CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

- § 1:1 Confessions and admissions
- § 1:2 Why are admissions and confessions excluded from evidence?
- § 1:3 Confessions that violate evidentiary rules
- § 1:4 Statements that are inadmissible because they were obtained in violation of a statute
- § 1:5 Constitutional objections
- § 1:6 Court supervisory power
- § 1:7 Prosecutorial misconduct
- § 1:8 State statutory and constitutional rules

CHAPTER 2. VOLUNTARINESS

- $\S 2:1$ The *Brown* case
- § 2:2 Common-law voluntariness (trustworthiness)
- § 2:3 Self-incrimination
- § 2:4 Due process
- § 2:5 Early due process cases after Brown
- § 2:6 The totality of the circumstances test
- § 2:7 Truth or falsity of the confession
- § 2:8 The state action requirement—Colorado v. Connelly
- § 2:9 Physical abuse
- § 2:10 Psychological coercion
- § 2:11 Tricks, fraud, and deceit
- $\S~2:12$ Ordinary threats and promises—Bram and Fulminante
- § 2:13 Promises of immunity
- § 2:14 Threats and promises directed at the defendant's domestic partner, family members, or children
- § 2:15 Defendant worn down by lack of food, sleep, or lengthy interrogation
- § 2:16 Drug and alcohol intoxication
- § 2:17 Physical discomfort or injury
- § 2:18 Youth, lack of education, and subnormal intelligence
- § 2:19 Polygraphs and Computer Voice Stress Analysis (CVSA)
- § 2:20 Civil liability for coercing statements
- § 2:21 Involuntary confessions as harmless error

CHAPTER 3. SELF-INCRIMINATION AND IMMUNITY

§ 3:1	The Self-Incrimination Clause of the Fifth Amendment
§ 3:2	The trial of Aaron Burr
§ 3:3	The "substantial and real hazard of incrimination" requirement
§ 3:4	Fear of subsequent prosecution by other authorities (separate sovereigns)
§ 3:5	Raise or waive
§ 3:6	Testimonial waiver and the assertion of the Fifth Amendment during cross-examination
§ 3:7	A defendant's courtroom statement explaining improperly admitted evidence
§ 3:8	The Garrity exception
§ 3:9	Collateral consequences
§ 3:10	Disbarment
§ 3:11	Third person and family assertions
§ 3:12	Corporations and collective entities
§ 3:13	Act-of-production immunity for sole proprietorships
§ 3:14	The "foregone conclusion" exception and compelling the production of computer or "smartphone" passwords
§ 3:15	Availability of the privilege at sentencing, during appeals, and at other stages of the proceedings
§ 3:16	Forfeiture, RICO, contempt, and other quasi-criminal proceedings
§ 3:17	Civil proceedings
§ 3:18	Stays
§ 3:19	Interlocutory review without a contempt sanction—The <i>Perlman</i> doctrine
§ 3:20	Required records
§ 3:21	Compelling incriminating registrations and reports
§ 3:22	Parole, probation, prisoner, sex offender, and clemency programs—Failure to raise
§ 3:23	—Invocation cases
§ 3:24	Exemplars and sobriety tests
§ 3:25	Transactional and use immunity
§ 3:26	Substantial versus superficial connection
§ 3:27	Derivative use immunity
§ 3:28	Evidentiary and non-evidentiary use of immunized testimony
§ 3:29	Immunity for defense witnesses
§ 3:30	Informal and letter immunity
§ 3:31	Formal immunity
§ 3:32	Proffer letters and Rule 11 immunity

- § 3:33 Prospective immunity
- § 3:34 Use of immunized testimony in perjury prosecutions
- § 3:35 Advising clients

CHAPTER 4. THE MIRANDA RULE

- § 4:1 A right to counsel in the interrogation room
- § 4:2 The interrogation of Ernesto Miranda
- § 4:3 Incorporation of the Fifth Amendment
- § 4:4 The Miranda decision
- § 4:5 Prophylactic or constitutional rule?
- § 4:6 Civil liability

CHAPTER 5. CUSTODY

- § 5:1 Custody as defined in the original *Miranda* decision
- § 5:2 Early Supreme Court custody decisions
- § 5:3 The modern *Miranda* custody test
- § 5:4 Effect of telling suspects they are free to leave or not under arrest
- § 5:5 Handcuffs
- § 5:6 Youthful defendants
- § 5:7 Questioning in the suspect's home
- § 5:8 Questioning in the police station
- § 5:9 Parole and probation interviews
- § 5:10 Roadside traffic investigations
- § 5:11 On-the-scene questions and *Terry* stops
- § 5:12 Search and arrest warrants
- § 5:13 Custody in jails and prisons
- § 5:14 Questioning in police vehicles
- § 5:15 Suspect's place of employment
- § 5:16 Hospital interviews
- § 5:17 Border and airport interrogations

CHAPTER 6. INTERROGATION

- § 6:1 Interrogation in the *Miranda* decision
- § 6:2 The Sixth Amendment definition of interrogation
- § 6:3 Miranda interrogation—Rhode Island v. Innis
- § 6:4 Volunteered statements
- § 6:5 Police eavesdropping
- § 6:6 Express questions where the police have no intent to elicit statements
- § 6:7 Intentional attempts to elicit admissions without asking express questions under circumstances where a response by the defendant would be unlikely

§ 6:8 Police responses to questions posed by the suspect § 6:9 Confronting the suspect with evidence § 6:10 Searches and warrants § 6:11 Informing suspect of charge, administering rights § 6:12 Requesting consent to search, or for digital device passcodes § 6:13 Comments to third parties or groups § 6:14 General conversation § 6:15 Routine booking questions Threshold and res gestae questions § 6:16 § 6:17 The rescue doctrine Public safety—New York v. Quarles § 6:18

CHAPTER 7. WAIVER OF MIRANDA RIGHTS

ILI	1115
§ 7:	The Miranda guidelines for waiver
§ 7:2	Burden of proof
§ 7:3	Implied waiver
§ 7:4	Knowing and intelligent waiver
§ 7:	Proof of a knowing and intelligent waiver—Asking the suspect if he or she understands the rights
§ 7:6	Knowing and intelligent waiver—Knowledge of the nature of the charge
§ 7:	—Advising the suspect that an attorney has been hired by third persons
§ 7:8	Voluntary waiver
§ 7:9	Precise language of the warnings
§ 7:	Suspects who do not want or need warnings
§ 7:	Suspects who are not indigent
§ 7:	Extra "Miranda" rights
§ 7:	Repeating the warnings
§ 7:	Waivers by defendants who don't speak English
§ 7:	English/Spanish Miranda warnings
§ 7:	Waivers by juveniles
§ 7:	Juvenile Miranda rights
§ 7:	Invoking the right to remain silent— <i>Michigan v. Mosley</i>
§ 7:	Invoking the right to counsel—Edwards v. Arizona
§ 7:2	Requests for family members and probation officers
§ 7:2	Ambiguous invocations and clarifying questions
§ 7:2	Clarifying unequivocal invocations
§ 7:2	Refusal to sign the Miranda card
§ 7:5	Refusal to put a statement in writing or on tape

§ 7:25 Selective invocations and waivers Anticipatory invocations—Suspect not in custody or § 7:26 being questioned § 7:27 Requests for counsel at arraignment § 7:28 Offense specificity and officer knowledge of *Edwards* invocations § 7:29 Effect of letting the arrestee contact an attorney after the assertion of the right to counsel § 7:30 Break in custody § 7:31 Initiation

CHAPTER 8. RIGHT TO COUNSEL

- § 8:1 More than one right to counsel
- § 8:2 The right to counsel under the Fourteenth Amendment
- § 8:3 Attachment of the Sixth Amendment right to counsel
- § 8:4 The critical stage requirement
- § 8:5 Massiah and Escobedo
- § 8:6 Escobedo in eclipse
- § 8:7 Suspects who have retained counsel
- § 8:8 Offense specificity
- § 8:9 Offense specificity and closely related charges
- § 8:10 Waiving the Sixth Amendment right to counsel
- § 8:11 Requesting counsel at arraignment—The subsequently overruled *Jackson* rule
- § 8:12 The Sixth Amendment definition of interrogation
- § 8:13 Covert interrogation
- § 8:14 Statements made on advice of counsel
- § 8:15 The New York rule and other special state rules
- § 8:16 Ethical considerations

CHAPTER 9. USE OF A DEFENDANT'S SILENCE

- § 9:1 Non-constitutional objections to the use of a defendant's silence
- $\S~9:2$ Due process objections to the use of a defendant's silence—The Doyle rule
- § 9:3 The Fifth Amendment right to remain silent
- § 9:4 Impeachment with pre-arrest silence
- § 9:5 Impeachment with post-arrest silence
- § 9:6 Affirmative use of the defendant's silence

CHAPTER 10. FRUIT OF THE POISONOUS TREE

- § 10:1 Confessions that are the fruit of earlier involuntary confessions
- § 10:2 Confessions that are the fruit of Fourth Amendment violations—Brown v. Illinois
- $\$ 10:3 Physical evidence discovered as a result of Miranda violations
- § 10:4 Impeachment with statements taken after *Miranda* violations
- § 10:5 Statements taken after intentional *Miranda* violations ("two-step interrogations")
- § 10:6 New-crime exception to the Miranda exclusionary rule
- § 10:7 Statements that are the fruit of speedy arraignment and consular notification violations

CHAPTER 11. IMPEACHMENT WITH TAINTED CONFESSIONS

- § 11:1 Impeachment with illegally obtained evidence
- § 11:2 Impeachment with Miranda-tainted statements
- § 11:3 Impeachment with statements taken after the defendant has invoked *Miranda* rights
- § 11:4 Impeachment with involuntary statements
- § 11:5 Impeachment with compelled statements
- § 11:6 Statements made during failed plea bargains
- § 11:7 Impeachment with statements taken in violation of the Sixth Amendment right to counsel
- § 11:8 Impeachment with statements made to pretrial services officers
- § 11:9 Impeachment with statements made during pretrial suppression hearings
- § 11:10 Impeachment with a defendant's silence

CHAPTER 12. INDEPENDENT STATE GROUNDS FOR REGULATING INTERROGATIONS

- § 12:1 The new judicial federalism
- § 12:2 The California "truth-in-evidence" act
- § 12:3 Restrictions on federal court review of state decisions
- § 12:4 State voluntariness rules
- § 12:5 State self-incrimination and immunity rules
- § 12:6 State *Miranda* custody definitions

§ 12:15

§ 12:7 State Miranda rules for juveniles § 12:8 State Miranda waiver rules § 12:9 State right to counsel rules § 12:10 State rules regarding the use of a defendant's silence § 12:11 State fruit of the poisonous tree rules § 12:12 State rules regarding impeachment with tainted confessions State rules regarding the applicability of the Miranda § 12:13 rule to interrogators who are not law enforcement officers § 12:14 Requiring police to electronically record confessions

CHAPTER 13. CORROBORATING CONFESSIONS

State speedy arraignment rules

- § 13:1 Two different corroboration tests
- § 13:2 Independent proof of the corpus delicti aliunde
- § 13:3 The federal corroboration rule (*Opper* rule)

CHAPTER 14. INTERROGATION BY PERSONS WHO ARE NOT LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS

- § 14:1 Evidentiary objections to statements obtained by private citizens
- § 14:2 Private citizens as government agents
- § 14:3 State licensing laws
- § 14:4 School officials, juvenile counselors, and social workers
- § 14:5 Parole and probation officers
- § 14:6 Jail guards and transport deputies
- § 14:7 Jailhouse police informants
- § 14:8 Off duty or retired police officers
- § 14:9 Interrogation in foreign countries
- § 14:10 Interpreters
- § 14:11 Parallel proceedings
- § 14:12 Military personnel
- § 14:13 *Miranda* in the courtroom
- § 14:14 *Miranda* in the grand jury room
- § 14:15 Sample target letter to a putative defendant
- § 14:16 Pre-sentence investigations
- § 14:17 Confessions obtained by psychiatrists
- § 14:18 Psychotherapist-patient privilege

CHAPTER 15. SPEEDY ARRAIGNMENT AND CONSULAR NOTIFICATION

- § 15:1 The McNabb-Mallory rule
- § 15:2 Effect of the Omnibus Crime Act of 1968 on the McNabb-Mallory rule—The Corley rule
- § 15:3 State speedy arraignment rules
- § 15:4 Statements taken in violation of the *Riverside v.* "*McLaughlin* forty-eight-hour rule"
- § 15:5 Delayed arraignments of juveniles
- § 15:6 The Vienna Convention on Consular Relations and the Optional Protocol
- \S 15:7 Motions to suppress evidence obtained in violation of the VCCR
- § 15:8 Enforceable rights under the VCCR

CHAPTER 16. CODEFENDANT STATEMENTS

- § 16:1 Joinder of defendants and the Confrontation Clause
- § 16:2 The Bruton case
- § 16:3 Interlocking confessions
- § 16:4 Redacted confessions
- § 16:5 Codefendant statements that are admissible against the defendant under normal evidentiary rules
- § 16:6 Codefendant statements that may be admissible under ordinary evidence rules, but violate the Sixth Amendment because they are testimonial
- § 16:7 Bruton and the testifying codefendant
- § 16:8 Bench trials
- § 16:9 Dual juries
- § 16:10 Bruton and harmless error
- § 16:11 Tactical considerations for the prosecutor
- § 16:12 Tactical considerations for the defense

CHAPTER 17. ATTORNEY ETHICS RULES

- § 17:1 State ethics rules
- § 17:2 Rule 4.2 and related constitutional or supervisory grounds
- § 17:3 Alter ego
- § 17:4 Covert contacts with represented suspects during the investigative stage
- § 17:5 Overt contacts with represented defendants
- § 17:6 Overt contacts with employees of target business organizations

- § 17:7 Offense specificity (subject of the representation)
- § 17:8 Dishonest conduct

CHAPTER 18. HEARINGS ON ADMISSIBILITY

§	18:1	The role of judge and jury in determining voluntariness— <i>Jackson v. Denno</i> hearings
Ş	18:2	Waiving Jackson v. Denno hearings
§	18:3	Burden of proof
§	18:4	Hearings on Miranda issues
§	18:5	Harmless error
§	18:6	Rules of evidence and relevancy at <i>Jackson v. Denno</i> hearings
§	18:7	Rule of completeness
§	18:8	Testimony that a polygraph examination was administered
§	18:9	False confession experts
§	18:10	Advantages and disadvantages of holding Jackson v. Denno hearings before the trial
§	18:11	The prosecutor's case
§	18:12	Prosecutor's checklist
§	18:13	The defense case
§	18:14	Defense attorney's checklist

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index