

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

- § 1:1 Introduction to the 2025–2026 edition
- § 1:2 Introduction to the Maryland Law of Confessions

CHAPTER 2. VOLUNTARINESS: INTRODUCTION AND HISTORY

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 2:1 Introduction
- § 2:2 Common law voluntariness v. due process voluntariness
- § 2:3 Limitations of common law voluntariness
- § 2:4 Limitations of due process voluntariness

B. HISTORY OF VOLUNTARINESS

- § 2:5 History of common law of voluntariness—Before
Hillard v. State
- § 2:6 History of common law voluntariness—*Hillard v. State*
- § 2:7 —After *Hillard* and *Stokes*
- § 2:8 History of constitutional voluntariness—Early
Supreme Court cases
- § 2:9 —Emergence of the fundamental fairness rationale
- § 2:10 —*Gideon, Escobedo, and Malloy*
- § 2:11 —Voluntariness after *Miranda*

CHAPTER 3. COMMON LAW VOLUNTARINESS

- § 3:1 *Hillard, Winder, Hill* and *Williams*—Presumed coercion
without a “totality of the circumstances” analysis
- § 3:2 Does the common law exclusionary rule apply only to a
“custodial confession?”
- § 3:3 Does the common law *per se* rule apply to implied
threats?
- § 3:4 What measure of causation is required from the
improper influence?
- § 3:5 What is an improper promise?
- § 3:6 What is an *implied* promise?
- § 3:7 Qualified promises—Implied, improper promises
accompanied by the warning that nothing can be
promised

- § 3:8 Short of a promise to advocate for leniency, a promise to tell the prosecutor or judge of the defendant's cooperation
- § 3:9 Promises of a material item, unrelated to the case
- § 3:10 Promises regarding bail
- § 3:11 Promises regarding counseling (not in lieu of punishment)
- § 3:12 Promises regarding privacy
- § 3:13 Promises to help (not to harm) a *non-relative* friend in legal matters
- § 3:14 Promises to help a "*near relative*" in *non-legal* matters
- § 3:15 False friend scenario and promises to investigate any leads
- § 3:16 False statements or unfulfilled promises which are otherwise proper
- § 3:17 Encouraging a suspect to adopt an "accidental" theory of the case or to admit to a lesser crime
- § 3:18 Promises initiated by the defendant
- § 3:19 Confession induced by valid plea agreement between an unrepresented defendant and the prosecutor
- § 3:20 Should courts consider the likelihood of certain police practices causing a false confession?
- § 3:21 The *Williams* rule—Prompt presentment or waiver
- § 3:22 Practice tips

CHAPTER 4. DUE PROCESS VOLUNTARINESS

- § 4:1 *Due Process* voluntariness—The present state of the law
- § 4:2 The many factors in the totality of the circumstances
- § 4:3 Violence or threat of violence
- § 4:4 Lengthy interrogations
- § 4:5 Deception about the defendant's rights and other suspect subjects
- § 4:6 Deception about the facts
- § 4:7 Threats, not regarding violence or constitutional rights
- § 4:8 Deliberately ignoring the defendant's invocation of counsel or silence
- § 4:9 Showing the defendant the body of the murder victim
- § 4:10 Practice tips

CHAPTER 5. OTHER ASPECTS OF VOLUNTARINESS

- § 5:1 The exclusionary rules for evidence derived from an involuntary confession

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 5:2 The Jury Instruction on Voluntariness
- § 5:3 Other aspects of proving voluntariness before a trier of fact
- § 5:4 Expert testimony on voluntariness and trustworthiness of a confession
- § 5:5 Procedure for a motions hearing on voluntariness
- § 5:6 What is sufficient evidence to prove voluntariness at a motions hearing?
- § 5:7 What specific words must the motions judge use in ruling on voluntariness?
- § 5:8 Can the defendant contest the voluntariness of a statement of a state's witness?
- § 5:9 Practice tips

CHAPTER 6. INTRODUCTION TO *MIRANDA V. ARIZONA* AND ITS PROGENY

- § 6:1 Introduction to *Miranda*

CHAPTER 7. THE IMPACT OF *DICKERSON V. UNITED STATES*

- § 7:1 Introduction
- § 7:2 An analysis of *Dickerson*
- § 7:3 Does *Dickerson* strengthen or weaken *Miranda*?
- § 7:4 Conclusion

CHAPTER 8. CUSTODY

- § 8:1 Introduction
- § 8:2 *Miranda v. Arizona*
- § 8:3 The basic custody standard—The *Beheler/Berkemer* standard
- § 8:4 Factors in the totality of the circumstances
- § 8:5 Settings beyond the police station—Introduction
- § 8:6 —Interrogation in a police car
- § 8:7 —Interrogation in jail or while meeting with probation agent
- § 8:8 —Interrogation in the hospital
- § 8:9 —Interrogation at the work site or at home
- § 8:10 The Pre-*Beheler* standard: “deprived of freedom of action”
- § 8:11 Treating “coerciveness” as a decisive factor
- § 8:12 When does custody attach?
- § 8:13 Should custody attach as soon as the defendant makes an incriminating statement?
- § 8:14 When does a detention ripen into custody?

- § 8:15 When does an intrusive stop ripen into custody?
- § 8:16 How to define a “reasonable person in the suspect’s position”—Introduction
- § 8:17 —Purely objective standard v. refined objective standard
- § 8:18 —Should courts utilize a reasonable, *innocent* person standard?
- § 8:19 Police deception in the custody context
- § 8:20 Practice tips

CHAPTER 9. INTERROGATION

- § 9:1 Introduction—Express questioning or its functional equivalent—*Rhode Island v. Innis*
- § 9:2 The fact-specific determination of interrogation
- § 9:3 Confronting a suspect with arrest documents or with evidence
- § 9:4 Blurts and other volunteered responses
- § 9:5 Police follow-up questions after volunteered statements
- § 9:6 Responses to officer’s rapport-building or commonplace conversation
- § 9:7 Questions by police not seeking incriminating responses
- § 9:8 Jailhouse plants and jailhouse undercover officers
- § 9:9 Police requests to search or for blood tests: questions not likely to elicit incriminating responses
- § 9:10 Practice tips

CHAPTER 10. QUESTIONING BY NON-POLICE OFFICERS

- § 10:1 Introduction—*Miranda* does not apply to private citizens
- § 10:2 Private citizens as government agents in the *Miranda* context
- § 10:3 Private citizens as government agents outside the *Miranda* context
- § 10:4 Government employees as police agents—Pro-state analysis
- § 10:5 —Pro-defendant standards
- § 10:6 Public school administrators
- § 10:7 Security guards
- § 10:8 Off-duty police officers
- § 10:9 Parole and probation officers
- § 10:10 Practice tips

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 11. WAIVER

- § 11:1 Introduction—A waiver must be voluntary and knowing
- § 11:2 Evidence of comprehension
- § 11:3 Evidence of an implied waiver
- § 11:4 Evidence of waiver—Verbal waivers
- § 11:5 Burden of proof to demonstrate waiver at a motions hearing
- § 11:6 The state’s burden of proof at trial
- § 11:7 Scope of the waiver
- § 11:8 Voluntariness of the waiver—Police over-reaching
- § 11:9 Police actions affecting waiver—Deception in general
- § 11:10 Police actions affecting voluntariness—Affirmative deception about defendant’s rights
- § 11:11 Police actions affecting waiver—Deception about factual matters
- § 11:12 —Improper inducements
- § 11:13 Waiver as knowing—What a defendant must be told
- § 11:14 —The substance of *Miranda* warnings must be *reasonably* conveyed
- § 11:15 —Frequency and timing of *Miranda* warnings
- § 11:16 Waiver as knowing and intelligent—The competency of the defendant
- § 11:17 Defendants with mental disabilities
- § 11:18 Defendants who are from diverse cultural backgrounds or who do not speak English
- § 11:19 Practice tips

CHAPTER 12. INVOCATION OF *MIRANDA* RIGHT TO COUNSEL

- § 12:1 The invocation of right to counsel must be unequivocal—*Davis v. United States*
- § 12:2 Ambiguous invocations
- § 12:3 Unambiguous invocations
- § 12:4 Invocation effective only in context of custodial interrogation
- § 12:5 Invocation must be personal
- § 12:6 Other limitations on invocation
- § 12:7 Practice tips

CHAPTER 13. RESUMPTION OF QUESTIONING AFTER INVOCATION OF *MIRANDA* RIGHT TO COUNSEL

- § 13:1 The basic rule of *Edwards* and *Roberson*

- § 13:2 The time-tethered rule—*Minnick v. Mississippi*
- § 13:3 A break in custody can sever the *Edwards/Roberson* rule: *Maryland v. Shatzer*
- § 13:4 Serving a sentence as a break in custody: *Maryland v. Shatzer*
- § 13:5 Resumption of interrogation permissible after defendant initiates and waives—*Oregon v. Bradshaw*
- § 13:6 Procedures after a *Bradshaw* re-initiation
- § 13:7 Practice tips

CHAPTER 14. USE OF EVIDENCE FROM A *MIRANDA* VIOLATION

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 14:1 Introduction

B. IMPEACHMENT WITH A *MIRANDA*-VIOLATIVE STATEMENT—*HARRIS V. NEW YORK*

- § 14:2 Impeachment with *Miranda*-violative statements
- § 14:3 A Custodial Statement Taken before a Waiver—A “*Harris* violation”
- § 14:4 A Custodial Statement Taken after an Invocation—A “*Hass* violation”
- § 14:5 Impeachment with “Bad-faith” *Miranda* Violations
- § 14:6 Impeachment of Witnesses not Allowed—*James v. Illinois*
- § 14:7 Triggering the Right to Impeach—On Direct or Cross-Examination?

C. WAIVER AFTER A *MIRANDA*-VIOLATIVE STATEMENT—*OREGON V. ELSTAD*

- § 14:8 *Oregon v. Elstad*—A Subsequent, Voluntary Waiver Cures some prior *Miranda* Defects
- § 14:9 —Maryland Courts’ and Fourth Circuit’s Interpretation
- § 14:10 Bad-Faith “*Elstad* Violations”—*Missouri v. Seibert*
- § 14:11 Bad-Faith “*Edwards* Violations”—*Missouri v. Seibert*
- § 14:12 Bad-Faith “*Mosley* Violations”
- § 14:13 Physical Evidence after a Violative Statement—*United States v. Patane*
- § 14:14 Practice Tips

CHAPTER 15. CONFESSIONS DERIVED FROM ILLEGAL SEARCHES AND SEIZURES

- § 15:1 Introduction

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 15:2 *Brown v. Illinois* and other Supreme Court decisions
- § 15:3 —Maryland courts' interpretation
- § 15:4 *New York v. Harris*
- § 15:5 Illegal Warrants
- § 15:6 Practice Tips

CHAPTER 16. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR JUVENILES

- § 16:1 Introduction
- § 16:2 Voluntariness: the due process test
- § 16:3 Voluntariness: the common law test
- § 16:4 A juvenile's waiver of *Miranda*
- § 16:5 Parental involvement
- § 16:6 Custody
- § 16:7 A juvenile's susceptibility to making a false confession
- § 16:8 Practice tips

CHAPTER 17. EXCEPTIONS TO THE *MIRANDA* REQUIREMENTS

- § 17:1 Introduction
- § 17:2 The public safety exception—*New York v. Quarles*
- § 17:3 —Maryland developments
- § 17:4 Applications of the public safety exception—
Imminence of the danger
- § 17:5 Application of the public safety exception—Dangers
from guns, other weapons, and instruments
- § 17:6 The rescue doctrine exception
- § 17:7 Routine booking exception—*Hughes v. State*
- § 17:8 —Applications
- § 17:9 Booking exception applicable to on-scene officer's
routine biographical questions
- § 17:10 Bail review, pre-sentence investigations, and
probation agents
- § 17:11 Practice tips

CHAPTER 18. USE OF ILLEGALLY OBTAINED CONFESSIONS IN OTHER PROCEEDINGS

- § 18:1 Introduction
- § 18:2 Grand jury
- § 18:3 Sentencing
- § 18:4 Civil proceedings
- § 18:5 Psychiatric commitment hearing

- § 18:6 Violation of probation hearing
- § 18:7 Other proceedings
- § 18:8 Practice tips

CHAPTER 19. THE RIGHT TO SILENCE

- § 19:1 Introduction—The Fifth Amendment, *Miranda*, and *Mosley*
- § 19:2 The invocation of the right to silence
- § 19:3 Re-initiation of interrogation after an invocation of the right to silence
- § 19:4 Pre-arrest silence
- § 19:5 Post-arrest, post-*Miranda* silence
- § 19:6 Post-arrest, pre-*Miranda* silence
- § 19:7 Use of silence after waiver of right to silence
- § 19:8 Silence in prior hearings
- § 19:9 Order of witnesses and jury instructions
- § 19:10 Closing arguments by counsel: the rule of *Griffin v. California*
- § 19:11 Closing arguments by counsel: comment on the defendant's failure to present evidence
- § 19:12 Closing arguments by counsel: comment on the defendant's demeanor during trial
- § 19:13 Closing arguments by counsel: the rule of *Portuondo v. Agard*
- § 19:14 Opening statements
- § 19:15 The right to silence at sentencing proceedings
- § 19:16 Practice tips

CHAPTER 20. THE SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO COUNSEL

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 20:1 The Sixth Amendment v. *Miranda*
- § 20:2 History of the Sixth Amendment right to counsel
- § 20:3 Two rationales underpinning the right to counsel—A fair trial and the attorney-client relationship

B. THE ATTACHMENT AND CRITICAL STAGE ANALYSIS

- § 20:4 Introduction
- § 20:5 The Supreme Court's two-step analysis
- § 20:6 Maryland: the "attachment" analysis: *Rothgery* overrules *Webster v. State*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 20:7 Maryland: the critical stage analysis and *Fenner v. State*
- § 20:8 Are there earlier starting points of the Sixth Amendment right to counsel?
- § 20:9 Does a violation of the Public Defender Act provide exclusionary remedies?

C. CUSTODY AND INTERROGATION IN THE SIXTH AMENDMENT CONTEXT

- § 20:10 Custody
- § 20:11 Interrogation

D. WAIVER, OFFENSE SPECIFICITY, AND EXCLUSIONARY REMEDIES

- § 20:12 Waiver
- § 20:13 Agreements between counsel and the police not to interrogate
- § 20:14 The offense specificity of the Sixth Amendment
- § 20:15 Exclusionary rules

E. INTERFERENCE WITH THE ATTORNEY/CLIENT RELATIONSHIP

- § 20:16 Prejudicial interference is a violation of the Sixth Amendment
- § 20:17 Maryland requires prejudice for a Sixth Amendment violation
- § 20:18 Remedies for interference with attorney-client relationship
- § 20:19 Practice tips

CHAPTER 21. THE DUE PROCESS RIGHT TO COUNSEL

- § 21:1 Introduction
- § 21:2 The due process right to counsel and sobriety tests
- § 21:3 The exercise of the due process right to counsel will not imply consciousness of guilt
- § 21:4 The due process right to counsel in civil proceedings
- § 21:5 The due process right to counsel at initial hearing before a District Court Commissioner
- § 21:6 Practice tips

CHAPTER 22. RULE 4.2—THE PROHIBITION ON COMMUNICATION WITH A REPRESENTED PERSON

- § 22:1 Introduction
- § 22:2 Initial applicability of Rule 4.2
- § 22:3 Applicability of Rule 4.2 to defense attorneys
- § 22:4 Other “applicability” issues regarding Rule 4.2
- § 22:5 Communications by the prosecutor *directly* with the represented person
- § 22:6 When does an officer become the agent of the prosecutor?
- § 22:7 Rule 4.2 cannot be waived by the represented person
- § 22:8 The exclusionary rule
- § 22:9 Practice tips

CHAPTER 23. ISSUES RELATED TO PSYCHIATRIC EXAMS

- § 23:1 Introduction
- § 23:2 *Estelle v. Smith*—Psychiatric testimony, pursuant to a court-ordered evaluation, may be a fifth Amendment violation absent limited *Miranda* warnings
- § 23:3 —The *Miranda* component
- § 23:4 Utilizing the psychiatrist’s observations when no warnings are given
- § 23:5 *Buchanan v. Kentucky*—Psychiatric testimony at trial to rebut a mental status defense
- § 23:6 The state cannot use evidence of defendant’s invocation of his rights to prove sanity
- § 23:7 Police comment about the defendant’s sanity
- § 23:8 Sixth Amendment—Adequate notice to defense attorney required
- § 23:9 A *Hoey* defense—Inability to form criminal intent
- § 23:10 Practice tips

CHAPTER 24. THE CORROBORATION REQUIREMENT

- § 24:1 The rule
- § 24:2 The history of the rule
- § 24:3 What the rule does not require
- § 24:4 When corroboration is not required
- § 24:5 Practice tips

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**CHAPTER 25. SELF-SERVING HEARSAY
AND THE DOCTRINE OF COMPLETENESS**

- § 25:1 Self-serving hearsay
- § 25:2 The doctrine of completeness
- § 25:3 Variations on the doctrine of completeness: The
doctrines of “opening the door” and “curative
admissibility”
- § 25:4 Practice tips

CHAPTER 26. DISCOVERY

- § 26:1 The Maryland discovery rules
- § 26:2 The purpose of discovery
- § 26:3 Discovery in the District Court
- § 26:4 Discovery in the Circuit Court
- § 26:5 Sanctions and remedies
- § 26:6 Practice tips

**CHAPTER 27. MOTIONS AND TRIAL
PROCEDURE**

- § 27:1 The rules governing motions
- § 27:2 Nature of proof at trial
- § 27:3 Order of proof at trial
- § 27:4 Practice tips

**CHAPTER 28. THE FIFTH AMENDMENT
PRIVILEGE**

A. INTRODUCTION

- § 28:1 Introduction
- § 28:2 Reasonable and good faith invocation

**B. THE PRIVILEGE REQUIRES GOVERNMENTAL
COMPULSION**

- § 28:3 No compulsion involved because privilege never
invoked
- § 28:4 Compulsion—Pervasive criminal regulation
- § 28:5 —*Garritty* and the penalty cases
- § 28:6 The penalty cases and the uncertain impact of *McKune*
v. Lile
- § 28:7 No governmental compulsion—The production of
documents cases
- § 28:8 Production of documents—The impact of *United States*
v. Hubbell

§ 28:9 Limited protection for required records

C. PRIVILEGE REQUIRES *TESTIMONIAL* COMMUNICATION

§ 28:10 No *testimonial* evidence at issue—Blood tests, writing samples, etc.

§ 28:11 Limitations on compelled non-testimonial evidence

D. PRIVILEGE REQUIRES *SELF*-INCRIMINATION

§ 28:12 No “self” involved—Collective entity doctrine

§ 28:13 No self-incrimination possible—Final conviction

§ 28:14 —Adequate grant of immunity

§ 28:15 No self-incrimination—Non-criminal consequences

§ 28:16 The prosecution feared is in a foreign country

E. INFERENCES FROM INVOCATION OF THE PRIVILEGE

§ 28:17 Adverse inferences—Not allowed in trial of criminal cases

§ 28:18 —Permitted in civil proceedings

§ 28:19 Adverse inference—Potential use by defendant in criminal case

F. INVOCATION OF THE PRIVILEGE

§ 28:20 Witness’ invocation at trial—Proper procedure by prosecutor

§ 28:21 Proper procedure by defendant

§ 28:22 Unexpected invocation by a witness

§ 28:23 Only the witness can invoke the witness’ privilege

§ 28:24 Defense witness immunity

§ 28:25 Duty to warn a witness of the privilege

§ 28:26 In Maryland, a witness can still assert privilege after volunteering an incriminating answer

§ 28:27 Defendant’s election to testify and consequent waiver of privilege against self-incrimination

§ 28:28 The represented defendant’s election to testify

§ 28:29 The self-represented defendant’s election to testify

§ 28:30 The testifying defendant’s consequent waiver of the privilege against self-incrimination

§ 28:31 A defendant’s change of election not to testify

G. THE GRANT OF IMMUNITY COUNTERS THE PRIVILEGE

§ 28:32 Compelling testimony by granting a witness immunity

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 28:33 Restrictions on direct use of such immunized testimony
- § 28:34 Procedure for a “taint” hearing
- § 28:35 Standard by which to measure evidentiary taint
- § 28:36 Non-evidentiary uses of compelled testimony
- § 28:37 Remedy for improper use of immunized testimony

H. WAIVER OF PRIVILEGE

- § 28:38 Introduction
- § 28:39 Waiver by Prior Disclosure
- § 28:40 Practice tips

CHAPTER 29. CO-DEFENDANT STATEMENTS: THE JOINT TRIAL

- § 29:1 The joint trial context: from *Bruton* to *Gray*—Redactions and instructions
- § 29:2 Co-defendant’s statements admitted directly against defendant: *Roberts* and corroboration, not confrontation
- § 29:3 The Impact of *Crawford*: Confrontation not corroboration
- § 29:4 *Crawford* and *Bruton* and co-defendant’s *testimonial* statements
- § 29:5 *Bruton*, *Crawford*, and non-testimonial statements

CHAPTER 30. STATEMENTS AGAINST PENAL INTEREST

- § 30:1 Hearsay statement of a co-defendant not at a joint trial: preliminary considerations
- § 30:2 Co-defendant’s inculpatory statement against interest: *Matusky*
- § 30:3 Inculpatory penal interest denied: from *Matusky* to *Morris* and beyond
- § 30:4 Co-defendant’s *exculpatory* statement against penal interest: *Gray*, *Roebuck*, *Stewart*

CHAPTER 31. THE CO-CONSPIRATOR EXCEPTION TO THE HEARSAY RULE

- § 31:1 The foundation for admitting co-conspirator statements
- § 31:2 When does the conspiracy end?
- § 31:3 Tacit Admissions—The Intersection Between Co-conspirator Statements and the Confrontation Clause

CHAPTER 32. THE LAW OF WIRETAP

- § 32:1 Introduction
- § 32:2 Protections
- § 32:3 Reasonable Expectation of Privacy
- § 32:4 Videotaping Police in the Performance of their Duties
- § 32:5 Consent
- § 32:6 One-Party Consent
- § 32:7 Lawful Interception in one Jurisdiction, but not in Maryland
- § 32:8 Application for a Wiretap
- § 32:9 Pre-conditions
- § 32:10 Post-conditions
- § 32:11 The Exclusionary Rule and *Miles v. State*
- § 32:12 Impeachment
- § 32:13 The Clean-Hands Doctrine
- § 32:14 Pen Registers
- § 32:15 Video recording without audio
- § 32:16 Wiretap Statutes and Artificial Intelligence

CHAPTER 33. ADOPTIVE ADMISSIONS

- § 33:1 Introduction
- § 33:2 Adoptive admission by silence—Tacit admission
- § 33:3 Adoptive admission by affirmative conduct
- § 33:4 Constitutional limitations on tacit admissions

CHAPTER 34. CIVIL CAUSES OF ACTION FOR ILLEGAL INTERROGATIONS

- § 34:1 Introduction
- § 34:2 1983 Claims
- § 34:3 The Maryland Declaration of Rights and *Widgeon*
- § 34:4 The egregious practices in *Prince George's County v. Longtin*
- § 34:5 Section § 1983 as Applied to Confession Violations
- § 34:6 *Bivens* Actions against Federal Officers.

CHAPTER 35. PRIVILEGED CONFESSIONS

- § 35:1 Introduction
- § 35:2 Maryland spousal privilege statutes
- § 35:3 Federal Rule of Evidence 501
- § 35:4 Waiver
- § 35:5 What is a Communication?
- § 35:6 Other family privileges
- § 35:7 Clergy-Penitent Privilege Broadly

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 35:8	Clergy-Penitent Privilege Under Maryland law
§ 35:9	Attorney-Client Privilege in Maryland

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index