

## Index

**ABOUHASSAN v. VAN BUSKIRK.** *See* REFORM OF OVERSIGHT THROUGH LITIGATION

**ACCOUNTABILITY FOR USE OF FORCE,** *see also* G20 SUMMIT IN TORONTO

criminal law —

defence of person, 143-145

ambit of self-defence – Reilly, Pétel, Baxter, 145-147

propensity for violence – Scopelliti, 147

immunity of law enforcement from criminal liability, 147-149

Criminal Code amendment in response to Shirose, 148-149

investigation and laying of charges, 149-150

post-Criminal Law Amendment Act, 2001, 149-150

pre-Criminal Law Amendment Act, 2001, 149

protection under s. 25 of Criminal Code – acting within scope of authority —

“fleeing felon” rule, 142-143

lethal force, 141-142, 143

powers of arrest, 140-141

statutory provisions creating liability – Part VIII of the Criminal Code, 138

common law overlay, 138-140

duties of police officers, 139-140

oath of police officers, 139

staying of charges, 150-151

generally, 137, 161

overlap of criminal process and provincial mechanisms, 159-161

provincial mechanisms of accountability —

civil actions —

Charter, 153-154

declaratory relief, 154

intentional tort lawsuits, 151-153

inquests, 155-157

public inquiries, 157-159

**ACCOUNTABILITY FRAMEWORK, CANADIAN FORCES  
PROVOST MARSHALL, 63-67, 75**

**ADAMS REPORT (Ont.), 99, 101, 275-276, 283, 285**

**ADAMS REVIEW REPORT (Ont.), 106, 230, 276, 283, 285**

**ALBERTA SERIOUS INCIDENT RESPONSE TEAM (“ASIRT”)**  
generally, 20-21, 163-164  
vision statement, 167

**ARAR, MAHER, COMMISSION OF INQUIRY INTO THE ACTIONS  
OF CANADIAN OFFICIALS IN RELATION TO (O’CONNOR)  
(Fed.), 46-47, 49, 72, 310, 311, 316, 318**

**BILL C-7, NATIONAL DEFENCE ACT, 65-66**

**BILL C-15, NATIONAL DEFENCE ACT, 67-68, 80**

**BILL C-25, NATIONAL DEFENCE ACT, 64, 66, 68**

**BILL C-41, NATIONAL DEFENCE ACT, 67**

**BILL C-45, NATIONAL DEFENCE ACT, 67**

**BRAIDWOOD REPORT (B.C.), 15, 17-18, 165, 176, 313, 315, 316, 330**

**BROWN REPORT (Fed.), 47-48, 303**

**BUREAU DES ENQUÊTES INDÉPENDANTES DU QUÉBEC (“BEI”)**

Bill 12 (Marois government) —

proposed reform, 85-87

regulatory proposals, 87-88

Bill 46 (Charest government) —

ombudsperson’s criticism, 85

proposed reform, 84-85

criminal investigations of police following death or serious injury, 83-84

Ombudsperson’s Report, 82-83

Villanueva affair, 32, 81-82

**CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS**

accountability, and, 153-154

“fleeing felon” rule and violation of Charter rights, 142-143

**CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS** — *continued*

generally, 51, 61, 119, 132, 137, 231

stay of proceedings, 150

**CIVILIAN-LED INVESTIGATIONS, INDEPENDENCE OF**

generally, 163-164

goals of civilian oversight, 167

independence, 165

public confidence, 165-166

study of best structure of oversight agencies to ensure independence —

conclusion of study, 181-184

methodology of study, 167-168

results of study —

adequacy of budget, 172-173

authority to determine which incidents will be investigated, 178-179

head of agency should be employed for non-renewable fixed term, 169-170

head of agency should not be police officer, 169

head of agency should report with operational independence, 170-172

independence should be supported by strong legislation, 180-181

no police officer resources to be used, 173-176

public to be able to report incidents directly to oversight agency, 177

self-initiated investigations, 178

transparency required, 179-180

transparency, 166-167

**CIVILIAN REVIEW AND COMPLAINTS COMMISSION**, 49-51**CODE OF ETHICS (Que.)**, 265-268**COLE-GITTENS REPORT (Ont.)**, 99-100, 101**COMMISSION FOR PUBLIC COMPLAINTS AGAINST THE RCMP**  
("RCMP-CPC"), 129-130, 133**COSTS OF POLICING**, 302**CRIMINAL CODE**

amendment in response to Shirose, 148-149

defence of person under s. 34, 143-145

protection under s. 25 – acting within scope of authority, 140-143, 331

statutory provisions creating liability – Part VIII of the Criminal Code, 138

**“DEMOCRATIC POLICING”**, 301

**DICKSON REPORTS, SPECIAL ADVISORY GROUP (Fed.)**, 62-64

**DISCLOSURE OBLIGATIONS WHERE SIU LAYS CRIMINAL CHARGES.** *See* SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS UNIT (“SIU”) (Ont.), IMPACT OF McNEIL

**FIVE-METRE RULE**, 115

**G20 SUMMIT IN TORONTO**

accountability issues —

- difficulty of integrating different police services, 132
- disclosure of information by different jurisdictions, 133
- policies, which police service’s should be followed, 133
- prior events requiring substantial policing, 132
- reform, 134

constitutional rights, violations of, 116, 119

generally, 111-113, 134-135

investigations and reports on police response during Summit —

Commission for Public Complaints Against the RCMP (“RCMP-CPC”), 129-130, 133

McMurtry Report, 121, 123-124

Morden Report, 113, 119, 122, 131, 133, 134, 302-303

Office of the Independent Police Review Director (“OIPRD”), 105, 119, 128-129, 133, *see also* REGULATION OF POLICE, OBJECTIVES

AND MECHANISMS OF

Ombudsman Report, 121, 123-124

Special Investigations Unit (“SIU”), 125-128

“kettling”, 117, 118, 123, 133, 134

Long-Range Acoustical Devices (“LRADs”), 113-114

health risks, 113

lack of regulation on use, 113

mass detentions, 116-117, 118

Public Works Protection Act, 114-115, 121, 123-125, 134, 135

“five-metre rule”, 115

“Interdiction Zone”, 114, 115

Ombudsman Report, 121

“public work”, G20 Summit designated as, 114

Standing Committee on Public Safety and National Security, hearings and report, 122-123

vandalism, inability to deal with, 115

**HILL v. HAMILTON-WENTWORTH (REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY) POLICE SERVICES BOARD.** *See* REFORM OF OVERSIGHT THROUGH LITIGATION

**INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATIONS OFFICE (“IIO”) (B.C.),** 17-18, 163

**INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATIVE AGENCY (Que.),** 32

**INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW ACT, 2007 (Ont.),** 89

**INTERPROVINCIAL POLICING ACT, 2009 (Ont.),** 13

**IPPERWASH INQUIRY (Ont.),** 106-107, 158-159, 303-304, 310-312, 323-324

**ISSUE ESTOPPEL, APPLICATION TO POLICE DISCIPLINE HEARINGS.** *See* REFORM OF OVERSIGHT THROUGH LITIGATION

**“KETTLING”,** 117, 118, 123, 133, 134

**LAMER REPORT (Fed.),** 64-65, 66, 75

**LAW ENFORCEMENT REVIEW AGENCY (“LERA”) (Man.),** 24-25

**LITIGATION AS METHOD OF OVERSIGHT REFORM.** *See* REFORM OF OVERSIGHT THROUGH LITIGATION

**LONG-RANGE ACOUSTICAL DEVICES (“LRADs”),** 113-114, 154

**MARIN REPORT (Fed.),** 42, 52

**McLEOD REPORT (Ont.),** 98-101

**McMURTRY REPORT (G20 SUMMIT),** 123-124

**MILITARY POLICE COMPLAINTS COMMISSION (“MPCC”)**

agency or adversary of government, 76-79

generally, 59-60, 80

legislated interference, 75-76

origins and evolution —

Bill C-7, 65-66

Bill C-15, 67-68

Dickson Reports, 62-64

**MILITARY POLICE COMPLAINTS COMMISSION (“MPCC”) —**  
*continued*

origins and evolution — *continued*

first five year review, response to, 65

generally, 60-61, 68

Lamer Report, 64-65

second five year review, 66-67

Somalia Commission, 61-62

oversight process —

conduct complaints, 70-72

generally, 69-70

interference complaints, 72-73, 75

interim to final reports, 73-75

**MISCONDUCT, OFF-DUTY.** *See* QUEBEC POLICE OFFICERS, OFF-DUTY MISCONDUCT

**MORDEN REPORT (G20 SUMMIT),** 113, 119, 122, 131, 133, 134, 302-303

**NATIONAL DEFENCE ACT**

amendments to, 59, 68

Bill C-7, 65-66

Bill C-15, 68, 80

Bill C-25 – independent review recommendation, 64, 66, 68

Bill C-60, 66

interference complaints, 75-76

military police, 60

military police complaints oversight process, 69-75

**NORTHERN IRELAND, POLICE OMBUDSMAN**

oversight systems, results of, 313

report on independence, 165, 315

vision statement, 167

**NOTES OF POLICE OFFICERS.** *See* POLICE OFFICERS’ NOTES IN SIU INCIDENTS, INDEPENDENCE OF

**O’CONNOR PROCEDURE.** *See* SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS UNIT (“SIU”) (Ont.), IMPACT OF McNEIL

**ODHAVJI ESTATE v. WOODHOUSE.** *See* REFORM OF OVERSIGHT THROUGH LITIGATION

**OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW DIRECTOR  
("OIPRD") (Ont.)**

creation of, 103-104  
generally, 27-28, 128-129  
powers of Director, 105  
report on G20 Summit, 119

**OFFICE OF THE POLICE COMPLAINT COMMISSIONER ("OPCC")  
(B.C.), 14, 15-17**

**OFFICE OF THE POLICE COMPLAINTS COMMISSIONER ("OPCC")  
(N.S.), 34-35**

**ONTARIO CIVILIAN COMMISSION ON POLICE SERVICES  
("OCCOPS"), 98-99, 239, *see also* ONTARIO CIVILIAN POLICE  
COMMISSION**

**ONTARIO, CIVILIAN OVERSIGHT IN**

Independent Police Review Act, 2007, 89, 103

Office of the Independent Police Review Director ("OIPRD") —

cases related to, 104-105

established, 103, 109

G20 protests, review of, 105

powers of Director, 105

review process under, 104

Police Services Act, Part V repealed and replaced, 27, 103-104

Report on the Police Complaints System in Ontario (LeSage – 2005), 103,  
242

oversight, beginnings of —

Citizen Complaints Bureau, Metropolitan Toronto, 90

immigration patterns, change in, 91-92

improper interrogation tactics, allegations of, 92

lack of oversight generally, 90

US civil rights movement, effect of, 91

oversight, disappearance of under Harris government, 98, 102-103

Police Act, public complaints, lack of statutory mechanism for, 89, 90

Public Complaints Commissioner, abolition of —

McLeod Report (1996), 98-101

Ontario Civilian Commission on Police Services ("OCCOPS"), 98-99

Police Services Amendment Act, 1997, 98-99

powers of Commissioner, 109

reasons for abolition, 102-103

**ONTARIO, CIVILIAN OVERSIGHT IN** — *continued*

- Special Investigations Unit (“SIU”) —
  - Adams Review Report (2003), 106
  - beginnings of, 94-95
  - budget increased —
    - effect of, 106
    - generally, 101, 102, 109-110
  - duty of officers to cooperate with, 96, 100-101, 106, 230
  - establishment of, 96
  - Ipperwash Inquiry, 106-107
  - Ombudsman André Marin’s reports, “Oversight Unseen”, “Oversight Undermined”, 107-109, 285
  - overrepresentation of visible minorities in police force situations, 107
  - review of mandate in 1990s, 99-103
    - Adams Report (1998), 99, 101
    - Clare Lewis Task Force Report (first – 1989), 95-97
    - Clare Lewis Task Force Report (second – 1992), 99-101
    - Cole-Gittens Report (1995), 99-101
    - McLeod Report (1996), 98-101
    - Stephen Lewis Report (1992), 99, 101
  - “serious injuries” —
    - definition of, 96-97
    - LeSage 3-page report on, 107
- Toronto Public Complaints Project, 1981, 93-94, 109

**ONTARIO CIVILIAN POLICE COMMISSION**, 28, 105, 109, 226, 321, 328, 329, *see also* **ONTARIO CIVILIAN COMMISSION ON POLICE SERVICES (“OCCOPS”)**

**OVERSIGHT REFORM.** *See* **REFORM OF OVERSIGHT THROUGH LITIGATION**

**PENNER v. NIAGARA REGIONAL POLICE SERVICES BOARD.** *See* **REFORM OF OVERSIGHT THROUGH LITIGATION**

**POLICE ACT (Alta.),** 18

**POLICE ACT (B.C.),** 14

**POLICE ACT (N.S.),** 34

**POLICE ACT (Que.),** 256, 268-272



**POLICE (INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATIONS OFFICE) AMENDMENT ACT, 2011 (B.C.), 18**

**POLICE (MISCONDUCT, COMPLAINTS, INVESTIGATIONS, DISCIPLINE AND PROCEEDINGS) AMENDMENT ACT, 2009 (B.C.), 15**

**POLICE OFFICERS' NOTES IN SIU INCIDENTS, INDEPENDENCE OF**

generally, 273-274

importance of independence —

British Psychological Society study, Guidelines on Memory and the Law, 281

European Court of Human Rights, opinion of in instances of police brutality, 280-281

opinion in *R. v. Barrett*, 279

Report on the Taman Inquiry (Salhany), 279

stressed in basic training at Ontario Police College, 279

practice of conferring with police association lawyers before writing up notes, 273, 274-276

*Schaeffer v. Wood* —

declaratory relief —

appeal from dismissal, attempts to quash, 288, 290

appeal ruling, 290-292

appeal to SCC, 292-295

application dismissed, 287-288

application filed, 284-286

notice of appeal, 288

Report Regarding SIU Issues (LeSage) not dealing with issue of notes, 289

SCC decision prohibiting officers in SIU investigations from consulting lawyer before writing notes, 295-299

investigation, 277-278

press release from SIU Director about independence of notes, negative reaction to, 281-284

result of decision, 273

shooting death, 276

**POLICE SERVICES ACT (Man.), 24**

**POLICE SERVICES ACT (Ont.)**

accountability under, 139-140

Code of Conduct, 159

**POLICE SERVICES ACT (Ont.)** — *continued*

common law powers of police officers under s. 42(3), 147

Declaration of Principles, 226

generally, 26, 89, 95, 98, 101

Ombudsman prohibited from making inquiries about public complaints, 108

Part V —

purpose of, 243

repeal and replacement of, 27, 103-104

Part VI, public complaints, 95, 96

Part VII, establishment of SIU, 29, 96, 195

**POLICING IN THE PROVINCES GENERALLY**, *see also* REGULATION OF POLICE, OBJECTIVES AND MECHANISMS OF

Alberta —

Alberta Serious Incident Response Team (“ASIRT”), 20-21

Law Enforcement Review Board, 19-20

Police Act, 18

British Columbia —

Braidwood Report, 15

Independent Investigations Office (“IIO”), 17-18

Police (Independent Investigations Office) Amendment Act, 2011, 18

Office of the Police Complaint Commissioner (“OPCC”), 14, 15-17

Police (Misconduct, Complaints, Investigations, Discipline and Proceedings) Amendment Act, 2009, 15

Police Act, 14

cross-border policing —

Interprovincial Policing Act, 2009 (Ont.), 13

Uniform Law Conference of Canada model, 13

generally, 11-13

Manitoba —

Independent Investigations Unit, 25-26

Law Enforcement Review Agency (“LERA”), 24-25

Police Services Act, 24

New Brunswick —

independent investigative agency, lack of, 34

New Brunswick Police Commission, 33

Newfoundland and Labrador —

independent investigative agency, lack of, 37

Newfoundland Constabulary Public Complaints Commission, 37

Royal Newfoundland Constabulary Act, 1992, 36

**POLICING IN THE PROVINCES GENERALLY — *continued***

## Nova Scotia —

Office of the Police Complaints Commissioner (“OPCC”), 34-35

Police Act, 34

Serious Incident Response Team (“SiRT”), 35

## Ontario —

Office of the Independent Police Review Director (“OIPRD”), 27-28

Police Services Act, 26

Special Investigations Unit (“SIU”), 29

## Prince Edward Island —

independent investigative agency, lack of, 36

Office of the Police Commissioner, 36

Prince Edward Island Police Act, 36

## Quebec —

Independent Investigative Agency, 32

Police Act, 30

Police Ethics Commissioner, 30-31

Police Ethics Committee, 31

## RCMP, 13-14

Commission for Public Complaints, 15, 42-45

contracts with provinces, 12-13, 14

## Saskatchewan —

independent investigative agency, lack of, 23-24

Public Complaints Commission, 21-23

**PROTECTION OF PERSONS ACTING UNDER AUTHORITY - s. 25  
OF CRIMINAL CODE. *See* ACCOUNTABILITY FOR USE OF  
FORCE; REGULATION OF POLICE, OBJECTIVES AND ME-  
CHANISMS OF****PUBLIC COMPLAINTS COMMISSION (Sask.), 21-23****PUBLIC WORKS PROTECTION ACT (Ont.), 114-115, 121, 123-124****QUEBEC POLICE OFFICERS, OFF-DUTY MISCONDUCT**

Code of Ethics, 265-268

disciplinary system, 256-265

off-duty officer, conduct of, 257-261

alcohol, drinking immoderately, 258

conduct constituting criminal offence, 259-260

fraternizing with persons with criminal reputation, 258-259

image of police organization, affecting, 260-261

wearing uniform during union demonstration, 257

**QUEBEC POLICE OFFICERS, OFF-DUTY MISCONDUCT** — *continued*  
generally, 255-256, 272

- Police Act, enforcement of new provisions, 268-272
  - dismissal of officer found guilty of criminal offence, 268-271
  - duty to inform, 271-272
  - procedural guarantees of cited police officer, 261-265
    - contesting police organization's disciplinary measure, 264-265
    - right to be informed of complaint, 262-263
    - right to full and complete defence and communication of evidence, 264
    - right to remain silent, 263

**REFORM OF OVERSIGHT THROUGH LITIGATION**

- Abouhassan v. Van Buskirk, 237-238
- effects of police misconduct, 250-253
- Hill v. Hamilton-Wentworth (Regional Municipality) Police Services Board, 231-233, 236
  - negligent investigation, tort of, 231-232
  - role of private law remedies, 232
- issue estoppel in police discipline hearings, 238-244
- misfeasance in public office, generally, 229
- Odhavji Estate v. Woodhouse, 227-231, 244
  - Adams Review Report, 230
  - appeal —
    - misfeasance as power vs. duty, 228
    - negligent supervision, 229
  - new SIU regulations enacted as result of case, 230
  - officers' refusal to cooperate with SIU, 227, 229
  - Supreme Court of Canada —
    - tort of misfeasance, elements of, 229
  - trial —
    - misfeasance in public office requiring malice, 228
    - negligent supervision, 228
- Penner v. Niagara Regional Police Services Board, 238-244
  - higher standard of clear and convincing evidence in discipline hearing, 241
  - plaintiff commenced complaint under PSA and civil action, 238
  - Report on the Police Complaints System in Ontario (LeSage – 2005), 242-243
  - SCC finding that issue estoppel unfair in circumstances, 239-240
- Schaeffer v. Wood, 244-250, 253, 307, *see also* POLICE OFFICERS' NOTES IN SIU INCIDENTS, INDEPENDENCE OF
  - delay in making notes, 244

**REFORM OF OVERSIGHT THROUGH LITIGATION — *continued*****Schaeffer v. Wood — *continued***

- Law Society of Upper Canada, “Information for Lawyers – Acting for Police Officer in Ontario Special Investigations Unit (SIU) Investigations”, 247
- notes made with lawyer’s assistance, 245, 248-249
- Report Regarding SIU Issues (LeSage – 2011), 246
- SCC ruling, 247-248
- SIU regulations governing conduct and duties of police officers amended, 246
- victims’ rights, generally, 225-226
- Wellington v. Ontario, 233-236
  - duty of care owed by SIU to victim’s family, 234-235

**REGULATION OF POLICE, OBJECTIVES AND MECHANISMS OF**

- Brown commission recommendation for creation of police commission for RCMP, 303
- costs of policing, 302
- “democratic policing”, 301
- efficacy review, 306
- generally, 301-307, 338-340
- Ipperwash Inquiry rejection of police services board for OPP, 303
- legal control of policing, 304-305
- mechanisms of civil oversight, 322-338
  - civil lawsuits, 334-336
    - Charter damages, 334-335, 336
    - intentional abuse of public office, 334, 335
    - negligent investigation, 335, 336
  - criminal investigations and Special Investigations model, 330-334
    - Alberta – Serious Incident Response Team, 330
    - British Columbia – Independent Investigations Office, 330
    - Manitoba – Independent Investigations Unit, 330
    - Nova Scotia – Serious Incident Response Team, 330
    - Ontario – Special Investigations Unit, 333
    - Quebec – Bureau des Enquêtes Indépendantes du Québec, 330
    - RCMP – Commission for Public Complaints, 333-334
    - special defences in s. 25 of Criminal Code, 331
  - indirect review of police conduct through criminal cases, 336-337
- Ministerial responsibility, 323-324
- police commissions or services boards, 322-323
- police complaints bodies, 324-328
  - British Columbia – Police Complaint Commission, 326, 328
  - Civilian Review and Complaints Commission for the RCMP, 326

**REGULATION OF POLICE, OBJECTIVES AND MECHANISMS OF**  
— *continued*

mechanisms of civil oversight — *continued*

police complaints bodies — *continued*

effect of RCMP contract policing, 324-326

Ontario – Office of the Independent Police Review Director  
("OIPRD"), 328

Saskatchewan – Public Complaints Commission, 326-327

public inquiries and other reviews, 337-338

regulatory offences —

disciplinary process, and, 329-330

generally, 329-330

Morden Report —

democratic failure in policing at G20 Summit, 302-303

objectives of civilian oversight, 307-322

democratic oversight vs. legal oversight, 309-311

discipline – paramilitary or professional, 320

independent review and self-regulation, 312-316

Braidwood inquiry into death of Robert Dziekanski, 313-314, 315,  
316, 330

Ontario Ombudsman, report on SIU, 314-315

mediation vs. adjudication of complaints, 319-320

police independence, limited scope of, 311-312

propriety vs. efficacy, 308-309

public confidence, 316-317

resolution of complaints vs. systemic reviews or audits, 318-319

sanctions or rewards, 320-321

review, fail-safe or focused, 321-322

police commissions and Ministerial responsibility, lack of emphasis on  
control by, 303

propriety review, 306

SIU in Ontario, criticism and activities of, 305

**REPORT OF THE COMMISSION OF INQUIRY RELATING TO  
PUBLIC COMPLAINTS, INTERNAL DISCIPLINE AND GRIE-  
VANCE PROCEDURES WITHIN THE ROYAL CANADIAN  
MOUNTED POLICE (MARIN) (Fed.). See MARIN REPORT (Fed.);  
ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE**

**REPORT ON THE POLICE COMPLAINTS SYSTEM IN ONTARIO  
(LESAGE) (Ont.), 103, 242**

**REPORT ON THE TAMAN INQUIRY (SALHANY) (Man.),** 25-26, 38, 279, 330

**REPORT REGARDING SIU ISSUES (LESAGE) (Ont.),** 246, 289

**ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE**

Civilian Review and Complaints Commission, 49-51

Commission for Public Complaints, 15, 42-45, *see also* REGULATION OF POLICE, OBJECTIVES AND MECHANISMS OF

complaints system —

access to information, 45-46

complaint initiated by Commission, 44

final report, 44-45

generally, 43-44

hearings, 44

review of disposition, 43

conduct of RCMP, other means of examination of —

criminal process, 51-52

disciplinary process, 52

contracts with provinces, 12-13, 14

generally, 13-14

legislative framework, changes to, 45-48

Brown Report, 47-48

Police Investigating Police Final Public Report, 49

policy review report by Justice O'Connor following inquiry into actions relating to Maher Arar, 46-47

Marin Report, 42, 52

public complaints system, efficacy of —

ability to address systemic issues, 55-56

accessible evidentiary threshold, 54-55

generally, 56-57

participatory public right, 53-54

Royal Canadian Mounted Police Act —

amendments, 49-51

generally, 42-44

**ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE ACT**

amendments to, 49-51, 55

Commission for Public Complaints Against the RCMP, 42-44, 45

cooperation with ASIRT, 20

disciplinary process under Part IV, 52, 159

generally, 12

**R. v. BAXTER.** *See* ACCOUNTABILITY FOR USE OF FORCE

**R. v. McNEIL.** *See* SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS UNIT (“SIU”) (Ont.),  
IMPACT OF McNEIL

**R. v. O’CONNOR.** *See* SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS UNIT (“SIU”) (Ont.),  
IMPACT OF McNEIL

**R. v. PÉTEL.** *See* ACCOUNTABILITY FOR USE OF FORCE

**R. v. REILLY.** *See* ACCOUNTABILITY FOR USE OF FORCE

**R. v. SCOPELLITI.** *See* ACCOUNTABILITY FOR USE OF FORCE

**R. v. SHIROSE.** *See* ACCOUNTABILITY FOR USE OF FORCE

**R. v. STINCHCOMBE.** *See* SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS UNIT (“SIU”) (Ont.),  
IMPACT OF McNEIL

**SCHAEFFER v. WOOD.** *See* REFORM OF OVERSIGHT THROUGH  
LITIGATION

**SERIOUS INCIDENT RESPONSE TEAM (“SiRT”) (N.S.),** 35

**SOMALIA COMMISSION,** 4, 60, 61-62, 63, 64, 66, 68

**SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS UNIT (“SIU”) (Ont.),** *see also* ONTARIO,  
CIVILIAN OVERSIGHT IN  
generally, 29, 125-128, 166, 195  
mission statement, 167

**SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS UNIT (“SIU”) (Ont.), IMPACT OF  
McNEIL**

confidentiality —

importance of, 195-196

undermining of, 222

creation and activities of, 304-305

disclosure requirements —

Crown not indivisible entity, 187-188

duty to disclose, scope of, 193-194, 213-219

first party disclosure, 188, 192, 193-194, 198, 199, 213-219

production of third party records, 188-192

Stinchcombe, changes to, 192-194



**SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS UNIT (“SIU”) (Ont.), IMPACT OF****McNEIL — *continued***

duty to inquire, Crown counsel’s, 192-193, 220

generally, 185-186, 221-223

“likely relevant”, SIU records, 189, 199-206

McNeil case summary, 186-187

O’Connor procedure —

application to non-privileged records, 208

generally, 186-187, 188

first stage, 200

second stage, 190, 199, 207

two-part test, 188

privacy and criminal investigation files, 187

public interest privilege, 208-213

SIU as “third party”, 197-199

**STANDING COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY AND NATIONAL  
SECURITY, 122-123**

**TAMAN INQUIRY (SALHANY) (Man.), 25-26, 38, 279, 330**

**TASK FORCE REPORT, CLARE LEWIS (first report) (Ont.), 95-97**

**TASK FORCE REPORT, CLARE LEWIS (second report) (Ont.), 99, 100,  
101**

**TORONTO PUBLIC COMPLAINTS PROJECT, 1981, 93-94**

**USE OF FORCE. *See* ACCOUNTABILITY FOR USE OF FORCE;  
G20 SUMMIT IN TORONTO**

**VILLANUEVA AFFAIR, 32, 81-82**

**WELLINGTON v. ONTARIO. *See* REFORM OF OVERSIGHT  
THROUGH LITIGATION**