

Table of Contents

Volume 1

CHAPTER 1. THE MULTIPLE MODELS OF MEDIA REGULATION IN THE UNITED STATES

- § 1:1 The content-based focus of this treatise
- § 1:2 The variable standards applicable to different media
- § 1:3 The First Amendment and distinctions between media and nonmedia speakers

CHAPTER 2. PRINT MEDIA

- § 2:1 Regulation of print media
- § 2:2 Print media and the distinction between negative and affirmative regulation
- § 2:3 Print media and publisher liability

CHAPTER 3. BROADCAST MEDIA

- § 3:1 Regulation of broadcast media
- § 3:2 Regulation of broadcast media and the concept of spectrum scarcity
- § 3:3 Fairness and equal time provisions
- § 3:4 Broadcasting and equal time regulations
- § 3:5 Broadcasting and obscene, indecent, or profane language
- § 3:6 Broadcast media and gambling
- § 3:7 Public broadcasting

CHAPTER 4. INTERNET MEDIA

- § 4:1 Overview of regulation of Internet media
- § 4:2 The Internet and the First Amendment
- § 4:3 Cyber-stalking and cyber-seduction
- § 4:4 Internet filtering
- § 4:5 Section 230 and federal immunity for interactive computer services
- § 4:6 —The competing broad and narrow interpretations of § 230 immunity
- § 4:7 —The broad interpretation of § 230 immunity
- § 4:8 —Narrower interpretations of § 230 immunity: “distributor” liability

- § 4:9 —Loss of § 230 immunity by participation in content creation
- § 4:10 Network neutrality
- § 4:11 The Internet and intellectual property
- § 4:12 Anonymity and the Internet
- § 4:13 —The cultural and technological history of internet anonymity
- § 4:14 —The underpinnings of the First Amendment right to anonymity
- § 4:15 Legal standards for unveiling of actual identity anonymous Internet users
- § 4:16 Attempts to regulate the content of social media platforms
- § 4:17 Social media accounts of public officials
- § 4:18 Special characteristics of Internet speech

CHAPTER 5. OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIA

- § 5:1 Cable television—Regulation
- § 5:2 —First Amendment standards applicable to cable television
- § 5:3 Telephonic media
- § 5:4 Direct broadcast satellite
- § 5:5 Convergence of modern media

CHAPTER 6. DEFAMATION

- § 6:1 The elements of the defamation cause of action
- § 6:2 Defamatory meaning
- § 6:3 —Principles of construction in determining defamatory meaning
- § 6:4 —Defamation through implication
- § 6:5 —Defamation in headlines, captions, “teases,” or advertising surrounding a story
- § 6:6 —Visual defamation through photographs, symbols, or images
- § 6:7 —Defamation through misquotation
- § 6:8 —Role of judge and jury in determining defamatory meaning
- § 6:9 Who may sue for defamation—The “of and concerning” requirement
- § 6:10 —Fictional works and the “of and concerning” defamation requirement
- § 6:11 —Libel of the dead
- § 6:12 —Corporations may sue for defamation
- § 6:13 —Governmental entities may not sue for defamation
- § 6:14 Truth and falsity in defamation actions
- § 6:15 Burden of proving falsehood is typically on the plaintiff—*Philadelphia Newspapers v. Hepps*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 6:16	“Substantial truth” test
§ 6:17	The distinction between “fact” and “opinion”
§ 6:18	—First Amendment principles applicable to the fact/ opinion dichotomy— <i>Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Company</i>
§ 6:19	—Tests employed to separate fact from opinion
§ 6:20	—Dishonestly maintained opinions
§ 6:21	—Abusive language, name-calling, ridicule
§ 6:22	—Parody and satire
§ 6:23	—Op-ed pages, talk shows, shock radio, Internet forums, and other contexts in which vitriolic expression of opinion is common
§ 6:24	—Reviews of literature, theatre, art, restaurants, and other aspects of culture
§ 6:25	—The fact/opinion distinction in defamation cases involving religion
§ 6:26	—The fact/opinion distinction and allegations of criminal activity
§ 6:27	—The role of the judge and jury in separating fact from opinion
§ 6:28	Publication is required for defamation
§ 6:29	—Repeating or republishing defamatory material
§ 6:30	—The single publication rule
§ 6:31	—Statute of limitations for defamation
§ 6:32	—Statutes of limitations and single publication rules as applied to Internet defamation
§ 6:33	The distinction between libel and slander
§ 6:34	—Defamation “per se” and “per quod”
§ 6:35	Neutral reportage doctrine
§ 6:36	<i>New York Times v. Sullivan</i> : bringing the First Amendment to bear on defamation law
§ 6:37	— <i>Gertz v. Robert Welch</i> : the public figure/private figure dichotomy
§ 6:38	— — “Limited” public figures
§ 6:39	— — — Limited public figures and voluntary entry into a public controversy
§ 6:40	Involuntary public figures and the <i>Gertz</i> dicta
§ 6:41	The involuntary public figure concept is theoretically unsound
§ 6:42	Imputing voluntary entry
§ 6:43	Responding to an attack is not voluntary entry
§ 6:44	Lower court decisions on involuntary entry
§ 6:45	Mere family kinship to a public figure should not automatically be deemed voluntary entry into a public controversy
§ 6:46	<i>New York Times v. Sullivan</i> : bringing the First Amendment to bear on defamation law— <i>Gertz v. Robert Welch</i> : the public figure/private figure

- dichotomy—Public or private status question of law for the court
- § 6:47 — —Access to channels of communication as a factor in determining public figure status
- § 6:48 — —The effect of the passage of time on public figure status
- § 6:49 — —Corporate plaintiffs
- § 6:50 — —The definition of “public official”
- § 6:51 — —Procedures for determining a plaintiff’s public or private status
- § 6:52 —Summary of constitutional fault rules in modern defamation law
- § 6:53 —Resistance to creating a media/nonmedia distinction in defamation law
- § 6:54 —Plaintiff bears burden of proof in establishing fault
- § 6:55 —Convincing clarity standard
- § 6:56 —States that have adopted actual malice in private figure cases involving matters of public concern
- § 6:57 —New York’s unique “gross irresponsibility” standard
- § 6:58 —Proving the existence of actual malice
- § 6:59 —Reliance on third-party sources, such as wire services
- § 6:60 —Anonymous or confidential sources
- § 6:61 Actual malice—Actual malice and the role of lack of journalistic balance
- § 6:62 —Actual malice and the role of omissions of material
- § 6:63 —Actual malice and the role of a preconceived story line
- § 6:64 —Actual malice and the role of unresolved doubt regarding truth or falsity
- § 6:65 —Actual malice and the presence or absence of deadline pressure
- § 6:66 —Actual malice and the impact of providing or not providing a retraction
- § 6:67 —Actual malice and errors in language or terminology
- § 6:68 —Actual malice and ill-will or animosity as evidence probative of actual malice
- § 6:69 —Actual malice and letters to the editor
- § 6:70 Proving negligence in private-figure defamation cases
- § 6:71 —Negligence through unreasonable reliance on sources
- § 6:72 —Negligence in the drawing of unreasonable or unbalanced conclusions
- § 6:73 —Negligence through misquotation
- § 6:74 —Negligence through misuse of language or terminology
- § 6:75 —Negligence in fact-checking or proofreading or failing to follow internal rules

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 6:76	—Negligence and the presence or absence of deadline pressure
§ 6:77	—Defamation fault and vicarious liability
§ 6:78	Common-law defamation privileges
§ 6:79	—The consent defense in defamation
§ 6:80	—The absolute privilege for statements made in judicial proceedings
§ 6:81	—Immunity for members of Congress under the “Speech and Debate” Clause
§ 6:82	—The scope of immunity under the Speech or Debate Clause
§ 6:83	—Legislator immunity under state constitutional provisions
§ 6:84	—Immunity for executive and administrative officials
§ 6:85	—Privileges for communications required by law or to government agencies to assist in the performance of duties
§ 6:86	—Conditional common-law “interest” privileges
§ 6:87	—Defeasance of a common-law privilege
§ 6:88	—The “fair reports” privilege for fair and accurate reports of official proceedings
§ 6:89	Defamation remedies
§ 6:90	—Nominal damages
§ 6:91	—Compensatory, general, and actual damages
§ 6:92	— —Presumed damages
§ 6:93	— —Actual damages
§ 6:94	— —Special damages
§ 6:95	—Punitive damages
§ 6:96	—Factors in aggravation and mitigation of damages
§ 6:97	—The libel-proof plaintiff
§ 6:98	—Prior publication as a factor in assessing damages
§ 6:99	—Judicial review of damages awards
§ 6:100	—Retraction remedies
§ 6:101	—Equitable relief—Injunctions and prior restraints in defamation actions
§ 6:102	—Declaratory judgments in defamation actions
§ 6:103	—SLAPP suits and anti-SLAPP legislation

Volume 2

CHAPTER 7. INVASION OF PRIVACY

§ 7:1	Overview of invasion of privacy torts
§ 7:2	The four subdivisions of the tort law of privacy
§ 7:3	—“Right of publicity” and “appropriation”
§ 7:4	— —Prior restraints in appropriation and right of publicity cases

- § 7:5 —False light invasion of privacy
- § 7:6 — —False light invasion of privacy and works of fiction
- § 7:7 — —States that have rejected the false light tort
- § 7:8 —“Intrusion” as an invasion of privacy tort
- § 7:9 — —Paparazzi activities and the tort of intrusion
- § 7:10 —The privacy tort “publication of private facts”
- § 7:11 — —First Amendment limitations on the private facts tort—The “newsworthiness” defense
- § 7:12 — —First Amendment protection for publication of public records and the private facts tort
- § 7:13 — —The impact of the passage of time on the private facts tort
- § 7:14 Invasion of privacy and surreptitious newsgathering
- § 7:15 Trafficking in illegally obtained private material—The *Bartnicki v. Vopper* decision
- § 7:16 —Elaborations on the *Bartnicki* principle

CHAPTER 8. INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- § 8:1 The emotional distress torts
- § 8:2 Intentional infliction of emotional distress, or “outrage”
- § 8:3 Extreme and outrageous conduct as an element of intentional infliction of emotional distress
- § 8:4 The severe emotional distress requirement
- § 8:5 First Amendment restraints on emotional distress; *Hustler v. Falwell*
- § 8:6 Private figure cases
- § 8:7 Negligent infliction of emotional distress

CHAPTER 9. INJURIOUS FALSEHOOD AND TRADE LIBEL

- § 9:1 Injurious falsehood: elements of the cause of action
- § 9:2 The falsity element for injurious falsehood
- § 9:3 Injury to pecuniary interests
- § 9:4 Fault requirements for injurious falsehood

CHAPTER 10. LIABILITY FOR VIOLENCE AND PHYSICAL HARMS

- § 10:1 Alleged inducement of self-destructive behavior
- § 10:2 Violent material and children
- § 10:3 Liability for publication of criminal solicitations
- § 10:4 Aiding and abetting criminal activity
- § 10:5 Liability for violent films
- § 10:6 Reality shows and “surprise television”

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 10:7 Actual violence recorded

CHAPTER 11. FALSE ADVERTISING AND COMMERCIAL SPEECH

- § 11:1 False advertising
- § 11:2 —The core concept of “materiality” in false advertising
- § 11:3 —The concept of “proportionality”
- § 11:4 The emergence of commercial speech protections against the larger backdrop of First Amendment doctrine and theory
- § 11:5 —The emergence of First Amendment protection for advertising and commercial speech
- § 11:6 Corporate speech and the *Citizens United* decision
- § 11:7 The marketplace of ideas meets the marketplace for goods and services
- § 11:8 Commercial speech and the Supreme Court’s assault on paternalism
- § 11:9 The *Central Hudson* test
- § 11:10 —*Central Hudson* prong one—Speech must be about lawful activity
- § 11:11 — —Speech must not be “misleading”
- § 11:12 —*Central Hudson* prong two: substantial government interests
- § 11:13 —*Central Hudson* prong three: direct and material advancement
- § 11:14 —Central Hudson prong four: narrow tailoring
- § 11:15 The steady expansion of commercial speech protection
- § 11:16 The government bears the burden of proof
- § 11:17 The role of empirical data in commercial speech regulation
- § 11:18 The prohibition against discrimination against commercial speech for reasons unrelated to its commercial character
- § 11:19 Arguments to expand commercial speech protection beyond *Central Hudson*
- § 11:20 The *Bates* decision and advertising by professionals
- § 11:21 —Subsequent professional advertising decisions

CHAPTER 12. HATE SPEECH AND CIVIL RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT

- § 12:1 Hate speech
- § 12:2 *R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul*
- § 12:3 *Virginia v. Black*
- § 12:4 Hate speech and threats on the Internet

CHAPTER 13. OBSCENITY AND PORNOGRAPHY

- § 13:1 The roots of modern obscenity law
- § 13:2 The standard established in *Regina v. Hicklin*
- § 13:3 The decision in *Roth v. United States*
- § 13:4 The *Ginzburg* decision and the “pandering” doctrine
- § 13:5 The test established in *Miller v. California*
- § 13:6 —The prurient interest requirement
- § 13:7 — —Judging prurient interest by community standards
- § 13:8 —The “patently offensive” requirement
- § 13:9 —The “serious redeeming value” test
- § 13:10 — —Serious redeeming value judged under a national standard
- § 13:11 Regulation of dial-a-porn
- § 13:12 Private possession of obscene material
- § 13:13 Child pornography
- § 13:14 —Private possession of child pornography may be prohibited
- § 13:15 —Virtual child pornography
- § 13:16 —Pandering or soliciting child pornography, real or simulated
- § 13:17 Obscenity and the Internet
- § 13:18 Revenge porn

CHAPTER 14. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

I. COPYRIGHT

- § 14:1 The Constitution’s Copyright Clause
- § 14:2 Duration of copyright protection
- § 14:3 —Extension of copyright duration in *Eldred v. Ashcroft*
- § 14:4 Subject matter of copyright: what may be copyrighted
- § 14:5 Copyright and “fixation” and “works of authorship”
- § 14:6 Copyright’s “originality” requirement
- § 14:7 Copyright and the First Amendment
- § 14:8 Copyright’s dichotomy between protection of ideas and expression
- § 14:9 The bundle of rights protected by copyright
- § 14:10 Copyright and computer programs
- § 14:11 Copyright and file sharing under the *Grokster* decision
- § 14:12 The fair use defense in copyright
- § 14:13 Parody and the fair use defense in copyright
- § 14:14 Injunctions in Copyright Cases

TABLE OF CONTENTS

II. PATENTS

- § 14:15 Patent requirements
- § 14:16 Patent novelty and application process
- § 14:17 The patent requirement of nonobvious subject matter

III. TRADEMARKS

- § 14:18 Trademark protection under the Lanham Act
- § 14:19 Trademark protection and confusion, mistake, or deception
- § 14:20 Trademarks that may be registered under the Lanham Act
- § 14:21 Trademark and distinctiveness
- § 14:22 Generic trademarks may not be registered
- § 14:23 “Descriptive marks” and “secondary meaning”
- § 14:24 Inherently distinctive marks that are suggestive, arbitrary, or fanciful
- § 14:25 Lanham Act protection for “trade dress”
- § 14:26 Trade dress that is inherently distinctive
- § 14:27 Trade dress protection for product design requires secondary meaning
- § 14:28 Trademark and “passing off,” “palming off,” and “reverse passing off”
- § 14:29 Lanham Act protection against dilution of “famous marks”
- § 14:30 Trade dress and functionality
- § 14:31 The Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act

IV. MORAL RIGHTS AND OTHER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ISSUES

- § 14:32 “Moral rights” or “droit moral”
- § 14:33 —The Visual Artists Rights Act of 1990
- § 14:34 —The moral right of attribution
- § 14:35 —The moral right of integrity
- § 14:36 The “law of ideas”
- § 14:37 Non-disclosure agreements and other contracts restricting expression

CHAPTER 15. DISCOVERY AND CONFIDENTIAL SOURCES

- § 15:1 Inquiry into editorial process
- § 15:2 Confidential sources
- § 15:3 The Supreme Court rejects a First Amendment privilege in *Branzburg v. Hayes*
- § 15:4 State shield laws
- § 15:5 Sanctions for failure to reveal sources

- § 15:6 Protective orders and third-party discovery
- § 15:7 Breach of contract for revealing sources
- § 15:8 Inducing sources to break confidences and tortious interference with contract
- § 15:9 Discovery in SLAPP motions

CHAPTER 16. LITIGATION MATTERS

- § 16:1 Subject-matter jurisdiction
- § 16:2 Personal (in personam) jurisdiction
- § 16:3 Choice of law issues
- § 16:4 Enforcement of foreign judgments in American courts
- § 16:5 —Foreign judgments and the Internet; the Australian *Gutnick* litigation
- § 16:6 Removal, transfer, and forum non conveniens
- § 16:7 Pleading considerations
- § 16:8 Summary judgment practice
- § 16:9 —Summary judgment and SLAPP cases
- § 16:10 —Summary judgment practice in negligence standard cases
- § 16:11 Directed verdicts and j.n.o.v.s
- § 16:12 Appeals and the doctrine of independent judicial review
- § 16:13 The distinction between facial and as-applied attacks on statutes
- § 16:14 Restrictions on internet and other media sites dealing with ongoing litigation

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index