

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

- § 1:1 Intellectual property has become a primary commercial asset
- § 1:2 Scope of the book: intellectual property as a commercial asset in secured transactions, at foreclosure, and in bankruptcy
- § 1:3 Some common themes
- § 1:4 Conceptualizing the “property” in “intellectual property”
- § 1:5 —Common forms
- § 1:6 —Patents
- § 1:7 —Copyrights
- § 1:8 —Vessel hull designs
- § 1:9 —Mask works
- § 1:10 —Trademarks
- § 1:11 —State law trademarks and actions for “unfair competition”
- § 1:12 —Trade secrets
- § 1:13 —State law “misappropriation” doctrines
- § 1:14 —State law “publicity” rights
- § 1:15 —Domain names
- § 1:16 —Contracts that restrict the copying or use of otherwise nonproprietary factual information
- § 1:17 —Proposed federal legislation protecting databases
- § 1:18 Title themes involving intellectual property
- § 1:19 Intangible right/tangible embodiment
- § 1:20 State-federal and federal-federal conflicts

CHAPTER 2. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AS COLLATERAL

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 2:1 How does the owner of intellectual property exploit its value?
- § 2:2 Is collateral security necessary to efficient owner exploitation?
- § 2:3 Secured lenders need special information at the outset and more monitoring when they lend on intellectual property
- § 2:4 Transaction costs of taking a security interest in intellectual property are unnecessarily high because the governing law is inadequate and confusing

II. THE ARTICLE NINE SCHEME

- § 2:5 Comprehensive scope of Article Nine
- § 2:6 Article Nine scope—Substance over form
- § 2:7 —Intellectual property and resulting income streams
- § 2:8 — —General intangibles
- § 2:9 — —Software as “goods” and software embedded in “goods”
- § 2:10 — —Income streams as instruments or chattel paper
- § 2:11 — —The income stream as an “account” under Article Nine
- § 2:12 — —Right to sue for infringement
- § 2:13 — —Nonassignable licenses under Article Nine
- § 2:14 — —Nonassignable licenses and other use rights that may not fully qualify as “property” under Article Nine

- § 2:15 — —The “finance license” under the U.C.C. and the Model Computer Information Transactions Act
- § 2:16 Creating a security interest in intellectual property under Article Nine
- § 2:17 —Attachment
- § 2:18 — —Confluence of three events
- § 2:19 — —Security agreement containing a description of the collateral
- § 2:20 — —“Rights in the collateral” and after-acquired property
- § 2:21 — — —Overarching principle of derivative title
- § 2:22 — — —Derivative title principle applied to intellectual property
- § 2:23 — — —Time of attachment
- § 2:24 — — —Debtor’s “title” to intellectual property
- § 2:25 Creating a security interest in intellectual property—Attachment—“Rights in the collateral” and after-acquired property—Debtor’s rights in an earlier form of intellectual property
- § 2:26 Creating a security interest in intellectual property under Article Nine—Attachment in proceeds
- § 2:27 — —Concept of a “disposition” in old Article Nine
- § 2:28 — —The expanded “proceeds” definition in current Article Nine
- § 2:29 — —Assignments
- § 2:30 — —Innovations in transition
- § 2:31 — —The license puzzle
- § 2:32 — —Right to sue for infringement
- § 2:33 “Perfection” and priority for intellectual property under Article Nine
- § 2:34 —Attachment plus a notice event yields “perfection”
- § 2:35 —Vulnerability of the unperfected security interest to transferees, purchasers, and creditors
- § 2:36 — —Prior security interest versus subsequent assignees and licensees
- § 2:37 — — —Old U.C.C. §§ 9-201 and 9-301(1)(d)
- § 2:38 — — —Article Nine §§ 9-201 and 9-317(d)
- § 2:39 — — —Federal transfer and recording statutes
- § 2:40 — — —Authorized and ordinary course transfers
- § 2:41 — —Subsequent security interest against prior assignees and licensees
- § 2:42 — — —Old §§ 9-201 and 9-301(1)(d)
- § 2:43 — — —Current Article Nine
- § 2:44 — —Security interest versus the judicial lien
- § 2:45 — —Article Nine perfection in bankruptcy
- § 2:46 — —Perfection in proceeds
- § 2:47 Priority among conflicting security interests in intellectual property under Article Nine
- § 2:48 —First-to-file rule
- § 2:49 —Purchase-money priority
- § 2:50 — —Old Article Nine
- § 2:51 — —The mysteries of old § 9-312(4) and intangible rights
- § 2:52 — —The narrow purchase-money definition in current Article Nine § 9-103

III. INTERSTATE PERFECTION: THE STATE CHOICE OF LAW PROBLEM

- § 2:53 Filing in the right place under Article Nine
- § 2:54 Finding the right place to file on intellectual property as an abstract asset
- § 2:55 —Intrastate filing: old U.C.C. § 9-401(1) and U.C.C. current § 9-501
- § 2:56 —Interstate perfection: old U.C.C. § 9-103 and current § 9-307
- § 2:57 Finding the right place to file on intellectual property embodied in a “product”

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 2:58	—Old Article Nine and the duality of property in a single “product”
§ 2:59	—Old Article Nine and the baseline principle of two “ownerships”
§ 2:60	— —Software as a product
§ 2:61	— — — <i>In re C Tek Software, Inc.</i> and interstate perfection in source code collateral under old Article Nine
§ 2:62	— — — <i>C Tek</i> facts
§ 2:63	— — — <i>C Tek</i> legal issues
§ 2:64	—Interstate perfection in the <i>C Tek</i> source code collateral under current Article Nine
§ 2:65	— <i>C Tek</i> copyrights under state law
§ 2:66	—Unexplored federal preemption questions in <i>C Tek</i>
§ 2:67	Classification of embodied intellectual property for attachment and perfection purposes

IV. FEDERAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY: THE PREEMPTIVE EFFECT OF FEDERAL LAW

§ 2:68	Conceptual and structural dissonance
§ 2:69	Deferral provisions of Article Nine
§ 2:70	—Complete deferral in old § 9-104(a) and current § 9-109(c)(1)
§ 2:71	—“Partial step-back” in old § 9-302(3)(a)
§ 2:72	—The more limited filing deferral language in current § 9-311(a)(1)
§ 2:73	Preemption of Article Nine by federal law
§ 2:74	Copyright Act
§ 2:75	—The Ninth Circuit compromise: decisions in <i>Peregrine</i> and <i>World Auxiliary Power</i>
§ 2:76	—The Ninth Circuit compromise: parsing § 205 of the Copyright Act
§ 2:77	—The Ninth Circuit compromise: <i>Peregrine</i> makes the early case for complete preemption
§ 2:78	—The Ninth Circuit compromise: <i>World Auxiliary Power</i> holds that the Copyright Act has no preemptive effect when the copyright collateral is unregistered
§ 2:79	—The Ninth Circuit compromise: <i>World Auxiliary Power</i> and problems with the distinction between registered and unregistered copyright collateral
§ 2:80	—Dealing with security interests under § 205
§ 2:81	— —The inability to reach after-acquired copyright collateral
§ 2:82	— —The order of registration and recording
§ 2:83	— —The issue of copyright receivables
§ 2:84	— —Resolving priority disputes: logistical limits
§ 2:85	— —Resolving priority disputes: “Good faith, for valuable consideration . . . and without notice of the earlier transfer”
§ 2:86	— —Resolving priority disputes: Security interest in a registered copyright versus a nonexclusive license
§ 2:87	— —Creation documents and enforcement documents
§ 2:88	Patent Act
§ 2:89	—Scope of the Patent Act recording
§ 2:90	— —Structural background
§ 2:91	— —§ 261 and the Patent and Trademark Office regulations
§ 2:92	—Extent of the deferral under Article Nine
§ 2:93	—Partial preemption of Article Nine
§ 2:94	— —The secured party is a “subsequent purchaser or mortgagee” under the Patent Act
§ 2:95	— —Is the secured interest an “assignment, grant or conveyance” within the recording mandate of § 261?—Significance of title
§ 2:96	— — — <i>Waterman v. Mackenzie</i>

- § 2:97 —Complete preemption of Article Nine—*In re Cybernetic Services, Inc.*: The case for excluding security interests altogether from the preemptive effect of § 261
- § 2:98 —The other lower court decisions on preemption
- § 2:99 —Argument for federal preemption based on a non-statutory “federal” bona fide purchaser rule
- § 2:100 —Ordering the security interest under the priority rule in § 261 of the Patent Act
- § 2:101 — —Secured party and assignee
- § 2:102 — —Priority between secured parties
- § 2:103 —Creation documents and enforcement documents
- § 2:104 Lanham Trademark Act
- § 2:105 —Trademarks: some basic concepts
- § 2:106 —Perfection and recordation: § 1060
- § 2:107 — —Trademark recording provision does not reach the Article Nine security interest
- § 2:108 — —Narrow preemption for security interest as a § 1060 “subsequent purchaser”
- § 2:109 —Priority: trademarks
- § 2:110 —Creation documents and enforcement documents: trademarks
- § 2:111 Reform proposals
- § 2:112 —Proposed “Security Interests in Copyrights Financing Preservation Act”: a copyright-only fix
- § 2:113 —Article Nine study committee report
- § 2:114 —ABA Task Force Report and Drafts—Membership
- § 2:115 — —1992 Report
- § 2:116 —1992 ABA Task Force Report and 1998 Drafts—Task Force Drafting Committee began with discrete amendments to each federal intellectual property statute and then moved to one consolidated draft
- § 2:117 —ABA Task Force’s proposed “Federal Intellectual Property Security Act”
- § 2:118 — —Drastic reduction of the grace periods
- § 2:119 — —Security interests excluded from the tract recording and priority provisions of all the federal intellectual property statutes except the Lanham Act
- § 2:120 — —Reverses the complete preemption holding in *Peregrine*, and limits partial preemption rule for patents
- § 2:121 — —Separate “race” priority rule for conflicts between security interests in federal intellectual property rights and transferees of these rights
- § 2:122 — —Federal post-default transfer statement
- § 2:123 — —Federal coordination and the creation of a single database or index of databases
- § 2:124 —If an accessible federal database is feasible, why not one national file or database for all security interests in all intellectual property collateral, both state and federal?

CHAPTER 3. ARTICLE NINE REMEDIES WHERE COLLATERAL IS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

I. INTRODUCTION: DEFAULT AND THE ARTICLE NINE SCHEME

- § 3:1 When do the Article Nine default rules apply?
- § 3:2 —Salvage rules generally
- § 3:3 —The many forms of a “security interest”
- § 3:4 — —Broad definition in Article Nine
- § 3:5 — —Core rights of an Article Nine debtor that cannot be waived before default
- § 3:6 — —Infringement suit is not a repossession devise
- § 3:7 Article Nine foreclosure sequence: default, repossession, and realization

II. “DEFAULT” BY THE DEBTOR

- § 3:8 Default—Defined by the security agreement
- § 3:9 —Events of default
- § 3:10 — —Payment-related defaults
- § 3:11 — — —Failure to pay or perform the obligation secured
- § 3:12 — — —Measuring the obligation in default: acceleration clauses
- § 3:13 — — — —Events that may diminish the debtor’s ability to pay or perform the obligation secured
- § 3:14 — — — —Insecurity
- § 3:15 — —Events that threaten the value of the collateral
- § 3:16 — — —In general
- § 3:17 — — —Infringement
- § 3:18 — — —Abandonment
- § 3:19 — — —Dilution of trademarks
- § 3:20 — — —Failure to diligently prosecute a patent application
- § 3:21 —Waiver by the secured party after default

III. REPOSSESSION AND REACQUIRING CONTROL

- § 3:22 Repossession and control—Tangible collateral including embodiments of intellectual property and products that include intellectual property
- § 3:23 —Old § 9-503 and current § 9-609
- § 3:24 —Distinction between reacquiring possession and foreclosing on the debtor’s ownership
- § 3:25 —Obtaining post-default and pre-foreclosure control of intangibles
- § 3:26 — —Software collateral under old § 9-503 and current § 9-609
- § 3:27 — —Software contracts and electronic self-help
- § 3:28 — — —Statutory authorization for repossession by disabling collateral
- § 3:29 — — —Rendering software unusable
- § 3:30 — — —Controversy over electronic self-help
- § 3:31 — — —Early cases on electronic self-help
- § 3:32 — — —Criminal and civil penalties under the Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act
- § 3:33 — — —Article Nine and the provision in the Model Computer Information Transactions Act
- § 3:34 — —Copyright Act’s impoundment remedy is not available as a repossession alternative

IV. THE SECURED PARTY’S FORECLOSURE OPTIONS ON DEFAULT WHEN THE COLLATERAL IS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

- § 3:35 Repossession and control—Alternative remedies
- § 3:36 — —Enforce by any available judicial procedure: § 9-601(a)(1)
- § 3:37 — — —Obtaining and then enforcing a judgment on the secured debt
- § 3:38 — — —Relation back to the Article Nine perfection date: § 9-601(e)
- § 3:39 — — —Special issue: trademarks in gross
- § 3:40 — — —Pre-judgment judicial devices for tangible and intangible collateral
- § 3:41 — —Remedies provided in the agreement
- § 3:42 Realization—Resale or other disposition of the collateral
- § 3:43 — —Overview of old § 9-504
- § 3:44 —Resale or other disposition—Order of distribution—old § 9-504(1) and current § 9-615(a)

- § 3:45 — — — Distribution in the typical case
- § 3:46 — — — “Other” security interests under old Article Nine
- § 3:47 — — — “Other” security interests and lienholders under current Article Nine
- § 3:48 — — Secured party’s duty to notify the debtor and conduct a commercially reasonable disposition of intellectual property collateral
- § 3:49 — — — Language of old § 9-504(3) and current § 9-610(b)
- § 3:50 — — — Debtor’s rights cannot be waived but can be subjected to reasonable standards
- § 3:51 — — — — As to the principal debtor
- § 3:52 — — — — As to guarantor “debtors”
- § 3:53 — — — Notification of the disposition
- § 3:54 — — — Aspects of the disposition
- § 3:55 — — — — Invisible elephant: price
- § 3:56 — — — — Timing issues
- § 3:57 — — — — “Public Sale” or “private sale”
- § 3:58 — — — — Advertising and other approaches to the market
- § 3:59 — — — aspects of the disposition—Does intellectual property ever need to be enhanced or improved prior to disposition?
- § 3:60 — — Consequences of noncompliance under old Article Nine: three approaches to loss or impairment of the right to a deficiency
- § 3:61 — — Consequences to the secured creditor of noncompliance under current Article Nine: statutory damages and an express “rebuttable presumption” rule
- § 3:62 — — Deficiency issues when intellectual property is the subject of a noncomplying disposition
- § 3:63 — — Rights of a transferee of intellectual property collateral under a post-default disposition
- § 3:64 — Acceptance of the collateral in complete satisfaction of the debt
- § 3:65 — — Secured party’s proposal and the debtor’s obligation to object
- § 3:66 — — Involuntary strict foreclosure under old Article Nine
- § 3:67 — — Involuntary strict foreclosure cases
- § 3:68 — — Involuntary strict foreclosure rejected by current Article Nine
- § 3:69 — — Strict foreclosure by proxy: the post-default assignment of the security interest in intellectual property to an assignee user
- § 3:70 — — “Transfer statement” in current Article Nine
- § 3:71 — — Foreclosure against “collectable” intangibles
- § 3:72 — — Right to collect certain receivable collateral: true sales verses collateral transfers
- § 3:73 — — Concept of a collectable general intangible
- § 3:74 — — — Analogous application of old § 9-502 when the income stream from a license or assignment of intellectual property was sold with recourse
- § 3:75 — — — Can the debtor/licensee’s rights to use intellectual property be “collected?”

CHAPTER 4. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN BANKRUPTCY

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 4:1 Overview of the bankruptcy process
- § 4:2 Conceptual framework—Related definitions concerning intellectual property in bankruptcy
- § 4:3 — Two natures of the intellectual property transfer: contract and property
- § 4:4 — — Bankruptcy characterizations
- § 4:5 — — Property rights in the “res”
- § 4:6 — — Property arising solely from a contract right
- § 4:7 — Short primer on executory contracts

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:8 —Licensor/assignor in bankruptcy: Is the license or assignment an executory contract or a completed transfer of intellectual property?
- § 4:9 —Assignor in bankruptcy
- § 4:10 —Licensor in bankruptcy
- § 4:11 —Assignee/licensee in bankruptcy
- § 4:12 —Attempts by the assignor to terminate an assignment or to force the assignee to assume the obligations in consideration of the assignment
- § 4:13 —Is the licensor trying to enforce a rejectable contract right or a right in property retained against the licensee?

II. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AS PROPERTY OF THE ESTATE AND PROPERTY OUTSIDE THE ESTATE

- § 4:14 “Property of the estate”—The scope of § 541 of the Bankruptcy Code
- § 4:15 —“All legal or equitable interests of the debtor in property”
- § 4:16 —“Proceeds, product, offspring, rents, or profits of or from property of the estate” and “property that the estate acquires”
- § 4:17 “Property” versus “contract”—The early stages of bankruptcy
- § 4:18 —When is the debtor’s license “property of the estate” that cannot be terminated without relief from the automatic stay?
- § 4:19 —When is the licensee’s alleged unauthorized use a taking of the debtor/licensor’s property?
- § 4:20 Interests of others in intellectual property—The early stages of bankruptcy
- § 4:21 —Actions and proceedings to enforce intellectual property and related rights
- § 4:22 —Enforcement stay in § 362(a)
- § 4:23 —Relief from stay for “cause”
- § 4:24 —Security interest and the automatic stay
- § 4:25 —Enforcement stay in § 362(a) applied to secured creditors
- § 4:26 —Relief from stay for the secured creditor: § 362(d)
- § 4:27 —Two options
- § 4:28 —No equity and no need
- § 4:29 —“for cause, including the lack of adequate protection”
- § 4:30 —2005 Reform Act provides for termination of the stay with respect to individual debtor’s “personal property” collateral or leased “personal property”
- § 4:31 Augmenting “property of the estate” by avoiding third party interests in intellectual property
- § 4:32 Avoidance powers and intellectual property—Strong arm clause: § 544(a)
- § 4:33 —In general
- § 4:34 —Transfers of copyright ownership
- § 4:35 —“Assignment, grant or conveyance” of a patent and the “assignment” of a trademark registration
- § 4:36 —Preferences: § 547
- § 4:37 —Statutory structure
- § 4:38 —The definition of a preference
- § 4:39 —Subsection (b) and the definition of a preference—The nature of the transfer
- § 4:40 —The significance of the “antecedent debt” requirement
- § 4:41 —Finding the time that the debt is incurred under § 547(b)(2)
- § 4:42 —Timing the transfer itself: The “perfection” rule in subsection (e)
- § 4:43 —Operation of the timing rule
- § 4:44 —Security interests in intellectual property that are perfected against the lien creditor by an Article Nine filing: Patents, trademarks, and state-created rights
- § 4:45 —Transfers of copyright ownership and § 547(e)
- § 4:46 —Payment transfers and § 547(e)

- § 4:47 — — — Exceptions for worthy preferential transfers in subsections (c)(1), (c)(2), (c)(4) and (c)(5)
- § 4:48 — — — Substantially contemporaneous exchanges and substitutions of collateral under subsection (c)(1)
- § 4:49 — — — Preferential transfers in the ordinary course under subsection (c)(2) and the 2005 Reform Act
- § 4:50 — — — Preferential transfers followed by new value under § 547(c)(4)
- § 4:51 — — — Floating lien exception in § 547(c)(5)
- § 4:52 — — — 2005 Reform Act: an exception for transfers with an “aggregate value” of less than \$6,425 made by a non-consumer debtor
- § 4:53 — — Preferential transfers of the underlying intellectual property
- § 4:54 — — Transfers intended for security
- § 4:55 — — Assignments and licenses not intended for security: more mischief caused by *Peregrine*?
- § 4:56 — — Royalties and other payment transfers by the debtor
- § 4:57 — Software escrow and the trustee’s avoidance powers
- § 4:58 — Nature of the escrow device
- § 4:59 — Escrow transfers as preferences: ownership and timing issues
- § 4:60 — — Escrow types
- § 4:61 — — A typical software escrow
- § 4:62 — Escrow vulnerability to the strong arm clause: § 544(a)
- § 4:63 — Licensee’s rights under § 365(n)(3) when the software escrow is unavoidable
- § 4:64 Use, sale, and licensing of intellectual property of the estate
- § 4:65 — Introduction to § 363
- § 4:66 — Overlap between § 363 and § 365
- § 4:67 Use, sale, and licensing of intellectual property under § 363—Two types
- § 4:68 — Ordinary course transactions
- § 4:69 — Other than ordinary course: § 363(b)(1)
- § 4:70 — Sale or assignment “free and clear” of other interests
- § 4:71 — Nonbankruptcy law restrictions on a § 363(b) assignment or license of intellectual property
- § 4:72 — Restrictive covenants and other restrictions on alienation
- § 4:73 — Trademarks: assignments in gross
- § 4:74 — Cash collateral

III. EXECUTORY CONTRACTS CONCERNING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY: REJECTION, ASSUMPTION, AND ASSIGNMENT UNDER § 365

- § 4:75 Introduction
- § 4:76 Defining the executory contract when intellectual property is involved
- § 4:77 Assumption or rejection—When the executory contract involves intellectual property
- § 4:78 — Timetable
- § 4:79 — Requirements for assumption
- § 4:80 — — § 365(b) conditions if the debtor is in default
- § 4:81 — — Contract or license is extant on the date of the petition: no prior termination
- § 4:82 — — Bankruptcy court’s approval of election to assume
- § 4:83 — Effect of assumption
- § 4:84 — — Creates an administrative obligation
- § 4:85 — — Property of the estate
- § 4:86 — Contracts and licenses that cannot be assumed under § 365(c)
- § 4:87 — — Cutting and pasting of § 365(c)(1)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:88	— — § 365(c)(1) cases
§ 4:89	— — — Hypothetical assignment test
§ 4:90	— — — Actual performance test
§ 4:91	— Assignment after assumption by trustee or debtor in possession
§ 4:92	— — Limited authorization in § 365(f)
§ 4:93	— — Reconciling subsection (f)(1)’s nullification of anti-assignment “applicable law” with subsection (c)(1)’s excuse under “applicable law”
§ 4:94	— — When does § 365(c)(1) prohibit the debtor/licensee from assigning a license?
§ 4:95	— Assignment of a license by the nondebtor/licensee
§ 4:96	— Adequate assurance requirement for assignment in § 365(f)(2)
§ 4:97	— Rejection distinguished from termination
§ 4:98	— Rejection—Decision to reject
§ 4:99	— — Consequences of rejection
§ 4:100	— — Nondebtor/licensees elections after a debtor/licensor rejects: § 365(n)
§ 4:101	— — — Summary of the election
§ 4:102	— — — Scope of the subsection (n) election
§ 4:103	— — — A rejecting licensor’s rights when the licensee elects retention
§ 4:104	— — — — Note on the language and legislative history
§ 4:105	— — — A rejecting licensor’s rights—Affirmative or negative obligations
§ 4:106	— — — — Pegging the licensee’s rights to the intellectual property as it existed on the petition date
§ 4:107	— — — — Effect of licensee retention on the residual rights of the licensor
§ 4:108	— — — — Licensor’s obligation to provide intellectual property and embodiments in support of licensee’s retained rights
§ 4:109	— — — — Licensor’s obligation not to interfere with the turnover by others of intellectual property and embodiments under supplementary agreements
§ 4:110	— — — Licensee’s obligations
§ 4:111	— — — — Obligation to pay royalties
§ 4:112	— — — — Nonroyalty obligations
§ 4:113	— — — What does the licensee give up when electing retention of rights?
§ 4:114	— — — — “Any right of setoff” is deemed waived: recoupment right distinguished
§ 4:115	— — — — Deemed waiver of any administrative expense claims
§ 4:116	— — — What happens with intellectual property that is outside the § 101(35A) “intellectual property” definition?
§ 4:117	“Gap period”—Prior to assumption or rejection
§ 4:118	— Nondebtor party’s obligations under a license in the gap period
§ 4:119	— — Range of nondebtor licensor and licensee performance obligations in the gap period
§ 4:120	— — — Risk of performing under the terms of a license in the gap
§ 4:121	— — — Nondebtor licensor’s performance
§ 4:122	— — — Nondebtor licensee’s performance
§ 4:123	— — — Developing case law doctrines on the nondebtor contracting party’s performance obligations prior to the debtor’s election
§ 4:124	— — When a nondebtor is obligated to pay money in the gap period
§ 4:125	— — — Debtor’s remedies
§ 4:126	— — — Nondebtor’s limited setoff rights under § 553
§ 4:127	— — — Nondebtor’s right of recoupment
§ 4:128	— Debtor’s obligations

IV. BANKRUPTCY DISCHARGE AND DEBTOR LIABILITY FOR INFRINGEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

§ 4:129	Scope and conditions of the discharge
---------	---------------------------------------

- § 4:130 Debtor's transfer or concealment of intellectual property as grounds for a denial of discharge
- § 4:131 —Debtor's fraudulent transfer
- § 4:132 —Debtor's fraudulent concealment: is it the estate's trade secret or the debtor's retained expertise?
- § 4:133 Exception from discharge in § 523(a)(4) and (a)(6) of the Bankruptcy Code
- § 4:134 Applying the § 523(a)(6) exception from discharge to the debtor's liability for the misappropriation or infringement of intellectual property rights
- § 4:135 —Misappropriation of a trade secret
- § 4:136 —Infringement of a federal statutory right in intellectual property

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Federal Intellectual Property Security Act

Appendix 2. Security Interests in Copyrights Financing Preservation Act

Table of Cases

Index