

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. OVERVIEW OF AN EMPLOYMENT DISPUTE

I. EMPLOYMENT LAW AND SOURCES OF CONFLICT, GENERALLY

- § 1:1 The employment-at-will doctrine and the sources of suit, generally
- § 1:2 The burgeoning area of employment litigation
- § 1:3 —Increase in discrimination cases
- § 1:4 Sources of employment law and the doctrine of preemption
- § 1:5 —Statutory minimum standards
- § 1:6 — —Federal statutes
- § 1:7 —Antidiscrimination statutes
- § 1:8 —Administrative interpretations in discrimination area
- § 1:9 —Other aspects of employment governed by statute
- § 1:10 — —Wages and benefits
- § 1:11 — —Health, safety, and fitness to work
- § 1:12 — —Worker privacy
- § 1:13 — —Other conduct
- § 1:14 —Common-law claims
- § 1:15 — —Contract claims
- § 1:16 — —Tort claims
- § 1:17 Enforcement
- § 1:18 —Evidence of employer's intent to discriminate
- § 1:19 —Class actions
- § 1:20 —Attorney's fees
- § 1:21 —"Reasonable accommodation" requirements

II. GENERAL PROGRESSION OF EMPLOYMENT DISPUTES

- § 1:22 Avoiding litigation should be the first priority
- § 1:23 Initial considerations for counsel once dispute arises
- § 1:24 Considering settlement of a claim
- § 1:25 —Settlement of discrimination cases can be difficult
- § 1:26 Considering the risks to the employee-client
- § 1:27 Client's objectives
- § 1:28 Litigating the employment case

- § 1:29 —Choosing a forum
- § 1:30 —Seeking an agency adjudication
- § 1:31 — —EEOC and other federal agencies
- § 1:32 — —Texas Commission on Human Rights and other
state agencies
- § 1:33 — —Local enforcement agency: Austin Human
Rights Commission
- § 1:34 —Bringing the suit in court
- § 1:35 — —Federal court
- § 1:36 — —State court
- § 1:37 —Choosing the claims
- § 1:38 —Special requirements for discrimination claims
- § 1:39 —Exhaustion of administrative remedies
- § 1:40 —Condition precedent to suit: EEOC filing
- § 1:41 —Election of remedies: TCHR filing
- § 1:42 —Pleadings
- § 1:43 —Discovery and motion practice
- § 1:44 —Trial

III. CHECKLISTS

- § 1:45 Assessing, pursuing, and defending claims

IV. FORMS

- § 1:46 Request for information to EEOC
- § 1:47 Request for information to Texas Commission on
Human Rights

CHAPTER 2. AVOIDING LITIGATION AND SETTLING CLAIMS

I. DEVELOPING CONSTRUCTIVE EMPLOYMENT POLICIES

- § 2:1 Anticipating and resolving disputes, generally
- § 2:2 —Seemingly benevolent policies may violate law
- § 2:3 —Evaluation should not be based on subjective
criteria
- § 2:4 —Effective channels of communication should be
established
- § 2:5 Documentation practices can simplify and avoid
disputes
- § 2:6 —Employers should document all significant aspects
of employment
- § 2:7 —Employees should document work experiences

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 2:8	—Documents may be subject to discovery
§ 2:9	—Internal investigations should be documented
§ 2:10	Designing effective application policies and forms
§ 2:11	—Job descriptions
§ 2:12	—Application forms, generally
§ 2:13	— —Application form can clarify at-will status
§ 2:14	— — —Employer may jeopardize at-will status
§ 2:15	—Employer should conduct proper background checks during application process
§ 2:16	— —Authorization to obtain references and credit history
§ 2:17	— —Employers should document investigation
§ 2:18	—Avoiding discrimination in the application process
§ 2:19	— —Examples of permissible questions
§ 2:20	— —Questions about previous compensation claims impermissible
§ 2:21	—Retaining employment applications: statutory and regulatory requirements
§ 2:22	Employers should seek to reduce interviewing risks
§ 2:23	—Nonwork-related questions are problematic
§ 2:24	—Discriminatory questions must be avoided
§ 2:25	— —Questions eliciting health information problematic
§ 2:26	— — —Spontaneous disclosure by applicant of disability
§ 2:27	— —Questions eliciting nationality
§ 2:28	— — —Employment eligibility inquiry permissible
§ 2:29	— —Questions eliciting religious orientation
§ 2:30	— —Questions eliciting age
§ 2:31	— —Questions eliciting marital status
§ 2:32	— —Certain questions eliciting criminal history
§ 2:33	— —Most questions eliciting uniformed service status
§ 2:34	—Developing an interviewing policy
§ 2:35	Personnel recruitment and hiring
§ 2:36	—Periodic evaluation helps prevent discrimination
§ 2:37	— —Applicant recruitment procedures may perpetuate discrimination
§ 2:38	— — —Word-of-mouth recruitment may perpetuate discrimination
§ 2:39	—Membership in protected category should not affect hiring process
§ 2:40	Permissible testing for employment positions
§ 2:41	Subjective criteria in hiring decisions
§ 2:42	Developing policies to prevent sexual harassment
§ 2:43	—Employer intervention needed for harassment-free environment

TEXAS PRACTICE GUIDE EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE

- § 2:44 — —Ignored claims can result in employer liability
- § 2:45 — —Essentials of antiharassment policy
- § 2:46 — — —Accusations must be handled quickly and fairly
- § 2:47 Investigating a sexual harassment complaint
- § 2:48 —Tips for the investigation
- § 2:49 —Employee failure to follow procedure does not relieve employer of duty
- § 2:50 —Direct involvement of counsel and privilege
- § 2:51 — —Involvement of counsel may not insulate employer from tort claim by accused and raises issues regarding attorney-client privilege
- § 2:52 —Confidentiality during investigation cannot be assured
- § 2:53 — —Breach of promised confidentiality may be actionable
- § 2:54 —No evidentiary privilege for communications during investigation
- § 2:55 — —Protection of internal investigations subject of debate
- § 2:56 —Complaining employee protected from retaliation
- § 2:57 Prompt and effective remedial action required if harassment found
- § 2:58 —Harmed employee to be given lost opportunities, not favored treatment
- § 2:59 —Remedial action against harasser varies
- § 2:60 — —Ineffective response can lead to employer liability
- § 2:61 —Suits by harasser
- § 2:62 Developing employment policies
- § 2:63 —Items to include in an employee handbook
- § 2:64 — —Personal notice to employee required for particular matters
- § 2:65 —Additional items to include
- § 2:66 —Drug testing
- § 2:67 —Health and medical leave
- § 2:68 —Policies protecting employer’s business interests
- § 2:69 — —Nonsolicitation policies must be narrowly drawn
- § 2:70 — —Solicitation may be banned only in exceptional circumstances
- § 2:71 —“English-only” policies
- § 2:72 — —Agency rulings disfavor “English-only” policy
- § 2:73 — — —Claim possible for exclusionary use of other than English language
- § 2:74 — —Limited policy is permitted if business necessity exists

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 2:75	Employment manuals and statements of policy
§ 2:76	—Effect on at-will employment and other matters
§ 2:77	— —Language limiting grounds for discipline in handbook
§ 2:78	— —Work rules should not limit right to discharge, imply existence of contract
§ 2:79	—Arbitration clauses
§ 2:80	—Information on benefits must be clear
§ 2:81	Treatment of employees in the workplace, generally
§ 2:82	—Employees are protected from harassment
§ 2:83	Performance evaluations
§ 2:84	—Standards for performance must be developed
§ 2:85	—The importance of taking care in conducting evaluations
§ 2:86	— —Characteristics of legally defensible performance evaluations
§ 2:87	—The importance of documenting performance accurately
§ 2:88	—Objective evaluation systems becoming more common
§ 2:89	—Self-evaluation an effective technique
§ 2:90	—Evaluations can be an instrument for discrimination
§ 2:91	—Defamation claims based on evaluations not generally successful
§ 2:92	—Statutory period for retention of evaluations
§ 2:93	Employee discipline, generally
§ 2:94	—Documentation of disciplinary matters
§ 2:95	— —Preventing discrimination claims
§ 2:96	—Publication of work rules
§ 2:97	—Investigating and proving misconduct
§ 2:98	— —Searches
§ 2:99	— —Polygraph tests
§ 2:100	— —Informing employees of investigation policies
§ 2:101	Surveillance of employees
§ 2:102	—Monitoring computer use
§ 2:103	—Video surveillance
§ 2:104	—Investigation of off-duty employees
§ 2:105	—Prohibited interception
§ 2:106	— —Business extension exception
§ 2:107	—Searches
§ 2:108	—Progressive discipline policies, generally
§ 2:109	— —Increasing penalties for continuing infractions
§ 2:110	—Suspension
§ 2:111	—Monetary sanctions generally prohibited

TEXAS PRACTICE GUIDE EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE

- § 2:112 — —Fine may not reduce wage to below minimum
- § 2:113 — —Effect of fine on worker's status
- § 2:114 —Employers' options may be restricted by publication of rules
- § 2:115 —Formal, published discipline policy improves professionalism
- § 2:116 —Debate as to value of progressive discipline policy
- § 2:117 Developing termination policies
- § 2:118 —Counseling poor performers
- § 2:119 Reviewing discharge decisions
- § 2:120 Employee discharge
- § 2:121 —Terminations should be documented
- § 2:122 — —Documentation of reasons for discharge
- § 2:123 — —Materials to include
- § 2:124 —Employer and employee may benefit from exit interview
- § 2:125 — —Employer can learn of need for investigation
- § 2:126 — —Employer can complete documentation and employee notices
- § 2:127 — —Employee interview assists employee
- § 2:128 — —Documenting the exit interview
- § 2:129 —Communicating the reason for termination to the employee
- § 2:130 — —If employer gives reason, it should be truthful
- § 2:131 — —Effect of employer's action in administrative proceeding
- § 2:132 Post-termination issues
- § 2:133 —Defamation
- § 2:134 —Qualified privilege of employer
- § 2:135 —Consent to release information
- § 2:136 —Avoiding defamation suits
- § 2:137 Employee-suggestion awards
- § 2:138 —Enforceability of suggestion award plans
- § 2:139 — —No contract right if employer retains sole discretion to set amount of award

II. NEGOTIATING AND DRAFTING EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

- § 2:140 Determine necessity of employment contract for your client
- § 2:141 Elements of an employment contract
- § 2:142 Principles governing employment contracts
- § 2:143 Essentials of an employment contract
- § 2:144 —Duties of the employee

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 2:145	—Loyalty to employer
§ 2:146	— —Noncompete provisions
§ 2:147	— — —Establishing that the provision is “ancillary”
§ 2:148	— — —Reasonableness of limitations; noncompete provisions for physicians
§ 2:149	—Change in duties
§ 2:150	—Compensation
§ 2:151	—Duration of employment
§ 2:152	—Choice of law
§ 2:153	—Employment agreements and the Labor Code
§ 2:154	—Tax planning maximizes negotiated benefit provisions
§ 2:155	—Deferred compensation packages
§ 2:156	— —Deferred compensation subject to forfeiture
§ 2:157	— —Alternatives to forfeiture that avoid tax
§ 2:158	—Properly structuring stock incentive plans
§ 2:159	—Negotiating disability provisions
§ 2:160	—Negotiating fringe benefits
§ 2:161	—Negotiating confidential information protection
§ 2:162	Designing arbitration agreement that preserves at-will employment status
§ 2:163	—Contents of arbitration agreement
§ 2:164	EEOC position on mandatory binding arbitration as condition of employment

III. SETTLING CLAIMS

§ 2:165	Settlement of disputes often preferable
§ 2:166	—Both sides must fairly evaluate their positions
§ 2:167	—Amicable resolution preferable
§ 2:168	—Employee should consult counsel if differences remain before employee leaves
§ 2:169	— —Discharge should not prevent or end negotiations
§ 2:170	— —Use of the demand letter by the employee
§ 2:171	— — —Response usually advantageous to employer
§ 2:172	Factors to consider, generally
§ 2:173	—The presence or absence of insurance coverage
§ 2:174	— —Possibility of coverage for discrimination claims
§ 2:175	—Settlement offers and EEOC findings admissible in future lawsuits
§ 2:176	—Confidentiality
§ 2:177	— —Preserving confidentiality of settlement discussions
§ 2:178	— —Confidentiality of communications during alternative dispute resolution proceedings
§ 2:179	—Release provisions, generally

TEXAS PRACTICE GUIDE EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE

- § 2:180 — —Releases and Texas agency requirements
- § 2:181 — —Releases and Federal Employers' Liability Act (FELA)
- § 2:182 —Attorney's fees, generally
- § 2:183 —Reinstatement should be addressed
- § 2:184 —Avoiding admissions
- § 2:185 Settlement from the employer's perspective
- § 2:186 —Provision that settlement does not constitute admission of wrongdoing
- § 2:187 —Effect on benefit plans must be considered
- § 2:188 — —Consideration should be recited
- § 2:189 — —Statements concerning termination and reinstatement
- § 2:190 — —Broad release of employer; merger clause
- § 2:191 — —Special obligations to older workers
- § 2:192 — — —Waiver as part of termination program
- § 2:193 — — —Waivers under EEOC supervision
- § 2:194 — —Withdrawal of charges
- § 2:195 — —Miscellaneous provisions
- § 2:196 Settlement from the employee's perspective
- § 2:197 —Older workers may acquire information on other settlements
- § 2:198 —Negotiating a severance package, generally
- § 2:199 —Tax treatment must be considered
- § 2:200 —Acceptance of settlement benefits terms precludes fraud claim
- § 2:201 —Settlement terms can affect entitlements
- § 2:202 —Confidentiality concerns for employees
- § 2:203 —Personnel files and letters of reference
- § 2:204 —Waiver of SLAPP protections

IV. RESOLVING CLAIMS THROUGH MEDIATION

- § 2:205 Mediation as an alternative to litigation
- § 2:206 —Definitions and actors in mediation
- § 2:207 —No formal procedure
- § 2:208 —Grounds for challenging mediated settlement
- § 2:209 —Texas Commission on Human Rights mediation policy

V. CHECKLISTS

- § 2:210 General handbook checklist
- § 2:211 Items to include in employee handbook
- § 2:212 Writing employee evaluations
- § 2:213 Termination checklist

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 2:214 Harassment-employer investigation

VI. FORMS

§ 2:215 Sample policy for employee conduct
§ 2:216 Sample e-mail policy
§ 2:217 Sample termination of employment policy
§ 2:218 Sample sexual harassment policy
§ 2:219 Sample disclaimer
§ 2:220 Sample noncompete provision
§ 2:221 Sample employment agreement
§ 2:222 Sample arbitration agreement
§ 2:223 Sample demand letter
§ 2:224 Sample release provisions
§ 2:225 Settlement agreement involving age bias claim
§ 2:226 Use of company technology and communications systems policy
§ 2:227 Computer screen
§ 2:228 Use of company property policy
§ 2:229 Personnel files policy
§ 2:230 Equal employment opportunity policy
§ 2:231 Harassment and sexual harassment policy

CHAPTER 3. CATEGORIES OF PERSONS PROTECTED FROM DISCRIMINATION

I. PROTECTIONS AGAINST EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION, GENERALLY

§ 3:1 Protected classes, generally
§ 3:2 —Classes protected under local laws only

II. PARTICULAR CLASSES PROTECTED FROM DISCRIMINATION

§ 3:3 Age
§ 3:4 —Sources of federal, state, and local law
§ 3:5 —Circumstances giving rise to issue of age discrimination
§ 3:6 —Situations where employers can properly consider age
§ 3:7 — —Establishing the BFOQ defense
§ 3:8 — —Reasonable factors other than age
§ 3:9 — — —Examples of reasonable factors other than age
§ 3:10 —Waiver of age discrimination claims

- § 3:11 Sex or gender discrimination, generally
- § 3:12 —What is sex discrimination
- § 3:13 Unequal treatment because of one’s gender
- § 3:14 —“Sex-plus” or “gender-plus” discrimination
- § 3:15 — —Different appearance and grooming standards for male and female employees
- § 3:16 —Elements of proof of sexual discrimination under Title VII
- § 3:17 —Other types of “sexual” discrimination
- § 3:18 —Exception for gender as BFOQ here
- § 3:19 —Prohibition against unequal treatment based on gender
- § 3:20 — —Job classification based on gender
- § 3:21 — — —Texas prohibits gender-based job classifications
- § 3:22 — — —Federal policy does not actually prohibit gender-based titles
- § 3:23 —Provision of compensation or benefits to one sex but not the other
- § 3:24 —Same sex spouse
- § 3:25 Sexual orientation and gender identity—Exception for employer’s contrary sincerely held religious beliefs
- § 3:26 Pregnant women and persons on maternity leave
- § 3:27 —Cases holding that discrimination occurred based upon pregnancy
- § 3:28 —Cases holding that no discrimination occurred based upon pregnancy
- § 3:29 —Federal law
- § 3:30 — —Accommodation requirement
- § 3:31 — —Fetal protection policies and the Pregnancy Discrimination Act
- § 3:32 — —FMLA requires reemployment after leave
- § 3:33 —Texas and local law
- § 3:34 — —Paid maternity leave not required under Workers’ Compensation Act
- § 3:35 Sexual harassment
- § 3:36 —Proving quid pro quo claims
- § 3:37 —Proving hostile work environment claims
- § 3:38 —Examples of “hostile environment” sexual harassment
- § 3:39 — —Hostile environment existed
- § 3:40 — —No hostile environment
- § 3:41 —Examples of “quid pro quo” sexual harassment
- § 3:42 —Federal law
- § 3:43 — —Employer’s liability for supervisor’s harassment

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 3:44	—Texas law
§ 3:45	Limited protection from sexual orientation discrimination
§ 3:46	—Texas law
§ 3:47	— —Local laws
§ 3:48	—Federal law
§ 3:49	— —Transsexuals not protected
§ 3:50	— —Few protections for homosexuals in the military
§ 3:51	Race and color
§ 3:52	—No statutory definition of “race” or “color”
§ 3:53	—“Race” and “color,” distinguished
§ 3:54	—Instances where discrimination in employment arises
§ 3:55	— —Sufficient similarity in situations necessary
§ 3:56	—Section 1981 forbids discriminatory termination of contracts
§ 3:57	— <i>Patterson</i> and CRA 91
§ 3:58	— <i>Patterson</i> and CRA 91—CRA 91 not retroactively applied
§ 3:59	—Racial classifications subject to strict scrutiny
§ 3:60	National origin
§ 3:61	—Federal law
§ 3:62	— —Citizenship status discrimination also covered
§ 3:63	— — —Limited protection under Title VII
§ 3:64	— — —Citizenship protected by IRCA
§ 3:65	— —Exceptions to citizenship discrimination prohibitions
§ 3:66	— —Statutory allowances for favoritism
§ 3:67	— —Prohibition against English-only and other language rules
§ 3:68	— — —Business necessity may provide exception
§ 3:69	— — —EEOC guideline presumes English-only rules unlawful
§ 3:70	—Texas law
§ 3:71	Creed and religion
§ 3:72	—Definitions; prima facie case
§ 3:73	—Seeking information about employee’s religion prohibited
§ 3:74	—“Reasonable accommodation” of employee’s religious practices required
§ 3:75	— —Effect of cost on duty to accommodate
§ 3:76	— —Other factors affecting determination of reasonable accommodation
§ 3:77	— —Employee may have to make up time missed
§ 3:78	— —“Reasonable accommodation” arises in various contexts

TEXAS PRACTICE GUIDE EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE

- § 3:79 — —Exception: conflict with collective bargaining agreement
- § 3:80 — —Employee must communicate to employer that accommodation needed
- § 3:81 — —Employee may not dictate accommodation
- § 3:82 — —Exemption for religious or denominational institutions
- § 3:83 — —Exemptions apply to hiring/firing decisions only
- § 3:84 — —Religious expression in the work place
- § 3:85 — —Presidential guidelines on religious expression in federal workplace
- § 3:86 Disability, generally
- § 3:87 — —Federal law
- § 3:88 — —Definitions of “disability” similar under ADA and Rehabilitation Act; prima facie case
- § 3:89 — — —Human Rights Act definition generally similar
- § 3:90 — —Family and Medical Leave Act
- § 3:91 — —Texas law
- § 3:92 — —Prohibition against particular types of disability discrimination
- § 3:93 — — —Employee with alcohol or drug addiction not covered
- § 3:94 — — —Certain employees with AIDS or HIV not covered
- § 3:95 Disability, generally—Texas law—Prohibition against particular types of disability discrimination—Employee with morbid obesity not covered
- § 3:96 Disability, generally—Texas law—Prohibition against particular types of disability discrimination—Ability to perform job reasonably is key
- § 3:97 — — —Reasonable accommodation requirement
- § 3:98 — —No absolute prohibition against discharging employee receiving workers’ compensation benefits
- § 3:99 — —Guidelines regarding employer instruction to employees when to return to work
- § 3:100 — —Local law: inclusion of AIDS and HIV
- § 3:101 — —Physical disability
- § 3:102 — —Employee with AIDS or HIV-positive status and BFOQs
- § 3:103 Marital status
- § 3:104 — —Federal law
- § 3:105 — —Application of Title VII to marital status discrimination
- § 3:106 — —State law
- § 3:107 — —Constitutionality of classifications based on marital status

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 3:108 Unrelated criminal convictions
- § 3:109 —Federal law
- § 3:110 — —Blanket bar may have adverse impact on
particular groups
- § 3:111 — —Employer must show “business necessity”
- § 3:112 —Texas law
- § 3:113 Arrest records
- § 3:114 —Federal law
- § 3:115 — —Disparate impact on certain groups
- § 3:116 —Texas law
- § 3:117 Uniformed service
- § 3:118 —Uniformed Services Employment and
Reemployment Rights Act
- § 3:119 — —Coverage is comprehensive
- § 3:120 — —Special protection for disabled veterans
- § 3:121 — —Employers must provide unconditional leave
- § 3:122 — —Employers must reinstate employees who
properly apply
- § 3:123 — — —Temporary employees
- § 3:124 — — —Seniority and benefit issues
- § 3:125 — — —Returning employee must be qualified
- § 3:126 — — —Basis for disqualification
- § 3:127 —Veterans Employment Opportunity Act of 1998
- § 3:128 — —Opportunity to compete for public employment
- § 3:129 — —Redress for discrimination
- § 3:130 — —Discrimination by government contractors
against certain veterans prohibited
- § 3:131 — —Termination of entitlement to benefits under
USERRA
- § 3:132 —Texas law

III. IMPLICATIONS OF DISCRIMINATION PROTECTIONS FOR MANAGEMENT

- § 3:133 Employer may be required to make “reasonable
accommodation”
- § 3:134 —What accommodation is reasonable
- § 3:135 Bona fide occupational qualification (BFOQ)
- § 3:136 —BFOQs under federal and state law
- § 3:137 Harassment
- § 3:138 Retaliation
- § 3:139 —Federal law; prohibited retaliation
- § 3:140 — —Elements and proof of federal retaliation claim
- § 3:141 — —“Mixed motive” discrimination
- § 3:142 — —Time lapse does not preclude finding of
retaliation

- § 3:143 —Texas law
- § 3:144 — —Texas Whistleblower Act
- § 3:145 Retaliation—Texas law—Mandatory reporters
- § 3:146 Retaliation—Texas law—Local law
- § 3:147 Questions that elicit information about membership
in a protected category
- § 3:148 —Prohibition of particular questions during
interviews and in advertising
- § 3:149 — —Age
- § 3:150 — —National origin and citizenship
- § 3:151 — —Marital status
- § 3:152 — —Arrest records and unrelated criminal
convictions
- § 3:153 — —Disability and health
- § 3:154 — — —Spontaneous disclosure by applicant of
disability
- § 3:155 — —Religion
- § 3:156 — —Uniformed services commitment
- § 3:157 —Posthire questions
- § 3:158 —Unlawful questions prohibited by polygraph tester

IV. FORMS

- § 3:159 Sample military leave policy
- § 3:160 Family and medical leave policy
- § 3:161 Attendance policy
- § 3:162 Family medical leave policy
- § 3:163 Petition-age discrimination
- § 3:164 Petition by employee-for wrongful termination for
absenteeism/leave protected by Family Medical
Leave Act
- § 3:165 Emergency/funeral leave policy
- § 3:166 Jury duty/subpoena policy

CHAPTER 4. THE ANTIDISCRIMINATION STATUTES

I. THE FEDERAL STATUTORY SCHEME

A. GENERALLY; TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964

- § 4:1 Federal antidiscrimination statutes, generally
- § 4:2 Overview of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
- § 4:3 —Who must comply—Definition of covered employer
- § 4:4 — —Title VII “employee” counts toward 15-employee
minimum

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:5	— —“Employer” defined broadly
§ 4:6	— —Labor organizations; employment agencies
§ 4:7	— —Religious organizations as “employers” under Title VII
§ 4:8	— —Extraterritorial American-controlled businesses generally covered
§ 4:9	— —Exclusion from coverage: private membership clubs
§ 4:10	— —Employer control of independent contractor determines whether person is a covered employee
§ 4:11	— —Co-employees and supervisors: no personal liability
§ 4:12	— — —Most circuits bar personal liability
§ 4:13	— —Strict liability in some cases
§ 4:14	— —Successor liability depends on circumstances
§ 4:15	— —Public employers
§ 4:16	— — —Public officials not personally liable for back pay
§ 4:17	—Who is protected
§ 4:18	— —Exclusion: staff of elected officials
§ 4:19	— —Exclusion: independent contractors and partners
§ 4:20	—Prohibited conduct, generally
§ 4:21	— —Discrimination
§ 4:22	— —Retaliation
§ 4:23	—Employers must make reasonable accommodation for protected groups
§ 4:24	—Causing disparate impact on protected category
§ 4:25	—Harassment as a form of discrimination
§ 4:26	—Sexual harassment
§ 4:27	— —“Unwelcome” determined by totality of circumstances
§ 4:28	— — —Conduct viewed according to reasonable person
§ 4:29	— — —Record of prior complaint helpful but not necessary
§ 4:30	— — —Use of prior sexual history: burden on defendant
§ 4:31	— — —“Unfair prejudice” bars evidence regarding complainant
§ 4:32	— — —Ex-lover cases problematic
§ 4:33	— —Quid pro quo harassment
§ 4:34	— —Hostile environment harassment
§ 4:35	— — —“Reasonable person” standard
§ 4:36	— — —Employers’ liability: direct participation
§ 4:37	— —Constructive discharge
§ 4:38	— — —Defense may show resignation motivated by other factors

- § 4:39 — —Same gender claims permitted
- § 4:40 — —Defenses to sexual harassment claims
- § 4:41 —Exception to Title VII claim
- § 4:42 — —Gender as BFOQ
- § 4:43 — — —Pay differential: issue flowing from gender as BFOQ
- § 4:44 — — —No differential for pension purposes allowed
- § 4:45 —Interplay with other statutes
- § 4:46 — —EPA may offer alternative remedy
- § 4:47 —Vicarious liability for supervisor’s action; defenses
- § 4:48 —Affirmative defense available when no employment action taken, no tangible job consequences
- § 4:49 — —Vicarious liability principles applicable to all Title VII claims

B. EQUAL PAY ACT

- § 4:50 Generally
- § 4:51 —Who must comply
- § 4:52 — —Supervisors may be “employers”
- § 4:53 — —Labor organizations are subject to liability
- § 4:54 —Who is protected; prima facie case
- § 4:55 —Prohibits employers from paying unequal wages for equal work on basis of gender
- § 4:56 — —Broad definition of “wages”
- § 4:57 — —“Equal work,” defined
- § 4:58 — —Employers must promptly rectify inequalities
- § 4:59 — —Retaliation for formal complaint is illegal
- § 4:60 — —Employer prohibited from reducing wages of higher paid employee
- § 4:61 —Defending wage differentials
- § 4:62 —Employer liable for difference the same as unpaid wages or overtime
- § 4:63 —EPA versus Title VII
- § 4:64 — —EPA does not require showing of intent
- § 4:65 — —Title VII covers more types of gender discrimination
- § 4:66 — —Differences in remedies

C. CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1866 (“§ 1981”)

- § 4:67 Generally; prima facie case
- § 4:68 —Who must comply
- § 4:69 — —Sovereign immunity and suits against state officials
- § 4:70 — —Vicarious liability

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:71 —Who is protected
- § 4:72 —Scope of act includes making and enforcing contracts
- § 4:73 — —Prohibits intentional conduct only; no disparate impact claims
- § 4:74 —At-will employment relationships deemed contractual
- § 4:75 —Section 1981 procedural law favorable to plaintiffs
- § 4:76 — —No exhaustion of administrative remedies necessary
- § 4:77 — —No restriction of coverage based on number of employees
- § 4:78 — —No restriction on back pay
- § 4:79 — —No caps to compensatory and punitive damage awards

D. CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1871 (“§ 1983”)

- § 4:80 Generally
- § 4:81 —Who must comply
- § 4:82 — —State involvement with employer required
- § 4:83 — —Government officials may have qualified immunity
- § 4:84 — — —Qualified immunity not available to private parties
- § 4:85 —Who is protected
- § 4:86 —Prohibited conduct
- § 4:87 — —Wrongful termination of employment
- § 4:88 —Non-prohibited conduct

E. THE AGE DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT ACT

- § 4:89 Generally; burdens of proof
- § 4:90 —Who must comply
- § 4:91 —Who is protected
- § 4:92 — —Claimant age 40 or older at time of discriminatory act; replacement any age
- § 4:93 — —Exemption: retirees from high-level management
- § 4:94 — — —Narrowly construed
- § 4:95 — —Other limitations repealed
- § 4:96 —Prohibited conduct
- § 4:97 — —Age-based differentials in benefits plans prohibited
- § 4:98 — — —Employer cannot reduce or curtail benefits in defined contribution benefit plans
- § 4:99 — — —Employer’s ability to discontinue lifetime health benefits limited

- § 4:100 — —Disparate treatment
- § 4:101 — — —Language may provide a “smoking gun”
- § 4:102 — — —Disparate treatment in age cases
- § 4:103 — —Disparate impact
- § 4:104 —Affirmative duties toward older workers
- § 4:105 — —Employers must contribute toward group health care
- § 4:106 — —Same options for ineligible employees on age-based and nonage-based grounds
- § 4:107 —Defenses to ADEA claims: BFOQ, other reasonable factors, and waiver
- § 4:108 — —BFOQ determined on case-by-case basis
- § 4:109 — — —Must be reasonably necessary to normal business operations
- § 4:110 — —Differentiation based on factors other than age allowed
- § 4:111 — —Waiver or release must meet OWBPA standards
- § 4:112 —Alternative remedies for age discrimination

F. OLDER WORKERS’ BENEFITS PROTECTION ACT

- § 4:113 Generally
- § 4:114 —Coverage: same employees and employers covered as under ADEA
- § 4:115 — —Permits few age-based differentials in benefit plans
- § 4:116 —OWBPA requirements for valid ADEA waiver
- § 4:117 — —Additional requirements for termination of employment group incentive
- § 4:118 — —Fewer requirements for EEOC-supervised waivers

G. THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

- § 4:119 Generally
- § 4:120 —Who must comply
- § 4:121 — —ADA applies to American employers in foreign countries
- § 4:122 — — —Exception: if compliance violates foreign law
- § 4:123 — —Exemptions: federal employers and private clubs
- § 4:124 —Who is protected, generally: the qualified disabled
- § 4:125 —First criterion: individual has or perceived to have a “disability”
- § 4:126 — —Substantial limitation on a major life activity
- § 4:127 — —Requirement of “physical impairments” refers to substantial disorders

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:128	— —Mental impairments are covered
§ 4:129	— — —Stress and depression not covered unless tied to documented disorder
§ 4:130	— —Mitigated vs. unmitigated condition
§ 4:131	— —Individuals regarded as disabled
§ 4:132	— —Excluded conditions
§ 4:133	—Second criterion: individual is “qualified”
§ 4:134	— —Only present ability and essential job functions count
§ 4:135	— — —Defining “essential job function”
§ 4:136	— —Effect of application for disability benefits
§ 4:137	— —Increased cost of insurance is irrelevant
§ 4:138	—Prohibited conduct
§ 4:139	— —Seven acts that may constitute discrimination
§ 4:140	— —No pre-hire medical tests or disability-related inquiries
§ 4:141	— — —Certain general questions permissible
§ 4:142	— —Retaliation for making complaints prohibited
§ 4:143	—“Reasonable accommodation” must be made
§ 4:144	— —Disabled individual must request accommodation
§ 4:145	— —Four-step process to identify reasonable accommodation
§ 4:146	— —Employer must modify examinations, training, and policies on request
§ 4:147	— — —“Exception” exemption from training or other essential function of the job
§ 4:148	— —“Reasonable accommodation” may be unpaid leave
§ 4:149	— — —Exception: caring for disabled relative
§ 4:150	— — —Reassessment of employees returning from leave permitted
§ 4:151	— —Transitional or “light duty” jobs
§ 4:152	— —Creating new position not required
§ 4:153	— —Reassignment as last resort
§ 4:154	— — —Conflict with collective bargaining agreement
§ 4:155	— —Special issue: accommodating alcoholic employees
§ 4:156	—Failure to accommodate cases: the prima facie case
§ 4:157	— —Employer defenses to charge of failure to accommodate, generally
§ 4:158	— — —May claim that plaintiff not covered by ADA in any case
§ 4:159	—Particular exceptions to duty of accommodation
§ 4:160	— —“Undue hardship”
§ 4:161	— — —Employee must be given option of paying cost of accommodation

- § 4:162 — —Employer not required to keep employee who is
“direct threat”
- § 4:163 —Employers must post notices of ADA rights
- § 4:164 —OWBPA provides model for ADA waivers
- § 4:165 —Interplay with other statutes
- § 4:166 — —Workers’ Compensation claim does not bar ADA
suit
- § 4:167 — —ADA provides minimum; does not preempt other
laws
- § 4:168 — —ADA accommodations may violate Equal Pay Act

H. REHABILITATION ACT

- § 4:169 Generally; prima facie case
- § 4:170 —Who must comply
- § 4:171 — —No discrimination against disabled who are
“otherwise qualified”
- § 4:172 — —Affirmative action requirement
- § 4:173 —Who is protected
- § 4:174 — —Persons with contagious diseases, AIDS
- § 4:175 — —Current users of illegal drugs excluded
- § 4:176 — —Sufferers of temporary stress not protected
- § 4:177 — —Other conditions may be protected
- § 4:178 — —Person posing risk to self and others not
protected
- § 4:179 —Employers must make reasonable accommodation
- § 4:180 —Retaliation expressly prohibited

I. CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1991

- § 4:181 Generally
- § 4:182 —Created new civil rights laws
- § 4:183 — —42 U.S.C.A. § 1981a
- § 4:184 — —Glass Ceiling Act of 1991
- § 4:185 — —Government Employee Rights Act of 1991
- § 4:186 —Amendments not retroactive before November 21,
1991

J. FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE ACT

- § 4:187 Generally
- § 4:188 —Who must comply
- § 4:189 — —Numerical requirement determined at date of
request
- § 4:190 — — —Count includes part-time employees and
employees on leave

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:191	— — —Corporate officers and supervisors may be covered
§ 4:192	— —State employers may be covered
§ 4:193	—Who is protected
§ 4:194	— —Employer may choose any 12-month period to measure service
§ 4:195	— —“Hours of service” component of eligibility means hours actually worked
§ 4:196	— —Transient and part-time employees excluded
§ 4:197	— —“Parent,” “daughter,” and “son” expansively defined
§ 4:198	— — —Applies to either “parent,” even where both work for same employer
§ 4:199	—Employees entitled to 12 work weeks’ leave
§ 4:200	— —Employer notice obligation to employee
§ 4:201	— —Twelve-week leave period not expanded when both parents work for same employer
§ 4:202	— —Employee must show necessity for own or relative’s care
§ 4:203	— —More than one reason may justify leave periods
§ 4:204	— —Actual leave taken proportioned over work week
§ 4:205	— —Special rules for intermittent leave
§ 4:206	— — —Temporary transfer may be required of employee on intermittent leave
§ 4:207	— —Substituting other paid leave at employer’s or employee’s option
§ 4:208	— — —Employer must notify of decision to substitute
§ 4:209	—Child care leave
§ 4:210	—Leave for “serious health condition”
§ 4:211	— —“Continuing treatment” sufficient to meet statute
§ 4:212	— —Period of incapacity required
§ 4:213	— —Exclusions: brief illness and elective surgery
§ 4:214	— —Employee’s notice and certification requirements
§ 4:215	— — —Notice for planned medical treatment
§ 4:216	— — —Contents of certification for medical leave
§ 4:217	— — —Employee may be asked to pay for first certification
§ 4:218	— — —Employer may require second opinion
§ 4:219	— — —If first and second vary, third opinion is binding
§ 4:220	— — —Recertification and updates after 30 days
§ 4:221	— —Certification of fitness to return to work after leave
§ 4:222	—Employers’ duties to returning workers
§ 4:223	— —Employee entitled to restoration to former position or its equivalent

- § 4:224 — — —Regulations define “equivalent position,” “pay,” and “benefits”
- § 4:225 — — —Exception to reinstatement: highest paid workers
- § 4:226 — —Continuation of health insurance coverage during leave; premiums if no return to work
- § 4:227 — — —Employee payment of premium during leave
- § 4:228 — — —Terminating coverage for employee failure to pay premium
- § 4:229 — —Restoration of other pre-leave benefits
- § 4:230 — —Changes in wages and benefits during leave
- § 4:231 —Unlawful conduct: discrimination on basis of FMLA rights
- § 4:232 —Rights under FMLA may not be waived or limited by contract
- § 4:233 — —Agreement to arbitrate FMLA claims may be enforceable
- § 4:234 —Interplay and comparison with other statutes
- § 4:235 — —FMLA offers more protection for returning workers than does Workers’ Compensation Law or ADA
- § 4:236 — —Taking FMLA leave does not affect FLSA exemption
- § 4:237 — —FMLA leave termination may trigger COBRA coverage
- § 4:238 — —FMLA offers more protection than does ADA for returning workers
- § 4:239 — — —“Disability” (ADA) versus “serious health condition” (FMLA)
- § 4:240 — — —ADA covers more employers

K. PREGNANCY DISCRIMINATION ACT

- § 4:241 Generally
- § 4:242 —Additional rights may be available under FMLA
- § 4:243 — —PDA can protect FMLA leave-takers from discharge
- § 4:244 — —Federal contractors required to reinstate employees
- § 4:245 —Who must comply
- § 4:246 —Who is protected
- § 4:247 — —Condition must be directly tied to pregnancy
- § 4:248 — —Abortions covered by statute under certain circumstances
- § 4:249 —Prohibited conduct
- § 4:250 — —Employer may not allow pregnancy to affect hiring decision

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:251 — —Employer must offer same accommodations provided for other reasons
- § 4:252 — — —Employer not required to favor pregnant employees
- § 4:253 — —Employer must provide leave and reinstatement on equal basis
- § 4:254 — — —Leave required if offered to other employees
- § 4:255 — —May not discriminate on basis of marital status
- § 4:256 — —May not discriminate in terms of spousal benefits
- § 4:257 — —May not require different doctor's certification
- § 4:258 — —Fetal protection policies may violate Title VII
- § 4:259 — —Denial of paternity leave prohibited unless policy covers disabilities only
- § 4:260 — —Disparate impact claim may result
- § 4:261 — — —Business necessity may justify a policy that has disparate impact
- § 4:262 —Pregnancy under PDA and other statutes
- § 4:263 — —No paid leave for pregnancy if no paid leave for other medical conditions
- § 4:264 — — —May insist employee exhaust paid leave first
- § 4:265 — —No preemption of state laws favoring women

L. TITLE IX OF THE EDUCATIONAL AMENDMENTS OF 1972

- § 4:266 Generally
- § 4:267 —Liability of school board for sexual harassment
- § 4:268 —Who is protected

M. CONSPIRACY TO OBSTRUCT JUSTICE ACT

- § 4:269 Generally
- § 4:270 —Who must comply
- § 4:271 —What constitutes a conspiracy
- § 4:272 —Who is protected

N. AFFIRMATIVE ACTION UNDER FEDERAL LAW AFTER ADARAND

- § 4:273 Generally
- § 4:274 —Who must comply
- § 4:275 — —“Federal contract,” “subcontract,” and “services,” defined
- § 4:276 —Order covers federally assisted state and local construction contracts

- § 4:277 — —Clause for contracts worth \$10,000 to \$49,999
- § 4:278 — —Equal opportunity clause
- § 4:279 —Who is protected
- § 4:280 —Affirmative requirements
- § 4:281 — —Requirements regarding persons with disabilities
- § 4:282 — —Veterans affirmative action
- § 4:283 —Penalties include denial or cancellation of contract
- § 4:284 — —Unclear if private right of action exists under Order

O. IMMIGRATION REFORM AND CONTROL ACT OF 1986

- § 4:285 Generally
- § 4:286 —Who must comply
- § 4:287 —Who is protected
- § 4:288 —Prohibited conduct
- § 4:289 —Prosecution of IRCA cases

P. UNIFORMED SERVICES EMPLOYMENT AND REEMPLOYMENT RIGHTS ACT

- § 4:290 Generally
- § 4:291 —Who must comply
- § 4:292 —Who is protected
- § 4:293 — —Limitation for reinstatement eligibility

Q. VETERANS EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY ACT OF 1998

- § 4:294 Generally
- § 4:295 —Who must comply
- § 4:296 —Who is protected

R. EMPLOYEE POLYGRAPH PROTECTION ACT OF 1988

- § 4:297 Generally

II. THE STATE STATUTORY SCHEME

A. GENERALLY; TEXAS COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

- § 4:298 Texas antidiscrimination statutes, generally
- § 4:299 —Texas Constitution provides additional remedy
- § 4:300 Texas Commission on Human Rights Act, generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:301	—Purposes and remedies
§ 4:302	—May preempt common law actions
§ 4:303	—Shares standards of liability with federal law
§ 4:304	—Who must comply
§ 4:305	— —Corporate employees not individually liable
§ 4:306	— —Compliance by foreign employers
§ 4:307	— —Licensed professional employer organizations (PEOs)
§ 4:308	—Who is protected
§ 4:309	— —Disability protection for qualified employees only
§ 4:310	— —Family members excluded
§ 4:311	— —Public officials not covered
§ 4:312	—Out-of-state employees not covered
§ 4:313	— —Age provisions cover persons of 40 years or older
§ 4:314	—Prohibited conduct, generally
§ 4:315	— —Employers may not retaliate for complaints
§ 4:316	—Employee can waive discrimination protections
§ 4:317	—Employer may be vicariously liable
§ 4:318	Categories protected under Texas Commission on Human Rights Act
§ 4:319	—Age
§ 4:320	— —Prohibited conduct includes job advertisements
§ 4:321	— —Mandatory retirement at 65
§ 4:322	—Race
§ 4:323	—Creed or religion
§ 4:324	— —Reasonable accommodation of religion required
§ 4:325	— —Collective bargaining agreement may limit ability to accommodate
§ 4:326	— —Exemption for religious organizations
§ 4:327	—Color
§ 4:328	—National origin
§ 4:329	— —Citizenship preferences cannot cause de facto national origin discrimination
§ 4:330	—Gender
§ 4:331	— —Pregnancy-based gender discrimination
§ 4:332	— —Sexual harassment as form of gender discrimination
§ 4:333	— — —Isolated incidents do not give rise to sexual harassment
§ 4:334	— — —Remedial action as defense
§ 4:335	—Disability
§ 4:336	— —“Disability” includes perception of disability
§ 4:337	— —Current drug and alcohol dependency not a “disability”
§ 4:338	— —AIDS is not a “disability” if it poses health threat to others

- § 4:339 — —Mitigated vs. unmitigated condition as “disability”
- § 4:340 — —Stress-related illness not “disability” unless tied to documented disorder
- § 4:341 — —Employers must provide reasonable accommodation
- § 4:342 — — —Undue hardship defense
- § 4:343 —Genetic predisposition and carrier status
- § 4:344 — —Genetic test defined
- § 4:345 —Additional prohibitions for special organizations
- § 4:346 — —Employment agencies
- § 4:347 — —Labor organizations
- § 4:348 —Otherwise prohibited conduct may be exempt in affirmative action plan
- § 4:349 — —Exemption also available under federal law
- § 4:350 —Exception to coverage: gender as BFOQ
- § 4:351 —Exception to coverage: age as BFOQ

B. OTHER STATE DISCRIMINATION STATUTES

- § 4:352 Generally
- § 4:353 —Disability discrimination law
- § 4:354 — —Who is covered
- § 4:355 — —Who is protected
- § 4:356 — —Reasonable accommodation required
- § 4:357 — —Use of testing in the employee selection process
- § 4:358 —Persons with an Intellectual Disability Act
- § 4:359 — —Purpose
- § 4:360 — —Who is covered
- § 4:361 — —Who is protected
- § 4:362 — —Prohibited conduct; exceptions
- § 4:363 —AIDS testing law
- § 4:364 — —Who is covered
- § 4:365 — —Who is protected
- § 4:366 — —Prohibited conduct
- § 4:367 — — —Exception: BFOQ
- § 4:368 — — —Exception: Accidental exposure to blood or bodily fluids
- § 4:369 —Public employment equal pay law
- § 4:370 — —Who is covered
- § 4:371 — —Who is protected
- § 4:372 —Public education religious discrimination law
- § 4:373 — —Who is covered
- § 4:374 — —Who is protected
- § 4:375 — —Prohibited conduct

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:376 — — —Exception: forfeiture of pay
- § 4:377 —Public servant sexual harassment law
- § 4:378 —Higher education mandatory retirement law
- § 4:379 Executive Order MW-6
- § 4:380 —Affirmative action required
- § 4:381 Good-faith reporters of human trafficking, child abuse, or child neglect

III. THE LOCAL STATUTORY SCHEME

- § 4:382 Local antidiscrimination ordinances, generally
- § 4:383 City of Austin’s antidiscrimination ordinance, generally
- § 4:384 —Purposes; means of enforcement
- § 4:385 —Shares interpretation with federal law
- § 4:386 —Who must comply
- § 4:387 — —Federal and state government exempted
- § 4:388 — —Private clubs exempted
- § 4:389 —Who is protected
- § 4:390 — —Elected city officials not covered
- § 4:391 — —Age provisions cover persons of 40 years or older
- § 4:392 —Prohibited conduct
- § 4:393 — —Employers may not retaliate for complaints
- § 4:394 —Categories protected under Austin’s ordinance
- § 4:395 — —Age
- § 4:396 — —Race or color
- § 4:397 — —Religion
- § 4:398 — —Reasonable accommodation of religion required
- § 4:399 — —National origin
- § 4:400 — —Gender
- § 4:401 — — —Pregnancy-based gender discrimination
- § 4:402 — —Sexual orientation
- § 4:403 — —Physical disability
- § 4:404 — —AIDS or HIV status
- § 4:405 — — —AIDS testing permitted in certain circumstances
- § 4:406 — — —Persons who associate with AIDS-infected individuals protected
- § 4:407 — —Exception to coverage: BFOQ

IV. CHECKLISTS

- § 4:408 Employer checklist: Americans with Disability Act issues

- § 4:409 Employer checklist: Training managers on employment issues
- § 4:410 Employer checklist: Training nonmanagement employees
- § 4:411 Employer checklist: job evaluations

V. FORMS

- § 4:412 ADEA Claim Release
- § 4:413 Certification of health care provider
- § 4:414 Employer response to employee request for family or medical leave
- § 4:415 Family and medical leave policy

CHAPTER 5. COMMON LAW CONTRACT AND TORT CLAIMS

I. SOURCES OF COMMON LAW CLAIMS, GENERALLY

- § 5:1 Contract and tort claims in the employment context
- § 5:2 Employment-at-will doctrine limits employees' common law remedies
- § 5:3 —Development of at-will doctrine in Texas
- § 5:4 —At-will relationship gives employer right to discharge for any or no reason
- § 5:5 —Employee has few rights
- § 5:6 —No tort remedy for wrongful discharge

II. CLAIMS ARISING FROM EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS

- § 5:7 Employment contract best protection for employee
- § 5:8 —Employment contracts are enforceable
- § 5:9 Employment at-will is presumed in Texas
- § 5:10 —Indefinite employment term creates at-will arrangement
- § 5:11 — —Contractual restriction on termination essential to defeat employment at-will
- § 5:12 — —Salary arrangement may define term
- § 5:13 —Employer's right to discharge at-will employee virtually unchecked—Spouse of at-will employee has no third-party beneficiary claim
- § 5:14 Discharge claim generally must be based on contractual rights
- § 5:15 —Texas recognizes satisfaction contracts

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 5:16	Express employment contracts
§ 5:17	—Informal employment contracts
§ 5:18	— —Duration terms may create contract
§ 5:19	— —Several documents combined can comprise agreement
§ 5:20	—Written agreement to comply with policy can bind employer
§ 5:21	—Clear limitation of rights required
§ 5:22	Consideration, generally
§ 5:23	—Continued employment generally insufficient consideration for covenants not to compete
§ 5:24	Contracts by implication must be clear
§ 5:25	Contract may be created on representations in manual
§ 5:26	—Facts that establish reliance
§ 5:27	—Explicit restrictions generally required
§ 5:28	Implied terms in existing agreements may limit right to discharge
§ 5:29	—Disclaimer generally negates implication of limitation
§ 5:30	—General guidelines do not give rise to contract rights
§ 5:31	—General statements insufficient to support contract
§ 5:32	—Statement of grounds for discharge generally insufficient to limit employer
§ 5:33	Effect of establishment of disciplinary procedure
§ 5:34	—Effect of failure to invoke prescribed grievance procedure
§ 5:35	Implied duty of good faith and fair dealing generally insufficient theory
§ 5:36	Benefits by way of implied covenant may be found
§ 5:37	Exceptions to at-will are limited
§ 5:38	—Refusal to perform illegal act
§ 5:39	— —Attempts to expand public policy exception have failed
§ 5:40	— —Exception does not extend to private whistleblowers
§ 5:41	Constitutional constraints on at-will termination of public employees
§ 5:42	—Free speech in workplace not absolute
§ 5:43	Due process requirements in termination
§ 5:44	—Full post-termination hearing required
§ 5:45	— —Standard of review
§ 5:46	Modification of terms of at-will employment
§ 5:47	Prognosis for wrongful discharge claims in Texas
§ 5:48	—At-will doctrine not likely to be further changed by court

- § 5:49 —Antidiscrimination laws restrict employers’ discharge rights
- § 5:50 Possible legislative changes to the at-will doctrine
- § 5:51 —Model Employment Termination Act
- § 5:52 — —Provisions of model act
- § 5:53 —Private whistleblower bill rejected
- § 5:54 Statute of limitations
- § 5:55 —Claim accrues at time of breach
- § 5:56 Statute of Frauds issues in employment contracts
- § 5:57 —One-year rule
- § 5:58 —Distinction between performance of contract and termination of contract
- § 5:59 —Applicability to contracts promising employment until the death of the employee
- § 5:60 —Applicability to contracts promising lifetime employment
- § 5:61 —Attempts to circumvent the Statute of Frauds
- § 5:62 —What constitutes a “writing”
- § 5:63 —Modification of contract within statute requires writing
- § 5:64 Parol evidence rule, generally
- § 5:65 —Rule does not apply to subsequent agreements
- § 5:66 —Extrinsic evidence admissible under limited circumstances
- § 5:67 ERISA preempts certain claims
- § 5:68 Resume fraud does not bar contract claim
- § 5:69 Lawful termination of an employment contract
- § 5:70 —Termination for good cause
- § 5:71 —Termination on written notice
- § 5:72 —Courts will not imply covenant of good faith and fair dealing into termination clause
- § 5:73 —Right to terminate attorney-client contract not absolute
- § 5:74 Remedies for breach of employment contract
- § 5:75 —Measure of damages for employee’s breach
- § 5:76 —Employee’s damages for wrongful discharge
- § 5:77 — —Obligations to mitigate damages
- § 5:78 — — —Effect of noncompete clause
- § 5:79 — — —Effect of offer of reemployment
- § 5:80 — — —Effect of notice provision
- § 5:81 — — —Effect of option to renew
- § 5:82 — —No deduction of worker’s compensation from breach of employment contract award
- § 5:83 — —No punitive damages absent independent tort claim

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 5:84 Quasi-contractual recovery in the absence of a contract
- § 5:85 —Express contract generally excludes quasi-contractual recovery
- § 5:86 —Quantum meruit
- § 5:87 —Unjust enrichment
- § 5:88 —Promissory estoppel claims can be problematic

III. CLAIMS ARISING FROM TORTS

- § 5:89 Tort claims in the employment context
- § 5:90 Tort litigation, in general
- § 5:91 —Disclosure devices can play important role
- § 5:92 —Employer may be liable for supervisor's acts
- § 5:93 —Relationship between the TCHRA and common law tort claims
- § 5:94 —Defenses to a tort claim, generally
- § 5:95 —Failure to serve or insufficient notice of claim
- § 5:96 — —Parent company not generally liable for torts of subsidiaries
- § 5:97 —Workers' compensation generally provides sole remedy for work-related injury
- § 5:98 —Workers' compensation—Intentional injuries
- § 5:99 — — —Safe work place
- § 5:100 — — — —Doctrine of ferae naturae
- § 5:101 — —“Personal animosity” exception
- § 5:102 Employers not liable for exposing employees to pandemic disease
- § 5:103 Particular tort claims
- § 5:104 Defamation, generally
- § 5:105 —Constitutional considerations promote early disposition
- § 5:106 —Statute of limitations
- § 5:107 —Elements of claim
- § 5:108 —Complaint requires specificity
- § 5:109 — —Pleading requirements strictly enforced
- § 5:110 —Defamatory statement must be published
- § 5:111 — —Statement “published” where any third-party involvement
- § 5:112 — —Self-publication
- § 5:113 — —Statement must defame employee
- § 5:114 — —Statement on reason for discharge may constitute defamation
- § 5:115 —Proof of special damages additional element for slander
- § 5:116 — —Exception for slander per se
- § 5:117 —Defenses to a defamation claim, generally

- § 5:118 —Truth
- § 5:119 — —False impression
- § 5:120 —Privilege
- § 5:121 — —Honest opinion is protected, but not privileged
- § 5:122 — — —Whether statement is fact or opinion is
dispositive inquiry
- § 5:123 — —Absolute privileges in the employment context
- § 5:124 — — —Statements made in Commission proceedings
privileged
- § 5:125 — — —Statements made in connection with
unemployment applications privileged
- § 5:126 — —Qualified privilege, generally
- § 5:127 — — —Scope of qualified privilege
- § 5:128 — — —Statutory immunity
- § 5:129 — — —Effect of privilege and additional elements
- § 5:130 — —Employee evaluations qualifiedly privileged
- § 5:131 — —Internal sexual harassment investigations are
privileged
- § 5:132 — —Informal discovery absolutely privileged
- § 5:133 — —Knowledge of falsity destroys privilege
- § 5:134 — — —Knowledge of falsity proves “actual malice”
- § 5:135 — — — —“Reckless disregard” where truth was or
should have been doubted
- § 5:136 — — — —Malice can be inferred from recklessness
- § 5:137 — — — —Conclusory allegations of malice or absence
of malice insufficient
- § 5:138 — — — —Vehemence of language used not evidence
of malice
- § 5:139 — — — —Clear and convincing evidence
- § 5:140 — — — —Co-employee’s statements as proof of
malice
- § 5:141 —Damages in defamation suits
- § 5:142 — —Availability of punitive damages
- § 5:143 — — —Constitutional limits on punitive damages
- § 5:144 — —Prejudgment interest not available on punitive
damages award
- § 5:145 Intentional infliction of emotional harm
- § 5:146 —Statute of limitations
- § 5:147 —Elements of claim
- § 5:148 — —Conduct must be extreme
- § 5:149 — — —Common cruelty not enough
- § 5:150 — — —Ordinary employment disputes not actionable
- § 5:151 — — —Repeated harassment can be “outrageous
conduct”
- § 5:152 — — —Harm must be independent from discharge

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 5:153	— — —Loss of consortium claim unavailable
§ 5:154	— —Conduct must be intentional
§ 5:155	— —Distress must be severe
§ 5:156	— —Includes “highly unpleasant mental reactions”
§ 5:157	—Defenses to an emotional distress claim; Workers’ Compensation may bar claim
§ 5:158	— —Harassment claims
§ 5:159	—Availability of punitive damages
§ 5:160	Fraud and fraudulent inducement
§ 5:161	—Statute of limitations
§ 5:162	— —Discovery rule
§ 5:163	—Elements of fraud
§ 5:164	— —Material representations
§ 5:165	— —Reasonable reliance
§ 5:166	— — —Reliance unjustified as a matter of law
§ 5:167	— —Intent not to perform
§ 5:168	— —Damage element
§ 5:169	—At-will doctrine as a bar to fraud claim
§ 5:170	—Fraud claim vs. breach of contract claim
§ 5:171	—Relationship between fraud claim and ERISA
§ 5:172	—Availability of punitive damages
§ 5:173	Negligent misrepresentation
§ 5:174	—Misrepresentation of fact required
§ 5:175	— —Falsity
§ 5:176	— —Existence of contract
§ 5:177	—Reliance must be reasonable
§ 5:178	—Independent injury required
§ 5:179	—Losses must be result of reliance
§ 5:180	—Claims common during force reduction
§ 5:181	—ERISA governs some representations
§ 5:182	— —Termination of plan not subject to ERISA standards
§ 5:183	— — —Disclosure requirement
§ 5:184	— — —Disclosure of unenacted proposals not required
§ 5:185	—ERISA may preempt claim
§ 5:186	—Unilateral mistake
§ 5:187	Invasion of privacy
§ 5:188	—False light invasion of privacy not recognized
§ 5:189	—Intrusion into private affairs
§ 5:190	— —Elements of claim
§ 5:191	— —Generally involves invasion of property or eavesdropping
§ 5:192	— —Employee must have reasonable expectation of privacy

TEXAS PRACTICE GUIDE EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE

- § 5:193 —Publicity of private information
- § 5:194 — —Elements of claim
- § 5:195 — —Qualified privileges
- § 5:196 False imprisonment
- § 5:197 —Statute of limitations
- § 5:198 — —Lack of justification must be proved
- § 5:199 —Liability where security guards employed by independent contractor
- § 5:200 Civil conspiracy
- § 5:201 —Elements of cause of action
- § 5:202 — —Someone in addition to employer must conspire
- § 5:203 Negligent hiring and retention
- § 5:204 —Elements of claim
- § 5:205 —Wrongdoer can be independent contractor
- § 5:206 — —When plaintiff is the independent contractor's employee
- § 5:207 —Duty to check applicant's background
- § 5:208 — —Failure to check background actionable
- § 5:209 — — —Prior criminal record not determinative of negligence
- § 5:210 —Duty of care in retaining employees
- § 5:211 —Negligence must cause legally compensable injury
- § 5:212 —Negligence must be proximate cause of injury
- § 5:213 —Punitive damages available for gross negligence
- § 5:214 —Employee responsible for his or her own torts
- § 5:215 — —Existence of agreement must be proven to establish a fiduciary relationship
- § 5:216 —Confidential relationship creates greater protection
- § 5:217 Tortious interference with contract; elements
- § 5:218 —Statute of limitations
- § 5:219 —Type of interference
- § 5:220 —Claim dependent on valid, enforceable underlying contract
- § 5:221 — —At-will employment contract does not bar claim
- § 5:222 —Tortious interference with prospective business relations
- § 5:223 —Conduct occurring within employment relationship not actionable
- § 5:224 —Liability of coworkers responsible for termination decisions
- § 5:225 — —Supervisor with hiring authority
- § 5:226 — —Independent contractor may be liable
- § 5:227 — —Exception based on personal animosity of coworker
- § 5:228 — — —No liability where mixed motive

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 5:229 — — —Justification supersedes coworker's motivation
- § 5:230 —Justification is affirmative defense
- § 5:231 —Pleading requirements
- § 5:232 —Justification
- § 5:233 —Availability of punitive damages
- § 5:234 Texas does not recognize certain torts
- § 5:235 —No prima facie tort
- § 5:236 —No negligent infliction of emotional distress action
- § 5:237 —No private whistleblower action

IV. EMPLOYEES' AND INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS' WRONGS

- § 5:238 Employees' duties, generally
- § 5:239 Claims arising from the duty of loyalty
- § 5:240 —Receiving secret payments violates duty
- § 5:241 —Conflict of interest
- § 5:242 — —Statutory strictures on municipal employees
- § 5:243 — — —Similar constraints on state employees
- § 5:244 — —Diverting employer's opportunities
- § 5:245 — —Employer's intent to pursue opportunity irrelevant
- § 5:246 — —Liability can turn on reasonableness of challenged act
- § 5:247 — —Activities prior to leaving employment may constitute breach
- § 5:248 — —When customers may be solicited
- § 5:249 — — —Solicitation of customers during employment impermissible
- § 5:250 — — —Solicitation after termination may be permissible
- § 5:251 — — —Method of developing new client database
- § 5:252 — —Former employee can entice former employer's employees
- § 5:253 —Damages for a duty of loyalty violation
- § 5:254 Covenants not to compete
- § 5:255 —Higher standard in employment contracts than sale of business contracts
- § 5:256 —Covenant must be ancillary to otherwise enforceable agreement
- § 5:257 — —At-will employees
- § 5:258 —Scope of restrictions must be reasonable
- § 5:259 — —Covenants with unreasonable restrictions must be reformed
- § 5:260 — —Only injunctive relief available prior to reformation

- § 5:261 —Grounds for enforcing covenant: trade secrets or other confidential information generally required
- § 5:262 — —Nonsolicitation clause in employment contract subject to same standard
- § 5:263 —Examples of enforceable covenants
- § 5:264 —Employer must not be in breach
- § 5:265 —Other impediments to enforcement of covenants
- § 5:266 — —Statute of Frauds
- § 5:267 —Damages for violation of covenant
- § 5:268 —Awarding attorney’s fees
- § 5:269 —Obtaining an injunction
- § 5:270 — —Bases for denying injunction
- § 5:271 —Law applied in enforcing out-of-state restrictive covenants
- § 5:272 — —Treatment of restrictive covenants in other states
- § 5:273 —Unenforceable covenant cannot form basis of action for tortious interference
- § 5:274 Duty of confidentiality
- § 5:275 —Absconding with documents
- § 5:276 —Protecting trade secrets
- § 5:277 — —“Trade secret” defined
- § 5:278 — — —Protection of trade secrets and confidential information
- § 5:279 — —Elements of claim
- § 5:280 — —Damages for misappropriation of trade secrets
- § 5:281 Rights to inventions created by employees
- § 5:282 —Use of invention depends on nature of employment
- § 5:283 — —Right depends on several factors
- § 5:284 Employer’s liability for wrongs committed by independent contractor
- § 5:285 —Degree of control over independent contractor determines liability
- § 5:286 Borrowed Employee Doctrine

V. FORMS

- § 5:287 Authorization to disclose information and release from liability
- § 5:288 Driver policy
- § 5:289 Acknowledgment of receipt of employee handbook
- § 5:290 Creations, developments, and inventions

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 6. PRIVACY, HEALTH, FITNESS TO WORK AND WHISTLEBLOWER CLAIMS

I. EMPLOYEE PRIVACY

A. GENERALLY

- § 6:1 Monitoring, investigation, and worker privacy
- § 6:2 —Greater protection for public employees
- § 6:3 Privacy in the application process
- § 6:4 —Moderate regulation of credit reporting
- § 6:5 — —Scope of the Fair Credit Reporting Act
- § 6:6 — —Remedies under the Fair Credit Reporting Act
- § 6:7 — — —Agency and user liability for erroneous credit reports
- § 6:8 —Use of criminal history information
- § 6:9 — —Access for security-sensitive positions and licensing
- § 6:10 —Fingerprints
- § 6:11 Privacy rights concerning nonwork-related activities

B. ON-SITE EMPLOYEE SURVEILLANCE

- § 6:12 Permissible methods, generally
- § 6:13 —Wiretapping prohibited
- § 6:14 —Use of pen registers requires court order or warrant
- § 6:15 —Knowing disclosure violates statute
- § 6:16 —Federal eavesdropping law provides for civil remedies
- § 6:17 —Texas state law provides civil remedy for interception of communication
- § 6:18 —When recording is permitted; “one-party consent” exemption
- § 6:19 — —Employees may also use “one-party consent” exemption
- § 6:20 — — —Recording communications with employers not ground for discharge
- § 6:21 — —Exception for extension phone monitoring under certain circumstances
- § 6:22 — —Recorded conversations effective as evidence of sexual harassment
- § 6:23 —Court can seal wiretapped communications

- § 6:24 —Audibility is predicate to admission of audio tapes
- § 6:25 —Ethical issues affecting employer's counsel
- § 6:26 External e-mail protected from surveillance

C. POLYGRAPH PROTECTION ACT

- § 6:27 Polygraph examinations restricted under Federal Polygraph Protection Act
- § 6:28 —Testing covered under the PPA
- § 6:29 —Conduct constituting a violation of PPA
- § 6:30 —Polygraphs permitted in limited circumstances
- § 6:31 — —Ongoing investigation exception; requirements
- § 6:32 — —Contents of forty-eight-hour notice to employee
- § 6:33 — —Rigid rules for polygraph examination
- § 6:34 — —Post-examination procedure
- § 6:35 —Examinee has particular rights at all phases of exam process
- § 6:36 —Statute of limitations and available remedies under the PPA
- § 6:37 —Generally no waiver of polygraph protections
- § 6:38 — —Certain rights can be waived
- § 6:39 —Discrimination claims based on polygraph testing
- § 6:40 — —Employer may not retaliate if employee refuses to take exam
- § 6:41 —No analogous state protection from polygraph testing
- § 6:42 — —Common law remedies
- § 6:43 — — —Invasion of privacy
- § 6:44 — — —Defamation
- § 6:45 — — —Intentional infliction of emotional distress
- § 6:46 — — —Negligence

D. PERSONALITY TESTING AND OTHER SUBJECTIVE TESTING

- § 6:47 Generally
- § 6:48 —Personality testing is lawful but problematic
- § 6:49 —Psychological analysis must comply with civil rights legislation
- § 6:50 —Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990

E. OFFICE SEARCHES

- § 6:51 Office searches and rights of private versus government employees
- § 6:52 —Government employees' property protected by Fourth Amendment

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:53 —Government employer may search if misconduct suspected
- § 6:54 — —Warrant not required
- § 6:55 — —Purpose and scope of search must be reasonable
- § 6:56 —Texas applies reasonableness standard
- § 6:57 —Suppression of evidence is remedy for unlawful seizure
- § 6:58 — —Use of improperly seized evidence
- § 6:59 —Consent to search must be affirmative
- § 6:60 —Statutory authorization for OSHA searches
- § 6:61 — —Circumstances permitting wall-to-wall OSHA search
- § 6:62 — — —Must be nexus between complaint and search ordered
- § 6:63 — —OSHA empowered to videotape searches

F. PERSONNEL FILES

- § 6:64 Privacy of and access to personnel files
- § 6:65 —Employee's right to review credit report
- § 6:66 Obtaining personal reports from governmental agencies
- § 6:67 —Privacy protection and access to records, generally
- § 6:68 —State agencies have separate rules to protect privacy

II. EMPLOYEE HEALTH

A. GENERALLY

- § 6:69 Generally
- § 6:70 —Employer inquiries about medical conditions
- § 6:71 —Health considerations during the application process
- § 6:72 — —Disability can be a legitimate hiring consideration
- § 6:73 — —After offer employer can inquire about disability
- § 6:74 —State law tracks federal prohibitions
- § 6:75 — —Prohibition on genetic testing
- § 6:76 —Federal law prohibits medical examination of job applicants
- § 6:77 — —Agility may be tested prior to job offer
- § 6:78 — —Offer can be conditioned on result of medical exam
- § 6:79 — —Post-offer exam permitted for limited purposes
- § 6:80 — — —Medical exam can evaluate possibility of accommodation
- § 6:81 — — —Exam permitted when employee seeks leave under Family and Medical Leave Act

TEXAS PRACTICE GUIDE EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE

- § 6:82 — — Exam may be required under FMLA to resume working
- § 6:83 — — Medical testing may be required in safety sensitive jobs
- § 6:84 — — Exam may be required under Workers' Compensation Law
- § 6:85 — — Voluntary exams permitted under overall health program
- § 6:86 — — Tort liability possible if malpractice occurs during exam
- § 6:87 — Confidentiality of medical records
- § 6:88 — — Post-offer medical exam files must be segregated
- § 6:89 — — — Exceptions to confidentiality rules
- § 6:90 — — — Additional protection for HIV/AIDS carriers
- § 6:91 — — Mandatory access to certain medical records
- § 6:92 — — — Particular provisions
- § 6:93 — Fees for medical examinations

B. DRUG AND ALCOHOL ABUSE

- § 6:94 Generally
- § 6:95 — The Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988
- § 6:96 — — Employers covered
- § 6:97 — — Requirements
- § 6:98 — ADA encourages drug-free workplace
- § 6:99 — Texas "Policy for Elimination of Drugs in the Workplace"
- § 6:100 — — Required elements of drug policy
- § 6:101 — Drug testing of employees on the increase
- § 6:102 — Fourth Amendment concerns raised for public employees
- § 6:103 — — Reasonableness required
- § 6:104 — — — Testing of applicants for safety-sensitive positions
- § 6:105 — — — Individualized showing of suspicion may be necessary
- § 6:106 — — Private employers not subject to Fourth Amendment or other restraints
- § 6:107 — — Safety-sensitive industries required to test
- § 6:108 — — Agencies promulgate testing guidelines
- § 6:109 — — State Department of Transportation
- § 6:110 — Inquiring about current illegal drug use
- § 6:111 — Inquiring about alcohol use
- § 6:112 — Alcohol testing is "medical testing" under ADA
- § 6:113 — Drug testing is not "medical testing" under ADA
- § 6:114 — Refusal to allow test may affect qualification for job

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:115 —Drug testing absent probable cause
- § 6:116 —Inaccurate interpretation of test result can result in liability
- § 6:117 — —Liability of laboratory for inaccurate test results
- § 6:118 —Admissibility of drug test results
- § 6:119 —Discoverability of drug testing program information and employee test results
- § 6:120 —Drug testing subject to collective bargaining
- § 6:121 —No workers' compensation benefits for drug-related injury
- § 6:122 —Unemployment issues and drug use
- § 6:123 —Developing an effective drug testing policy
- § 6:124 —Employee Assistance Programs
- § 6:125 — —EAP services designed to retain workers
- § 6:126 — —Professional licensing
- § 6:127 — —Confidentiality must be maintained
- § 6:128 — —Liability of EAP
- § 6:129 — — —Nature of relationship may create malpractice liability
- § 6:130 — —Participation no guarantee

C. HEALTH AND SAFETY ISSUES ARISING FROM HIV/AIDS

- § 6:131 Generally
- § 6:132 —HIV/AIDS considered "sexually transmitted disease"
- § 6:133 —Minimizing exposure in the workplace
- § 6:134 —Scope of OSHA regulations narrow
- § 6:135 —Bloodborne pathogens rule requirements
- § 6:136 —Employees' medical records must be retained
- § 6:137 — —Exemptions to bloodborne pathogens rule
- § 6:138 —Texas workplace policy
- § 6:139 — —Specific workplace guidelines
- § 6:140 — — —Texas administrative code provisions
- § 6:141 —AIDS/HIV sufferers entitled to medical leave
- § 6:142 —Recovery for exposure to HIV/AIDS on the job
- § 6:143 — —AIDS, but not AIDS-phobia, ground for recovery against coworker
- § 6:144 —Infection/exposure to HIV/AIDS is a qualified injury under workers' compensation laws
- § 6:145 —Medical testing and the privacy rights of AIDS afflicted
- § 6:146 —Release of HIV/AIDS information prohibited without consent
- § 6:147 —Improper use of results for employment decisions

- § 6:148 —Privacy rights override “public” nature of agency proceeding

D. STRESS-RELATED ILLNESSES

- § 6:149 Stress-related illness may be compensable under Workers’ Compensation Law
- § 6:150 —“Stress-related illness” must relate to definite time, place and cause
- § 6:151 —Nervous disorders caused by sexual harassment
- § 6:152 —Stress from lawful adverse employment decision not compensable

E. REPETITIVE MOTION AND ERGONOMIC INJURIES

- § 6:153 Repetitive motion injuries, generally
- § 6:154 —OSHA’s efforts concerning ergonomic injuries
- § 6:155 —OSHA’s efforts in absence of ergonomic regulations
- § 6:156 —Meatpacking plant standards enforced
- § 6:157 —OSHA videotapes searches to gather information on ergonomic issues
- § 6:158 —Cyclical nature of ergonomic injuries
- § 6:159 —Repetitive trauma injuries compensable under Workers’ Compensation
- § 6:160 —Duty of employer to accommodate ergonomic disorder as “disability”
- § 6:161 — —Federal law requires “substantial limitation of life activity”
- § 6:162 — —Employee must be otherwise qualified to perform job to receive disability protection
- § 6:163 —Product liability litigation in ergonomics context
- § 6:164 —Statute of limitations issue
- § 6:165 Video display terminals, generally
- § 6:166 —Conflicting studies concerning adverse effects of electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure
- § 6:167 —Department of Energy sponsored study

F. SMOKING IN THE WORKPLACE

- § 6:168 Regulating smoking in the workplace
- § 6:169 —Texas public smoking prohibitions
- § 6:170 — —Smoking banned in some locations
- § 6:171 — —Municipalities can provide greater protection
- § 6:172 —Smoke-free workplace may be required to accommodate nonsmoker with respiratory problems
- § 6:173 —EPA’s “environmental tobacco smoke” regulations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:174 —Tobacco addiction as a disability
- § 6:175 —Smoking may interfere with Workers' Compensation benefits
- § 6:176 — —Nonsmoking coworkers must prove occupational disease to gain Workers' Compensation benefits

G. WORKPLACE CONDITIONS AFFECTING EMPLOYEES' REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH

- § 6:177 Generally
- § 6:178 —Fetal-protection policies cannot discriminate against childbearing women
- § 6:179 —Classification based on childbearing potential is discriminatory
- § 6:180 — —Lack of childbearing capacity is not a bona fide occupational qualification
- § 6:181 — —Proven risks not sufficient to justify discriminatory policy
- § 6:182 —Employer should obtain release

H. VIOLENCE IN THE WORKPLACE

- § 6:183 Generally

III. WHISTLEBLOWER CLAIMS

- § 6:184 Texas whistleblower statute for public employees
- § 6:185 —Reasonable belief of violation sufficient for protection
- § 6:186 —Establishing a claim under the Act
- § 6:187 — —What constitutes a report of a violation of law?
- § 6:188 —Rebuttal presumption for employee; defense available to employer
- § 6:189 —Jury trial available
- § 6:190 —Many types of relief available
- § 6:191 —Qui tam actions
- § 6:192 Federal whistleblower statute
- § 6:193 —Source of information can recover portion of proceeds
- § 6:194 —No recovery if government did not rely on informant
- § 6:195 —Previously disclosed information will not support suit
- § 6:196 —Action can be brought by individual for government
- § 6:197 —Protections under federal whistleblower act
- § 6:198 —Potential size of awards is large
- § 6:199 —Limitations on settlement agreements

§ 6:200 Other federal whistleblower claims

IV. FORMS

- § 6:201 Confidential Information
- § 6:202 Searches
- § 6:203 Drug and/or alcohol testing consent form
- § 6:204 Workplace violence policy
- § 6:205 Background check policy
- § 6:206 Disclosure policy—Fair Credit Reporting Act
- § 6:207 Authorization to obtain consumer report under Fair Credit Reporting Act
- § 6:208 Notice of adverse action—Fair Credit Reporting Act
- § 6:209 Notice of report—Fair Credit Reporting Act
- § 6:210 Notice of intent to obtain consumer report
- § 6:211 Monitoring and surveillance policy
- § 6:212 Agreement—Wage deduction authorization
- § 6:213 Smoking policy
- § 6:214 Drug-free workplace policy
- § 6:215 Drug and/or alcohol testing consent form
- § 6:216 Medical information confidentiality policy

CHAPTER 7. WAGE AND BENEFIT CLAIMS

I. GOVERNMENT REGULATION OF WAGE AND BENEFIT PAYMENTS

- § 7:1 Role of government, generally
- § 7:2 Federal preemption of state and local law
- § 7:3 —Intent to preempt must be clear
- § 7:4 —Limitations on federal preemption
- § 7:5 State preemption of local regulation
- § 7:6 —Fire and Police Employee Relations Act

II. EMPLOYERS' DUTIES CONCERNING WAGES

- § 7:7 Employers' liability for wage and overtime payments, generally
- § 7:8 Federal "hours worked" and overtime provisions
- § 7:9 —FLSA governs public and private employers
- § 7:10 —Activities excluded from "hours worked"
- § 7:11 — —Travel and waiting time
- § 7:12 — —Time spent putting on protective gear
- § 7:13 —Whether "on call" time is work time depends on restrictions
- § 7:14 —Whether meal period is work time depends on who benefits

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 7:15	—Employees eligible for FLSA overtime
§ 7:16	—Exemptions from overtime pay
§ 7:17	— — —“Executive employee”
§ 7:18	— — —“Administrative employee”
§ 7:19	— — —“Professional employee”
§ 7:20	— — —Exception for certain computer specialists
§ 7:21	— — —Exceptions for domestic and outside sales workers
§ 7:22	— —Employer conduct may destroy exemption
§ 7:23	—“Regular rate of pay” does not include paid absences
§ 7:24	—Compensatory time as substitute for overtime
§ 7:25	— —Employees must take time off within pay period
§ 7:26	— — —Exception: public employees may take time off later
§ 7:27	— —One and one-half hours off per hour of overtime
§ 7:28	—Waiver of rights under FLSA invalid
§ 7:29	—Public employers to provide 10 paid holidays
§ 7:30	—Penalties for “willful” or “repeated” FLSA violations
§ 7:31	— —Predicate prior notice
§ 7:32	— —Knowledge or reckless disregard
§ 7:33	— —Factors considered in assessing penalty
§ 7:34	— —Statute of limitations for FLSA claims
§ 7:35	Federal minimum wage
§ 7:36	Texas’s Minimum Wage Act and Payday Act
§ 7:37	—Texas Minimum Wage Act
§ 7:38	— —Employees exempted from coverage
§ 7:39	—Texas Payday Act
§ 7:40	— —Form of payment
§ 7:41	— —Schedule for payment of wages
§ 7:42	— — —Designation of paydays
§ 7:43	— — —Employers must post paydays
§ 7:44	— — —Payments other than on paydays
§ 7:45	— — —Payments to discharged employees: six-day rule
§ 7:46	— — —Payments to other separating employees: regular pay period
§ 7:47	— — —Commissions owed to separating employees
§ 7:48	— —Wage deductions generally prohibited; exceptions
§ 7:49	—Wage claims
§ 7:50	— —Civil penalty for violation of Texas Minimum Wage Act
§ 7:51	— — —Two year statute of limitations
§ 7:52	— — —Class action suit
§ 7:53	— —Wage claims under Payday Act

- § 7:54 — — —Time for filing claim with the Texas Workforce Commission
- § 7:55 — — —Commission issues preliminary wage determination
- § 7:56 — — —Administrative penalty for bad faith violations
- § 7:57 — — —Request for hearing
- § 7:58 — — —Hearing procedure
- § 7:59 — — —Final order
- § 7:60 — — —Payment to Commission; escrow pending review
- § 7:61 — — — —Bond
- § 7:62 — — —Liens and levies
- § 7:63 — — —Right to judicial review upon exhaustion of administrative remedies; common law claim
- § 7:64 — — — —Standard of Review
- § 7:65 — — —Commission’s enforcement actions; costs
- § 7:66 — —Criminal penalty under Payday Act
- § 7:67 — —Attorney General action under Payday Act
- § 7:68 —“Kickbacks” illegal under federal and state laws
- § 7:69 —Federal causes of action for kickbacks
- § 7:70 — —Statute of limitations
- § 7:71 — —Texas law prohibits kickbacks
- § 7:72 — —No private whistle blower action for termination for reporting kickbacks
- § 7:73 — —Public employees who report kickbacks protected from retaliation
- § 7:74 Wage discrimination on basis of gender
- § 7:75 —Exemptions from equal pay

III. EMPLOYERS’ DUTIES CONCERNING BENEFITS

- § 7:76 Employee benefit programs
- § 7:77 —Instituting benefit programs
- § 7:78 —Amending, terminating or forfeiting rights in a benefit plan
- § 7:79 — —Reservation of rights clause sufficient to satisfy ERISA procedural requirement
- § 7:80 — —Amendments not accurately stated can lead to tort liability
- § 7:81 — —Amendments may not terminate vested rights
- § 7:82 —Employers free to offer some employees more favorable terms than others
- § 7:83 —Qualified domestic relations orders
- § 7:84 —Waiver of pension benefits
- § 7:85 Health care and disability benefits, in particular

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 7:86	—Definitions under ERISA leading to coverage of many benefit plans
§ 7:87	— —Employee benefit plans subject to ERISA
§ 7:88	— —Plans excluded from ERISA
§ 7:89	— —Plan provisions required by ERISA
§ 7:90	Group health care
§ 7:91	—No discrimination against pregnant women in payment of benefits
§ 7:92	—ERISA exempt if group insurance is voluntary
§ 7:93	—Continuation of health care coverage under COBRA
§ 7:94	— —“Qualified beneficiary”
§ 7:95	— —“Qualifying event”
§ 7:96	Group health care—Continuation of health care coverage under COBRA—“Loss of coverage”
§ 7:97	Group health care—Continuation of health care coverage under COBRA—Duration of continuation coverage
§ 7:98	— —Portability of coverage
§ 7:99	— —Employer’s notice and reporting duties
§ 7:100	— — —Notification of certain rights and events; employee has some duty as well
§ 7:101	— — —Employers must fulfill reporting requirements
§ 7:102	— — —Employers must keep records
§ 7:103	— — —Employers not required to give notice of lapse in coverage
§ 7:104	— —Employer’s liability for noncompliance
§ 7:105	— —Administrator’s duties
§ 7:106	— — —Personal liability for notice violations
§ 7:107	Workers’ compensation benefits
§ 7:108	—Employers and employees may opt out of workers’ compensation system
§ 7:109	—Job-related injuries covered by Workers’ Compensation Act
§ 7:110	— —Contracting HIV in workplace
§ 7:111	— — —Employers must have written exposure control plan
§ 7:112	— —Stress-related conditions
§ 7:113	— — —Case-by-case evaluation
§ 7:114	— —Repetitive motion injuries
§ 7:115	—Employee may opt out of workers’ compensation system
§ 7:116	— —Notification requirement
§ 7:117	—Retaliation for filing Workers’ Compensation Claims (Workers’ Compensation Discrimination) Prohibited

IV. SPECIAL DUTIES REGARDING PARTICULAR TYPES OF WORKERS

- § 7:118 Employers' duties toward employees on jury duty
- § 7:119 Employment of minors, generally
- § 7:120 —Federal child labor laws
- § 7:121 — —Violation of law subjects employer to severe penalties
- § 7:122 —Texas child labor laws
- § 7:123 — —Certain occupations prohibited to minors
- § 7:124 — —Children under 14 generally prohibited from working
- § 7:125 — —Restrictions on hours children age 14 to 15 can work
- § 7:126 — — —Maximum number of hours
- § 7:127 — — —Hours during which children age 14 to 15 permitted to work
- § 7:128 — —Severe penalties for violation of Texas child labor laws
- § 7:129 Employment of older workers
- § 7:130 —OWBPA requirements for valid ADEA waiver
- § 7:131 — —Termination of employment; additional OWBPA requirements
- § 7:132 — —Fewer requirements for EEOC supervised waivers
- § 7:133 Employing members of uniformed services, generally
- § 7:134 Employing a household employee
- § 7:135 —Internal Revenue Service requirements
- § 7:136 — —Employer must file or provide forms to employee
- § 7:137 — —Additional employer duties
- § 7:138 —Labor Code provisions
- § 7:139 — —Employer duties under Payday Act
- § 7:140 —Workers' compensation and Medicare insurance tax
- § 7:141 Employing aliens
- § 7:142 —Aliens seeking asylum cannot work until 150 days after filing application
- § 7:143 —Employers file INS Form I-9
- § 7:144 — —Employee must provide adequate documentation
- § 7:145 — —Employer must attest to review of documents
- § 7:146 Employing a security guard
- § 7:147 —Employer's duties
- § 7:148 — —Guard company must be licensed
- § 7:149 — —Security guards carrying firearms must be commissioned
- § 7:150 — —Employer hiring noncommissioned security officer must conduct background check

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 7:151 — —Security guard company must maintain records
- § 7:152 —Employee’s responsibility
- § 7:153 Employers’ duties to reduce pollution by drivers

V. NOTICE AND RECORD-KEEPING REQUIREMENTS

- § 7:154 Notice requirements, generally
- § 7:155 Notice requirements under federal laws
- § 7:156 —Some postings must explicitly refer to statute
- § 7:157 — —FMLA notices
- § 7:158 — —Title VII and ADEA notices must be approved by EEOC
- § 7:159 — —Postings of EPA and FLSA provisions may be required on case-by-case basis
- § 7:160 — —Other laws requiring posting of statutory rights
- § 7:161 —ERISA requires summary of benefit plan provisions
- § 7:162 —Employers must make postings of on-the-job injuries
- § 7:163 —Employers must give 60 days’ notice of amendments to employee benefit plan
- § 7:164 — —Notice of plant closings under the Workers Adjustment and Relocation Notice Act (WARN)
- § 7:165 Notice requirements under Texas statutes
- § 7:166 —Employers must give notice of paydays
- § 7:167 —Notification of certain statutory rights
- § 7:168 — —Unemployment Compensation Act
- § 7:169 — —Workers’ Compensation Act
- § 7:170 — — —Employer notice regarding exposure to communicable disease/HIV
- § 7:171 —Notice requirements on termination of employment
- § 7:172 — —Notice to court when employer withholding child support
- § 7:173 —“Right to know” laws regarding hazardous substances
- § 7:174 — —OSHA notice and training requirements
- § 7:175 — —State “right to know” requirements
- § 7:176 — — —Fine for disregard of an employee’s health concerns
- § 7:177 — — —Compliance action by Attorney General authorized
- § 7:178 — —Retaliation illegal under state law
- § 7:179 — —Community reporting under EPCRA akin to employees’ “right to know”
- § 7:180 — —Records must be kept for many years
- § 7:181 Federal record-keeping and reporting requirements

- § 7:182 —Employment records must be safe and accessible
- § 7:183 — —Must be available for inspection on 72 hours' notice
- § 7:184 —Title VII record-keeping requirements
- § 7:185 — —Labor force profiles must be filed with EEOC
- § 7:186 — —Selection procedure information must be maintained
- § 7:187 —ADEA record-keeping requirements
- § 7:188 — —Payroll records must be kept for three years
- § 7:189 — —Employment decision records must be kept for one year
- § 7:190 — — —One-year rule applies to placement information of employment agencies
- § 7:191 —ADEA/EPA/FLSA record-keeping requirements
- § 7:192 — —Specific payroll information must be kept for two years
- § 7:193 —Businesses with federal contracts: VETS-100 report
- § 7:194 —Employer must report employment accidents to OSHA
- § 7:195 State record-keeping and reporting requirements
- § 7:196 —Specific requirements
- § 7:197 —Should store records in “safe and accessible” area
- § 7:198 — —Special precautions for electronic or other reproductive storage
- § 7:199 —Criminal penalty for record-keeping violations

VI. REDUCTIONS IN FORCE

- § 7:200 Company downsizing, generally
- § 7:201 Voluntary reductions in force (RIFs)
- § 7:202 —Implementation of voluntary RIFs
- § 7:203 — —Review of existing contracts
- § 7:204 — —Amending benefit plans
- § 7:205 — — —Amendments to health care benefit plans possible with reservation clause
- § 7:206 — — —Liability for misrepresentation
- § 7:207 — — —Disclosure requirements under ERISA
- § 7:208 — —Drafting severance agreements
- § 7:209 — — —ERISA may cover severance agreement health benefit
- § 7:210 — — —Severance health benefit agreement may have general application
- § 7:211 — — —Liability for misrepresentation
- § 7:212 Involuntary reductions in force
- § 7:213 —“Bumping rights” and other claims based on contract

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 7:214 — —Statute of Frauds may work against employee
- § 7:215 Age discrimination claims in RIFs
- § 7:216 —Scope of liability
- § 7:217 Race discrimination claims in RIFs
- § 7:218 Notice requirements
- § 7:219 —Federal notice requirements
- § 7:220 — —ERISA requires furnishing summary plan description
- § 7:221 — —WARN Act requires 60-day notice
- § 7:222 — — —Exceptions to WARN notice requirements
- § 7:223 — —Penalty for noncompliance
- § 7:224 Employers' continuing duties after termination
- § 7:225 —Duties to older workers
- § 7:226 —Duty to persons returning from uniformed service

VII. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION

- § 7:227 State regulated unemployment compensation, generally
- § 7:228 Qualification for benefits
- § 7:229 —“Employee” status rests on employer control over results produced
- § 7:230 —Statutory employees also covered
- § 7:231 — —Special rules aircraft and ship personnel
- § 7:232 — —Special rules for farm and ranch laborers
- § 7:233 —Some occupations excluded from coverage
- § 7:234 Claimants must be “totally unemployed” or “partially unemployed”
- § 7:235 Computation of benefit based on past wages
- § 7:236 —Benefit amount
- § 7:237 — —Partially unemployed claimant
- § 7:238 —Extended benefits
- § 7:239 Disqualifications based on conduct
- § 7:240 —Voluntarily leaving employment
- § 7:241 — —Marriage and pregnancy generally protected
- § 7:242 — —Leaving for medical reasons protected
- § 7:243 — —Moving from the area protected
- § 7:244 — —Leaving for good cause connected with work protected
- § 7:245 —Misconduct
- § 7:246 —Refusing an offer of suitable employment
- § 7:247 —Participation in labor dispute
- § 7:248 —Other grounds for disqualification
- § 7:249 Effect of receipt of Social Security benefits
- § 7:250 Waiver of right to benefits generally invalid

- § 7:251 Eligibility for extended benefits
- § 7:252 Initial determination of benefits claims
- § 7:253 —Appeal of initial determination to appeal tribunal
- § 7:254 —Collateral estoppel effect very narrow
- § 7:255 — —Other uses of administrative finding possible
- § 7:256 Appeal to Texas Workforce Commission
- § 7:257 Judicial Review

CHAPTER 8. LITIGATING AN EMPLOYMENT CASE

I. INITIAL CONSIDERATIONS IN EMPLOYMENT LITIGATION

- § 8:1 Accepting or rejecting a case
- § 8:2 —Client intake and fact gathering
- § 8:3 — —Attorney-client privilege encourages frank discussion
- § 8:4 — —Attorney and client must identify goals
- § 8:5 — —Attorney must make conflicts check
- § 8:6 — —Initial information gathering can have profound impact
- § 8:7 — — —“Mandatory or voluntary disclosure” in federal cases
- § 8:8 — —Contacting the opponent’s witnesses
- § 8:9 Develop a theory of the case
- § 8:10 —Determine which statute or common law claims apply
- § 8:11 —Is the plaintiff an “independent contractor” or “employee”
- § 8:12 — —Hybrid economic realities/common law control test for determining employee or independent contractor status
- § 8:13 — —Protections available to employees but not to independent contractors
- § 8:14 — —Incorrect treatment does not alleviate duty
- § 8:15 — —Independent contractors have no discrimination liability
- § 8:16 — —Family members
- § 8:17 — —Volunteers and leased employees
- § 8:18 — — —Employment by religious organizations
- § 8:19 — — —No workers’ compensation coverage for volunteers
- § 8:20 Avoiding sanctions in case preparation
- § 8:21 —Sanctions in federal court

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:22 —The issue of dual representation for corporate attorneys
- § 8:23 Key strategy decisions must be made early
- § 8:24 —Discrimination claims
- § 8:25 — —Additional options under particular statutes
- § 8:26 —Exhaustion of administrative remedies is required
- § 8:27 —Focus of administrative proceedings
- § 8:28 — —EEOC's new procedures for faster resolution of claims
- § 8:29 —Deferral of claims to state agency
- § 8:30 — —Mandatory deferral of Title VII and ADA claims
- § 8:31 — —Optional deferral of ADEA claims
- § 8:32 — —Pursuing state and federal claims

II. LIMITATIONS PERIODS

- § 8:33 Time limits on filing federal claims—Generally
- § 8:34 — —Title VII and ADA: 300 days with EEOC
- § 8:35 — — —When claim is deemed filed
- § 8:36 — — —Continuing violation may toll limitations period
- § 8:37 — — —Commence court action within 90 days after right-to-sue notice
- § 8:38 — — —Claim accrual with respect to seniority system
- § 8:39 — — —Special exhaustion requirements for federal employees suing under Title VII
- § 8:40 — —ADEA: 300 days with EEOC
- § 8:41 — — —Claim is “filed” on date of postmark or receipt
- § 8:42 — — —Equitable estoppel tolls limitations period
- § 8:43 — — —Court action from 60 days after filing until 90 days after notice of review
- § 8:44 — —FLSA, EPA, and FMLA
- § 8:45 — — —Continuing violations doctrine
- § 8:46 —Generally
- § 8:47 — —Rehabilitation Act adopts two-year statute
- § 8:48 — — —Claims under § 501 have longer limitations period
- § 8:49 Time limits on filing state claims
- § 8:50 —Limitations period with Commission
- § 8:51 —Two years for commencing state lawsuit
- § 8:52 —Continuing violation doctrine applies
- § 8:53 — —“Continuous act”
- § 8:54 —Workers' Compensation discrimination: two years
- § 8:55 Avoiding time limits by using alternative statutory claim

III. CHOOSING THE FORUM AND CLAIM

- § 8:56 Choosing an agency: cost, speed and other factors

- § 8:57 —Preclusive effect of agency determinations
- § 8:58 —Elements of damage awards differ
- § 8:59 — —Federal: punitive and compensatory damages available but capped; attorney's fees
- § 8:60 — —State: punitive and compensatory damages available but capped
- § 8:61 Choosing state court or federal court
- § 8:62 —Removal to federal court
- § 8:63 — —Administrative prerequisites must be satisfied
- § 8:64 — —Thirty-day time limit for filing removal petition
- § 8:65 Increasing availability of jury trials
- § 8:66 —Jury may be available under CRA 91
- § 8:67 — —Mixed-motive cases unclear
- § 8:68 — —Imputed sexual harassment cases unclear
- § 8:69 — —No jury for disparate impact cases
- § 8:70 —Jury availability under Rehabilitation Act §§ 501, 504
- § 8:71 —Jury may be available for civil rights claims seeking monetary relief
- § 8:72 — —Jury assessment of front pay mandated in state
- § 8:73 —Jury available for ADEA claims
- § 8:74 —Jury trial available for EPA claims
- § 8:75 Selecting federal or state claims
- § 8:76 —Right to jury or compensatory damages less of a factor
- § 8:77 —Res judicata and collateral estoppel between federal and state claims
- § 8:78 —Discrimination cases
- § 8:79 — —Joinder of claims usually preferable
- § 8:80 — —Definitions of protected categories may differ

IV. JOINDER AND OTHER CLAIM PRESENTATION STRATEGIES

- § 8:81 Joining federal and state claims
- § 8:82 —Joinder permissive, but generally granted
- § 8:83 —May mean additional remedies
- § 8:84 —Joinder may affect settlement discussions
- § 8:85 Joining common law and discrimination claims
- § 8:86 —Emotional distress and discrimination claims often joined
- § 8:87 —Wage cases: state or federal agency available
- § 8:88 —Employee may also bring wage case in court
- § 8:89 — —Employee suits under state law
- § 8:90 — —Employee suits under federal law

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:91 Class action in state and federal practice
- § 8:92 —Federal procedure and showings necessary
- § 8:93 —Special “opt-in” rules for age discrimination class action
- § 8:94 —Available in harassment claims
- § 8:95 —Advantages and difficulties of class actions
- § 8:96 —General tolling principle
- § 8:97 Fair Labor Standards Act collective action

V. ARBITRATION

- § 8:98 Arbitration as alternative to agency or court litigation
- § 8:99 —Arbitration clauses broadly construed
- § 8:100 —Federal Arbitration Act encourages arbitration
- § 8:101 —Arbitration clauses common, and enforced, in securities employment agreements
- § 8:102 —Punitive damage awards may be enforced
- § 8:103 —Arbitration and discrimination claims
- § 8:104 — —Discrimination claims arbitrable
- § 8:105 — —ADEA/OWBPA do not bar enforcement of agreement
- § 8:106 — —Arbitration encouraged under Civil Rights Act
- § 8:107 — —EEOC continues to sue on employee’s behalf
- § 8:108 — —Arbitration of issues collateral to basic discrimination claim may be crucial
- § 8:109 —State policy
- § 8:110 Arbitration and collective bargaining agreements
- § 8:111 Procedure for enforcement of arbitration clauses
- § 8:112 —Motion to compel
- § 8:113 —Stay of proceeding
- § 8:114 Judicial review of arbitration awards
- § 8:115 —Parties precluded from bringing another claim
- § 8:116 —Court will hear evidence if scope of award is unclear

VI. ATTORNEY’S FEES

- § 8:117 Attorney’s fees, generally
- § 8:118 Attorney’s fees allowed in federal actions
- § 8:119 —Evidentiary hearing not generally held in federal court
- § 8:120 —Generally no time limit for attorney’s fees request
- § 8:121 — —EAJA may have time limit
- § 8:122 Fees under Section 1988 and “prevailing party” standard
- § 8:123 —“Prevailing party” on significant issue

- § 8:124 —Financial condition of plaintiff
- § 8:125 —Results obtained can determine fee awarded
- § 8:126 —Attorney’s fees against federal government
- § 8:127 —Fees generally to employee, not employer
- § 8:128 Lodestar award
- § 8:129 —Other factors weighed by court
- § 8:130 —Modifications to lodestar allowed in exceptional cases
- § 8:131 — —“Private attorney general”
- § 8:132 —Fees may be proportionate to recovery
- § 8:133 —Attorney must document number of hours spent
- § 8:134 —Hourly rate determined by prevailing market
- § 8:135 — —Current or adjusted historic rate also used
- § 8:136 —Attorney must use reasonable billing judgment
- § 8:137 Interest and experts’ fees
- § 8:138 —Experts’ fees in Title VII suits
- § 8:139 — —ADA covered
- § 8:140 — —ADEA not covered
- § 8:141 —Interest on fee
- § 8:142 —Fee application must be specific
- § 8:143 —Rejection of Rule 68 offer of judgment may preclude later fees
- § 8:144 Right to attorney’s fees under state law
- § 8:145 —Attorney’s fees may be available for required deferral to state agency
- § 8:146 —Provision for expert witness fees
- § 8:147 — —Right to fees under whistleblower statute
- § 8:148 — —Submission to jury of amount of attorney’s fees

VII. LITIGATION PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- § 8:149 Preparing the complaint
- § 8:150 —Purpose of complaint defines nature of drafting
- § 8:151 —Drafting for state or federal discrimination case similar
- § 8:152 — —Particular claims require additional elements
- § 8:153 Preparing the answer
- § 8:154 —Scope of statute should affect pleading decisions
- § 8:155 —Affirmative defenses under federal and state rules
- § 8:156 Discovery, generally
- § 8:157 —Certain items commonly sought in discrimination cases
- § 8:158 —Discovery of employment records often central to case
- § 8:159 —“Company-wide” disclosure possible as aid to individual plaintiffs

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 8:160	— —Company-wide discovery not always appropriate
§ 8:161	Key issues of confidentiality and privilege
§ 8:162	—Scope of attorney-client privilege rests on purpose of the communication
§ 8:163	— —Documents not created for purpose of securing legal advice discoverable
§ 8:164	— —Internal investigations on advice of counsel covered
§ 8:165	— — —No privilege where investigation itself is at issue
§ 8:166	— —Voluntary internal study protected if litigation anticipated
§ 8:167	— —Raising privilege at depositions
§ 8:168	— —Employee’s documents subject to discovery
§ 8:169	—Waiver of attorney-client privilege
§ 8:170	— —Disseminating document destroys privilege
§ 8:171	— — —Inadvertent disclosure does not bar assertion of privilege
§ 8:172	—Attorney work-product protection
§ 8:173	— —Necessity may overcome work-product protection
§ 8:174	—Asserting a privilege concerning documents
§ 8:175	—“Self-critical analysis” privilege
§ 8:176	— —Showing required
§ 8:177	— —Scope unsettled when recognized
§ 8:178	—Role of the stipulated protective order
§ 8:179	Depositions
§ 8:180	Tape recordings; computer hard drives; servers
§ 8:181	—Requests for recordings should precede deposition
§ 8:182	Discovery of computer and contents after failure of employee to turn over or return computer after termination
§ 8:183	Physical and mental examinations
§ 8:184	—Emotional distress claim triggers defendant’s right
§ 8:185	— —Psychiatric exams in sexual harassment cases
§ 8:186	— —Alcoholic treatment records privileged
§ 8:187	Using interrogatories
§ 8:188	Effect of “after-acquired evidence”
§ 8:189	Motion practice in employment cases, generally
§ 8:190	—Motion practice in state court
§ 8:191	— —When to make motions to dismiss
§ 8:192	—Motion practice in federal court
§ 8:193	— —Special requirements for motions
§ 8:194	Motion for a preliminary injunction
§ 8:195	—Common uses for the injunction
§ 8:196	Motion for summary judgment

- § 8:197 —Rules of contract construction govern
- § 8:198 —Personnel manuals as basis
- § 8:199 —Use in defamation cases
- § 8:200 —Use in discrimination claims
- § 8:201 — —Burden on plaintiff on defendant's motion
- § 8:202 —Use of experts on summary judgment motion
- § 8:203 Trial in employment cases, generally
- § 8:204 Pretrial motions in limine on evidentiary issues
- § 8:205 Jury selection
- § 8:206 —Federal and state practice
- § 8:207 — —Batson issue and peremptory challenges
- § 8:208 Use of prior testimony
- § 8:209 Use of expert witnesses at trial
- § 8:210 —Liberal federal standard for admissibility
- § 8:211 —State standard
- § 8:212 —Importance of expert testimony in particular cases
- § 8:213 —Importance of expert testimony to prove proximate cause
- § 8:214 —Use of experts in establishing damages
- § 8:215 Jury instructions
- § 8:216 —Submissions of requests to charge
- § 8:217 —Objections to charges: procedures
- § 8:218 Awards in jury trials
- § 8:219 —Compensatory damages may be set aside or reduced
- § 8:220 Motion for judgment as a matter of law and other postverdict applications

VIII. BRINGING DISCRIMINATION CLAIMS BEFORE ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCIES

- § 8:221 Administrative agencies, generally
- § 8:222 Procedure in the EEOC
- § 8:223 —Filing the charge and contents
- § 8:224 —EEOC notifies the employer
- § 8:225 —Answering the charge; contents
- § 8:226 — —EEOC may ask for specific information
- § 8:227 — —No set time period to answer
- § 8:228 —Complainant may reply
- § 8:229 —Assessment of the charge
- § 8:230 —Conciliation
- § 8:231 —Right-to-sue letter
- § 8:232 — —Right-to-sue letter not required in ADEA claims
- § 8:233 Procedure in the Texas Commission on Human Rights

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:234 —Filing the complaint and contents
- § 8:235 — —Existing action
- § 8:236 — —Service of complaint on respondent
- § 8:237 — —Amendment of complaint
- § 8:238 —Representation by counsel
- § 8:239 —Conduct of the investigation
- § 8:240 — —Duration of investigation
- § 8:241 — —Gathering information
- § 8:242 — — —Failure to preserve documents or permit
access
- § 8:243 —Required findings
- § 8:244 —Right-to-sue letter
- § 8:245 —Dismissal of complaint

IX. COLLATERAL ISSUES AFFECTING LITIGATION

- § 8:246 Collateral issues may sometimes arise
- § 8:247 Defamation claims against attorneys
- § 8:248 —Statements by counsel must be privileged to be
immune
- § 8:249 Press coverage
- § 8:250 —Disciplinary Rule 3.07 restricts scope of statements

X. CHECKLISTS

- § 8:251 Employer checklist—Arbitration issues
- § 8:252 Texas Workforce Commission
- § 8:253 Independent-contractor test—Internal Revenue
Service
- § 8:254 Employer's motion in limine
- § 8:255 Employee's motion in limine

XI. FORMS

- § 8:256 Plaintiff's first request for production of documents to
defendant
- § 8:257 Protective order
- § 8:258 Order compelling arbitration and staying litigation
- § 8:259 Motion to compel arbitration and stay litigation

CHAPTER 9. PROOF AND REMEDIES IN DISCRIMINATION CASES

I. PROOF IN DISCRIMINATION CASES

- § 9:1 Issues of proof in discrimination cases, generally

TEXAS PRACTICE GUIDE EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE

- § 9:2 Overt versus subtle discrimination
- § 9:3 —Statements demonstrating overt discrimination
- § 9:4 — —Biased remark alone may not be enough to prove discrimination
- § 9:5 — —Actions demonstrating overt discrimination
- § 9:6 — — —Adverse action alone insufficient
- § 9:7 —Proof of subtle discrimination
- § 9:8 — —Employer pretext for discriminatory decision
- § 9:9 — — —Conflicting justifications for decision
- § 9:10 — — —Excessiveness of punishment or failure to use own procedures
- § 9:11 — —Statements showing bias used to interpret ambiguous conduct
- § 9:12 — —Prior discriminatory conduct
- § 9:13 — —Inexplicable discharge of member of protected category
- § 9:14 — —Discriminatory atmosphere
- § 9:15 — —Discrimination against others in the protected category
- § 9:16 —Rebutting proof of subtle discrimination
- § 9:17 — —Justification for employment decision
- § 9:18 — —Demonstration of lack of bias
- § 9:19 “Disparate treatment” versus “adverse impact”
- § 9:20 —“Disparate treatment”: intentional discriminatory conduct
- § 9:21 —“Adverse impact”: neutral acts that harm protected individuals disproportionately
- § 9:22 Supreme Court’s burden of proof rules
- § 9:23 —Prima facie case and beyond under McDonnell Douglas-Hicks rules
- § 9:24 — —Employee’s prima facie case
- § 9:25 — — —Plaintiff’s burden is minimal
- § 9:26 — — —Replacement by person within protected class
- § 9:27 — — —Strict standard in constructive discharge cases
- § 9:28 — —Employer offer of justification for employment action
- § 9:29 — — —Court may not disregard subjective assessment of employee
- § 9:30 — —Fact finder determination of sufficiency of plaintiff’s evidence
- § 9:31 — — —Proof of pretext may support plaintiff’s verdict
- § 9:32 —Texas adopts McDonnell-Douglas formula
- § 9:33 —Price Waterhouse charge in mixed-motive cases
- § 9:34 — —Should the Price Waterhouse charge be given
- § 9:35 — —Price Waterhouse charge as applied

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 9:36 — —Employer’s defense may limit plaintiff’s recovery
- § 9:37 — —Altered effect of defendant’s rebuttal in mixed-motive cases under CRA 1991
- § 9:38 —CRA 91 modifies Supreme Court’s rules in disparate impact cases
- § 9:39 — —Employer’s burden to show “business necessity”
- § 9:40 — — —“Business necessity” narrowly defined
- § 9:41 Proof in ADA and Rehabilitation Act cases
- § 9:42 —Plaintiff’s prima facie case
- § 9:43 —Defendant’s burden of persuasion
- § 9:44 —Plaintiff’s rebuttal
- § 9:45 Comparator evidence

II. REMEDIES IN DISCRIMINATION CASES

- § 9:46 Remedies in discrimination cases, generally
- § 9:47 Injunctive relief in discrimination cases
- § 9:48 —Movant must fulfill three-part test to obtain preliminary injunction
- § 9:49 —Federal statutes authorizing injunctive relief
- § 9:50 —Texas’ Commission on Human Rights Act authorizes injunctive relief
- § 9:51 Monetary relief
- § 9:52 —Back pay and benefits
- § 9:53 — —Periods for back pay limited by certain statutes
- § 9:54 — — —Back pay under Title VII and the ADA: two years before charge filed
- § 9:55 — — —Back pay under the EPA and ADEA: two or three years before suit commenced
- § 9:56 — — —No two-year limitation on Sections 1981, 1983, and 1985 back pay awards
- § 9:57 —Front pay (without reinstatement)
- § 9:58 — —An equitable remedy
- § 9:59 —Compensatory damages
- § 9:60 — —CRA 91 caps compensatory and punitive damages
- § 9:61 — — —Caps do not govern other types of damages
- § 9:62 — —Texas Commission on Human Rights Act imposes cap on damages
- § 9:63 — —Special ADA rule: good faith of employer precludes compensatory damages
- § 9:64 — —Compensatory damages can be for nonpecuniary losses
- § 9:65 —Punitive damages
- § 9:66 — —No punitive damages possible against political subdivision
- § 9:67 — —CRA 91 alters remedy under certain statutes

TEXAS PRACTICE GUIDE EMPLOYMENT PRACTICE

- § 9:68 — — —Punitive damage awards limited
- § 9:69 — — —Setting punitive damages
- § 9:70 Issues collateral to remedies
- § 9:71 —Prejudgment interest
- § 9:72 — — —Liquidated damages in conjunction with
prejudgment interest
- § 9:73 —Limitations on remedies in mixed-motive cases
- § 9:74 —Remedies may be affected by after-acquired evidence
- § 9:75 — — —May limit time period for back pay, compensatory
damages
- § 9:76 — — —Will not alter punitive damages where malice is
shown
- § 9:77 —Special remedies for willfulness
- § 9:78 —Tax consequences of monetary awards in
discrimination cases
- § 9:79 — — —Nontaxable awards exempt from FICA and FUTA
- § 9:80 — — —Attorney's fees excludable if incurred to produce
excludable income
- § 9:81 — — —Settlement payments
- § 9:82 —Damages for civil rights violations: malice not
required under §§ 1981, 1983, and 1985
- § 9:83 —Fees
- § 9:84 —Aggregating remedies
- § 9:85 —Some limits on arbitration remedies
- § 9:86 — — —Policy reasons may affect review

III. CHECKLISTS

- § 9:87 Checklist of employee's evidence
- § 9:88 Checklist of employer's evidence

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index

Index to Forms