

Table of Contents

Volume 1

CHAPTER 1. STATE COLLATERAL REVIEW

- § 1:1 State collateral review generally
- § 1:2 Remedies in the nature of habeas corpus
- § 1:3 —The nature of habeas corpus
- § 1:4 —The history of habeas corpus
- § 1:5 —Modern developments

CHAPTER 2. HABEAS CORPUS ILLUSTRATIVE CASE: CALIFORNIA

- § 2:1 Early development
- § 2:2 Present standards
- § 2:3 —Writ of error *coram nobis* compared
- § 2:4 —Form and content of petition
- § 2:5 —Appropriate state court
- § 2:6 —State court procedures
- § 2:7 —Grounds for relief
- § 2:8 —Appellate review
- § 2:9 —Common bars to relief and other rulings

CHAPTER 3. STATE COLLATERAL REVIEW: *CORAM NOBIS*

- § 3:1 *Coram nobis* generally
- § 3:2 The nature of *coram nobis*
- § 3:3 The history of *coram nobis*
- § 3:4 Modern developments

CHAPTER 4. THE ORIGINS OF HABEAS CORPUS

- § 4:1 A brief history
- § 4:2 —The 1800s and early 1900s: Habeas available only if the state court lacked jurisdiction
- § 4:3 —The Court signals its intention to expand availability of the writ
- § 4:4 —The Court explicitly expands use of the writ
- § 4:5 —The 1960s through the present: Expansion and then contraction of the writ

- § 4:6 —The Warren, Burger, Rehnquist, and Roberts Courts
- § 4:7 The leading theories underlying habeas corpus
- § 4:8 Sources of power to issue the writ: constitutional or legislative?
- § 4:9 Authority of judges and courts
- § 4:10 The Continued Relevance of Habeas Corpus
Post-AEDPA

CHAPTER 5. JURISDICTION

- § 5:1 State prisoners
- § 5:2 —Statutory grounds for relief
- § 5:3 —Common law grounds for relief
- § 5:4 —Habeas corpus and civil rights contrasted
- § 5:5 Federal prisoners
- § 5:6 —Section 2255 motions
- § 5:7 —Section 2241 habeas corpus petitions
- § 5:8 —Terrorism statutes
- § 5:9 —Common law grounds for relief
- § 5:10 —Error *coram nobis*
- § 5:11 —*Audita querela*

CHAPTER 6. COGNIZABILITY

- § 6:1 Chapter summary
- § 6:2 Cognizable grounds generally
- § 6:3 State law violations
- § 6:4 —General principle of noncognizability
- § 6:5 —Exception for due process violations
- § 6:6 Fourth Amendment claims
- § 6:7 —The road to *Stone v. Powell*
- § 6:8 —The *Stone v. Powell* decision
- § 6:9 —*Stone v. Powell* and procedural issues
- § 6:10 —*Stone v. Powell* and the “full and fair opportunity” requirement
- § 6:11 — —Different formulations
- § 6:12 — —Review of the state court’s legal conclusions
- § 6:13 — —Review of the state court’s factual findings
- § 6:14 — —Intervening Fourth Amendment decisions
- § 6:15 — —Application of procedural default principles
- § 6:16 — —Application in other contexts
- § 6:17 Freestanding claim of actual innocence
- § 6:18 Ineffective assistance of counsel on collateral review
- § 6:19 Plea agreements waiving the right to direct appeal and collateral review
- § 6:20 Pre-plea constitutional violations
- § 6:21 Challenges to a prior conviction used to enhance a current sentence

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 7. CUSTODY

- § 7:1 Chapter summary
- § 7:2 History of the custody requirement
- § 7:3 General custody principles
- § 7:4 Custody explained
- § 7:5 Custody and sentencing
- § 7:6 —Rehabilitation programs and community service
- § 7:7 —Fines and orders of restitution
- § 7:8 —Consecutive sentences
- § 7:9 —Concurrent sentences
- § 7:10 —Awaiting execution of sentence in another jurisdiction
- § 7:11 —Stayed and suspended sentences
- § 7:12 —Sexual and narcotics offender registration requirements
- § 7:13 —Protection orders

CHAPTER 8. MOOTNESS

- § 8:1 Chapter summary
- § 8:2 Development of the mootness doctrine in habeas cases
- § 8:3 General mootness principles
- § 8:4 Challenges to a conviction or sentence the petitioner is presently serving
- § 8:5 Challenges to a conviction once the prisoner is no longer in custody
- § 8:6 Challenges to an expired sentence, including parole, supervised release, and probation
- § 8:7 Challenges to prison disciplinary and other administrative actions
- § 8:8 Challenges involving civil commitment and sexually violent offender statutes

CHAPTER 9. STANDING

- § 9:1 Chapter summary
- § 9:2 General standing principles
- § 9:3 Petitions filed on behalf of individuals in custody
- § 9:4 —Third party standing
- § 9:5 —Next friend standing
- § 9:6 — —Development of the doctrine
- § 9:7 — —Application of the “next friend” doctrine

CHAPTER 10. ABSTENTION

- § 10:1 Abstention generally
- § 10:2 *Pullman* doctrine
- § 10:3 *Younger* abstention
- § 10:4 *Colorado River* doctrine

CHAPTER 11. DISTINGUISHING HABEAS CORPUS FROM CIVIL RIGHTS AND COMPARABLE ACTIONS

- § 11:1 Chapter summary
- § 11:2 The *Heck* doctrine
- § 11:3 —Prospective relief excluded
- § 11:4 —Some claims fall outside *Heck*'s ambit when a conviction is merely “anticipated”
- § 11:5 —Pre-trial diversion
- § 11:6 —*Nolo contendere* pleas
- § 11:7 —When habeas corpus unavailable
- § 11:8 —Trial claims
- § 11:9 —Fourth Amendment claims
- § 11:10 — —Unlawful searches and seizures
- § 11:11 — —Excessive force
- § 11:12 —Fifth Amendment claims and coerced confessions
- § 11:13 —Method of execution claims
- § 11:14 —Prison disciplinary proceedings
- § 11:15 —Probation, parole proceedings, and conditions of parole
- § 11:16 —Conditions of confinement and place of detention
- § 11:17 —Extradition
- § 11:18 —Civil commitments
- § 11:19 —Biological testing

CHAPTER 12. THE HABEAS CORPUS PETITION OR MOTION TO VACATE

- § 12:1 Generally
- § 12:2 Form of the petition or motion generally
- § 12:3 Jurisdiction of the federal court
- § 12:4 —The proper respondent
- § 12:5 —The proper forum
- § 12:6 Required specificity of allegations
- § 12:7 Signature requirement
- § 12:8 Relief requested
- § 12:9 Types of claims that may be alleged
- § 12:10 Joinder of claims and petitioners
- § 12:11 Filing and service requirements

CHAPTER 13. *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* APPLICATIONS

- § 13:1 General principles

CHAPTER 14. APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND RELATED SERVICES

- § 14:1 Appointment of counsel generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 14:2 Appointment of counsel in capital cases
- § 14:3 Appointment of counsel in non-capital cases

CHAPTER 15. SUMMARY DISMISSALS

- § 15:1 Summary dismissal generally
- § 15:2 Summary dismissal standards

CHAPTER 16. THE GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE

- § 16:1 Motions to dismiss
- § 16:2 Answers
- § 16:3 Motions for more definite statement

CHAPTER 17. THE REPLY

- § 17:1 The reply generally

CHAPTER 18. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS

- § 18:1 Time within which to file amendment
- § 18:2 Standard for permitting amendment
- § 18:3 Standard of review of the court's denial of the motion to amend

CHAPTER 19. THE RECORD

- § 19:1 Generally
- § 19:2 Production of the record
- § 19:3 Unavailability of the state transcript
- § 19:4 Federal court transcripts provided at no cost to indigent prisoners
- § 19:5 Accuracy of the transcript

CHAPTER 20. DISCOVERY

- § 20:1 Objectives of discovery
- § 20:2 Policy justifications for permitting discovery
- § 20:3 Pre-Rules discovery
- § 20:4 Habeas Rule 6
- § 20:5 —Good cause requirement
- § 20:6 —State court findings of fact
- § 20:7 —Non-substantive obstacles to relief
- § 20:8 —Appointment of counsel
- § 20:9 Notice and opportunity to be heard
- § 20:10 Timing of request for discovery
- § 20:11 Types of discovery allowed
- § 20:12 Limitations imposed by AEDPA
- § 20:13 Unexhausted claims

- § 20:14 The attorney-client privilege in discovery proceedings
- § 20:15 Appellate standard of review

CHAPTER 21. EXPANSION OF THE RECORD

- § 21:1 Purposes for expanding the record
- § 21:2 Expansion may be made on motion or *sua sponte*
- § 21:3 Types of materials that may be included
- § 21:4 Notice and opportunity to be heard
- § 21:5 Timeliness of motion to expand
- § 21:6 Limitations imposed by AEDPA
- § 21:7 Non-substantive obstacles to relief
- § 21:8 Credibility issues
- § 21:9 Appellate standard of review

CHAPTER 22. EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS

- § 22:1 Background
- § 22:2 A suggested approach
- § 22:3 The state court adjudicated the claim on the merits
- § 22:4 Failure to develop factual basis in state court proceedings
- § 22:5 —New evidence that does not go to the merits of the claim
- § 22:6 —Diligence
- § 22:7 — —Illustrative cases
- § 22:8 —Exception for new rules
- § 22:9 —Exception for newly discovered facts
- § 22:10 —Waiver
- § 22:11 Prisoner alleges a colorable claim for relief
- § 22:12 Existence of a factual dispute
- § 22:13 Demonstrating a need for an evidentiary hearing
- § 22:14 Factual basis already exists in the record
- § 22:15 State court findings
- § 22:16 Mandatory evidentiary hearings
- § 22:17 —Post-AEDPA
- § 22:18 —Merits not resolved in state court hearing
- § 22:19 —State court determination not supported by the record as a whole
- § 22:20 —Inadequate state fact-finding procedure
- § 22:21 —Newly-discovered evidence
- § 22:22 —Material facts not adequately developed in state court hearing
- § 22:23 —Denial of a full and fair hearing
- § 22:24 —Absence of state record
- § 22:25 Discretionary evidentiary hearings
- § 22:26 Evidentiary hearing procedures

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 22:27 Alternatives to an evidentiary hearing
- § 22:28 Appellate standard of review

CHAPTER 23. EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES

- § 23:1 Generally
- § 23:2 History
- § 23:3 Purpose of the exhaustion requirement
- § 23:4 The exhaustion requirement
- § 23:5 Preliminary issues
- § 23:6 —Exhaustion a threshold question
- § 23:7 —When federal claims must be exhausted
- § 23:8 —Each claim considered individually
- § 23:9 —Petitioner’s burden to demonstrate exhaustion
- § 23:10 —Lack of exhaustion may be raised by respondent or the court
- § 23:11 —Exhaustion requirement does not apply to the respondent
- § 23:12 Fair presentation requirement
- § 23:13 —Which courts must be presented with the federal claim
- § 23:14 —Proper presentation of the claim
- § 23:15 —Factual and legal basis for claim
- § 23:16 — —Factual basis alleged
- § 23:17 — —Legal basis alleged
- § 23:18 When direct appeal is not yet final
- § 23:19 Intervening changes in the law
- § 23:20 Effect of mandatory state review
- § 23:21 Absence of corrective process, ineffective corrective process, or exceptional circumstances of peculiar urgency
- § 23:22 Consequences of failing to exhaust
- § 23:23 Noncognizable and unintended claims disregarded
- § 23:24 Waiver of the exhaustion requirement
- § 23:25 Appellate standard of review

CHAPTER 24. PROCEDURAL DEFAULT

- § 24:1 Chapter summary
- § 24:2 Development of the doctrine
- § 24:3 General principles
- § 24:4 State court denied relief on procedural grounds
- § 24:5 —Methods for demonstrating basis of state court’s decision
- § 24:6 —Special circumstances
- § 24:7 State procedural rule is independent of federal law
- § 24:8 State procedural rule is adequate
- § 24:9 —Firmly established

- § 24:10 —Regularly applied
- § 24:11 —Exceptional cases
- § 24:12 Avoiding a procedural default
- § 24:13 —Cause
- § 24:14 — —Interference by government officials
- § 24:15 — —Legal basis of the claim was not reasonably available
- § 24:16 — —Factual basis of the claim was not reasonably available
- § 24:17 — —Abandonment, ineffectiveness, and absence of counsel
- § 24:18 —Actual prejudice
- § 24:19 —Miscarriage of justice
- § 24:20 Waiver of defense
- § 24:21 *Sua sponte* consideration of the defense
- § 24:22 Burdens
- § 24:23 Appellate review standards

CHAPTER 25. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

- § 25:1 Generally
- § 25:2 Summary of limitations principles
- § 25:3 Timeliness a preliminary issue
- § 25:4 Constitutionality
- § 25:5 Mischaracterization of § 2254 petition or § 2255 motion
- § 25:6 Claim-by-claim versus whole-application approach
- § 25:7 Longer state statute of limitations immaterial
- § 25:8 Challenges to prison administrative decisions
- § 25:9 Actual innocence exception
- § 25:10 Pleading the defense, waiver, forfeiture, and raising the defense *sua sponte*
- § 25:11 Appellate standard of review
- § 25:12 Commencement of the one-year statute of limitations
- § 25:13 —Finality of conviction
- § 25:14 —State impediment
- § 25:15 —Newly-recognized, retroactive rights
- § 25:16 —Discovery of factual predicate
- § 25:17 — —Delayed discovery
- § 25:18 — —Reasonable diligence
- § 25:19 Statutory tolling for state and federal prisoners
- § 25:20 Statutory tolling—Applications for State post-conviction or other collateral review
- § 25:21 —Proper filing requirement
- § 25:22 —Pertinent judgment or claim
- § 25:23 —Pending state petitions
- § 25:24 — —No tolling of interval period preceding improperly filed petition

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 25:25	— —No tolling once state postconviction or other collateral review process concludes
§ 25:26	— —No tolling where petitioner not moving to next higher appellate level
§ 25:27	— —No tolling between different rounds of habeas actions
§ 25:28	— —No tolling for period between finality on direct appeal and state collateral review
§ 25:29	— —No tolling for period between completion of state collateral review and filing of federal habeas corpus petition in district court
§ 25:30	— —No tolling during period that habeas corpus proceeding is pending in federal court
§ 25:31	— —No tolling during period that petitioner is seeking certiorari review in the United States Supreme Court following the completion of state collateral review
§ 25:32	— —Tolling of exhausted claims while petitioner is pursuing state collateral review on unexhausted claims
§ 25:33	— —Tolling for overlapping state petitions
§ 25:34	— —Tolling for out-of-time appeals on collateral review
§ 25:35	Equitable tolling
§ 25:36	—Diligence
§ 25:37	—Causation
§ 25:38	—Extraordinary circumstances
§ 25:39	— —Actions of counsel generally
§ 25:40	— —Incapacity of counsel or pro se petitioner
§ 25:41	— —Withdrawal of counsel
§ 25:42	— —Deception by counsel that petition filed
§ 25:43	— —Language difficulties
§ 25:44	— —Counsel's failure to provide petitioner with his records
§ 25:45	— —Mental and physical disabilities of the petitioner
§ 25:46	— —Misconduct of government officials
§ 25:47	— —Absence of legal materials in prison library
§ 25:48	— —Lack of access to legal papers
§ 25:49	— —Erroneous district court ruling
§ 25:50	— —Misleading statements by the court
§ 25:51	— —Delay in receipt of court order with tolling consequences
§ 25:52	— —Failure of counsel to notify petitioner of a court order with tolling consequences
§ 25:53	— —Uncertainty or changes in the law
§ 25:54	— —Court does not receive petitioner's pleading
§ 25:55	—Unextraordinary circumstances
§ 25:56	— —Lack of counsel

- § 25:57 — —Assistance by fellow inmates
- § 25:58 — —Pendency of federal habeas corpus petition
- § 25:59 — —Barely missed limitations deadline
- § 25:60 — —Absence of legal training or knowledge
- § 25:61 — —Conditions unique to incarceration
- § 25:62 — —Transcripts
- § 25:63 Conclusion of the one-year limitations period
- § 25:64 Calculation of dates
- § 25:65 Relation back principles
- § 25:66 —Common core of operative facts
- § 25:67 —Newly-exhausted claims in previously dismissed petition
- § 25:68 —Previously dismissed actions
- § 25:69 —Significant relation-back decisions
- § 25:70 Laches

CHAPTER 26. NON-RETROACTIVE NEW RULES

- § 26:1 Chapter summary
- § 26:2 General principles
- § 26:3 —“Retroactive” terminology
- § 26:4 —Development of the *Teague* doctrine
- § 26:5 —Procedural versus substantive rules
- § 26:6 —*Teague* and its application to state courts
- § 26:7 —Consideration of *Teague* relative to other issues
- § 26:8 —Pleading the defense, waiver, and raising the defense *sua sponte*
- § 26:9 —“New rules” more favorable to the government
- § 26:10 —Application to federal prisoners
- § 26:11 *Teague’s* three-step approach
- § 26:12 —Date of finality
- § 26:13 —Dictated by precedent
- § 26:14 — —Various formulations
- § 26:15 — —Scope of the legal landscape
- § 26:16 — —Ability of lower courts to establish new rules
- § 26:17 — —Rules of general application
- § 26:18 — —Significant cases
- § 26:19 —The exceptions
- § 26:20 Relationship between *Teague* and AEDPA

Volume 2

CHAPTER 27. SECOND OR SUCCESSIVE PETITIONS AND MOTIONS

- § 27:1 Chapter summary

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 27:2	Historical background
§ 27:3	Preliminary issues
§ 27:4	Same-claim petitions and motions
§ 27:5	New-claim petitions and motions
§ 27:6	—New rules of constitutional law
§ 27:7	—Newly discovered evidence
§ 27:8	Second or successive defined
§ 27:9	—Conclusion of “first” proceeding required
§ 27:10	—Same judgment rule
§ 27:11	—Application of the rule generally
§ 27:12	—Rule 60 motions
§ 27:13	—Other post-judgment motions
§ 27:14	—Recharacterization by the district court
§ 27:15	—Section 2241 habeas corpus petitions and common law writs
§ 27:16	Procedure
§ 27:17	—Court of appeals
§ 27:18	—District court
§ 27:19	—Supreme Court

CHAPTER 28. QUESTIONS OF FACT

§ 28:1	Background
§ 28:2	The deference standard
§ 28:3	—The relationship between § 2254(d)(2) and (e)(1)
§ 28:4	—Evidence presented in the state court proceeding only
§ 28:5	—Adequacy of the fact-finding process
§ 28:6	—Obligation to review state court record
§ 28:7	—Changed circumstances
§ 28:8	—Erroneous factual finding
§ 28:9	—Application of deference standards
§ 28:10	Adjudications: explicit and implicit findings
§ 28:11	State trial and appellate court findings
§ 28:12	Mixed questions of law and fact

CHAPTER 29. LEGAL AND MIXED QUESTIONS OF LAW AND FACT

§ 29:1	Chapter summary
§ 29:2	General principles
§ 29:3	Constitutionality
§ 29:4	The “adjudication” requirement
§ 29:5	—A “claim”
§ 29:6	—On the merits
§ 29:7	— —Unexplained state court decisions
§ 29:8	— —State court denies state-law claim without explicitly addressing related federal-law claim

- § 29:9 — —Omitted claims or arguments
- § 29:10 — —Ambiguous state court opinions
- § 29:11 — —Federal claim addressed in a different context
- § 29:12 — —No prima facie showing
- § 29:13 — —Plain error review
- § 29:14 — —Contingent observations
- § 29:15 — —Lack of exhaustion
- § 29:16 — —Procedurally defaulted claims
- § 29:17 — —Alternative procedural and merits adjudications
- § 29:18 — —State court’s failure to develop the record
- § 29:19 “Clearly established” law requirement
- § 29:20 — “Clearly established” defined
- § 29:21 —Identifying new rules of law
- § 29:22 —Limited to Supreme Court precedent
- § 29:23 —Relationship with *Teague* new rules
- § 29:24 —Holdings as opposed to dicta
- § 29:25 —Plurality, concurring, and dissenting opinions
- § 29:26 —Nonconstitutional rulings
- § 29:27 —Undecided legal issues
- § 29:28 —Relevance of lower court decisions
- § 29:29 —Date law must be “clearly established”
- § 29:30 —Relevance of post-adjudication Supreme Court authority
- § 29:31 —Significant cases reviewed
- § 29:32 “Contrary to” restriction
- § 29:33 —Defined
- § 29:34 —State court applied a test that was the functional equivalent to, or stricter than, the federal standard
- § 29:35 —Use of imprecise language by the state court
- § 29:36 —Multiple factor tests
- § 29:37 —Significant cases
- § 29:38 “Unreasonable application” deference standard
- § 29:39 —Specificity of the constitutional rule
- § 29:40 —Extension of precedent
- § 29:41 —Relevance of lower court decisions
- § 29:42 —Significance of conflict among courts
- § 29:43 —Reasonableness based on the state court record
- § 29:44 —Effect of unreasonable application of federal precedent
- § 29:45 —Effect of unreasonable factual finding
- § 29:46 —State court adjudication dependent on antecedent unreasonable application of federal law
- § 29:47 —Relief based on overruled Supreme Court precedent
- § 29:48 —Relevance of state court’s reasoning
- § 29:49 —Analysis required by the federal court
- § 29:50 —Significant cases
- § 29:51 Burdens

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 29:52 Waiver of deferential review standards
- § 29:53 Appellate standards of review

CHAPTER 30. HARMLESS ERROR DOCTRINE

- § 30:1 Harmless error generally
- § 30:2 Structural errors
- § 30:3 Cumulative error
- § 30:4 *Chapman* harmless error test
- § 30:5 Collateral review standard
- § 30:6 The availability of state remedies for federal rights violations
- § 30:7 Section 2255 relief: *Brecht* or *Chapman*

CHAPTER 31. SUFFICIENCY OF THE EVIDENCE

- § 31:1 Sufficiency generally
- § 31:2 *Jackson* and the AEDPA
- § 31:3 The “some evidence” standard in prison disciplinary proceedings

CHAPTER 32. INSTRUCTIONAL ERRORS

- § 32:1 General principles
- § 32:2 Application of constitutional principles
- § 32:3 Prejudice: substantial and injurious effect
- § 32:4 Evidentiary presumptions
- § 32:5 Lesser-included offense instructions

CHAPTER 33. STATE EVIDENTIARY RULINGS

- § 33:1 Generally
- § 33:2 Legal principles
- § 33:3 Harmless error
- § 33:4 AEDPA review standards
- § 33:5 Exclusion of evidence as a sanction

CHAPTER 34. DUE PROCESS RIGHTS

- § 34:1 Generally
- § 34:2 Post-conviction proceedings
- § 34:3 Conditions of prison confinement
- § 34:4 Parole

CHAPTER 35. INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL

- § 35:1 The right to effective assistance of counsel

- § 35:2 Proceedings where there is a constitutional right to counsel
- § 35:3 The four categories of Sixth Amendment cases
- § 35:4 First category of cases: general ineffectiveness claims under *Strickland*
 - § 35:5 —Deference owed to state court merits adjudications
 - § 35:6 —Duty to investigate
 - § 35:7 —The significance of the defendant’s statements and actions
 - § 35:8 —Attorney disbarment, absence of admission, and related issues
 - § 35:9 —Late appointment of counsel
 - § 35:10 —Professional canons and ethical standards
 - § 35:11 —Guilty pleas
 - § 35:12 — —Leading Supreme Court cases
 - § 35:13 — —The deficiency prong
 - § 35:14 — —The prejudice prong
 - § 35:15 — —Case examples
 - § 35:16 —Ineffective assistance of appellate counsel
 - § 35:17 — —Failing to appeal
 - § 35:18 — —Failure to file a merits brief
 - § 35:19 — —Failure to raise arguments in a merits brief
- § 35:20 Second category of cases: *per se* violations of the Sixth Amendment
 - § 35:21 —Counsel forced to represent codefendants over timely objection and absence of trial court finding of no conflict
 - § 35:22 —Breakdown in communications
 - § 35:23 —Failure to subject the prosecution’s case to meaningful adversarial testing
 - § 35:24 —Conditions prevent effective representation
 - § 35:25 —Denial of counsel at a critical stage
 - § 35:26 —Failure to file appeal in contravention of defendant’s direct instructions
 - § 35:27 —Government-imposed restrictions on counsel
 - § 35:28 —Defendant is represented by person posing as a lawyer
 - § 35:29 —Counsel involved in same criminal activity as defendant
- § 35:30 Third category of cases: conflicts of interest that adversely affected counsel’s performance
 - § 35:31 —Types of conflicts
 - § 35:32 —Prejudice presumed
- § 35:33 Fourth category of cases: government invasions of the attorney-client relationship

CHAPTER 36. SUPPRESSION OF EVIDENCE—*BRADY* CLAIMS

- § 36:1 General principles

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 36:2	<i>Brady</i> disclosure obligation at different stages of proceedings
§ 36:3	—Pretrial plea negotiations
§ 36:4	—Trial proceedings
§ 36:5	—Postconviction proceedings
§ 36:6	Three factor test
§ 36:7	—Evidence favorable to the accused
§ 36:8	— —Exculpatory evidence
§ 36:9	— —Impeaching evidence
§ 36:10	—Suppression of the evidence
§ 36:11	— —The prosecution team
§ 36:12	— —When materials must be disclosed
§ 36:13	— —No good faith defense
§ 36:14	— —The determination whether disclosure is required
§ 36:15	— —Evidence available to the defense
§ 36:16	— —Manner of production
§ 36:17	—Prejudice
§ 36:18	Supreme Court precedent
§ 36:19	Related disclosure obligations

CHAPTER 37. PRE-INDICTMENT DELAY

§ 37:1	Supreme Court precedent
§ 37:2	Lower court application

CHAPTER 38. SPEEDY TRIAL RIGHTS

§ 38:1	Speedy trial claims generally
§ 38:2	Jurisdiction
§ 38:3	Waiver
§ 38:4	The <i>Barker</i> factors
§ 38:5	—Length of delay
§ 38:6	—Reason for the delay
§ 38:7	—Defendant's assertion of his right to a speedy trial
§ 38:8	—Prejudice from the delay
§ 38:9	— —Length of delay
§ 38:10	— —Oppressive pretrial incarceration
§ 38:11	— —Anxiety and concern
§ 38:12	— —Hindrances to the defense
§ 38:13	AEDPA considerations
§ 38:14	Remedies
§ 38:15	Illustrative cases of when relief is warranted
§ 38:16	Sentencing delays

CHAPTER 39. JUDICIAL BIAS

§ 39:1	Due process requirements
§ 39:2	The appearance of judicial bias

- § 39:3 The relevance of federal recusal statutes in the due process analysis

CHAPTER 40. RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL

- § 40:1 Generally
- § 40:2 Petty crimes
- § 40:3 Requisite number of jurors
- § 40:4 Unanimity
- § 40:5 Sentencing

CHAPTER 41. UNEXPECTED AND INDEFENSIBLE JUDICIAL ALTERATIONS OF THE LAW (*BOUIE* CLAIMS)

- § 41:1 Unforeseeable judicial enlargements of law generally
- § 41:2 Application of *Bouie* principles
- § 41:3 Retroactive sentence enhancements and schemes
- § 41:4 Ex Post Facto Clause distinguished
- § 41:5 Prejudice
- § 41:6 AEDPA review standards

CHAPTER 42. DISPARATE TREATMENT

- § 42:1 Disparate treatment generally
- § 42:2 Three-factor test

CHAPTER 43. ARBITRARY OR CAPRICIOUS ACTIONS

- § 43:1 Generally

CHAPTER 44. EX POST FACTO CLAUSE

- § 44:1 Generally
- § 44:2 Determining whether a law is punitive
- § 44:3 The *Calder* factors
- § 44:4 —Changes in substantive criminal law
- § 44:5 —Changes in procedural law
- § 44:6 AEDPA, plain error, and *de novo* review standards

CHAPTER 45. SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL

- § 45:1 Constitutional right to substitution of counsel at trial
- § 45:2 —Retained counsel
- § 45:3 —Appointed counsel
- § 45:4 — —Articulated dissatisfaction
- § 45:5 — —Adequacy of the trial court's inquiry
- § 45:6 — —Extent of the conflict

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 45:7 — —Timeliness of the motion
- § 45:8 —Prejudice standard
- § 45:9 —Standard of review
- § 45:10 —Relationship to right of self-representation
- § 45:11 —Significance of a guilty plea
- § 45:12 Constitutional right to substitution of counsel on direct appeal
- § 45:13 Constitutional right to substitution of counsel on federal collateral review
- § 45:14 Non-constitutional grounds for substitution of counsel

CHAPTER 46. PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT AND STATEMENTS OF COUNSEL

- § 46:1 Generally
- § 46:2 Two-step approach
- § 46:3 Types of improper remarks
- § 46:4 —Inflammatory remarks and law-and-order appeals
- § 46:5 —Personal opinions, beliefs, and vouching
- § 46:6 —Character assaults and personal insults
- § 46:7 —Denigration of defense counsel
- § 46:8 —Misstatement of controlling law
- § 46:9 —False and misleading argument
- § 46:10 —Comment on facts outside the record
- § 46:11 —Comment on defendant’s demeanor
- § 46:12 —Comments on witness’s fear of defendant
- § 46:13 —Patriotic remarks
- § 46:14 —Targeting defendant’s wealth
- § 46:15 —Appeals to a higher law
- § 46:16 —Appeals to race, ethnicity, and religion
- § 46:17 —“Golden Rule” arguments
- § 46:18 Prejudicial error
- § 46:19 AEDPA, plain error, and *de novo* review standards

CHAPTER 47. COMMENT ON DEFENDANT’S REFUSAL TO TESTIFY (*GRIFFIN* ERROR)

- § 47:1 Generally
- § 47:2 Direct and indirect comments regarding defendant’s failure to testify
- § 47:3 Fair response
- § 47:4 Prejudice
- § 47:5 AEDPA, plain error, and *de novo* review standards

APPENDICES

Appendix A. Habeas Corpus Statutes

POSTCONVICTION REMEDIES

- Appendix B. Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the
United States District Courts: 28 U.S.C.A.
Foll. § 2254
- Appendix C. Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings in the
United States District Courts—28 U.S.C.A.
Foll. § 2255
- Appendix D. Selected Rules of Appellate Procedure
- Appendix E. California Judicial Council Form MC-275

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index