

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. POLICIES

- § 1:1 Scope
- § 1:2 Purpose
- § 1:3 Historical background of attorney-client privilege
- § 1:4 —Roman and early English roots
- § 1:5 —Forum limitations on application of attorney-client privilege
- § 1:6 Purposes and policies of attorney-client privilege
- § 1:7 —Attorney-client privilege benefits
- § 1:8 — —Fostering attorney-client relationships
- § 1:9 — —Constitutional dimensions
- § 1:10 — —Encouraging client candor
- § 1:11 — —Efficient operation of legal system
- § 1:12 — —Promoting client law-abiding behavior
- § 1:13 —Attorney-client privilege costs
- § 1:14 — —Impeding search for truth
- § 1:15 — —Speculative benefits; concrete costs
- § 1:16 Extension of privilege to corporate clients
- § 1:17 —Reasons supporting a corporate client privilege
- § 1:18 — —Precedent or long-time reliance rationale
- § 1:19 — —Privilege policies and corporate clients
- § 1:20 — — —Client candor
- § 1:21 — — —Encouraging law-abiding conduct
- § 1:22 Attorney-corporate client privilege in Britain
- § 1:23 —Legal professional privilege doctrine in Britain
- § 1:24 —Corporation as client
- § 1:25 — —Client communication privilege
- § 1:26 — —Litigation preparation protection
- § 1:27 Summary

CHAPTER 2. CONTROL

- § 2:1 Scope
- § 2:2 Introduction
- § 2:3 Control of privilege
- § 2:4 —Privilege belongs to corporate clients
- § 2:5 —Directors; personal access to privileged corporate documents

ATTORNEY-CORPORATE CLIENT PRIVILEGE

- § 2:6 —Dissolution of corporation and privilege
- § 2:7 — —Corporation dissolved but business continues
- § 2:8 — —Both corporate charter and business terminated
- § 2:9 —No privilege for communicating executive
- § 2:10 —Dual representation and communicating executive's privilege
- § 2:11 — —Two-pronged approach for determining whether executive is client
- § 2:12 — —Documenting executive as client
- § 2:13 —Duty of corporate counsel when communicating with corporate employee on behalf of corporation
- § 2:14 — —Managerial perspective
- § 2:15 — —Legal ethics perspective
- § 2:16 — —Liability perspective
- § 2:17 — —Possible risk to corporate privilege
- § 2:18 Ex parte contact with opponent's corporate employees
- § 2:19 —Ex parte contact prohibition and corporations
- § 2:20 — —When counsel does not know that opposing corporation is represented
- § 2:21 — —Off-limits employees when opponent corporation is known to be represented
- § 2:22 — — —Murky precedent
- § 2:23 — — —Identifying common threads from murky precedent
- § 2:24 —Protecting corporate client from having its former employees contacted ex parte
- § 2:25 — —Use of contract
- § 2:26 — —Seeking protective order
- § 2:27 — —Seeking injunction
- § 2:28 —Proposed approach for evaluating corporate ex parte contact prohibition
- § 2:29 Clients in parent-subsidary relationships
- § 2:30 —Two approaches for identifying client
- § 2:31 — —Organizational structure focus
- § 2:32 — —Policy focus
- § 2:33 — —Dealing with uncertainty
- § 2:34 —Attorney conflict of interest in parent-subsidary settings
- § 2:35 Power to assert or waive attorney-corporate client privilege
- § 2:36 —Corporate management controls privilege—The

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	parent-subsidiary relationship; following sale of corporate assets; following a merger
§ 2:37	— —Executive’s power to waive or assert in contravention of corporate intent
§ 2:38	— —Corporate counsel and waiver of privilege
§ 2:39	— —Corporate counsel and assertion of privilege
§ 2:40	—Bankruptcy trustees and power to waive corporate privilege
§ 2:41	— —Factual background of <i>Weintraub</i> ’s waiver issue
§ 2:42	— —Circuit court’s <i>Weintraub</i> decision
§ 2:43	— —Supreme Court’s <i>Weintraub</i> decision
§ 2:44	Summary

CHAPTER 3. ELEMENTS

§ 3:1	Scope
§ 3:2	Corporate privilege: Basic issues
§ 3:3	—Identification of privileged corporate communications as two-step process
§ 3:4	—Sources of law for privileged corporate communications
§ 3:5	— —Common law
§ 3:6	— —Federal rules of evidence
§ 3:7	— —State statutes or rules of evidence
§ 3:8	Two-step approach for identifying privileged attorney-corporate client communications
§ 3:9	—Questions of proof: Party asserting privilege has burden
§ 3:10	—Questions of proof: How to meet burden; establishing the attorney-client relationship
§ 3:11	— —Some cases provide checklists and using privilege logs
§ 3:12	— —In camera inspections
§ 3:13	— —Particularly difficult areas
§ 3:14	—Questions of proof: How to meet burden—Supplementing deficient showings
§ 3:15	—Questions of proof: Untimely assertion of privilege; failure to assert the privilege; discovering party delay
§ 3:16	Step one: Applying general privilege considerations to communication in question
§ 3:17	—Focus on lawyer
§ 3:18	— —In-house counsel

ATTORNEY-CORPORATE CLIENT PRIVILEGE

- § 3:19 — — —Heightened scrutiny of role in communication
- § 3:20 — — —Multiple roles of in-house counsel
- § 3:21 — — —Bar admission
- § 3:22 — — —Lawyer acting as lawyer: Four factors to evaluate
- § 3:23 — — —Nonlawyers capable of doing task
- § 3:24 — — —Purpose for which lawyer was contacted; insurance-related matters
- § 3:25 — — —Client indications about role of lawyer
- § 3:26 — — —Lawyer indications about role in communication with client
- § 3:27 — — —Lawyer as business adviser
- § 3:28 — — —Mixture of law and business
- § 3:29 — — —Lawyer acting outside of specialty area
- § 3:30 — — —Semi law-related activities
- § 3:31 — — —Patent practice and lawyer’s role
- § 3:32 — — —Patent attorneys
- § 3:33 — — —Nonlawyer patent agents
- § 3:34 — — —Foreign patent agents
- § 3:35 — — —Lawyers as investigators
- § 3:36 — — —Investigations and special investigative officer; self-critical analysis privilege
- § 3:37 — — —Limited confidentiality protection for tax advisors
- § 3:38 — — —Whose communications are covered?
- § 3:39 — — —Which communications are protected?
- § 3:40 — — —Corporate tax shelter exclusion
- § 3:41 — — —Section 7525 and waiver
- § 3:42 — — —Limited protection for otherwise covered communications
- § 3:43 — — —Focus on advice or information communicated; Insurance-related communications
- § 3:44 — — —Focus on advice or information communicated—“Purely” legal or nonlegal communication; pre-existing reports; patent documents
- § 3:45 — — —“Mixed” legal and nonlegal communication
- § 3:46 — — —Three factors for analyzing mixed legal and nonlegal communications
- § 3:47 — — —Questions of proof when asserting privilege for mixed communications
- § 3:48 — — —Focus on other aspects of communication

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 3:49	— — Scope of client’s communication with counsel
§ 3:50	— — — Tangential matters
§ 3:51	— — — Underlying facts not privileged; Databases
§ 3:52	— — — Breadth of term “communication”
§ 3:53	— — — Request for legal advice not prerequisite
§ 3:54	— — Attorney communications to client and attorney-prepared training manuals
§ 3:55	— Focus on other aspects of communication— Attorney communications to client and attorney-prepared training manuals— Corporate employees empowered to receive communications from counsel
§ 3:56	Communications with third-party litigation funders
§ 3:57	Step one: Applying general privilege considerations to communication in question— Confidentiality at time of communication
§ 3:58	— — Establishing confidentiality of communications
§ 3:59	— — Corporate client intention of confidentiality
§ 3:60	— — — Communications to be disclosed later
§ 3:61	— — — Intracorporate circulation of information and employee-to-employee communications
§ 3:62	— — — Communications of insured under co-operation clause
§ 3:63	— — E-mail as confidential communication; e-mail attachments; employee’s use of employer’s computer
§ 3:64	— — Presence of third parties during communications
§ 3:65	— — — Nonagent third parties; common interests; joint clients; insured’s attorney and insurer; parent-subsiary
§ 3:66	— — — Agent third parties; those under client or attorney’s control; those necessary for attorney-client communication
§ 3:67	— — — Insurers and their insured
§ 3:68	Step two: Narrowing general privilege requirements to fit corporate client
§ 3:69	— Pre- <i>Upjohn</i> federal court corporate privilege
§ 3:70	— — Control group test
§ 3:71	— — Various subject matter tests

ATTORNEY-CORPORATE CLIENT PRIVILEGE

- § 3:72 — —Other approaches
- § 3:73 —Factual background of *Upjohn v. United States*
- § 3:74 —Opinion of Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals
- § 3:75 —Opinion of United States Supreme Court
- § 3:76 —*Upjohn* factors: Guidance in corporate privilege cases
- § 3:77 — —Communications were made by corporate employees to corporate counsel upon order of superiors in order for corporation to secure legal advice from counsel
- § 3:78 — —Information needed by corporate counsel in order to formulate legal advice was not available to upper level management
- § 3:79 — —Information communicated concerned matters within scope of employee’s corporate duties
- § 3:80 — —Employees were aware that reason for communication with counsel was so corporation could obtain legal advice
- § 3:81 — —Communications were ordered to be kept confidential and they remained confidential
- § 3:82 — —Identity and resources of opposing party
- § 3:83 —Application of factors to implement step two
- § 3:84 — —Factors approach as communications process
- § 3:85 — —Precommunication actions useful for documenting corporate communication process
- § 3:86 — —*Upjohn* should not be too narrowly construed
- § 3:87 —Cases applying some factors
- § 3:88 — —Factor: Informing employees that the corporation needed information to obtain legal advice, was ordered by a superior, and that it be provided in confidence
- § 3:89 — —Factor: Communication was made by corporate employee
- § 3:90 — — —Nonemployees and communications process; “functionally-equivalent” test
- § 3:91 — — —Former employees
- § 3:92 — —Factor: Scope of employee’s corporate duty
- § 3:93 — —Factor: Identity and resources of opposing party and other policies that may affect a privilege claim
- § 3:94 —States where factors approach is not followed

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 3:95	— —State rules of evidence
§ 3:96	— —State court decisions: Illinois
§ 3:97	— —State court decisions: California
§ 3:98	— —State court decisions: Georgia
§ 3:99	— —State court decisions: New Jersey
§ 3:100	— —State court decisions: Arizona
§ 3:101	— — —Employee initiated communications
§ 3:102	— — —Employee communications initiated by others
§ 3:103	— —State court decisions: Florida
§ 3:104	—Federal courts: Resurrection of subject matter test
§ 3:105	Summary

CHAPTER 4. CRIME-FRAUD EXCEPTION

§ 4:1	Scope of crime-fraud exception
§ 4:2	—Introduction
§ 4:3	—General statement of rule
§ 4:4	—Policies of crime-fraud exception
§ 4:5	— —Attorney-based policy
§ 4:6	— —Client-based policy
§ 4:7	— —Relationship-based policy
§ 4:8	— —Privilege-based policy
§ 4:9	—Types of communications within exception
§ 4:10	— —“Crime or fraud” narrowly construed and patent matters
§ 4:11	— —“Crime or fraud” broadly construed
§ 4:12	— —Suggested evaluation for scope of exception issues
§ 4:13	Questions of proof and crime-fraud exception
§ 4:14	—Evidentiary standard
§ 4:15	— —Amount of proof
§ 4:16	— — <i>Zolin</i> and in camera inspections
§ 4:17	— —Right to rebut showing
§ 4:18	—Establishing intent of parties to communication
§ 4:19	— —Client’s wrongful intent
§ 4:20	— —Application of exception without showing of wrongful client intent
§ 4:21	— —Attorney need not have wrongful intent
§ 4:22	— —When only the attorney had a wrongful intent

ATTORNEY-CORPORATE CLIENT PRIVILEGE

- § 4:23 —Two-step approach for establishing crime-fraud exception
- § 4:24 — —Step one: Prima facie showing
- § 4:25 — —Step two: Relationship between privileged information and crime or fraud
- § 4:26 —Specific proof issues in crime-fraud exception cases
- § 4:27 — —*Alexander* rule
- § 4:28 — —Independent evidence and in camera inspections
- § 4:29 — —Grand jury proceedings
- § 4:30 Corporate issues and crime-fraud exception
- § 4:31 —Determining wrongful intent of corporate clients
- § 4:32 — —“Intent” of corporate client comes from corporate management
- § 4:33 — —Determining what corporate management intended
- § 4:34 — — —Corporate policies and issues that are subjects of confidential communications
- § 4:35 — — —Reasons why communication took place
- § 4:36 —Internal corporate investigations and crime-fraud exception
- § 4:37 — —Failure to report findings is within exception
- § 4:38 — —Distinguishing reason for investigation from subsequent use of report
- § 4:39 Summary

CHAPTER 5. WAIVER

- § 5:1 Scope
- § 5:2 Privilege waiver arises when confidentiality has vanished
- § 5:3 Policies behind waiver of attorney-client privilege
- § 5:4 —Tied to basic privilege policies
- § 5:5 —Policy of fairness
- § 5:6 Dual characterizations of waiver doctrine yield uncertainty
- § 5:7 —Client intent to disclose
- § 5:8 —Confidentiality has been lost
- § 5:9 —Factors for preserving privilege
- § 5:10 —Factors for discovering counsel to consider
- § 5:11 Proposed unified characterization
- § 5:12 Burden of proof

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 5:13	—Assigning burden of proof
§ 5:14	—Proposal: Burden should be on discovering party
§ 5:15	Disclosures that may not be waivers
§ 5:16	Partial disclosures and subject matter waiver rule
§ 5:17	—Scope of waiver linked to unfairness to discovering party; patent matters
§ 5:18	—Low probability that disclosed material was privileged
§ 5:19	Disclosure to private parties outside corporation
§ 5:20	—Third-party litigation funders
§ 5:21	Disclosure during litigation
§ 5:22	—Negotiation
§ 5:23	—Pleading and “at issue” waivers
§ 5:24	— —Evaluating <i>Hearn</i> factors for at issue waivers
§ 5:25	— —Patent infringement and advice of counsel defense
§ 5:26	— —Bad faith insurance cases and at issue waivers
§ 5:27	— —Self-defense exception and at issue waivers
§ 5:28	—Testimony
§ 5:29	—Refreshing witness’ recollection
§ 5:30	Inadvertent disclosure
§ 5:31	—Factors analysis and Rule 502(b): Focus on confidentiality safeguards
§ 5:32	—Pre-502(b) approaches: Waiver because documents no longer confidential
§ 5:33	—Pre-502(b) approaches: No waiver unless evidence of client voluntariness
§ 5:34	—Pre-502(b) approaches: Never seen precautions that are good enough
§ 5:35	—Dealing with inadvertent disclosure issues: Discovering party
§ 5:36	— —Characterize waiver doctrine and the disclosure
§ 5:37	— —Challenge sufficiency of privilege safeguards
§ 5:38	— —Use of documents by discovering party
§ 5:39	—Dealing with inadvertent disclosure issues: Withholding party
§ 5:40	— —Characterize waiver doctrine and the disclosure
§ 5:41	— —What to emphasize in screening procedures
§ 5:42	— —Protective orders and stipulations

- § 5:43 Thieves, eavesdroppers and waiver
- § 5:44 Use of e-mail, online postings and waiver
- § 5:45 —Hackers
- § 5:46 —Accidental disclosures
- § 5:47 Intracorporate disclosure of privileged communications
- § 5:48 —“Need to know” test
- § 5:49 —Implementing test by limiting internal access
- § 5:50 Disclosure of privileged communications to government
- § 5:51 —Majority approach: Disclosure to government waives privilege
- § 5:52 —Limited waiver approach
- § 5:53 — —Absolute limited waiver
- § 5:54 — —Limited waiver conditioned on reservation of privilege
- § 5:55 Managing waiver risk when corporate client wants to cooperate with government
- § 5:56 —Reserve privilege or indicate that there is no intent to waive
- § 5:57 Summary

CHAPTER 6. GOOD CAUSE EXCEPTION

- § 6:1 Scope
- § 6:2 Good cause exception distinguished from traditional exceptions
- § 6:3 *Garner v. Wolfinbarger* and good cause exception
- § 6:4 —Pre-*Garner* cases
- § 6:5 —Factual background in *Garner v. Wolfinbarger*
- § 6:6 —Decision of Fifth Circuit in *Garner v. Wolfinbarger*
- § 6:7 — —Fiduciary duty policies v. privilege policies
- § 6:8 — —Four doctrines related to *Garner* good cause
- § 6:9 — — —Director-shareholder relationship is analogous to trustee-beneficiary relationship
- § 6:10 — — —Crime-fraud exception as analogous doctrine
- § 6:11 — — —Joint-attorney rule and its implications in *Garner*-like disputes
- § 6:12 — — —Shareholder inspection of corporate books and records and its applicability to *Garner*-like fact situations
- § 6:13 —Factors in good cause exception

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 6:14	Two-step process in applying <i>Garner</i> exception to privileged attorney-corporate client communication
§ 6:15	Step one: Facts creating <i>Garner</i> -like dispute
§ 6:16	—Type of legal claim that was filed
§ 6:17	—Fiduciary relationship between party asserting privilege and party seeking discovery
§ 6:18	— —Shareholder-director/officer relationship
§ 6:19	— —Directors and officers owing fiduciary duties to multiple parties
§ 6:20	— —Majority and minority shareholders
§ 6:21	— —Securities buyers/sellers and corporation
§ 6:22	— —Debenture holders and corporation
§ 6:23	—Applying “good cause” to nonshareholder intracorporate disputes about access to privileged corporate documents
§ 6:24	— —Applying “good cause” to nonshareholder intracorporate disputes—Implications of <i>Braniff Insolvency</i>
§ 6:25	— —Limited partner and General partner
§ 6:26	— —Investor and investment fund manager
§ 6:27	— —Agent-principal
§ 6:28	— — <i>ERISA</i> : Beneficiaries-trustee relationship
§ 6:29	— —Atypical applications of <i>Garner</i>
§ 6:30	—Privileged communications occurring before fiduciary relationship exists or after it terminates
§ 6:31	Step two: Application of good cause exception to attorney-client privilege
§ 6:32	—Burden of proof
§ 6:33	—Good cause exception and claim-related communications
§ 6:34	—Applying good cause factors: Four categories to satisfy
§ 6:35	— —Focus on discovering party
§ 6:36	— — —Majority of shareholders
§ 6:37	— — —Minority shareholders as group
§ 6:38	— — —Very few shareholders
§ 6:39	— — —Noncorporate cases
§ 6:40	— —Focus on claim itself
§ 6:41	— —Focus on need for information
§ 6:42	— — —Blind searches
§ 6:43	— — —Availability of information elsewhere

ATTORNEY-CORPORATE CLIENT PRIVILEGE

- § 6:44 — — — Claim based on privileged communications
- § 6:45 — — — Focus on communication
- § 6:46 — — — Timing of communication
- § 6:47 — — — Placing communication on content continuum
- § 6:48 — — — When attorney has conflict of interest
- § 6:49 — — — Trade secrets
- § 6:50 Summary

CHAPTER 7. WORK PRODUCT DOCTRINE

- § 7:1 Scope
- § 7:2 Background of work product doctrine
- § 7:3 — Distinguished from attorney-client privilege
- § 7:4 — Sources of law
- § 7:5 Application of work product doctrine
- § 7:6 — To corporations
- § 7:7 — Types of disputes for which work product is available
- § 7:8 — Agency record retention rules and work product doctrine
- § 7:9 Policies of work product doctrine and Hickman's relationship to Rule 26(b)(3)
- § 7:10 Policies of work product doctrine—Attorney
- § 7:11 — Client; Client's Access to Work Product Materials
- § 7:12 — Adversary system of justice
- § 7:13 Elements of work product doctrine
- § 7:14 — Questions of proof
- § 7:15 Materials subject to work product protection
- § 7:16 — Tangible items
- § 7:17 — Attorney's selection of discoverable documents
- § 7:18 — Deposition or interrogatory questions that seek attorney thought processes; deposing opposing counsel
- § 7:19 Preparation of work product
- § 7:20 — Attorneys and in-house counsel issue
- § 7:21 — Nonattorneys may create work product
- § 7:22 Power to assert work product
- § 7:23 Transfer of work product to newly retained attorney
- § 7:24 — Protection should continue

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 7:25	—Disqualification of former attorney
§ 7:26	Created in anticipation of litigation
§ 7:27	—Tests to determine when litigation was anticipated
§ 7:28	— —Test: Focus on litigation
§ 7:29	— —Test: Focus on motive
§ 7:30	—Suggested anticipation of litigation test
§ 7:31	— —Seven factors useful for determining anticipation of litigation
§ 7:32	— —Corporate client focus for anticipation of litigation
§ 7:33	— — —Knowledge of person preparing document
§ 7:34	— — —Corporate authority in deciding that litigation was anticipated
§ 7:35	— — —Basis of determination
§ 7:36	—Data compilations: Ordinary business or anticipation of litigation?
§ 7:37	—Intracorporate investigations and materials created in anticipation of litigation
§ 7:38	—Insurance company claims investigations and anticipation of litigation
§ 7:39	— —Claims procedure focus
§ 7:40	— —Attorney involvement
§ 7:41	— —Denial of claim
§ 7:42	— —Resolve to litigate
§ 7:43	—Tax planning documents and anticipation of litigation
§ 7:44	—Documents prepared for third party litigation funders
§ 7:45	Assertion of work product in subsequent litigation; or by non-parties
§ 7:46	Assertion of work product in subsequent litigation—“Parties must be the same” approach
§ 7:47	—Related issues or action approach
§ 7:48	—Perpetual protection approach
§ 7:49	Identifying opinion work product
§ 7:50	—Scale of work product protection
§ 7:51	Discovery of work product: Questions of proof
§ 7:52	Discovery of opinion work product
§ 7:53	—Absolute protection
§ 7:54	—Discovery: Policies beyond work product
§ 7:55	—Discovery: Disclosure to experts who will testify
§ 7:56	—Discovery: Unusual circumstances

ATTORNEY-CORPORATE CLIENT PRIVILEGE

- § 7:57 Discovering ordinary work product: Substantial
need and undue hardship
- § 7:58 —Substantial need factor
- § 7:59 —Undue hardship factor
- § 7:60 Waiver of work product protection
- § 7:61 —Power to make waiver
- § 7:62 —Voluntary disclosure and waiver
- § 7:63 — —Disclosure and increased chance that
adversary will gain access
- § 7:64 — —Disclosure to third party litigation funders
- § 7:65 — —Disclosure to government
- § 7:66 —Inadvertent disclosure
- § 7:67 —Partial disclosure and subject matter waiver
- § 7:68 —Issue in case and work product
- § 7:69 — —At issue waiver
- § 7:70 — —Bad faith insurance cases
- § 7:71 —Testimonial use of work product documents
- § 7:72 —Using work product to refresh witness
recollection
- § 7:73 Crime-fraud exception and work product
- § 7:74 —Attorney assertion of work product when
exception applies to client's activities
- § 7:75 Attorney misconduct exception—Relationship
between attorney ethics and work product
protection
- § 7:76 Good cause exception and work product
- § 7:77 Summary

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Attorney-Client Privilege Checklists

Appendix B. Practice Forms

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index