

Table of Contents

PART 1. PROCEDURE

CHAPTER 1. THE CASE PRIOR TO SUIT

A. INITIAL CLIENT INTERVIEW

- § 1:1 Preliminary considerations
- § 1:2 —Instilling trust and confidence
- § 1:3 —Establishing control
- § 1:4 — —Insist on complete candor
- § 1:5 — —The client is not the lawyer
- § 1:6 — —Determining the amount of recovery
- § 1:7 — —The client who wants to try the case on “principle”
- § 1:8 — —Early warning signs of a client who wants to be in control
- § 1:9 Obtaining the client’s account of the accident
- § 1:10 —Time and place of the accident
- § 1:11 —Vehicles involved
- § 1:12 —Witnesses
- § 1:13 — —Occupants of vehicles
- § 1:14 —Possible defendants
- § 1:15 —Charges against the defendant(s)
- § 1:16 —Statements made at the scene
- § 1:17 Obtaining the client’s account of the accident—
Evidence at the scene
- § 1:18 Obtaining the client’s account of the accident—
Departure from the scene
- § 1:19 —Medical records and bills
- § 1:20 —Insurance coverage
- § 1:21 —Client’s employment
- § 1:22 —Prior litigation or claims
- § 1:23 Identify all coverage available to compensate the
client’s claim—First party benefits—Uninsured
coverage when owner or operator immune from
liability under the laws of Virginia or the United
States
- § 1:24 Obtaining the client’s account of the accident—
Biographical information
- § 1:25 —Statements made by the client to others

B. DECIDING WHETHER TO TAKE THE CASE

- § 1:26 Deciding to take the case
- § 1:27 —Ethical restrictions on representing both driver and passenger
- § 1:28 — —Effect of judgment in separate action against alleged tortfeasor
- § 1:29 Deciding not to take the case
- § 1:30 —Necessity of explicit communication

C. ADVISING THE CLIENT

- § 1:31 Advise the client on procedures and what to expect
- § 1:32 —There must be an adequate source of funding to compensate the client
- § 1:33 —Costs of pursuing the claim
- § 1:34 —Time required to bring the case to an end
- § 1:35 — —Injuries need to be resolved
- § 1:36 — —Statute of limitations
- § 1:37 — —Time involved after the suit is filed
- § 1:38 —Client participation in the discovery process
- § 1:39 —The paralegal's role
- § 1:40 Advise the client not to talk with anyone else about the claim
- § 1:41 Suggest that your client keep a calendar of events
- § 1:42 Instruct the client concerning medical bills
- § 1:43 Advise the client to keep you informed

D. EXECUTING THE FEE AGREEMENT

- § 1:44 Generally
- § 1:45 Type of fee agreement
- § 1:46 Clarify what funds are subject to contingency fee
- § 1:47 Clarify how fees will be paid in the event of a structured settlement
- § 1:48 Clarify how costs will be paid
- § 1:49 —Requiring a deposit
- § 1:50 —Costs are the client's responsibility
- § 1:51 Adjustment of fee in case of appeal or collection efforts
- § 1:52 Executing the fee agreement

E. AUTHORIZATIONS

- § 1:53 Generally
- § 1:54 Written authorization for release of medical information
- § 1:55 —Revocation of prior authorizations
- § 1:56 —Forms provided by doctors
- § 1:57 — —Assignment language

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 1:58 Release of employment information

F. CASE MANAGEMENT

- § 1:59 Generally
- § 1:60 Opening the file
- § 1:61 —Organizing the file
- § 1:62 Initial tasks
- § 1:63 —Determine the statute of limitations
- § 1:64 — —Actions for personal injuries
- § 1:65 — —Actions for wrongful death
- § 1:66 —Notice of claim requirements
- § 1:67 —Liability notice/letter of representation
- § 1:68 — —Revocation of authority given by client to
defendant's insurance carrier
- § 1:69 —Notice of medical payments claim
- § 1:70 Keeping current and complete
- § 1:71 —Keeping current on witnesses' addresses
- § 1:72 —Use a checklist to stay up to date
- § 1:73 Client relations
- § 1:74 —Copy client on all important documents
- § 1:75 —Respond to client inquiries
- § 1:76 —Treat the case as important

G. GATHERING THE DATA

- § 1:77 Generally
- § 1:78 Who should do the investigation
- § 1:79 —In-house investigation
- § 1:80 —Outside investigator
- § 1:81 Tasks the investigator should perform
- § 1:82 —Visit the scene of the accident
- § 1:83 —Obtain witness statements
- § 1:84 —Procure and preserve physical evidence
- § 1:85 —Determine whether there were any agency
investigations of the accident
- § 1:86 —Obtain copies of any media reports
- § 1:87 —Obtain relevant records of weather conditions
- § 1:88 —Obtain medical reports, bills and records
- § 1:89 — —When more specific information is needed
- § 1:90 — —Complete copies of bills
- § 1:91 — —Authority to subpoena medical records
- § 1:92 —Obtain Division of Motor Vehicles documents
- § 1:93 —Obtain official documents
- § 1:94 —Obtain insurance information on the defendant
- § 1:95 — —Identify the defendant's liability carrier
- § 1:96 — — —Notification to defendant's liability carrier
- § 1:97 —Obtain the client's insurance information

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 1:98 Review and analyze the data
- § 1:99 —Review medical records
- § 1:100 —Organize medical records
- § 1:101 —Content and completeness
- § 1:102 — —Discrepancies in information
- § 1:103 — —Follow up
- § 1:104 — —Review information with the client
- § 1:105 Determine existence of any liens on recovery
- § 1:106 —Liens asserted for treatment received in military facilities
- § 1:107 —Liens asserted by the state
- § 1:108 — —Written notice of lien required
- § 1:109 — —Compromise of liens asserted by the Commonwealth
- § 1:110 — — —Authority of Attorney General to compromise
- § 1:111 — — — —Proposal to Attorney General for compromise of lien
- § 1:112 — — —Compromise of lien by order of the court
- § 1:113 — — — —Court may reduce, but not eliminate the amount of the Commonwealth's lien
- § 1:114 — — — —Standards for determining reduction of Commonwealth's lien
- § 1:115 — — — —Attorneys fees may be reduced by the court in apportioning recovery under Section 8.01-66.9
- § 1:116 —Workers' compensation liens
- § 1:117 — —Requirement of notice to employer before settlement of the third-party tort claim
- § 1:118 Determine existence of any liens on recovery—Workers' compensation liens—Subrogation rights when intervening accident involving third-party aggravates original, work-related injury
- § 1:119 Determine existence of any liens on recovery—Workers' compensation liens—Court approval of settlement of third-party claims when employer with subrogation rights does not consent to compromise
- § 1:120 —Liens for unpaid medical bills
- § 1:121 — —Written notice of lien required
- § 1:122 —Subrogation, generally
- § 1:123 — —Subrogation when medical bills are paid on the plaintiff's behalf
- § 1:124 — —ERISA and self-insured plans
- § 1:125 — — —Action for specific performance of reimbursement provisions of ERISA plan not permitted
- § 1:126 — — —Settlement proceeds from a personal injury claim received by plaintiff-debtor in bankruptcy may be subject to an equitable lien under employer's ERISA plan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 1:127	— — —Deduction from lien amount for attorneys fees
§ 1:128	— — —Attorney's fee awards for litigating right to reimbursement
§ 1:129	— — —Ethical requirements in cases asserting ERISA lien and reimbursement rights
§ 1:130	— —Collectively bargained plans
§ 1:131	Identify all insurance coverage available to compensate the client's claim
§ 1:132	Identify all insurance coverage available to compensate the client's claim—First party benefits
§ 1:133	— —Medical payments or medical expenses coverage
§ 1:134	— —Effect of write-offs on plaintiff's recovery of medical expenses from insurer
§ 1:135	— —Effect of settlement and release on the insurer's obligation to pay its insured's medical expenses
§ 1:136	— — —"Stacking" of medical payments coverage
§ 1:137	— — —Circumstances where medical payments may be excluded from coverage and stacking not allowed
§ 1:138	— —Uninsured/underinsured motorist coverage
§ 1:139	— — —UM/UIM carrier's right to participate in the negligence action on behalf of the defendant driver
§ 1:140	Identify all insurance coverage available to compensate the client's claim—First party benefits—Uninsured/underinsured motorist coverage—UM/UI carrier's right to participate in the negligence action on behalf of the defendant driver will not prevent the plaintiff from obtaining a default judgment
§ 1:141	Identify all insurance coverage available to compensate the client's claim—First party benefits—Uninsured/underinsured motorist coverage—UM/UI carrier's obligation to the plaintiff is not determined through the negligence action against the defendant
§ 1:142	— — —Uninsured motorist coverage
§ 1:143	— — —Underinsured motorist coverage
§ 1:144	— — — —A vehicle cannot be underinsured as to itself
§ 1:145	— — — —Passenger's right to both liability coverage and UIM motorist coverage under a single policy when there are joint and concurrent tortfeasors
§ 1:146	— — — —Determining the amount of UIM motorist coverage available
§ 1:147	— — —Collision coverage
§ 1:148	—Other coverage
§ 1:149	—Determine what is available under the defendant's coverage
§ 1:150	— —Automobile liability insurance
§ 1:151	— — —Determining the limits of liability under any one policy

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 1:152 — —Uninsured or underinsured defendant
- § 1:153 —Determine whether the defendant has other assets
to satisfy a judgment

H. CASE EVALUATION

- § 1:154 Generally
- § 1:155 Evaluate the parties
- § 1:156 —Evaluate the client/plaintiff
- § 1:157 —Evaluate the defendant(s)
- § 1:158 —Relationship between plaintiff and defendant
- § 1:159 Evaluate the liability
- § 1:160 —Potential grounds for liability
- § 1:161 —Potential defenses
- § 1:162 Proof at trial
- § 1:163 —Physical evidence
- § 1:164 —Police officer's investigation
- § 1:165 Evaluate the witnesses
- § 1:166 Determine what law controls
- § 1:167 —Conflict of laws analysis
- § 1:168 —Assess negligence under the applicable laws
- § 1:169 —Assess whether any statutory provisions may
create contractual liability of vehicle owner for
negligence of a permissive driver
- § 1:170 Proof of damages at trial
- § 1:171 —Time when injuries were reported
- § 1:172 —Objective vs. subjective proof of injuries
- § 1:173 —Demonstration of injuries to the jury
- § 1:174 —Visible injuries
- § 1:175 —Duration and consistency of treatment
- § 1:176 —Permanent injuries
- § 1:177 —Permanent or partial disability
- § 1:178 —Impact of injuries on the plaintiff's daily life
- § 1:179 —Impact of injuries on the plaintiff's employment
- § 1:180 —Pain and anguish
- § 1:181 Proof of special damages at trial
- § 1:182 Availability of punitive damages
- § 1:183 —Limit on amount of punitive damages
- § 1:184 —Willful and wanton conduct
- § 1:185 Assessing the effect of the forum in which suit is filed
- § 1:186 Assessing the effect of expert testimony
- § 1:187 —The plaintiff's treating doctor
- § 1:188 — —Evaluating impact of doctors' testimony
- § 1:189 —The defendant's expert
- § 1:190 —The defendant may call the plaintiff's expert as a
witness
- § 1:191 —The impact of insurance coverage

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 1:192 —Assessing the defendant’s personal financial responsibility
- § 1:193 Determining what the client will “net”
- § 1:194 Other factors affecting case evaluation
- § 1:195 —The client’s willingness to go to court
- § 1:196 —The client’s financial situation
- § 1:197 —Preexisting medical conditions
- § 1:198 —Prior or subsequent accidents
- § 1:199 —Prior criminal record

I. NEGOTIATIONS AND SETTLEMENT

- § 1:200 Generally
- § 1:201 Getting the best settlement for your client
- § 1:202 —Keep the adjuster informed
- § 1:203 —Be patient
- § 1:204 —Prepare a settlement package and demand letter
- § 1:205 — —Wording the demand
- § 1:206 — —Involving the client
- § 1:207 —Follow up
- § 1:208 —Negotiating the settlement
- § 1:209 — —Know your adversary
- § 1:210 — —Know your strengths
- § 1:211 — —Determine the settlement range
- § 1:212 — —Get the adjuster to focus on your client as a person
- § 1:213 — —The adjuster’s opening offer
- § 1:214 — —Be firm, but flexible
- § 1:215 — —Keep notes
- § 1:216 — —When you get the defendant’s top offer
- § 1:217 — —When the top offer is not high enough
- § 1:218 Structured settlements
- § 1:219 —How structured settlements work, generally
- § 1:220 Bad faith
- § 1:221 Settling cases involving an uninsured or underinsured defendant

J. CHECKLISTS

- § 1:222 Case evaluation
- § 1:223 Damages for pain and suffering
- § 1:224 Negotiation and settlement

K. FORMS

- § 1:225 Client interview/information sheet
- § 1:226 Letters confirming consent to representation of driver and passenger after full disclosure

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 1:227 Non-engagement letter
- § 1:228 Basic instructions to clients
- § 1:229 Retainer agreement
- § 1:230 Medical authorization
- § 1:231 Employment authorization
- § 1:232 Lost wages statement to be sent to the client's employer
- § 1:233 Liability notice/letter of representation
- § 1:234 Notification to defendant's liability carrier
- § 1:235 Notification to client's insurance company of medical payments claim
- § 1:236 —Excess coverage
- § 1:237 Letter requesting accident investigation report from a governmental agency
- § 1:238 Letter requesting entire hospital record
- § 1:239 Letter requesting specific hospital records
- § 1:240 Letter to physician requesting initial report
- § 1:241 Letter requesting physician's notes and copy of itemized treatment bill
- § 1:242 Letter requesting physician's final evaluation, medical report, and itemized treatment bill
- § 1:243 Letter requesting accident report
- § 1:244 Request for traffic court disposition
- § 1:245 Letter requesting name of defendant's liability carrier
- § 1:246 Medical records chart
- § 1:247 Petition for reduction of medical lien
- § 1:248 Lay witness questionnaire and evaluation
- § 1:249 Settlement agreement and release
- § 1:250 Sample bad faith letter

CHAPTER 2. FILING SUIT, DISCOVERY AND TRIAL PREPARATION

I. INITIATING SUIT

- § 2:1 When to file
- § 2:2 —Statute of limitations
- § 2:3 — —When the right of action accrues
- § 2:4 — —Time for bringing suit
- § 2:5 — — —Effect of filing amended complaint
- § 2:6 — — —Tolling of limitations period when defendant driver misrepresents his identity
- § 2:7 —When settlement cannot be reached
- § 2:8 Who to sue, generally
- § 2:9 —Determining whether a defendant is an employee for purposes of asserting a claim of *respondeat superior*
- § 2:10 Who to sue, generally—Vicarious liability of parent and subsidiary corporations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 2:11	Who to sue, generally—Identify the correct corporate entity when suing under a theory of vicarious liability
§ 2:12	— —Misnomer defined
§ 2:13	— —Suit against a parent corporation will not ordinarily constitute notice to its subsidiary—A pleading naming the wrong party cannot be corrected as a misnomer
§ 2:14	— — —Exception when correct defendant induces the error in naming wrong party as a defendant
§ 2:15	— —Suit against a parent corporation will not ordinarily constitute notice to its subsidiary
§ 2:16	—Suit against owner of vehicle for negligent entrustment
§ 2:17	— —Claim based on an activity rather than an instrumentality
§ 2:18	—Other potential defendants
§ 2:19	Selecting a forum
§ 2:20	—State court jurisdiction
§ 2:21	—Federal court jurisdiction
§ 2:22	— —Creating diversity by choice of defendants
§ 2:23	— —Preventing removal from state court
§ 2:24	Venue
§ 2:25	—Venue in cases before the circuit courts or general district courts
§ 2:26	—Venue in cases before the circuit courts or general district courts—Where the cause of action arose
§ 2:27	—Venue in cases before circuit courts or general district courts—Where the defendant(s) reside, have a principal office or principal place of business, or have an agent appointed to receive service of process—And in cases for wrongful death or personal injury where an Administrator has been appointed for the estate of a decedent
§ 2:28	—Venue in cases before the circuit courts or general district courts—Where any plaintiff in the action resides
§ 2:29	—Venue in cases where federal jurisdiction exists
§ 2:30	Amount to sue for (ad damnum)
§ 2:31	—Ad damnum does not cap amount of judgment under federal procedure
§ 2:32	—Suing for amount greater than plaintiff lists as value of the personal injury claim in bankruptcy
§ 2:33	—Modification of amount sued for
§ 2:34	—Suing for more than the available coverage
§ 2:35	The plaintiff's complaint
§ 2:36	The plaintiff's complaint—Form and content of the complaint
§ 2:37	—Copies of the complaint

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 2:38 The plaintiff's complaint—Asserting claims for
punitive damages sufficient to survive demurrer
- § 2:39 Summons
- § 2:40 The plaintiff's complaint—Commencing an action
where federal jurisdiction exists
- § 2:41 Service of process, generally
- § 2:42 Service of process, generally—Request to waive service
(Va. Code Ann. § 8.01-286.1)
- § 2:43 Service of process, generally—Request to waive service
(Va. Code Ann. § 8.01-286.1)—Notice of Commence-
ment of Action and Request for Waiver of Service of
Process
- § 2:44 Service of process, generally—Request to waive service
(Va. Code Ann. § 8.01-286.1)—Failure to comply with
request for waiver
- § 2:45 Service of process, generally—Service of process where
federal jurisdiction exists
- § 2:46 —Due diligence
- § 2:47 —Voluntary general appearance by defendant waives
the one year time limit for service of process
- § 2:48 —Compulsory general appearance by defendant—
Failure to object to defect in service of process waives
the one year time limit
- § 2:49 —Special appearance to file motion to dismiss for
failure to obtain timely service
- § 2:50 — —Finding that plaintiff did not exercise due
diligence
- § 2:51 — —Finding that plaintiff did exercise due diligence
- § 2:52 — —Plaintiff's right to file nonsuit not affected
- § 2:53 —Who may serve process
- § 2:54 —Who to serve
- § 2:55 — —Domestic corporations
- § 2:56 — —Foreign corporations
- § 2:57 — — —Personal service
- § 2:58 — — —Substituted service on the Secretary of the
Commonwealth
- § 2:59 — — —Affidavit required
- § 2:60 — —Individual defendants
- § 2:61 — — —Resident defendant
- § 2:62 — — —Resident defendant who cannot be located
- § 2:63 — — —Out of state driver
- § 2:64 — — —Affidavit required
- § 2:65 — — —Affidavit not required against a nonresident
driver whose address is known
- § 2:66 — — —Out of state nondriver
- § 2:67 — — —Affidavit required
- § 2:68 — — —Uninsured defendant
- § 2:69 — — —Underinsured defendant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 2:70 —Proof of service
- § 2:71 —Service and return in federal court actions
- § 2:72 Electronic filing and service
- § 2:73 —Special rules applicable to electronically filed cases
- § 2:74 — —Annotation by the clerk not required on the pleading
- § 2:75 — —E-mail address of counsel must be included
- § 2:76 — —Attorney’s “User ID” constitutes signature
- § 2:77 — —Time for acting after electronic service
- § 2:78 — —Sworn pleadings and affidavits
- § 2:79 — —Service of documents on counsel
- § 2:80 — — —Certificate of service
- § 2:81 — — —Electronic service in non-electronically filed cases
- § 2:82 — — —Service of orders, decrees and notices

II. FILING AN ACTION AFTER A VOLUNTARY NONSUIT

- § 2:83 Nonsuits, generally
- § 2:84 —When nonsuit may be taken
- § 2:85 — —Effect of counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
- § 2:86 — —Plaintiff’s right to nonsuit not contingent on whether defendant was served with process
- § 2:87 — —Nonsuit may be taken after rejecting confession of judgment
- § 2:88 —Entry of a nonsuit will not preclude a ruling on a motion for sanctions
- § 2:89 —Additional nonsuits
- § 2:90 — —Requirement that court be informed of any previous nonsuits
- § 2:91 — —Court may assess reasonable costs and attorney fees
- § 2:92 —Refiling the nonsuited action
- § 2:93 — —Parties and claims dismissed from the original action are excluded
- § 2:94 — —Time for refiling action
- § 2:95 — — —Tolling of limitations period effective only as to the causes and defendants named in the original action
- § 2:96 — — —Tolling provisions for amended claims
- § 2:97 — — —Time for refiling nonsuited action after appeal affirming nonsuit order

III. PRETRIAL PROCEDURES

- § 2:98 Generally

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 2:99 Pretrial conferences
- § 2:100 Pretrial scheduling orders
- § 2:101 Pretrial meeting required under federal court rules
- § 2:102 Initial disclosures required in federal court proceedings
- § 2:103 Time for making initial disclosures

IV. MOTIONS PRACTICE

- § 2:104 Generally
- § 2:105 Scheduling of hearings
- § 2:106 Reasonable notice to other counsel required
- § 2:107 Filing and service of briefs
- § 2:108 —Definition of “served”
- § 2:109 Hearings on motions

V. DISCOVERY

- § 2:110 Generally
- § 2:111 Plaintiff’s discovery requests
- § 2:112 —Interrogatories
- § 2:113 — —Serve interrogatories with the complaint
- § 2:114 — —Limiting the number of interrogatories
- § 2:115 — —Scope of inquiry
- § 2:116 — —Form of interrogatories
- § 2:117 — —Filing
- § 2:118 —Requests for production of documents and things
- § 2:119 — —Purpose of requests
- § 2:120 — —Scope of requests, generally
- § 2:121 — —Procedure
- § 2:122 — —Specific requests for production or inspection
- § 2:123 — — —Discovery of reports of defendant’s insurer
- § 2:124 — — — —Presumption that reports by a party’s agent that are not requested by or prepared for an attorney are made in the ordinary course of business and are not privileged work product
- § 2:125 — — — —Case-by-case analysis to determine if insurer’s reports are privileged work product
- § 2:126 — —Requests for production from a person not a party
- § 2:127 — —Attorney issued subpoena
- § 2:128 —Depositions
- § 2:129 — —Purpose
- § 2:130 — —Procedure for taking depositions
- § 2:131 — — —Objections to examination at deposition
- § 2:132 — — —Limitation or termination of examination at deposition
- § 2:133 — —Who to depose

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 2:134	— —Number of depositions
§ 2:135	—Requests for admission
§ 2:136	— —Purposes
§ 2:137	— —Preparing requests
§ 2:138	— —Genuineness of documents
§ 2:139	— — —Presumption as to authenticity and reasonableness of medical bills
§ 2:140	— — —Trial exhibits
§ 2:141	— —Matters admitted
§ 2:142	— — —Effect of admission
§ 2:143	— — —Sanctions for failure to admit
§ 2:144	Responding to defendant’s written discovery requests
§ 2:145	—Establishing a time frame for response
§ 2:146	—Client participation in preparing responses
§ 2:147	—Drafting responses
§ 2:148	— —Answers to interrogatories
§ 2:149	— —Form of answers under Virginia court rules
§ 2:150	— —Response to requests for production of documents or things
§ 2:151	— —Responses to requests for admissions
§ 2:152	— — —Lack of information or knowledge
§ 2:153	—Supplementation and amendment of discovery responses
§ 2:154	—Federal court rules for supplementing disclosures of expert testimony
§ 2:155	— —Form for supplementing and amending responses in state court
§ 2:156	—Duty to supplement or correct
§ 2:157	Objections to written discovery requests
§ 2:158	Objections to a subpoena duces tecum
§ 2:159	Preparing the client for depositions
§ 2:160	—Explain areas that defendant’s counsel is likely to explore
§ 2:161	—Role play before the deposition
§ 2:162	— —Anticipate opposing counsel’s demeanor
§ 2:163	— —Review history of the incident
§ 2:164	— —Review medical treatment
§ 2:165	— —Reassure plaintiff
§ 2:166	—Questioning your client/witness
§ 2:167	Preparing for and taking the defendant’s deposition
§ 2:168	—Benefits of taking the defendant’s deposition
§ 2:169	—Procedure
§ 2:170	— —When depositions may be taken
§ 2:171	— —Place where depositions may be taken
§ 2:172	— —Notice of examination
§ 2:173	— — —Request for production of documents
§ 2:174	—Devising a strategy

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 2:175 — —Make questions as specific as possible
- § 2:176 — —Ask leading questions to eliminate potential defenses
- § 2:177 — —Ask specific questions to determine times and distances
- § 2:178 — —Confront the defendant with inconsistencies in testimony
- § 2:179 Depositions of witnesses
- § 2:180 —Request for documents from a nonparty
- § 2:181 —Requests for disclosures from medical practitioners
- § 2:182 —Telephone depositions
- § 2:183 —Audio-visual depositions
- § 2:184 — —Procedures for audio-visual depositions
- § 2:185 — —Editing of audio-visual depositions
- § 2:186 — —Written transcripts of audio-visual depositions
- § 2:187 — —Filing of an audio-visual deposition
- § 2:188 Signing of deposition and filing of errata sheets
- § 2:189 —Waiver of signature—Virginia Procedure
- § 2:190 — —Federal Procedure
- § 2:191 —Time for signature
- § 2:192 —Changes which can be made by errata sheets
- § 2:193 — —Majority federal court view on changes by errata sheet
- § 2:194 — — —Stating the reasons for the changes
- § 2:195 — — —Original responses may be used for impeachment
- § 2:196 — — —The court may reopen the original deposition
- § 2:197 — —Minority federal court view
- § 2:198 —Use of errata sheets to change testimony in videotaped depositions
- § 2:199 — —Virginia court rules
- § 2:200 — —Federal court rules
- § 2:201 —Effect of changes made by errata sheet
- § 2:202 Court ordered medical examinations
- § 2:203 A malpractice action may lie for the conduct of a Rule 4:10 examination
- § 2:204 Motions to compel discovery
- § 2:205 —Evasive or incomplete answers
- § 2:206 —Award of expenses of motion
- § 2:207 —Sanctions for failure to comply with an order compelling discovery
- § 2:208 — —Federal court rules
- § 2:209 — — —Payment of expenses

VI. TRIAL PREPARATION

- § 2:210 Witnesses
- § 2:211 —Conduct witness interviews

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 2:212 —Witness order
- § 2:213 —Preparing expert witnesses
- § 2:214 — —Arrange for payment of witness fees
- § 2:215 — —Scheduling of expert testimony
- § 2:216 —Notify witnesses of release from subpoena if settlement is reached
- § 2:217 Preparing the plaintiff
- § 2:218 Jury investigation prior to trial
- § 2:219 Other pretrial matters
- § 2:220 Final pretrial conference
- § 2:221 Prepare a trial notebook
- § 2:222 Confession of judgment by a defendant

VII. CHECKLISTS

- § 2:223 Trial Notebook Checklist

VIII. FORMS

- § 2:224 Complaint—Rear end collision
- § 2:225 —Intersection collision
- § 2:226 Notice of Commencement of Action and Request for Waiver of Service of Process
- § 2:227 Va. Code Ann. § 8.01-286.1: Service of process; waiver, duty to save costs, request to waive, how served
- § 2:228 Affidavit for service of process on the Secretary of the Commonwealth
- § 2:229 Pretrial procedure stipulations
- § 2:230 Uniform Pretrial Scheduling Order (Va. Sup. Ct. Rules, R. 1:18(B); Va. Sup. Ct. Rules, Pt. 1, Appendix of Forms, Form 3)
- § 2:231 Report of the Parties' Planning Meeting (Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f); Fed. R. Civ. P., Appendix of Forms, Form 52)
- § 2:232 Plaintiff's first set of interrogatories to defendant
- § 2:233 Plaintiff's first request for production of documents and things to defendant
- § 2:234 Subpoena Duces Tecum (Civil)—Attorney Issued
- § 2:235 Notice of deposition
- § 2:236 Requests for admissions
- § 2:237 Instructions to clients on answering interrogatories
- § 2:238 Pre-deposition instructions to clients
- § 2:239 Subpoena for Witness (Civil)—Attorney Issued
- § 2:240 Order for Rule 4:10 examination

CHAPTER 3. MOTIONS IN LIMINE, VOIR DIRE AND JURY SELECTION

A. MOTIONS IN LIMINE

- § 3:1 Motions in limine, generally

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 3:2 —Primary purpose of the motion in limine
- § 3:3 Uses of motions in limine
- § 3:4 —Deciding to file motion in limine
- § 3:5 —Cautions against use
- § 3:6 — —Alerting defendant to potential problems
- § 3:7 — —Effect of adverse decision
- § 3:8 —Use relative to opening statement
- § 3:9 —Situations where motions in limine can be effective
- § 3:10 — —Evidence of prior claims
- § 3:11 — —Evidence of prior injuries
- § 3:12 — —Evidence of spoliation
- § 3:13 — —Accident reconstruction testimony
- § 3:14 — —Seat belt use
- § 3:15 — —Unsubstantiated fact or opinion testimony by lay witness
- § 3:16 — — —Competency of child witness to testify concerning speed of vehicle at the time of accident
- § 3:17 — —Plaintiff's blood alcohol level
- § 3:18 — —Testimony of police officer
- § 3:19 — —Statements to an insurance adjuster
- § 3:20 — —Protecting from the effect of a prejudicial background
- § 3:21 — —Failure to file a tax return
- § 3:22 —Objecting to evidence at trial after a ruling on a motion in limine

B. VOIR DIRE

- § 3:23 Purposes of voir dire
- § 3:24 Impaneling the venire
- § 3:25 —Jury lists
- § 3:26 Voir dire examination
- § 3:27 —Preliminary voir dire information
- § 3:28 —Questions for potential jurors
- § 3:29 Using voir dire effectively
- § 3:30 —Prepare jurors for potential problems in plaintiff's case
- § 3:31 —Prepare jurors to award full and fair damages
- § 3:32 —Gather information for peremptory challenges
- § 3:33 Strategies and techniques for conducting voir dire
- § 3:34 —Getting jurors to talk
- § 3:35 — —Lecturing jurors does little good
- § 3:36 — —Lecturing jurors is unethical and does not persuade
- § 3:37 — —Lecturing destroys the only opportunity jurors have to talk to you
- § 3:38 — —Lecturing destroys your opportunity to determine which potential jurors to strike

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 3:39 Particular techniques for voir dire questioning
- § 3:40 —Ask open-ended questions
- § 3:41 — —Questions should seek information you need
- § 3:42 —Watch and listen
- § 3:43 — —Don't show disapproval
- § 3:44 —Ask follow-up questions
- § 3:45 — —Draw jurors out
- § 3:46 — —Be a good conversationalist
- § 3:47 — —Learn to justify questions in terms of how they
will reveal bias or other grounds for challenge
- § 3:48 — — —Practice justifying your questions
- § 3:49 —Enlist the judge's help to get the jurors talking
- § 3:50 —Dress down for voir dire
- § 3:51 —Rehearse your voir dire
- § 3:52 —Think of voir dire as group discussion

C. JURY SELECTION

- § 3:53 Dealing with juror bias and prejudice
- § 3:54 —Striking jurors
- § 3:55 — —Counsel entitled to challenge jurors for cause out
of presence of the panel
- § 3:56 Peremptory challenges after Batson
- § 3:57 —Batson's application to civil cases
- § 3:58 — —Objecting party need not be member of juror's
group
- § 3:59 Asserting a Batson challenge
- § 3:60 —Groups protected under Batson
- § 3:61 —Groups not protected under Batson
- § 3:62 Establishing application if juror does belong to a
cognizable group
- § 3:63 Establishing application if juror does not belong to a
cognizable group
- § 3:64 —Factors determining if juror belongs to a cognizable
group
- § 3:65 Opposing the strike of a contested juror
- § 3:66 Supporting the strike of a contested juror
- § 3:67 —Guidelines and reasons to support a strike
- § 3:68 — —Partiality
- § 3:69 — —Visceral or intuitive feelings
- § 3:70 — —Failing to strike other jurors with same common
characteristics
- § 3:71 —Specific factors to support a strike
- § 3:72 —Court's determination of motive behind strike
- § 3:73 —Remedy for unconstitutional exercise of a
peremptory strike
- § 3:74 —Reseated juror not subject to subsequent peremptory
strike

- § 3:75 Timing of objections to juror selection
- § 3:76 —Procedure upon finding discrimination

D. CHECKLISTS

- § 3:77 Voir dire general procedures

E. FORMS

- § 3:78 Motion in limine
- § 3:79 —Prior injuries
- § 3:80 Notice of hearing
- § 3:81 Order in limine
- § 3:82 Sample voir dire

CHAPTER 4. OPENING STATEMENTS

A. OPENING STATEMENTS GENERALLY

- § 4:1 Right to make an opening statement
- § 4:2 Purpose of opening statement
- § 4:3 Scope of opening statement
- § 4:4 —Under the control of the court
- § 4:5 —Objections to improper statements in opening
- § 4:6 —Ethical constraints

B. PRESENTATION TECHNIQUES

- § 4:7 Importance of the opening statement
- § 4:8 —Present overview of the case
- § 4:9 —Present themes of the case
- § 4:10 — —Develop theme for liability
- § 4:11 — —Develop theme for damages
- § 4:12 —Personalize the plaintiff
- § 4:13 — —Evoke empathy and sympathy
- § 4:14 — —Diffuse weaknesses
- § 4:15 —Style and presentation
- § 4:16 — —Project a positive image
- § 4:17 — — —Positive image of the plaintiff
- § 4:18 — — —Positive image of yourself
- § 4:19 — —Credibility
- § 4:20 — —Comfort

C. CHECKLISTS

- § 4:21 The law of opening statements
- § 4:22 Practical considerations in opening statements
- § 4:23 Tactical considerations in opening statements
- § 4:24 Persuasion in opening statements

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:25 Do's and don'ts in opening statements

CHAPTER 5. TRIAL EVIDENCE

A. ORDER OF PRESENTING EVIDENCE

- § 5:1 Generally
- § 5:2 Begin and end strong
- § 5:3 —Calling the plaintiff
- § 5:4 — —Opening with plaintiff
- § 5:5 — —Closing with plaintiff
- § 5:6 Number of witnesses to call
- § 5:7 —Medical witnesses
- § 5:8 —Depositions
- § 5:9 Linking the evidence
- § 5:10 Right to open and close evidence

B. TRIAL EVIDENCE

- § 5:11 Generally
- § 5:12 Lay witness testimony
- § 5:13 Avoid cumulative testimony
- § 5:14 Calling the defendant
- § 5:15 —Bound by testimony
- § 5:16 —Using the defendant's deposition

C. EXPERT TESTIMONY

- § 5:17 Generally
- § 5:18 Admissibility
- § 5:19 —Proffered testimony may not be speculative
- § 5:20 —Proffered testimony must take into account “all variables” bearing upon the conclusions reached
- § 5:21 —Federal courts
- § 5:22 Admissibility—Federal courts—Determining whether proffered expert testimony involves “scientific knowledge”
- § 5:23 Admissibility—Federal courts—Expert opinions developed expressly for purposes of testifying
- § 5:24 Admissibility—Federal courts—Burden of establishing admissibility of expert opinion testimony
- § 5:25 Admissibility—How to use experts
- § 5:26 — —Experts to prove liability
- § 5:27 — — —Engineering experts
- § 5:28 — — —Toxicologists
- § 5:29 — —Experts to prove damages
- § 5:30 — — —Testimony from health care providers
- § 5:31 — — —Psychiatrist's testimony required where there are claims of emotional distress

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 5:32 Direct examination of expert
- § 5:33 —Establish qualifications
- § 5:34 —Establish foundation
- § 5:35 —How expert should testify
- § 5:36 —Giving opinion testimony
- § 5:37 — —Bases of opinion testimony
- § 5:38 — —Hearsay matters of opinion not admissible
- § 5:39 — — —Statements in certain publications relied upon
by expert witness not excludable as hearsay
- § 5:40 Strategies for effective presentation of expert
testimony
- § 5:41 —Presenting your expert's credentials
- § 5:42 —Make your expert's credentials persuasive
- § 5:43 —Build your expert's credibility on more than
credentials
- § 5:44 —Support expert testimony via lay testimony
- § 5:45 — —Create a human context for the expert's testimony
- § 5:46 — —Effective sequencing of lay and expert testimony
- § 5:47 —Bolster expert testimony with demonstrative
evidence
- § 5:48 —Use authoritative texts
- § 5:49 Preparing and presenting your expert's testimony
- § 5:50 —Gain juror trust through objectivity
- § 5:51 — —Support the defense on a few non-critical points
- § 5:52 — —Be first to reveal harmful facts
- § 5:53 —Be forthright on cross-examination
- § 5:54 —Convey certainty through demeanor
- § 5:55 —Use plain language
- § 5:56 —Don't let expert's credibility rest on assertions
- § 5:57 —Use analogies to explain complex concepts
- § 5:58 —Limit what your expert says
- § 5:59 —Don't lead your expert
- § 5:60 —Select experts who communicate well
- § 5:61 — —Practice presentation skills
- § 5:62 — —Avoid experts who resist your suggestions
- § 5:63 —Maximizing significance your expert's publications
- § 5:64 — —Peer review of publications
- § 5:65 — —Show where and how the publications are used
- § 5:66 —Emphasize credibility over credentials

D. DEPOSITIONS, STIPULATIONS, AND REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

- § 5:67 Generally
- § 5:68 —Depositions
- § 5:69 — —Admissibility for rebuttal purposes after deponent
has testified at trial and been released from subpoena

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 5:70 —Stipulations
- § 5:71 —Requests for admission

E. REAL AND DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE

- § 5:72 Generally
- § 5:73 —Two basic components
- § 5:74 — —Real evidence
- § 5:75 — —Demonstrative evidence
- § 5:76 — — —Uses of demonstrative evidence
- § 5:77 — — —Uses of demonstrative evidence
- § 5:78 —Precautions with using tangible evidence

F. DIRECT AND CROSS-EXAMINATION

- § 5:79 Generally
- § 5:80 Direct examination generally
- § 5:81 —Using direct examination
- § 5:82 —Preparing for direct examination
- § 5:83 Cross-examination, generally
- § 5:84 —Purposes of cross-examination
- § 5:85 — —Discrediting the witness
- § 5:86 — — —Challenging as a liar
- § 5:87 — — —Gaining admissions
- § 5:88 — — —Prior inconsistent statements
- § 5:89 — — —Discrediting the witness under federal court rules
- § 5:90 — —Limiting the persuasiveness of a witness
- § 5:91 — —Obtaining favorable testimony
- § 5:92 —Witness' reputation for truth and veracity
- § 5:93 —General principles of cross-examination

G. OBJECTIONS AND OFFERS OF PROOF

- § 5:94 Objections
- § 5:95 —Objections to expert testimony
- § 5:96 Offers of proof
- § 5:97 —Timing of proffers
- § 5:98 —Methods of proffers
- § 5:99 — —Summary by counsel
- § 5:100 — —Testimony outside the presence of the fact finder
- § 5:101 — —By deposition
- § 5:102 — —Mutual stipulation

H. PARTICULAR LIABILITY ISSUES IN AUTOMOBILE INJURY TRIALS

- § 5:103 Generally

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 5:104 Police accident reports, generally
- § 5:105 —Not admissible in Virginia
- § 5:106 — —Report may still have limited use at trial
- § 5:107 — —Use of accident report as past recollection recorded
- § 5:108 — —Diagram of the accident scene
- § 5:109 Calling the investigating officer
- § 5:110 —Elements of officer's testimony
- § 5:111 Traffic citations and trials, generally
- § 5:112 —Admissibility of guilty plea or nolo contendere plea or finding of guilt in absentia
- § 5:113 Traffic citations and trials, generally—Admissibility of guilty plea or nolo contendere plea—Plea not admissible against a non-party
- § 5:114 Traffic citations and trials, generally—Admissibility of guilty plea or nolo contendere plea or finding of guilt in absentia—How to prove
- § 5:115 —Evidence from the traffic trial
- § 5:116 Admissibility of evidence that the defendant left the scene of the accident
- § 5:117 Accident reconstruction, generally
- § 5:118 —Uses and weaknesses of accident reconstruction
- § 5:119 —Admissibility in Virginia
- § 5:120 — —Excluding accident reconstruction evidence
- § 5:121 — —Establishing a proper foundation
- § 5:122 —Computer analysis in accident reconstruction
- § 5:123 —Preparing for reconstruction evidence

I. INTOXICATION IN GENERAL

- § 5:124 Generally
- § 5:125 Testimony as to intoxication
- § 5:126 Chemical testing to determine alcohol or drug content of blood
- § 5:127 Admissibility of alcohol or drug content of blood results
- § 5:128 —Failure to properly test
- § 5:129 —Timing of tests
- § 5:130 —Conclusiveness of blood test results
- § 5:131 “Mere odor of alcohol” as evidence
- § 5:132 —Determining quantity of alcohol consumed
- § 5:133 Alcoholism as evidence
- § 5:134 Intoxication as basis for exemplary or punitive damages
- § 5:135 —Statutory requirements for willful or wanton conduct
- § 5:136 — —Unreasonable refusal to submit to test
- § 5:137 —Where defendant admits liability

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 5:138 — —Bifurcation of punitive damages claims from liability claims
- § 5:139 —Prior DUI convictions

J. PARTICULAR DAMAGES ISSUES IN AUTOMOBILE INJURY TRIALS

- § 5:140 Generally
- § 5:141 Injuries for which damages are recoverable
- § 5:142 —How to prove injuries
- § 5:143 — —Lay witnesses
- § 5:144 — — —The plaintiff
- § 5:145 — — —Relatives, friends, and acquaintances
- § 5:146 — — —Where causation is in issue
- § 5:147 — —Treating physician
- § 5:148 — — —How to question the physician
- § 5:149 — — —Facts to elicit from physician
- § 5:150 — —Other medical experts
- § 5:151 — —Tangible evidence
- § 5:152 — — —Models and illustrations
- § 5:153 — — —X-rays and medical devices and appliances
- § 5:154 — —Medical bills
- § 5:155 Proof of medical expenses, generally
- § 5:156 —Admissibility of plaintiff's medical expenses when plaintiff's medical bills have been discharged in bankruptcy
- § 5:157 Proof of medical expenses, generally—Admissibility of plaintiff's medical expenses when plaintiff's medical bills have been discharged in bankruptcy—Federal practice
- § 5:158 Proof of medical expenses, generally—Proving authenticity
- § 5:159 — —Admissibility of medical reports and hospital records in general district court cases
- § 5:160 — — —Requirements for admitting medical reports
- § 5:161 — — —Requirements for admitting hospital or medical facility records
- § 5:162 —Proving reasonableness
- § 5:163 —Medical expense write-offs
- § 5:164 —Proving medical necessity and causal relationship
- § 5:165 — —Use the treating physician
- § 5:166 — — —The treating physician's testimony
- § 5:167 —Witnesses to introduce medical bills
- § 5:168 —Avoiding the hearsay rule
- § 5:169 — —Limits on the business records exception
- § 5:170 —Future medical expenses
- § 5:171 — —Requirements to prove future medical expenses
- § 5:172 — —Satisfying these requirements

§ 5:173 — —Proving present value of future expenses

§ 5:174 —Future Life Care Expenses

K. LOST EARNINGS, FUTURE LOST EARNINGS, AND LOSS OF EARNING CAPACITY

§ 5:175 Generally

§ 5:176 —Lost earnings

§ 5:177 —Future lost earnings

§ 5:178 —Loss of earning capacity

§ 5:179 Proving causation

§ 5:180 Determining lost earnings

§ 5:181 —Admissibility of evidence of lost earnings when
plaintiff has continued to receive salary during
disability after accident

§ 5:182 —Pre-accident wage history

§ 5:183 Determining future lost earnings

§ 5:184 —Witnesses to use

§ 5:185 —Reduce to present value

§ 5:186 Proving loss of earning capacity

§ 5:187 —Evidence cannot be speculative

§ 5:188 — —Witnesses to use

§ 5:189 — — —Using the vocational rehabilitation expert

§ 5:190 — — —Using the economist expert

§ 5:191 — —Insignificance of present employment or income
status

§ 5:192 — —Where such damages are not permitted

§ 5:193 — —Reduce to present value

L. CHECKLISTS

§ 5:194 Presenting the evidence

§ 5:195 Testimonial evidence

§ 5:196 Real and demonstrative evidence

§ 5:197 Proof of medical expenses

§ 5:198 Proof of lost earnings, future lost earnings and loss of
earning capacity

§ 5:199 Affidavit of treating or examining health care
provider (Va. Code Ann. § 16.1-88.2)

§ 5:200 Affidavit of hospital or medical facility custodian of
records (Va. Code Ann. § 16.1-88.2)

CHAPTER 6. CHALLENGING THE DEFENDANT'S MEDICAL EVIDENCE

A. THE DEFENDANT'S MEDICAL EXPERT, GENERALLY

§ 6:1 The defendant's medical expert's role

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:2 Rule 4:10 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia
and Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
- § 6:3 Challenging the expert's opinion
- § 6:4 Attacking the expert's credibility
- § 6:5 —Focus on doctor's limited contact with plaintiff
- § 6:6 Dealing with a favorable report
- § 6:7 Cross-examination of the defendant's doctor
- § 6:8 —Different types of defendant's doctors

B. THE EXAMINATION OF THE PLAINTIFF BY THE DEFENDANT'S DOCTOR

- § 6:9 Preparing for the defendant's doctor
- § 6:10 Voluntarily agreeing to the examination
- § 6:11 —Problems encountered if the court orders an
examination
- § 6:12 — —Have report sent to counsel for both parties
- § 6:13 — —Challenge declaration that doctor was ordered to
conduct examination
- § 6:14 Preparing the plaintiff for the examination
- § 6:15 —Note taking
- § 6:16 —Cooperation
- § 6:17 —How plaintiff should answer questions

C. WORKING WITH PLAINTIFF'S TREATING PHYSICIANS

- § 6:18 Preparing plaintiff's treating physicians
- § 6:19 —Prepare for cross-examination
- § 6:20 — —Diagnosis must be to a reasonable degree of
medical probability
- § 6:21 — —Review other medical records
- § 6:22 —Review the defendant's doctor's report
- § 6:23 — —Get all tests and procedures explained
- § 6:24 — —Determining physicians' specialties
- § 6:25 — —Review curriculum vitae
- § 6:26 Taking the defendant's doctor's deposition
- § 6:27 —Gather information about prior testimony

D. PREPARING TO ESTABLISH THE BIAS AND MOTIVE OF DEFENDANT'S DOCTOR

- § 6:28 Bias and motive, generally
- § 6:29 —Facts to elicit on cross-examination
- § 6:30 —Separating motive and bias
- § 6:31 —Issue a subpoena duces tecum to the defendant's
doctor
- § 6:32 — —Doctor's file on plaintiff

- § 6:33 — —Invoices or bills
- § 6:34 — —Form 1099's
- § 6:35 — —Appointment books
- § 6:36 — —Tax returns
- § 6:37 — —Fee schedule
- § 6:38 —Defending the subpoena
- § 6:39 —Offer a jury instruction
- § 6:40 —Prevent use of the term "independent" examination
- § 6:41 — —Prevent introduction of order requiring examination

E. CROSS-EXAMINATION OF THE DEFENDANT'S DOCTOR

- § 6:42 Cross-examination, generally
- § 6:43 —Keep closing argument in mind
- § 6:44 —How to conduct cross-examination
- § 6:45 — —Keep the exchange conversational
- § 6:46 —Make the questions work for the plaintiff
- § 6:47 — —Using answers to plaintiff's benefit
- § 6:48 Establishing motive
- § 6:49 Establishing bias
- § 6:50 —Establish frequency of opinions in conflict with treating physicians
- § 6:51 —Establish that the defense referred the plaintiff to the doctor
- § 6:52 Doctor's opportunity to ascertain plaintiff's history and condition
- § 6:53 —Importance of a developed medical history
- § 6:54 Purpose of defendant's doctor's examination
- § 6:55 —Timing of defendant's doctor's contact with plaintiff
- § 6:56 —Defendant's doctor's perception of the plaintiff's injury
- § 6:57 Contrast the defendant's doctor and the treating physicians
- § 6:58 —Admissions regarding the treating physicians
- § 6:59 —Admissions regarding the plaintiff's symptoms
- § 6:60 —Admissions regarding medical specialties
- § 6:61 Handling disagreements between experts

F. CHALLENGING SPECIFIC FINDINGS AND OPINIONS

- § 6:62 Generally
- § 6:63 —Using medical treatises
- § 6:64 — —How to structure questions
- § 6:65 —Things not covered in examination

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:66 —Things only covered in the defendant's doctor's examination
- § 6:67 Negative findings by the defendant's doctor
- § 6:68 —Negative X-rays
- § 6:69 —Negative responses to objective tests
- § 6:70 Subjective symptoms
- § 6:71 —How physicians use subjective symptoms
- § 6:72 — —Using the plaintiff's subjective symptoms effectively
- § 6:73 Knowledge of the records
- § 6:74 General concessions from defendant's doctor
- § 6:75 Knowledge of accident details
- § 6:76 Impairment versus disability
- § 6:77 —Definitions of impairment and disability
- § 6:78 Susceptibility to re-injury

G. CHECKLISTS

- § 6:79 Cross examination of the defendant's medical expert
- § 6:80 Sample cross examination of medical expert to elicit evidence of motive for testimony on the defendant's behalf
- § 6:81 Sample cross examination of medical expert to elicit evidence of limited opportunity to form opinion

CHAPTER 7. THE CLOSING ARGUMENT

A. RIGHT TO MAKE CLOSING ARGUMENT

- § 7:1 Generally
- § 7:2 —Closing argument in non-jury trial

B. COURT'S CONTROL OF CLOSING ARGUMENT

- § 7:3 Court controls closing argument
- § 7:4 —How long can closing argument be
- § 7:5 — —Factors in setting a time limit for closing argument
- § 7:6 —How many lawyers can present closing argument
- § 7:7 —Right to open and close argument

C. CONTENT OF CLOSING ARGUMENT

- § 7:8 What can be mentioned in closing argument
- § 7:9 —Arguing the jury's instructions
- § 7:10 —Proper remarks
- § 7:11 — —Using figures of speech
- § 7:12 — —Using demonstrative aids
- § 7:13 What cannot be mentioned in closing argument
- § 7:14 —Misstatements of the evidence or law

- § 7:15 —Arguing a new matter
- § 7:16 —Arguing to passion
- § 7:17 —Mentioning or referring to insurance coverage
- § 7:18 —Arguing the financial condition of a party
- § 7:19 —Arguing the “golden rule”
- § 7:20 —Improper remarks
- § 7:21 — —Straying from the evidence
- § 7:22 — —Expressing own opinion or vouching for witness
- § 7:23 — —Referring to stricken evidence or to action in other cases
- § 7:24 Arguing damages
- § 7:25 —Presenting a formula to award pain and suffering
- § 7:26 Comments on opposition’s conduct
- § 7:27 —Abusive personal remarks
- § 7:28 —Truthfulness of witnesses
- § 7:29 Provoked or invited argument

D. OBJECTING TO AND CURING IMPROPER CLOSING ARGUMENTS

- § 7:30 Necessity of objecting to improper remarks
- § 7:31 —When the objection is overruled
- § 7:32 —If the court fails to rule on your objection
- § 7:33 Curing improper remarks and improper conduct of counsel, generally
- § 7:34 —The curative instruction
- § 7:35 —When new trial is appropriate after improper closing argument

E. CRAFTING AN EFFECTIVE CLOSING ARGUMENT

- § 7:36 The effective closing argument, generally
- § 7:37 —Restates the themes of the case
- § 7:38 —Damages is the most important element
- § 7:39 —When to begin preparation
- § 7:40 — —Borrow from the masters
- § 7:41 —Understand the importance of rebuttal
- § 7:42 —Goals of an effective closing argument
- § 7:43 —Parts of an effective closing argument
- § 7:44 —Attributes of an effective closing argument
- § 7:45 —How to deliver an effective closing argument
- § 7:46 —Aids in preparing an effective closing argument
- § 7:47 —Some “tried and true” arguments

F. CHECKLISTS

- § 7:48 Elements of an effective closing argument

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 7:49 Outline of sample plaintiff's closing argument

CHAPTER 8. MOTIONS FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW, ET AL.

A. MOTIONS FOR JUDGMENT AS A MATTER OF LAW

- § 8:1 In general
- § 8:2 Virginia practice
- § 8:3 —Motions to strike evidence
- § 8:4 — —Motion to strike the evidence is a prerequisite for taking the case from the jury
- § 8:5 — —When to make a motion to strike the evidence
- § 8:6 — —Summary judgment if motion to strike is sustained
- § 8:7 — —Consequences if motion to strike is denied
- § 8:8 —Grounds for granting motion to strike the evidence
- § 8:9 — —Motion to strike plaintiff's evidence for failure to present corroborating testimony under the dead man's statute
- § 8:10 —Test for sustaining motion to strike the evidence
- § 8:11 — —Evidence viewed most favorably as to non-moving party
- § 8:12 — —Inferences favoring plaintiff
- § 8:13 — —Presumptions favoring plaintiff when defendant alleges contributory negligence
- § 8:14 Federal practice
- § 8:15 —Time for making motion
- § 8:16 —Ruling on motion after verdict
- § 8:17 — —Standard for granting motion for judgment as a matter of law

B. JURY INSTRUCTIONS

- § 8:18 In general
- § 8:19 Role of counsel in preparing jury instructions
- § 8:20 —State courts
- § 8:21 —Federal courts
- § 8:22 — —Federal court's discretion over instruction process
- § 8:23 Proper instructions, generally
- § 8:24 —Parties must request instructions
- § 8:25 —Waiver
- § 8:26 Sources for jury instructions
- § 8:27 —Other sources for jury instructions
- § 8:28 Time for submitting
- § 8:29 Form for instructions

- § 8:30 Counsel not required to exchange proposed instructions
- § 8:31 Objections to instructions, generally
- § 8:32 Objections to instructions, generally—Unavoidable accident instruction not allowed
- § 8:33 Objections to instructions, generally—Objections must be made on the record
- § 8:34 —Refusal by court to give a proffered instruction
- § 8:35 —Procedure in federal courts
- § 8:36 — —Time for making objections
- § 8:37 — —Sufficiency of objections
- § 8:38 Summary of general principles governing jury instructions
- § 8:39 Typical plaintiff's instructions
- § 8:40 Form of verdict
- § 8:41 —General verdict
- § 8:42 —Special findings
- § 8:43 —Federal court practice
- § 8:44 Objection to the form of the verdict
- § 8:45 —Itemizing damages in the form of the verdict inappropriate

C. POST-TRIAL MOTIONS

- § 8:46 Polling the jury
- § 8:47 —Federal practice
- § 8:48 —Purpose of polling the jury
- § 8:49 Motion for mistrial
- § 8:50 Motion for mistrial—Motion based on improper statements by counsel
- § 8:51 Motion for mistrial—Attorney communication with jurors after discharge
- § 8:52 — —Requirements
- § 8:53 —Juror testimony to establish grounds for mistrial—Rules of evidence
- § 8:54 Renewal of trial motions
- § 8:55 Motion to set aside the verdict
- § 8:56 Motion for new trial
- § 8:57 —How many new trials can be granted
- § 8:58 —Grounds for granting new trial
- § 8:59 — —New trial based on prejudicial conduct of counsel during trial
- § 8:60 —Adequacy of verdict, generally
- § 8:61 —Adequacy of the award for special damages
- § 8:62 —Adequacy of the award for non-monetary damages
- § 8:63 —Determining whether a new trial on the basis of inadequate damages should be granted on all issues or limited to the damages issue

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 8:64	— —Effect of state of the evidence of defendant's liability
§ 8:65	— — —Cases in which the evidence is insufficient to support a verdict finding the defendant liable
§ 8:66	— — —Cases in which the evidence is insufficient to support a verdict finding the defendant not liable
§ 8:67	— — —Cases in which clearly the preponderance of the evidence is against the right of the plaintiff to recover, though there is sufficient evidence to support a finding by the jury that the defendant is liable
§ 8:68	— — —Cases in which clearly the decided preponderance of the evidence is in favor of the right of recovery, though there is sufficient evidence to support a verdict finding the defendant not liable
§ 8:69	— — —Cases of conflicting evidence, in which there is sufficient evidence to support a verdict in favor of either the plaintiff or the defendant, but in which there is no clear preponderance of the evidence in favor of either
§ 8:70	—Verdict for exact amount of plaintiff's medical and special damages is inadequate as a matter of law
§ 8:71	— —Rule of <i>Bowers v. Sprouse</i> limited
§ 8:72	— —Defendant cannot seek new trial on claim that damage award to plaintiff was inadequate as a matter of law
§ 8:73	—Additur and remittitur
§ 8:74	— —Additur
§ 8:75	— — —Plaintiff's right to jury trial on issue of damages preserved
§ 8:76	— —Remittitur
§ 8:77	— — —Standard for grant of remittitur
§ 8:78	— — —Comparison analysis not a valid measure of whether verdict is excessive
§ 8:79	— — —Standard of review of remittitur on appeal
§ 8:80	— — —Punitive damages
§ 8:81	— —Time for entry of judgment
§ 8:82	—Federal practice
§ 8:83	— —Application of state law standards to determine whether verdict is excessive
§ 8:84	— —Additur and remittitur
§ 8:85	— —Other grounds for new trial
§ 8:86	Motion for costs
§ 8:87	—Prejudgment interest
§ 8:88	—Costs when new trial granted
§ 8:89	—Liability of uninsured motorist insurer for costs
§ 8:90	Federal practice
§ 8:91	Appeals

D. CHECKLISTS

§ 8:92	Preparing and arguing jury instructions
--------	---

- § 8:93 General strategies for handling jury instructions at trial

E. FORMS

- § 8:94 Motion to strike defendant's evidence
- § 8:95 Order striking defendant's evidence
- § 8:96 Motion to set aside verdict
- § 8:97 Notice of hearing
- § 8:98 Order setting aside verdict
- § 8:99 Motion for new trial
- § 8:100 Notice of hearing
- § 8:101 Order granting new trial
- § 8:102 Order granting settlement
- § 8:103 Order granting compromise settlement with optional medical lien language
- § 8:104 Order for approval of compromise settlement for a minor
- § 8:105 Final order

PART 2. SPECIAL ISSUES

CHAPTER 9. LOW IMPACT, SOFT TISSUE INJURY CASES

A. UNDERSTANDING THE DEFENSE STRATEGY

- § 9:1 Minor impact, soft tissue (MIST) cases, generally
- § 9:2 —Common injury sites, diagnostic tests and practice implications
- § 9:3 Definition of a MIST Case
- § 9:4 —Minor impact
- § 9:5 —Soft tissue injury
- § 9:6 Insurance company investigation of a MIST case
- § 9:7 —Request for plaintiff's recorded statement
- § 9:8 —Intensive investigation by insurer
- § 9:9 —Low settlement offer
- § 9:10 —The plaintiff's recorded statement
- § 9:11 — —Details concerning the accident and the extent of plaintiff's injuries
- § 9:12 — —Plaintiff's course of treatment for injuries
- § 9:13 — —Previous accidents or claims by the plaintiff
- § 9:14 — —Information about plaintiff's employment
- § 9:15 — —Plaintiff's own insurance coverage
- § 9:16 Litigation techniques of the defense
- § 9:17 —Expect a vigorous defense

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 9:18 —Use of arbitration or mediation
- § 9:19 Plaintiff's Response
- § 9:20 —Settle the “problem” cases early
- § 9:21 —Pursue the small but “solid” cases vigorously
- § 9:22 —Investigate the case thoroughly
- § 9:23 — —Photograph the damage to vehicles
- § 9:24 — —Be aggressive in discovery
- § 9:25 —Emphasize objective findings as to medical damages
- § 9:26 —Effective use of medical experts
- § 9:27 — —Preparing medical experts to testify
- § 9:28 — —Use analogies that will make the extent of injuries clear to the jury
- § 9:29 — —Use audio-visuals to illustrate your client's injuries
- § 9:30 —Think of economical ways to represent the client to a just conclusion
- § 9:31 — —Videotape depositions of doctors for presentation in court
- § 9:32 — —Take depositions by telephone, teleconferencing or video conferencing
- § 9:33 Working to change the handling of MIST cases
- § 9:34 —Educating the public—the potential defendants
- § 9:35 —Educating the courts
- § 9:36 —Bad faith claims
- § 9:37 —Try to enjoy the prospect of going to trial

B. PROVING INJURY IN A LOW IMPACT COLLISION

- § 9:38 Damages in low impact collisions, generally
- § 9:39 —Understanding the term “low impact”
- § 9:40 Use evidence of damage to the cars to help prove injuries
- § 9:41 —Photograph the damage
- § 9:42 —Find all of the damage
- § 9:43 — —Roof line
- § 9:44 — —Hood, trunk and doors
- § 9:45 — —Muffler, tail pipe and other parts
- § 9:46 — —Front end and tire alignment
- § 9:47 — —Interior of vehicle
- § 9:48 — —Bumper braces and shocks
- § 9:49 —Damage to older cars
- § 9:50 —Witness accounts of impact
- § 9:51 Effect of body position at impact
- § 9:52 —Determining body position at impact
- § 9:53 —Communicate findings regarding body position at impact to treating doctor or therapist

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 9:54 Persons likely to suffer serious injury in low impact collisions
- § 9:55 Use testimony to prove extent of injuries
- § 9:56 —Before and after lay witnesses
- § 9:57 —Testimony by the plaintiff
- § 9:58 —Testimony by the physical therapist
- § 9:59 —Testimony by a neuropsychologist
- § 9:60 Picking the jury in a low impact collision case

C. OPENING AND CLOSING ARGUMENTS IN LOW IMPACT, SOFT TISSUE CASES

- § 9:61 Opening and closing arguments as a tool in obtaining a fair result for your client
- § 9:62 Be prepared to counter defense tactics
- § 9:63 —Defense tactic of putting plaintiff's credibility at issue
- § 9:64 Formulating effective arguments
- § 9:65 —Develop a theme
- § 9:66 —Identify the strengths and weaknesses of your case
- § 9:67 —Maintain credibility with the jury
- § 9:68 — —Show why defense attack on plaintiff's credibility is improper
- § 9:69 — —Appeal to the jurors knowledge and experience
- § 9:70 — —Avoid using complex terminology
- § 9:71 —Listen to opposing counsel's opening and closing argument
- § 9:72 Opening statement
- § 9:73 Closing statement
- § 9:74 —The initial closing
- § 9:75 — —Discuss the damage instruction
- § 9:76 — — —Be reasonable in the amount you ask for
- § 9:77 — —Help the jury understand the elements of the damages sought
- § 9:78 —Rebuttal closing argument
- § 9:79 — —Don't respond to every defense argument
- § 9:80 — —Point out flaws in overall defense approach
- § 9:81 — —Refute defendant's attack on your client's credibility
- § 9:82 — —Refute defendant's attack on your health care provider's credibility
- § 9:83 — —Keep it brief
- § 9:84 Conclusion

D. CHECKLISTS

- § 9:85 Planning strategy in low impact, soft tissue injury cases

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 9:86 Proving injuries in low impact, soft tissue injury cases

E. FORMS

- § 9:87 Sample questions asked by insurer in plaintiff's recorded statement
§ 9:88 Sample cross examination of defense orthopedic in low impact collision case

CHAPTER 10. MAXIMIZING RECOVERY IN TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY CASES

A. SYMPTOMS OF TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

- § 10:1 Traumatic brain injury, generally
§ 10:2 Symptoms of TBI which typically appear immediately after injury
§ 10:3 —Loss of consciousness
§ 10:4 —Post-traumatic amnesia
§ 10:5 —Alteration in mental status
§ 10:6 —Headaches
§ 10:7 —Nausea and vomiting
§ 10:8 Post-acute symptoms of TBI
§ 10:9 —Changes in attention span
§ 10:10 —Short term memory impairment
§ 10:11 —Speech and language impairment
§ 10:12 —Cognitive inefficiency
§ 10:13 —Impaired ability to smell or taste
§ 10:14 —Balance deficits
§ 10:15 —Hypersensitivity to noise or light
§ 10:16 —Fatigue
§ 10:17 —Divided attention
§ 10:18 —Sensory overload
§ 10:19 —Hearing
§ 10:20 —Reduced grip strength and fine motor skills
§ 10:21 —Emotional and behavioral changes

B. ASSESSMENT AND TESTING IN TBI CASES

- § 10:22 Neuropsychological assessment
§ 10:23 —Information needed by neuropsychologist
§ 10:24 — —Accident reports and photographs
§ 10:25 — —History of symptoms
§ 10:26 — —Client's level of functioning and abilities before the injury
§ 10:27 — —Importance of accurate pre-injury baseline
§ 10:28 —Neuropsychological testing
§ 10:29 —Results of neuropsychological testing

C. PRESENTATION OF TBI EVIDENCE AT TRIAL

- § 10:30 Proof of traumatic brain injury through use of demonstrative evidence at trial
- § 10:31 —Why demonstrative evidence is necessary
- § 10:32 —Presenting evidence of biomechanical aspects of the trauma
- § 10:33 — —Photographs of vehicles
- § 10:34 — —Models of the head and brain
- § 10:35 — —Photographs of plaintiff's external injuries
- § 10:36 —Presenting evidence of neurodiagnostic tests
- § 10:37 —Types of neurodiagnostic tests
- § 10:38 — —Neuroimaging tests
- § 10:39 — —Tests of brain function or activity
- § 10:40 — — —SPECT scans
- § 10:41 — — —EEGs
- § 10:42 — — —QEEGs
- § 10:43 — — —BEAMs
- § 10:44 —Presenting evidence of neuropsychological testing
- § 10:45 — —Visual aids to explain test results
- § 10:46 —Medical illustrations
- § 10:47 —Videotapes
- § 10:48 —Charts and diagrams

D. CHECKLISTS

- § 10:49 Symptoms of traumatic brain injury
- § 10:50 Examples of demonstrative evidence in mild traumatic brain injury cases

CHAPTER 11. PITFALLS IN SUING A “JOHN DOE” MOTORIST

A. OVERVIEW OF A TYPICAL “JOHN DOE” CASE

- § 11:1 A typical “John Doe” case scenario
- § 11:2 Statutory obligations
- § 11:3 —No Contact Rule
- § 11:4 —How the “no contact rule” can affect a John Doe case, generally
- § 11:5 — —Applicability of no contact rule to claims arising from accidents outside Virginia
- § 11:6 —Service of process
- § 11:7 — —Service of process on UM carrier is a condition precedent to suit
- § 11:8 — —Service of process on UM carrier cannot be waived
- § 11:9 — —Consent to settlement provisions in UM policy are binding

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 11:10 —Duty of the injured person to identify “John Doe”
- § 11:11 — —If “John Doe” is identified
- § 11:12 —Defendant’s burden of proof
- § 11:13 Settlement

B. ANTICIPATING DEFENSE STRATEGIES IN JOHN DOE CASES

- § 11:14 Defense strategy depends on fact pattern of the case
- § 11:15 —Cases involving no contact and no corroborating witnesses
- § 11:16 —Cases involving corroboration by an independent witness
- § 11:17 —Defense may argue contributory negligence

C. CONCLUSION

- § 11:18 Summary of issues in John Doe cases

D. CHECKLISTS

- § 11:19 Basic considerations when suing a John Doe motorist

CHAPTER 12. USE OF COMPUTER ANIMATIONS IN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE LITIGATION

A. COMPUTER ANIMATIONS IN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASES GENERALLY

- § 12:1 Imagine this scenario
- § 12:2 —The accident investigation
- § 12:3 —The defendants contest liability
- § 12:4 —Reconstruction evidence: expert testimony v. computer animated reconstruction evidence
- § 12:5 Computer animation as a “stealth witness”

B. PROCESS OF CREATING COMPUTER GENERATED RECONSTRUCTION ANIMATION

- § 12:6 Creation of computer animations
- § 12:7 —Collecting relevant data
- § 12:8 —Developing a storyboard
- § 12:9 —Data input
- § 12:10 —Motion scripting
- § 12:11 —Rendering
- § 12:12 —Recording of videotape or film

C. POTENTIAL DANGERS ASSOCIATED WITH USE OF COMPUTER ANIMATIONS

- § 12:13 Dangers associated with use of computer animations, generally
- § 12:14 —Prejudicial impact of erroneous computer animation, generally
- § 12:15 —Specific ways computer animations can be prejudicial

D. EVIDENTIARY PRINCIPLES GOVERNING ADMISSIBILITY

- § 12:16 Evidentiary principles—Federal Court
- § 12:17 —General standards for admissibility of computer simulations in the Fourth Circuit
- § 12:18 —Effect of Federal Rules of Evidence on admissibility of computer animations
- § 12:19 — —Relevancy
- § 12:20 — —Helpfulness
- § 12:21 — —Expert qualifications
- § 12:22 — —Prejudicial effect
- § 12:23 — —Hearsay
- § 12:24 — — —Underlying data and assumptions as out of court statements
- § 12:25 — — —Admissibility under exceptions to the hearsay rule
- § 12:26 — —Scientific evidence
- § 12:27 — — —Standards for determining whether scientific evidence is admissible
- § 12:28 — —Authentication
- § 12:29 —State court
- § 12:30 —Relevance
- § 12:31 —Helpfulness
- § 12:32 —Prejudicial effect
- § 12:33 —Qualifications
- § 12:34 —Hearsay
- § 12:35 —Scientific evidence
- § 12:36 —Authentication
- § 12:37 —Virginia Code §§ 8.01-401.1 and 8.01-401.3

E. PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR COUNSEL OPPOSING AN ANIMATION

- § 12:38 Understand and utilize all possible grounds for exclusion
- § 12:39 Using discovery to learn about an animation
- § 12:40 Motion to exclude computer animation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 12:41 —Grounds for exclusion of animation
- § 12:42 — —Inadmissibility not overcome by opponent's right to prepare own animation
- § 12:43 Admissibility of computer animations as demonstrative evidence to illustrate expert testimony
- § 12:44 —Standards for admitting computer animations as demonstrative evidence
- § 12:45 What to do if the animation is presented at trial
- § 12:46 —Attacking the animation through direct and cross-examination
- § 12:47 — —Lay the factual groundwork
- § 12:48 — —Call your own experts
- § 12:49 —Submit limiting instructions
- § 12:50 —Submit your own opposing animation
- § 12:51 — —Considerations for counsel desiring to use animation
- § 12:52 Conclusion

F. CHECKLISTS

- § 12:53 Subjects for specific discovery requests to learn about an animation
- § 12:54 Possible grounds for exclusion of a computer animation

CHAPTER 13. HOW TO HANDLE AUTO ACCIDENT INVOLVING GOVERNMENT VEHICLES

A. SUITS AGAINST STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

- § 13:1 The law of sovereign immunity in Virginia
- § 13:2 Public policy issues supporting sovereign immunity
- § 13:3 Abrogation of sovereign immunity
- § 13:4 —Limiting the amount of recovery
- § 13:5 —Notice of claim required prior to suit against government entities
- § 13:6 — —Adequacy of notice of claim against the Commonwealth under Va. Code Ann. § 8.01-195.6
- § 13:7 — — —When notice is deemed to be filed
- § 13:8 — — —Timeliness
- § 13:9 Pleading a case against a government employee
- § 13:10 —Immunity for government employees extends only to simple negligence
- § 13:11 — —Plead gross negligence if facts permit

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 13:12 Pleading a case against a government employee
-Immunity for government employees extends only to simple negligence—Plead gross negligence if facts permit—Common law rule of liability for gross negligence of a government employee remains unaltered where a statute does not expressly grant immunity
- § 13:13 Pleading a case against a government employee—
Show that defendant was not exercising discretion necessary to perform a governmental function
- § 13:14 — —*Heider v. Clemons*: no immunity for performance of purely ministerial duties
- § 13:15 — —*Colby v. Boyden*: factors considered in determining whether sovereign immunity applies
- § 13:16 — —*Colby v. Boyden*: factors considered in determining whether sovereign immunity applies—The nature of the function the employee performed
- § 13:17 — —The extent of the government's interest and involvement in the function
- § 13:18 — —The degree of control and discretion exercised over the employee by the government
- § 13:19 — —Whether the act in question involved the exercise of discretion in judgment
- § 13:20 —Gross negligence in police pursuit cases
- § 13:21 —Statutory immunity in collisions caused by municipal vehicles engaged in maintenance or operation of parks and recreational facilities
- § 13:22 The test for sovereign immunity as a bar to liability
- § 13:23 —Fact situations where sovereign immunity is not a bar
- § 13:24 Distinguishing your case to avoid the bar of sovereign immunity
- § 13:25 Conclusion

B. CLAIMS AGAINST FEDERAL EMPLOYEES

- § 13:26 Federal Tort Claims Act
- § 13:27 —Limitation on attorneys fees
- § 13:28 —Filing requirements
- § 13:29 —Amount of claim
- § 13:30 —Amount of Claim—Federal income taxes deducted from award of future lost wages
- § 13:31 —Time for filing Form 95 claim
- § 13:32 —Time for filing suit
- § 13:33 —Where to file suit
- § 13:34 —Jury trial not available

C. UNINSURED MOTORIST PROVISIONS APPLY WHEN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY BARS SUIT

- § 13:35 Va. Code Ann. § 38.2-2206

TABLE OF CONTENTS

D. CHECKLISTS

§ 13:36 Abrogation of sovereign immunity under common law

CHAPTER 14. STRUCTURED SETTLEMENTS

A. OVERVIEW OF STRUCTURED SETTLEMENTS

- § 14:1 Historical background
- § 14:2 Definitions
- § 14:3 —Structured settlement
- § 14:4 — —Annuity based settlement
- § 14:5 — —Trust based settlement
- § 14:6 — —Principle features of structured settlements
- § 14:7 —Qualified assignment
- § 14:8 — —Settlement
- § 14:9 — —Assignment
- § 14:10 — —Purchase of an annuity
- § 14:11 —Funding asset
- § 14:12 —Future payments
- § 14:13 — —Periodic payments
- § 14:14 — —Future lump sum payments
- § 14:15 —Types of annuities
- § 14:16 — —Life annuity
- § 14:17 — —Period certain annuity
- § 14:18 — —Guaranteed period annuity
- § 14:19 — —Deferred payment option
- § 14:20 — —Increasing payment annuity
- § 14:21 — —Future lump sum payment(s)
- § 14:22 — —Joint life or survivorship options
- § 14:23 — —Multiple annuities

B. WHEN TO USE A STRUCTURED SETTLEMENT

- § 14:24 Appropriateness of structured settlement—factors to consider
- § 14:25 —Type of injury
- § 14:26 —Age
- § 14:27 —Size of settlement
- § 14:28 —Need for liquidity
- § 14:29 —Prudence of plaintiff
- § 14:30 —Infant settlements
- § 14:31 —Tenuous marital relationships
- § 14:32 Deciding whether or not to use a structured settlement

C. NEGOTIATION OF A STRUCTURED SETTLEMENT

- § 14:33 Initial considerations in formulating a structured settlement
- § 14:34 —Factors affecting the specifics of the structured settlement
- § 14:35 —The structured settlement must satisfy the client's needs
- § 14:36 —Information needed to evaluate the cost of a structured settlement
- § 14:37 — —Structured settlement specialty companies
- § 14:38 —Minimizing the risk of collapse of the annuity company
- § 14:39 — —Require that all insurer(s) involved in the settlement guarantee payments
- § 14:40 — —Require that the annuity company have an A+ or better rating
- § 14:41 — —Reinsurance
- § 14:42 — —Create rights in the plaintiff of a secured creditor
- § 14:43 — —Include language to prevent general creditors of the assignee from reaching the annuity contract
- § 14:44 —Reserve for emergencies or unexpected contingencies
- § 14:45 —How to avoid and overcome inflation
- § 14:46 —Consultation of experts
- § 14:47 — —Medical experts
- § 14:48 — —Economist
- § 14:49 —Always insist on some guaranteed period
- § 14:50 —If court approval is required involve the judge

D. ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF A STRUCTURED SETTLEMENT

- § 14:51 Advantages from the plaintiff's perspective
- § 14:52 —Tax advantages
- § 14:53 —Self-protection and security
- § 14:54 —Flexibility
- § 14:55 — —Educational endowment
- § 14:56 — —Inflationary hedge
- § 14:57 — —Rated policies
- § 14:58 — —Life insurance
- § 14:59 —Worry-free
- § 14:60 —Avoidance of management fees
- § 14:61 —Elimination of investment risks
- § 14:62 —Settlement of weak liability case
- § 14:63 Disadvantages from the plaintiff's perspective

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 14:64 —Emergency or unexpected contingency
- § 14:65 —Inflation
- § 14:66 —Collapse of the assignee or the annuity company
- § 14:67 Structured settlement from society’s perspective
- § 14:68 —Clear court calendars
- § 14:69 —Provides for needs of plaintiffs
- § 14:70 —Potential reductions in insurance costs

E. TAX CONSIDERATIONS

- § 14:71 Damages received for personal injuries are excluded from gross income (26 U.S.C.A. § 104(a)(2))
- § 14:72 —Definition of “damages received”
- § 14:73 —Punitive damages are not excluded from gross income
- § 14:74 —Emotional distress not treated as “physical injury or sickness”
- § 14:75 Effect of Supreme Court ruling in *O’Gilvie v United States* on inclusion of punitive damages in gross income
- § 14:76 The Small Business Job Protection Act of 1996
- § 14:77 Criteria for exclusion of periodic payments from gross income
 - § 14:78 —Actual receipt
 - § 14:79 —Constructive receipt
 - § 14:80 — —Where plaintiff has constructive receipt, the imputed interest is subject to tax
 - § 14:81 — —Knowledge of cost not constructive receipt
 - § 14:82 —Economic benefit
- § 14:83 IRS rulings on excludability of settlement amounts from gross income
 - § 14:84 —Full amount of monthly payments excluded
 - § 14:85 —Payments made to recipient’s estate excluded
 - § 14:86 —Increasing annual payments excluded
 - § 14:87 —Damages for “loss of income” excluded
- § 14:88 Periodic Payment Act of 1982
 - § 14:89 —Background of 26 U.S.C.A. § 130
 - § 14:90 —1988 amendments to Section 130

F. DRAFTING THE NECESSARY SETTLEMENT DOCUMENTS

- § 14:91 Seek assistance from a tax expert
- § 14:92 Allocate damages to nontaxable claims
 - § 14:93 —Settlement after jury award
- § 14:94 Avoid constructive receipt language
 - § 14:95 —The plaintiff should not be the owner of the annuity

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 14:96 —Refer to compensatory damages for physical injuries
- § 14:97 Obtain the signature of an authorized representative of the defendant(s) insurance carrier(s)
- § 14:98 Protect the annuity from assignee's creditors
- § 14:99 Be alert to potential problems with wording of "model" forms
- § 14:100 —"Release of any and all claims"
- § 14:101 —Current or up front payment received as "full accord and satisfaction"
- § 14:102 —"Rights no greater than those of a general creditor"
- § 14:103 —"Release of defendant(s) and insurer(s) upon assignment"
- § 14:104 —Payment
- § 14:105 —Indemnity
- § 14:106 Uniform Qualified Assignment and Release
- § 14:107 —Rights no greater than those of a general creditor
- § 14:108 —Payment
- § 14:109 —"Release of defendant(s) and insurer(s) upon assignment"
- § 14:110 Court approved cases for minors or other incompetents

G. STRUCTURED SETTLEMENTS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES

- § 14:111 Calculating the fee
- § 14:112 —Majority view: fees based on cost
- § 14:113 —Statutes, rules and ethics opinions of other jurisdictions (non-Virginia)
- § 14:114 —Position of the ATLA
- § 14:115 —Determination of present value
- § 14:116 —Factors permitting attorney to receive fee greater than normally allowed by state rate schedule
- § 14:117 —Percentage of present value
- § 14:118 —Circumstances where fee must be structured
- § 14:119 —Ethical considerations in negotiating attorney's fees
- § 14:120 Tax considerations of structured fees
- § 14:121 —*Childs v. Commissioner*—Taxation of structured attorney fees
- § 14:122 — —Analysis of 26 U.S.C.A. § 83 in *Childs*
- § 14:123 — —Analysis of the issue of constructive receipt in *Childs*

H. CHECKLISTS

- § 14:124 Deciding whether to use a structured settlement

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 14:125 Payments under structured settlement
- § 14:126 Advantages and disadvantages of a structured settlement

CHAPTER 15. HOW TO PREPARE AND WIN A DRUNK DRIVER PUNITIVE DAMAGE CASE

A. DRUNK DRIVING CASES IN THE CIVIL JUSTICE SYSTEM

- § 15:1 Generally
- § 15:2 No liability for those who serve alcohol

B. PUNITIVE DAMAGES AS A REMEDY IN DRUNK DRIVING CASES UNDER VIRGINIA LAW

- § 15:3 Virginia case law
- § 15:4 Statutes permitting recovery of punitive damages in drunk driving cases
- § 15:5 —Provisions of Va. Code Ann. § 8.01-44.5
- § 15:6 — —Proving willful or wanton conduct
- § 15:7 — — —Plaintiff must prove each of the elements of willful and wanton conduct
- § 15:8 — — —Effect of defendant's refusal to submit to blood alcohol test
- § 15:9 — — —Evidence of unreasonable refusal to submit to blood alcohol test

C. PREPARING THE CASE FOR TRIAL

- § 15:10 Putting the case on a fast track for jury trial
- § 15:11 —Preserving evidence of the defendant's BAC
- § 15:12 —Avoiding counterproductive negotiations at the outset of the case
- § 15:13 Investigating the defendant's driving record
- § 15:14 —Using discovery effectively
- § 15:15 — —Particular sources of evidence to support punitive damages award
- § 15:16 — — —Defendant's prior knowledge of the dangers of drinking and driving
- § 15:17 — — —Testimony of rescue personnel
- § 15:18 Pleading punitive damages
- § 15:19 —Establishing a common law punitive damages claim
- § 15:20 —Choosing the *ad damnum* amount
- § 15:21 —Including uninsured and underinsured motorist carriers in the suit
- § 15:22 Proving the blood alcohol content

HANDLING AN AUTOMOBILE NEGLIGENCE CASE IN VIRGINIA

- § 15:23 Overcoming defendant's motion to bifurcate
- § 15:24 —Right to identify possible juror bias on voir dire
- § 15:25 —Jury instructions can adequately separate damages issues
- § 15:26 —Bifurcation lengthens time required to resolve the issues
- § 15:27 —Inform the jury of the process when bifurcation is allowed
- § 15:28 Voir Dire
- § 15:29 Opening statement
- § 15:30 Use of a toxicologist to prove a punitive damages case
- § 15:31 —Elicit facts from defendant or other witnesses to support testimony of toxicologist
- § 15:32 Themes in a drunk driving case
- § 15:33 —Focus on the misconduct of the defendant
- § 15:34 —Jurors' statutory authority to impose punishment on behalf of the community
- § 15:35 —Jurors' general responsibility to the community
- § 15:36 —Jurors' responsibility to do their best to stop drunk driving
- § 15:37 Constitutional Defenses
- § 15:38 —Defense claiming excessive damages
- § 15:39 Jury instruction
- § 15:40 Special problems and opportunities associated with the UM/UIM Insurer's Defense
- § 15:41 —Strategies for countering the UM/UIM defense theme of plaintiff's greed
- § 15:42 — —Emphasize that the punitive damages case is against the drunk driver
- § 15:43 — — —UM/UIM carrier may not tell the jury that it is the plaintiff's insurer
- § 15:44 — — —UM/UIM carrier's responsibility for punitive damages not dependent on its rights of subrogation
- § 15:45 — —Always make the *ad damnum* higher than all insurance coverage
- § 15:46 Conclusion

D. FORMS

- § 15:47 Complaint—Punitive damages case
- § 15:48 — —Multiple plaintiffs
- § 15:49 — —Pedestrian
- § 15:50 Motion to reconsider
- § 15:51 Jury Instruction—Punitive damages—Short form
- § 15:52 Motion for judgment—Punitive damages case
- § 15:53 Jury instruction—Punitive damages
- § 15:54 — —Wrongful death case
- § 15:55 — —Amount of award

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**CHAPTER 16. AUTO CASES UNDER THE
NEW “GIG ECONOMY”: RIDE-SHARE CASES
AND VEHICLE-SHARE CASES**

A. OVERVIEW

- § 16:1 What is “the gig economy”?
- § 16:2 Special Considerations for Ride-Share and Vehicle-Share Cases

B. RIDE-SHARE CASES

- § 16:3 What is a “ride-share”?
- § 16:4 Virginia law on ride-sharing
- § 16:5 Virginia case law addressing claims involving TNC companies

C. VEHICLE-SHARE CASES

- § 16:6 What is vehicle sharing?
- § 16:7 Virginia law on vehicle sharing

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index