

# Table of Contents

## PART I. SUBSTANTIVE PATENT LAW REVISIONS

### CHAPTER 1. NEW DEFINITIONS

- § 1:1 Explicit definitions in the AIA
- § 1:2 Definitions missing from the AIA

### CHAPTER 2. FIRST INVENTOR TO FILE

- § 2:1 Introduction
- § 2:2 Constitutionality
- § 2:3 The old section 102
- § 2:4 Congressional intent
- § 2:5 Statutory language—35 U.S.C. § 102
- § 2:6 The five prior art categories of § 102(a)(1) and the exceptions thereto
- § 2:7 The patent prior art categories of § 102(a)(2) and exceptions thereto, § 102(d)
- § 2:8 35 U.S.C. § 103 obviousness
- § 2:9 Effective date and applicability of first inventor to file provisions
- § 2:10 Prior § 102 can be litigated into the 2040's
- § 2:11 Examples of the new section 102 at work
- § 2:12 —Example 1: First to Invent is Second to File
- § 2:13 —Example 2: Public Disclosure by First to Invent But Second to File
- § 2:14 —Example 3: Burglar Files a Derived Application Prior to the First Inventor's Application
- § 2:15 —Example 4: Same as Ex. 3, but First Inventor Publicly Discloses First
- § 2:16 —Example 5: Common Ownership; First to Invent is Second to File
- § 2:17 —Example 6: Same as Ex. 5, but First to Invent Publicly Discloses
- § 2:18 —Example 7: Common Ownership; Independent Investors Both Disclose Publicly Before Filing Applications
- § 2:19 —Example 8: Second Inventor Files First, Outside U.S.; Common Ownership

- § 2:20 —Example 9: Second Inventor to File Publishes First; Common Ownership
- § 2:21 —Example 10: First Inventor to File Publicly Discloses First; Common Ownership
- § 2:22 —Example 11: Two Public Disclosures Prior to Filing Dates; Common Ownership

## **CHAPTER 3. BEST MODE AND SECTION 112**

- § 3:1 Background
- § 3:2 Congressional intent
- § 3:3 Changes by the America Invents Act re the best mode requirement
- § 3:4 Applicable USPTO final rules re best mode
- § 3:5 Best mode applicability in patent prosecution
- § 3:6 Inapplicability to post-grant review
- § 3:7 Effective date of best mode amendments
- § 3:8 Section 112 Requirements Other Than Best Mode.

## **CHAPTER 4. UNPATENTABILITY OF “HUMAN ORGANISMS”**

- § 4:1 Background and congressional intent re “human organisms”
- § 4:2 Patents on “human organisms” banned
- § 4:3 USPTO interpretation re “human organism” ban
- § 4:4 Analysis re human organism ban
- § 4:5 35 U.S.C. § 101 subject matter eligibility

## **CHAPTER 5. LIMITED PATENTABILITY OF TAX STRATEGIES**

- § 5:1 Congressional intent re tax strategies
- § 5:2 AIA statutory provisions regarding tax strategies and patentability
- § 5:3 USPTO guidance on AIA section 14 re tax strategies
- § 5:4 Analysis of AIA section 14 re tax strategies

## **CHAPTER 6. PATENT MARKING**

- § 6:1 Patent marking—Background
- § 6:2 Congressional intent re patent marking
- § 6:3 AIA statutory changes re patent marking
- § 6:4 Effective date
- § 6:5 General comments re marking

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**CHAPTER 7. MISCELLANEOUS  
SUBSTANTIVE PATENT LAW  
PROVISIONS**

§ 7:1 Generally

**PART II. PATENT  
ENFORCEMENT ISSUES**

**CHAPTER 8. THE PRIOR USER  
DEFENSE**

- § 8:1 Background: the First Inventor's Defense Act of 1999
- § 8:2 Congressional intent re the prior user defense
- § 8:3 AIA revisions to the prior user defense—The statute
- § 8:4 Analysis of the expanded prior use defense

**CHAPTER 9. FALSE PATENT MARKING  
(MISMARKING)**

- § 9:1 False patent marking—Background
- § 9:2 Congressional intent re false patent marking
- § 9:3 AIA statutory changes re false patent marking
- § 9:4 Effect on pending litigation
- § 9:5 The meaning of “competitive injury”
- § 9:6 Pleading requirements for false marking “competitive injury”
- § 9:7 Standing to sue for false marking
- § 9:8 Standing—Declaratory judgment actions for no false marking
- § 9:9 Constitutional challenges to AIA § 16(b)
- § 9:10 Preemption of state causes of action

**CHAPTER 10. ENHANCED DAMAGES  
AND ADVICE OF COUNSEL**

- § 10:1 Background to new 35 U.S.C. § 298
- § 10:2 Congressional intent re advice of counsel
- § 10:3 AIA provisions re advice of counsel
- § 10:4 Effective date of AIA provisions re advice of counsel
- § 10:5 Discussion of new 35 U.S.C. § 298

## **CHAPTER 11. VENUE FOR REVIEW OF USPTO DECISIONS**

- § 11:1 Background to the venue changes
- § 11:2 Congressional intent re venue changes
- § 11:3 The AIA amendment to the venue statutes
- § 11:4 Discussion of venue changes

## **CHAPTER 12. JURISDICTION**

- § 12:1 Background re jurisdiction
- § 12:2 Congressional intent re jurisdiction statutes
- § 12:3 AIA provisions re jurisdiction statutes
- § 12:4 Discussion re AIA changes to jurisdiction statutes

## **CHAPTER 13. JOINDER AND CONSOLIDATION**

- § 13:1 Background re joinder and consolidation
- § 13:2 Congressional intent
- § 13:3 America Invents Act changes to joinder and consolidation
- § 13:4 Applicability—Generally
- § 13:5 Effective date
- § 13:6 Required conditions for joinder or consolidation for trial
- § 13:7 Specific applications—Motions for joinder of parties or claims
- § 13:8 Specific topics—“Any” Claim
- § 13:9 Specific applications—Same transaction, occurrence, or series thereof
- § 13:10 —Same patent(s)
- § 13:11 —Same or different products
- § 13:12 —Same industry standard
- § 13:13 —Joining peripheral parties for venue
- § 13:14 —Joining multiple products/one defendant
- § 13:15 Motions to sever
- § 13:16 Consolidation for pre-trial or trial
- § 13:17 Multidistrict litigation
- § 13:18 Waivability

## **CHAPTER 14. MISCELLANEOUS ENFORCEMENT ISSUES**

- § 14:1 Introduction
- § 14:2 The best mode defense is eliminated
- § 14:3 The deceptive intent defense is eliminated

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 14:4 The biotechnology composition of matter  
exception in § 282

## PART III. USPTO TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

### CHAPTER 15. PTAB TRIAL PRACTICE

- § 15:1 The Patent Trial and Appeal Board and its  
rules—Generally
- § 15:2 PTAB “trials”—Generally
- § 15:3 Starting a preliminary proceeding—The petition  
generally
- § 15:4 —Claim construction
- § 15:5 Mandatory notices—Real party in interest
- § 15:6 —Counsel in a PTAB trial
- § 15:7 Form of the petition and other filings
- § 15:8 Public availability; default protective order
- § 15:9 Non-default protective orders
- § 15:10 Initial handling of petitions at the PTAB; notice  
of filing date
- § 15:11 Patent owner’s responses prior to institution of  
trial—Mandatory notices, optional preliminary  
response, and initial disclosures
- § 15:12 —Mandatory notice
- § 15:13 —Preliminary response
- § 15:14 —Agreed mandatory initial disclosures
- § 15:15 Petitioner’s reply to patent owner preliminary  
response
- § 15:16 Ruling on the petition; institution of trial
- § 15:17 Ruling on the petition: institution denied
- § 15:18 —Judges are not expected to ferret out support  
for holding claims invalid
- § 15:19 —Lack of clarity
- § 15:20 —Not what the reference teaches
- § 15:21 —Unsupported attorney argument: no evidence  
in support
- § 15:22 —Conclusory testimony
- § 15:23 —Missing testimony—complex/unpredictable  
technology
- § 15:24 —Expert Opinion Entitled to Little or No  
Weight
- § 15:25 —Missing a claim element or feature (gap in  
evidence)
- § 15:26 —No obviousness analysis

## AIA: A GUIDE TO PATENT LITIGATION & PROCEDURE

- § 15:27 —Insufficient analysis of the reference(s)
- § 15:28 —Insufficient reason to combine references
- § 15:29 —No showing of reasonable expectation of success
- § 15:30 —No showing of whole combination
- § 15:31 —Statutory disclaimer filed
- § 15:32 —Unable to construe a claim term
- § 15:33 —Discretion not to institute where art was previously presented (35 U.S.C. § 325(d))
- § 15:34 —Discretion not to institute when art was not previously presented
- § 15:35 —Petitioner is estopped
- § 15:36 —IPR Petition filed too soon
- § 15:37 —Sovereign immunity
- § 15:38 The scheduling order and initial status conference
- § 15:39 Objections to petition evidence
- § 15:40 Due date 1A: patent owner’s motion to amend the claims
- § 15:41 Due date 1B: patent owner response to the petition and close of patent owner’s discovery and motion period
- § 15:42 Due date 2: petitioner’s discovery, petitioner’s reply to the patent owner response, petitioner’s opposition to motion to amend
- § 15:43 Due date 3: patent owner’s further discovery period; patent owner’s sur-reply to reply; patent owner’s reply to opposition to motion to amend
- § 15:44 Due date 4: Petitioner’s sur-reply to reply to opposition to motion to amend; motion to exclude evidence; deadline for parties to request oral argument.
- § 15:45 Due date 5: Opposition to motion to exclude
- § 15:46 Due date 6: replies to motions to exclude evidence
- § 15:47 Due date 7: oral argument
- § 15:48 Discovery—Generally
- § 15:49 —Mandatory Initial Disclosures
- § 15:50 —Routine Discovery and Additional Discovery
- § 15:51 —“Interests of justice” standard
- § 15:52 —“Good cause” standard
- § 15:53 Correcting Mistakes
- § 15:54 to 15:55 *[Reserved]*
- § 15:56 Motions—generally
- § 15:57 Motions—For joinder

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 15:58	—For termination
§ 15:59	—To exclude evidence
§ 15:60	Motions—To strike
§ 15:61	Evidence
§ 15:62	Supplemental evidence and supplemental information
§ 15:63	Settlement
§ 15:64	Decisions on motions and petitions; final written decision
§ 15:65	Decisions on motions and petitions; final decision—Final written decisions on the merits
§ 15:66	—Rulings on motions to amend in final written decisions
§ 15:67	—Prior judicial rulings
§ 15:68	Rehearing requests
§ 15:69	Expungement of confidential information
§ 15:70	Appeals from final written decisions and other rulings
§ 15:71	Appeals—court review of PTAB decisions whether to institute
§ 15:72	—The “case or controversy” requirement for Art. III judicial review
§ 15:73	—Standard of review of final written decisions
§ 15:74	—Burden shifting
§ 15:75	—Procedural issues
§ 15:76 to 15:91	<i>[Reserved]</i>
§ 15:92	Selected topics—proving “printed publication” status
§ 15:93	PTAB Statistics & Retrospective on the AIA 10 years in

## CHAPTER 16. POST-GRANT REVIEW

§ 16:1	Background and congressional intent
§ 16:2	The new statute—Post-grant review
§ 16:3	Post-grant review procedures generally
§ 16:4	Filing a petition for post-grant review
§ 16:5	Patent owner preliminary response (three months after the petition)
§ 16:6	Institution of post-grant review: beginning of “trial”
§ 16:7	Trial proceedings; initial conference call (1 month)
§ 16:8	Patent owner response and amendment (3 months after trial commences)
§ 16:9	Multiple proceedings and joinder

- § 16:10 Submitting supplemental information
- § 16:11 Discovery and trial procedure
- § 16:12 Relationship of post-grant review to civil litigation
- § 16:13 Estoppels
- § 16:14 Settlements
- § 16:15 USPTO certificate and intervening rights
- § 16:16 Rehearing and judicial review
- § 16:17 Effective dates

## **CHAPTER 17. REVIEW OF COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENTS**

- § 17:1 Background and congressional intent
- § 17:2 The new statute—Review of business method patents
- § 17:3 Introduction to the statute
- § 17:4 Patents eligible for CBM review
- § 17:5 Persons eligible to file a petition
- § 17:6 When a petition is timely
- § 17:7 Content of a petition for CBM review
- § 17:8 Prior art against “first-to-invent” patents
- § 17:9 CBM proceedings
- § 17:10 —Final written decisions
- § 17:11 Effect of CBM review on civil litigation
- § 17:12 —Venue
- § 17:13 —Stay of litigation
- § 17:14 —Preliminary injunctions
- § 17:15 Estoppels
- § 17:16 PTAB statistics re CBM reviews

## **CHAPTER 18. INTER PARTES REVIEW**

- § 18:1 Background and congressional intent
- § 18:2 The new statute: *inter partes* review replaces *inter partes* reexamination
- § 18:3 *Inter partes* review procedures generally
- § 18:4 Filing a petition for *inter partes* review
- § 18:5 Patent owner preliminary response (3 months after the petition)
- § 18:6 Institution of *inter partes* review: beginning of “trial”
- § 18:7 Trial proceedings; initial conference call (1 month)
- § 18:8 Patent owner response and amendment (3 months after trial commences)



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 18:9	Multiple proceedings and joinder
§ 18:10	Submitting supplemental information
§ 18:11	Discovery and trial procedure
§ 18:12	Relationship of IPR to civil litigation— Automatic stays
§ 18:13	—Permissive stays
§ 18:14	Estoppels
§ 18:15	Settlements
§ 18:16	USPTO certificate and intervening rights
§ 18:17	Rehearing and judicial review
§ 18:18	Effective dates
§ 18:19	PTAB Statistics

## **CHAPTER 19. DERIVATION PROCEEDINGS REPLACE INTERFERENCES**

§ 19:1	Background
§ 19:2	Congressional intent
§ 19:3	The new statute re derivation proceedings and derived patents
§ 19:4	Derivation proceedings—Generally
§ 19:5	Filing a petition
§ 19:6	The respondent's responses
§ 19:7	Institution of a derivation proceeding
§ 19:8	After institution of derivation proceeding
§ 19:9	Derivation actions between owners of issued patents
§ 19:10	Effective date
§ 19:11	Statistics

## **PART IV. USPTO NON-TRIAL SUBMISSIONS OF ART OR INFORMATION; REEXAMINATIONS**

### **CHAPTER 20. PRE-ISSUANCE SUBMISSIONS**

§ 20:1	Background
§ 20:2	Congressional intent
§ 20:3	The amended statute
§ 20:4	New rule 1.290 for third party preissuance submissions

- § 20:5 Filing a preissuance submission under the new rule
- § 20:6 The timing requirement
- § 20:7 Subsequent handling of § 1.290 submissions
- § 20:8 Protests under 35 U.S.C. § 122(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.291 survive
- § 20:9 USPTO statistics re pre-issuance submissions

## **CHAPTER 21. POST-ISSUANCE SUBMISSIONS**

- § 21:1 Background
- § 21:2 Congressional intent
- § 21:3 The amended statute regarding post-issue submissions
- § 21:4 New regulation 37 C.F.R. § 1.501
- § 21:5 Filing a post-issue citation of art or information
- § 21:6 USPTO use of a post-issue submission

## **CHAPTER 22. SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINATION**

- § 22:1 Background
- § 22:2 Congressional intent
- § 22:3 The new statute for supplemental examination
- § 22:4 General overview of supplemental examination
- § 22:5 Requesting supplemental examination
- § 22:6 USPTO determination re a substantial new question of patentability
- § 22:7 Conclusion of supplemental examination
- § 22:8 Benefits of supplemental examination
- § 22:9 USPTO statistics re supplemental examinations

## **CHAPTER 23. REEXAMINATIONS (*INTER PARTES* AND *EX PARTE*)**

- § 23:1 Reexaminations generally
- § 23:2 Congressional intent
- § 23:3 AIA provisions re *inter partes* reexamination statute
- § 23:4 New USPTO rules re *inter partes* reexamination
- § 23:5 AIA provisions re *ex parte* reexamination
- § 23:6 New USPTO rules re *ex parte* reexamination
- § 23:7 Appeals from *ex parte* reexamination

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**PART V. MISCELLANEOUS  
PROVISIONS**

**CHAPTER 24. ASSIGNEE FILING AND  
INVENTOR'S OATH**

- § 24:1 Background
- § 24:2 Congressional intent
- § 24:3 The amended and new statutes
- § 24:4 The new and amended regulations
- § 24:5 Practice—The applicant
- § 24:6 —The name of the inventor
- § 24:7 —The oath or declaration
- § 24:8 —Substitute statements
- § 24:9 —Priority claims
- § 24:10 —Application data sheet
- § 24:11 —USPTO recommendations
- § 24:12 Litigation—Assignor estoppel
- § 24:13 Litigation—Licensee estoppel

**CHAPTER 25. PTO FEE SETTING AND  
FEES**

- § 25:1 Background and congressional intent
- § 25:2 The amended statutes
- § 25:3 Discussion of AIA Section 10 fee setting
- § 25:4 Discussion of AIA Section 11 fees

**CHAPTER 26. FEE DIVERSION-PTO  
FUNDING**

- § 26:1 Background and congressional intent
- § 26:2 The amended statute
- § 26:3 Discussion of revisions to 35 U.S.C. § 42

**CHAPTER 27. REMOVAL OF  
DECEPTIVE INTENT FROM STATUTE**

- § 27:1 Background and congressional intent
- § 27:2 The AIA eliminates all deceptive intent  
requirements from the patent statute
- § 27:3 Discussion of AIA amendments re error and  
deceptive intent

**CHAPTER 28. SATELLITE OFFICES**

- § 28:1 Background and congressional intent

- § 28:2 AIA provisions re satellite offices
- § 28:3 Discussion of satellite office provisions

## **CHAPTER 29. PRIORITIZED EXAMINATION & PRIORITY EXAMINATION FOR IMPORTANT TECHNOLOGIES**

- § 29:1 Background
- § 29:2 Congressional intent
- § 29:3 The amended statutes re accelerated examination
- § 29:4 Non-fee based revised accelerated examination provisions
- § 29:5 Fee-based accelerated examination provisions
- § 29:6 Fee-based accelerated examination—Conditions
- § 29:7 USPTO statistics on Track One petitions

## **CHAPTER 30. PATENT TERM EXTENSION CALCULATION**

- § 30:1 Background and congressional intent
- § 30:2 The amended statute
- § 30:3 Discussion of patent term extension provisions

## **CHAPTER 31. OTHER AIA PROVISIONS AND PTO PROGRAMS**

- § 31:1 Background
- § 31:2 Funding agreements (AIA § 3(g)(7)(A, B); § 13(a); § 20(i)(2))
- § 31:3 Travel expenses; payment of administrative law judges (AIA § 21)
- § 31:4 Study on AIA implementation (AIA § 26)
- § 31:5 Study on genetic testing (AIA § 27)
- § 31:6 The patent ombudsman program (AIA § 28)
- § 31:7 Studying the diversity of applicants (AIA § 29)
- § 31:8 Sense of congress re small businesses and inventors (AIA § 30)
- § 31:9 Study on international patent protection for small business (AIA § 31)
- § 31:10 Pro bono program (AIA § 32)
- § 31:11 Study on patent litigation by non-practicing entities (AIA § 34)
- § 31:12 Budgetary effects (AIA § 36)

## **CHAPTER 32. EFFECTIVE DATES**

- § 32:1 Background and congressional intent

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 32:2 The AIA effective date provision

§ 32:3 Table of AIA effective dates

## APPENDICES

- Appendix 1. House Report Excerpts
- Appendix 2. The Leahy-Smith America Invents Act
- Appendix 3. Trial Practice Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (37 C.F.R. Part 42)
- Appendix 4. Requirement For a Disclosure of The Best Mode
- Appendix 5. Claims Directed To Or Encompassing a Human Organism
- Appendix 6. Tax Strategies Are Deemed To Be Within the Prior Art
- Appendix 7. HR 6621 as Passed by Congress
- Appendix 8. PTAB Representative Decision on Additional Discovery in an IPR
- Appendix 9. PTAB Trial Practice Guide Update (July 2019)

**Table of Laws and Rules**

**Table of Cases**

**Index**