33 Cross-examination of defendant regarding disbarment from practice of law
- § 22:34 Cross-examination of defendant by counsel for co-defendant
- § 22:35 Cross-examination of defense witness in violation of Court’s *Sandoval* ruling
- § 22:36 Impeachment with conviction of traffic infraction
- § 22:37 Impeachment with conviction of Penal Law violation
- § 22:38 Impeachment with conviction of prior similar offense
- § 22:39 Impeachment with prior DWI-related conviction in DWI case
- § 22:40 Impeachment with precluded chemical test refusal
- § 22:41 Impeachment with evidence of alcohol consumption other than on day of arrest

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 22:42 Impeachment with old/remote conviction
- § 22:43 Impeachment with large volume of prior convictions
- § 22:44 Impeachment with youthful offender/juvenile delinquency adjudication
- § 22:45 Use of out-of-state conviction
- § 22:46 Impeachment with out-of-state conviction that would have resulted in youthful offender or juvenile delinquency adjudication in New York
- § 22:47 Impeachment with *Alford* plea
- § 22:48 Impeachment with repeated failure to pay parking tickets
- § 22:49 Impeachment with use of alias
- § 22:50 Impeachment with undocumented/illegal immigration status
- § 22:51 Impeachment with statement made by defense counsel
- § 22:52 What if defendant is impeached with prior conviction that is subsequently reversed on appeal?
- § 22:53 *Ventimiglia* hearing
- § 22:54 Defendant's right to be present at *Ventimiglia* hearing
- § 22:55 *People v. Molineux*—Generally
- § 22:56 The “motive” exception
- § 22:57 The “intent” exception
- § 22:58 The “absence of mistake or accident” exception
- § 22:59 The “common scheme or plan” exception
- § 22:60 The “identity” exception
- § 22:61 The “background information” exception
- § 22:62 The “inextricably interwoven” exception
- § 22:63 The “complete the narrative” exception
- § 22:64 Even where *Molineux* exception applies, Court must nonetheless weigh probative value vs. prejudice
- § 22:65 *Molineux* jury instruction
- § 22:66 What if prior offense is element of current offense?
- § 22:67 What if witness denies, or refuses to admit, prior conviction?
- § 22:68 Reverse *Molineux* evidence
- § 22:69 What is *not Molineux* evidence?
- § 22:70 Introduction of extrinsic evidence on collateral matter
- § 22:71 Use of defendant's silence
- § 22:72 Use of character evidence
- § 22:73 Use of defendant's previous attendance at DDP/IDP
- § 22:74 Use of evidence that defendant did *not* commit uncharged crime
- § 22:75 Reference to defendant's alleged gang affiliation
- § 22:76 Applicability of *Molineux* to civil cases
- § 22:77 Cases where improper admission of “prior bad acts” evidence resulted in reversal

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 22:78 Cases where admission of “prior bad acts” evidence was found to be proper
- § 22:79 Cases where admission of “subsequent bad acts” evidence was found to be proper
- § 22:80 Miscellaneous DWI-related *Sandoval/Ventimiglia/Molineux* issues

## CHAPTER 23. DOUBLE JEOPARDY

- § 23:1 In general
- § 23:2 Double Jeopardy Clause of the 5th Amendment—Generally
- § 23:3 Violation of Double Jeopardy Clause not subject to harmless error analysis
- § 23:4 Federal and State Constitutional Double Jeopardy protections are apparently the same
- § 23:5 Statutory Double Jeopardy protection is much broader than protection provided by the Constitution
- § 23:6 Double Jeopardy claim can be brought via Article 78 proceeding
- § 23:7 CPL § 40.10—Definitions pertinent to CPL Article 40
- § 23:8 CPL § 40.20—New York’s primary Double Jeopardy statute
- § 23:9 VTL § 1800(d) supersedes CPL § 40.20 in vehicular assault/homicide cases
- § 23:10 Applicability of the “dual sovereignty” doctrine in New York
- § 23:11 Applicability of Double Jeopardy to “continuing” crimes
- § 23:12 “Delayed death” exception to CPL § 40.20
- § 23:13 “Different victim” exception to CPL § 40.20
- § 23:14 CPL § 40.30—What constitutes a “previous prosecution” for purposes of CPL § 40.20?
- § 23:15 CPL § 40.40—When is separate prosecution of “joinable” offenses barred?
- § 23:16 When are two offenses “the same” for Double Jeopardy purposes?
- § 23:17 When does jeopardy attach?
- § 23:18 Applicability of Double Jeopardy to legal sufficiency of accusatory instruments
- § 23:19 Indictment cannot contain duplicitous counts
- § 23:20 Indictment cannot contain multiplicitous counts
- § 23:21 Misdemeanor information charging common law DWI charges DWAI by operation of law
- § 23:22 What is an “acquittal” for Double Jeopardy purposes?
- § 23:23 Jury required to acquit defendant of more serious count(s) before considering lesser offense(s)
- § 23:24 Implicit acquittals

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 23:25 Unreported verdict does not count for Double Jeopardy purposes
- § 23:26 Trial orders of dismissal
- § 23:27 Dismissal due to insufficient evidence is tantamount to acquittal
- § 23:28 Can defendant be prosecuted on count of indictment dismissed for legal insufficiency if dismissed count is reinstated on appeal?
- § 23:29 Defendant's "subjective belief" that Double Jeopardy prevented further prosecution is irrelevant
- § 23:30 Mistrial exception to Double Jeopardy
- § 23:31 Exception to mistrial exception to Double Jeopardy
- § 23:32 People's right to retry defendant following mistrial or reversal caused by prosecutorial misconduct
- § 23:33 "Manifest necessity"
- § 23:34 Where defendant consents to mistrial there is no Double Jeopardy violation
- § 23:35 Double Jeopardy claim can be relinquished by defendant's conduct
- § 23:36 Right to withdraw motion for mistrial
- § 23:37 Reversal on appeal exception to Double Jeopardy
- § 23:38 Exception to reversal on appeal exception to Double Jeopardy
- § 23:39 Legality of vacating plea that was accepted in violation of the CPL
- § 23:40 Legality of vacating plea where parties thought defendant had pled to felony but offense was in fact only misdemeanor
- § 23:41 Legality of resentencing defendant whose sentence was affected by a clerical or ministerial error
- § 23:42 Legality of resentencing defendant whose sentence was illegal
- § 23:43 Constitutional Double Jeopardy claim is generally not subject to the preservation requirement
- § 23:44 Statutory Double Jeopardy claim is subject to the preservation requirement
- § 23:45 Constitutional Double Jeopardy claim survives guilty plea
- § 23:46 Statutory Double Jeopardy claim does not survive guilty plea
- § 23:47 Constitutional Double Jeopardy claim can be waived if waiver is knowing, voluntary and intelligent
- § 23:48 PL § 70.25(2), which prohibits consecutive sentencing in certain situations, embodies Double Jeopardy principles
- § 23:49 Applicability of Double Jeopardy to defendant who testifies before Grand Jury
- § 23:50 Applicability of Double Jeopardy to local criminal

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	court accusatory instruments dismissed for facial insufficiency
§ 23:51	Applicability of Double Jeopardy to repeat felony offender statutes
§ 23:52	Applicability of Double Jeopardy where defendant convicted of lesser offense at retrial
§ 23:53	Applicability of Double Jeopardy where Court imposes more severe sentence following reversal and retrial
§ 23:54	Applicability of Double Jeopardy where Judge instructs jury to reconsider improper “verdict”
§ 23:55	Applicability of Double Jeopardy to contempt proceedings
§ 23:56	Applicability of Double Jeopardy to prison disciplinary proceedings
§ 23:57	Applicability of Double Jeopardy to juvenile proceedings
§ 23:58	Applicability of Double Jeopardy to child neglect proceedings
§ 23:59	Applicability of Double Jeopardy to civil punitive damages arising out of DWI homicide
§ 23:60	Applicability of collateral estoppel to criminal cases
§ 23:61	Guilty plea to misdemeanor in local court as bar to prosecution for more serious charge in superior court
§ 23:62	Double Jeopardy effect of pleading guilty to underlying traffic infraction(s) in attempt to avoid DWI charge(s) arising out of same incident
§ 23:63	DMV Traffic Violations Bureau (“TVB”) is not a “court” for Double Jeopardy purposes
§ 23:64	Double Jeopardy effect of bifurcating DWI charge from AUO charge
§ 23:65	Double Jeopardy effect of dismissal for prosecutor’s failure to give sufficient opening statement
§ 23:66	Double Jeopardy effect of violation of probation proceeding
§ 23:67	Double Jeopardy effect of parole revocation hearing
§ 23:68	Double Jeopardy effect of favorable plea procured by fraud
§ 23:69	Conviction of both VTL § 1192(2) and VTL § 1192(3) does not violate Double Jeopardy
§ 23:70	Conviction of both DWAI and AUO 1st does not violate Double Jeopardy
§ 23:71	PL § 60.21 does not violate Double Jeopardy
§ 23:72	Civil vehicle forfeiture following VTL § 1192 conviction does not violate Double Jeopardy
§ 23:73	Driver’s license suspensions/revocations do not violate Double Jeopardy

- § 23:74 Suspension pending prosecution does not violate Double Jeopardy
- § 23:75 Chemical test refusal sanctions do not violate Double Jeopardy
- § 23:76 Imposition of multiple fines as Double Jeopardy

## CHAPTER 24. PRETRIAL MOTIONS

- § 24:1 In general
- § 24:2 Pretrial motion—Defined
- § 24:3 Legislative intent behind CPL Article 255
- § 24:4 Pretrial motions—Time for filing
- § 24:5 Court lacks authority to shorten time period in which to make pretrial motions
- § 24:6 Exceptions to the 45-day rule of CPL § 255.20(1)
- § 24:7 Motions to dismiss on speedy trial grounds are exempt from the 45-day rule of CPL § 255.20(1)
- § 24:8 Pretrial motions—Procedure
- § 24:9 Applicability of CPLR to pretrial motions in criminal cases
- § 24:10 Motion to dismiss for lack of a sufficient supporting deposition
- § 24:11 People can waive requirement that pretrial motion be made in writing and upon reasonable notice to the People
- § 24:12 Failure to make timely motion to dismiss can result in waiver of issue
- § 24:13 Breath test result constitutes suppressible evidence
- § 24:14 Motion to suppress compulsory chemical test
- § 24:15 Motion to suppress consented-to breath test pursuant to CPL § 710.20(5)
- § 24:16 Motion in limine to suppress consented-to breath test
- § 24:17 Motion to suppress blood test results
- § 24:18 Motion to suppress chemical test refusal
- § 24:19 Motion to preclude Alco-Sensor test results at trial
- § 24:20 Motion to depart from standard CJI pattern jury instructions
- § 24:21 Motion to suppress predicate conviction in felony DWI case
- § 24:22 Motion to reduce DWI charge
- § 24:23 Motion to delete reference in indictment to class level of felony DWI charge
- § 24:24 Pretrial motions—Referral to judicial hearing officer
- § 24:25 Effect of People's refusal to go forward at court-ordered pretrial suppression hearing
- § 24:26 Appealability of suppression order
- § 24:27 Motion to dismiss in interest of justice
- § 24:28 People's right to withdraw charge

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 24:29 Trial cannot commence until motion to suppress is decided

## **PART VII. STATEMENTS**

### **CHAPTER 25. STATEMENTS**

§ 25:1 Involuntary statements

§ 25:2 Involuntary statements resulting from mental condition/intoxication

§ 25:3 Involuntary statements made to private individual

§ 25:4 Involuntary statements may not be used to impeach

§ 25:5 *Huntley* hearing mandatory even if statement used solely for impeachment purposes

§ 25:6 Applicability of harmless error doctrine

§ 25:7 Language barrier results in suppression

§ 25:8 Use of defendant's prearrest silence

§ 25:9 Use of guilty plea as evidence

§ 25:10 Use of defense attorney's statements against defendant

§ 25:11 Corroboration of admission or confession required

§ 25:12 Applicability of CPL § 60.50 to misdemeanor informations

§ 25:13 Impeachment of own witness's statement

§ 25:14 Prior inconsistent statements used to impeach have no independent evidentiary value

§ 25:15 Unsigned statement not admissible in evidence

§ 25:16 Notarized statement qualifies as oath

§ 25:17 Third-party's presence waives attorney-client privilege

§ 25:18 Right of defendant to have both inculpatory and exculpatory statements presented to jury

§ 25:19 Court of Appeals holds defendant's surrender of illegally possessed handgun in compliance with court order to be privileged under Fifth Amendment

§ 25:20 Use of statements on booking video

§ 25:21 Prosecutor's improper implication that defendant fabricated his trial testimony requires reversal

§ 25:22 Use of statement made to EMT

§ 25:23 Statements overheard by the police

§ 25:24 Use of co-defendant's plea allocution

§ 25:25 Significance of defendant's request that passing motorist not call 911

§ 25:26 Admissibility of statement secretly recorded by defendant's friend

§ 25:27 Use of statements made by other occupants of vehicle

§ 25:28 Use of statements recorded by jail

- § 25:29 Miscellaneous statement issues
- § 25:30 *Crawford* issues

## CHAPTER 26. CPL § 710.30 NOTICE ISSUES

- § 26:1 In general
- § 26:2 CPL § 710.30—The statute
- § 26:3 History and purpose of CPL § 710.30
- § 26:4 CPL § 710.30 notice requirement is strictly construed
- § 26:5 Failure to comply with CPL § 710.30 is not a trivial matter
- § 26:6 CPL § 710.30 only applies to evidence that the People intend to offer “at trial”
- § 26:7 710.30 notice generally must be served within 15 days of arraignment
- § 26:8 When does the 15-day time period begin?
- § 26:9 710.30 notice can be served prior to arraignment
- § 26:10 If the defendant is represented by an attorney, 710.30 notice should be served on the attorney
- § 26:11 When can the People serve late 710.30 notice?
- § 26:12 What constitutes “good cause” for late notice?
- § 26:13 What does *not* constitute “good cause” for late notice?
- § 26:14 What if the statement/identification does not arise until after arraignment?
- § 26:15 Required contents of 710.30 notice
- § 26:16 Inadequate 710.30 notice cannot be cured by discovery
- § 26:17 Lack of prejudice to the defendant is irrelevant
- § 26:18 Prejudice to the defendant *is* relevant
- § 26:19 To what statements does CPL § 710.30 apply?
- § 26:20 710.30 notice only applies to statements made to public servants and their agents
- § 26:21 Every statement made by the defendant to a public servant is discoverable regardless of whether the People intend to offer the statement at trial
- § 26:22 Knowledge that statement exists is not the same as knowledge that the People intend to use it at trial
- § 26:23 Responses to pedigree questions are exempt from CPL § 710.30
- § 26:24 Applicability of CPL § 710.30 to chemical test refusals
- § 26:25 Applicability of CPL § 710.30 to statements overheard by the police
- § 26:26 Applicability of CPL § 710.30 to statements made to off-duty police officer
- § 26:27 710.30 notice inapplicable to conduct not amounting to a statement
- § 26:28 Applicability of CPL § 710.30 to pretrial hearings
- § 26:29 Subsequent 710.30 notice supercedes prior notice

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 26:30 Amendment of 710.30 notice
- § 26:31 Consequence of People's failure to attach proper statement to their 710.30 notice
- § 26:32 Redaction of 710.30 notice can be reversible error
- § 26:33 When is a *Huntley* hearing required?
- § 26:34 Scope of a *Huntley* hearing
- § 26:35 When is a *Wade* hearing required?
- § 26:36 When is a pre-*Wade* hearing required?
- § 26:37 Defendant waives 710.30 notice claim by moving to suppress rather than preclude
- § 26:38 Use of non-noticed statement to impeach defendant's testimony
- § 26:39 Defendant can "open the door" to the admission of a non-noticed statement
- § 26:40 710.30 notice claim is waived by guilty plea
- § 26:41 Failure to give 710.30 notice is subject to harmless error analysis
- § 26:42 People cannot appeal preclusion order issued pursuant to CPL § 710.30
- § 26:43 Applicability of CPL § 710.30 to traffic infractions

## CHAPTER 27. *MIRANDA* WARNINGS

- § 27:1 In general
- § 27:2 When is a statement involuntary?
- § 27:3 *Miranda* warnings—Generally
- § 27:4 Applicability of *Miranda* to *Terry* stops
- § 27:5 Applicability of *Miranda* to routine traffic stops
- § 27:6 Applicability of *Miranda* to routine accident investigation
- § 27:7 Applicability of *Miranda* to non-felony offenses
- § 27:8 Applicability of *Miranda* to field sobriety tests
- § 27:9 Applicability of *Miranda* to chemical tests/chemical test refusals
- § 27:10 Applicability of *Miranda* to questioning by probation/parole officers
- § 27:11 Applicability of *Miranda* to juveniles
- § 27:12 Right to remain silent is a fundamental right
- § 27:13 Use of defendant's pre-arrest silence
- § 27:14 Use of defendant's post-arrest silence
- § 27:15 Use of defendant's selective silence
- § 27:16 Use of defendant's invocation of *Miranda* rights and/or right to counsel as part of people's case-in-chief
- § 27:17 Use of defendant's silence to impeach
- § 27:18 Use of precluded statements to impeach
- § 27:19 Statements that are truly involuntary are inadmissible for all purposes

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 27:20 Effect of intoxication on voluntariness of statements
- § 27:21 Effect of injuries/medication on voluntariness of statements
- § 27:22 Effect of mental disease/defect on voluntariness of statements
- § 27:23 5th Amendment only applies to questioning by government agent
- § 27:24 *Miranda* only applies to “custodial interrogation”
- § 27:25 When is a person “in custody” for purposes of *Miranda*?
- § 27:26 Issue of whether defendant was in custody is mixed question of law and fact
- § 27:27 What constitutes “interrogation”?
- § 27:28 *Miranda* does not apply to spontaneous utterances
- § 27:29 “Pedigree question” exception to *Miranda*
- § 27:30 “Public safety” exception to *Miranda*
- § 27:31 “Emergency doctrine” exception to *Miranda*
- § 27:32 *Miranda* waiver must be knowing, voluntary and intelligent
- § 27:33 “Preamble” can render *Miranda* warnings ineffective
- § 27:34 Suspect who initially exercises right to remain silent can thereafter waive such right
- § 27:35 Waiver of *Miranda* rights by person with limited ability to understand English
- § 27:36 Waiver of *Miranda* rights by person of low intelligence
- § 27:37 *Miranda* warnings required to be read at time of arrest
- § 27:38 Effect of late *Miranda* warnings
- § 27:39 Effect of *Miranda* violation on post-*Miranda* statements
- § 27:40 Issue of whether police complied with *Miranda* must be submitted to jury if defendant so requests
- § 27:41 Officer not necessarily required to read full *Miranda* warnings from card at *Huntley* hearing
- § 27:42 *Miranda* violation subject to harmless error analysis
- § 27:43 When does the right to counsel attach?
- § 27:44 Request for counsel must be unequivocal
- § 27:45 Custodial interrogation after right to counsel has been invoked—Generally
- § 27:46 Custodial interrogation of person represented by counsel on another matter
- § 27:47 Noncustodial interrogation of person represented by counsel on another matter
- § 27:48 Request for consent to search after right to counsel has been invoked
- § 27:49 Applicability of right to counsel where defendant attempts to bribe arresting officer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 27:50 Police procedures that hinder communication between defendant and lawyer retained on defendant's behalf require suppression

## CHAPTER 28. *ROSARIO MATERIAL*

- § 28:1 In general
- § 28:2 What is the *Rosario* rule?
- § 28:3 What is *Rosario* material?
- § 28:4 Assistant District Attorney's notes
- § 28:5 Where existence or relevance of *Rosario* material is in dispute, court should conduct *in camera* inspection of prosecutor's file
- § 28:6 The People cannot be required to "create" *Rosario* material
- § 28:7 "Duplicative equivalent" exception to *Rosario* rule
- § 28:8 What is *not Rosario* material?
- § 28:9 "Pretrial hearing" *Rosario* material is only available upon request
- § 28:10 Time for disclosure of pretrial hearing *Rosario* material
- § 28:11 Remedy for pretrial hearing *Rosario* violation is "re-opened" hearing
- § 28:12 Disclosure of "trial" *Rosario* material is mandatory
- § 28:13 Time for disclosure of trial *Rosario* material
- § 28:14 Delay in turning over *Rosario* material requires reversal if defendant is substantially prejudiced thereby
- § 28:15 CPL § 240.75 legislatively abrogates "per se" reversal rule of *People v. Ranghelle*
- § 28:16 Does reversal of one conviction for *Rosario* violation require reversal of all other convictions in case?
- § 28:17 Lost or destroyed *Rosario* material
- § 28:18 Destroyed audiotape generally requires sanction
- § 28:19 Exception to sanction requirement—Waiver by defense
- § 28:20 *Rosario* material and CPL § 30.30
- § 28:21 Reciprocal *Rosario*—The defendant's obligations
- § 28:22 *Rosario* material must be copied and delivered to opposing party
- § 28:23 NYPD procedures for preserving *Rosario* material
- § 28:24 Applicability of *Rosario* rule to DMV refusal hearings

## Volume 2

### PART VIII. CHEMICAL TESTS

#### CHAPTER 29. BREATH TESTING

- § 29:1 In general
- § 29:2 Alcohol in the breath does not cause impairment
- § 29:3 Is a breath test machine a “device” or an “instrument”?
- § 29:4 The Breathalyzer
- § 29:5 Modern infrared breath test devices—Generally
- § 29:6 The BAC DataMaster
- § 29:7 The Alcotest 7110 MKIII-C
- § 29:8 The Intoxilyzer 5000
- § 29:9 The Intoxilyzer 4011AS
- § 29:10 The Intoximeter 3000
- § 29:11 The simulator
- § 29:12 BTO as expert witness

#### CHAPTER 30. ADDITIONAL CHEMICAL TEST

- § 30:1 Defendant’s right to additional chemical test
- § 30:2 Scope of the right
- § 30:3 Applicability of two-hour rule to additional chemical test
- § 30:4 It is improper for prosecution to suggest that defendant could have obtained additional chemical test

#### CHAPTER 31. TWO-HOUR RULE

- § 31:1 In general
- § 31:2 Where does the two-hour rule come from?
- § 31:3 The two-hour rule is an evidentiary rule
- § 31:4 Chemical test administered exactly two hours after arrest is “within” two hours for purposes of two-hour rule
- § 31:5 Two-hour window begins from later of time of arrest or time of positive breath screening test
- § 31:6 *People v. Atkins*
- § 31:7 Applying *Atkins* and *Odum*
- § 31:8 Chemical test administered more than two hours after arrest—Pretrial suppression hearing
- § 31:9 Applicability of two-hour rule to compulsory chemical tests
- § 31:10 Applicability of two-hour rule to chemical test refusals

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 31:11 Applicability of two-hour rule to independent chemical tests
- § 31:12 Applicability of two-hour rule to unconscious defendant
- § 31:13 Applicability of two-hour rule to accusatory instrument
- § 31:14 Applicability of two-hour rule to civil cases
- § 31:15 What about the Department of Health rules and regulations?

## CHAPTER 32. THE BLOOD-BREATH CONVERSION RATIO

- § 32:1 In general
- § 32:2 The inherent flaw in the blood-breath conversion ratio
- § 32:3 Accuracy of blood-breath conversion ratio goes to weight, rather than admissibility, of breath test result

## CHAPTER 33. PRESERVATION OF BREATHALYZER AMPOULES

- § 33:1 Preservation of Breathalyzer ampoules not required

## CHAPTER 34. DUTY OF POLICE TO CONDUCT INTOXICATION-RELATED TESTS AND TO PRESERVE BLOOD/BREATH SAMPLES

- § 34:1 In general
- § 34:2 Duty of police to conduct intoxication-related tests and/or to preserve potentially exculpatory evidentiary material
- § 34:3 Preservation of sample of defendant's blood for independent testing *is* required
- § 34:4 Preservation of defendant's breath sample for independent testing *is not* required
- § 34:5 Failure to administer field sobriety tests to non-English-speaking DWI suspects
- § 34:6 Failure to videotape/photograph field sobriety tests
- § 34:7 Failure to *arrest* drunk driver

## CHAPTER 35. RADIO FREQUENCY INTERFERENCE

- § 35:1 In general
- § 35:2 Judicial response

## CHAPTER 36. *[Reserved]*

## CHAPTER 37. EFFECT OF CHEMICAL TEST RESULT

- § 37:1 In general
- § 37:2 Chemical test results only reported to second decimal place
- § 37:3 Probative value of chemical test result less than 0.08%
- § 37:4 Probative value of chemical test result of 0.08% or more
- § 37:5 Chemical test result alone insufficient to sustain conviction of violating VTL § 1192
- § 37:6 Defense must be allowed to argue that defendant's BAC was less than 0.08% at time of operation
- § 37:7 Required contents of supporting deposition to common law DWI charge where defendant's chemical test result less than 0.08%
- § 37:8 CJI pattern jury instructions do not sufficiently inform jury of weight to be accorded chemical test result of 0.08% or more
- § 37:9 Reversal of VTL § 1192(2) conviction generally requires reversal of VTL § 1192(1)/(3) conviction(s)
- § 37:10 Applicability of VTL § 1195 to civil actions
- § 37:11 Applicability of VTL § 1195 to defense expert opinion

## PART IX. BLOOD TESTS

### CHAPTER 38. BLOOD TESTS

- § 38:1 In general
- § 38:2 Gas chromatography—Generally
- § 38:3 Who can be requested to submit to blood test?
- § 38:4 Who can withdraw blood from DWI suspect?
- § 38:5 Person who withdraws blood immune from liability absent gross negligence or bad faith—But defendant may have cause of action against State
- § 38:6 Preservation of sample of defendant's blood for independent testing required
- § 38:7 Defendant does not have right to demand blood test rather than breath test
- § 38:8 Defendant's right to additional chemical test
- § 38:9 DOH rules and regulations pertaining to blood testing—Generally
- § 38:10 DOH rules and regulations—Required techniques and methods for blood testing
- § 38:11 —Blood alcohol analysis permits
- § 38:12 Significance of failure to follow *police department's* chemical test rules and regulations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 38:13 Lawfulness of withdrawing blood from unconscious defendant pursuant to implied consent law
- § 38:14 Unconscious defendant need not be formally arrested
- § 38:15 Necessity of formal arrest prior to requesting blood from conscious defendant
- § 38:16 Lawfulness of withdrawing blood from conscious defendant pursuant to implied consent law
- § 38:17 Compulsory blood tests
- § 38:18 Blood tests and the 4th Amendment
- § 38:19 Blood tests and the 5th Amendment
- § 38:20 Blood tests and *Crawford v. Washington*
- § 38:21 Motion to suppress consented-to blood test
- § 38:22 People must establish valid consent to blood test
- § 38:23 Proper administration of blood test need not be established at pre-trial hearing
- § 38:24 Suppressed blood test can be used to impeach
- § 38:25 Use of blood test results where test was not administered in compliance with VTL § 1194
- § 38:26 Use of blood test results where test was administered by hospital personnel for diagnostic purposes
- § 38:27 Obtaining defendant's hospital records via subpoena
- § 38:28 Sufficiency of search warrant directing seizure of defendant's hospital blood sample
- § 38:29 Blood test foundation
- § 38:30 Chemist/toxicologist who relies on firsthand knowledge and experience can render opinion regarding BAC
- § 38:31 Chain of custody
- § 38:32 Effect of using alcohol swab to sterilize defendant's arm prior to withdrawing blood
- § 38:33 Effect of using expired blood test kit
- § 38:34 Effect of failure to refrigerate blood sample
- § 38:35 Effect of failure to use anticoagulant
- § 38:36 Effect of failure to calibrate pipette used by lab
- § 38:37 Effect of presentation of inadmissible blood test evidence to Grand Jury
- § 38:38 Testing blood serum as opposed to whole blood
- § 38:39 Testing dried blood
- § 38:40 Expert witness issues
- § 38:41 Applicability of two-hour rule to blood tests
- § 38:42 Applicability of physician-patient privilege to blood tests
- § 38:43 Applicability of nurse-patient privilege to blood draw
- § 38:44 Use of blood test results in civil cases
- § 38:45 Pleading guilty to DWI before blood test results are available can lead to injustice
- § 38:46 Blood test case not required to be prosecuted by indictment

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 38:47 Admissibility of test results where defendant's blood withdrawn outside New York
- § 38:48 Miscellaneous blood test issues

## CHAPTER 39. EXTRAPOLATION

- § 39:1 Generally
- § 39:2 Admission of extrapolation evidence
- § 39:3 Effect of stomach content
- § 39:4 Strength of the beverage
- § 39:5 Trauma and shock
- § 39:6 Gastric juices
- § 39:7 Sex
- § 39:8 Steepling effect
- § 39:9 Hyperthermia
- § 39:10 Alcohol elimination

## CHAPTER 40. COMPULSORY CHEMICAL TEST

- § 40:1 In general
- § 40:2 Origin of compulsory chemical test statute
- § 40:3 Constitutionality of compulsory chemical tests
- § 40:4 Body's natural metabolism of alcohol does not constitute *per se* exigency permitting warrantless compulsory chemical tests
- § 40:5 Unconscious defendants
- § 40:6 Compulsory chemical tests—When authorized
- § 40:7 Procedure to obtain
- § 40:8 Reasonable cause requirement
- § 40:9 Serious physical injury or death requirement
- § 40:10 Use of hearsay in application
- § 40:11 Application must be sworn
- § 40:12 Order must be in writing
- § 40:13 Substantial compliance with VTL § 1194(3) is sufficient
- § 40:14 Order not required to be shown to defendant and/or hospital personnel prior to blood draw
- § 40:15 Failure to identify names of witnesses to accident does not render order defective
- § 40:16 Failure to advise Court that police had originally arrested someone else does not render warrant defective
- § 40:17 Administration of compulsory chemical tests—Generally
- § 40:18 Who can withdraw blood from DWI suspect?
- § 40:19 Weight afforded testimony of person who withdraws blood

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 40:20 Person who withdraws blood immune from liability absent gross negligence or bad faith—but defendant may have cause of action against State
- § 40:21 Motion to suppress compulsory chemical test
- § 40:22 People's right to appeal order suppressing compulsory chemical test
- § 40:23 Admissibility of compulsory chemical test evidence obtained pursuant to CPL Article 690 search warrant
- § 40:24 Admissibility of chemical test refusal evidence if compulsory chemical test was administered
- § 40:25 DMV chemical test refusal sanctions inapplicable if compulsory chemical test was obtained
- § 40:26 Two-hour rule inapplicable to compulsory chemical tests
- § 40:27 VTL § 1194(3) trumps physician-patient privilege
- § 40:28 Court's authority to order defendant to provide blood sample after accusatory instrument filed

## PART X. TEST REFUSALS

### CHAPTER 41. TEST REFUSALS

- § 41:1 In general
- § 41:2 Refusal to communicate with police—Generally
- § 41:3 Refusal to submit to field sobriety tests
- § 41:4 Refusal to submit to breath screening test
- § 41:5 Refusal to submit to chemical test
- § 41:6 DMV refusal sanctions civil, not criminal, in nature
- § 41:7 Civil sanctions for chemical test refusal—First offense
  - Repeat offenders
  - Commercial drivers
- § 41:10 Chemical test refusal revocation—Underage offenders
- § 41:11 Chemical test refusal revocation runs separate and apart from VTL § 1192 suspension/revocation
- § 41:12 DMV refusal sanctions do not apply if chemical test result was obtained
- § 41:13 VTL § 1194 preempts field of chemical testing
- § 41:14 What is a “chemical test”?
- § 41:15 Who can lawfully be requested to submit to a chemical test?
- § 41:16 Who can lawfully request that a DWI suspect submit to a chemical test?
- § 41:17 Should a DWI suspect refuse to submit to a chemical test?
- § 41:18 There is no constitutional right to refuse to submit to a chemical test

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 41:19 There is a *statutory* right to refuse to submit to a chemical test
- § 41:20 Legislative policy for creating statutory right of refusal
- § 41:21 Refusal to submit to a chemical test is not an appropriate criminal charge
- § 41:22 Refusal warnings—Generally
- § 41:23 Refusal warnings need not precede request to submit to chemical test
- § 41:24 Refusal warnings must be given in “clear and unequivocal” language
- § 41:25 Incomplete refusal warnings invalidate chemical test refusal
- § 41:26 Informing defendant that chemical test refusal will result in incarceration pending arraignment, whereas submission to test will result in release on appearance ticket, does not constitute impermissible coercion
- § 41:27 What constitutes a chemical test refusal?
- § 41:28 Chemical test refusal must be “persistent”
- § 41:29 What constitutes a “persistent” refusal?
- § 41:30 VTL § 1194(2)(f) claim is waived by guilty plea
- § 41:31 Chemical test refusal need not be “knowing”
- § 41:32 Refusal on religious grounds does not invalidate chemical test refusal
- § 41:33 Suppression of chemical test refusal
- § 41:34 Invalid stop voids chemical test refusal
- § 41:35 Probable cause to believe motorist violated VTL § 1192 must exist at time of arrest
- § 41:36 Procedure upon arrest—Report of Refusal
- § 41:37 Report of Refusal—Verification
- § 41:38 —Contents
- § 41:39 —To whom is it submitted?
- § 41:40 Procedure upon arraignment—Temporary suspension of license
- § 41:41 —Court must provide defendant with waiver form and notice of DMV refusal hearing date
- § 41:42 Effect of failure of court to schedule DMV refusal hearing
- § 41:43 Defects in Report of Refusal are nonjurisdictional
- § 41:44 Effect of delay by court in forwarding Report of Refusal to DMV
- § 41:45 Effect of delay by DMV in scheduling refusal hearing
- § 41:46 Report of Refusal must be forwarded to DMV within 48 hours of arraignment
- § 41:47 Forwarding requirement cannot be waived—Even with consent of all parties
- § 41:48 DMV regulations pertaining to chemical test refusals

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 41:49	DMV refusal hearings—Generally
§ 41:50	—Waiver of right to hearing
§ 41:51	—Failure of motorist to appear at hearing
§ 41:52	—Failure of arresting officer to appear at hearing
§ 41:53	—Failure of officer who read refusal warnings to appear at hearing
§ 41:54	—Failure of either party to appear at hearing
§ 41:55	—Should defense counsel bring a stenographer?
§ 41:56	—Fifteen-day rule
§ 41:57	—Time and place of hearing
§ 41:58	—Right to counsel
§ 41:59	—Adjournment requests
§ 41:60	—Responsive pleadings
§ 41:61	—Prehearing discovery
§ 41:62	—Recusal of ALJ
§ 41:63	—Conduct of hearing
§ 41:64	—Due process
§ 41:65	—Applicability of the <i>Rosario</i> rule
§ 41:66	—Issues to be determined at hearing
§ 41:67	—DMV action where evidence fails to establish all four issues at hearing
§ 41:68	—DMV action where evidence establishes all four issues at hearing
§ 41:69	—Decision following hearing
§ 41:70	—Appealing adverse decision
§ 41:71	Failure to pay civil penalty or driver responsibility assessment
§ 41:72	Chemical test refusals and 20-day Orders
§ 41:73	Chemical test refusals and the Impaired Driver Program
§ 41:74	Successful IDP completion does not terminate refusal revocation
§ 41:75	Chemical test refusals and conditional licenses
§ 41:76	Chemical test refusals and restricted use licenses
§ 41:77	Chemical test refusals as consciousness of guilt
§ 41:78	Test refusals—Jury charge
§ 41:79	Chemical test refusals and the Fifth Amendment
§ 41:80	Chemical test refusals and the right to counsel
§ 41:81	Right to counsel more limited at DMV refusal hearing
§ 41:82	Chemical test refusals and the right of foreign nationals to consult with consular officials
§ 41:83	Chemical test refusals and unconscious defendants
§ 41:84	Chemical test refusals and CPL § 60.50
§ 41:85	Chemical test refusals and CPL § 200.60
§ 41:86	Chemical test refusals and CPL § 710.30 notice
§ 41:87	Chemical test refusals and collateral estoppel

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 41:88 Chemical test refusals and equitable estoppel
- § 41:89 Chemical test refusal sanctions as double jeopardy
- § 41:90 Admissibility of chemical test result obtained despite refusal
- § 41:91 Admissibility of chemical test refusal evidence in actions arising under Penal Law
- § 41:92 Admissibility of chemical test refusal evidence in civil actions
- § 41:93 Applicability of “two-hour rule” to chemical test refusals
- § 41:94 Loss of videotape containing alleged chemical test refusal requires sanction
- § 41:95 Policy of sentencing defendants convicted of DWAI to jail if they refused chemical test is illegal
- § 41:96 Report of refusal to submit to chemical test is discoverable pursuant to CPL § 240.20
- § 41:97 Dentures and test refusals
- § 41:98 Prosecutor’s improper cross-examination and summation in refusal case results in reversal
- § 41:99 Improper presentation of refusal evidence to Grand Jury did not require dismissal of indictment

## PART XI. EVIDENCE ISSUES

### CHAPTER 42. BREATH TEST FOUNDATION

- § 42:1 In general
- § 42:2 For purposes of this chapter, “breath test” and “chemical test” are used synonymously
- § 42:3 Breath test foundation—Generally
- § 42:4 Admissibility of documents used to establish breath test foundation—CPLR § 4518
- § 42:5 Effect of *Crawford v. Washington* on admissibility of documents used to establish breath test foundation
- § 42:6 Proof of calibration
- § 42:7 Timeliness of calibration
- § 42:8 Admissibility of calibration records
- § 42:9 Proof that chemicals used in conducting test were of proper kind and mixed in proper proportions
- § 42:10 Admissibility of simulator solution certificate of analysis
- § 42:11 Proof that test was properly administered
- § 42:12 Proof that breath test device is scientifically reliable and accurate
- § 42:13 Necessity of expert witness testimony as to effect of “ambient air failure”
- § 42:14 Proof of compliance with police department’s chemical test rules and regulations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 42:15 Proof of proper pretest observation period
- § 42:16 Proof that operational checklist was followed
- § 42:17 Proof of weekly simulator testing
- § 42:18 Admissibility of breath test result based upon “deficient sample”
- § 42:19 Arresting officer can be breath test operator
- § 42:20 Necessity of breath test operator as witness
- § 42:21 Inability of breath test operator to identify defendant as person tested

## CHAPTER 43. ADMISSIBILITY OF DOCUMENTS

- § 43:1 Introduction
- § 43:2 Business records—Scope of rule generally
- § 43:3 —Rule applicable to criminal proceedings
- § 43:4 —The record or document must be prepared by the business or proponent offering it in evidence
- § 43:5 —It must be in the ordinary course of business of the proponent to prepare such record or document
- § 43:6 —The information contained in the record must have come from a source which had an obligation to report the information
- § 43:7 —Record may be admissible to prove only that statement was made
- § 43:8 —Timeliness of record
- § 43:9 —Whether the information contained in the record is relevant to the business of the entity recording the information
- § 43:10 —Physicians’ opinions and hospital records must also be relevant to diagnosis and treatment
- § 43:11 Public documents—Scope of rule generally
- § 43:12 —Cause of death
- § 43:13 —Certified records
- § 43:14 —Subpoena required?
- § 43:15 —Other requirements
- § 43:16 —Grand jury and preliminary hearing exceptions
- § 43:17 The best evidence rule—Purpose of rule generally
- § 43:18 —Private documents
- § 43:19 —Copies of public documents
- § 43:20 Authentication requirement
- § 43:21 Signature or facsimile of authenticating witness’s signature must be done with witness’s knowledge
- § 43:22 Documents admissible as past recollection recorded
- § 43:23 Use of treatise to cross-examine expert
- § 43:24 Bolstering
- § 43:25 Effect of *Crawford v. Washington* on admissibility of documents

- § 43:26 *Crawford* claim must be preserved to be raised on appeal
- § 43:27 Applicability of *Crawford* to proceedings other than trial
- § 43:28 Applicability of CPLR § 4539(b) to simulator solution certificate originally created in electronic form
- § 43:29 Medical records
- § 43:30 Arrest photographs
- § 43:31 Breath test result and operational checklist

## CHAPTER 44. PHYSICIAN-PATIENT PRIVILEGE

- § 44:1 In general
- § 44:2 Physician-patient privilege—Defined
- § 44:3 Rationale for physician-patient privilege
- § 44:4 Physician-patient privilege is given broad and liberal construction
- § 44:5 Physician-patient privilege belongs to patient
- § 44:6 Physician-patient privilege is statutory, not constitutional, in nature
- § 44:7 Physician-patient privilege is applicable to criminal proceedings
- § 44:8 Physician's fiduciary duty not to disclose patient confidences is broader than physician-patient privilege
- § 44:9 Types of information to which privilege applies
- § 44:10 Privilege inapplicable to observations that would be readily apparent to layperson
- § 44:11 Applicability of physician-patient privilege to hospital records and blood tests
- § 44:12 Applicability of physician-patient privilege to physical blood sample as opposed to blood test results
- § 44:13 Exceptions to physician-patient privilege
- § 44:14 The rule of *Brady* trumps victim's physician-patient privilege
- § 44:15 Waiver of physician-patient privilege—Generally
- § 44:16 Waiver of physician-patient privilege in DWI cases
- § 44:17 Violation of physician-patient privilege subject to harmless error analysis
- § 44:18 Evidence obtained as "fruit" of violation of physician-patient privilege not suppressible
- § 44:19 Applicability of physician-patient privilege to EMTs
- § 44:20 Waiver of physician-patient privilege by presence of third party
- § 44:21 Prosecutor's improper comments regarding defendant's medical history results in reversal

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **PART XII. PENALTIES AND CONSEQUENCES**

### **CHAPTER 45. SUSPENSION PENDING PROSECUTION**

- § 45:1 In general
- § 45:2 VTL § 1193(2)(e)(7)—The prompt suspension law
- § 45:3 Suspension procedure
- § 45:4 Defendant’s chemical test results must be “certified”
- § 45:5 *Pringle* hearing is a civil administrative proceeding
- § 45:6 What is the scope of a *Pringle* hearing?
- § 45:7 What is the People’s role at a *Pringle* hearing?
- § 45:8 What if defendant appears for arraignment without counsel?
- § 45:9 Applicability of prompt suspension law to chemical test result of exactly .08%
- § 45:10 Applicability of prompt suspension law to out-of-state licensees
- § 45:11 Applicability of prompt suspension law to junior driver’s license/learner’s permit
- § 45:12 Hardship privilege—Generally
- § 45:13 Scope of hardship privilege
- § 45:14 What constitutes “extreme hardship”?
- § 45:15 Burden of proof for issuance of hardship privilege
- § 45:16 Timing of hardship hearing
- § 45:17 Applicability of hardship privilege to commercial motor vehicles
- § 45:18 Applicability of hardship privilege to out-of-state licensees
- § 45:19 Pre-conviction conditional license
- § 45:20 Applicability of pre-conviction conditional license to commercial motor vehicles and taxicabs
- § 45:21 Violation of pre-conviction conditional license or hardship privilege
- § 45:22 Constitutionality of prompt suspension law
- § 45:23 Double Jeopardy and Equal Protection
- § 45:24 Prompt suspension law does not preclude suspension of driver’s license under other laws
- § 45:25 VTL § 1193(2)(e)(1)—Suspension pending prosecution based upon prior conviction or vehicular crime
- § 45:26 —Suspension procedure
- § 45:27 —Eligibility for hardship privilege and pre-conviction conditional license
- § 45:28 VTL §§ 1193(2)(e)(1) & (7)—Length of suspension
- § 45:29 Effect of Court’s failure to comply with suspension pending prosecution statute

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 45:30 VTL § 1194(2)(b)(3)—Temporary suspension of license at arraignment in chemical test refusal cases
- § 45:31 VTL § 510(3-a)—Discretionary suspensions

## CHAPTER 46. PENALTIES FOR VTL § 1192 OFFENSES

- § 46:1 In general
- § 46:2 “New” DMV regulations dramatically increase the license revocation periods for repeat DWI offenders
- § 46:3 Driving while ability impaired—First offense
- § 46:4 DWAI—Second offense
- § 46:5 —Third and subsequent offenses
- § 46:6 Driving while intoxicated—First offense
- § 46:7 DWI—Second offense
- § 46:8 Misdemeanor Aggravated DWI—First offense
- § 46:9 —Second offense
- § 46:10 Felony Aggravated DWI—Leandra’s Law
- § 46:11 Driving while ability impaired by drugs—First offense
- § 46:12 DWAI drugs—Second offense
- § 46:13 DWAI combined influence of drugs or of alcohol and any drug or drugs—First offense
- § 46:14 DWAI combined influence—Second offense
- § 46:15 DWI, aggravated DWI, DWAI drugs, or DWAI combined influence—Class E felony
- § 46:16 —Class D felony
- § 46:17 Commercial drivers and “special vehicles”
- § 46:18 Underage offenders—First offense
- § 46:19 —Second offense
- § 46:20 Effect of prior “Zero Tolerance law” adjudication
- § 46:21 Out-of-state convictions
- § 46:22 License suspension or revocation begins at sentencing
- § 46:23 Periods of revocation are *minimum* periods
- § 46:24 Court cannot impose sentence of unconditional discharge
- § 46:25 Court must impose fine unless defendant sentenced to incarceration
- § 46:26 DMV required to correct improper license suspension/revocation
- § 46:27 Relicensure of person sentenced to probation
- § 46:28 Reapplication after revocation—45-day rule
- § 46:29 Reinstate/reapplication fee
- § 46:30 Victim impact panel
- § 46:31 Lifetime revocation—Two convictions of DWI, DWAI Drugs or DWAI combined influence involving accidents causing physical injury
- § 46:32 Accidents involving death or serious physical injury

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 46:33 “Permanent” driver’s license revocation
- § 46:34 10-year “permanent” revocation
- § 46:35 Conditional license during period of “permanent” revocation
- § 46:36 13-year “permanent” revocation
- § 46:37 VTL § 1193(2)(b)(12) will not reduce other mandatory revocation
- § 46:38 Court cannot impose “scarlet letter” penalty
- § 46:39 Court cannot permanently ban defendant from applying for driver’s license
- § 46:40 Sentencing policies
- § 46:41 Court cannot impose “trial penalty” simply because defendant rejected plea bargain offer
- § 46:42 Consecutive sentences
- § 46:43 Where fine not part of plea bargain, it may not be imposed without offer to withdraw plea
- § 46:44 Court must advise defendant of direct consequences of plea
- § 46:45 Prosecutor must honor promise with respect to sentencing recommendation made during plea negotiations
- § 46:46 Appellate Division limits trial court’s ability to enhance negotiated sentence based on defendant’s conduct between plea and sentencing
- § 46:47 Indigent defendants—Inability to pay fine
- § 46:48 Defendant entitled to copy of pre-sentence investigation report
- § 46:49 Service of order commences time period to take appeal
- § 46:50 DWI “conviction” complete upon plea or verdict of guilty
- § 46:51 Mandatory jail or community service in certain cases
- § 46:52 Driver responsibility assessment
- § 46:53 Jurisdiction over CPL Article 440 motion
- § 46:54 Plea bargain reducing DWI to reckless driving is not improper
- § 46:55 “Split” sentences
- § 46:56 Sufficiency of guilty plea
- § 46:57 Pleading client guilty to top charge as ineffective assistance of counsel
- § 46:58 Jail sentence in DWI case found to be improper
- § 46:59 Periods of probation
- § 46:60 Who can a probationer get in trouble for associating with?

**CHAPTER 47. MANDATORY SURCHARGES,  
FEES AND ASSESSMENTS**

- § 47:1 In general

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 47:2 Purpose of mandatory surcharges
- § 47:3 Constitutionality of mandatory surcharges
- § 47:4 Mandatory surcharges are generally not waivable
- § 47:5 Or are they?
- § 47:6 Court not required to advise defendant of mandatory surcharge either prior to plea or at sentencing
- § 47:7 Amount of surcharge is determined by law in effect on date of charge
- § 47:8 No surcharge where defendant has made restitution
- § 47:9 No VTL § 1809 surcharge where defendant has paid PL § 60.35 surcharge
- § 47:10 Surcharge for VTL § 1192 traffic infractions
- § 47:11 Surcharge for VTL § 1192 misdemeanors
- § 47:12 Surcharge for VTL § 1192 felonies
- § 47:13 Surcharge for parking, stopping and standing violations
- § 47:14 Surcharge for VTL Article 9 traffic infractions
- § 47:15 Surcharge for remaining VTL traffic infractions, misdemeanors and felonies
- § 47:16 Additional surcharges applicable to VTL § 1192 cases
- § 47:17 Additional surcharge applicable to Town and Village Court cases
- § 47:18 Additional surcharge for speeding in work zone
- § 47:19 Driver responsibility assessment for VTL § 1192 conviction or chemical test refusal
- § 47:20 Driver responsibility assessment for 6 or more points within 18-months
- § 47:21 Surcharge for PL violations
- § 47:22 Surcharge for PL misdemeanors
- § 47:23 Surcharge for PL felonies
- § 47:24 Applicability of surcharges to youthful offenders
- § 47:25 Applicability of PL surcharges to VTL offenses
- § 47:26 DNA databank fee
- § 47:27 Limit on imposition of multiple surcharges in VTL cases
- § 47:28 Limit on imposition of multiple surcharges in PL cases
- § 47:29 Surcharge is paid to Clerk of the Court
- § 47:30 Person who overpays or erroneously pays surcharge is entitled to refund
- § 47:31 Effect of failure to pay VTL surcharge on driver's license
- § 47:32 Defendant cannot be incarcerated for more than 15 days for failure to pay surcharge
- § 47:33 Collection of surcharge from incarcerated person
- § 47:34 Court required to issue summons regarding payment of surcharge in certain PL cases

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 47:35 Valid waiver of right to appeal precludes challenge to surcharge on appeal
- § 47:36 Failure to preserve claim can preclude challenge to surcharge on appeal
- § 47:37 Obligation to pay mandatory surcharge does not abate with defendant's death

## **CHAPTER 48. IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE PROGRAM**

- § 48:1 In general
- § 48:2 What is an ignition interlock device?
- § 48:3 Rules and regulations regarding IIDs
- § 48:4 Definitions
- § 48:5 Scope of IID program
- § 48:6 Who must be required to install and maintain an IID?
- § 48:7 How long must an IID remain installed?
- § 48:8 Who may not be required to install and maintain an IID?
- § 48:9 Cost, installation and maintenance of IID
- § 48:10 IID installer must provide defendant with fee schedule
- § 48:11 What if defendant is unable to afford cost of IID?
- § 48:12 Notification of IID requirement
- § 48:13 Defendant must install IID within 10 business days of sentencing
- § 48:14 Defendant must provide proof of compliance with IID requirement within three business days of installation
- § 48:15 DMV will note IID condition on defendant's driving record
- § 48:16 How often does defendant have to blow into IID?
- § 48:17 Lockout mode
- § 48:18 Circumvention of IID
- § 48:19 Duty of IID monitor to report defendant to Court and District Attorney
- § 48:20 Real time reporting
- § 48:21 Use of leased, rented or loaned vehicles
- § 48:22 Use of employer-owned vehicles
- § 48:23 Pre-installation requirements
- § 48:24 Mandatory service visit intervals
- § 48:25 Accessibility of IID providers
- § 48:26 Frequency of reporting by IID providers
- § 48:27 Defendant entitled to report of his/her IID usage history
- § 48:28 IID providers must safeguard personal information
- § 48:29 Postrevocation conditional license

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 48:30 Intrastate transfer of probation/conditional discharge involving IID requirement
- § 48:31 Interstate transfer of probation/conditional discharge involving IID requirement
- § 48:32 VTL § 1198 does not preclude Court from imposing any other permissible conditions of probation
- § 48:33 Imposition of IID requirement does not alter length of underlying license revocation
- § 48:34 IID requirement runs consecutively to jail sentence
- § 48:35 Constitutionality of PL § 60.21
- § 48:36 Applicability of IID requirement to parolees
- § 48:37 IID cannot be removed without “certificate of completion” or “letter of deinstallation”
- § 48:38 Constitutionality of VTL § 1198
- § 48:39 Necessity of a *Frye* hearing
- § 48:40 IID violation issues
- § 48:41 Who is responsible for the cost of a SCRAM bracelet?
- § 48:42 Challenge to IID condition must be preserved
- § 48:43 Is defendant entitled to hearing on alleged IID violation
- § 48:44 What if defendant has reduced lung capacity?

## CHAPTER 49. THE 20-DAY ORDER

- § 49:1 In general
- § 49:2 What is a 20-Day Order?
- § 49:3 Purpose of a 20-Day Order
- § 49:4 Scope of a 20-Day Order
- § 49:5 Eligibility for a 20-Day Order
- § 49:6 Chemical test refusals and 20-Day Orders
- § 49:7 Court’s obligations upon conviction
- § 49:8 Advice to defendant regarding 20-Day Order
- § 49:9 20-Day Orders in certain drug-related cases

## CHAPTER 50. THE IMPAIRED DRIVER PROGRAM

- § 50:1 In general
- § 50:2 Conditional license
- § 50:3 Five-year eligibility
- § 50:4 Prior conviction voids program eligibility
- § 50:5 Vacated conviction voids effect of prior participation
- § 50:6 Refusal revocation not terminated by completion of program
- § 50:7 Referral for additional treatment
- § 50:8 Referral criteria
- § 50:9 Attorney should advise client of possible referral
- § 50:10 Appealing the referral

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 50:11 Violation of conditional discharge
- § 50:12 Out-of-state defendants
- § 50:13 Subsequent arrest upon completion of program
- § 50:14 Alcohol rehabilitation required prior to relicensure
- § 50:15 Third offenders not eligible for conditional license
- § 50:16 Fees
- § 50:17 Personnel
- § 50:18 Conditional license disqualifications
- § 50:19 Revocation of conditional license
- § 50:20 Entry and reentry into program
- § 50:21 Completion of program documentation
- § 50:22 Participation as satisfaction of jail sentence
- § 50:23 DDP does not terminate sentence for AUO

## **CHAPTER 51. CERTIFICATE OF RELIEF FROM DISABILITIES**

- § 51:1 In general
- § 51:2 Who is eligible for certificate of relief?
- § 51:3 Court and/or probation department must advise eligible offender of eligibility for certificate of relief
- § 51:4 Certificate of relief not applicable to youthful offender adjudication
- § 51:5 Effect of certificate of relief—Generally
- § 51:6 Certificate of relief may apply to *all* disabilities, or merely to one or more enumerated disabilities
- § 51:7 Certificate of relief only removes *automatic* bars to licensing—It does not affect discretionary power of licensing body
- § 51:8 Certificate of relief is not a pardon, nor does it eradicate or expunge the underlying conviction
- § 51:9 Certificate of relief does not permit holder to retain or to be eligible for public office
- § 51:10 Certificate of relief does not immunize person from immigration consequences of a conviction
- § 51:11 Certificate of relief does not override mandatory driver's license revocation in VTL § 1192 case
- § 51:12 Certificate of relief does not render prior conviction inadmissible for impeachment purposes
- § 51:13 Certificate of relief does not relieve person from disclosing prior criminal conviction on employment application
- § 51:14 Certificate of relief does not permit reinstatement of attorney disbarred based upon conviction of a felony
- § 51:15 Applicability of certificate of relief to commercial drivers
- § 51:16 Applicability of certificate of relief to taxicab drivers
- § 51:17 Applicability of certificate of relief to pistol permit

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 51:18 Applicability of certificate of relief to “long guns”
- § 51:19 Applicability of certificate of relief to right to serve on jury
- § 51:20 Criteria for issuance of certificate of relief by Court
- § 51:21 Issuance of certificate of relief in connection with out-of-state or federal conviction
- § 51:22 Certificate of relief—Time for issuance by court
- § 51:23 Certificate of relief issued by court at any time after sentencing only applies to disabilities—*Not* to forfeitures
- § 51:24 Court may request probation department to conduct investigation of applicant for certificate of relief
- § 51:25 Confidentiality of probation department report of investigation of applicant for certificate of relief
- § 51:26 Temporary certificate of relief
- § 51:27 Revocation of temporary certificate of relief
- § 51:28 Use or attempted use of revoked certificate of relief is a misdemeanor
- § 51:29 Enlargement of certificate of relief
- § 51:30 Issuance of certificate of relief by Board of Parole
- § 51:31 Certificate of relief is filed with NYSIIS

## CHAPTER 52. SEAL AND RETURN ORDERS: CLEARING THE RECORD

- § 52:1 CPL § 160.50
- § 52:2 CPL § 160.55—Sealing of noncriminal convictions
- § 52:3 Access to and use of sealed records
- § 52:4 Effect of termination of criminal actions in favor of the accused

## CHAPTER 53. TIMELINESS OF PROSECUTIONS AND SPEEDY TRIAL

- § 53:1 In general
- § 53:2 Statutes of limitation—Generally
- § 53:3 CPL § 30.10(2)—Timeliness of prosecutions—Periods of limitation
- § 53:4 CPL § 30.10(4)—Excludable time
- § 53:5 Statute of limitations claim generally must be raised in the defendant’s omnibus motion
- § 53:6 Accusatory instrument not required to allege facts negating statute of limitations defense
- § 53:7 Waiver/forfeiture of statute of limitations claim
- § 53:8 CPL § 30.20—Generally
- § 53:9 *People v. Taranovich*—Factors to be considered in deciding constitutional speedy trial claim
- § 53:10 *Taranovich* factor (1)—Extent of the delay

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 53:11	<i>Taranovich</i> factor (2)—Reason for the delay
§ 53:12	<i>Taranovich</i> factor (3)—Nature of the charge
§ 53:13	<i>Taranovich</i> factor (4)—Length of pretrial incarceration
§ 53:14	<i>Taranovich</i> factor (5)—Has the defense been prejudiced by the delay
§ 53:15	Applying <i>Taranovich</i>
§ 53:16	Applicability of constitutional right to speedy trial to traffic infractions
§ 53:17	Applicability of constitutional right to speedy trial to homicides
§ 53:18	Applicability of constitutional right to speedy trial to juvenile delinquency proceedings
§ 53:19	Necessity of hearing
§ 53:20	Waiver/abandonment of constitutional speedy trial claim
§ 53:21	Constitutional speedy trial claim must be properly preserved to be reviewed on appeal
§ 53:22	CPL § 30.20(2)—Trial of criminal cases must be given preference over trial of civil cases
§ 53:23	CPL § 30.30—Generally
§ 53:24	Prejudice to defendant is irrelevant for purposes of CPL § 30.30
§ 53:25	CPL § 30.30(1)—Time within which People must be ready for trial
§ 53:26	Six months not necessarily 180 days
§ 53:27	What if last day falls on weekend or holiday?
§ 53:28	What if People's readiness statement is filed after close of business on final day of speedy trial period?
§ 53:29	Writ of habeas corpus cannot be used to claim violation of CPL § 30.30(1)
§ 53:30	Article 78 proceeding cannot be used to raise speedy trial claim
§ 53:31	CPL § 30.30(2)—Time within which jailed defendant must be RORed [released on recognizance] or released on bail if People not ready for trial
§ 53:32	Situations to which CPL § 30.30(2) does not apply
§ 53:33	If bail is set pursuant to CPL § 30.30(2), it must be set in amount that defendant can afford to post
§ 53:34	Writ of habeas corpus can be used to claim violation of CPL § 30.30(2)
§ 53:35	Defendant not required to assert CPL § 30.30(2) rights before People declare readiness for trial
§ 53:36	CPL § 30.30(2)—Motion procedure
§ 53:37	Applicability of CPL § 30.30 to traffic infractions
§ 53:38	Applicability of CPL § 30.30 to traffic infractions accompanied by felonies, misdemeanors, or violations

HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

§ 53:39	Applicability of CPL § 30.30 to homicides
§ 53:40	Applicability of CPL § 30.30 to <i>attempted</i> homicides
§ 53:41	CPL § 30.30(3)(a)—Constitutionality
§ 53:42	Date on which criminal action is deemed to have commenced—Generally
§ 53:43	CPL § 30.30(7)(a) and (b)—Date on which criminal action is deemed to have commenced in certain situations
§ 53:44	Date action commenced is excludable
§ 53:45	Time within which People must be ready for trial where original charges reduced by filing of new accusatory instrument
§ 53:46	Time within which People must be ready for trial where felony reduced to class A misdemeanor and then further reduced to class B misdemeanor
§ 53:47	Time within which People must be ready for trial where felony complaint replaced with misdemeanor <i>indictment</i>
§ 53:48	Time within which People must be ready for trial where misdemeanor elevated to felony
§ 53:49	CPL § 30.30(4)—Excludable time
§ 53:50	Preindictment delay generally <i>not</i> excludable
§ 53:51	Time period during which CPL § 180.85 motion pending <i>not</i> excludable
§ 53:52	Delay in transferring case from arraignment part to IAS part <i>not</i> excludable
§ 53:53	Excludable time— <i>Reasonable</i> period of delay resulting from other proceedings concerning defendant
§ 53:54	—Pretrial motions
§ 53:55	—Discovery
§ 53:56	—Appeals
§ 53:57	—Period during which defendant is incompetent to stand trial
§ 53:58	—Adjournments granted at the request of, or with the consent of, defendant
§ 53:59	—Delay resulting from absence or unavailability of defendant
§ 53:60	—Delay resulting from defendant's escape from custody or failure to appear in court when required
§ 53:61	—Reasonable period of delay when defendant joined for trial with codefendant whose speedy trial time has not expired
§ 53:62	—Delay resulting from defendant's detention in another jurisdiction
§ 53:63	—Period during which defendant is without counsel through no fault of court
§ 53:64	—Periods of delay occasioned by “exceptional circumstances”

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 53:65	—Period during which action ACODed
§ 53:66	—Period prior to defendant's actual appearance for arraignment where defendant has been directed to appear pursuant to CPL § 120.20(3) or CPL § 210.10(3)
§ 53:67	—Period during which “family offense” is before family court
§ 53:68	Effect of delay in bringing charges against defendant
§ 53:69	Effect of delay in arraigning defendant
§ 53:70	Effect of delay in rendering verdict
§ 53:71	Effect of delay in imposing sentence
§ 53:72	Effect of delay between sentence and reversal on appeal
§ 53:73	People's readiness for trial—Valid accusatory instrument
§ 53:74	People's readiness statement—When made
§ 53:75	—How made
§ 53:76	—To whom made
§ 53:77	—Illusory statement of readiness is a nullity
§ 53:78	—Prearraignment statement of readiness
§ 53:79	—Court congestion
§ 53:80	—Dismissal and refiling of indictment requires new assertion of readiness
§ 53:81	—People not required to declare readiness repeatedly
§ 53:82	When People's lack of readiness requires adjournment, does subsequent statement of readiness save them from liability for remainder of adjournment period?
§ 53:83	Postreadiness delay—Generally
§ 53:84	CPL § 30.30(3)(b)—Speedy trial motion may be denied where People were ready for trial in a timely manner but subsequently were no longer ready due to some “exceptional fact or circumstance”
§ 53:85	What constitutes an “exceptional fact or circumstance”?
§ 53:86	People must exercise “due diligence” in attempting to bring end to delay caused by “exceptional fact or circumstance”
§ 53:87	In deciding speedy trial motion based in part on postreadiness delay, People receive benefit of portion of readiness period which remained when they originally declared readiness
§ 53:88	Defendant's postreadiness delay generally irrelevant
§ 53:89	Defendant need not express readiness for trial
§ 53:90	Defendant under no obligation to move case forward

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 53:91 Speedy trial motion—Procedure
- § 53:92 —Timeliness of motion
- § 53:93 CPL § 30.30 motion—People's failure to respond to motion
- § 53:94 —Summary granting thereof
- § 53:95 —Burdens of proof; necessity of hearing
- § 53:96 —Burden on People to prove basis for postreadiness adjournment
- § 53:97 Trial court not required to entertain pro se speedy trial motion of represented defendant
- § 53:98 Waiver/forfeiture of statutory speedy trial claim
- § 53:99 Speedy trial claim must be properly preserved to be reviewed on appeal
- § 53:100 Failure to produce grand jury minutes
- § 53:101 Failure to produce incarcerated defendant
- § 53:102 Failure to produce the discovery material
- § 53:103 Failure to properly file certificate of compliance
- § 53:104 Failure to produce *Rosario* material
- § 53:105 Failure to produce CPL § 710.30 notice
- § 53:106 Failure to retain expert on retrograde extrapolation in DWI case
- § 53:107 Failure to conduct formal lab test of drugs in drug prosecution
- § 53:108 Failure to raise meritorious speedy trial claim constitutes ineffective assistance of counsel
- § 53:109 “Failure to prosecute”
- § 53:110 Speedy trial and the Interstate Agreement on Detainers
- § 53:111 Applicability of speedy trial principles to administrative proceedings
- § 53:112 Applicability of speedy trial principles to violation of probation proceedings
- § 53:113 Is CPL § 30.30 dismissal “favorable termination” for purposes of action for malicious prosecution?
- § 53:114 People's motion to unseal sealed records in order to respond to speedy trial motion denied
- § 53:115 Lawfulness of Executive Orders tolling CPL § 30.30 during COVID-19 pandemic

## CHAPTER 54. MANDATORY ALCOHOL/SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT

- § 54:1 In general
- § 54:2 “Special procedures” regarding mandatory alcohol/substance abuse treatment—VTL § 1198-a
- § 54:3 Definitions applicable to VTL § 1198-a
- § 54:4 Who is required to be informally “screened” for alcohol or substance abuse and dependency?

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 54:5 Who is required to be formally “assessed” for alcohol or substance abuse and dependency?
- § 54:6 Mandatory assessment procedures
- § 54:7 Who must enroll in and successfully complete alcohol/ substance abuse treatment?
- § 54:8 OASAS must promulgate a list of authorized treatment providers
- § 54:9 Confidentiality of treatment records
- § 54:10 Effect of completion of treatment
- § 54:11 Defendant cannot renege on evaluation fee merely because dissatisfied with result

## **CHAPTER 55. NEW DMV REGULATIONS AFFECTING REPEAT DWI OFFENDERS**

- § 55:1 In general
- § 55:2 Summary of pre-existing DMV policy
- § 55:3 Effective date of new regulations
- § 55:4 The new regulations apply retroactively to offenses committed prior to their effective date
- § 55:5 Summary of the new regulations—Key definitions
- § 55:6 —Key provisions
- § 55:7 The new regulations only apply to repeat DWI offenders
- § 55:8 The new regulations generally only apply where person’s license is revoked
- § 55:9 DMV’s definition of “history of abuse of alcohol or drugs” now utilizes 25-year look-back period
- § 55:10 Second offenders
- § 55:11 Third offenders no longer eligible for conditional license
- § 55:12 It is often now necessary to obtain person’s *lifetime* driving record
- § 55:13 New lifetime revocation #1—Person has 5 or more lifetime DWIs and is currently revoked
- § 55:14 New lifetime revocation #2—Person has 3 or 4 DWIs and 1 or more SDOs within the 25-year look-back period and is currently revoked
- § 55:15 New lifetime revocation #3—Person has 5 or more lifetime DWIs and is convicted of a high-point driving violation
- § 55:16 New lifetime revocation #4—Person has 3 or 4 DWIs and 1 or more SDOs within the 25-year look-back period and is convicted of a high-point driving violation
- § 55:17 New lifetime revocation #5—Person revoked for new DWI-related conviction/incident, or for conviction arising out of a fatal accident, while on license with A2 problem driver restriction

## HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

- § 55:18 Person has 3 or 4 DWIs, no SDOs, and is currently revoked for a DWI-related conviction/incident—Statutory revocation plus 5 more years plus 5 more years on an A2 restricted use license with an IID
- § 55:19 Person has 3 or 4 DWIs, no SDOs, and is currently revoked for a non-DWI-related conviction/incident—Statutory revocation plus 2 more years plus 2 more years on an A2 restricted use license with no IID
- § 55:20 Person has 2 DWI convictions but has a 3rd DWI charge pending—Application for re licensure will be held in abeyance pending outcome of 3rd charge
- § 55:21 Applicability of the new regulations to person who is “permanently” revoked pursuant to VTL § 1193(2)(b)(12)
- § 55:22 Relicensure following DWI-related fatal accident
- § 55:23 DMV will theoretically grant a waiver of the new regulations upon a showing of “unusual, extenuating and compelling circumstances”
- § 55:24 All challenges to the legality of the new regulations have failed
- § 55:25 A few challenges to the implementation of the new regulations have been successful
- § 55:26 Attorney’s lack of understanding of new DMV regulations found to constitute ineffective assistance of counsel

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1. *[Reserved]*

APPENDIX 2. New York City Police Intoxicated Driver Testing Unit Evaluation

APPENDIX 3. New York State Department of Health Rules and Regulations for Chemical Tests (Breath, Blood, Urine and Saliva)

APPENDIX 4. Letter from Department of Motor Vehicles Regarding Relicensure of Probationers

APPENDIX 5. Drug Influence Evaluation Form

APPENDIX 6. Drug Symptom Chart

APPENDIX 7. A Guide to Direct Examination of a Drug Recognition Expert

APPENDIX 8. New York State Department of Motor Vehicles’ Affidavit of Regularity/Proof of Mailing

APPENDIX 9. New York State Police Associate Counsel’s Letter Regarding Towing Vehicles Pursuant to VTL § 511-B

APPENDIX 10. Department of Motor Vehicles’ Mock Abstract of Driving Record

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- APPENDIX 11. Simplified Traffic Information
- APPENDIX 12. Supporting Deposition to Support Simplified Traffic Information
- APPENDIX 13. Application and Certificate of Relief from Disabilities
- APPENDIX 14. Fill in the Blank Deposition
- APPENDIX 15. New York Department of Motor Vehicles' Licensing or Relicensing After Revocation Action (15 NYCRR PART 136)
- APPENDIX 16. New York State Department of Motor Vehicles' Alcohol & Drug Abuse Rehabilitative Program Summary
- APPENDIX 17. Screening Matrix Used by Drinking Driver Program
- APPENDIX 18. Photo of Alcotest
- APPENDIX 19. Photo of Datamaster
- APPENDIX 20. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX 21. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX 22. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX 23. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX 24. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX 25. Datamaster Certification
- APPENDIX 26. Photo of Simulator
- APPENDIX 27. Certificate of Analysis of Simulator Solution
- APPENDIX 28. Weekly Simulator Test Record
- APPENDIX 29. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX 30. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX 31. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX 32. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX 33. Operational Checklist
- APPENDIX 34. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX 35. *[Reserved]*
- APPENDIX 36. Intoxilyzer Functional Diagram
- APPENDIX 37. Functional Diagram of Intoximeter 3000
- APPENDIX 38. Department of Motor Vehicles' Counsel's Opinion Regarding VTL § 1194(A) Orders' Effect on Test Refusal
- APPENDIX 39. State of New York Department of Motor Vehicles
- APPENDIX 40. New York Department of Motor Vehicles'

HANDLING THE DWI CASE IN NEW YORK

Chemical Test Refusals (15 NYCRR Part 139)

APPENDIX 41. New York State Department of Motor Vehicles' Procedures for Safety Hearings (Part 127)

APPENDIX 42. New York State Department of Motor Vehicles' Commissioner's Memorandum Regarding the Introduction of Report of Refusal in Evidence Pursuant to CPLR § 4520

APPENDIX 43. Letter From Dr. Kurt Dubowski Regarding Effect of Dentures on Breath Test Result

APPENDIX 44. Memorandum of Sidney W. Berke, DMV Administrative Adjudication Office Director, Regarding Introduction of Report of Refusal into Evidence

APPENDIX 45. Letter from Joseph R. Donovan, DMV First Assistant Counsel, Regarding Removal of Dentures Prior to Breath Test

APPENDIX 46. Letter from Joseph R. Donovan, DMV First Assistant Counsel, Regarding Police Department Procedures on Test Refusals and Removal of Dentures

APPENDIX 47. DMV Commissioner's Memorandum Regarding Test Refusals and the Right to Counsel

APPENDIX 48. Refusal Warning Card

APPENDIX 49. DWI Investigative Notes

APPENDIX 50. Department of Health Permit

APPENDIX 51. New York State Police Breath Test Rules

APPENDIX 52. BMP Model Police Rules and Regulations

APPENDIX 53. Letter from Department of Motor Vehicles Regarding Multiple Offenders

APPENDIX 54. Penalty Chart

APPENDIX 55. 20-Day Order and Order of Suspension and Revocation

APPENDIX 56. Department of Motor Vehicles' Alcohol and Drug Rehabilitation Program (Part 134)

APPENDIX 57. Notice of Completion of New York State Drinking Driver Program

APPENDIX 58. *[Reserved]*

APPENDIX 59. *[Reserved]*

APPENDIX 60. Letter from Department of Motor Vehicles Regarding Chemical Test Refusal

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- APPENDIX 61. Letter from Department of Motor Vehicles  
Regarding Suspension Pending Prosecution
- APPENDIX 62. Letter from Department of Motor Vehicles  
Regarding Hardship Privilege
- APPENDIX 63. Division of Probation and Correctional  
Alternatives' Regulations for Ignition  
Interlock Devices
- APPENDIX 64. DPCA Financial Disclosure Report
- APPENDIX 65. Order of Suspension Pending Prosecution  
Hardship Privilege and Order of  
Suspension
- APPENDIX 66. Notice of Temporary Suspension Notice of  
Hearing and Waiver of Hearing
- APPENDIX 67. Letters from Department of Motor Vehicles  
Revising Opinion Regarding Hardship  
Privilege
- APPENDIX 68. Department of Motor Vehicles' Counsel's  
Opinion Regarding Time Limitations for  
Chemical Test Refusals
- APPENDIX 69. 2012 Proposed Amendments to New York  
Department of Motor Vehicles Regulations

**Table of Laws and Rules**

**Table of Cases**

**Index**