

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. AN OVERVIEW

I. NEPA’S ENVIRONMENTAL MANDATE

§ 1:1 Generally

II. NEPA’S ROLE IN PROTECTING THE ENVIRONMENT

§ 1:2 Generally

§ 1:3 How NEPA applies to federal agencies

§ 1:4 How NEPA applies to government and private projects

III. NEPA LITIGATION

§ 1:5 Generally

§ 1:6 Stages of NEPA jurisprudence

IV. STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACTS

§ 1:7 Generally

V. HOW THE BOOK IS ORGANIZED

§ 1:8 Generally

VI. A NEPA COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST

§ 1:9 Generally

CHAPTER 2. NEPA, ITS HISTORY, ADMINISTRATION, EXPERIENCE, AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW IN OTHER FEDERAL LEGISLATION

I. NEPA: AN ENVIRONMENTAL MAGNA CARTA

§ 2:1 The role and function of NEPA

§ 2:1.50 The 2023 amendments to NEPA

§ 2:1.60 The 2025 amendments to NEPA

§ 2:2 What the statute says

§ 2:2.50 Council on Environmental Quality Regulations

II. LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

§ 2:3 Legislative history summarized

§ 2:4 The Muskie-Jackson compromise

§ 2:5 What did Congress intend?

III. PURPOSES AND “PRACTICABLE MEANS”

§ 2:6 Generally

IV. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REQUIREMENTS

§ 2:7 Generally

V. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DECISION-MAKING RESPONSIBILITIES

§ 2:8 Generally

VI. COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

§ 2:9 Duties

§ 2:10 Regulations and guidances

§ 2:10.50 Repeal of CEQ Regulations and Lack of Authority

§ 2:11 Judicial reliance on guidelines and regulations

VII. CEQ STUDIES AND REPORTS, RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT IN NEPA'S IMPLEMENTATION, AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEPA REFORM

§ 2:12 The CEQ NEPA effectiveness study

§ 2:13 The CEQ Task Force Report and Council on Environmental Quality recommendations to carry out the Report

§ 2:14 Additional reports and studies

§ 2:15 House of Representatives NEPA task force and legislative hearings on NEPA

§ 2:16 Legislation Amending NEPA

§ 2:17 The Mt. Vernon Colloquium Report

VIII. NEPA LITIGATION

§ 2:18 The litigation record in the federal courts and the Supreme Court

IX. NEPA REGULATIONS OF FEDERAL AGENCIES

§ 2:19 Generally

X. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REVIEWS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

§ 2:20 Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and other responsibilities

§ 2:21 Effect of section 309 on agency actions

XI. NONDEROGATION AND THE "FULLEST EXTENT POSSIBLE" RULE: THE EFFECT OF NEPA ON OTHER STATUTORY DUTIES

§ 2:22 Generally

XII. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY EXEMPTION

§ 2:23 The exemption problem

§ 2:24 Clean Air Act

§ 2:25 Clean Water Act

XIII. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REQUIREMENTS IN OTHER FEDERAL LEGISLATION

§ 2:26 Selected legislative examples and streamlining

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 2:27	Park, natural resource area and historic site protection in Transportation Act: Section 4(f)
§ 2:28	Legislation affecting Section 4(f) requirements
§ 2:29	2008 revised regulations interpreting Section 4(f) responsibilities
§ 2:30	Highway and transportation legislation modifying NEPA
§ 2:31	“Fixing America’s Surface Transportation Act” or the “FAST Act”
§ 2:32	Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act of 2021
§ 2:33	National Historic Preservation Act
§ 2:34	Clean Water Act effluent limitations
§ 2:35	Dredge and fill permits under the Clean Water Act: Development in wetlands
§ 2:36	Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act
§ 2:37	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)
§ 2:38	Healthy Forests Restoration Act of 2003
§ 2:38.5	Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018
§ 2:39	Aviation Streamlining Approval Process Act of 2003
§ 2:40	Forest Service Facility Realignment Act
§ 2:41	Energy Policy Act of 2005
§ 2:42	Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act and border actions
§ 2:43	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Reauthorization Act of 2006
§ 2:44	The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act: The stimulus act
§ 2:45	The Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014
§ 2:46	America’s Waters Infrastructure Act of 2018

XIV. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REQUIREMENTS IN PRESIDENTIAL EXECUTIVE ORDERS

§ 2:47	Wetlands Executive Order
§ 2:48	Floodplains Executive Order
§ 2:49	Environmental Justice Executive Order of 1994 and 2021 Executive Order
§ 2:49.1	Environmental Justice Executive Order of 2023
§ 2:50	Executive Order on Protection of Children
§ 2:51	Executive Order on Invasive Species
§ 2:52	Executive Order for Protection of Migratory Birds
§ 2:53	Executive Orders on Environmental Streamlining
§ 2:54	Executive Order on Promoting American Seafood Competitiveness and Economic Growth

XV. THE TRUMP ENERGY ORDER

§ 2:55	Unleashing American Energy
--------	----------------------------

XVI. CURRENT STATUS OF AGENCY PROGRAMS

§ 2:56	Generally
--------	-----------

CHAPTER 3. JUDICIAL REVIEW UNDER NEPA

I. THE JUDICIAL REVIEW PROBLEM

§ 3:1	Generally
-------	-----------

II. DECISION MAKING UNDER NEPA

§ 3:2 Generally

III. JUDICIAL REVIEW UNDER NEPA

§ 3:3 Judicial Recognition of Judicial Enforcement: *Overton Park* and *Calvert Cliffs*

§ 3:4 The basis for judicial review of NEPA claims

IV. JUDICIAL REVIEW STANDARDS

§ 3:5 Administrative Procedure Act

§ 3:6 Law versus fact

§ 3:7 *Overton Park*

§ 3:8 “Hard look” doctrine

CHAPTER 4. NEPA LITIGATION

I. THE LITIGATION PROBLEM

§ 4:1 Generally

II. DEFENDANTS

§ 4:2 Federal defendants

§ 4:3 State, local, and regional government defendants

III. JURISDICTION

§ 4:4 Federal question statute

§ 4:5 District Court or Court of Appeal

§ 4:6 Advisory opinions

IV. CLASS ACTIONS

§ 4:7 Generally

V. IMPLIED CAUSES OF ACTION TO ENFORCE COMPLIANCE WITH IMPACT STATEMENTS

§ 4:8 Generally

VI. STANDING TO SUE

§ 4:9 General principles—Basis for standing

§ 4:10 —Decision on motions

§ 4:11 Supreme Court cases—*Sierra Club*: Injury in fact

§ 4:12 —*SCRAP I*: Causality and redressability

§ 4:13 —*Lujan*: Injury in fact

§ 4:14 —*Defenders of Wildlife*: Speculative and procedural injury

§ 4:15 —*Laidlaw*

§ 4:16 —*Massachusetts v. EPA*

§ 4:17 —*Summers v. Earth Island Institute*

§ 4:18 Constitutional standing requirements—Injury in fact

§ 4:19 —Informational and procedural injury as injury in fact

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:19.50	Special solicitude for governmental plaintiffs
§ 4:20	Constitutional standing requirements—Climate change as injury in fact
§ 4:21	—Enforcement of settlement agreement
§ 4:22	—Causality
§ 4:23	—Redressability
§ 4:24	Statutory and prudential standing requirements—NEPA and the zone of interest test
§ 4:25	NEPA and the zone of interest test—Injury to the urban environment
§ 4:26	—Socioeconomic injury
§ 4:27	—Economic injury
§ 4:28	Standing requirements applied—Organizations
§ 4:29	—State and local governments
§ 4:30	—Legislative proposals
§ 4:31	A NEPA citizen suit provision

VII. INTERVENTION

§ 4:32	Generally
§ 4:33	Whether standing is required for intervention
§ 4:34	Intervention as of right
§ 4:35	Permissive intervention

VIII. BARRIERS TO JUDICIAL REVIEW

§ 4:36	Exhaustion of remedies
§ 4:37	Failure to participate and issue exhaustion
§ 4:38	Ripeness, finality, and reviewability
§ 4:39	Primary jurisdiction
§ 4:40	Moot claims
§ 4:41	Laches—General principles
§ 4:42	—Factors considered
§ 4:43	—NEPA exception
§ 4:44	Statute of limitations

IX. LITIGATION PRACTICE

§ 4:45	When evidence may supplement the administrative record—General principles
§ 4:46	—Extra-record evidence in NEPA cases
§ 4:47	Complaint
§ 4:48	—Introductory statement
§ 4:49	—Jurisdiction
§ 4:50	—Parties
§ 4:51	—Factual background
§ 4:52	—Cause of action and judicial relief
§ 4:53	Answer
§ 4:54	Discovery
§ 4:55	Freedom of Information Act
§ 4:56	Motion to dismiss and summary judgment
§ 4:57	Expert witnesses—The expert witness problem
§ 4:58	—General principles for examination
§ 4:59	—Direct examination: The Daubert Rule
§ 4:60	—Cross-examination

§ 4:61 Burden of proof

X. REMEDIES

- § 4:62 Types of remedies available
- § 4:63 Temporary restraining orders
- § 4:64 Preliminary injunctions—Bonds
- § 4:65 —General principles
- § 4:66 —NEPA exception
- § 4:67 —Effect of *Weinberger v. Romero-Barcelo* and *Amoco*
- § 4:68 —*Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council*
- § 4:69 —Probable success on merits
- § 4:70 The Impact of *Winter* on Previous Cases
- § 4:71 Preliminary injunctions—Irreparable harm
- § 4:72 —Harm to defendant and balance of harms
- § 4:73 —Public interest
- § 4:74 —Scope of remedy; remands
- § 4:75 —Curing NEPA violations
- § 4:76 Remand with and without vacatur
- § 4:77 Permanent injunction and remands
- § 4:78 Permanent injunction—*Monsanto Co. v. Geertson Seed Farms*
- § 4:79 Satisfaction of injunction
- § 4:80 Declaratory judgment
- § 4:81 Mandamus
- § 4:82 Unreasonable delay and compelling agency action under the Administrative Procedure Act
- § 4:83 Stay or injunction pending appeal
- § 4:84 Contempt proceedings
- § 4:85 Harmless error

XI. SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT DECREES

- § 4:86 Generally

XII. ENFORCEMENT OF IMPACT STATEMENTS

- § 4:87 Generally

XIII. ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES

- § 4:88 General principles
- § 4:89 Equal Access to Justice Act [EAJA]—Statutory provisions
- § 4:90 —Prevailing parties
- § 4:91 —Defenses to fee awards
- § 4:92 —Costs and expenses

CHAPTER 5. WHEN NEPA APPLIES

I. AN INTRODUCTORY NOTE

- § 5:1 Generally

II. RETROACTIVE APPLICATION

- § 5:2 How the problem arises

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 5:3 Projects commenced before NEPA was enacted
- § 5:4 Ongoing projects
- § 5:5 Project changes

III. EXEMPTIONS, CONFLICT AND SUPPLEMENTATION

- § 5:6 Statutory exemptions
- § 5:7 Implied exemption through appropriation
- § 5:8 Supplementation and implied repeal
- § 5:9 Certification under the Clean Water Act
- § 5:10 Statutory conflict—Flint Ridge
- § 5:11 —Whether NEPA compliance is precluded
- § 5:12 Endangered Species Act
- § 5:13 Statutory conflict—Time constraints
- § 5:14 Federal assistance to state and local governments
- § 5:15 National security
- § 5:16 Functional equivalence
- § 5:17 Emergencies

IV. “NEPA ABROAD”: EXTRATERRITORIAL IMPACTS OF AGENCY ACTIONS

- § 5:18 Statutory requirements
- § 5:19 Court decisions
- § 5:20 Executive order

V. TRANSBOUNDARY IMPACTS

- § 5:21 Generally

VI. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF TRADE AGREEMENTS

- § 5:22 Generally

CHAPTER 6. ENVIRONMENTAL DECISION-MAKING RESPONSIBILITIES

I. AN INTRODUCTORY NOTE

- § 6:1 Generally

II. SYSTEMATIC, INTERDISCIPLINARY APPROACH TO INTEGRATE ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES IN DECISION MAKING: SECTION 102(2)(A)

- § 6:2 Generally

III. PROCEDURES TO INSURE CONSIDERATION OF UNQUANTIFIED ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES: SECTION 102(2)(B)

- § 6:3 Generally

CHAPTER 7. THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS

I. AN INTRODUCTORY NOTE

- § 7:1 Generally
- § 7:2 Efficiency in the NEPA Process
- § 7:3 CEQ Guidance on Establishing Metrics for the Permitting and Environmental Review of Infrastructure Projects

II. LEAD AND COOPERATING AGENCIES AND OTHER AGENCY RELATIONSHIP ISSUES

- § 7:4 Generally
- § 7:5 Lead and cooperating agency responsibilities under the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users
- § 7:6 Reliance on other agencies
- § 7:7 Adoption of another agency's impact statement

III. DELEGATING THE DUTY TO PREPARE

- § 7:8 The delegation problem
- § 7:9 Delegation to private applicants
- § 7:10 Delegation to consultants and contractors
- § 7:11 Delegation to state or local agencies—Court decisions
- § 7:12 —NEPA amendment authorizing delegation to state agencies
- § 7:13 —Department of Housing and Community Development

IV. THE ENVIRONMENTAL DECISION-MAKING PROCESS

- § 7:14 Timing: When does the environmental review process begin?
- § 7:15 Categorical exclusions
- § 7:16 —Statutory exclusions
- § 7:17 —Use, abuse, and proposals for reform
- § 7:18 Categorical exclusions-CEQ guidance
- § 7:19 Environmental assessments, FONSI and NOIs
- § 7:20 Scoping
- § 7:21 Draft, final, and supplemental impact statements
- § 7:22 Adaptive management and environmental management systems
- § 7:23 Comments on impact statements
- § 7:24 Comments on environmental assessments
- § 7:25 Agency procedures: required
- § 7:26 —Public Participation
- § 7:27 Record of decision and statement of reasons
- § 7:28 Information quality

V. REFERRALS TO COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

- § 7:29 Generally

VI. FEDERAL-STATE-LOCAL COOPERATION

- § 7:30 Generally

CHAPTER 8. THE THRESHOLD QUESTION: MUST AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT BE PREPARED?

I. AN INTRODUCTORY NOTE

§ 8:1 Generally

II. JUDICIAL REVIEW STANDARDS

§ 8:2 *Overton Park*

§ 8:3 Review of agency record or district court decision

§ 8:4 Law versus fact distinction

§ 8:5 Arbitrary and capricious standard—Pre-*Marsh* cases

§ 8:6 —*Marsh v. Oregon Natural Resources Council*

§ 8:7 —Post-*Marsh* Cases: when and how the “arbitrary and capricious” standard applies

§ 8:8 Reasonableness standard

§ 8:9 Clear error of judgment standard

§ 8:10 Substantial evidence standard

§ 8:11 Rational basis standard

§ 8:12 De novo review

§ 8:13 “Hard Look” doctrine

III. THE TIMING PROBLEM: IS THERE A PROPOSAL?

§ 8:14 The “proposal” problem

§ 8:15 The *SIFI* case

§ 8:16 *SCRAP (II)*, *Kleppe*, and *Andrus*

§ 8:17 How the “proposal” requirement has been applied

§ 8:18 The timing issue: “irretrievable commitment of resources”

IV. IS THERE A “FEDERAL” ACTION?

§ 8:19 What this requirement means

§ 8:20 Federal permits, approvals, and control: The “small handle” problem

§ 8:21 Financial assistance and partnership

§ 8:22 Planning programs

§ 8:23 Defederalizing federally funded programs

V. IS THERE AN “ACTION”?

§ 8:24 What this requirement means

§ 8:25 Nondiscretionary action

§ 8:26 *Department of Transportation v. Public Citizen*: Lack of authority and causation

§ 8:27 Inaction

§ 8:28 *Norton v. Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance (SUWA)* and the inaction problem

§ 8:29 Post-SUWA cases

§ 8:30 Agency enforcement actions and litigation settlement agreements

§ 8:31 Rules and regulations

§ 8:32 Refusals to implement NEPA through rule making

§ 8:33 Proposals for legislation

§ 8:34 Congressional appropriations

VI. IS THERE A “MAJOR” FEDERAL ACTION “SIGNIFICANTLY” AFFECTING THE QUALITY OF THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT?

- § 8:35 What this requirement means
- § 8:36 Dual and unitary standards
- § 8:37 Is the action “major”?
- § 8:38 —Is there a “significant” effect on the quality of the human environment?
- § 8:39 — —Council on Environmental Quality and agency regulations
- § 8:40 — — —Precedential effect of agency actions
- § 8:41 — —Judicial criteria for significance
- § 8:42 No change in environmental conditions

VII. ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS COVERED BY NEPA

- § 8:43 Environmental effects covered: direct and beneficial effects
- § 8:44 Causation requirement
- § 8:45 Quality of urban life
- § 8:46 Inner city decline
- § 8:47 Growth and development
- § 8:48 Socioeconomic effects
- § 8:49 Aesthetic effects
- § 8:50 Class and racial effects
- § 8:51 Psychological effects: *Metropolitan Edison (PANE)*
- § 8:52 Low probability risk and uncertain environmental effects
- § 8:53 “Controversial” actions
- § 8:54 Terrorist risks
- § 8:55 Climate change and greenhouse gases in NEPA reviews: CEQ guidance
- § 8:56 Climate change and greenhouse gases in NEPA reviews: case law

VIII. THE SIGNIFICANCE DETERMINATION

- § 8:57 Standards for the judicial review of findings of no significance and CEQ regulations for the significance decision
- § 8:58 Programmatic environmental assessments and site-specific effects
- § 8:59 Findings of no significant effect upheld
- § 8:60 Reliance on other agencies
- § 8:61 Reliance on state agencies
- § 8:62 Findings of no significant effect reversed
- § 8:63 Temporary environmental effects
- § 8:64 Environmental effects in urban environments
- § 8:65 Environmental effects in natural environments
- § 8:66 Land use and zoning
- § 8:67 Effects on competition
- § 8:68 Mitigation measures
- § 8:69 Mitigation and monitoring guidance
- § 8:70 Bias and predetermination
- § 8:71 Supplemental environmental assessments

IX. RELATED ISSUES CONCERNING ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS

- § 8:72 Cumulative impacts, alternatives, supplemental environmental assessments, and other environmental issues

CHAPTER 9. SCOPE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

I. AN INTRODUCTORY NOTE

§ 9:1 Generally

II. PROGRAM IMPACT STATEMENTS

§ 9:2 The program impact statement requirement

§ 9:3 CEQ's program impact statement guidance

§ 9:4 When a program impact statement is necessary

§ 9:5 *Kleppe*

§ 9:6 Council on Environmental Quality regulations: programmatic and
"connected and cumulative" actions

§ 9:7 National and regional plans

§ 9:8 Geographically related actions

§ 9:9 Technology development

§ 9:10 Generically related activities

§ 9:11 Scope and detail of program impact statements

III. POST-PROGRAM IMPACT STATEMENTS AND TIERING

§ 9:12 Generally

§ 9:13 Scope and adequacy: tiering to non-NEPA documents

IV. SEGMENTATION

§ 9:14 The segmentation problem

§ 9:15 Council on Environmental Quality regulations

§ 9:16 Highway segmentation—Factors considered

§ 9:17 —Highway segmentation disapproved

§ 9:18 —Highway segmentation approved

§ 9:19 Other projects

§ 9:20 Multistage projects

V. ALTERNATIVES

§ 9:21 What this requirement means

§ 9:22 *Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. Morton*

§ 9:23 *Vermont Yankee*

§ 9:24 Discussion of alternatives—Generally

§ 9:25 Alternatives under § 102(2)(H)

§ 9:26 Purpose and need statement and the scope of the alternatives agencies
must consider

§ 9:27 Applicant determination of purpose and need

§ 9:28 Alternatives that only partially meet an agency's purpose and need

§ 9:29 Agency statutory objectives as determining purpose and need

§ 9:30 Purpose and need statements in environmental assessments

§ 9:31 Alternatives that must be considered

CHAPTER 10. WHAT IS AN ADEQUATE IMPACT STATEMENT?

I. AN INTRODUCTORY NOTE

§ 10:1 Generally

II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODS

- § 10:2 An overview
- § 10:3 Checklist methods
- § 10:4 Matrix methods
- § 10:5 Network methods
- § 10:6 Overlay methods
- § 10:7 Modeling
- § 10:8 An iterative NEPA process, or iNEPA
- § 10:9 Adaptive management

III. JUDICIAL REVIEW OF IMPACT STATEMENTS

§ 10:10 Generally

IV. SUBSTANTIVE JUDICIAL REVIEW

- § 10:11 The basis for substantive review
- § 10:12 Early lower court cases
- § 10:13 Kleppe and Vermont Yankee
- § 10:14 Strycker's Bay
- § 10:15 Baltimore Gas & Electric v. NRDC
- § 10:16 Methow Valley
- § 10:17 Later lower court cases

V. IS THE IMPACT STATEMENT ADEQUATE?: PROCEDURAL JUDICIAL REVIEW STANDARDS

§ 10:18 Generally

VI. COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REGULATIONS AND GUIDANCES AND FEMA GUIDANCE

- § 10:19 Regulations
- § 10:20 Guidance—Pollution prevention
- § 10:21 —Biodiversity

VII. UNCERTAINTY, RISK, AND WORST CASE ANALYSIS

- § 10:22 Uncertainty and delay
- § 10:23 CEQ worst case regulation
- § 10:24 Worst case regulation revoked: Agency duties when information incomplete or unavailable
- § 10:25 *Baltimore Gas & Electric*: Environmental risk in rule-making

VIII. COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

§ 10:26 What cost-benefit analysis is

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 10:27 When required
- § 10:28 Cost-benefit analysis reviewed

IX. ALTERNATIVES

- § 10:29 The alternatives requirement: adequacy of discussion
- § 10:30 Council on Environmental Quality regulations
- § 10:31 When an agency can eliminate an alternative
- § 10:32 No-action alternative
- § 10:33 Range of alternatives that must be discussed
- § 10:34 Primary alternatives
- § 10:35 Secondary alternatives

X. DIRECT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

- § 10:36 What this requirement means; foreseeability
- § 10:37 What an impact statement must contain: Site-specific analysis, scientific judgment, uncertainty, and other requirements
- § 10:38 Climate change and greenhouse gases
- § 10:39 Consideration of project impacts on climate change
- § 10:40 Consideration of the effects of climate change on a proposed action and its environmental impacts
- § 10:41 Court decisions on agency responsibilities to consider climate change
- § 10:42 Highways, rail projects and bridges
- § 10:43 Dams and reservoirs
- § 10:44 River, water and wetlands projects
- § 10:45 Forest, wilderness, and park areas, and publicly-owned lands
- § 10:46 Offshore leases
- § 10:47 Projects in urban areas
- § 10:48 Nuclear projects
- § 10:49 Miscellaneous projects
- § 10:50 Reliance on state, regional and local plans and studies, and impact statements
- § 10:51 Incorporation by reference

XI. INDIRECT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

- § 10:52 Generally

XII. CUMULATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS AND IMPACTS, AND “CONNECTED” AND “CUMULATIVE” ACTIONS

- § 10:53 Generally
- § 10:54 Duty to discuss past cumulative impacts
- § 10:55 What cumulative impacts must be included: scope of the discussion
- § 10:56 Adequacy of the discussion
- § 10:57 Whether “proposed” or “reasonably foreseeable” actions must be discussed
- § 10:58 “Connected,” “cumulative” and “similar” actions

XIII. MITIGATION MEASURES

- § 10:59 Methow Valley
- § 10:60 Adequacy of discussion
- § 10:61 CEQ mitigation and monitoring guidance

XIV. METHODOLOGY

§ 10:62 Generally

XV. BIAS, PREDETERMINATION AND PRIOR COMMITMENT

§ 10:63 Generally

XVI. SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTATION

§ 10:64 Generally

XVII. RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

§ 10:65 Generally

XVIII. SUPPLEMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

§ 10:66 What this requirement means

§ 10:67 Ongoing federal action requirement: *Norton v. Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance (SUWA)*

§ 10:68 When must a supplemental impact statement be prepared: *Marsh v. Oregon Natural Resources* and the standard of judicial review

§ 10:69 Alternate non-NEPA procedures to determine whether a supplemental environmental impact statement must be prepared

§ 10:70 New circumstances or information

§ 10:71 Substantial change

§ 10:72 Mitigation

CHAPTER 11. EVALUATION: THE EFFECT OF NEPA ON FEDERAL AGENCIES

I. EVALUATING NEPA

§ 11:1 Generally

II. COMMENTARY ON NEPA AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CHANGE

§ 11:2 Detractors and defenders

§ 11:3 Evaluation of NEPA and recommendations for its improvement

III. STUDIES OF NEPA'S EFFECT ON AGENCY DECISION MAKING

§ 11:4 An overview

§ 11:5 Studies of NEPA's effect on federal agency decision making

§ 11:6 The Taylor study

§ 11:7 The Ackerman critique

CHAPTER 12. STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACTS

I. AN INTRODUCTORY NOTE

§ 12:1 Generally

II. STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY LEGISLATION

- § 12:2 Summary table
- § 12:3 California
- § 12:4 New York
- § 12:5 Washington

III. JUDICIAL REVIEW AND REMEDIES

- § 12:6 Availability of review and remedies—Judicial review
- § 12:7 —Remedies
- § 12:8 Standing
- § 12:9 Judicial review standards

IV. STATUTORY CONFLICTS AND EQUIVALENCE

- § 12:10 Generally

V. ACTIONS AND PROJECTS

- § 12:11 What is included
- § 12:12 Exemptions and exclusions
- § 12:13 Land use planning and control
- § 12:14 Timing

VI. THE SIGNIFICANCE DETERMINATION

- § 12:15 Generally
- § 12:16 Climate Change
- § 12:17 California
- § 12:18 New York
- § 12:19 Washington

VII. SCOPE OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

- § 12:20 Program statements, cumulative impacts, and tiering
- § 12:21 Segmentation and multistage projects
- § 12:22 Alternatives
- § 12:23 Socio-economic impacts

VIII. ADEQUACY AND EFFECT OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

- § 12:24 Generally
- § 12:25 California
- § 12:26 New York
- § 12:27 Washington

IX. SUPPLEMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

- § 12:28 In general
- § 12:29 California
- § 12:30 New York
- § 12:31 Washington

CHAPTER 13. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT IN THE EUROPEAN UNION, THE UNITED KINGDOM AND CANADA

I. IN GENERAL

§ 13:1 Introduction

II. THE EUROPEAN UNION DIRECTIVES

§ 13:2 Generally: Project assessment

§ 13:3 Strategic assessment

§ 13:4 Implementation of and compliance with directives: In general

§ 13:5 Interpretation of the directives: Judgments and guidance

§ 13:6 Appropriate assessment under the EU Habitats Directive

III. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT IN THE UNITED KINGDOM (ENGLAND)

§ 13:7 Environmental assessment in a post-Brexit UK

§ 13:8 National legislation: outline

§ 13:9 When an environmental assessment is necessary

§ 13:10 The environmental impact assessment process

§ 13:11 If projects are consented without a required assessment?

§ 13:12 Strategic environmental assessment: England

§ 13:13 Environmental assessment and climate change

§ 13:14 “Appropriate assessment” under the Habitats Directive: England

IV. THE CANADIAN IMPACT ASSESSMENT ACT

§ 13:15 Evolution of federal environmental assessment (EA) in Canada

§ 13:16 CEAA 1995: When did the Act Apply?

§ 13:17 CEAA 1995: Process Options

§ 13:18 The New Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (CEAA 2012)

§ 13:19 CEAA 2012: Who is Responsible for Federal EAs?

§ 13:20 CEAA 2012: When does the Act apply?

§ 13:21 CEAA 2012: Process Options and Features

§ 13:22 CEAA 2012: The “Standard” EA Process

§ 13:23 CEAA 2012: Panel Reviews

§ 13:24 CEAA 2012: Decision-making

§ 13:25 CEAA 2012: The Scope of EA

§ 13:26 CEAA 2012: Harmonization with Provincial EAs

§ 13:27 CEAA 2012: Public Engagement in the Process

§ 13:28 CEAA 2012: Case Law

§ 13:29 Bill C-69: The Proposed Impact Assessment Act (IAA)

§ 13:30 Bill C-69: Triggers, Application of the Assessment Process under the IAA

§ 13:31 Bill C-69: The Assessment Planning Process under the IAA

§ 13:32 Bill C-69: The Standard (Agency) Assessment Process under the IAA

§ 13:33 Bill C-69: The Panel Review Process under the IAA

§ 13:34 Bill C-69: Harmonization of Multi-Jurisdictional Assessments under the IAA

§ 13:35 Bill C-69: Project Assessment Decision-Making under the IAA

§ 13:36 Bill C-69: Strategic and Regional Assessments under the IAA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 13:37 Bill C-69: Amendments to the IAA Proposed by the Senate
- § 13:38 The IAA comes into force
- § 13:39 Constitutional challenge to the IAA
- § 13:40 The Supreme Court of Canada finds the IAA is unconstitutional in part
- § 13:41 Canada issues its first response to the Supreme Court's 2023 ruling
- § 13:42 Canada amends the IAA

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A. The National Environmental Policy Act
- APPENDIX B. NEPA Exemption under the Clean Air Act
- APPENDIX C. NEPA Exemption under the Clean Water Act
- APPENDIX D. Delegation of NEPA Responsibilities under the Housing and Community Development Act
- APPENDIX E. Council on Environmental Quality Regulations
- APPENDIX F. Preamble to Final CEQ NEPA Regulations (43 Fed. Reg. 55978 (Nov. 29, 1978))
- APPENDIX G. EPA Section 309 Rating and Adequacy Criteria
- APPENDIX H. Forty Most Asked Questions Concerning CEQ's National Environmental Policy Act Regulations
- APPENDIX I. Guidance Regarding NEPA Regulations
- APPENDIX J. Proposed Amendment to Worst Case Analysis Regulation
- APPENDIX K. Final Amendment Revoking Worst Case Analysis Regulation
- APPENDIX L. Excerpts from Environmental Justice Executive Order and White House Implementing Memorandum
- APPENDIX M. Sections of the National Environmental Policy Act and their corresponding sections in the United States Code
- APPENDIX N. Comments on the Council on Environmental Quality NPRM
- APPENDIX O. Council on Environmental Quality: Update to the Regulations Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act
- APPENDIX P. Amendments to NEPA under the Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023, Pub. L. No. 118-5, 137 Stat. 10 (H.R. 3746)
- APPENDIX Q. Final Rule, National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Regulations Revisions (87 Fed. Reg. 23453)

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index