

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. THE NATURE OF DISCRETIONARY CONTROLS

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 1:1 Meaning of Discretion
- § 1:2 Limited Discretion Under Traditional Zoning

II. ABUSES OF DISCRETION

- § 1:3 “Automatic” Conversions to Conditional Use
- § 1:4 Vague Statements and Standards
- § 1:5 Undue Legislative Weight Given to Advisory
Recommendations
- § 1:6 Is the Administrative Body Truly “Advisory”?
- § 1:7 Slow-Walking Permits
- § 1:8 Developer “Watch List”
- § 1:9 Legislative Acquiescence to Mission Creep by
Administrative Body
- § 1:10 Administrative Body Use of Discretionary
Authority to Act Legislatively

III. THE DEBATE OVER RULES VERSUS STANDARDS IN REGULATION

- § 1:11 Arguments For and Against Rules and Standards
- § 1:12 —Fairness
- § 1:13 —Predictability/Productivity
- § 1:14 —Political Freedom
- § 1:15 —Accountability/Democratic Process
- § 1:16 Summary of Benefits and Drawbacks to Rules
and Standards
- § 1:17 Considerations in Utilizing Rules and Standards

IV. CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITATIONS ON THE EXERCISE OF DISCRETION BY ADMINISTRATIVE BODIES

- § 1:18 Due Process

DISCRETIONARY LAND USE CONTROLS

- § 1:19 Standing
- § 1:20 Nondelegation Doctrine
- § 1:21 Void for Vagueness

V. THE “TAKINGS CLAUSE” AND DISCRETIONARY EXACTIONS

- § 1:22 Multifactor Takings Test
- § 1:23 —Economic Impact of the Regulation
- § 1:24 —Distinct Investment-Backed Expectations
- § 1:25 —Character of the Governmental Action
- § 1:26 —The “Parcel as a Whole” Rule
- § 1:27 The *Agins* “Substantially Advances” Takings Test Invalidated
- § 1:28 Categorical Taking Rules
- § 1:29 Categorical Takings Rules—Physical Occupation of Land
- § 1:30 Categorical Takings Rules—Permanent Physical Occupation of Property: IOLTA
- § 1:31 Categorical Takings Rules—Permanent Physical Occupation of Property: Rails-to-Trails Act
- § 1:32 Categorical Takings Rules—Deprivation of All Productive Use
- § 1:33 Temporary Takings
- § 1:34 Judicial Takings

VI. THE “ROUGH PROPORTIONALITY” TEST FOR DISCRETIONARY EXACTIONS

- § 1:35 Facts of *Dolan*
- § 1:36 Supreme Court’s Holding
- § 1:37 The “Rough Proportionality” Test
- § 1:38 Burden of Proof in Administrative Decisions
- § 1:39 Application of the “Unconstitutional Conditions” Doctrine to Property Rights
- § 1:40 Application of *Dolan* to Traditional Land Use Exactions
- § 1:41 Application of *Nollan* and *Dolan* to Tree Preservation Regulations
- § 1:42 Application of *Nollan* and *Dolan* to *Ad Hoc* Exactions Other Than Land Dedications
- § 1:43 Application of *Nollan* and *Dolan* to Legislative Actions
- § 1:44 Application of *Nollan* and *Dolan* to Mandatory Inclusionary Zoning

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 1:45 Application of *Nollan* and *Dolan* to Legislatively Adopted Development Exactions

VII. RIPENESS TO BRING TAKINGS CLAIM

- § 1:46 The Finality Requirement
- § 1:47 The State Compensation Requirement—
Overruled
- § 1:48 A “Gap” in Supreme Court Jurisprudence—Closed

VIII. LIMITATION ON LEGISLATIVE DISCRETION

- § 1:49 Local Legislative Body’s Initial Exercise of Discretion
- § 1:50 Abuse of Discretion by the Local Legislative Body

IX. SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS PROTECTION AGAINST ABUSE OF DISCRETION

- § 1:51 Discretionary Decisions and the Substantive Due Process Clause
- § 1:52 The Search for a Protected Property Interest—
Another Threshold Barrier to Judicial Relief
- § 1:53 Procedural Due Process Protection
- § 1:54 Substantive Due Process Protection
- § 1:55 Courts’ Varying Definitions of “Arbitrary”
- § 1:56 —Parcel Specific Zoning Decisions: Legislative or Administrative?
- § 1:57 —Decision Inadequately Supported by Record
- § 1:58 —Irrational Decision: No Reasonable Basis in Law
- § 1:59 —Decision Outside Substantive Limits of Delegated Authority
- § 1:60 Issues Needing Clarification

X. EQUAL PROTECTION CHALLENGE TO ABUSE OF DISCRETION

- § 1:61 Equal Protection Defined
- § 1:62 Equal Protection Applied
- § 1:63 Claim Based on “Class of One”

XI. PRACTICE TIPS

- § 1:64 Practice Tip: When Faced with an

- Unconstitutional Monetary Exaction, Check State Law to Determine if it is Possible to Pay the Exaction Under Protest and Challenge it Later Without Being Barred From Doing So
- § 1:65 Practice Tip: Research Whether a Lot Merger Provision Exists under Local Law Before Acquiring Multiple Adjacent Parcels or Creating Adjacent Parcels Through a Subdivision Process if the Intent is to Later Sell or Develop Adjacent Parcels Separately

CHAPTER 2. VARIANCES

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 2:1 Function of Variance
- § 2:2 Board of Adjustment—Authority and Procedure
- § 2:3 Specter of Abuse
- § 2:4 Use Variance and Area Variance—The Difference
- § 2:5 Use Variances
- § 2:6 Who is Entitled to Apply for a Variance?
- § 2:7 A Variance Runs With the Land
- § 2:8 Variances and Nonconforming Uses

II. THE “UNNECESSARY HARDSHIP” STANDARD

- § 2:9 No Reasonable Return
- § 2:10 Unique Circumstances
- § 2:11 Impact on the Surrounding Area
- § 2:12 Variations on the Hardship Test
- § 2:13 The “Practical Difficulties” Standard
- § 2:14 Self-Created Hardship

III. VARIANCE DECISIONS

- § 2:15 Conditions on Variances
- § 2:16 Violation of Conditions
- § 2:17 Modification of Conditions
- § 2:18 Time Limits on Variances
- § 2:19 Delay in Recording or Exercising of Variance; Extension of Time Period
- § 2:20 Variance Made Subject to Neighbors’ Consent
- § 2:21 Variance Denied Because of Neighborhood Opposition

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 2:22 Variances and Sufficiency of Notice
- § 2:23 Variances and the Comprehensive Plan

IV. PRACTICE TIPS

- § 2:24 Practice Tip: Know the Physical Site Thoroughly
- § 2:25 Practice Tip: Determine the Factual Basis for the Variance
- § 2:26 Practice Tip: Confirm the Procedural Steps and Deadlines
- § 2:27 Practice Tip: Obtain and Review the Rules and Procedures of the Board
- § 2:28 Practice Tip: Meet with Those Who May Oppose the Variance
- § 2:29 Practice Tip: Check Everything an Expert Witness Prepares
- § 2:30 Practice Tip: Use Simple Exhibits
- § 2:31 Practice Tip: Remember to Make a Complete Record at the Hearing
- § 2:32 Practice Tip: Avoid Emphasis Upon Legal Pronouncements at the Hearing
- § 2:33 Practice Tip: Do Not Delay Recording and Exercising Rights Under Variance Granted

CHAPTER 3. SPECIAL USE PERMITS

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 3:1 Definition
- § 3:2 Purpose
- § 3:3 Administrative Decision-Making Procedure
- § 3:4 Decision-Making Authority Reserved by the Legislative Body
- § 3:5 Burden of Proof
- § 3:6 Extent of Discretion to Deny Special Use
- § 3:7 Findings of Fact Required
- § 3:8 Legal Status of an Approved Special Use
- § 3:9 Revocation, Nullification and Abandonment of an Approved Special Use
- § 3:10 Does Special Use Approval Extinguish Prior Lawful Nonconforming Use Status?
- § 3:11 Special Use Requiring a Variance

II. APPROVAL STANDARDS

- § 3:12 Harmony with the Intent and Purpose of the Zoning Ordinance

DISCRETIONARY LAND USE CONTROLS

- § 3:13 Consistency with the Comprehensive Plan
- § 3:14 Detrimental Impact on Surrounding Property Values
- § 3:15 Public Need
- § 3:16 Regulation of Competition
- § 3:17 Traffic and Other Impact Considerations
- § 3:18 Public Health, Safety and Welfare

III. CONDITIONS IMPOSED UPON SPECIAL USE PERMITS

- § 3:19 General Types

IV. PRACTICE TIPS

- § 3:20 Practice Tip: Do Not Overuse the Special Use Technique
- § 3:21 Practice Tip: Do Not Use the Special Use Permit as a Substitute for a Growth Management Strategy
- § 3:22 Practice Tip: Do Not Use the Special Use Permit to Achieve Unspecified Objectives
- § 3:23 Practice Tip: Make Adequate Findings of Fact
- § 3:24 Practice Tip: Make Sure the Local Government Makes Appropriate Findings to Support its Decision
- § 3:25 Practice Tip: Prepare Analysis or Studies to the Extent Necessary to Address the Issue of Compatibility

CHAPTER 4. THE FLOATING ZONE

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 4:1 Definition
- § 4:2 Purpose—General
- § 4:3 Purpose—Multifamily and Elderly Housing
- § 4:4 Purpose—Shopping Center Developments
- § 4:5 Purpose—Traditional Neighborhood Development and Mixed Use

II. FLOATING ZONE

- § 4:6 Legality
- § 4:7 Procedural Posture

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:8 Compliance with Conditions
- § 4:9 Reverter Provision

III. PRACTICE TIPS

- § 4:10 Practice Tip: Define Adequate Standards to Support the Floating Zone
- § 4:11 Practice Tip: Tie the Floating Zone to the Comprehensive Plan
- § 4:12 Practice Tip: Do Not Overuse Floating Zone

CHAPTER 5. SITE PLAN REVIEW

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 5:1 Definition of Site Plan Review
- § 5:2 Site plan regulations

II. PROPER SCOPE OF REVIEW

- § 5:3 Avoiding Redetermination of Issues Previously Decided
- § 5:4 Limited Discretion to Deny Site Plan Approval
- § 5:5 Public Health, Safety, and Welfare
- § 5:6 Off-Site Considerations
- § 5:7 —Off-Site Traffic Impacts
- § 5:8 —Adequacy of Fire Protection Facilities

III. LEGAL AUTHORITY FOR SITE PLAN REVIEW

- § 5:9 Express Statutory Authority
- § 5:10 Implied Authority
- § 5:11 Can Conditions be Imposed?
- § 5:12 Is an Approved Site Plan Exempt from Subsequent Changes in Zoning?

IV. PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

- § 5:13 Key Statutory Procedural Provision
- § 5:14 Other Procedural Requirements

V. PRINCIPLES FOR DRAFTING A SITE PLAN REVIEW/APPROVAL PROCESS

- § 5:15 Purpose

DISCRETIONARY LAND USE CONTROLS

- § 5:16 Scope of Applicability
- § 5:17 —Uses Subject to Site Plan Review
- § 5:18 —By Right and/or Special Permit Approvals
- § 5:19 Decision Maker
- § 5:20 Site Plan Informational Requirements
- § 5:21 Standards: General Considerations

VI. SITE PLAN REVIEW STANDARDS

- § 5:22 Parking
- § 5:23 Loading
- § 5:24 Circulation
- § 5:25 Lighting
- § 5:26 Grading
- § 5:27 Landscaping
- § 5:28 Buffers
- § 5:29 Open space
- § 5:30 Utilities
- § 5:31 Stormwater and Drainage
- § 5:32 Additional Standards

VII. PRACTICE TIPS

- § 5:33 Practice Tip: Do Not Attempt to Implement Zoning Through Site Plan Review
- § 5:34 Practice Tip: Consider Carefully Whether to Incorporate Site Plan Review in the Zoning Ordinance
- § 5:35 Practice Tip: Staff Must be Competent to do Site Plan Review
- § 5:36 Be Careful About Proposing Changes to Site Plan After Receiving Site Plan Approval

CHAPTER 6. PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTS

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 6:1 Purposes of Planned Unit Development
- § 6:2 Statutory Definitions of Planned Unit Development

II. LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

- § 6:3 Constitutionality of Technique

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:4 Specter of Contract Zoning
- § 6:5 Effect of PUD on Comprehensive Plan
- § 6:6 Proper Delegation of Authority to Approve PUDs
- § 6:7 Validity of Mandatory PUD Districts

III. PROPER PROCEDURAL STEPS AND CONSIDERATIONS

- § 6:8 General Considerations

IV. TYPES OF PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTS

- § 6:9 Process-Based PUD Versus Standards-Based PUD
- § 6:10 Approval of PUD as Zoning District or as Conditional Use
- § 6:11 Regional Planned Unit Development

V. ESSENTIAL PROVISIONS OF A PUD ORDINANCE

- § 6:12 Purpose Statement
- § 6:13 Zoning Districts and Uses Allowed
- § 6:14 Development Standards—Minimum Area
- § 6:15 —Residential Density
- § 6:16 —Nonresidential Density
- § 6:17 —Height
- § 6:18 —Open Space
- § 6:19 Authority to Modify Regulations
- § 6:20 Development Plan Approval Steps
- § 6:21 Procedures for Review and Approval
- § 6:22 Extent of Government Discretion to Disapprove PUD
- § 6:23 Adjustments to the Development Plan
- § 6:24 Amendments: Notice and Procedural Due Process
- § 6:25 Failure to Begin or Complete Development

VI. PRACTICE TIPS

- § 6:26 Practice Tip: Clearly State the Public Objectives of the PUD in the Ordinance
- § 6:27 Practice Tip: The PUD Must Have Good Design Standards
- § 6:28 Practice Tip: The Community Must Have

DISCRETIONARY LAND USE CONTROLS

- Adequate Skills and Resources to Utilize the PUD Successfully
- § 6:29 Practice Tip: Retain Certain Specific Standards in the PUD Ordinance in Order to Limit Administrative Discretion
- § 6:30 Practice Tip: Do Not Rely Upon Staff Interpretation of Whether a Proposed Change to a Planned Development is “Minor”—Not Requiring a Formal Amendment to the Approved Development Plan—Unless Staff has been Given that Authority Under the Zoning Ordinance

CHAPTER 7. CONTRACT/CONDITIONAL ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 7:1 Difference Between Contract Zoning and Conditional Zoning

II. CONTRACT ZONING: THE DEBATE OVER VALIDITY

- § 7:2 Is Contract Zoning Inherently Invalid?
- § 7:3 Is Contract Zoning Invalid as Applied?
- § 7:4 —Notice and Hearing Requirements
- § 7:5 —Uniformity Problem
- § 7:6 —“Spot Zoning” Argument
- § 7:7 —Developer Promise Unrelated to Specific Impacts of Development

III. CONDITIONAL ZONING: METHODS OF IMPLEMENTING

- § 7:8 Inclusion of Conditions in Rezoning Ordinance
- § 7:9 Recording of Restrictive Covenants Prior to Rezoning
- § 7:10 Noncompliance
- § 7:11 —Government
- § 7:12 —Developer

IV. VESTED RIGHTS AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS

- § 7:13 Antidote for the Vesting Problem

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 7:14	—Vested Rights and Estoppel
§ 7:15	—Vesting of Entitlements
§ 7:16	—Transferability of Vested Rights
§ 7:17	Development Agreement
§ 7:18	—Bilateral Contract
§ 7:19	—What is Adequate Consideration?
§ 7:20	—Legislative or Administrative?
§ 7:21	—Effect of Referenda
§ 7:22	—Enforcement
§ 7:23	Common Statutory Purposes
§ 7:24	—Regulatory “Freeze”
§ 7:25	—Vested Right to Proceed
§ 7:26	—Necessary Infrastructure Improvements
§ 7:27	Key Provisions of Development Agreement
§ 7:28	—Parties to the Agreement
§ 7:29	—Duration of the Agreement
§ 7:30	—Definition of Development Approvals Required
§ 7:31	—Amendments to the Agreement
§ 7:32	—Developer’s Vested Rights
§ 7:33	—Developer’s Obligations
§ 7:34	—Fees, Assessments, Contributions, and Other Charges
§ 7:35	—Assignment and Transfer of Rights and Obligations
§ 7:36	—Compliance Review and Remedies for Default
§ 7:37	Development Agreement Challenge: Initiative and Referendum
§ 7:38	Government Noncompliance with Development Agreement
§ 7:39	—Public Health and Safety Purpose
§ 7:40	—No “Public Health or Safety” Purpose
§ 7:41	Developer Noncompliance with Development Agreement
§ 7:42	Development Agreement and Takings Claims
§ 7:43	Development Agreement and Federal Preemption of Vested Rights Doctrine

V. PRACTICE TIPS

§ 7:44	Practice Tip: Understand the Local Government’s Goals and Objectives
§ 7:45	Practice Tip: Be Aware of Which Communications are Covered by the Sunshine Law

DISCRETIONARY LAND USE CONTROLS

- § 7:46 Practice Tip: Be Aware of Public Disclosure Requirements
- § 7:47 Practice Tip: Seek to Provide for Concept Approval Under a Development Agreement
- § 7:48 Practice Tip: Provide for Building Envelope Approvals in the Development Agreement
- § 7:49 Practice Tip: Utilize Performance Standards
- § 7:50 Practice Tip: Pay Attention to the Timing of Public Involvement
- § 7:51 Practice Tip: Provide for Consolidated Approvals or Lodge Approval at the Staff Level
- § 7:52 Practice Tip: Draft Documents that Define Key Terms and Provide Provisions Against Subsequent Regulatory Changes
- § 7:53 Practice Tip: Define the Term “Substantial Change”
- § 7:54 Practice Tip: Define the Term “Substantial Conformity”
- § 7:55 Practice Tip: Create a Basis from Which to Argue that the Government is “Estopped” from Making Subsequent Changes to the Project
- § 7:56 Practice Tip: Be sure to incorporate into the development agreement any agreements or contracts with the local government that have been entered into prior to the execution of the development agreement
- § 7:57 Practice Tip: A purchaser of property subject to a recorded development agreement who seeks to benefit from any vested rights under the agreement should be sure that the agreement does not contain any language limiting the extent to which the covenants in the agreement run with the land

CHAPTER 8. DESIGN REVIEW

I. INTRODUCTION

- § 8:1 Ubiquitous Advisory Bodies
- § 8:2 Judicial Response

II. AESTHETICS AND DESIGN REVIEW

- § 8:3 Aesthetics in Land Use Regulation
- § 8:4 Varying Objectives of Design Review

TABLE OF CONTENTS

III. LEGAL PROBLEMS WITH DESIGN REVIEW

- § 8:5 Lack of Enabling Authority for Design Review
- § 8:6 Improper Delegation of Power to Impose Design Review
- § 8:7 Vague, Meaningless Standards
- § 8:8 —Failure to Use Commonly Understood Terms
- § 8:9 —Failure to Use Precise Language
- § 8:10 —Failure to Use Language that has Practical Application
- § 8:11 The “Pretty Committee” Problem
- § 8:12 —Vague Standards or Guidelines
- § 8:13 —Exercise of Power Beyond an Official “Advisory” Role
- § 8:14 —Application of Aesthetic Controls Independent of Zoning
- § 8:15 Is “Process” the Antidote for Subjectivity in Aesthetic Review?
- § 8:16 First Amendment Principles Affecting Regulation of Architectural Design—Architectural Design as Protected Expression
- § 8:17 —Levels of Judicial Scrutiny of Protected Expression
- § 8:18 —Vagueness and Overbreadth Doctrines
- § 8:19 —Prior Restraint Doctrine
- § 8:20 —Residential Architecture
- § 8:21 First Amendment Principles Affecting Regulation of Architectural Design—Commercial Buildings
- § 8:22 First Amendment Principles Affecting Regulation of Architectural Design—Prior Restraint Doctrine

IV. DESIGN REVIEW STANDARDS

- § 8:23 Can Design Review Standards that Give Clear Guidance be Written?
- § 8:24 Principles for Drafting Design Review Standards and Guidelines
- § 8:25 Preliminary Considerations
- § 8:26 —Establish a Vision Supported with Plans and Studies
- § 8:27 —Define the Basic Characteristics of Community Form and Organization
- § 8:28 —Determine the Level of Control that Will be Exercised

DISCRETIONARY LAND USE CONTROLS

- § 8:29 —Decide Upon Format and Structure
- § 8:30 —Select the Type of Review Process
- § 8:31 Drafting Principles: Detailed not Visionary Language
- § 8:32 Drafting Principles: Avoid Overly Prescriptive Language
- § 8:33 Drafting Principles: Use Simple Hierarchy
- § 8:34 Drafting Principles: Explain “Weight” to be Given to Standard or Guideline
- § 8:35 Example of Design Review Guidelines
- § 8:36 —The Vision
- § 8:37 —Level of Control: Mandatory
- § 8:38 —Visual Character
- § 8:39 *[Reserved]*

V. DESIGN REVIEW OF SIGNS

- § 8:40 Lack of Proper Enabling Authority
- § 8:41 Improper Delegation of Review Authority to an Administrative Body
- § 8:42 Purposes and Standards of Design Review of Signs
- § 8:43 —Content Control
- § 8:44 —Sign Competition and Registered Service Marks
- § 8:45 —“Compatibility” Standard
- § 8:46 Appropriate Role for Design Review of Signs

VI. NEW URBANISM

- § 8:47 Key Precepts
- § 8:48 Comparison to Zoning’s Approach
- § 8:49 Legal Authority to Achieve *Land Use* Objectives of New Urbanism
- § 8:50 —Zoning Enabling Legislation
- § 8:51 —Uniformity Requirement and “Spot” Zoning
- § 8:52 Legal Authority to Achieve *Design* Objectives of New Urbanism
- § 8:53 —Prior Restraint on Expression
- § 8:54 —Void for Vagueness
- § 8:55 Application to Existing Urban Forms
- § 8:56 —Urban Form
- § 8:57 —The Public Realm: How Far Does it Reach?
- § 8:58 —Local Government “Hooks” for Imposing Design Controls

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:59 —Legal Issue Continuum
- § 8:60 Transect Zoning: A Useful Paradigm?

VII. NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER AND DESIGN CONSERVATION REGULATIONS

- § 8:61 Objectives and Method—The Overlay District
- § 8:62 Geographic Scope of Application and Extent of
Design Regulation
- § 8:63 Procedures
- § 8:64 Statutory and Constitutional Issues
- § 8:65 —Lack of Statutory Authority
- § 8:66 —Unconstitutional Neighborhood Consent
Provision

VIII. COMMUNITY CHARACTER AND FORMULA BUSINESS REGULATIONS

- § 8:67 Formula Business Defined
- § 8:68 Formula Business Regulations
- § 8:69 —Complete and Partial Prohibitions
- § 8:70 —Dimensional Restrictions
- § 8:71 —Special Permitting Requirements
- § 8:72 Problems Posed by Formula Business Regulations
- § 8:73 —Nonconforming Status
- § 8:74 —Amortization
- § 8:75 Legal Problems with Formula Business
Regulations
- § 8:76 —Authority to Regulate Formula Businesses
- § 8:77 —Equal Protection Challenge
- § 8:78 —Commerce Clause
- § 8:79 —Potential Violation of Trademark or Service
Mark

IX. SUSTAINABLE DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS

- § 8:80 Concepts and Definitions
- § 8:81 Green Building Rating Systems
- § 8:82 The LEED Rating System™
- § 8:83 LEED-ND Neighborhood Development
- § 8:84 Local Government Green Building Regulatory
Initiatives
- § 8:85 Potential Legal Issues with Local Government
Green Building Mandates

DISCRETIONARY LAND USE CONTROLS

- § 8:86 —*Ultra Vires*
- § 8:87 —Anti-Trust
- § 8:88 —Nondelegation and Void for Vagueness
Doctrines

X. CLIMATE CHANGE REGULATIONS

- § 8:89 Adaptation Requirements in Coastal Areas
- § 8:90 —Setbacks
- § 8:91 —Rolling Easements
- § 8:92 —Exactions
- § 8:93 —TDRs
- § 8:94 Municipal Mitigation Strategies to Reduce GHG
Emissions
- § 8:95 —Energy Conservation Codes
- § 8:96 —Building Energy Reporting and Disclosure
Requirements
- § 8:97 —Green Building Standards

XI. PRACTICE TIPS

- § 8:98 Practice Tip: Community Must be Clear About
its Values and Goals and its Basic
Characteristics of Community Form and
Organization
- § 8:99 Practice Tip: Use Illustrations and Specific
Words to Explain Design Principles
- § 8:100 Practice Tip: Community Should Also Try to
Understand the Objectives of the Developer
- § 8:101 Practice Tip: Present Project Design in Terms of
Public Values
- § 8:102 Practice Tip: Design Proposals Should be
Previewed Before Formal Presentation
- § 8:103 Practice Tip: Expedite the Decision-Making
Process
- § 8:104 Practice Tip: Before Applying Form-Based
Regulations to Existing Development Area,
Community Should Assess Financial Impact of
Design Requirements Upon the Operations of
Existing Uses
- § 8:105 Practice Tip: Owner Whose Property is
Subjected to Form-Based Design Requirements
Should Negotiate Exemption from Design
Requirements that Impact Economic Viability
of Use or Seek the Incremental Application of
Design Requirements

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:106 Practice Tip: Participate Early in the Legislative Process
- § 8:107 Practice Tip: Attempt to Minimize the Scope and Impact of the Formula Business Ordinance
- § 8:108 Practice Tip: Question Whether the City Has Documented the Unique Community Character that it Intends to Protect Through Formula Business Ordinance
- § 8:109 Practice Tip: Caution Local Officials Against Potential Violations of Trademark and Service Mark Laws
- § 8:110 Practice Tip: A Coastal Retreat Setback Policy Based on a Minimum Distance from a Coastal Marker or Annual Erosion Rate Should Be Justified with Data

APPENDIX

Appendix Development Agreement Statutes

Table of Cases

Index