

# Index

## ACTIONS

See **Litigation Under Act** (this index)  
Biden-Harris permitting plan, **2:55**

## ADMINISTRATION OF ACT

Adaptive management and environmental management system, **7:22**  
Adoption of another agency's impact statement, **7:7**  
Agency procedures, required, **7:25**  
Appeals. See **Judicial Review** (this index)  
Attorneys' fees  
    generally, **4:89 et seq.**  
    see also **Equal Access to Justice Act** (this index)  
Categorical exclusions from decision-making process, **7:18 et seq.**  
Clean Air Act Section 309 reviews, **2:20**  
Comments on  
    environmental assessments, **7:24**  
    impact statements, **7:23, 10:65**  
Congressional intent, administration of, **2:5**  
Consultants, delegations to, **7:10**  
Contractors, delegations to, **7:10**  
Costs  
    generally, **4:89 et seq.**  
    see also **Equal Access to Justice Act** (this index)  
Council. See **Council on Environmental Quality** (this index)  
Current status of EPA programs, **2:56**  
Decision-making processes  
    generally, **7:14 et seq.**  
    adaptive management, **7:22**  
    categorical exclusions, **7:18 et seq.**  
    CEQ guidance on categorical exclusions, **7:18**  
    comments on environmental assessments, **7:24**  
    comments on statements, **7:23**  
    draft statements, **7:21**  
    environmental management system, **7:22**  
    final statements, **7:21**  
    FONSI assessments, **7:19**  
    information quality, **7:28**  
    judicial review under NEPA, **3:3**  
    NOI assessments, **7:19**  
    repetitive agency activities, use of programmatic reviews, **9:3**  
    scoping, **7:20**  
    statutory exclusions, **7:16**  
    supplemental statements, **7:21, 8:71**

## ADMINISTRATION OF ACT—Cont'd

Decision-making processes—Cont'd  
    use, abuse, and proposals for reform, categorical exclusions, **7:17**  
Decision making responsibilities, **2:8, 6:1**  
Delegations to  
    consultants, **7:10**  
    contractors, **7:10**  
Department of Housing and Community Development, delegations to, **7:13, App. D**  
Draft statements, **7:21**  
EIS reviews. See **Reviews of Statements** (this index)  
Enforcement of EISs, **4:88**  
Environmental  
    management system, **7:22**  
    values and decision making, **6:2**  
EPA. See **Environmental Protection Agency** (this index)  
Executive orders. See **Executive Orders** (this index)  
Exemptions  
    generally, **2:23 et seq., 5:6 et seq.**  
    see also **Exempt Projects** (this index)  
Exhaustion of remedies, **4:37**  
Extraterritorial impacts of Agency actions, **5:18 et seq.**  
Federal agencies, **1:3**  
Final  
    agency action, **4:39**  
    statements, **7:21**  
FONSI assessments, **7:19**  
Foreign impacts of Agency actions, **5:18 et seq.**  
Freedom of Information Act, use of, **4:56**  
Government projects, **1:4**  
Guidelines. See **Guidance, CEQ** (this index)  
Hard look doctrine  
    generally, **3:8**  
    when EIS required, **8:13**  
Informal decision making  
    agency procedures, generally, **7:25 et seq.**  
    notice, **7:26**  
    procedures required, **7:25**  
    public participation, **7:26**  
    records, **7:27**  
    statements of reasons, **7:27**  
Information quality, **7:28**  
Injunctions  
    generally, **4:65 et seq.**  
    see also **Injunctions** (this index)

## ADMINISTRATION OF ACT—Cont'd

Injunctions—Cont'd  
 appeal, stay or injunction pending, **4:84**  
 Interdisciplinary approach to decision making, **6:2**  
 Laches  
   generally, **4:42**  
   factors considered, **4:43**  
   NEPA exception, **4:44**  
 Lead and cooperating agency problem, **7:4**  
 Limitation of actions, **4:45**  
 Litigation record, **2:18**  
 Local agencies, delegations to, **7:11 et seq.**  
 Mandamus, **4:82**  
 Moot claims, **4:41**  
 NOI assessments, **7:19**  
 Nonderogation rule, **2:22**  
 Notice, **7:26**  
 Planning decisions, ripeness and review, **4:39**  
 Preliminary injunctions  
   generally, **4:65 et seq.**  
   see also **Injunctions** (this index)  
 Private  
   applicants, delegations to, **7:9**  
   projects, **1:4**  
 Problems of delegation, **7:8**  
 Programs, current status, **2:56**  
 Public participation, **7:26**  
 Purpose and need statements in environmental assessments, **9:30**  
 Records  
   generally, **7:27**  
   evidence, **4:46, 4:47**  
 Refusals to implement Act, **8:32**  
 Regulations  
   see also **Regulations, CEQ** (this index)  
   judicial reliance, **2:11**  
 Reliance on other agencies, **7:6**  
 Responses to comments, **10:65**  
 Review process  
   generally, **7:1 et seq.**  
   adaptive management, **7:22**  
   adoption of another agency's impact statement, **7:7**  
   categorical exclusions from decision-making process, **7:18 et seq.**  
   CEQ referrals, **7:29**  
   comments on impact statements, **7:23**  
   consultants, delegations to, **7:10**  
   contractors, delegations to, **7:10**  
   decision making processes, **7:14 et seq.**  
   delegating duty to prepare, **7:8 et seq.**  
   Department of Housing and Community Development, delegations to, **7:13, App. D**

## ADMINISTRATION OF ACT—Cont'd

Review process—Cont'd  
   draft statement assessments, **7:21**  
   efficiency, **7:2**  
   environmental management system, **7:22**  
   federal-state-local cooperation, **7:30**  
   final statement assessments, **7:21**  
   FONSI assessments, **7:19**  
   informal decision making, **7:25 et seq.**  
   information quality, **7:28**  
   infrastructure projects, guidance for review, **7:3**  
   lead and cooperating agency problem, **7:4**  
   local agencies, delegations to, **7:11 et seq.**  
   metrics for permitting and review, **7:3**  
   NOI assessments, **7:19**  
   other agencies, reliance on, **7:6**  
   private applicants, delegation to, **7:9**  
   problems of delegation, **7:8**  
   programmatic actions, **4:39**  
   staff, delegations to, **7:11 et seq.**  
   supplemental statement assessments, **7:21, 8:71**  
 Ripeness, generally, **4:39**  
 Role in protecting environment, **1:2**  
 Scope of Act. See **Scope of Act** (this index)  
 Settlements, **4:87**  
 Staff, delegations to, **7:11 et seq.**  
 Statements of reasons, **7:27**  
 Statute of limitations, **4:45**  
 Statutory exclusions from decision-making processes, **7:16**  
 Stay or injunction pending appeal, **4:84**  
 Supplemental statements, **7:21, 8:71, 8:72**  
 Systematic approach to decision making, **6:2**  
 Text of statute, **App. A**  
 The role and function of NEPA, **2:1 et seq.**  
 Transboundary impacts of Agency actions, **5:21**  
 Unquantified environmental values, consideration of, **6:3**  
 Unreasonable delay, **4:83**  
 Use, abuse, and proposals for reform, categorical exclusions from decision-making processes, **7:17**  
 When EISs required  
   generally, **8:1 et seq.**  
   see also **Environmental Impact Statements** (this index)

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT

Generally, **3:5**  
 Compelling Agency action, **4:83**

## ADVISORY OPINIONS

Generally, **4:6**  
 Other agencies, **8:60**

**AESTHETICS EFFECTS OF PROJECTS**

When EISs required, **8:49**

**AGRICULTURE**

Improvement Act 2018, **2:38.5**

**AGRICULTURE IMPROVEMENT ACT**

Generally, **2:38.5**

**ALTERNATIVES**

See **Environmental Impact Statements** (this index)

**AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT**

Reviews of statements, **2:44**

**AMERICA’S WATERS INFRASTRUCTURE ACT OF 2018**

Reviews of statements, **2:46**

**APPEALS**

See **Judicial Review** (this index)

**APPROPRIATIONS REQUESTS**

Environmental impact statement requirement, **8:34**

**“ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS STANDARD”**

Environmental impact statements (EIS), judicial review standards, **8:7**

**ATTORNEYS’ FEES**

Generally, **4:89 et seq.**

See also **Equal Access to Justice Act** (this index)

**AVIATION STREAMLINING APPROVAL PROCESS ACT**

Generally, **2:39**

**BALANCE OF HARMS**

Litigation under NEPA, harm to defendant and balance of harms, **4:73**

**BENEFIT OR BENEFICIAL**

Environmental impact statements (EIS), consideration of environmental effects, **8:43**

**BIAS**

Environmental impact statements (EIS), **8:70, 10:63**

**BIDEN-HARRIS PERMITTING ACTION PLAN**

Generally, **2:55**

**BIODIVERSITY**

Environmental impact statement requirements, **10:21**

**BRIDGE PROJECTS**

Direct environmental effects, consideration of, **10:42**

**BURDEN OF PROOF**

Generally, **4:62**

**CANADA AMENDS**

Canadian impact assessment act, **13:42**

**CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT ACT**

Generally, **13:15 et seq.**

Amendments, proposed, **13:37**

Application of Act

CEAA 1995, **13:16**

CEAA 2012, **13:20**

Bill C-69, IAA

generally, **13:29 et seq.**

amendments, proposed, **13:37**

assessment process

agency, **13:32**

application, **13:30**

planning, **13:31**

standard, **13:32**

decision making, project assessment, **13:35**

enactment and applicability date, **13:38**

panel review process, **13:33**

proposed Impact Assessment Act (IAA), **13:29**

regional assessments, **13:36**

strategic assessments, **13:36**

triggers, **13:30**

CEAA 1995

application of Act, **13:16**

process options, **13:17**

CEAA 2012

generally, **13:18 et seq.**

application of Act, **13:20**

case law, **13:28**

decision-making, **13:24**

environmental assessment process options and features, **13:21**

harmonization with provincial EAs, **13:26**

jurisdictional issues, **13:26**

panel reviews, **13:23**

public engagement, **13:27**

responsibility for federal EAs, **13:19**

scope of EAs, **13:25**

standard EA process, **13:22**

Constitutional challenge, **13:39**

Decision-making under CEAA 2012, **13:24**

Environmental assessment (EA)

generally, **13:15**

process

CEAA 1995, **13:17**

CEAA 2012, **13:21**

Evolution of federal environmental assessment (EA), **13:15**

Harmonization with provincial EAs, **13:26**

Interjurisdictional issues, **13:26**

**CANADIAN ENVIRONMENTAL  
ASSESSMENT ACT—Cont’d**

Panel reviews, **13:23**  
 Provincial EAs, harmonization with federal EAs,  
**13:26**  
 Public engagement, **13:27**  
 Responsibility for federal EAs under CEAA  
 2012, **13:19**  
 Scope of EAs under CEAA 2012, **13:25**  
 Standard EA process, CEAA 2012, **13:22**  
 Supreme court, Canada  
 IAA, unconstitutional in part, **13:40**  
 Supreme Courts 2023 ruling  
 Canada first response issued, **13:41**

**CANADIAN IMPACT ASSESSMENT ACT**  
 Canada amends, **13:42**

**CASE ANALYSIS**

Worst, Uncertainty and delay, **10:22**

**CAUSES OF ACTION**

See **Litigation Under Act** (this index); **Standing  
to Sue** (this index)

**CHILDREN, PROTECTION OF**

Executive orders, **2:50**

**CLASS ACTIONS**

Generally, **4:7**

**CLASS EFFECTS OF PROJECTS**

When EISs required, **8:50**

**CLEAN AIR ACT**

NEPA exemptions, **App. B**  
 NEPA exemptions, **2:23**  
 Section 309 reviews, **2:20**

**CLEAN WATER ACT**

Certifications, **5:9**  
 Effluent limitations, **2:34**  
 Exempt projects, **5:9**  
 NEPA exemptions, **App. C**  
 NEPA exemptions, **2:25**  
 Review requirements  
 effluent limitations, **2:34**

**CLIMATE CHANGE**

Environmental impact statements (eis), **8:65**,  
**8:66**  
 Significant effect determinations, **12:15**

**COMMITTED TO AGENCY DISCRETION**

Overton Park and Calvert Cliffs case, **3:3**

**COMPREHENSIVE RESPONSE,  
COMPENSATION, AND LIABILITY ACT  
(CERCLA)**

Review requirements, **2:37**

**CONGRESSIONAL INTENT**

Legislative history of Act, **2:5**  
 Practicable means, **2:5**  
 Standing, proposals, **4:31**

**“CONNECTED AND CUMULATIVE”  
ACTIONS**

Council on Environmental Quality, programmatic  
 and “connected and cumulative” actions,  
**9:6**  
 Environmental impact statements, **9:6, 10:58**

**CONSTRUCTION AND INTERPRETATION**

Actions subject to Act, **8:24**  
 Alternatives to proposed projects, **9:21 et seq.**  
 Committed to agency discretion, construction of  
 term, **3:3**  
 Congressional intent, **2:5**  
 Discretion of EPA, **3:3**  
 Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), **4:90**  
 Equivalence, **12:10**  
 Federal action requirement, **8:19**  
 Federal agency regulation, **2:19**  
 Inaction subject to Act, **8:27**  
 Major federal actions, **8:35, 8:37**  
 Mandate of Act, **1:1**  
 Muskie-Jackson compromise, **2:4**  
 Nondiscretionary actions subject to Act, **8:25**  
 Practicable means, **2:5, 2:6**  
 Prevailing parties, **4:91**  
 Proposals requiring statements, **8:14, 8:17**  
 Purpose of Act, **1:2**  
 Role and function of NEPA, **2:1**  
 Segmentation  
 generally, **9:14 et seq.**  
 see also **Environmental Impact Statements**  
 (this index)  
 Significant effect on environment, **8:35, 8:38 et  
 seq.**  
 Standing, **4:29**  
 State statutory conflicts, **12:10**  
 Supplementation and implied repeal, **5:8**  
 Text of NEPA, **App. A**  
 What the statute says, **2:2**  
 When EISs required  
 generally, **8:1 et seq.**  
 see also **Environmental Impact Statements**  
 (this index)

**CONSULTANTS**

Delegations to, **7:10**

**CONTEMPT**

Litigation under act, **4:85**

**CONTRACTORS**

Delegations to, **7:10**

## **CORRELATION OF SECTIONS**

NEPA and United States Code, **App. M**

## **COST-BENEFIT ANALYSES**

Generally, **10:26 et seq.**

## **COSTS OF LITIGATION**

Generally, **4:89 et seq.**

See also **Equal Access to Justice Act** (this index)

## **COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (CEQ)**

Additional reports and studies, **2:14**

Cohen NEPA Summit, **2:13**

Duties, **2:9**

Effectiveness study of NEPA, **2:12**

EIS reviews, referral to Council, **7:29**

EPA. See **Environmental Protection Agency** (this index)

Guidance

see also **Guidance, CEQ** (this index)

infrastructure projects, permitting and review, **7:3**

judicial reliance on, **2:11**

NEPA administration generally, **2:10**

program impact statements, **9:3**

repetitive agency activities, programmatic reviews, **9:3**

House of Representatives NEPA task force, **2:15**

Judicial reliance on Council guidance and regulations, **2:11**

Legislation amending NEPA, **2:16**

Legislative hearings on NEPA, **2:15**

NEPA effectiveness study, **2:12**

NEPA task force report, **2:13**

NPRM

Comments, **App.N**

Pilot studies, **2:13**

Precedential effect of agency actions, significant effect on quality of environment, **8:40**

Recommendations, **2:13**

Regulations

generally, **2:10**

see also **Regulations, CEQ** (this index)

Regulations, Implementing the Procedural Provisions

NEPA, **App.O**

Reviews, referral to Council, **7:29**

Significant effect determinations, **8:39, 8:40**

Task forces and reports, **2:13, 2:14**

Text of statute, **App. A**

The Mount Vernon Colloquium Report, **2:17**

## **DAMAGE**

See **Standing to Sue** (this index)

## **DAM PROJECTS**

Direct environmental effects, consideration of, **10:43**

## **DAUBERT RULE**

Expert testimony, **4:60**

## **DECISION-MAKING**

Generally, **3:2, 7:14 et seq.**

See also **Administration of Act** (this index)

Project assessment, Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, Bill C-69, **13:35**

## **DEFENDANTS**

See **Litigation Under Act** (this index)

## **DEFINITIONS**

See **Construction and Interpretation** (this index)

## **DELAY**

Litigation under NEPA, **4:83**

Uncertainty, **10:22**

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION v. PUBLIC CITIZEN**

Environmental impact statements (EIS), **8:26**

## **DIRECT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS**

See **Environmental Impact Statements (EIS)** (this index)

## **DISCOVERY**

Generally, **4:55**

## **DISCRETION OF EPA**

Generally, **3:3**

Actions committed to agency discretion by law, **4:39**

## **DISMISSAL**

Motions for, **4:57**

## **DREDGE AND FILL PERMITS**

Development in wetlands, **2:35**

Review requirements, **2:35**

## **EC HABITATS DIRECTIVE**

International environmental protection, **13:6, 13:14**

## **ECONOMIC INJURY**

See **Standing to Sue** (this index)

Litigation under Act, zone of interest test, **4:28**

## **EFFECTIVENESS STUDY**

Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), **2:12**

## **EMERGENCIES**

Exemptions for, **5:17**

## **ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT**

Exempt projects, **5:12**



**ENERGY POLICY ACT OF 2005**Reviews of statements, **2:41****ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS (EIS)**Generally, **9:1 et seq.**Actions subject to Act, **8:24**Adaptive management, **10:9**

Adequacy

generally, **10:1 et seq.**CEQ mitigation and monitoring guidance, **10:61**mitigation measures, **10:60**post-program statements, **9:13**Section 309 criteria, **App. G**state statutes, **12:24 et seq.**Administration, **2:7**

Alternatives, consideration of

generally, **9:21 et seq., 10:29 et seq.**CEQ regulations, **10:30**elimination of alternative, **10:31**mandatory, **9:26**Natural Resources Defense Council case, **9:22**no-action alternatives, **10:32**non-NEPA procedures to determine need for supplemental statement, **10:69**primary alternatives, **10:34**purpose and need statements, **9:26 to 9:31**ranges of alternatives, **10:33**scope of alternatives to consider, **9:26**secondary alternatives, **10:35**Section 102(2)(H) alternatives, **9:25**state statutes, **12:22**Vermont Yankee case, **9:23**“Arbitrary and capricious standard,” **8:7**Authority and causation, **8:30**Beneficial effects, consideration of environmental effects, **8:43**Bias and pre-judgment, **8:70, 10:63**Biodiversity, **10:21**Bridge projects, **10:42**Categorical exclusions from decision-making process, **7:18 et seq.**

CEQ regulations and guidance

generally, **9:6, 10:19 et seq.**biodiversity, **10:21**climate change, **8:56**pollution prevention, **10:20**program impact statement guidance, **9:3**programmatic and “connected and cumulative” actions, **9:6**purpose and need statement in environmental assessment, **9:30**referrals, **7:29**repetitive agency activities, use of programmatic reviews, **9:3****ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS (EIS)—Cont’d**CEQ regulations and guidance—Cont’d segmentation, **9:15**

CEQ regulations and guidance

alternatives, consideration of, **10:30**mitigation and monitoring guidance, **10:61**Checklist methods of preparation, **10:3**Climate change and greenhouse gases, **8:65**generally, **10:38**NEPA reviews, **8:55**Collaboration by agencies, iterative NEPA process (iNEPA), **10:8**Comments, **7:23, 10:65**Commitment of resources, **8:18**“Connected,” “cumulative” and “similar” actions, **9:6, 10:58**Consultants, delegations to, **7:10**Contractors, delegations to, **7:10**Cooperation among federal-state and local agencies in review process, **7:30**Cost-benefit analysis, **10:27 et seq.**

Cumulative environmental effects, consideration of

generally, **10:53 et seq.**adequacy of discussion, **10:56**“connected,” “cumulative” and “similar” actions, **10:58**“proposed” actions, when actions must be discussed, **10:57**required cumulative impacts to be included in discussion, **10:55**state statutes, **12:20**Cumulative impact determinations, **8:71, 8:72**Dam projects, **10:43**Decision making processes, **7:14 et seq.**Defederalizing federally funded programs, **8:23**Definition of proposal, **8:17**Delegating duty to prepare, **7:8 et seq.**

Department of Housing and Community

Development, delegations to, **7:13, App. D**Department of Transportation v. Public Citizen, **8:26**Detail of program impact statements, **9:11**Direct environmental effects, consideration of generally, **8:43, 10:41 et seq.**bridge projects, **10:42**climate change and greenhouse gases, **10:38**climate change’s effects on proposed action and environmental impacts, **10:40**court decisions on agency responsibilities to consider climate change, **10:41**dam projects, **10:43**forest projects, **10:45**highway projects, **10:42**light rail lines, **10:42**

## ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS (EIS)—Cont'd

- Direct environmental effects, consideration of
  - Cont'd
    - nuclear projects, **10:48**
    - offshore oil leases, **10:46**
    - park projects, **10:45**
    - project impacts on climate change, **10:39**
    - publicly-owned lands, **10:45**
    - reservoir projects, **10:43**
    - river projects, **10:44**
  - Site specific analysis, scientific judgment, uncertainty and other requirements of EIS, **10:37**
  - urban projects, **10:47**
  - water projects, **10:44**
  - wetlands projects, **10:44**
  - wilderness projects, **10:45**
- Documentation, **10:64**
- Draft statements, **7:21**
- Elimination of alternative to proposed project, **10:31**
- Enforcement, **4:88**
- Federal action requirement, **8:19**
- Federal-state-local cooperation in review process, **7:30**
- Final statements, **7:21**
- FONSI assessments, **7:19**
- Forest projects, **10:45**
- Generically related activities, **9:10**
- Geographically related actions, **9:8**
- Highway projects
  - direct environmental effects, consideration of, **10:42**
  - segmentation determinations, **9:16 et seq.**
- Inaction subject to Act, **8:27**
- Incorporation by reference, **10:51**
- Indirect environmental effects, consideration of, **8:43, 10:52**
- Informal decision making
  - agency procedures, generally, **7:25 et seq.**
  - notice, **7:26**
  - public participation, **7:26**
  - records, **7:27**
  - statements of reasons, **7:27**
- Iterative NEPA process (iNEPA), **10:8**
- Judicial review
  - generally, **8:2 et seq., 10:10 et seq.**
  - see also **Judicial Review** (this index)
- Kleppe case, **9:5**
- Light rail lines, direct environmental effects, **10:42**
- Local agencies, delegations to, **7:11 et seq.**
- Major federal actions, **8:35, 8:37**
- Mandatory alternatives, consideration of, **9:31**

## ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS (EIS)—Cont'd

- Marsh v. Oregon Natural Resources and standard of judicial review, **10:68**
- Matrix method of preparation, **10:4**
- Methodology, **10:62**
- Mitigation measures
  - adequacy of discussion, **10:60**
  - CEQ mitigation and monitoring guidance, **10:61**
  - guidance on mitigation and monitoring, **8:69**
  - Methow Valley case, **10:59**
  - significant effect determinations, **8:68, 8:69**
  - supplemental statements, **10:72**
- Modeling, **10:7**
- Multistage projects
  - segmentation determinations, **9:20**
  - state statutes, **12:21**
- National lands, **10:45**
- National plan requirements, **9:7**
- Network method of preparation, **10:5**
- No-action alternatives, **10:32**
- NOI assessments, **7:19**
- Nondiscretionary actions subject to Act, **8:25**
- Non-NEPA documents, tiering to, **9:13**
- Norton v. Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance, **8:27**
- Notice, **7:26**
- Nuclear projects, **10:48**
- Offshore oil leases, **10:46**
- Oil and gas leases, **8:18**
- Ongoing federal action, **10:67**
- Overlay method of preparation, **10:6**
- Overview of requirements, **2:7, 10:2**
- Park projects, **10:45**
- Past cumulative impacts, duty to discuss, **10:54**
- Pollution prevention, **10:20**
- Post-Marsh cases, applying “arbitrary and capricious standard,” **8:7**
- Post-program impact statements, **9:12**
- Preliminary action by Agency, **8:17**
- Primary alternatives, **10:34**
- Private applicants, delegation to, **7:9**
- Problems of delegation, **7:8**
- Program impact statement requirements, **9:2**
- Programmatic environmental assessments, **8:58**
- Programmatic reviews for repetitive agency activities, **9:3**
- Proposals requiring statements, **8:14, 8:17, 9:4**
- Publicly-owned lands, **10:45**
- Public participation, **7:26**
- Purpose and need statements
  - generally, **9:26**
  - alternatives, consideration of, **9:26 to 9:31**
  - applicant determination, **9:27**

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS  
(EIS)—Cont'd**

Purpose and need statements—Cont'd  
 assessments, statements in, **9:30**  
 partially meeting purpose and need, **9:28**  
 statutory objectives of agency, **9:29**

Ranges of alternatives, **10:33**

Ratings and adequacy criteria, **App. G**

Records, **7:27**

Regional plan requirements, **9:7**

Reliance on advice of other agencies, **8:60**

Reliance on advice of state agencies, **8:61**

Reliance on state, regional and local plans and studies, **10:50**

Requirements, overview of, **10:2**

Reservoir projects, **10:43**

Responses to comments, **10:65**

Review process  
 generally, **7:1 et seq.**  
 see also **Administration of Act** (this index)

Risk, analyses of  
 generally, **10:22**  
 Baltimore Gas case & Electric, **10:25**

River projects, **10:44**

Scientific judgment requirement, **10:37**

Scope of  
 alternatives agencies must consider, **9:26**  
 statements  
 generally, **9:11**  
 adequacy of statements, **9:13**  
 detail of statements, **9:11**  
 state statutes, **12:20**

Secondary alternatives, **10:35**

Section 309 criteria, **App. G**

Segmentation  
 generally, **9:14 et seq.**  
 generically related activities, **9:10**  
 highway projects, **9:16 et seq.**  
 multistage projects, **9:20**  
 regulations, **9:15**  
 state statutes, **12:21**  
 tiering, **9:14**

Significant effect on environment  
 generally, **8:35, 8:38 et seq., 8:57 et seq.**  
 advice of other agencies, **8:60**  
 advice of state agencies, **8:61**  
 alternatives, consideration of, **8:71, 8:72**  
 bias and pre-judgment, **8:70**  
 climate change and greenhouse gases, **8:65, 8:66**  
 cumulative impact determinations, **8:71, 8:72**  
 judicial review standards, **8:57 et seq.**  
 mitigation measures, **8:68, 8:69**  
 natural environments, **8:65**  
 no change findings, **8:42**

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS  
(EIS)—Cont'd**

Significant effect on environment—Cont'd  
 NOS findings, **8:59**  
 programmatic environmental assessments, **8:58**  
 repetitive agency activities, use of programmatic reviews, **9:3**  
 site-specific effects, **8:58**  
 state court determinations, **12:15 et seq.**  
 supplemental environmental assessments, **8:71 to 8:72**  
 temporary effects, **8:63**  
 urban environments, **8:64**

Site-specific analysis requirement, **10:37**

Site-specific effects, **8:58**

Small handle problem, **8:20**

Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance case and ongoing federal action requirement, **10:67**

Staff, delegations to, **7:11 et seq.**

Standard of judicial review, **8:2 et seq., 10:68**

Statements of reasons, **7:27**

Statutory exclusions from decision-making process, **7:16**

Supplemental statements  
 generally, **10:66 et seq.**  
 alternate non-NEPA procedures to determine need, **10:69**  
 assessments, **7:21, 8:71 to 8:72**  
 definitions, **10:66**  
 Marsh v. Oregon Natural Resources and standard of judicial review, **10:68**  
 mitigation measures, **10:72**  
 ongoing federal action requirement, **10:67**  
 standard of judicial review, **10:68**  
 state, local, and regional plans and studies, reliance, **10:70**  
 state statutes, **12:28**  
 substantial change, **10:71**  
 when supplemental impact statement must be prepared, **10:68, 10:69**

Supplementary documentation, **10:64**

Technology developments, **9:9**

Terrorism, **8:54**

Tiering to non-NEPA documents, **9:14**

Transparent and incremental approach to proposed actions, **10:8**

Uncertainty, **10:22, 10:37**

Urban projects, **10:47**

Use, abuse, and proposal for reform, categorical exclusions from decision-making process, **7:17**

Water projects, **10:44**

Wetlands projects, **10:44**

When required  
 generally, **8:1 et seq.**



**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS (EIS)—Cont’d**

When required—Cont’d

- actions subject to Act, **8:24**
- advice of other agencies, **8:60**
- advice of state agencies, **8:61**
- aesthetic effects, **8:49**
- Andrus case, **8:16**
- appropriations requests, **8:34**
- arbitrary and capricious cases, **8:5**
- causation requirement, **8:44**
- class effects, **8:50**
- clear error of judgment standard of review, **8:9**
- Colorado River Water Conservation District case, **8:17**
- commitment of resources, **8:18**
- Congressional appropriations requests, **8:34**
- controversial actions, **8:53**
- defederalizing federally funded programs, **8:23**
- de novo review, **8:12**
- development, **8:47**
- district court decisions, reviews of, **8:3**
- dual standards, **8:36**
- effects covered, **8:43 et seq.**
- federal action requirement, **8:19**
- financial assistance questions, **8:21**
- growth, **8:47**
- hard look doctrine, **8:13**
- inaction subject to Act, **8:27**
- inner city decline, **8:46**
- interrelated proposals, program impact statement, **9:4**
- judicial review standards, **8:2 et seq.**
- Kleppe case, **8:16, 8:17**
- law and fact questions, **8:4**
- legislative proposals, **8:33**
- low probability risks, **8:52**
- major federal actions, **8:35, 8:37**
- major standards, **8:36**
- Marsh case, **8:6**
- Medcalf v. Daley case, **8:18**
- Metropolitan Edison case, **8:51**
- Monarch Chemical Works case, **8:17**
- National Resources Defense Council case, **8:17**
- nondiscretionary actions subject to Act, **8:25**
- Norton v. Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance, **8:27**
- oil and gas leases, **8:18**
- Overton Park case, **8:2**
- PANE case, **8:51**
- partnership questions, **8:21**
- planning programs, **8:22**
- post-Marsh cases, applying “arbitrary and capricious standard,” **8:7**

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS (EIS)—Cont’d**

When required—Cont’d

- post-Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance cases, **8:28**
- pre-Marsh cases, **8:5**
- program impact statement, **9:4**
- proposal, **8:14, 8:17**
- psychological effects, **8:51**
- racial effects, **8:50**
- rational basis standard of review, **8:11**
- reasonableness standard of review, **8:8**
- refusals to implement Act, **8:32**
- review of agency record, **8:3**
- rules and regulations, **8:31**
- SCRAP (II) case, **8:16**
- significant effect on environment, **8:35, 8:38 et seq., 8:57 et seq.**
- SIPI case, **8:15**
- small handle problem, **8:20**
- socioeconomic effects, **8:48**
- substantial evidence standard of review, **8:10**
- timing problem, **8:14, 8:18**
- types of effects covered, **8:43 et seq.**
- uncertain environmental effects, **8:52**
- urban life quality, **8:45**
- Wilderness projects, **10:45**
- Worst case analysis, **10:23**

**ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE EXECUTIVE ORDER**

Generally, **App. L**

**ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE EXECUTIVE ORDER, 2023**

Executive orders, **2:49.1**

**ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE EXECUTIVE ORDER OF 1994 AND 2021**

Generally, **2:49**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)**

See also **Federal Agencies** (this index)

- Amendments to NEPA under the Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023, **App. P**
- Clean Air Act Section 309 reviews, **2:20**
- Committed to agency discretion, construction of term, **3:3**
- Congressional intent, administration of, **2:5**
- Council. See **Council on Environmental Quality** (this index)
- Council on Environmental Quality,
  - National Environmental Policy Act, Regulations
  - Implementing the Procedural Provisions, **App. O**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)—Cont'd**

Council on Environmental Quality NPRM  
 Comments, **App. N**  
 Current status of programs, **2:56**  
 Decision making responsibilities, **2:8, 3:2, 6:1**  
 Discretion of Agency, **3:3**  
 Effect of reviews on agency actions, **2:21**  
 Enforcement of EISs, **4:88**  
 Environmental Quality Regulations, **2:2.50**  
 Environmental values and decision making, **6:2**  
 Exemptions  
   generally, **2:23 et seq.**  
   Clean Air Act, **2:24, App. B**  
   Clean Water Act, **2:25, App. C**  
 Extraterritorial impacts of Agency actions, **5:18 et seq.**  
 Federal agencies, regulation of, **2:19**  
 Foreign impacts of Agency actions, **5:18 et seq.**  
 Hard look doctrine  
   generally, **3:8**  
   when EIS required, **8:13**  
 Implementing Regulations Revisions  
   Final Rule, **App. Q**  
 Injunctions  
   generally, **4:65 et seq.**  
   see also **Injunctions** (this index)  
 Interdisciplinary approach to decision making, **6:2**  
 Judicial review. See **Judicial Review** (this index)  
 Judicial review of agency decisions, **3:1 et seq.**  
 Lead and cooperating agency problem, **7:4**  
 Litigation record, **2:18**  
 Nonderogation rule, **2:22**  
 Preliminary injunctions  
   generally, **4:65 et seq.**  
   see also **Injunctions** (this index)  
 Programs, current status of, **2:56**  
 Refusals to implement Act, **8:32**  
 Reviews by  
   Clean Air Act Section 309 reviews, **2:20**  
   effect on agency actions, **2:21**  
   other responsibilities, **2:20**  
 Standards of review of acts of, **3:5**  
 Standing to sue, actions committed to agency discretion by law, **4:39**  
 Systematic approach to decision making, **6:2**  
 Text of statute, **App. A**  
 The role and function, **2:1 et seq.**  
 The role and function of NEPA, **2:1**  
 Transboundary impacts of Agency actions, **5:21**  
 Unquantified environmental values, consideration of, **6:3**  
 What the statute says, **2:2**

**ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REGULATIONS**

Council, **2:2.50**

**ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

Trade agreements, **5:22**

**EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT (EAJA)**

Generally, **4:89 et seq.**  
 Costs, **4:93**  
 Defenses to fee awards, **4:92**  
 Expenses, **4:93**  
 Prevailing parties, **4:91**  
 Statutory provisions, **4:90**

**EUROPEAN UNION DIRECTIVE**

Generally, **13:2 et seq.**

**EVIDENCE**

See **Litigation Under Act** (this index)

**EXECUTIVE ORDERS**

Generally, **2:47 et seq.**  
 Children, protection of, **2:50**  
 Environmental  
   justice, **App. L**  
   promoting seafood competitiveness and economic growth, **2:54**  
   streamlining for transportation projects, **2:53**  
 Environmental, 1994 and 2021  
   justice, **2:49**  
 Environmental justice executive order, 2023, **2:49.1**  
 Extraterritorial impact, **5:20**  
 Flood plains, **2:48**  
 Invasive species, **2:51**  
 Migratory birds, protection of, **2:52**  
 Promoting seafood competitiveness and economic growth, **2:54**  
 Protection of  
   children, **2:50**  
   migratory birds, **2:52**  
 Transportation projects, streamlining, **2:53**  
 Wetlands, **2:47**

**EXEMPTIONS**

EPA. See **Environmental Protection Agency** (this index)

**EXEMPT PROJECTS**

Generally, **5:6 et seq.**  
 See also **Scope of Act** (this index)  
 Appropriation, implied exemptions through, **5:7**  
 Clean Water Act certifications, **5:9**  
 Emergencies, **5:17**  
 Endangered Species Act, **5:12**  
 Federally assisted projects, **5:14**  
 Flint Ridge case, **5:10**  
 Functional equivalence, **5:16**

**EXEMPT PROJECTS—Cont’d**

- Local projects with federal assistance, **5:14**
- National security, **5:15**
- Precluded NEPA compliance, **5:11**
- State projects with federal assistance, **5:14**
- Statutory
  - conflicts, **5:10 to 5:12**
  - exemptions, **5:6**
- Supplementation and implied repeal, **5:8**
- Time constraint exemptions, **5:13**

**EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES**

- Generally, **4:37**

**EXPERT TESTIMONY**

- See **Litigation Under Act** (this index)

**FACT AND LAW QUESTIONS**

- See **Judicial Review** (this index)

**FACTUAL DATA REQUIREMENT**

- Climate change and greenhouse gases, **10:38**

**FEDERAL AGENCIES**

- Application of Act to, **1:3**
- Approvals and small handle problem, **8:20**
- Control and small handle problem, **8:20**
- Cooperation among federal-state and local agencies in review process, **7:30**
- Decision making, effect of Act on
  - generally, **11:4 et seq.**
  - Ackerman critique, **11:7**
  - studies of NEPA’s effect on federal agency decision making, **11:5**
  - Taylor study, **11:6**
- Defederalizing federally funded programs, **8:23**
- Delegations of review process to local agencies, **7:11 et seq.**
- Department of Housing and Community Development, delegations of review process to, **7:13, App. D**
- Detractors and defenders, **11:2**
- EPA. See **Environmental Protection Agency** (this index)
- Evaluating NEPA, **11:1 et seq.**
- Evaluations of NEPA, and improvement recommendations, **11:3**
- Financial assistance questions, **8:21**
- Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, **2:31**
- Improvement recommendations for NEPA, **11:3**
- Lead and cooperating agency problem, **7:4, 7:5**
- Major federal actions, **8:35, 8:37**
- Partnership questions, **8:21**
- Party status in litigation, **4:2**
- Permits and small handle problem, **8:20**
- Recommendations for change in NEPA, **11:3**
- Regulation of by Act, **2:19**

**FEDERAL AGENCIES—Cont’d**

- Review process
  - generally, **7:1 et seq.**
  - see also **Administration of Act** (this index)
- Small handle problem, **8:20**

**FILL PERMITS**

- See **Dredge and Fill Permits** (this index)

**FINALITY**

- Generally, **4:39**
- Rule, NEPA
  - Implementing Regulations Revisions, **App. Q**

**FIXING AMERICA’S SURFACE  
TRANSPORTATION (FAST) ACT**

- Review requirements, **2:31**

**FLOOD PLAINS**

- Executive order, **2:48**

**FOREST PROJECTS**

- Direct environmental effects, consideration of, **10:45**

**FOREST SERVICE FACILITY  
REALIGNMENT ACT**

- Reviews of statements, **2:40**

**FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT**

- Generally, **4:56**

**GOVERNMENT PROJECTS**

- Application to, **1:4**
- Compliance checklist, **1:9**
- Cost-benefit analysis, when required, **10:26 et seq.**
- Council. See **Council on Environmental Quality** (this index)
- Decision making responsibilities, **2:8**
- EPA. See **Environmental Protection Agency** (this index)
- Exemptions
  - generally, **5:6 et seq.**
  - see also **Exempt Projects** (this index)
- Infrastructure projects, CEQ guidance for permitting and review, **7:3**
- Interrelated proposals requiring program impact statement, **9:4**
- Proposals requiring statements, **8:14, 8:17**
- Review process
  - generally, **7:1 et seq.**
  - see also **Administration of Act** (this index)
- Scope of Act. See **Scope of Act** (this index)
- Segmented projects, statement requirements
  - generally, **9:14 et seq.**
  - see also **Environmental Impact Statements** (this index)
- When EISs required
  - generally, **8:1 et seq.**

**GOVERNMENT PROJECTS—Cont'd**

When EISs required—Cont'd  
 see also **Environmental Impact Statements**  
 (this index)

**GREENHOUSE GAS (GHG)**

Environmental impact statements (eis), **8:65**,  
**8:66**

**GUIDANCE, CEQ**

Generally, **2:10, App. I**  
 See also **Council on Environmental Quality**  
 (this index)  
 Biodiversity requirements in EISs, **10:21**  
 Categorical exclusions, **7:18**  
**Environmental Impact Statements (EIS)** (this  
 index)  
 Infrastructure projects, metrics for permitting and  
 review, **7:3**  
 Judicial reliance on, **2:11**  
 Pollution prevention requirements in EISs, **10:20**  
 Programmatic reviews for repetitive agency  
 activities, **9:3**  
 Repetitive agency activities, use of programmatic  
 reviews, **9:3**

**HARD LOOK DOCTRINE**

Generally, **3:8**  
 When EIS required, **8:13**

**HARM**

See **Standing to Sue** (this index)

**HARMLESS ERROR**

Litigation under act, **4:86**

**HEALTHY FORESTS RESTORATION ACT**

Generally, **2:38**

**HIGHWAY PROJECTS**

Direct environmental effects, consideration of,  
**10:42**  
 Review requirements, legislation modifying  
 NEPA, **2:30**  
 Segmentation determinations, **9:16 et seq.**

**HISTORIC SITES**

National Historic Preservation Act, **2:33**  
 Review requirements, **2:27**

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TASK  
FORCE**

Legislative hearings on NEPA, **2:15**

**IMMIGRATION REFORM AND IMMIGRANT  
RESPONSIBILITY ACT BORDER  
ACTIONS**

Reviews of statements, **2:42**

**IMPACT ASSESSMENT ACT (IAA)**

Bill C-69. **Canadian Environmental Assess-  
 ment Act** (this index)

**IMPACT STATEMENTS**

See **Environmental Impact Statements** (this  
 index)

**IMPLIED REPEAL AND  
SUPPLEMENTATION**

Generally, **5:8**

**INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE**

Environmental impact statements, **10:51**

**INFORMATIONAL INJURY**

See **Standing to Sue** (this index)

**INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT AND  
JOBS ACT OF 2021**

Review requirements, **2:32**

**INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS**

CEQ guidance for permitting and review, **7:3**

**INJUNCTIONS**

Generally, **4:65 et seq.**  
 Administration of act, stay or injunction pending  
 appeal, **4:84**  
 Amoco decision, preliminary injunctions, **4:68**  
 Curing violations, preliminary injunctions, **4:76**  
 Defendants, effect of injunction on, **4:73**  
 Monsanto Co. v. Geertson Seed Farms, **4:79**  
 Permanent injunction, **4:78, 4:79**  
 Preliminary injunctions  
   generally, **4:65 et seq.**  
   Amoco case, **4:68**  
   bonds, **4:65**  
   curing violations, **4:76**  
   defendants, effect of injunction on, **4:73**  
   impact of Winter decision on previous cases,  
     **4:71**  
   irreparable harm, **4:72**  
   Natural Resources Defense Council, Winter v.,  
     **4:69, 4:71**  
   NEPA exception, **4:67**  
   probability of success, **4:70**  
   public interest considerations, **4:74**  
   remands, **4:75**  
   scope of remedy, **4:75**  
   Weinberger v. Romero-Barcelo, **4:68**  
   Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council,  
     **4:69, 4:71**  
 Public interest considerations, **4:74**  
 Remands  
   permanent injunction, **4:78**  
   preliminary injunctions, **4:75**  
 Satisfaction of injunction, **4:80**  
 Stay pending appeal, **4:84**  
 Temporary restraining orders, **4:78**  
 Weinberger v. Romero-Barcelo, preliminary  
 injunctions, **4:68**

## INJUNCTIONS—Cont’d

Winter v. Natural Resources Defense Council,  
4:69, 4:71

## INJURY

See **Standing to Sue** (this index)

## INNER CITY DECLINE

Generally, 8:46

## INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Generally, 13:1 et seq.

### Canada

Canadian Environmental Assessment Act of  
1995, 13:16, 13:17

Canadian Environmental Assessment Act of  
2012, 13:18 et seq.

evolution of federal environmental assessment  
(EA), 13:15

EC Habitats Directive, appropriate assessment  
under, 13:6

England, 13:12, 13:14

Environmental assessment and climate change  
United Kingdom, 13:13

### European Union

generally, 13:2 et seq.

### Directive

EC Habitats Directive, appropriate assess-  
ment under, 13:6

implementation and compliance with direc-  
tives, 13:4

judgments and guidance interpreting the  
directives, 13:5

project assessment, 13:2

strategic assessment, 13:3

Executive orders, 5:20

Extraterritorial impacts of EPA actions, 5:18

Interjurisdictional issues, Canada, 13:26

Jurisdiction of US courts, 5:19

NEPA abroad, 5:18

Post-Brexit assessment, 13:7

Scope of Canadian environmental assessments,  
13:25

Transboundary impacts of Agency actions, 5:21

### United Kingdom

consent without required assessment, 13:11  
environmental assessment, post-Brexit, 13:7  
environmental assessment process, 13:10  
habitats directive, appropriate assessment,  
13:14

national legislation, outline, 13:8

necessity of assessment, 13:9

strategic environmental assessment, England,  
13:12

### United Kingdom

Environmental assessment and climate change,  
13:13

## INTERPRETATION

See **Construction and Interpretation** (this  
index)

## INTERRELATED AGENCY ACTIVITIES

CEQ’s guidance, use of programmatic review,  
9:3

## INTERVENTION

Generally, 4:33 to 4:36

Permissive intervention, 4:36

Right, intervention as of, 4:35

Standing, requirement, 4:34

## INVASIVE SPECIES

Executive order, 2:51

## ITERATIVE NEPA PROCESS (iNEPA)

Environmental impact statements (eis), 10:8

## JUDICIAL REVIEW

Generally, 3:1

See also **Litigation Under Act** (this index)

Administrative Procedure Act, 3:5

Advisory opinions, 4:6

Agency record reviews, 8:3

“Arbitrary and capricious standard,”  
environmental impact statements (EIS), 8:7

### Attorneys’ fees

generally, 4:89 et seq.

see also **Equal Access to Justice Act** (this  
index)

Basis for in NEPA cases, 3:4

Class actions, 4:7

### Costs

generally, 4:89 et seq.

see also **Equal Access to Justice Act** (this  
index)

### Courts of Appeal

generally, 4:5

primary jurisdiction, 4:40

Decision-making responsibilities under Act, 6:1

De novo review, 8:12

Discretion of Agency, 3:3

### District Courts

decisions, reviews of, 8:3

jurisdiction, 4:5

### Environmental impact statements

generally, 10:10 et seq.

alternate non-NEPA procedures to determine  
need, 10:69

“arbitrary and capricious standard,” 8:7

Baltimore Gas case, 10:15

direct environmental effects, consideration of,  
10:36

Kleppe case, 10:13

Methow Valley case, 10:16

procedural standards, 10:18



**JUDICIAL REVIEW—Cont'd**

- Environmental impact statements—Cont'd
  - standard of review, **8:2 et seq., 10:68**
  - Strycker's Bay case, **10:14**
  - substantive review, **10:11 et seq.**
  - Vermont Yankee case, **10:13**
  - when required, **8:2**
- Evidence. See **Litigation Under Act** (this index)
- Exhaustion of remedies, **4:37**
- Expert testimony. See **Litigation Under Act** (this index)
- Extraterritorial impact of decisions, **5:19**
- Fact and law questions
  - generally, **3:6**
  - when statement required, **8:4**
- Failure to participate, **4:38**
- Final agency action, **4:39**
- Guidance, Council, reliance on, **2:11**
- Hard look doctrine
  - generally, **3:8**
  - when EIS required, **8:13**
- Injunctions
  - generally, **4:65 et seq.**
  - see also **Injunctions** (this index)
- Jurisdiction
  - see also **Litigation Under Act** (this index)
  - primary jurisdiction, **4:40**
- Laches
  - generally, **4:42**
  - factors considered, **4:43**
  - NEPA exception, **4:44**
- Law and fact questions
  - generally, **3:6**
  - when statement required, **8:4**
- Limitation of actions, **4:45**
- Mandamus, **4:82**
- Moot claims, **4:41**
- Nonderogation rule, **2:22**
- NOS findings, **8:59**
- Overton Park and calvert Cliffs case, **3:3**
- Overton Park case, **3:3, 3:7**
- Participation in suit, failure as to, **4:38**
- Parties. See **Litigation Under Act** (this index)
- Planning decisions, ripeness and review, **4:39**
- Preliminary injunctions
  - generally, **4:65 et seq.**
  - see also **Injunctions** (this index)
- Primary jurisdiction, **4:40**
- Procedural standards, **10:18**
- Programmatic reviews for repetitive agency activities, **9:3**
- Regulations, Council, reliance on, **2:11**
- Reliance on advice of other agencies, **8:60**
- Reliance on advice of state agencies, **8:61**
- Ripeness, generally, **4:39**

**JUDICIAL REVIEW—Cont'd**

- Settlements, **4:87**
- Significant effect determinations
  - generally, **8:41**
  - applying CEQ regulations, **8:57 et seq.**
- Standard of review
  - generally, **3:5, 8:2 et seq.**
  - arbitrary and capricious cases, **8:5**
  - clear error of judgment, **8:9**
  - de novo review, **8:12**
  - hard look doctrine, **8:13**
  - Marsh v. Oregon Natural Resources, generally, **8:6, 10:68**
  - post-Marsh cases, applying "arbitrary and capricious standard," **8:7**
  - pre-Marsh cases, **8:5**
  - rational basis, **8:11**
  - reasonableness standard of review, **8:8**
  - significance decision, **8:57**
  - state courts, **12:9**
  - substantial evidence, **8:10**
- State courts
  - generally, **12:6 et seq.**
  - see also **States** (this index)
- Statute of limitations, **4:45**
- Stay pending appeal, **4:84**
- When statements required
  - generally, **8:2**
  - see also **Environmental Impact Statements** (this index)
- Witnesses. See **Litigation Under Act** (this index)

**JURISDICTION**

- See also **Litigation Under Act** (this index)
- Canadian Environmental Assessment Act of 2012
  - Bill C-69, multi-jurisdictional assessments, **13:34**
  - provincial EA's, harmonization with, **13:26**
- Extraterritorial impacts of Agency actions, **5:18 et seq.**
- Foreign impacts of Agency actions, **5:18 et seq.**
- Lead and cooperating agency problem, **7:4**
- Transboundary impacts of Agency actions, **5:21**

**JUSTICE**

- Environmental Justice Executive Order, **App. L**
- Environmental Justice Executive Order of 1994 and 2021, **2:49**

**LACHES AND DELAY**

- Generally, **4:42**
- Factors considered, **4:43**
- Litigation under NEPA, mandamus, **4:82**
- NEPA exception, **4:44**

**LAW AND FACT QUESTIONS**

- See **Judicial Review** (this index)

**LEAD AND COOPERATING AGENCY  
PROBLEM**

Generally, **7:4, 7:5**

**LEGISLATIVE HISTORY OF ACT**

Intent of Congress, **2:5**

Legislation amending NEPA, **2:16**

Muskie-Jackson compromise, **2:4**

Standing, Congressional proposals, **4:31**

Summarization, **2:3**

The role and function of NEPA, **2:1**

What the statute says, **2:2**

**LIGHT RAIL LINES**

Direct environmental effects, consideration of,  
**10:42**

**LIMITATION OF ACTIONS**

Generally, **4:45**

**LITIGATION UNDER ACT**

Generally, **1:5**

See also **Judicial Review** (this index)

Actions, **4:8**

Actions committed to agency discretion by law,  
**4:39**

Advisory opinions, **4:6**

Answers, **4:54**

Appeals. See **Judicial Review** (this index)

Attorneys' fees

generally, **4:89 et seq.**

see also **Equal Access to Justice Act** (this  
index)

Balance of harms and harm to defendant, **4:73**

Bonds, injunction, **4:65**

Burden of proof, **4:62**

Causality and standing to sue, **4:12**

Causes of action

generally, **4:8**

pleading, **4:53**

Class actions, **4:7**

Compelling Agency action, **4:83**

Complaints

generally, **4:48 et seq.**

cause of action, **4:53**

factual background, **4:52**

introductory statements, **4:49**

judicial relief, **4:53**

jurisdiction, **4:50**

parties, **4:51**

right to relief, **4:53**

standing, **4:53**

Contempt proceedings, **4:85**

Costs

generally, **4:89 et seq.**

see also **Equal Access to Justice Act** (this  
index)

**LITIGATION UNDER ACT—Cont'd**

Courts of Appeal

jurisdiction, **4:5**

primary jurisdiction, **4:40**

Declaratory judgments, **4:81**

Defendants, **4:2, 4:3**

Delay. Laches, below

Discovery, **4:55**

Discretion of agency, actions committed to by  
law, **4:39**

Dismissal motions, **4:57**

District Courts

jurisdiction, **4:5**

primary jurisdiction, **4:40**

Enforcement of EISs, **4:88**

Evidence

generally, **4:46 et seq.**

administrative record, **4:46**

burden of proof, **4:62**

discovery, **4:55**

Expert witnesses, below

extra-record evidence, **4:47**

Freedom of Information Act, **4:56**

law and fact questions, **3:6, 8:4**

standard of review, **8:10**

Exemptions, EPA, **2:23 et seq.**

Exhaustion of remedies, **4:37**

Expert witnesses

generally, **4:58 et seq.**

burden of proof, **4:62**

cross examination, **4:61**

Daubert rule, **4:60**

direct examination, **4:60**

general principles for examination, **4:59**

Factual background, pleading, **4:52**

Federal

agencies, **4:2**

question jurisdiction, **4:4**

Finality, **4:39**

Freedom of Information Act, use of, **4:56**

Generally, **4:1 et seq.**

Hard look doctrine

generally, **3:8**

when EIS required, **8:13**

Harmless error, **4:86**

Injunctions

generally, **4:65 et seq.**

see also **Injunctions** (this index)

Intervention, **4:33 to 4:36**

Judicial relief, pleading right to, **4:53**

Jurisdiction

generally, **4:4**

advisory opinions, **4:6**

Courts of Appeal, **4:5**

District Courts, **4:5**

## LITIGATION UNDER ACT—Cont'd

Jurisdiction—Cont'd  
 federal question, **4:4**  
 moot claims, **4:41**  
 pleading, **4:50**  
 primary jurisdiction, **4:40**  
 Laches  
 generally, **4:42**  
 factors considered, **4:43**  
 NEPA exception, **4:44**  
 unreasonable delay, **4:83**  
 Limitation of actions, **4:45**  
 Local or regional entities  
 party status, **4:3**  
 standing, **4:29**  
 Mandamus, **4:82**  
 Moot claims, **4:41**  
 Motion practice  
 dismissal, **4:57**  
 standing disputes, **4:10**  
 summary judgment, **4:57**  
 Nonderogation rule, **2:22**  
 Organizations, standing, **4:29**  
 Parties  
 see also **Standing to Sue** (this index)  
 class actions, **4:7**  
 federal defendants, **4:2**  
 intervention, **4:33 to 4:36**  
 local entities, **4:3, 4:30**  
 organizations, **4:29**  
 pleading, **4:51**  
 prevailing parties, **4:91**  
 states, **4:3, 4:16, 4:30**  
 Preliminary injunctions  
 generally, **4:65 et seq.**  
 see also **Injunctions** (this index)  
 Primary jurisdiction, **4:40**  
 Private causes of action, **4:8**  
 Problem, litigation, **4:1**  
 Record, **2:18**  
 Redressibility and standing to sue, **4:12**  
 Regional entities. Local or regional entities, above  
 Remand, **4:77**  
 Remedies  
 generally, **4:63 et seq.**  
 attorneys' fees, **4:89 et seq.**  
 balance of harms, **4:73**  
 bonds, injunction, **4:65**  
 costs, **4:89 et seq.**  
 declaratory judgments, **4:81**  
 enforcement of EISs, **4:88**  
 injunctions, **4:65 et seq.**  
 mandamus, **4:82**  
 preliminary injunctions, **4:65 et seq.**

## LITIGATION UNDER ACT—Cont'd

Remedies—Cont'd  
 remand, **4:75, 4:77, 4:78**  
 stay pending appeal, **4:84**  
 vacatur, **4:77**  
 Right to relief, pleading, **4:53**  
 Ripeness, **4:39**  
 Settlements, **4:87**  
 Stages of litigation, **1:6**  
 States  
 party status, **4:3**  
 standing, **4:16, 4:30**  
 Statute of limitations, **4:45**  
 Stay pending appeal, **4:84**  
 Summary judgment motions, **4:57**  
 Unreasonable delay, **4:83**  
 Vacatur, **4:77**  
 Witnesses. Expert witnesses, above  
 Zone of interest test, **4:26 to 4:28**

## LOCAL AGENCIES

Cooperation among federal-state and local agencies in review process, **7:30**  
 Defederalizing federally funded programs, **8:23**  
 Delegations of review process to local agencies, **7:11 et seq.**  
 Party status. See **Litigation Under Act** (this index)

## LOCAL PROJECTS

Exemptions  
 generally, **5:6 et seq.**  
 see also **Exempt Projects** (this index)  
 Federal assistance, exempt projects, **5:14**  
 Review process  
 generally, **7:1 et seq.**  
 see also **Administration of Act** (this index)  
 Scope of Act. See **Scope of Act** (this index)

## MAGNUSON-STEVENSON FISHERY CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2006

Reviews of statements, **2:43**

## MANDAMUS

Litigation under NEPA, **4:82**

## MANDATE OF ACT

Generally, **1:1**

## MARSH v. OREGON NATURAL RESOURCES

Environmental impact statements (EIS), standard of judicial review, **8:6, 10:68**

## MASSACHUSETTS v. EPA

Supreme Court cases, standing to sue, **4:16**

## MIGRATORY BIRDS

Executive order, **2:52**

## MITIGATION MEASURES

- Environmental impact statements, **10:59**
- Significant effect determinations, **8:68**

## MODELING

- Environmental impact statements, **10:7**

## MONSANTO CO. v. GEERTSON SEED FARMS

- Permanent injunctions, **4:79**

## MOOT CLAIMS

- Generally, **4:41**

## MULTISTAGE PROJECTS

- Segmentation determinations, **9:20**

## MUSKIE-JACKSON COMPROMISE

- Generally, **2:4**

## NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT

- Review requirements, **2:33**

## NATIONAL LANDS

- Environmental impact statements (EIS), **10:45**

## NATIONAL SECURITY

- Exempt projects, **5:15**

## NATURAL RESOURCE AREAS

- Review requirements, **2:27**

## NORTON v. SOUTHERN UTAH WILDERNESS ALLIANCE

- Environmental impact statements (EIS), **8:27**
- Post-SUWA cases, **8:28**

## NO SURFACE OCCUPANCY LEASE

- Environmental impact statements (EIS), **8:18**

## NUCLEAR POWER

- Environmental impact statements (EIS), **10:48**

## OCEANS

- See **Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act** (this index)

## OFFSHORE OIL LEASES

- Direct environmental effects, consideration of, **10:46**

## OIL AND GAS LEASES

- Environmental impact statements (EIS), **8:18**

## OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF LANDS ACT

- Review requirements, **2:36**

## PANEL REVIEW

- Canadian Environmental Assessment Act of 2012, **13:23**
- generally, **13:23**
- Bill C-69, **13:33**

## PARK PROJECTS

- Direct environmental effects, consideration of, **10:45**

## PARKS

- Review requirements, **2:27**

## PARTIES

- See **Litigation Under Act; Standing to Sue** (this index)
- Special solicitude for governmental plaintiffs, **4:20**

## PERMISSIVE INTERVENTION

- Generally, **4:36**
- Biden-Harris action plan, **2:55**

## PERMITS

- Biden-Harris action plan, **2:55**
- Dredge and fill permits, **2:35**
- Infrastructure projects, CEQ guidance for permitting, **7:3**

## POLLUTION PREVENTION

- Environmental impact statement requirements, **10:20**

## PRACTICABLE MEANS

- Definition, **2:6**

## PRECEDENTS

- Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), significant effect on quality of environment—  
precedential effect of agency actions, **8:40**

## PRE-JUDGMENT

- Environmental impact statements (EIS), bias and pre-judgment, **8:70, 10:63**

## PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIONS

- See **Injunctions** (this index)

## PRESIDENTIAL EXECUTIVE ORDERS

- The role and function of NEPA, **2:1**
- What the statute says, **2:2**

## PRIMARY JURISDICTION

- Generally, **4:40**

## PRIVATE CAUSES OF ACTION

- See **Litigation Under Act** (this index); **Standing to Sue** (this index)

## PRIVATE PROJECTS

- Application to, **1:4**
- Compliance checklist, **1:9**
- Cost-benefit analysis  
reviewed, **10:28 et seq.**
- Council. See **Council on Environmental Quality** (this index)
- Decision making responsibilities, **2:8**
- EPA. See **Environmental Protection Agency** (this index)

**PRIVATE PROJECTS—Cont’d**

- Proposals requiring statements, **8:14, 8:17**
- Review process
  - generally, **7:1 et seq.**
  - see also **Administration of Act** (this index)
- Scope of Act. See **Scope of Act** (this index)
- Segmented projects, statement requirements
  - generally, **9:14 et seq.**
  - see also **Environmental Impact Statements** (this index)
- When EISs required
  - generally, **8:1 et seq.**
  - see also **Environmental Impact Statements** (this index)

**PROCEDURAL INJURY**

- See **Standing to Sue** (this index)

**PROJECTS**

- See **Government Projects** (this index); **Private Projects** (this index)
- Decision making, project assessment, Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, Bill C-69, **13:35**

**PROTECTION OF CHILDREN**

- Executive orders, **2:50**

**PROTECTION OF MIGRATORY BIRDS**

- Executive order, **2:52**

**PSYCHOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF PROJECTS**

- When EISs required, **8:51**

**PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT**

- Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, **13:27**

**PUBLIC INTEREST**

- Injunctions, **4:74**

**PUBLICLY-OWNED LANDS**

- Direct environmental effects, consideration of, **10:45**

**PUBLIC PROJECTS**

- See also **Government Projects** (this index)
- Exemptions
  - generally, **5:6 et seq.**
  - see also **Exempt Projects** (this index)

**PURPOSE AND NEED STATEMENTS**

- Generally, **9:26**
- Agency/applicant determination, **9:27**
- Assessments, statements in, **9:30**
- Partially meeting purpose and need, **9:28**
- Statutory objectives of agency, **9:29**

**PURPOSE OF ACT**

- Generally, **1:2**
- Federal agency actions, **1:3**
- Government and private projects, **1:4**

**PURPOSE OF ACT—Cont’d**

- Mandate of Act, **1:1**
- Practicable means defined, **2:6**
- Role of Act, **1:2**

**RACIAL EFFECTS OF PROJECTS**

- When EISs required, **8:50**

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Federal agencies’ recommendations for change in NEPA, **11:3**
- House of Representatives NEPA task force, **2:15**
- Task Force Report and CEQ recommendations, **2:13**

**RECORDS**

- See **Administration of Act** (this index)

**REDRESSIBILITY OF INJURY**

- See **Standing to Sue** (this index)

**REGIONAL ENTITIES**

- See **Litigation Under Act** (this index)
- Assessments, bill C-69, Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, **13:36**

**REGULATIONS, CEQ**

- Generally, **2:9, App. E**
- See also **Council on Environmental Quality** (this index)
- Alternatives to proposed projects, **10:30**
- Biodiversity requirements in EISs, **10:21**
- EIS requirements, **10:19 et seq.**
- Environmental impact statement requirements, **9:6**
- Frequently asked questions, **App. H**
- Judicial reliance on, **2:11**
- Pollution prevention requirements in EISs, **10:20**
- Preamble to final regulations, **App. F**
- Programmatic
  - “connected and cumulative” actions, **9:6**
  - reviews for repetitive agency activities, **9:3**
- Segmentation determinations, **9:15**
- Significant effect determinations, **8:39, 8:40**
- Updates, Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, **App. O**
- Worst case analysis
  - generally, **10:23**
  - final amendment, **App. K**
  - proposed amendment, **App. J**
  - revocation, **10:24**

**REMAND**

- Decision-making responsibilities, **4:77**

**REMEDIES**

- See **Injunctions** (this index); **Litigation Under Act** (this index)
- State courts, **12:7**



## REPETITIVE AGENCY ACTIVITIES

CEQ's guidance on use of programmatic review, **9:3**

## REPORTS AND REPORTING

CEQ Task Force Report, **2:13**

## RESERVOIR PROJECTS

Direct environmental effects, consideration of, **10:43**

## RETROACTIVE APPLICATION OF ACT

Generally, **5:2 et seq.**

## REVIEWABILITY

Standing to sue, ripeness, finality and reviewability, **4:39**

## REVIEWS OF STATEMENTS

Generally, **2:26 et seq., 7:1 et seq.**

See also **Administration of Act** (this index); **Judicial Review** (this index)

Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018, **2:38.5**

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act: the stimulus bill, **2:44**

America's Waters Infrastructure Act of 2018, **2:46**

Aviation Streamlining Approval Process Act, **2:38**

Biden-Harris permitting plan, **2:55**

Border barrier exemption, **2:42**

Clean Water Act, **2:34**

CRCLA, **2:37**

Development in wetlands, dredge and fill permits, **2:35**

Dredge and fill permits, **2:35**

Effluent limitations, **2:34**

Energy Policy Act of 2005, **2:41**

Executive orders. See **Executive Orders** (this index)

Forest Service Facility Realignment Act, **2:40**

Healthy Forests Restoration Act, **2:38**

Highway and transportation legislation modifying NEPA, **2:30**

Historic cites, **2:27**

Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act and border actions, **2:42**

Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act of 2021, **2:32**

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Reauthorization Act of 2006, **2:43**

National Historic Preservation Act, **2:33**

Natural resource areas, **2:27**

Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, **2:36**

Parks, **2:27**

Section 4(f) determinations in Transportation Act of 2005, legislation affecting, **2:27, 2:28**

## REVIEWS OF STATEMENTS—Cont'd

Selected legislative examples and streamlining, **2:26**

Transportation Act, **2:27, 2:29**

Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014, **2:45**

## RIPENESS

Generally, **4:39**

## RISK

Baltimore Gas & Electric case, **10:25**

EIS analyses

CEQ worst case regulation, **10:23**

Uncertainty and delay, **10:22**

## RIVER PROJECTS

Direct environmental effects, consideration of, **10:44**

## SAFE, ACCOUNTABLE, FLEXIBLE, EFFICIENT TRANSPORTATION ACT

Federal agencies, lead and cooperating agency responsibilities, **7:5**

## SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

Expert witnesses, Daubert rule, **4:60**

## SCIENTIFIC JUDGMENT REQUIREMENT

Climate change and greenhouse gases, **10:38**

Environmental impact statements (EIS), **10:37**

## SCOPE OF ACT

Generally, **5:1 et seq.**

Changes to projects commenced before NEPA enacted, **5:5**

Exemptions

generally, **5:6 et seq.**

see also **Exempt Projects** (this index)

Extraterritorial impacts of Agency actions, **5:18 et seq.**

Foreign impacts of Agency actions, **5:18 et seq.**

Ongoing projects, **5:4**

Projects commenced before NEPA enacted, **5:3**

Retroactive application, **5:2 et seq.**

Special solicitude for governmental plaintiffs, **4:20**

Transboundary impacts of Agency actions, **5:21**

## SEGMENTED PROJECTS

See **Environmental Impact Statements** (this index)

## SETTLEMENTS

Generally, **4:87**

## SITE-SPECIFIC ANALYSIS

Environmental impact statements (EIS), **10:37**

## SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACTS

Generally, **12:23**

**SOCIOECONOMIC INJURY**

See also **Standing to Sue** (this index)  
 Litigation under Act, zone of interest test, **4:27**  
 When EIS required, **8:48**

**SPECIES**

Invasive species executive order, **2:51**

**SPECULATIVE INJURY**

See **Standing to Sue** (this index)

**STANDARD OF REVIEW**

See **Judicial Review** (this index)

**STANDING TO SUE**

Generally, **4:9 et seq.**  
 Basis for standing, **4:9**  
 Causality of injury  
   constitutional standing requirements, **4:23**  
   SCRAP I, **4:12**  
 Citizen suit provision, **4:32**  
 Climate change as injury in fact, **4:21**  
 Congressional proposal, **4:31**  
 Constitutional standing requirements  
   causality, **4:23**  
   climate change as injury in fact, **4:21**  
   enforcement of settlement agreement, **4:22**  
   informational and procedural injury as injury  
     in fact, **4:19**  
   injury in fact, **4:18, 4:19, 4:21**  
   redressability, **4:24**  
 Decisions on motions, **4:10**  
 Defenders of Wildlife decision, **4:14**  
 Economic injury, **4:27, 4:28**  
 Enforcement of settlement agreement, standing  
   requirements, **4:22**  
 Finality, **4:39**  
 Informational injury as injury in fact, **4:19**  
 Injury in fact  
   climate change as injury in fact, **4:21**  
   constitutional standing requirements, **4:18**  
   informational and procedural injury as injury  
     in fact, **4:19**  
   Lujan decision, **4:13**  
   Sierra Club decision, **4:11**  
 Injury to urban environment, zone of interest test,  
   **4:26**  
 Intervention, **4:33 to 4:36**  
 Irreparable harm, preliminary injunction, **4:72**  
 Laidlaw decision, **4:15**  
 Local entities, **4:30**  
 Lujan decision, **4:13**  
 Massachusetts v. EPA decision, **4:16**  
 Moot claims, **4:41**  
 Motions, decisions on, **4:10**  
 Organizations, **4:29**  
 Pleading, **4:53**

**STANDING TO SUE—Cont'd**

Procedural injury  
   generally, **4:14, 4:15**  
   injury in fact, **4:19**  
 Proposed legislation, application of standing  
   requirements, **4:31**  
 Redressibility of injury  
   constitutional standing requirements, **4:24**  
   SCRAP I, **4:12**  
 Reviewability, **4:39**  
 Ripeness, **4:39**  
 SCRAP I, **4:12**  
 Sierra Club decision, **4:11**  
 Socioeconomic injury, **4:27**  
 Special solicitude for governmental plaintiffs,  
   **4:20**  
 Speculative injury, **4:14**  
 Standing requirements  
   legislative proposals, **4:31**  
   local governments, **4:30**  
   organizations, **4:29**  
   State and local governments, **4:30**  
   state governments, **4:30**  
 States  
   courts, standing question in, **12:8**  
   standing of, **4:30**  
 Statutory and prudential standing requirements,  
   zone of interest test, **4:25**  
 Summers v. Earth Island Institute, **4:17**  
 Urban environment, injury to, zone of interest  
   test, **4:26**  
 Zone of interest test  
   economic injury, **4:28**  
   injury to urban environment, **4:26**  
   socioeconomic injury, **4:27**  
   statutory and prudential standing requirements,  
     **4:25**

**STATES**

Adequacy and effects of statements  
   generally, **12:24 et seq.**  
   California, **12:25**  
   New York, **12:26**  
   Washington, **12:27**  
 California  
   adequacy and effects of statements, **12:25**  
   significant effect determinations, **12:17**  
   summary table, **12:3**  
   supplemental impact statements, **12:29**  
 Cooperation among federal-state and local agen-  
   cies in review process, **7:30**  
 Defederalizing federally funded programs, **8:23**  
 Delegations of review process to local agencies,  
   **7:11 et seq.**  
 Environmental policy acts  
   generally, **1:7, 12:1 et seq.**

## STATES—Cont'd

- Environmental policy acts—Cont'd
  - adequacy, **12:24 et seq.**
  - alternatives, **12:22**
  - California, **12:3**
  - compliance checklist, **1:9**
  - cumulative impact, **12:20**
  - effects, **12:24 et seq.**
  - multistage projects, **12:21**
  - New York, **12:4**
  - program statements, **12:20**
  - scope of statements, **12:20 et seq.**
  - segmentation, **12:21**
  - socio-economic impacts, **12:23**
  - summary table, **12:2**
  - supplemental impact statements, **12:28**
  - tiering, **12:20**
  - Washington, **12:5**
- Judicial review
  - generally, **12:6 et seq.**
  - action determinations, **12:11 et seq.**
  - conflicts, statutory, **12:10**
  - equivalence, **12:10**
  - project determinations, **12:11 et seq.**
  - remedies, **12:7**
  - standards of review, **12:9**
  - standing to sue, **12:8**
- New York
  - adequacy and effects of statements, **12:26**
  - significant effect determinations, **12:18**
  - summary table, **12:4**
  - supplemental impact statements, **12:30**
- Party status. See **Litigation Under Act** (this index)
- Project review process
  - generally, **7:1 et seq.**
  - see also **Administration of Act** (this index)
- Projects of
  - Exempt Projects** (this index)
  - Public Projects** (this index)
  - federally assisted projects, **5:14**
- Scope of Act. See **Scope of Act** (this index)
- Significant effect determinations
  - generally, **12:15 et seq.**
  - California, **12:17**
  - climate change, **12:15**
  - New York, **12:18**
  - Washington, **12:19**
- Socio-economic impacts, **12:23**
- Standing to sue, **12:8**
- Supplemental impact statements
  - generally, **12:28**
  - California, **12:29**
  - New York, **12:30**
  - Washington, **12:31**

## STATES—Cont'd

- Washington
  - adequacy and effects of statements, **12:27**
  - significant effect determinations, **12:19**
  - summary table, **12:5**
  - supplemental impact statements, **12:31**
- STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS**
  - Generally, **4:45**
- STAY**
  - Administration of act, stay or injunction pending appeal, **4:84**
- SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
  - Motions for, **4:57**
- SUMMERS v. EARTH ISLAND INSTITUTE**
  - Supreme Court cases, standing to sue, **4:17**
- SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT**
  - See **Environmental Impact Statements (EIS)** (this index)
- SUPPLEMENTATION AND IMPLIED REPEAL**
  - Generally, **5:8**
- SUPREME COURT OF CANADA**
  - IAA, unconstitutional in part, **13:40**
- SUPREME COURTS 2023 RULING**
  - Canada first response issued, **13:41**
- TASK FORCES**
  - Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), **2:13**
  - House of Representatives NEPA task force, **2:15**
- TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDERS**
  - Injunctions, **4:78**
- TERRORISM**
  - Environmental impact statements (EIS), **8:54**
- THE MOUNT VERNON COLLOQUIUM REPORT**
  - Council on environmental quality (ceq), **2:17**
- TIME CONSTRAINT EXEMPTIONS**
  - Generally, **5:13**
- TRADE AGREEMENTS**
  - Environmental review of, **5:22**
- TRANSPORTATION ACT**
  - Review requirements, **2:27, 2:29**
- TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS**
  - Executive orders on environmental streamlining, **2:53**
  - Review requirements, legislation modifying NEPA, **2:30**

## UNCERTAINTIES

Delay, **10:22**  
EIS analyses, **10:22**

## UNITED STATES CODE

National Environmental Policy Act, corresponding sections, **App. M**

## URBAN ENVIRONMENTAL INJURY

See also **Standing to Sue** (this index)  
Aesthetic effects of projects, **8:49**  
Class effects of projects, **8:50**  
Direct effects, **10:44, 10:47**  
Inner city decline, **8:46**  
Psychological effects of projects, **8:51**  
Quality of urban life, **8:45**  
Racial effects of projects, **8:50**  
Significant effect determinations, **8:64**  
Socioeconomic effects of projects, **8:48**  
When EISs required, **8:45**  
Zone of interest test, **4:26**

## VACATUR

Decision-making responsibilities, **4:77**

## WATER RESOURCES REFORM AND DEVELOPMENT ACT

Reviews of statements, **2:45**

## WATERS

See **Clean Water Act** (this index); **Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act** (this index); **Wetlands** (this index)

## WETLANDS

Direct environmental effects of projects, consideration, **10:44**  
Executive order, **2:47**

## WHEN IS EIS REQUIRED

See **Environmental Impact Statements** (this index)

## WILDERNESS PROJECTS

Direct environmental effects, consideration of, **10:45**

## WINTER v. NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL

Preliminary injunctions, **4:69, 4:71**

## WITNESSES

See **Litigation Under Act** (this index)

## WORDS AND PHRASES

See **Construction and Interpretation** (this index)

## WORST CASE ANALYSIS REGULATIONS

Generally, **10:23**  
Final amendment, **App. K**  
Proposed amendment, **App. J**  
Revocation, **10:24**

## ZONE OF INTEREST TEST

Economic injury, **4:28**  
Injury to urban environment, **4:26**  
Litigation under NEPA, **4:25**  
Socioeconomic injury, **4:27**  
Statutory and prudential standing requirements, **4:25**