

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. OVERVIEW OF MOTION IN LIMINE LAW

I. OVERVIEW

A. IN LIMINE LAW AND PROCEDURE

- § 1:1 Description and purpose of motion
- § 1:2 Distinctions
- § 1:3 Authority for motion
- § 1:4 Typical use of motion
- § 1:5 —Limitations on use
- § 1:6 —Preservation of objections
- § 1:7 —Evidence exclusion on court's own motion
- § 1:8 Inappropriate use
- § 1:9 Timing of motion
- § 1:10 Scope of motion
- § 1:11 Procedural requirements
- § 1:12 —Caution regarding local rules
- § 1:13 Court record of ruling
- § 1:14 Objections
- § 1:15 Appellate review

B. DRAFTING SUGGESTIONS

- § 1:16 Overview
- § 1:17 —File motions supported by facts
- § 1:18 —Be succinct
- § 1:19 —Read *Kelly v. New West Federal Savings*!

II. SAMPLES

- § 1:20 Sample in limine brief
- § 1:21 Sample in limine order

CHAPTER 2. PREJUDICIAL EVIDENCE

I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE PREJUDICIAL EVIDENCE, GENERALLY

- § 2:1 Suggested motion text
- § 2:2 Motion summary

- § 2:3 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence
- § 2:4 — —Artists’ creative expression
- § 2:5 —Prejudice defined
- § 2:6 —“Emotional bias”
- § 2:7 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 2:8 —Broad discretion
- § 2:9 —Judicial Notice
- § 2:10 —Emotional bias
- § 2:11 Appellate review

**B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE THAT
WILL WASTE COURT’S TIME**

- § 2:12 Suggested motion text
- § 2:13 Motion summary
- § 2:14 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of time-wasting evidence
- § 2:15 Opposing authorities

**C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE CONFUSING OR
MISLEADING EVIDENCE**

- § 2:16 Suggested motion text
- § 2:17 Motion summary
- § 2:18 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of confusing or misleading evidence
- § 2:19 Opposing authorities
- § 2:20 —Limiting instruction

**D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE USED
TO CREATE AN EMOTIONAL BIAS**

- § 2:21 Suggested motion text
- § 2:22 Motion summary
- § 2:23 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 2:24 —Exclusion of evidence intended to inflame jurors’ emotions
- § 2:25 — —Jurors’ self interest as taxpayers
- § 2:26 — —“Golden rule” argument
- § 2:27 — —Evidence of poverty as motive
- § 2:28 Opposing authorities

**E. MOTION TO EXCLUDE OR LIMIT
CUMULATIVE EVIDENCE**

- § 2:29 Suggested motion text
- § 2:30 Motion summary

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 2:31 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of time-wasting evidence
- § 2:32 —Exclusion of cumulative evidence
- § 2:33 — —Articles and letters
- § 2:34 — —Credentials
- § 2:35 — —Number of witnesses
- § 2:36 — —Photographs
- § 2:37 — —Reports
- § 2:38 — —Witness testimony
- § 2:39 — —Videotape evidence
- § 2:40 —Repetitive testimony
- § 2:41 —Rebuttal testimony
- § 2:42 Opposing authorities—General authority
- § 2:43 —Number of witnesses
- § 2:44 —Videotape evidence
- § 2:45 —Repetition of testimony
- § 2:46 —Photographs

II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 2:47 Motion to exclude confusing evidence
- § 2:48 Motion to exclude cumulative evidence
- § 2:49 Motion to exclude evidence that will confuse jury
- § 2:50 Motion to exclude evidence of defendant's poverty
- § 2:51 Opposition to motion to exclude cumulative witness testimony
- § 2:52 Opposition to motion to exclude evidence that will confuse the jury

CHAPTER 3. IRRELEVANT EVIDENCE

I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IRRELEVANT EVIDENCE

- § 3:1 Suggested motion text
- § 3:2 Motion summary
- § 3:3 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 3:4 — —Matters not in dispute
- § 3:5 — —No unlimited inquiry
- § 3:6 — —Other states' laws
- § 3:7 — —Outside pleadings
- § 3:8 — —Prejudicial
- § 3:9 — —Speculative evidence
- § 3:10 — —Court's discretion
- § 3:11 — —Too remote
- § 3:12 Industry custom and practice

§ 3:13 Opposing authorities

**B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF
MATTERS NOT IN CONTROVERSY**

§ 3:14 Suggested motion text

§ 3:15 Motion summary

§ 3:16 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of irrelevant
evidence, generally

§ 3:17 — —Admitted or uncontroverted matters

§ 3:18 — —Collateral issues

§ 3:19 — —Unpleaded issues

§ 3:20 Opposing authorities

§ 3:21 —Collateral issues

§ 3:22 —Estoppel: Unpleaded issues

§ 3:23 —Where relevant to other issues

§ 3:24 —Admissibility of evidence despite uncontested
issue

II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

§ 3:25 Motion to exclude irrelevant evidence
(immigration status)

§ 3:26 Motion to exclude evidence of physical conditions
not at issue

§ 3:27 Motion to exclude irrelevant evidence (insurance
case)

§ 3:28 Motion to exclude collateral and irrelevant
evidence (drones)

**CHAPTER 4. WRITINGS & PHYSICAL
EVIDENCE**

I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

**A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE
LACKING FOUNDATION**

§ 4:1 Suggested motion text

§ 4:2 Motion summary

§ 4:3 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial
evidence, generally

§ 4:4 —Preliminary facts—Definitions

§ 4:5 — —Determination out of jury presence

§ 4:6 — —Inadmissibility of evidence

§ 4:7 — —Other preliminary fact determinations

§ 4:8 —Improper foundation, generally

§ 4:9 — —Photographs

§ 4:10 — —Tape recordings

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:11 — —Tests and experiments
- § 4:12 — —Videotapes and motion pictures
- § 4:13 — —Witness testimony—Experts
- § 4:14 — — —Lay
- § 4:15 — —Writings
- § 4:16 — —Medical reports
- § 4:17 — —Objects or things
- § 4:18 — —Letters
- § 4:19 Opposing authorities—General
- § 4:20 —Conditional admissibility
- § 4:21 —Outside juror’s presence
- § 4:22 —Weight vs. admissibility

B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE WRITINGS, GENERALLY

- § 4:23 Suggested motion text
- § 4:24 Motion summary
- § 4:25 Supporting authorities—“Writing” defined
- § 4:26 — —Inadequate foundation
- § 4:27 —Unauthenticated writings—General authentication requirement
- § 4:28 — —Exclusion of unauthenticated writings
- § 4:29 —Inadmissible hearsay—Generally
- § 4:30 — —Examples of inadmissible written hearsay
- § 4:31 —Inadmissible secondary evidence
- § 4:32 —Examples of writings held inadmissible
- § 4:33 Opposing authorities—Authentication
- § 4:34 — —Adequate foundations—Examples
- § 4:35 —Hearsay—Hearsay exceptions, generally
- § 4:36 —Secondary evidence
- § 4:37 —Lists

C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE GRUESOME OR INFLAMMATORY PHOTOGRAPHS

- § 4:38 Suggested motion text
- § 4:39 Motion summary
- § 4:40 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 4:41 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 4:42 —Gruesome or inflammatory photographs
- § 4:43 —Purpose to inflame jurors’ emotions
- § 4:44 —Exclusion of photographs, generally
- § 4:45 —X-rays
- § 4:46 —Accident scene photographs, generally
- § 4:47 —Suggestive photographs
- § 4:48 —Other grounds

- § 4:49 Opposing authorities—Photographs, generally
- § 4:50 — —Adequate foundation
- § 4:51 — —Enlarged photographs
- § 4:52 —Gruesome photographs
- § 4:53 —X-rays
- § 4:54 —Accident scene photographs
- § 4:55 —Less discretion to exclude at penalty phase

D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE PREJUDICIAL FILM, VIDEOTAPE OR AUDIOTAPE

- § 4:56 Suggested motion text
- § 4:57 Motion summary
- § 4:58 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial
evidence, generally
- § 4:59 —Exclusion of videotape evidence
- § 4:60 —Exclusion of audiotape evidence, generally
- § 4:61 — —Remote audiotape evidence
- § 4:62 —Other grounds
- § 4:63 Opposing authorities—Videotape evidence,
generally
- § 4:64 — —Videotape evidence—Relevance
- § 4:65 — — —Cautionary admonition
- § 4:66 — — —Adequate foundation
- § 4:67 —Audiotape evidence, generally

E. MOTION TO EXCLUDE PUBLISHED ARTICLES

- § 4:68 Suggested motion text
- § 4:69 Motion summary
- § 4:70 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial
evidence, generally
- § 4:71 —Exclusion of published articles—Cumulative
- § 4:72 — —Hearsay
- § 4:73 — —Prejudicial
- § 4:74 — —Other grounds
- § 4:75 Opposition authorities—Where relevant to issues
- § 4:76 —Nonhearsay

F. MOTION TO EXCLUDE ACCIDENT REPORTS

- § 4:77 Suggested motion text
- § 4:78 Motion summary
- § 4:79 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial
evidence, generally
- § 4:80 —Exclusion based upon Vehicle Code § 20013
- § 4:81 —Inadmissible hearsay

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 4:82 —Statements and opinions in reports
- § 4:83 —Other grounds
- § 4:84 Opposing authorities—Report used to refresh recollection
- § 4:85 —Diagrams made at scene
- § 4:86 —Business records exception to hearsay rule
- § 4:87 —Public records exception to hearsay rule

G. MOTION TO EXCLUDE LETTERS, TEXTS, AND EMAILS

- § 4:88 Suggested motion text
- § 4:89 Motion summary
- § 4:90 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 4:91 —Exclusion of letters, texts, or emails—Generally
- § 4:92 — —Hearsay
- § 4:93 — —Irrelevant
- § 4:94 — —Cumulative
- § 4:95 — —Lack of foundation
- § 4:96 —Other grounds
- § 4:97 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 4:98 —Exceptions to hearsay rule
- § 4:99 — —Business records exception
- § 4:100 — —Public records exception
- § 4:101 —Relevant
- § 4:102 —Inconsistent statement

H. MOTION TO EXCLUDE MAPS, MODELS, CHARTS, EXHIBITS AND DEMONSTRATIVE EVIDENCE

- § 4:103 Suggested motion text
- § 4:104 Motion summary
- § 4:105 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 4:106 —Court's discretion
- § 4:107 —Exclusion of maps, models or charts
- § 4:108 —Exhibits
- § 4:109 —Demonstrations
- § 4:110 —Illustrations and diagrams
- § 4:111 —Other grounds
- § 4:112 Opposing authorities—Illustrative purposes
- § 4:113 —Where accuracy not disputed
- § 4:114 —Relevant to issues
- § 4:115 —Discretion of court
- § 4:116 —Lists
- § 4:117 —Computer databases

- § 4:118 —Maps, models or charts, generally
- § 4:119 —Diagrams and illustrations
- § 4:120 —Demonstrations
- § 4:121 — —Demonstration of injury
- § 4:122 —Mannequins

I. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER MEDICAL RECORDS, REPORTS OR BILLS

- § 4:123 Suggested motion text
- § 4:124 Motion summary
- § 4:125 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial matter, generally
- § 4:126 —Improper foundation, generally
- § 4:127 —Medical opinions—Improper hearsay evidence
- § 4:128 — —Opinions of others—Lack of personal knowledge
- § 4:129 —Patient medical history
- § 4:130 — —Improper hearsay
- § 4:131 —Billing records—Lack of foundation
- § 4:132 — — —Failure to pay medical bill
- § 4:133 —Other grounds
- § 4:134 Opposition citations—Proper authentication
- § 4:135 —Opinions of others—Opinion used in formation of diagnosis and treatment
- § 4:136 — —Business records exception
- § 4:137 —Patient history—Observations of physician
- § 4:138 — —Pertinent to medical diagnosis
- § 4:139 —Medical billing records—Reasonableness of charges
- § 4:140 — —Bill payment by plaintiff used to rebut malingering claim

J. MOTION TO EXCLUDE SOCIAL MEDIA EVIDENCE

- § 4:141 Suggested motion text
- § 4:142 Motion summary
- § 4:143 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 4:144 —Exclusion of social media evidence—Generally
- § 4:145 — —Hearsay
- § 4:146 — —Lack of foundation or authentication
- § 4:147 —Other grounds
- § 4:148 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 4:149 —Nonhearsay
- § 4:150 —Proper foundation or authentication

II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 4:151 Motion to exclude gruesome photographs

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 4:152	Motion to admit videotape evidence
§ 4:153	Motion to exclude unauthenticated video recording
§ 4:154	Motion to exclude demonstration
§ 4:155	Motion to exclude email letters
§ 4:156	Motion to exclude plaintiff's medical history
§ 4:157	Motion to exclude accident report
§ 4:158	Motion to exclude newspaper article
§ 4:159	Motion to exclude text messages
§ 4:160	Motion to exclude social media evidence
§ 4:161	Opposition to motion to exclude social media evidence
§ 4:162	Opposition to motion to exclude prejudicial photographs
§ 4:163	Motion to exclude map
§ 4:164	Opposition to motion to exclude text messages

CHAPTER 5. TESTS & SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTS, EXPERIMENTS AND RELATED TESTIMONY

§ 5:1	Suggested motion text
§ 5:2	Motion summary
§ 5:3	How to challenge
§ 5:4	Supporting authorities—Expert not qualified to testify on subject
§ 5:5	—Not generally accepted
§ 5:6	— <i>Morganti</i> decision
§ 5:7	—Not made under “substantially identical” conditions
§ 5:8	—Not reliable
§ 5:9	—Scientific procedures not proper
§ 5:10	—Speculative or conjectural
§ 5:11	—Too many variables
§ 5:12	—Too time-consuming / collateral issues
§ 5:13	—Lack of foundation
§ 5:14	—Other grounds
§ 5:15	Opposing authorities—Court's discretion
§ 5:16	—Identical conditions requirement
§ 5:17	—General acceptance—Published precedent
§ 5:18	— —Level of acceptance in scientific community
§ 5:19	—Weight vs. admissibility
§ 5:20	— —Careless testing

- § 5:21 —Outside realm of *Kelly* requirements
- § 5:22 —Opinion based on legitimate matters

B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE JUNK SCIENCE AND RELATED EVIDENCE

- § 5:23 Suggested motion text
- § 5:24 Motion summary
- § 5:25 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of new scientific evidence—Generally
- § 5:26 —Hedonic damage evidence
- § 5:27 —“Truth-serum” evidence
- § 5:28 —Polygraph evidence
- § 5:29 — —Willingness or refusal to take polygraph test
- § 5:30 —Psychological tests and syndromes—In general
- § 5:31 — —Rape trauma syndrome
- § 5:32 — —Child molest syndrome/child sexual abuse accommodation syndrome
- § 5:33 — —Electronic penile plethysmograph test
- § 5:34 —Hypnosis evidence
- § 5:35 —Voiceprint identification
- § 5:36 —Accident reconstruction evidence
- § 5:37 —Biomechanic evidence
- § 5:38 — —Low-speed impact cases
- § 5:39 —Statistical evidence—Exclusion of confusing evidence
- § 5:40 — —Disapproved statistics evidence, generally
- § 5:41 — —Statistics in products liability or negligence cases
- § 5:42 — —Statistics in employment discrimination cases
- § 5:43 —Canine scent tracking unit (“STU”) test
- § 5:44 —Battered women’s syndrome
- § 5:45 —Toxic mold “blood serology test”
- § 5:46 Opposing authorities—In general
- § 5:47 — —Where *Kelly* test not required
- § 5:48 — —Approved tests
- § 5:49 —Hedonic damages
- § 5:50 —Truth serum
- § 5:51 —Polygraph evidence—Stipulation of parties
- § 5:52 — —Where used for investigative purposes
- § 5:53 —Psychological tests—Compare: Psychological tests vs. psychological opinion
- § 5:54 — —Rape trauma syndrome—Where not used to show rape occurred
- § 5:55 — —Child molest syndrome/child sexual abuse accommodation syndrome
- § 5:56 — —“Battered woman syndrome”
- § 5:57 —Hypnosis evidence—Hypnosis in criminal cases

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 5:58 — —Exceptions to inadmissibility
- § 5:59 — —Statistics evidence—General acceptance
- § 5:60 — —Weight vs. admissibility
- § 5:61 — —Compare: Psychological profiling
- § 5:62 — —Judicial notice
- § 5:63 — —Accident reconstruction and biomechanic evidence

II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 5:64 Motion to exclude evidence of statistical analysis
- § 5:65 Motion to exclude evidence of polygraph examination
- § 5:66 Motion to exclude evidence of hypnosis
- § 5:67 Motion to exclude expert testimony (credibility of witness)

CHAPTER 6. DISCOVERY MOTIONS

I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

A. MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS

- § 6:1 Suggested motion text
- § 6:2 Motion summary
- § 6:3 Supporting authorities—General authority [CCP § 2023.030]—Misuse of discovery process
- § 6:4 — —Evidence sanction
- § 6:5 — —Issue sanction
- § 6:6 — —Terminating sanction
- § 6:7 — —When prior order unnecessary
- § 6:8 — —Willfulness requirement
- § 6:9 — —Depositions [CCP §§ 2025.450, 2025.480]—Failure to comply with discovery order
- § 6:10 — —Evidence exclusion
- § 6:11 — —Harsher sanctions—Termination or default
- § 6:12 — —Interrogatories [CCP §§ 2030.290, 2030.300]—Failure to comply with discovery order
- § 6:13 — —Evidentiary sanctions
- § 6:14 — —Harsher sanctions—Dismissal
- § 6:15 — —Production requests [CCP §§ 2031.300, 2031.320]—Failure to comply with discovery order
- § 6:16 — —Evidentiary sanctions
- § 6:17 — —Issue sanctions
- § 6:18 — —Terminating sanctions
- § 6:19 — —Mental and physical examinations [§§ 2032.240, 2032.410, 2032.620, 2032.650]—Failure to comply with discovery order

- § 6:20 — —Evidentiary Sanction
- § 6:21 — —Issue Sanction
- § 6:22 — —Terminating sanctions
- § 6:23 — —Requests for admission [CCP §§ 2033.280,
2033.290]—Deeming non-responsive matters
admitted
- § 6:24 — —Failure to comply with discovery order [CCP
§ 2033.290]
- § 6:25 — —Terminating sanctions
- § 6:26 — —Failure to disclose identity of witnesses,
generally
- § 6:27 — —Compare: Faulty expert designations or
declarations
- § 6:28 — —Other grounds
- § 6:29 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 6:30 — —Sanction should be appropriate to level of
misconduct
- § 6:31 — —Reasonable alternative remedy
- § 6:32 — —Willfulness requirement
- § 6:33 — —Depositions
- § 6:34 — —Interrogatories
- § 6:35 — —Requests for production
- § 6:36 — —Matters deemed admitted
- § 6:37 — —No prior order

B. MOTION TO CONCLUSIVELY ESTABLISH ADMITTED MATTERS

- § 6:38 Suggested motion text
- § 6:39 Motion summary
- § 6:40 Supporting authorities—Conclusive establishment
of admitted matters [CCP § 2033.410]
- § 6:41 — —Purpose of discovery
- § 6:42 Opposing authorities

C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF CLAIMS DENIED DURING DISCOVERY

- § 6:43 Suggested motion text
- § 6:44 Motion summary
- § 6:45 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial
evidence, generally
- § 6:46 — —Unfair surprise
- § 6:47 — —Where privilege raised during discovery
- § 6:48 — —Other grounds
- § 6:49 Opposing authorities

D. MOTIONS RE IMPROPER EXPERT WITNESS EXCHANGE OR EXPERT DEPOSITION

- § 6:50 Suggested motion text

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 6:51	Motion summary
§ 6:52	Supporting authorities—Expert witness exchange [CCP § 2034.300, 2034.210]
§ 6:53	—Deficient declaration
§ 6:54	— —Retained experts—Declaration required
§ 6:55	— —Treating physicians (non-retained)—No declaration required
§ 6:56	—Undisclosed witness
§ 6:57	— —Must identify treating physicians
§ 6:58	—Opinions not referenced at deposition— “ <i>Kennemur</i> motion”
§ 6:59	—Improperly supplementing expert list [CCP § 2034.280]
§ 6:60	—Delay in designating experts
§ 6:61	Opposing authorities—Expert witness exchanges
§ 6:62	— —Continuance to depose expert
§ 6:63	— —Compare: Treating physicians
§ 6:64	—Opposition to <i>Kennemur</i> motion
§ 6:65	— —No obligation to volunteer information
§ 6:66	— —No effort to follow up by deposing party
§ 6:67	— —Precise compensation or prior testimony information
§ 6:68	— —Opportunity to re-depose experts

E. MOTION FOR DISCOVERY IN LIMITED CIVIL ACTIONS

§ 6:69	Motion for discovery in economic litigation for limited civil cases
--------	--

II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

§ 6:70	Motion to exclude evidence of claim denied during discovery
§ 6:71	Motion to exclude evidence produced beyond discovery deadline
§ 6:72	Opposition to defendants’ motion to limit plaintiff’s expert’s opinions (“ <i>Kennemur</i> motion”)
§ 6:73	Motion to exclude testimony of supplemental expert witness
§ 6:74	Motion to exclude evidence of medical bills for services obtained after [date]
§ 6:75	Motion to exclude testimony of undisclosed expert witness
§ 6:76	Motion to deem matters admitted
§ 6:77	Sample motion for discovery in economic litigation for limited civil cases
§ 6:78	Motion to exclude expert testimony relating to matters not disclosed during discovery

- § 6:79 Motion for order rendering default judgment as discovery sanction
- § 6:80 Motion for evidentiary sanctions for failure to serve answers/objections to interrogatories

CHAPTER 7. CHARACTER EVIDENCE

I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

A. OVERVIEW OF CHARACTER EVIDENCE

- § 7:1 Admissible character evidence
- § 7:2 Character evidence subject to exclusion
- § 7:3 Evidence Code limitations in criminal cases

B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE CHARACTER EVIDENCE USED FOR IMPEACHMENT

- § 7:4 Suggested motion text
- § 7:5 Motion summary
- § 7:6 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 7:7 —Exclusion of prejudicial evidence—Artists’ creative expression
- § 7:8 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 7:9 —Exclusion of improper impeachment evidence, generally
- § 7:10 — —Alcohol consumption
- § 7:11 — —Arrests or misdemeanor convictions
- § 7:12 — —Drug use
- § 7:13 — —Felony convictions
- § 7:14 — —Fraudulent acts
- § 7:15 — —Good character of witness
- § 7:16 — —Religious belief
- § 7:17 — —Sexual preference or behavior
- § 7:18 — —Collateral issues
- § 7:19 — —Excluding evidence of expert’s frequent prior testimony
- § 7:20 — —Excluding evidence of bias
- § 7:21 — —Witness with interest in outcome of case
- § 7:22 —Other grounds
- § 7:23 Opposing authorities—Facts at issue
- § 7:24 —Witness perceptions
- § 7:25 —Impeachment, generally
- § 7:26 — —Witness veracity
- § 7:27 — —Witness bias
- § 7:28 — —Collateral matters
- § 7:29 —Alcohol related offenses
- § 7:30 —Religious beliefs

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 7:31 —Good character—Opening the door doctrine
- § 7:32 —Interest of witness—Admissible where relevant to case
- § 7:33 — —Interest in results of litigation

C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE CHARACTER EVIDENCE USED TO PROVE CONDUCT

- § 7:34 Suggested motion text
- § 7:35 Motion summary
- § 7:36 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 7:37 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 7:38 —Exclusion of improper character evidence
- § 7:39 — —Care or skill in negligence cases
- § 7:40 — —Crimes evidence, generally
- § 7:41 —Exclusion of improper character evidence—pattern or practice
- § 7:42 —Other grounds
- § 7:43 Opposing authorities—Court’s discretion
- § 7:44 —Relevant to material issue
- § 7:45 — —Common scheme or plan
- § 7:46 —Witness impeachment
- § 7:47 —Trait at issue

D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE PRIOR CRIME EVIDENCE

- § 7:48 Suggested motion text
- § 7:49 Motion summary
- § 7:50 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 7:51 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 7:52 —Exclusion of crime evidence, generally
- § 7:53 —Prior felony
- § 7:54 — —Balancing prejudicial impact of evidence
- § 7:55 — —Must reflect on credibility
- § 7:56 — —Must be “moral turpitude” crime
- § 7:57 — —Improper character evidence
- § 7:58 — —Remote convictions
- § 7:59 —Prior arrest or misdemeanor conviction
- § 7:60 — —Negligent discharge of firearm
- § 7:61 —Prior criminal plea—Nolo contendere (non felony)
- § 7:62 —Forfeiture of bail
- § 7:63 —Uncharged offenses
- § 7:64 —Violent character
- § 7:65 —Collateral issues
- § 7:66 —Other grounds

- § 7:67 Opposing authorities—Impeachment expressly
allowed regarding felonies
- § 7:68 —Moral turpitude crimes
- § 7:69 —Remoteness of conviction
- § 7:70 — —Remoteness and failure to lead “legally
blameless life”
- § 7:71 — —Date of prior felony need not predate new
offense
- § 7:72 —Impeachment with prior qualifying conviction
(criminal cases)
- § 7:73 — —Impeachment with prior convictions—Civil
cases
- § 7:74 —Admissible plea evidence—Nolo contendere plea
- § 7:75 — —Guilty plea
- § 7:76 —Uncharged offenses—Weight vs. admissibility
- § 7:77 —Violent character
- § 7:78 — —Similarity of incidents (relevance)
- § 7:79 —Capital cases—Penalty phase
- § 7:80 —Compare: Underlying conduct of prior
misdemeanor

II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 7:81 Motion to exclude evidence of alcoholism of
percipient witness
- § 7:82 Motion to exclude evidence of prior felony
conviction
- § 7:83 Motion to exclude evidence of witness’ habit of
intemperance
- § 7:84 Motion to exclude improper character evidence
(marital infidelity)
- § 7:85 Motion to exclude evidence of religious beliefs
- § 7:86 Motion to exclude improper character evidence
(juvenile adjudication)
- § 7:87 Motion to exclude improper character evidence
(prescription drug abuse)
- § 7:88 Motion to exclude evidence of prior arrest of
witness
- § 7:89 Motion to exclude evidence regarding witness’s
mental health

CHAPTER 8. WITNESS EVIDENCE

I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER EXPERT OPINION

- § 8:1 Suggested motion text
- § 8:2 Motion summary

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 8:3	Supporting authorities—Exclusion of improper opinion, generally
§ 8:4	— —Inadequate foundation
§ 8:5	— —Nominal relevance
§ 8:6	— —Based on incompetent matter
§ 8:7	—Hypothetical questions
§ 8:8	—Inadmissible hearsay
§ 8:9	— —Opinions of others
§ 8:10	— —Statements of others
§ 8:11	— —Treatises, documents & texts
§ 8:12	—Legal questions
§ 8:13	— —Compare: Ultimate issues
§ 8:14	—Matters of common experience
§ 8:15	—Not reasonably relied upon by experts
§ 8:16	—Not perceived or personally known
§ 8:17	— —Photographs no substitute for physical examination by expert
§ 8:18	—Precluded by law
§ 8:19	—Speculation or conjecture
§ 8:20	—Too many variables
§ 8:21	—Uncooperative witness
§ 8:22	—Usurping jury function
§ 8:23	—Irrelevant matters
§ 8:24	—Improper profile evidence
§ 8:25	—Outside area of specialty or expertise
§ 8:26	— —Specialists' differing standards of care
§ 8:27	—Cumulative testimony
§ 8:28	—Other grounds
§ 8:29	Opposing authorities—Generally
§ 8:30	—Hearsay
§ 8:31	— —Statements of others
§ 8:32	— —Treatises, documents and text
§ 8:33	—Hypothetical questions
§ 8:34	—Legal questions vs. ultimate issues
§ 8:35	—Uncooperative witness
§ 8:36	—Expert's perceptions
§ 8:37	—Where differing specialists held to same standard of care
§ 8:38	— —Standard of care in medical malpractice cases (chiropractors)
§ 8:39	—Cumulative testimony
§ 8:40	—Speculation or conjecture
§ 8:41	—Matters of Common Experience

B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF NON-QUALIFIED EXPERT

§ 8:42	Suggested motion text
--------	-----------------------

- § 8:43 Motion summary
- § 8:44 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of non-qualified experts
- § 8:45 —Improper qualifications: Examples
- § 8:46 —Other grounds
- § 8:47 Opposing authorities—Generally

C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE LAY WITNESS TESTIMONY

- § 8:48 Suggested motion text
- § 8:49 Motion summary
- § 8:50 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of non-expert opinion
- § 8:51 — —Causation
- § 8:52 — —Legal opinions
- § 8:53 — —Lay experiments
- § 8:54 —Other grounds
- § 8:55 Opposing authorities—Perceptions of witness
- § 8:56 — —Sanity
- § 8:57 —Helpful to clear understanding
- § 8:58 —Medical malpractice cases—“Common knowledge” exception
- § 8:59 —Permissible lay experiments

D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF INCOMPETENT WITNESS

- § 8:60 Suggested motion text
- § 8:61 Motion summary
- § 8:62 Supporting authorities—Inability to express self or tell truth
- § 8:63 — —Children
- § 8:64 —Lack of mental competence / insanity
- § 8:65 —Lack of personal knowledge of subject matter
- § 8:66 —Other grounds
- § 8:67 Opposing authorities—General authority
- § 8:68 —Children
- § 8:69 —Mental competence / insanity
- § 8:70 —Lack of personal knowledge of subject matter

E. MOTION TO EXCLUDE TESTIMONY OF JUDGE, ARBITRATOR, MEDIATOR, ATTORNEY OR JUROR

- § 8:71 Suggested motion text
- § 8:72 Motion summary
- § 8:73 Supporting authorities—Judge as witness
- § 8:74 —Arbitrator or mediator as witness

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:75 —Attorney as witness
- § 8:76 —Juror as witness
- § 8:77 —Related authorities
- § 8:78 Opposing authorities—Absence of objection—
Judge or juror
- § 8:79 —Attorney testimony
- § 8:80 — —Uncontested matter
- § 8:81 — —Value of legal services
- § 8:82 — —Written client consent
- § 8:83 — —Testimony of other attorney in firm or office
- § 8:84 — —Testimony before a judge
- § 8:85 — —Non-adversarial proceedings
- § 8:86 — —Allowed to prevent a crime or injury
- § 8:87 — —Extraordinary circumstances
- § 8:88 — —Lack of prejudice
- § 8:89 —Mediator testimony in certain family law
matters
- § 8:90 —Judge or referee testimony

F. MOTION TO EXCLUDE WITNESS FROM COURTROOM PRIOR TO TESTIFYING

- § 8:91 Suggested motion text
- § 8:92 Motion summary
- § 8:93 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of non-party
witnesses from courtroom
- § 8:94 —Criminal cases
- § 8:95 Opposing authorities—Discretionary decision
- § 8:96 —Cannot exclude party
- § 8:97 —Exclusion of testimony improper remedy
- § 8:98 —Purpose of rule
- § 8:99 —Exception: police officers

G. MOTION TO EXCLUDE COMMENT ON EXERCISE OF PRIVILEGE (NOT TO TESTIFY)

- § 8:100 Suggested motion text
- § 8:101 Motion summary
- § 8:102 Supporting authorities—No-comment rule
- § 8:103 Opposing authorities—Allowable inferences
- § 8:104 —Civil consequences of silence
- § 8:105 —Waiver
- § 8:106 —Premature

H. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF NON-CALLED WITNESSES

- § 8:107 Suggested motion text

- § 8:108 Motion summary
- § 8:109 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
 - § 8:110 —Where witness was equally available to testify
 - § 8:111 —Where comments would invite speculation
 - § 8:112 —Where other adequate testimony
 - § 8:113 —Where reason for unavailability would be prejudicial
 - § 8:114 —Other grounds
- § 8:115 Opposition citations—Generally
 - § 8:116 —Non-calling of material witness
 - § 8:117 —Question of weight, not admissibility

I. MOTION TO EXCLUDE HEARSAY EVIDENCE

- § 8:118 Suggested motion text
- § 8:119 Motion summary
- § 8:120 Supporting authorities—General authority for exclusion
 - § 8:121 —Purpose of rule
 - § 8:122 —Written hearsay—General authority for exclusion
 - § 8:123 — —Examples
 - § 8:124 — —Multiple hearsay
 - § 8:125 —Non-hearsay purpose and relevance
 - § 8:126 —Assertive conduct
 - § 8:127 Opposing authorities—Hearsay exceptions, generally
 - § 8:128 — —Purpose of exceptions to hearsay rule
 - § 8:129 —Non-hearsay evidence
 - § 8:130 —Non-assertive conduct
 - § 8:131 —Multiple hearsay
 - § 8:132 —Hearsay used to impeach witness credibility
 - § 8:133 —Broad discretion

II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 8:134 Motion to exclude speculative expert opinion
- § 8:135 Motion to exclude reference to non-called witnesses
- § 8:136 Motion to preclude non-chiropractor from giving opinion on necessity of chiropractic treatment
- § 8:137 Motion to exclude evidence of computerized valuations of plaintiff's business, with alternative motion for a *Kelly* hearing regarding the validity of the methodology
- § 8:138 Motion to exclude testimony and opinions of medical doctor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:139 Opposition to motion to exclude lay witness evidence
- § 8:140 Motion to exclude lay witness testimony (causation)
- § 8:141 Motion to exclude witness from courtroom prior to testifying
- § 8:142 Motion to exclude testimony of witness for lack of personal knowledge of subject matter
- § 8:143 Motion to exclude testimony of mediator
- § 8:144 Motion to exclude testimony of incompetent witness

CHAPTER 9. TRIAL PRESENTATION

I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

A. MOTION TO PREVENT IMPROPER VOIR DIRE

- § 9:1 Suggested motion text
- § 9:2 Motion summary
- § 9:3 Supporting authorities—Improper voir dire
- § 9:4 —Preconditioning
- § 9:5 — —Preconditioning regarding dollar amount of damages
- § 9:6 —Educating jury on the law
- § 9:7 —Other grounds
- § 9:8 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 9:9 —Lack of prejudice or bad faith (reference to insurance)

B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER ARGUMENT IN OPENING STATEMENT

- § 9:10 Suggested motion text
- § 9:11 Motion summary
- § 9:12 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 9:13 —Exclusion of prejudicial matter in opening statement
- § 9:14 —Other grounds
- § 9:15 Opposing authorities

C. MOTION TO BAR PREMATURE REBUTTAL TO AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- § 9:16 Suggested motion text
- § 9:17 Motion summary
- § 9:18 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally

- § 9:19 —Order of proof
- § 9:20 Opposing authorities

**D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE REFERENCE TO
LOST OR DESTROYED EVIDENCE**

- § 9:21 Suggested motion text
- § 9:22 Motion summary
- § 9:23 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial
evidence, generally
- § 9:24 —Exclusion of lost or destroyed evidence
- § 9:25 — —Accidental destruction of evidence
- § 9:26 — —Intentional destruction or suppression of
evidence
- § 9:27 — —A note on tort of spoliation of evidence
- § 9:28 —Negative presumption
- § 9:29 —Other grounds
- § 9:30 Opposition citations—Generally
- § 9:31 —Other remedies

**E. MOTION TO EXCLUDE ARBITRATION
EVIDENCE AND FINDINGS**

- § 9:32 Suggested motion text
- § 9:33 Motion summary
- § 9:34 Supporting authorities—Limitation on arbitration
evidence
- § 9:35 —No Hearsay Exception
- § 9:36 —Other grounds
- § 9:37 Opposing authorities—Unavailability of witness
[EC § 1292]

**F. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF
DAMAGES IN BIFURCATED TRIAL**

- § 9:38 Suggested motion text
- § 9:39 Motion summary
- § 9:40 Supporting authorities—Statutory authority
- § 9:41 —Policy considerations
- § 9:42 —Other grounds
- § 9:43 Opposing authorities—Liability issues relevant to
damages
- § 9:44 —Small overlap approved
- § 9:45 —Inappropriate matter

**G. MOTION TO PRECLUDE “GOLDEN RULE
ARGUMENT”**

- § 9:46 Suggested motion text
- § 9:47 Motion summary

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 9:48 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 9:49 —Rejection of “golden rule argument”
- § 9:50 —Other grounds
- § 9:51 Opposing authorities—Generally

H. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER TERMINOLOGY

- § 9:52 Suggested motion text
- § 9:53 Motion summary
- § 9:54 Supporting authorities—Confusing evidence, generally
- § 9:55 —Terms containing legal conclusions
- § 9:56 —Testimony on ultimate issues—Exclusion of ultimate issue evidence
- § 9:57 — —General admissibility of ultimate issue opinions [EC § 805]
- § 9:58 — —Compare: Ultimate issues and non-experts
- § 9:59 —Misused terms
- § 9:60 —Preconditioning jury
- § 9:61 —Usurping jury function
- § 9:62 —Meaning of statute
- § 9:63 Opposing authorities—Legal questions vs. ultimate issues
- § 9:64 — —Compare: Ultimate issues and non-experts
- § 9:65 — —Compare: Legal conclusions (non-jury case)

I. MOTION TO SUBMIT JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE

- § 9:66 Suggested motion text
- § 9:67 Motion summary
- § 9:68 Supporting authorities—Generally
- § 9:69 —Judicial economy
- § 9:70 Opposing authorities—Court’s discretion
- § 9:71 — —Cases of “brief duration”

II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 9:72 Motion to exclude reference to lost or destroyed evidence
- § 9:73 Motion to allow use of jury questionnaire during voir dire
- § 9:74 Motion to exclude mediation evidence
- § 9:75 Motion to preclude golden rule argument
- § 9:76 Motion to exclude improper argument during opening statement
- § 9:77 Motion to bar premature rebuttal to affirmative defense

CHAPTER 10. PERSONAL INJURY MOTIONS

I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF COLLATERAL SOURCE PAYMENTS

- § 10:1 Suggested motion text
- § 10:2 Motion summary
- § 10:3 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:4 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:5 —Exclusion of collateral source evidence, generally
- § 10:6 — —Gratuitous payments
- § 10:7 — —Medical or liability policy payments
- § 10:8 — —Disability benefits
- § 10:9 — —Workers compensation benefits
- § 10:10 — —Wage payments
- § 10:11 — —Tax benefit
- § 10:12 —Other grounds
- § 10:13 Opposing authorities—Exception: Contract cases
- § 10:14 —Exception: Medical malpractice cases
- § 10:15 —Not “wholly independent” source
- § 10:16 — —Payments to co-tortfeasor
- § 10:17 —Relevant to issues in case
- § 10:18 — —Malingering
- § 10:19 —“Equity and common sense”

B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF LIABILITY INSURANCE

- § 10:20 Suggested motion text
- § 10:21 Motion summary
- § 10:22 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:23 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:24 —Exclusion of liability insurance evidence
- § 10:25 — —Evidence of defendant’s lack of insurance
- § 10:26 —Irrelevant
- § 10:27 —Other grounds
- § 10:28 Opposing authorities—Cross-examination
- § 10:29 —Where relevant to issues or otherwise admissible
- § 10:30 —Incidental reference to insurance
- § 10:31 —Non-prejudicial references to insurance
- § 10:32 —Admission of fault

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 10:33 —To prove ownership or employment

C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE SETTLEMENT EVIDENCE

- § 10:34 Suggested motion text
- § 10:35 Motion summary
- § 10:36 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:37 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:38 —Exclusion of settlement evidence used to show liability
- § 10:39 — —Prior settlements
- § 10:40 — —Settlement negotiations
- § 10:41 — —Settlement with co-defendants
- § 10:42 — —Where offer made prior to litigation
- § 10:43 — —Offer to compromise
- § 10:44 —Other grounds
- § 10:45 Opposing authorities—Purpose other than to show liability—Admission against interest
- § 10:46 — —Bias or prejudice
- § 10:47 — —Contract issues
- § 10:48 — —Impeachment
- § 10:49 — —Settlement with co-defendant: pro tanto reduction of verdict
- § 10:50 — —State of mind
- § 10:51 — —Settlement offers in insurance cases

D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF OTHER ACCIDENTS, CLAIMS OR LAWSUITS

- § 10:52 Suggested motion text
- § 10:53 Motion summary
- § 10:54 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:55 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:56 —Exclusion of prior-accident evidence—Absence of prior accidents
- § 10:57 — —Prior accident evidence used to prove negligence
- § 10:58 — —Lack of similarity: Dangerous condition / defective products
- § 10:59 — —Irrelevant
- § 10:60 — —Unreliable or speculative
- § 10:61 —Exclusion of subsequent accident evidence—Generally
- § 10:62 — —Dangerous condition cases
- § 10:63 —Other claims or lawsuits

- § 10:64 —Other grounds
- § 10:65 Opposing authorities—Evidence of prior accidents—Absence of prior accidents—Res ipsa loquiter
- § 10:66 — —Court’s discretion
- § 10:67 — —Similarity: Dangerous condition / defective products
- § 10:68 — —Notice
- § 10:69 — —Relevant to issues in case (e.g., similar injuries)
- § 10:70 — —Prior accidents as basis for expert opinion
- § 10:71 — —Other possible exceptions—Impeachment
- § 10:72 —Evidence of subsequent accidents—Court’s discretion
- § 10:73 — —Dangerous condition
- § 10:74 — —Impeachment
- § 10:75 —Admissibility of other claims—Generally
- § 10:76 — —Proof of bad faith
- § 10:77 — —Proof of punitive damages

E. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF SUBSEQUENT REPAIRS

- § 10:78 Suggested motion text
- § 10:79 Motion summary
- § 10:80 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:81 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:82 —Exclusion of subsequent repair evidence—Inadmissible to show negligence
- § 10:83 —Other grounds
- § 10:84 Opposing authorities—Impeachment
- § 10:85 —Relevant to issues
- § 10:86 — —Repair facilities
- § 10:87 —Strict products liability

F. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF STATUTE VIOLATION

- § 10:88 Suggested motion text
- § 10:89 Motion summary
- § 10:90 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:91 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:92 —Exclusion of traffic citation evidence, generally
- § 10:93 —Not proximate cause
- § 10:94 — —Prior traffic violations
- § 10:95 — —Statute inapplicable where party not within protected class

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 10:96 —Other grounds
- § 10:97 Opposing authorities—Impeachment
- § 10:98 —Proximate cause
- § 10:99 — —Negligence per se—Presumption of negligence [EC § 669]
- § 10:100 — —Movant’s reply argument: Gatekeeping provisions

G. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE THAT DRIVER WAS UNLICENSED

- § 10:101 Suggested motion text
- § 10:102 Motion summary
- § 10:103 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of evidence of license suspension or revocation
- § 10:104 —Not evidence of negligence
- § 10:105 —Other grounds
- § 10:106 Opposing authorities—Lack of prejudice
- § 10:107 —Relevant to issues

H. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF FAILURE TO WEAR SEAT BELT

- § 10:108 Suggested motion text
- § 10:109 Motion summary
- § 10:110 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:111 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:112 —Exclusion of seat-belt evidence
- § 10:113 — —Expert testimony required
- § 10:114 — —Exclusion in strict liability cases
- § 10:115 — —Defendant’s burden unchanged by seatbelt laws
- § 10:116 —Other grounds
- § 10:117 Opposing authorities—Where use would have reduced injuries
- § 10:118 —Mandatory seatbelt laws
- § 10:119 — —Violation of seatbelt statute as evidence of negligence

I. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION

- § 10:120 Suggested motion text
- § 10:121 Motion summary
- § 10:122 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:123 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:124 —Exclusion of alcohol evidence, generally

- § 10:125 — —Lack of foundation—Proof of inebriation
- § 10:126 — — —Blood alcohol tests
- § 10:127 —Exclusion in automobile cases—Consumption
by driver where no impairment
- § 10:128 — —Consumption by passenger
- § 10:129 — —Inebriation as causation of accident
- § 10:130 —Habit of general intemperance
- § 10:131 —Blood alcohol level and blood tests, generally
- § 10:132 — —Delay in testing
- § 10:133 — —Lack of foundation
- § 10:134 — —Irrelevant evidence
- § 10:135 —Coroner’s report
- § 10:136 —Other grounds
- § 10:137 Opposing authorities—Where relevant to
issues—Driving under the influence of alcohol
as proof of negligence
- § 10:138 — —Witness perceptions
- § 10:139 —Admissible evidence of drinking habit—
Where used for impeachment
- § 10:140 — —Drinking contemporaneous to incident

J. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF PRIOR D.U.I.

- § 10:141 Suggested motion text
- § 10:142 Motion summary
- § 10:143 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of
prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:144 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:145 —Exclusion of crimes evidence used for
impeachment—Generally
- § 10:146 — —Exclusion of arrests and misdemeanor
convictions
- § 10:147 — —Exclusion of felony convictions where no
crime of “moral turpitude”
- § 10:148 — —Where probative value outweighed by risk
of undue prejudice
- § 10:149 —Exclusion of D.U.I. evidence where used to
prove improper conduct—Generally
- § 10:150 —Other grounds
- § 10:151 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 10:152 —Impeachment
- § 10:153 — —Use of felony convictions for impeachment
expressly allowed
- § 10:154 — —D.U.I. as moral turpitude crime
- § 10:155 —Relevant to issues

TABLE OF CONTENTS

K. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF
PARTY'S HEALTH OR INJURIES WHERE
NOT AT ISSUE

- § 10:156 Suggested motion text
- § 10:157 Motion summary
- § 10:158 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of
prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:159 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:160 —Exclusion of evidence of party's health or
injuries where not at issue
- § 10:161 —Other grounds
- § 10:162 Opposing authorities—Relevant to issues in
case
- § 10:163 — —Demonstration of injury
- § 10:164 — —Other demonstrations

L. MOTION TO EXCLUDE ACCIDENT
RECONSTRUCTION AND BIOMECHANIC
EVIDENCE

- § 10:165 Suggested motion text
- § 10:166 Motion summary
- § 10:167 Supporting authorities—Excluding accident
reconstruction evidence—Generally
- § 10:168 — —Incomplete facts / speculation
- § 10:169 — —Reliance on observations of others
(hearsay)
- § 10:170 — —Improper foundation or qualification
- § 10:171 — —Point of impact determinations
- § 10:172 — —Reaction time determinations
- § 10:173 — —Vehicle speed determinations
- § 10:174 —Excluding biomechanic evidence
- § 10:175 — —Anthropomorphic dummies
- § 10:176 — —“Finite element analysis” tests
- § 10:177 — —“Pattern of injury” tests
- § 10:178 —Low-speed impact automobile cases
- § 10:179 — —Excluding “Delta V” testimony
- § 10:180 — —Challenging “popping into a chair”
testimony
- § 10:181 — —“Human crash test dummy” testimony
- § 10:182 — —Photographs depicting “no damage” to
vehicles
- § 10:183 — —Other unreliable tests
- § 10:184 Opposition—Tests and scientific testimony,
generally
- § 10:185 —Accident reconstruction evidence—Proper
basis for opinion
- § 10:186 — —Competent facts / foundation

- § 10:187 — —Vehicle speed
- § 10:188 — —Skid mark analysis
- § 10:189 — —Stopping distances
- § 10:190 — —Point of impact
- § 10:191 — —Photographs depicting “no damage” to vehicles
- § 10:192 —Biomechanic evidence—Cases where admitted
- § 10:193 —A note on opposing motions to exclude low-impact tests

M. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF PARTY’S FINANCIAL STATUS

- § 10:194 Suggested motion text
- § 10:195 Motion summary
- § 10:196 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:197 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:198 —Exclusion of financial status evidence, generally
- § 10:199 — —Plaintiff’s financial status
- § 10:200 — —Defendant’s financial status
- § 10:201 — —Wrongful death cases
- § 10:202 —Punitive damages cases
- § 10:203 — —Exclusion of evidence in calculating punitive damages, where beyond constitutional ratio
- § 10:204 —Other grounds
- § 10:205 Opposing authorities—Relevant to issues—Generally
- § 10:206 — —Necessary to support punitive damages claim
- § 10:207 —Waiver of rights under Section 3295

N. MOTION TO EXCLUDE TAX EVIDENCE

- § 10:208 Suggested motion text
- § 10:209 Motion summary
- § 10:210 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:211 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:212 —Exclusion of tax evidence—Tax implications on damages
- § 10:213 — —Failure to file a tax return
- § 10:214 —Tax implications in wrongful death cases
- § 10:215 —Improper appeal to jurors as taxpayers
- § 10:216 —Other grounds
- § 10:217 Opposing authorities—Relevant to issues

TABLE OF CONTENTS

O. MOTION TO EXCLUDE LIABILITY OR
FAULT EVIDENCE

- § 10:218 Suggested motion text
- § 10:219 Motion summary
- § 10:220 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of
prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:221 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:222 —Admitted matters, generally
- § 10:223 —Admission of fault—Admissions made during
settlement negotiations
- § 10:224 — —Inadmissible legal opinions by lay
witnesses
- § 10:225 — —Sympathy or benevolence
- § 10:226 —Other grounds
- § 10:227 Opposing authorities—Relevance
- § 10:228 —Admission of fault

P. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER
DAMAGE EVIDENCE

- § 10:229 Suggested motion text
- § 10:230 Motion summary
- § 10:231 Supporting authorities—Unpleaded,
undisputed or surprise claims—Unpleaded
matters
- § 10:232 — —Undisputed matters
- § 10:233 — —Surprise claims
- § 10:234 — —Amounts in excess of stated damages
- § 10:235 —Speculative damages, generally
- § 10:236 — —Exclusion of evidence relating to
speculative damages
- § 10:237 —Statutory limitations on damages—Injury to
drunk driver [CC § 3333.4(a)(1)]
- § 10:238 — —Injury to uninsured vehicle owner or
operator [CC § 3333.4(a)(2)–(3)]
- § 10:239 — —Injury to felon [CC § 3333.3]
- § 10:240 —Compare: Condemnation proceedings
- § 10:241 —Lost profits
- § 10:242 —Irrelevant
- § 10:243 —Punitive damages
- § 10:244 Opposing authorities—Unpleaded or denied
claims—Court’s discretion
- § 10:245 — —Estoppel
- § 10:246 — —Denied claims
- § 10:247 —Speculative damages—Generally
- § 10:248 — —Weight vs. admissibility
- § 10:249 — —“Reasonably certain” damages
- § 10:250 —Exceptions to statutory restrictions [CC

- § 3333.4]—Civil Code Section 3333.4—
Uninsured motorist restrictions
- § 10:251 — — —Civil Code Section 3333.3—Injured
felon restrictions
- § 10:252 — —Punitive damages

Q. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF PARTY'S IMMIGRATION STATUS

- § 10:253 Suggested motion text
- § 10:254 Motion summary
- § 10:255 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of
prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:256 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:257 — —Unpleaded issues
- § 10:258 —Immigration status is irrelevant to issue of
liability
- § 10:259 — —Residency in employment matters
- § 10:260 Opposing authorities—Admissible where
relevant—With limiting instruction

R. MOTION TO EXCLUDE INTERNET-BASED EVIDENCE

- § 10:261 Suggested motion text
- § 10:262 Motion summary
- § 10:263 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of
prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 10:264 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 10:265 Opposing authorities—Admissible as other
crimes evidence
- § 10:266 Email
- § 10:267 Chat and other internet discussion evidence
- § 10:268 Internet stock trading
- § 10:269 Internet reviews
- § 10:270 Internet citations

II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 10:271 Motion to exclude evidence of collateral source
payments
- § 10:272 Motion to exclude evidence of defendant's
liability
- § 10:273 Motion to admit evidence of laboratory testing
regarding firearm residue
- § 10:274 Motion to exclude surveillance video
- § 10:275 Motion to exclude evidence of subsequent
remedial measures
- § 10:276 Motion to exclude evidence of statute violation
- § 10:277 Motion to exclude evidence of failure to pay
taxes

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 10:278	Motion to exclude liability insurance evidence
§ 10:279	Motion to exclude evidence of defendant's prior DUI
§ 10:280	Motion to exclude evidence of defendant's financial status
§ 10:281	Motion to exclude settlement evidence
§ 10:282	Motion to exclude evidence of prior traffic citations
§ 10:283	Motion to exclude improper damage evidence (speculative evidence of lost profits)
§ 10:284	Motion to exclude evidence regarding prior accident
§ 10:285	Motion to exclude internet-based evidence
§ 10:286	Motion to exclude evidence regarding party's prior injuries

CHAPTER 11. WRONGFUL DEATH & MEDICAL MALPRACTICE MOTIONS

I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

§ 11:1 Chapter overview

A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE COLLATERAL SOURCE BENEFITS NOT ENUMERATED IN CIVIL CODE SECTION 3333.1

§ 11:2	Suggested motion text
§ 11:3	Motion summary
§ 11:4	Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial matter, generally
§ 11:5	—Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
§ 11:6	—Exclusion of collateral source evidence, generally
§ 11:7	— —Limited exception: Civil Code Section 3333.1 [medical malpractice cases]
§ 11:8	— —Exclusion of evidence not enumerated in Section 3333.1
§ 11:9	— — <i>Brown</i> test for excluding non-enumerated benefits
§ 11:10	Opposing authorities—Plain language of Section 3333.1
§ 11:11	—Plaintiff's evidence regarding damages

B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF DECEDENT'S PREEXISTING CONDITION

§ 11:12	Suggested motion text
§ 11:13	Motion summary

- § 11:14 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial matter, generally
- § 11:15 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 11:16 — —Exclusion of irrelevant prior incident evidence
- § 11:17 —Preexisting condition evidence—“Egg shell plaintiff” rule
- § 11:18 Opposition citations—Where relevant to issues

C. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF FUTURE RELATIONSHIP OR REMARRIAGE OF SURVIVING SPOUSE

- § 11:19 Suggested motion text
- § 11:20 Motion summary
- § 11:21 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 11:22 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 11:23 —Exclusion of remarriage evidence
- § 11:24 —Compare: remarriage of parent (no distinction in rule)
- § 11:25 —Other grounds
- § 11:26 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 11:27 —Impeachment purposes
- § 11:28 —Where relevant

D. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER CHARACTER EVIDENCE OF DEFENDANT PHYSICIAN OR DECEDENT

- § 11:29 Suggested motion text
- § 11:30 Motion summary
- § 11:31 Supporting authorities—Defendant’s character or habit—Defendant’s “good character”
- § 11:32 — —Medical education or medical school reputation
- § 11:33 — —Evidence of physician’s prior negligence
- § 11:34 — —Evidence of physician’s subsequent negligence
- § 11:35 — —Physician’s prior misdemeanor conviction
- § 11:36 —Decedent’s character or habit evidence—
Overview
- § 11:37 — —Exclusion of decedent’s character or habit evidence
- § 11:38 — —Remoteness of evidence
- § 11:39 Opposing authorities
- § 11:40 —Physician’s character or habit evidence—
Impeachment
- § 11:41 — —Subsequent negligence

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 11:42 — —Admissible character or habit evidence
- § 11:43 —Physician's character or habit evidence—
standard of care
- § 11:44 —Decedent's character or habit evidence—
Admissible character or habit evidence
- § 11:45 — —Compare: victim's character in criminal
cases

E. MOTION TO EXCLUDE DEATH CERTIFICATE EVIDENCE (RE CAUSE OF DEATH)

- § 11:46 Suggested motion text
- § 11:47 Motion summary
- § 11:48 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial
matter, generally
- § 11:49 —Exclusion of death certificate evidence—Cause
of death evidence
- § 11:50 — —Facts regarding liability
- § 11:51 — —Inadmissible opinions
- § 11:52 — —Improperly executed records
- § 11:53 — —Compare: coroner's inquest verdict
- § 11:54 Opposing authorities—Statutory provisions for
admissibility
- § 11:55 — —Death certificate as prima facie evidence
[H&S § 103550]
- § 11:56 — —Exception to hearsay rule [Evidence Code
§ 1281]
- § 11:57 — —Compare: where used to show proof of
death
- § 11:58 — —Insignificant errors in certificate
- § 11:59 —Action for benefits under life insurance policy
or pension

F. MOTION TO EXCLUDE INFLAMMATORY DECEDENT PHOTOGRAPHS

- § 11:60 Suggested motion text
- § 11:61 Motion summary
- § 11:62 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial
evidence, generally
- § 11:63 —Excluding decedent corpse photographs—
Arousing sympathy or inflaming jurors'
emotions
- § 11:64 — —Cumulative of other evidence
- § 11:65 — —Where evidence is too remote
- § 11:66 — —Autopsy photographs
- § 11:67 — —Surgery photographs
- § 11:68 —Exclusion of decedent photographs—Prior to
death

- § 11:69 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 11:70 —Pre-death photographs
- § 11:71 — —Other jurisdictions: admissible to show
state of well being of decedent prior to death
- § 11:72 —Post-death photographs
- § 11:73 — —Relevance
- § 11:74 — —Additional relevance cases (outside
California)
- § 11:75 —Autopsy photographs—Generally
- § 11:76 — —Where relevant
- § 11:77 —Surgery photographs

G. MOTION TO EXCLUDE FINANCIAL AND RELATED EVIDENCE

- § 11:78 Suggested motion text
- § 11:79 Motion summary
- § 11:80 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of irrelevant
evidence, generally
- § 11:81 —Earnings evidence—Surviving spouse’s
earning capacity
- § 11:82 — —Child’s earning capacity—Too speculative
- § 11:83 — —Decedent’s earning capacity
- § 11:84 —Exclusion of financial evidence—Generally
- § 11:85 — —Inheritance from decedent
- § 11:86 — —Plaintiff’s financial status
- § 11:87 —Tax implications of damages
- § 11:88 Opposing authorities—Financial evidence—
Relevance in general
- § 11:89 — —Decedent’s assets
- § 11:90 — —Tax evidence
- § 11:91 —Earnings evidence—Admissible in wrongful
death cases
- § 11:92 —Punitive damages—Future earnings

II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 11:93 Plaintiff’s motion to exclude rehabilitation costs
as collateral source evidence
- § 11:94 Plaintiff’s Motion to Exclude Evidence of Life
Insurance Policy

CHAPTER 12. INSURANCE LITIGATION MOTIONS

I. MOTION AUTHORITIES

A. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE NOT CONTAINED IN CLAIM FILE AT TIME OF DENIAL

- § 12:1 Suggested motion text
- § 12:2 Motion summary
- § 12:3 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 12:4 —Exclusion of evidence using “hindsight test”
- § 12:5 —Duty to investigate claims
- § 12:6 —Other grounds
- § 12:7 Opposing authorities—Hindsight rule
- § 12:8 —Duty to investigate

B. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE OF MEANING OF POLICY PROVISION

- § 12:9 Suggested motion text
- § 12:10 Motion summary
- § 12:11 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 12:12 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 12:13 —Extrinsic evidence of ambiguity
- § 12:14 —Interpretation as a question of law
- § 12:15 —Other grounds
- § 12:16 Opposing authorities—Policy interpretation

C. MOTION TO PRECLUDE ARGUMENT REGARDING EXISTENCE OF COVERAGE IN LOST POLICY CASE

- § 12:17 Suggested motion text
- § 12:18 Motion summary
- § 12:19 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 12:20 —Lost policy, generally
- § 12:21 — —Secondary evidence rule (formerly best evidence rule)
- § 12:22 — —Oral testimony and other secondary evidence
- § 12:23 —Essential elements of lost policy
- § 12:24 —Other grounds
- § 12:25 Opposing authorities—Burden on insured re lost policy

§ 12:26 —Best evidence and summary judgment

**D. MOTION TO PRECLUDE IMPROPER
REFERENCE TO ATTORNEY’S FEES
 (“BRANDT” MOTION)**

- § 12:27 Suggested motion text
- § 12:28 Motion summary
- § 12:29 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 12:30 —Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 12:31 —Segregation of attorney’s fee evidence
- § 12:32 —Scope of allowable attorney’s fees
- § 12:33 — —Generally
- § 12:34 — —Must be action in tort
- § 12:35 — —Fees expended in bad faith action
- § 12:36 — —Where fees already awarded
- § 12:37 — —Failure to segregate litigation fees
- § 12:38 —Other grounds
- § 12:39 Opposing authorities—Court’s discretion regarding *Brandt* fees
- § 12:40 —Absence of bifurcation

**E. MOTION TO EXCLUDE IMPROPER
PLEADINGS AS EVIDENCE OF “BAD
FAITH”**

- § 12:41 Suggested motion text
- § 12:42 Motion summary
- § 12:43 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally
- § 12:44 —Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 12:45 —Pleadings as evidence of bad faith
- § 12:46 — —Pretrial discovery and preparation of defense
- § 12:47 — —A note on settlement offers in insurance cases
- § 12:48 —Other grounds
- § 12:49 Opposing authorities—Generally
- § 12:50 —Evidence of pleadings
- § 12:51 —Settlement offers

**F. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF
OTHER CLAIMS**

- § 12:52 Suggested motion text
- § 12:53 Motion summary
- § 12:54 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of irrelevant evidence, generally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 12:55 —Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 12:56 —Exclusion of other claims
- § 12:57 —Other grounds
- § 12:58 Opposing authorities—Relevant, generally
- § 12:59 — —Proof of bad faith
- § 12:60 — —Proof of punitive damages

G. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF POLICY INTERPRETATION OR OTHER QUESTIONS OF LAW

- § 12:61 Suggested motion text
- § 12:62 Motion summary
- § 12:63 Supporting authorities—Exclusion of irrelevant
evidence, generally
- § 12:64 —Exclusion of prejudicial evidence, generally
- § 12:65 —Questions of law, generally
- § 12:66 —Interpretation of insurance policy terms
- § 12:67 — —Expert opinion
- § 12:68 — —A note on equitable estoppel
- § 12:69 —Reasonableness of insured's expectation of
coverage
- § 12:70 —Insurer's duty to defend
- § 12:71 —Scope of insurance policy
- § 12:72 —Bad faith issues
- § 12:73 —Other grounds
- § 12:74 Opposing authorities—Expert opinion
- § 12:75 —Extrinsic evidence
- § 12:76 —Doctrine of reasonable expectation
- § 12:77 —Bad faith issues

II. SAMPLE MOTIONS

- § 12:78 Motion to exclude evidence not contained in the
file at time of denial

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index