Index

ABDUCTION ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE -Cont'd Child custody evaluations, Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects Qualification determination, 2:17 of International Child Abduction Quantity, quality and fit, 2:18 (Hague Convention), 19:22 Reliability Criteria for experts, **2:14 ABUSE** Ouantity, quality and fit, 2:18 Elder abuse, forensic autopsy, 6:14 Scientific reasoning, 2:8 Examination. Sexual Abuse Exami-Scientific knowledge, voir dire quesnation, this index tions, 2:23 False memories, common mispercep-Scientific methodology, voir dire tions about allegations of abuse, questions, 2:24 Scientific reasoning Sexual Abuse Examination, this Generally, 2:3-2:12 index Datum of a discipline, 2:10 Substance Abuse, this index Error rates, 2:6 ACKNOWLEDGMENT Hypothesis testing, 2:5 Child protection assessment, failing Ipse dixit/subjective belief, 2:12 to acknowledge/respond to evi-Methodology, 2:4 dence of abuse, 18:10 Peer review. 2:9 **ACTUS REUS** Proficiency testing, 2:11 Competence and criminal Reliability, 2:8 responsibility, 14:21 Validity, 2:7 ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE Selection of experts Criteria, 2:20 Generally, 2:1-2:25 Cross-examination, generally, 2:1-Cross examination. 2:22 2:25 Direct exam, 2:19 Daubert trilogy, 2:2 Strategy for cross-examination, 2:25 Decisional template, 2:15-2:18 Syndrome evidence, CSAAS and Direct examination, generally, 2:1-RTS, 25:5 2:25 Tests and testing Error rates, 2:6 Hypothesis testing, 2:5 Fit of expert of evidence, 2:13 Proficiency testing, 2:11 Helpfulness determinations, 2:16 Validity, scientific reasoning, 2:7 Hypothesis testing, 2:5 Voir dire questions Introduction, 2:1 Scientific knowledge, 2:23 Ipse dixit/subjective belief, 2:12 Scientific methodology, 2:24 Methodology, scientific reasoning, 2:4 ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE Peer review, scientific reasoning, 2:9 Child protection assessment, admis-Process of direct examination, 2:21 sion of poor quality evidence,

Proficiency testing, 2:11

18:8-18:11

ADULTS

Adult court. Delinquency Cases, this index

False memories, adult suggestibility, 10:12

Risk and Dangerousness in Adults, this index

ADULTS CAN'T TELL

False memories, 10:22

AGGRESSIVE BEHAVIORS

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, risk assessment, 20:8

ALCOHOL

Forensic autopsy, 6:11

ALIENATED CHILD

Child custody evaluations, refuse/ resist cases, **19:6**

ALLELIC DROPOUT

DNA mixtures, reporting conclusions from LCN DNA, allelic dropout and, 8:22

AMENABILITY TO TREATMENT

Delinquency cases, juvenile transfers to adult court. 22:28

AMERICAN APPROACH

Treatment of expert evidence, 1:2

AMERICAN PROFESSIONAL SOCIETY ON THE ABUSE OF CHILDREN (APSAC)

Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

ANATOMICALLY CORRECT DOLLS AND PUPPETS

Child sexual abuse interviews, use in, 23:49

False memories, 10:17

ANOVA

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:38

ANTHROPOLOGISTS

Forensic autopsy, 6:3

APPEALS

Competence to waive, 14:14

ASPHYXIA

Forensic autopsy, 6:6

ASSESSMENTS

Child Protection Assessment, this index

Competence and Criminal Responsibility, this index

Eyewitness memory, 9:1 et seq.

Forensic Assessments, this index Malingering Assessment, this index

Munchausen by proxy, 26:5

Probability and statistics for lawyers, assessment terms, **4:26**

Professional Standards for Clinical Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

Repressed and Recovered Memory, this index

Syndrome evidence, Munchausen by proxy, **26:5**

ASSISTED OUTPATIENT TREATMENT PROGRAM

Kendra's Law, involuntary commitment of mentally ill, **16:22**

ASSUMPTIONS

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:54

ATTACHMENT THERAPIES

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, 20:23

ATTENTION-DEFICIT HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER

Forensic neuropsychological and neurological evaluation of violence, **28:14**

ATTORNEYS

Involuntary commitment of mentally ill, 16:25

Probability and Statistics for Lawyers, this index

RRM-MPD-DID cases, caution to attorneys handling, **11:3**

Index-2

AUTISM AND SPECTRUM DISORDER

Forensic neuropsychological and neurological evaluation of violence, **28:17**

AUTOMOBILES AND OTHER VEHICLES

DNA, forensic use of, FBI's failure to explore DNA from presumed getaway vehicle, **8:48**

AUTOPSY

Forensic Autopsy, this index

AVERAGE REPORTS

Child Custody Evaluations, this index

BASE RATES

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:32 Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:43, 4:60

BAYES' RULE

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:41

Sexual Abuse Examination, this index

BEHAVIORAL INDICATORS

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:32

BIAS AND PREJUDICE

Child custody evaluations, evaluator bias considerations, **19:16**

Child protection assessment, consequences of biased investigations in child protection context, **18:12**

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:33 DNA, Forensic Use of, this index False memories, bias of interviewer, 10:18

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:13

BIOLOGISTS

DNA, forensic use of, 8:18

BLIND INTERVIEWING

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:21

BLUNT INJURIES

Forensic autopsy, 6:10

BODY DIAGRAMS

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:48

BURDEN OF PROOF

Presumptions and Burden of Proof, this index

CANNOT BE EXCLUDED

DNA, forensic use of, 8:26

CAPACITY TO WAIVE RIGHTS

Miranda Rights, this index

CAPITAL PROCEEDINGS

Forensic neuropsychological and neurological evaluation of violence, **28:27**

CAUSATION

Neuropsychiatric and Neurobehavioral Evaluation, this index

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:8

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORDS

DNA, forensic use of, 8:5

CHANGED CIRCUMSTANCES

Child custody evaluations, 19:19

CHILD ABUSE

Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

Forensic autopsy, 6:14

Interviews. Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

Sexual abuse interviews. Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

CHILD CUSTODY EVALUATIONS

Generally, 19:1 et seq.

Abduction, Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (Hague Convention), 19:22

Alienated child, refuse/resist cases, **19:6**

Average reports

Generally, 19:32-19:37

Favorable outcome and average evaluation and report, **19:34**

CHILD CUSTODY EVALUATIONS

-Cont'd —Cont'd Average reports-Cont'd Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Samples Abduction (Hague Convention), Cross-examination, 19:37 19:22 Direct examination, 19:35 High conflict, 19:5 Voir dire, 19:33 History in family courts, 19:2 Unfavorable outcome and average International matters evaluation and report, 19:36 Hague Convention on the Civil Bias of evaluator, considerations, Aspects of International Child 19:16 Abduction (Hague Conven-Changed circumstances, 19:19 tion), 19:22 Complex work in, 19:3 Relocation, 19:9 Constructive cross-examination ques-Jurisdiction, Uniform Child Custody tions, samples, 19:31 Jurisdiction and Enforcement Consultant, role of, 19:12 Act (UCCJEA), 19:22 Destructive cross-examination, Legal basis samples, 19:30 Generally, 19:13-19:22 Developmentally sensitive parenting Case law, 19:15 plans, 19:10 Changed circumstances, 19:19 Direct examinations Evaluator bias considerations, Average reports, samples, 19:35 19:16 Highly deficient evaluation and Expert witness consultation and report, sample direct/ testimony, generally, 19:13constructive cross examina-19:22 tion, 19:39 Grandparent visitation, 19:21 Very good report, sample direct Hague Convention on the Civil examination questions, 19:28 Aspects of International Child Divorce research and child adjust-Abduction (Hague Convenment. 19:4 tion), 19:22 Domestic violence, 19:7 Legal basis, 19:13 Evaluator bias considerations, 19:16 Relocation, 19:18 Expert witness, role of, 19:12 Role of recommendations, gener-Family courts, history in, 19:2 ally, 19:13-19:22 Favorable outcomes Sibling issues, 19:20 Average evaluation and report, Statutory law, 19:14 19:34 Subject matters, case law, 19:17 Highly deficient evaluation and Uniform Child Custody Jurisdicreport, sample direct/ tion and Enforcement Act constructive cross examina-(UCCJEA), 19:22 tion, 19:39 Recommendations, 19:11 Very good report, sample direct Relocation, 19:9, 19:18 examination questions, 19:28 Reports Foreign state or country. International Generally, 19:23-19:26 matters, below Average reports, above General divorce research and child adjustment, 19:4 Court, taking report to, 19:25 Grandparent visitation, 19:21 Gold standard, 19:24

CHILD CUSTODY EVALUATIONS

CHILD CUSTODY EVALUATIONS

-Cont'd

Reports—Cont'd

Sample questions regarding witness's qualifications and voir dire, **19:26**

Voir dire, sample questions regarding witness's qualifications and, **19:26**

Sample constructive cross-examination questions, **19:31**

Sample destructive cross-examination, **19:30**, **19:41**

Sample questions regarding witness's qualifications and voir dire, 19:26

Sexual abuse allegations, 19:8

Sibling issues, 19:20

Statutory law, 19:14

Subject matters, case law, 19:17

Unfavorable outcomes

Highly deficient evaluation and report, **19:40**

Very good report, 19:29

Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (UCCJEA), 19:22

Voir dire

Average reports, samples, 19:33 Sample questions regarding witness's qualifications and, 19:26

CHILD MOLESTERS

Sex offender evaluation Pedophilic disorder, **29:9**

CHILD PROTECTION ASSESSMENT

Generally, 18:1 et seq.

Abuse

Eliciting false report of abuse, **18:9** Failing to acknowledge/respond to evidence of abuse, **18:10**

Admission of poor quality evidence, **18:8-18:11**

Biased investigations in child protection context, consequences of, 18:12

CHILD PROTECTION

ASSESSMENT—Cont'd

Consequences of failure, 18:12, 18:13

Eliciting false report of abuse, **18:9** Evidence, admission of poor quality, **18:8-18:11**

Expert witnesses, minimally acceptable principles, **18:6**

Failing to acknowledge/respond to evidence of abuse, 18:10

False report of abuse, 18:9

Forensic mental health evaluations, minimally acceptable principles, 18:4

History, 18:1

Interviews and investigations

Biased investigations in child protection context, consequences of, 18:12

Minimally acceptable principles, **18:5**

Limited, unethical, or biased investigations in child protection context, consequences of, **18:12**

Minimally acceptable principles

Generally, 18:3-18:7

Expert witnesses, 18:6

Forensic mental health evaluations, **18:4**

Interviewer/investigator, 18:5

Necessity of, 18:3

Voir dire for expert witnesses, **18:7**

Necessity of minimally acceptable principles, **18:3**

Poor quality evidence, admission of, 18:8-18:11

Scope of chapter, 18:2

Unethical investigations in child protection context, consequences of, **18:12**

Voir dire for expert witnesses, minimally acceptable principles, 18:7

CHILDREN AND MINORS

Abuse

Child Protection Assessment, this index

CHILDREN AND MINORS—Cont'd

Abuse—Cont'd

Child sexual abuse accommodation syndrome (CSAAS). Syndrome Evidence, this index

Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

CSAAS. Syndrome Evidence, this index

Examination. Sexual Abuse Examination, this index

Forensic autopsy, **6:14**

Interviews. Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

Parental alienation as psychological abuse of children of highconflict families, 21:12

Sexual abuse, below

Assessment. Child Protection Assessment, this index

Child Custody Evaluations, this index Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

Custody evaluations. Child Custody Evaluations, this index

Delinquency Cases, this index False Memories, this index

High-conflict families. Children of High-Conflict Families, this index

Involuntary confinement of minors, **16:6**

Juveniles, this index

Munchausen by proxy. Syndrome Evidence, this index

Protection assessment. Child Protection Assessment, this index

Sexual abuse

Child Sexual Abuse Accommodation Syndrome (CSAAS), this index

Interviews. Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

Sexual Abuse Examinations, this index

CHILDREN OF HIGH-CONFLICT FAMILIES

Generally, 21:1 et seq.

CHILDREN OF HIGH-CONFLICT FAMILIES—Cont'd

Case examples, 21:14-21:16

Child psychological abuse, parental alienation as, **21:12**

Controversies regarding parental alienation, 21:9

Diagnosing parental alienation, 21:10 Interventions for parental alienation, 21:11

Intimate partner conflict, 21:3

Intimate partner violence, 21:4

Introduction, 21:1

Landscape of family conflict, 21:2

Loyalty conflicts, 21:5

Parental alienation, 21:6

Generally, 21:6

Child psychological abuse, 21:12

Controversies regarding, 21:9

Diagnosing, 21:10

Interventions, 21:11

Prevalence of, 21:13

Qualitative research, 21:7

Quantitative research, 21:8

Prevalence of parental alienation, 21:13

Qualitative research regarding parental alienation, **21:7**

Quantitative research regarding parental alienation, **21:8**

Research

Qualitative research, 21:7

Quantitative research, 21:8

CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE ACCOMMODATION SYNDROME (CSAAS)

Child sexual abuse interviews, **23:52** Syndrome Evidence, this index

CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE INTERVIEWS

American Professional Society on the Abuse of Children (APSAC)

1990 guidelines, **23:5**

1997 practice guidelines, psychosocial evaluation of suspected sexual abuse in children, 23:9

2012 practice guidelines, 23:13

CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE INTERVIEWS—Cont'd INTERVIEWS—Cont'd Memorandum of Good Practice Anatomically detailed dolls and puppets, use of, **23:49** (United Kingdom), 23:6 Appropriate interview rules, Misinformation, 23:37 establishment of, 23:23 Multiple interviews Behavioral indicators and base rates, Generally, 23:40-23:42 23:32 Extended forensic evaluation, 23:41 Bias of interviewer. 23:33 Parental questioning, 23:42 Blind interviewing, 23:21 National Center on Child Abuse and Body diagrams, 23:48 Neglect (NCCAN), 23:7 Case examples, 23:53 National Institute of Child Health and Child Sexual Abuse Accommodation Human Development (NICHD) Syndrome (CSAAS), 23:52 Investigative interviewing Child Sexual Abuse Syndrome protocol, 23:11 (CSAS), validation testimony Revised Investigative Interview from the, **23:31** Protocol: Version 2018, 23:14 Cognitive Interview, 23:15 NICHD. National Institute of Child CornerHouse forensic interviewing Health and Human Development protocol: RATAC/Gundersen (NICHD), above NCPTC ChildFirst forensic Nonverbal props, use of interview protocol, 23:10 Generally, 23:47 Denials, treating as dynamics of Anatomically detailed dolls and abuse disclosure, 23:46 puppets, 10:17, 23:49 Disclosure, treating denials and Body diagrams, 23:48 recantations as dynamics of Stereotype induction, 23:50 abuse, 23:46 Open-ended questions, 23:25 Extended forensic evaluation, Out-of-court statements, 23:18 multiple interviews, 23:41 Overview, 23:34 Forensics Parental questioning, multiple CornerHouse forensic interviewing interviews, 23:42 protocol: RATAC/Gundersen Peer contamination, 23:45 NCPTC ChildFirst forensic Play and trauma therapies, 23:35 interview protocol, 23:10 Prevalence of child sexual abuse, Multiple interviews, extended 23:2 forensic evaluation, 23:41 Props. Nonverbal props, use of, Hearsay testimony, reliability, 23:19 above History, generally, 23:3-23:15 Questionable techniques, 23:29 Hypothesis testing, 23:24 Questions and questioning Introduction, 23:1 Junk science theories and question-Introduction of information, able techniques, 23:29 misinformation or modifications, Leading questions, 23:36 23:37 Multiple interviews, above Inviting speculation, 23:44 Open-ended questions, 23:25 Jones-McQuiston guidelines, 23:4 Questionable techniques, 23:29 Junk science theories and question-Repeated questions, 23:39 able techniques, 23:29 Specific or focused questions, 23:38 Leading questions, 23:36

CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE INTERVIEWS—Cont'd

Rapport building, 23:22

Recantations, treating as dynamics of abuse disclosure, 23:46

Reinforcement, punishments and rewards, 23:43

Repeated questions, 23:39

Sgroi method of validating child sexual abuse, 23:30

Specific or focused questions, 23:38

Speculation, inviting, 23:44

Step-wise interview protocol, 23:8

Stereotype induction, 23:50

Suggestibility and suggestive interviewing techniques, generally, 23:34-23:50

Treating denials and recantations as dynamics of abuse disclosure, **23:46**

Treatment of sexual abuse under the

Generally, 23:16-23:19

Expert testimony, 23:17

Hearsay testimony, reliability, 23:19

Out-of-court statements, 23:18

U.K. Ministry of Justice interviewing guidelines, 23:12

Unsupported techniques, dangers of, 23:27

Validation testimony from the Child Sexual Abuse Syndrome (CSAS), 23:31

Videotaping, 23:26

CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE SYNDROME (CSAS)

Child sexual abuse interviews, validation testimony, **23:31**

CHI SQUARE

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:37

CIVIL COMMITMENT

Involuntary Commitment of Mentally Ill, this index

CLINICAL HISTORY

Sexual Abuse Examination, this index

CLINICAL PSYCHIATRY AND PSYCHOLOGY

Professional Standards for Clinical Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

CLINICAL STANDARDS

Professional Standards for Clinical Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

COGNITIVE BIAS

DNA mixtures and the impact of cognitive bias on RFU thresholds, 8:23

COGNITIVE INTERVIEW

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:15

COLD CASES

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

COMMITMENT OF PERSONS

Involuntary Commitment of Mentally Ill, this index

COMMON KNOWLEDGE

False memories, 10:6

COMPETENCE AND CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY

Appeals, competence to waive, 14:14

Assessment, generally, **14:1** et seq.

Burden of proof, competence to stand trial, **14:5**

Common errors in evaluations, **14:18**

Concept, competence as, 14:2

Criminal competencies, generally, **14:2 et seq.**

Criminal responsibility and related defenses, **14:20**

Cross-examination, questions on, **14:19**

Defenses

Criminal responsibility and related defenses, 14:20

Insanity and Insanity Defense, this index

Mens rea defenses, below

Direct-examination, questions on, 14:17

DNA, forensic use of, 8:54

COMPETENCE AND CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY—Cont'd

Evaluations

Generally, 14:16-14:19

Common errors, 14:18

Cross-examination, questions on, **14:19**

Direct-examination, questions on, 14:17

Insanity and Insanity Defense, this index

Questions on direct-examination, **14:17**

Executed, competence to be, **14:15** Extradition, competence to proceed with, **14:11**

False memories, 10:4

Fifth Amendment implications of trial competence evaluations, **14:6**

Insanity and Insanity Defense, this index

Involuntary Commitment of Mentally Ill, this index

Legal standard, competence to stand trial, **14:4**

Mens rea defenses

Generally, **14:25**

Actus reus, basic concepts, **14:21**Miranda rights, competence to waive, **14:10**

Mistake or error, evaluations, **14:18**Outside United States, competence to stand trial, **14:8**

Plead or reuse plea, competence to, 14:12

Presumptions and burden of proof, competence to stand trial, **14:5**

Questions

Cross-examination, 14:19

Direct-examination, 14:17

Self-representation, competence for, 14:13

Treatment of defendants found incompetent, **14:7**

Trial, competence to stand. Competence to Stand Trial, this index

Waiver of Miranda rights, 14:10

COMPETENCE TO STAND TRIAL

Generally, 14:3

Burden of proof, 14:5

Delinquency Cases, this index

Forensic neuropsychological and neurological evaluation of violence, **28:30**

Legal standard, 14:4

Outside United States, 14:8

CONDITIONAL RELEASE

Involuntary commitment of mentally ill, **16:23**

CONDUCT DISORDER

Forensic neuropsychological and neurological evaluation of violence, **28:16**

CONFESSIONS

Interrogative Suggestibility, this index

CONFIDENCE

Probability and statistics for lawyers, confidence level and confidence interval, 4:35

CONSCIOUS PAIN AND SUFFERING

Forensic autopsy, **6:19**

CONSENT

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, informed consent, **20:5**

CONSTRUCTION AND INTERPRETATION

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index Sexual abuse examination, interpreting medical findings in suspected sexual abuse, **7:9**

Suspected sexual abuse, interpreting medical findings in, 7:9

CONSTRUCT VALIDITY

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:17**

CONSULTANTS

Child custody evaluations, 19:12

CONTENT VALIDITY

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:16

CONTRACTS

Independent medical examinations (IMEs), **5:4**

CORNERHOUSE FORENSIC INTERVIEWING PROTOCOL

Child sexual abuse interviews: RATAC/Gundersen NCPTC ChildFirst forensic interview protocol, 23:10

COUNSEL

Attorneys, this index

CREDIBILITY

False memories, 10:4

CRIME LABS

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

CRIMINAL COMMITMENT

Involuntary commitment of mentally ill, **16:12**

CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

DNA. Forensic Use of, this index

CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY

Competence and Criminal Responsibility, this index

CRITERION-RELATED VALIDITY

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:21**

CRITICAL INCIDENT STRESS DEBRIEFING

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, **20:20**

CROSSOVER

Sex offender evaluation, 29:10

CSAAS

Child sexual abuse accommodation syndrome (CSAAS). Syndrome Evidence, this index

CULTURAL FACTORS

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, risk assessment, 20:9

CUSTODY AND CUSTODIANS

Child Custody Evaluations, this index Delinquency cases, custodial obligation, 22:7

DAMAGES

Neuropsychiatric and Neurobehavioral Evaluation, this index

DANGEROUSNESS

Involuntary Commitment of Mentally Ill, this index

Risk and Dangerousness in Adults, this index

DATABASES

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

DEATH

Forensic Autopsy, this index

DEATH PENALTY

Forensic Neuropsychological and Neurological Evaluation of Violence, this index

DEBRIEFING

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, critical incident stress, **20:20**

DECEIT

Fraud and Deceit, this index

DECISION-MAKING

Forensic Medicine, Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

DEFENSE LAWYERS

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:62**

DEFENSES

Competence and Criminal Responsibility, this index Insanity and Insanity Defense, this index

DEFENSES—Cont'd Mens rea defenses. (

Mens rea defenses. Competence and Criminal Responsibility, this index

DELINQUENCY CASES

Generally, 22:1 et seq.

Adult court, juvenile transfers to Amenability to treatment, 22:28 Description and history, 22:26 Forensic assessments for juvenile transfers, 22:27-22:30

Risk of violent behavior/ dangerousness, 22:29

Specific assessment tools, 22:25

Specific tools assessment, **22:30** Amenability to treatment, juvenile

transfers to adult court, **22:28** Competency to stand trial

Competency restoration, 22:23

Correlates of competency to stand trial in juveniles, 22:22

Description and history, 22:21

Measurement, 22:24

Specific assessment tools, 22:25

Correlates of competency to stand trial in juveniles, 22:22

Custodial obligation, 22:7

Description, juvenile transfers to adult court, **22:26**

Due process obligation, 22:8

Forensic assessments, generally, 22:1 et seq.

Forensic evaluators, who are, **22:2** History of juvenile courts, **22:3**

Introduction, 22:1

Juvenile courts, history of, 22:3

Landmark cases, 22:5

Maltreatment. Mental health screening and assessment for youth in correction system, below

Measurement of competency to stand trial, 22:24

Mental health diagnoses in juvenile justice system, **22:6**

Mental health screening and assessment for youth in correction system

General psychiatric assessment Generally, **22:12**

DELINQUENCY CASES—Cont'd

Mental health screening and assessment for youth in correction system—Cont'd

General psychiatric assessment
—Cont'd

Specific assessment tools, 22:13

Introduction, 22:10

Maltreatment. Trauma and child maltreatment, below in this group

Social and development history, **22:11**

Special education, 22:16

Substance abuse, specific assessment tools, 22:20

Substance use assessment, 22:19

Trauma and child maltreatment

Generally, 22:17

Specific assessment tools, 22:18

Violence risk assessment

Generally, **22:14**

Specific assessment tools, 22:15

Public safety obligation, 22:9

Recent trends in juvenile justice system, **22:4**

Restoration of competency to stand trial, **22:23**

Risk of violent behavior

Adult court, juvenile transfers to, 22:29

Violence risk assessment, below

Screening. Mental health screening and assessment for youth in correction system, above

Social and development history, mental health screening and assessment, 22:11

Special education, mental health screening and assessment, 22:16

Specific assessment tools

Adult court, juvenile transfers to, 22:25, 22:30

Competency to stand trial, 22:25

Mental health screening and assessment for youth in correction system, 22:13

Substance abuse, mental health screening and assessment, **22:20**

DELINQUENCY CASES—Cont'd

Substance use assessment, mental health screening and assessment, 22:19

Trial. Competency to stand trial, above

Violence risk assessment Generally, 22:14 Specific assessment tools, 22:15

DERMATOLOGIC AND RELATED **DISORDERS**

Sexual abuse examination, 7:12

DESCRIPTIVE AND DIFFERENTIAL STATISTICS

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:3

DEVELOPMENTALLY SENSITIVE PARENTING PLANS

Child custody evaluations, 19:10

DEVELOPMENTAL TRAUMA

PTSD, the brain and violence, 28:9

DIAGRAMS AND DRAWINGS

Child sexual abuse interviews, body diagrams, 23:48

False memories, 10:17

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

Munchausen by proxy, 26:6 Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:36

DIFFUSION TENSOR IMAGING **ADMISSIBILITY**

Neuropsychiatric and neurobehavioral evaluation, 17:14

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Admissibility of Evidence, this index Child Custody Evaluations, this index Competence and criminal

responsibility, 14:17

Evewitness memory for people and events, sample questions, 9:21

False memories, sample direct examination questions, 10:23

Independent medical examinations, 5:9

DIRECT EXAMINATION—Cont'd

Insanity and insanity defense, 14:27

DISCLOSURE

Child sexual abuse interviews, treating denials and recantations as dynamics of abuse disclosure, 23:46

DISQUALIFICATION

Qualification or Disqualification, this index

DISSOCIATIVE IDENTITY DISORDER

Repressed and Recovered Memory, this index

DISSOCIATIVE IDENTITY **DISORDER-ORIENTED** THERAPY

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, 20:24

DIVORCE

Child custody evaluations, divorce research and child adjustment, 19:4

DNA, FORENSIC USE OF

Generally, 8:1 et seq.

Accurate chain of custody records, 8:5

Additional DNA inquiries, investigations which avoid, 8:14

Analytical artifacts, 8:11

Ancient/cold case DNA and contamination, 8:29

Bias

Interpretation of DNA challenges and impact of various forms of bias, 8:12

LCN and impact of cognitive bias on RFU thresholds, 8:23

Biologists, 8:18

Bungled investigation by FBI, residential effects, 8:51

"Cannot be excluded," 8:26

Chain of custody records, accurate, 8:5

DNA, FORENSIC USE OF-Cont'd DNA. FORENSIC USE OF—Cont'd Cold cases FBI, fools, fraud and Ancient/cold case DNA and Generally, 8:47 et seq. contamination, 8:29 Incompetence and outright fraud, 8:54 Contamination, 8:32 Juror tendency to harbor Databases, casework initiatives, unrealistic confidence in 8:17 crime labs, 8:52 Comparative DNA matches, 8:10 "Miracle" as contamination event, Confidence, juror tendency to harbor 8:53 unrealistic confidence in FBI Murder weapon, failure to explore crime labs, 8:52 DNA from, 8:49, 8:50 Construction and interpretation. Presumed getaway vehicle, failure Interpretations, below to explore DNA from, 8:48 Crime labs Recent developments, 8:55 FBI Crime Lab, below Residential effects in bungled Lacking awareness of DNA investigation, 8:51 contamination and corrective FBI Crime Lab actions in crime labs. 8:30 Faulty interpretations from, 8:42 Substandard investigation and bad Residential effects, 8:45 crime lab interpretations, 8:37 Forensic biologists, 8:18 Criminal justice system, DNA use in, Fraud. FBI, fools, fraud and, above generally, 8:15-8:27 Fundamentals of assessing DNA Databases mixtures, 8:25 Cold casework initiatives, 8:17 Good intentions, failure of, 8:28 et Development of, 8:16 Reversal of wrongful convictions, Highly-publicized contamination of 8:17 cold case evidence, 8:31 Data interpretations, **8:11** How DNA is used to investigate Definition of DNA, 8:2 crimes, 8:3 Description and definition of DNA, Illumination, examination of evi-8:2 dence, 8:7 Development of databases, 8:16 Incompetence and outright fraud, DNA mixtures FBI, 8:54 Fundamentals of assessing, 8:25 Interpretations LCN and impact of cognitive bias Bias, interpretation of DNA chalon RFU thresholds, 8:23 lenges and impact of various Misinterpretations, history of, 8:24 forms of, 8:12 DNA transfer events. Transfer events, Data interpretations, 8:11 below DNA mixtures, history of Domain irrelevant information, resimisinterpretations, 8:24 dential effects, 8:46 FBI Crime Lab, faulty interpreta-Error. Mistake or error, below tions from, 8:42 Every contact leaves a trace, 8:19 Relative flourescence unit (RFU) Examination of evidence, 8:7 thresholds, 8:11 Eyewitness memory, DNA exonera-Substandard investigation and bad tion cases, 9:16 crime lab interpretations, 8:37 Failure to consider the evidence, resi-Interviews and investigations. dential effects, 8:44 Investigations, below

DNA, FORENSIC USE OF-Cont'd

Investigations

Additional DNA inquiries, investigations which avoid, 8:14

Bungled investigation by FBI, residential effects, **8:51**

How DNA is used to investigate crimes, **8:3**

Substandard investigations, below Juror tendency to harbor unrealistic confidence in FBI crime labs, 8:52

Lacking awareness of DNA contamination and corrective actions in crime labs, **8:30**

LCN. Low copy number DNA evidence, below

Likelihood ratio statistics, 8:36

Limitations, misinterpretations, and misrepresentations, **8:33**

Locard's Principal, reality of DNA transfer events, **8:20**

Low copy number DNA evidence Reporting conclusions, allelic dropout and DNA mixtures, 8:22

The impact of cognitive bias on RFU thresholds, **8:23**

Microscopy, examination of evidence, **8:7**

"Miracle" as contamination event, FBI, **8:53**

Misinterpretation of DNA mixtures, history of, **8:24**

Mistake or error

Error rates and how errors manifest themselves in forensic DNA analysis, 8:35

FBI, residential effects of bungled investigation by, **8:51**

Substandard investigation faulty analysis and, 8:38

Molecular photocopier, goal of, **8:10** Murder weapon, FBI's failure to explore DNA from, **8:49**, **8:50**

Nobel Prize-winning work facilitating forensic human identification, **8:9**

DNA, FORENSIC USE OF-Cont'd

Photography, examination of evidence, **8:7**

Population statistical calculations, **8:26**

Presumed getaway vehicle, FBI's failure to explore DNA from, 8:48

Prioritization of evidence, **8:6**Probabilistic genotyping software,

recent developments in utilization of, 8:27

Processing of evidence

Generally, 8:6

Prior to production of DNA typing data, **8:8**

Prosecution and defense response to "we have DNA" claim, **8:39**

Relative flourescence unit (RFU) thresholds

Analytical artifacts and DNA data interpretations, **8:11**

The impact of cognitive bias on RFU thresholds, **8:23**

Reporting conclusions from LCN DNA, allelic dropout and DNA mixtures, 8:22

Residential effects

Bungled investigation, FBI, **8:51**Domain irrelevant information, **8:46**

Failure to consider the evidence, **8:44**

FBI crime lab, 8:45

Reversal of wrongful convictions, 8:17

RFU. Relative flourescence unit (RFU) thresholds, above

Scientific strategies of biological evidence/DNA examinations, 8:15

Searchable DNA databases, development of, **8:16**

Sexual assault cases, DNA in, 8:43

Sources of DNA typically collected from crime scene, **8:4**

Speculation as to how or how much DNA, **8:41**

DNA, FORENSIC USE OF-Cont'd

Statistics

Likelihood ratio statistics, **8:36**Population statistical calculations, **8:26**

Storage of evidence, 8:6

Substandard investigation

Bad crime lab interpretations, **8:37** Faulty analysis, **8:38**

Thresholds. Relative flourescence unit (RFU) thresholds, above

Transfer events

Every contact leaves a trace, **8:19** Locard's Principal, **8:20**

Whose DNA is it, 8:21

"We have DNA" claim

Generally, 8:40

Prosecution and defense response to, **8:39**

Whose DNA is it, 8:21

Y-STR typing technology, increasing power and prevalence of, **8:13**

DOCUMENTS AND DOCUMENTATION

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, **20:11**

Sexual Abuse Examination, this index

DOLLS

Child sexual abuse interviews, use of anatomically detailed dolls and puppets, 23:49

False memories, anatomical dolls, **10:17**

DOMAIN IRRELEVANT INFORMATION

DNA, forensic use of, 8:46

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

Child custody evaluations, 19:7

DRAWINGS

Diagrams and Drawings, this index False memories, **10:17**

DUE PROCESS

Delinquency cases, 22:8

EFFECTIVE THERAPEUTIC RELATIONSHIP

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, 20:132

EFFECTIVE TREATMENT PLAN

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, 20:12

EFFECT SIZE

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:12

ELDER ABUSE

Forensic autopsy, **6:14**

ELECTIONS

Probability and statistics for lawyers, misuse in court, **4:65**

ENCODING INFORMATION IN MEMORY

Eyewitness memory, 9:8

ERRORS

Mistake or Error, this index

ESTOPPEL

Waiver and Estoppel, this index

ETHICS

Child protection assessment, consequences of unethical investigations in child protection context, **18:12**

Guiding principles, note on expert ethics, **4:53**

Limited, unethical, or biased investigations in child protection context, consequences of, **18:12**

Probability and Statistics for Lawyers, this index

Professional Standards for Clinical Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

EVALUATIONS

Child Custody Evaluations, this index Competence and Criminal Responsibility, this index Forensic Neuropsychological and Neurological Evaluation of

EVALUATIONS—Cont'd

Violence, this index Insanity and Insanity Defense, this index

EVALUATOR BIAS CONSIDERATIONS

Child custody evaluations, 19:16

EVERY CONTACT LEAVES A TRACE

DNA, forensic use of, 8:19

EVIDENCE

American approach, treatment of expert evidence, **1:2**

Australia, failure in treatment of expert evidence, **1:5**

Canada, reforms to treatment of expert evidence, **1:4**

Child protection assessment, admission of poor quality evidence, 18:8-18:11

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index Eyewitness Memory, this index Fit of expert and of, 2:13

Forensic Evidence, this index

Forensic neuropsychological and neurological evaluation of violence, neuroscience evidence, death penalty cases, 28:21

Interrogative suggestibility, lies about evidence by police, **12:3**

Presumptions and Burden of Proof, this index

Repressed and Recovered Memory, this index

Sexual Abuse Examination, this index

Syndrome Evidence, this index U.K. approach to treatment of expert evidence, 1:3

EXAMINATIONS

index

Child Custody Evaluations, this index
Direct Examinations, this index
DNA, forensic use of, 8:7
Independent Medical Examinations
(IMEs), this index
Sexual Abuse Examination, this

EXONERATION

Eyewitness memory, DNA exoneration cases, **9:16**

EXTERNAL VALIDITY

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:19

EXTRADITION

Competence and criminal responsibility, competence to proceed with extradition, **14:11**

EYEWITNESS MEMORY

Advances in science of memory and the law, 9:25

Assessment, generally, 9:1 et seq.

Challenging expert witnesses, **9:22** Cross-examination, sample questions.

9:24 Direct examination, sample ques-

tions, **9:21**DNA exoneration cases, **9:16**

Encoding information in memory, 9:8

Forensic application

Generally, 9:11-9:16

DNA exoneration cases, 9:16

Treatment of eyewitness memory, **9:11**

Handling of forensic identification evidence, generally, **9:17-9:21**

History of forensic application of science and memory, **9:2**

Legal decisionmaking, impact of expert evidence on, **9:4**

Methodology for eyewitness evidence, **9:17**

Minimally acceptable principles, 9:17-9:21

Post hoc evaluation of eyewitness evidence, 9:3

Qualifications

Expert witnesses, 9:18

Questions on, 9:19

Questions

Qualifications, 9:19

Sample questions, below

Recognition memory, research on factors that impact, **9:7**

EYEWITNESS MEMORY—Cont'd **FALSE MEMORIES** Research on factors that impact rec-Generally, **10:1-10:33** ognition memory for people, 9:7 Abuse, common misperceptions Retrieval, 9:10 about allegations of, 10:7 Sample questions Adults can't tell, 10:22 Cross-examination, 9:24 Adult suggestibility, 10:12 Direct examination, 9:21 Alternative hypotheses, lack of any Science documented, 10:19 Generally, 9:7-9:10 Anatomical dolls and drawings, 10:17 Encoding information in memory, Bias of interviewer, 10:18 9:8 Recognition memory, research on Children factors that impact, 9:7 Generally, 10:2 Research on factors that impact Suggestibility, 10:11 recognition memory for Clinical vs. forensic goals, 10:16 people, 9:7 Common knowledge, role of expert Retrieval, 9:10 witness, **10:6** Storing information in memory, Common misperceptions about 9:9 allegations of abuse, role of Scope of eyewitness memory for expert witness, 10:7 people and events, 9:5 Competency and credibility, 10:4 Storing information in memory, **9:9** Cross-examination, negative effects Treatment of eyewitness memory, of, 10:20 9:11 Direct examination questions, Violation of criterion set for expert sample, 10:23 eyewitness testimony, 9:23 Dolls, anatomical, 10:17 Voir dire questions for experts in eye-Drawings, anatomical dolls and, witness identification, 9:20 10:17 Impact of expert witness, 10:8 **FACE VALIDITY** Infants. Children, above Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:15 Interviewer bias, 10:18 Interviewer's difficulty in FACILITATED COMMUNICATION reconstructing interviews, 10:15 Professional standards for clinical Jeffrey Modahl, 10:28 psychiatry and psychology, John Stoll, 10:29 20:22 Kern County, California 1980's **FACT-FEEDING OR** Generally, 10:25-10:29 CONTAMINATION Jeffrey Modahl, 10:28 Interrogative suggestibility, 12:4 John Stoll. 10:29 **FALLACIES** McCuans & Kniffens, 10:27 Probability and statistics for lawyers, Lack of any documented alternative hypotheses, 10:19 4:59-4:62 Lack of proper recording of **FALLS** interview, 10:14 Forensic autopsy, 6:13 Margaret Kelly Michaels, 10:31 **FALSE CONFESSIONS** McCuans & Kniffens, 10:27 McMartin Preschool, 10:30 Interrogative Suggestibility, this Minors. Children, above index

FALSE MEMORIES—Cont'd

Misperceptions about allegations of abuse, role of expert witness, **10:7**

Naturally occurring conversations, impact of, **10:13**

Negative effects of cross-examination, **10:20**

Parental questioning, negative impact of, **10:21**

Qualifying expert witness, **10:9** Questions

Direct examination, sample, **10:23**Parental questioning, negative impact of, **10:21**

Sample questions, below

Reconstructing interviews, interviewer's difficulty in, **10:15**

Recording of interview, lack of proper, **10:14**

Reliability of witness and, 10:3

Role of expert witness

Generally, 10:5-10:9

Common knowledge, 10:6

Common misperceptions about allegations of abuse, **10:7**

Impact of expert witness, 10:8

Qualifying expert witness, 10:9

Sample direct examination questions, **10:23**

Sample questions

Cross-examination, 10:24

Direct examination, 10:23

San Antonio Four, 10:32

Witness reliability and, 10:3

FALSE PROMISES

Leniency or threats of harm, 12:2

FALSE REPORT OF ABUSE

Child protection assessment, 18:9

FAMILY COURTS

Child custody evaluations, 19:2

FAMILY MEMBERS

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, **20:15**

FBI

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (FBI)

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

FEMALE CHILD

Sexual abuse examination, Bayes' Rule, 7:21

FIFTH AMENDMENT

Trial competence evaluations, 14:6

FIREARMS

Weapons and Firearms, this index

FIT

Expert of evidence, 2:13

FOREIGN STATE OR COUNTRY

Child Custody Evaluations, this index Competence to stand trial, **14:8** Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child

Abduction (Hague Convention), 19:22

Insanity and insanity defense, 14:24

FORENSIC APPLICATION

Eyewitness Memory, this index

FORENSIC ASSESSMENT

Delinquency Cases, this index Harassment and Stalking, this index

FORENSIC AUTOPSY

Generally, 6:1 et seq.

Alcohol, 6:11

Anthropologist's role, 6:3

Asphyxia, 6:6

Blunt injuries, 6:10

Child and elder abuse, 6:14

Conscious pain and suffering, 6:19

Falls, 6:13

Firearms. Weapons and firearms, below

Forensic pathologist versus hospital pathologist, **6:2**

Gunshot wounds, 6:7

Knife wounds, 6:9

Marijuana, 6:12

Medico-legal autopsy report, 6:20

FORENSIC AUTOPSY—Cont'd FORENSIC MEDICINE. **PSYCHIATRY AND** Petechial hemorrhages, 6:17 PSYCHOLOGY—Cont'd Restraint, 6:16 Mistake or error—Cont'd Scalding, 6:15 Cognitive errors and violations of Shotgun injury, 6:8 clinical axioms, 3:16 Strangulation, 6:18 Poor decision-making, below Weapons and firearms Poor decision-making Gunshot wounds, 6:7 Medicine, 3:14 Shotgun injury, **6:8** Psychiatry and psychology, **3:15** Wound and weapon compatibility, 6:4 Practice of medicine, 3:2 When necessary, **6:5** Primer. 3:2-3:11 Wound and weapon compatibility, Psychiatric education and training, 6:4 Science, behavioral science of psy-FORENSIC BIOLOGISTS chiatry and psychology as, 3:10 DNA, forensic use of, 8:18 Statistical concepts, **3:11** FORENSIC EVIDENCE Value of biological psychiatry, 3:5 Generally, 1:6-1:8 FORENSIC MENTAL HEALTH Child protection assessment, ASSESSMENT minimally acceptable principles, 18:4 Sex offender evaluation, 29:3 FORENSIC MEDICINE, FORENSIC MENTAL HEALTH PSYCHIATRY AND **EVALUATIONS PSYCHOLOGY** Child protection assessment, Generally, 3:1 et seq. minimally acceptable principles, Accurate decision-making Methodological issues, 3:12 **FORENSIC** Process of, 3:13 NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL Clinical axioms, cognitive errors and AND NEUROLOGICAL violations of, 3:16 EVALUATION OF VIOLENCE Cognitive errors and violations of Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorclinical axioms, 3:16 der. 28:14 Decision-making Autism and spectrum disorder, 28:17 Accurate decision-making, above Capital defendants, understanding, Poor decision-making, below Development of Capital proceedings, 28:27 Forensic medicine, 3:3 Competency to stand trial and waive Forensic psychiatry, 3:7 Miranda rights, 28:30 Forensic psychology, 3:9 Conduct disorder, 28:16 Psychiatry, **3:4** Courts, application of neuroscience to Psychology, 3:8 forensic legal issues, 28:18 Error. Mistake or error, below Death penalty cases Introduction, 3:1 Generally, 28:21 et seq. Medicine, poor decision-making, 3:14 Capital defendants, understanding, Mistake or error 28:23 Accurate decision-making, above Mitigation, explained, 28:22

FORENSIC

NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL AND NEUROLOGICAL EVALUATION OF VIOLENCE —Cont'd

Death penalty cases—Cont'd Neuropsychology and neuroimaging applications, **28:26**

Developmental trauma, PTSD, the brain and violence, **28:9**

Forensic neuropsychological assessment, application of, juvenile transfer/waiver cases, **28:20**

Forensic neuroscience, application of, juvenile transfer/waiver cases, **28:19**

Homicidal defenders, correlation of neuropsychology and, 28:25

Insanity and insanity defense
Insanity/diminished, application of

capacity, **28:28**, **28:29**Not guilty by reason of insanity/
diminished capacity, **28:28**

Juvenile transfer/waiver cases

Forensic neuropsychological assessment, application of, **28:20**

Forensic neuroscience, application of, **28:19**

Language disorders, **28:15**Legal issues, generally, **28:18 et seq.**Mitigation

Death penalty cases, explained, 28:22

Neuroscience evidence, death penalty cases, **28:21**

Murder, cases, juvenile transfer/ waiver and sentencing in juvenile murder cases, application of forensic neuroscience to, 28:19

Neurodevelopmental criminality and neuropsychology and its link with violence, **28:3**

Neurodevelopmental disorders, **28:13**Neurological correlates to violence, **28:4**

Neuropathology and psychopathology, the brain, and violence, 28:12

FORENSIC

NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL AND NEUROLOGICAL EVALUATION OF VIOLENCE —Cont'd

Neuropsychology and neuroimaging applications, death penalty cases, **28:26**

Neuroscience evidence, death penalty cases, **28:21**

Not guilty by reason of insanity/ diminished capacity, 28:28

Psychopathy

Neuropsychological testing and, 28:8

The brain and, 28:7

PTSD, developmental trauma, the brain and violence, **28:9**

Scientific issues, 28:2 et seq.

Sex offenders, neuropsychology of, **28:6**

Sexual violence, neurological correlates to, **28:5**

Statutory mitigating factors, death penalty cases, 28:24

Substance abuse, the brain, and violence, **28:11**

Transfer/waiver cases. Juvenile transfer/waiver cases, above

Traumatic brain injury and violence, **28:10**

Violent and homicidal defenders, correlation of neuropsychology and, 28:25

Waiver. Juvenile transfer/waiver cases, above

FORENSIC PATHOLOGIST

Hospital pathologist versus, 6:2

FORENSIC PSYCHIATRIC DIAGNOSIS

Sex offender evaluation, 29:4

FORENSIC RISK ASSESSMENT

Sex offender evaluation, 29:5

FORENSICS

Application. Eyewitness Memory, this index

FORENSICS—Cont'd

Assessments. Forensic Assessments, this index

Autopsy. Forensic Autopsy, this index

Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

Evidence. Forensic Evidence, this index

Forensic Neuropsychological and Neurological Evaluation of Violence, this index

Medicine. Forensic Medicine, Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

FRAUD AND DECEIT

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index Neuropsychiatric and neurobehavioral evaluation, 17:13

FRYE-DAUBERT

Admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, **2:2**

Repressed and recovered memory, assessment of, return of RRM-MPD to courtrooms due to failure to conduct proper inquiries, 11:11

Risk and dangerousness in adults, preparation, **27:4**

FRYE-DAUBERT-KUMHO CHALLENGES

Repressed and recovered memory, assessment of, 11:6

FULL BODY EXAMINATION

Sexual Abuse Examination, this index

GENITAL EXAMINATION

Sexual abuse examination, 7:28

GETAWAY VEHICLE

DNA, forensic use of, FBI's failure to explore DNA from presumed getaway vehicle, **8:48**

GOOD, BAD, AND UGLY

Sex offender evaluation, litigation, **29:20**

GOOD INTENTIONS

DNA, forensic use of, 8:28 et seq.

GRANDPARENT VISITATION

Child custody evaluations, 19:21

GROSS IMPAIRMENT/INABILITY TO CARE FOR SELF

Civil commitment, 16:11

GUNSHOT WOUNDS

Forensic autopsy, **6:7**

HAGUE CONVENTION ON THE CIVIL ASPECTS OF INTERNATIONAL CHILD ABDUCTION (HAGUE CONVENTION)

Child custody evaluations, 19:22

HARASSMENT AND STALKING

Generally, **24:1-24:7** Controversies, **24:7**

Forensic assessment of, generally, 24:1-24:7

Good forensic assessments, 24:5

Laws, 24:3

#MeToo movement, 24:2

Poor forensic assessments, 24:6

HEARSAY

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:19

HEBEPHILIA

Sex offender evaluation, 29:8

HELPFULNESS

Admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, 2:16

HIGH CONFLICT

Child custody evaluations, **19:5**Children of High-Conflict Families, this index

HOMICIDAL DEFENDERS

Correlation of neuropsychology and forensic neuropsychological and neurological evaluation of violence, **28:25**

HYPOTHESIS TESTING

Admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, 2:5

HYPOTHESIS TESTING—Cont'd

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:24

ILLUMINATION

DNA, forensic use of, 8:7

INCOMPETENT PERSONS

Involuntary Commitment of Mentally Ill, this index

INDEPENDENT MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS (IMES)

Generally, **5:1 et seq.**

 $Common \ legal \ issues \ involving, \textbf{5:7}$

Contracts, 5:4

Cross examination of IME expert, 5:10

Direct examination of IME examiner, **5:9**

Expert testimony, 5:8

History, **5:2**

Inappropriate introduction of IME, 5:13

Necessary element of proper IME, 5:5

Necessary element of proper IME report, **5:6**

Necessary qualification of IME examiners, **5:3**

Problematic introduction of IME, 5:12

Proper introduction of IME, **5:11**

INFANTS

Children and Minors, this index

INFECTIOUS DISORDERS

Sexual abuse examination, 7:13

INFORMED CONSENT

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, **20:5**

INSANITY AND INSANITY DEFENSE

Generally, **14:22**

Common errors in evaluation, 14:28

Cross-examination, questions on, 14:29

Direct-examinations, questions on, 14:27

INSANITY AND INSANITY DEFENSE—Cont'd

Evaluations

Generally, 14:26-14:29

Common errors, 14:28

Cross-examination, questions on, 14:29

Direct-examinations, questions on, 14:27

Overview, 14:26

Forensic Neuropsychological and Neurological Evaluation of Violence, this index

History, 14:23

Involuntary commitment of mentally ill, **16:16**

Outside United States, 14:24 Standards, 14:23

INTENT OR MOTIVE

DNA, forensic use of, failure of good intentions, **8:28 et seq.**

INTERNAL CONSISTENCY RELIABILITY

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:25**

INTERNAL VALIDITY

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:18**

INTERNATIONAL MATTERS

Foreign State or Country, this index

INTERRATER RELIABILITY

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:24

INTERROGATIVE SUGGESTIBILITY

Generally, 12:1 et seq.

Confessions. False confessions, below

Controversies involved, 12:9

Expert testimony about false confessions, controversies regarding, 12:10

Fact-feeding or contamination, **12:4** False confessions

Consequences, case examples, 12:11

INTERROGATIVE SUGGESTIBILITY—Cont'd

False confessions—Cont'd
Expert testimony about, **12:10**False promises of leniency or threats
of harm, **12:2**

Forensic application, 12:6

 $Interrogations\ and\ confessions,\ \textbf{12:7}$

Leniency or threats of harm, false promises of, 12:2

Lies about evidence by police, **12:3** Police lies about evidence, **12:3**

Qualified expert, selection of, 12:8

Threats of harm, false promises of leniency or, 12:2

Vulnerability of suspect, 12:5

INTERVENTIONS

Children of high-conflict families, parental alienation, **21:11**

Professional Standards for Clinical Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

INTERVIEWS AND INVESTIGATIONS

Child Protection Assessment, this index

Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

INTIMATE PARTNER CONFLICT

Children of high-conflict families, 21:3

INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE

Children of high-conflict families, **21:4**

INTRODUCTION OF INFORMATION

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:37

INVESTIGATIONS

Interviews and Investigations, this index

INVOLUNTARY COMMITMENT OF MENTALLY ILL

Generally, 16:1 et seq.

INVOLUNTARY COMMITMENT OF MENTALLY ILL—Cont'd

Assisted Outpatient Treatment Program, Kendra's Law, **16:22**

Attorney's role, 16:25

Children, involuntary confinement of minors, **16:6**

Civil commitment

Dangerousness, definition for purposes of, 16:10

Gross impairment/inability to care for self for purposes of civil commitment, **16:11**

Involuntary, procedural aspects of, 16:21

Community notification of convicted sexual offenders, **16:19**

Conditional release, 16:23

Convicted sexual offenders, community notification of, **16:19**

Criminal commitment, 16:12

Dangerousness

Definition for purposes of civil commitment, **16:10**

Post serving sentence, **16:17**

Defined and described, 16:2

Elements, 16:8

Gross impairment/inability to care for self for purposes of civil commitment, **16:11**

History, **16:3**

Insanity, 16:16

Involuntary administration of medications, **16:20**

Involuntary civil commitment, procedural aspects of, **16:21**

Involuntary confinement of minors, **16:6**

Involuntary indefinite confinement, standard of proof required for, 16:5

Involuntary outpatient commitment, preventive, **16:24**

Judge's role, 16:25

Justice diversion programs, 16:13

Kendra's Law, Assisted Outpatient Treatment Program, **16:22**

Least restrictive alternative principle, **16:7**

INVOLUNTARY COMMITMENT OF MENTALLY ILL—Cont'd

Medications, involuntary administration of, **16:20**

Mental illness, definition for civil commitment, **16:9**

Minors, involuntary confinement of, **16:6**

Notification to community of convicted sexual offenders, **16:19**

Post conviction mental health treatment. **16:15**

Post serving sentence, dangerousness, **16:17**

Pre-trial commitment, 16:14

Preventive involuntary outpatient commitment, **16:24**

Procedural aspects of involuntary civil commitment, **16:21**

Requisites, 16:4

Sexually violent predator (SVP) or sexually dangerous person (SDP) provisions, **16:18**

Sexual offenders, community notification of convicted, **16:19**

Standard of proof required for involuntary indefinite confinement, **16:5**

IPSE DIXIT

Admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, 2:12

JONES-MCQUISTON GUIDELINES

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:4

JUDGES

Gatekeepers of science and the law, 1:9

Involuntary commitment of mentally ill, **16:25**

JUNK SCIENCE THEORIES

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:29

JURY AND JURY TRIAL

DNA, forensic use of, juror tendency to harbor unrealistic confidence in FBI crime labs, **8:52**

Voir Dire, this index

JUSTICE DIVERSION PROGRAMS

Involuntary commitment of mentally ill, **16:13**

JUVENILES

Delinquency Cases, this index Forensic Neuropsychological and Neurological Evaluation of Violence, this index

KENDRA'S LAW

Assisted Outpatient Treatment Program, involuntary commitment of mentally ill, **16:22**

KNIFE WOUNDS

Forensic autopsy, 6:9

KNOWLEDGE

Notice or Knowledge, this index

LANGUAGE

Forensic neuropsychological and neurological evaluation of violence, **28:15**

Sexual abuse examination, scholarly research, **7:18**

LAWYERS

Attorneys, this index

LCN DNA EVIDENCE

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

LEADING OUESTIONS

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:36

LEAST RESTRICTIVE ALTERNATIVE PRINCIPLE

Involuntary commitment of mentally ill, **16:7**

LEGALITY

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, **20:3**

LENIENCY OR THREATS OF HARM

Interrogative suggestibility, false promises, **12:2**

LIES

Interrogative suggestibility, lies about evidence by police, 12:3

LIKELIHOOD RATIO

DNA, forensic use of, **8:36**Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:45**

LIMITATIONS AND RESTRICTIONS

Child protection assessment, consequences of limited, unethical, or biased investigations, **18:12**

DNA, forensic use of, **8:33**Localization dogma, **17:2**Repressed and recovered memory, assessment of, **11:9**

LITIGATION

Sex offender evaluation Good, bad, and ugly, **29:20**

LOCALIZATION DOGMA

Neuropsychiatric and neurobehavioral evaluation, 17:2

LOCARD'S PRINCIPAL

DNA, forensic use of, 8:20

LOW COPY NUMBER DNA EVIDENCE

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

LOYALTY CONFLICTS

Children of high-conflict families, 21:5

MALADJUSTMENTS

On professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, 20:17

MALINGERING ASSESSMENT

Generally, 15:1 et seq.

Assessment of malingering, **15:3**Critical issues in assessment of malingering, **15:4**Legal backdrop, **15:2**Recommendations, **15:5**

MALTREATMENT OF CHILD

Delinquency Cases, this index

MARIJUANA

Forensic autopsy, 6:12

MEAN

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:6**

MEASUREMENT SCALES

Delinquency cases, competency to stand trial, **22:24**Probability and statistics for lawyers,

MEDIAN

4:7

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:6**

MEDICAL HEALTH

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, risk assessment, **20:10**

MEDICATIONS

Involuntary commitment of mentally ill, involuntary administration of medications, 16:20

MEDICINE

Forensic Medicine, Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

MEDICO-LEGAL AUTOPSY REPORT

Forensic autopsy, **6:20**

MEMORANDUM OF GOOD PRACTICE (UNITED KINGDOM)

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:6

MEMORY AND RECALL

Eyewitness Memory, this index
False Memories, this index
Repressed and Recovered Memory,
this index

MENS REA DEFENSES

Competence and Criminal Responsibility, this index

MENTAL HEALTH

Involuntary Commitment of Mentally Ill, this index

Munchausen by proxy. Syndrome Evidence, this index

Screening. Delinquency Cases, this index

MENTALLY ILL PERSONS

Involuntary Commitment of Mentally Ill, this index

METHODOLOGY

Scientific reasoning, admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, 2:4

#METOO MOVEMENT

Harassment and stalking, forensic assessment, **24:2**

MICROSCOPY

DNA, forensic use of, 8:7

MINIMALLY ACCEPTABLE PRINCIPLES

Child Protection Assessment, this index

Eyewitness memory, **9:17-9:21**Neuropsychiatric and
neurobehavioral evaluation, **17:11**

MINIMUM CORE CONTENT

Sexual abuse examination, 7:7

MINORS

Children and Minors, this index

MIRACLES

DNA, forensic use of, "miracle" as contamination event, FBI, **8:53**

MIRANDA RIGHTS

Application of science, failure to appropriately apply, **13:10**

Capacity to waive, generally, **13:1 et seq.**

Competence and criminal responsibility, competence to waive Miranda rights, **14:10**

Forensic neuropsychological and neurological evaluation of violence, **28:30**

Psycholegal constructs, review of legal standards and psychological theory to identify relevant, 13:7

Research addressing Miranda rights comprehension and Miranda waivers. 13:8

MIRANDA RIGHTS—Cont'd

Science applicable to, **13:6**Waive, capacity to, generally, **13:1 et seq.**

MISINFORMATION

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:37

MISPERCEPTIONS

False memories, allegations of abuse, **10:7**

MISTAKE OR ERROR

Admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, direct and cross-examination, error rates, 2:6

Competence and criminal responsibility, **14:18**

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index Forensic Medicine, Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

Insanity and insanity defense, common errors in evaluation, **14:28**

Probability and Statistics for Lawyers, this index

Syndrome Evidence, this index

MIXTURES

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

MODE

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:6**

MOLECULAR PHOTOCOPIER

DNA, forensic use of, 8:10

MOTOR VEHICLES

DNA, forensic use of, FBI's failure to explore DNA from presumed getaway vehicle, **8:48**

MULTIPLE INTERVIEWS

Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

MULTIPLE PARAPHILIAS

Sex offender evaluation, 29:11

MULTIPLE PERSONALITY DISORDER

Repressed and Recovered Memory, this index

MUNCHAUSEN BY PROXY

Syndrome Evidence, this index

MURDER

Correlation of neuropsychology and forensic neuropsychological and neurological evaluation of violence, homicidal defenders, 28:25

DNA, forensic use of, FBI's failure to explore DNA from murder weapon, **8:49**, **8:50**

Juvenile transfer/waiver and sentencing in juvenile murder cases, application of forensic neuroscience, 28:19

NATIONAL CENTER ON CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT (NCCAN)

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:7

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF CHILD HEALTH AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT (NICHD)

Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

NATURALLY OCCURRING CONVERSATIONS

False memories, 10:13

NEUROPSYCHIATRIC AND NEUROBEHAVIORAL EVALUATION

Admissibility of behavioral expert testimony, **17:11**

Bad science and ugly court decisions, 17:14

Causation and damages in tort cases, generally, **17:1 et seq.**

Cross-examination questions, minimally acceptable principles and methodology, **17:11**

Diffusion tensor imaging admissibility battles, **17:14**

Fraud on the court strategy, 17:13

Limitations and uses of "localization" dogma, 17:2

Localization dogma, uses and limitations, 17:2

NEUROPSYCHIATRIC AND NEUROBEHAVIORAL EVALUATION—Cont'd

Minimally acceptable principles and methodology including voir dire, examination and cross-examination questions, 17:11, 17:12

Questions

Minimally acceptable principles, 17:11

Sample voir dire and cross-examination questions, **17:12**

Rules of engagement for expert witnesses, 17:3-17:10

Sample voir dire and cross-examination questions, **17:12**

Voir dire

Minimally acceptable principles and methodology, **17:11**

Sample voir dire and cross-examination questions, **17:12**

NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL AND NEUROLOGICAL EVALUATION

Forensic Neuropsychological and Neurological Evaluation of Violence, this index

NEUROSCIENCE

Sex offender evaluation, 29:19

NON-NORMAL DISTRIBUTIONS

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:29**

NONVERBAL PROPS

Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

NORMAL DISTRIBUTION AND STANDARD DEVIATION

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:28

NORMS

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:27**

NOTICE OR KNOWLEDGE

Admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, voir dire questions, 2:23

NOTICE OR KNOWLEDGE

—Cont'd

False memories, common knowledge, **10:6**

Involuntary commitment of mentally ill, notification to community of convicted sexual offenders, **16:19**

Sexual Abuse Examination, this index

NULL HYPOTHESIS

Probability and statistics for lawyers,

ODDS RATIO

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:48

ONLINE CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

Sex offender evaluation Solicitation sex offenders, 29:12

OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:25

OUT-OF-COURT STATEMENTS

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:18

PARENTAL ALIENATION RESEARCH

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:66**

PARENTAL QUESTIONING

Child sexual abuse interviews, multiple interviews, 23:42 False memories, 10:21

PEDOPHILIC DISORDER

Child molesters, Sex offender evaluation, **29:9**

PEER CONTAMINATION

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:45

PEER REVIEW

Admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, scientific reasoning, **2:9**

PERCENTILES

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:30

PETECHIAL HEMORRHAGES

Forensic autopsy, 6:17

PHOTOGRAPHY

DNA, forensic use of, 8:7

PLAY AND TRAUMA THERAPIES

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:35

PLAY TOYS

Dolls. Anatomically Correct Dolls and Puppets, this index

POLICE

Interrogative suggestibility, police lies about evidence, 12:3

POPULATIONS AND SAMPLES

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:5**

POPULATION STATISTICAL CALCULATIONS

DNA, forensic use of, 8:26

POST HOC EVALUATION

Eyewitness memory, 9:3

POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER (PTSD)

Developmental trauma, PTSD, the brain and violence, **28:9**

PREDICTIVE VALUES

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:46**

PREDICTORS OF SEXUAL RECIDIVISM

Sex offender evaluation, 29:13

PREJUDICE

Bias and Prejudice, this index

PRESUMPTIONS AND BURDEN OF PROOF

Competence to stand trial, **14:5**FBI's failure to explore DNA from presumed getaway vehicle, **8:48**Involuntary indefinite confinement,

16:5

Trial, competence to stand, 14:5

PRE-TRIAL COMMITMENT PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS FOR LAWYERS—Cont'd Involuntary commitment of mentally ill, **16:14** Faulty use of statistics in court Generally, **4:55-4:62** PREVENTIVE INVOLUNTARY Base rate fallacy, 4:60 **OUTPATIENT COMMITMENT** Case examples, 4:57 Involuntary commitment of mentally Defense lawyer's fallacy, 4:62 ill, 16:24 Fallacies, **4:59-4:62 PRIORITIES AND** Myths, 4:58 **PRIORITIZATION** Prosecutor's fallacy, 4:61 DNA, forensic use of, 8:6 Internal consistency reliability, 4:25 Internal validity, **4:18** PROBABILISTIC GENOTYPING Interrater reliability, 4:24 **SOFTWARE** Introduction, 4:1 DNA, forensic use of, 8:27 Language of statistics, generally, 4:2 et seq. PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS Likelihood ratio, 4:45 FOR LAWYERS Mean. 4:6 Generally, 4:1 et seq. Measurement scales, 4:7 ANOVA, 4:38 Median. 4:6 Assessment terms, 4:26 Mistake or error Assumptions, 4:54 Faulty use of statistics in court, Base rates, **4:43**, **4:60** above Bayes' theorem, 4:41 Misuse in court, below Bias in research, 4:13 Misuse in court Case examples, **4:57** Generally, 4:63-4:66 Causation and correlation, 4:8 Elections, 4:65 Central tendency, 4:6 Parental alienation research, 4:66 Chi square, 4:37 Product rule, 4:64 Confidence level and confidence Mode, 4:6 interval, 4:35 Myths, 4:58 Construct validity, 4:17 Non-normal distributions, 4:29 Content validity, 4:16 Normal distribution and standard Criterion-related validity, 4:21 deviation, 4:28 Norms, 4:27 Defense lawyer's fallacy, 4:62 Descriptive and differential statistics, Null hypothesis, 4:9 Odds ratio, 4:48 4:3 Differential diagnosis, 4:36 Parental alienation research, misuse in court, **4:66** Effect size, 4:12

Elections, misuse in court, 4:65

Ethics, note on expert, generally,

Examples of forensic applications of

Error rates, 4:42

4:51-4:55

statistics, 4:50

External validity, 4:19

Face validity, 4:15

Fallacies, 4:59-4:62

Percentiles, 4:30

Probability, 4:40

4:55

Populations and samples, 4:5

Product rule, misuse in court, 4:64

Proper use of statistics in court, 4:49-

Qualification of experts, ethics, 4:52

Predictive values, 4:46

Prosecutor's fallacy, 4:61

PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS FOR LAWYERS—Cont'd FOR CLINICAL PSYCHIATRY AND PSYCHOLOGY—Cont'd Range, **4:6** Regression, 4:39 Assessment Relative risk, 4:47 Generally, 20:6 Aggressive behaviors, risk for, Reliability 20:8 Generally, 4:22 Cultural factors, 20:9 Internal consistency reliability, Medical health, 20:10 4:25 Suicide risk, 20:7 Interrater reliability, 4:24 Attachment therapies, 20:23 Test-retest reliability, 4:23 Blaming family members, 20:15 Research Case examples, 20:25-20:27 Bias in, 4:13 Parental alienation research, mis-Consent, informed, 20:5 use in court, 4:66 Critical incident stress debriefing, Reviewable matters, note on expert 20:20 ethics, **4:55** Cultural factors, risk assessment, 20:9 Samples, populations and, 4:5 Debriefing, critical incident stress, Scales of measurement, 4:7 20:20 Sensitivity and specificity, 4:44 Disregarded, case examples of Standard error, 4:34 professional standards, 20:27 Statistical conclusion validity, 4:20 Dissociative identity disorder-Statistical power, 4:11 oriented therapy, 20:24 Statistical significance, 4:10 Documentation, 20:11 Test-retest reliability, 4:23 Effective therapeutic relationship, T-scores, 4:31, 4:33 establishing, 20:132 Validity Effective treatment plan, establishing, Generally, 4:14 20:12 Construct validity, 4:17 Ethical principles, 20:2 Content validity, **4:16** Ethics versus legality, 20:3 Criterion-related validity, **4:21** Facilitated communication, 20:22 External validity, 4:19 Failures, case examples, 20:26 Face validity, 4:15 Family members, blaming, 20:15 Internal validity, 4:18 Informed consent, 20:5 Statistical conclusion, 4:20 Interventions, generally, 20:14-20:18 Statistical conclusion validity, 4:20 Legality versus ethics, 20:3 Variable, **4:4** Maladjustments, dwelling on, 20:17 Z-scores, 4:32, 4:33 Medical health, risk assessment, 20:10 PRODUCT RULE Practice guidelines for mental health Probability and statistics for lawyers, professionals, 20:4 4:64 Problematic interventions, 20:19-PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS 20:24 FOR CLINICAL PSYCHIATRY Professional standards regarding, AND PSYCHOLOGY 20:14-20:18 Generally, 20:1 et seq. Recovered-memory therapy, 20:19 Aggressive behaviors, assessment of Savior, turning the professional into risk for. 20:8 a. 20:16

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS FOR CLINICAL PSYCHIATRY AND PSYCHOLOGY—Cont'd

Scared straight interventions, 20:21
Strengths, focusing on, 20:17
Successes, case examples, 20:25
Suicide risk assessment, 20:7
Terminating treatment, 20:18
Victim, turning the patient into a, 20:16

PROFICIENCY TESTING

Admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, **2:11**

PROPS

Dolls and puppets. Anatomically Correct Dolls and Puppets, this index

Nonverbal props, use of. Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

PROTECTION

Child Protection Assessment, this index

PROXY

Munchausen by proxy. Syndrome Evidence, this index

PSYCHIATRY

Generally, 3:1

Forensic Medicine, Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

Medicine. Forensic Medicine, Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

Professional Standards for Clinical Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

PSYCHOLOGICAL ABUSE

Children of high-conflict families, parental alienation as, **21:12**

PSYCHOLOGY

Forensic Medicine, Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

Medicine. Forensic Medicine, Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

PSYCHOPATHY

Forensic Neuropsychological and Neurological Evaluation of Violence, this index

PTSD

Developmental trauma, PTSD, the brain and violence, **28:9**

PUBLICITY

DNA, forensic use of, highlypublicized contamination of cold case evidence, **8:31**

PUBLIC SAFETY

Delinquency cases, 22:9

PUNISHMENT

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:43

PUPPETS

Anatomically Correct Dolls and Puppets, this index

Child sexual abuse interviews, use of anatomically detailed dolls and puppets, 23:49

QUALIFICATION OR DISQUALIFICATION

Admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, 2:17

Child custody evaluations, sample questions regarding witness's qualifications and voir dire, 19:26

Eyewitness Memory, this index False memories, **10:9**

Independent medical examinations (IMEs), necessary qualification, 5:3

Munchausen by proxy, syndrome evidence, expert qualifications, **26:8**

Probability and statistics for lawyers, qualification of experts, ethics, 4:52

QUALITATIVE RESEARCH

Children of high-conflict families, parental alienation, **21:7**

QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH

Children of high-conflict families, parental alienation, **21:8**

QUANTITY

Admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, 2:18

QUESTIONS AND QUESTIONING

Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

Competence and Criminal Responsibility, this index

Eyewitness memory, questions on qualifications, **9:19**

False Memories, this index Interrogative Suggestibility, this

index
Neuropsychiatric and
Neurobehavioral Evaluation,
this index

Parental Questioning, this index Syndrome evidence, child sexual abuse accommodation syndrome (CSAAS), **25:3**

Voir Dire, this index

RANGE

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:6**

RAPE TRAUMA SYNDROME (RTS)

Syndrome Evidence, this index

RAPISTS

Sex offender evaluation, 29:7

RAPPORT BUILDING

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:22

RATAC/GUNDERSEN NCPTC CHILDFIRST FORENSIC INTERVIEW PROTOCOL

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:10

RECALL

Memory and Recall, this index

RECANTATIONS

Child sexual abuse interviews, treating as dynamics of abuse disclosure, 23:46

RECOGNITION MEMORY

Eyewitness memory, research on factors that impact, **9:7**

RECONSTRUCTION

False memories, interviewer's difficulty in reconstructing interviews, **10:15**

RECORDINGS

False memories, lack of proper recording of interview, **10:14**

RECOVERED-MEMORY THERAPY

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, 20:19

REFUSE/RESIST CASES

Child custody evaluations, 19:6

REGRESSION

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:39**

REINFORCEMENT

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:43

RELATIVE FLOURESCENCE UNIT (RFU) THRESHOLDS

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

RELATIVE RISK

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:47

RELIABILITY

Admissibility of evidence, Direct and Cross-Examination, this index

Child sexual abuse interviews, hearsay testimony, 23:19

False memories, 10:3

Probability and Statistics for Lawyers, this index

RELOCATION

Child custody evaluations, 19:9, 19:18

REPEATED QUESTIONS

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:39

REPORTS AND REPORTING

Child Custody Evaluations, this index Independent medical examinations (IMEs), necessary element of proper IME report, **5:6**

REPORTS AND REPORTING —Cont'd

Risk and dangerousness in adults, principles for conducting and reporting violence risk assessments, 27:3

REPRESSED AND RECOVERED MEMORY

Generally, 11:1 et seq.

Assessment of, generally, **11:1 et seq.** Attorneys handling RRM-MPD-DID cases, caution to, **11:3**

Dissociative identity disorder. RRM-MPD-DID evidence, below

Exclusion of RRM-MPD-DID evidence, **11:5**, **11:6**

Frye-Daubert

Return of RRM-MPD to courtrooms due to failure to conduct proper, 11:11

RRM-MPD-DID evidence, 11:6

Highly-effective, science-intensive litigation methodologies, **11:7**

Limitations of experts in such cases and sample voir dire, exposing, 11:9

Multi-disciplinary, science-intensive teams, need for, 11:3

Multiple personality disorder. RRM-MPD-DID evidence, below

Relevant scientific communities, selecting experts from, **11:4**

RRM-MPD-DID evidence

Caution to attorneys handling, 11:3 Exclusion of, 11:5, 11:6

Frye-Daubert-Kumho challenges, **11:6**

Psychotherapy-tainted memories and related cases, 11:8

Return to courtrooms due to failure to conduct proper Frye-Daubert inquiries, 11:11

Sample voir dire, 11:9

Terminology, 11:2

Voir dire, exposing limitations of experts in such cases and sample, 11:9

RESEARCH

Children of High-Conflict Families, this index

RESEARCH—Cont'd

Eyewitness memory, research on factors that impact, **9:7**

Miranda rights and Miranda waivers, research addressing, **13:8**

Probability and Statistics for Lawyers, this index

Sexual Abuse Examination, this index

RESIDENTIAL EFFECTS

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

RESTORATION

Delinquency cases, restoration of competency to stand trial, **22:23**

RESTRAINT

Forensic autopsy, 6:16

RETRIEVAL

Eyewitness memory, 9:10

REVERSAL

DNA, forensic use of, reversal of wrongful convictions, **8:17**

REVIEWABLE MATTERS, NOTE ON EXPERT ETHICS

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:55

REWARDS

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:43

RFU THRESHOLDS

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

RISK AND DANGEROUSNESS IN ADULTS

Conducting and reporting violence risk assessments, principles for, 27.3

Cross examination techniques specific to risk assessment testimony, 27:5

Daubert, principles for conducting, **27:4**

Reciprocal relationship of case law and violence risk assessment, 27:2

Reporting violence risk assessments, principles for, 27:3

RISK AND DANGEROUSNESS IN ADULTS—Cont'd

Violent risk assessment, generally, **27:1 et seq.**

RISK ASSESSMENT

Generally, 29:1 et seq

Dangerousness in adults. Risk and Dangerousness in Adults, this index

Delinquency Cases, this index

RISK OF VIOLENT BEHAVIOR

Delinquency Cases, this index

RULES OF ENGAGEMENT

Neuropsychiatric and neurobehavioral evaluation, 17:3-17:10

SAVIOR

Turning the professional into a, 20:16

SCALDING

Forensic autopsy, 6:15

SCALES OF MEASUREMENT

Measurement Scales, this index

SCARED STRAIGHT INTERVENTIONS

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, **20:21**

SCHOOLS AND EDUCATION

Delinquency cases, special education, mental health screening and assessment, 22:16

SCIENTIFIC KNOWLEDGE

Voir dire questions, 2:23

SCIENTIFIC METHODOLOGY

Voir dire questions, 2:24

SCIENTIFIC REASONING

Admissibility of evidence, Direct and Cross-Examination, this index

SCREENING

Delinquency Cases, this index

SELF-REPRESENTATION

Competence and criminal responsibility, **14:13**

SENSITIVITY AND SPECIFICITY

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:44

SEX OFFENDER EVALUATION

Generally, 29:1 et seq

Actuarial risk assessment, 29:14
Aging effects in sex offenders, 29:17
Base rate risk assessment, 29:15
Child molesters and pedophilic disorder, 29:9

Crossover sexual offenders, **29:10** Dynamic risk factor assessment, **29:16**

Forensic mental health assessment of sex offenders, **29:3**

Forensic psychiatric diagnosis, **29:4** Forensic risk assessment of sex offenders. **29:5**

Hebephilia, 29:8

Introduction, 29:1

Neuroscience of sex offending, **29:19**Online child pornography and solicitation sex offenders. **29:12**

Predictors of sexual recidivism, **29:13**

Rapists, 29:7

Rise of sex offender legislation Need for improved sex offender evaluation, treatment, and risk management, 29:2

Risk management and treatment of sex offenders, 29:18

Sex offender evaluation and litigation Good, bad, and ugly, **29:20**

Sex offenders with multiple paraphilias, **29:11**

Sex offender typologies, 29:6

SEX OFFENDERS

Neuropsychology of, 28:6

SEXUAL ABUSE

Children and Minors, this index

SEXUAL ABUSE EXAMINATION

Generally, 7:1 et seq.

SEXUAL ABUSE EXAMINATION SEXUAL ABUSE EXAMINATION -Cont'd —Cont'd Genital examination, 7:28 Abnormal findings, normal and, 7:3 Absence of physical findings, 7:4 Infectious disorders, 7:13 Basic anatomy and terminology, 7:10 Interpreting medical findings in suspected sexual abuse, 7:9 Basic concepts, 7:1 Knowledge basics Bayes' Rule Generally, 7:7-7:22 Generally, 7:20 Basic anatomy and terminology, Data that cannot justify the conclu-7:10 sion, generally, 7:20-7:22 Bayes' Rule, above Female child, 7:21 Beneficial knowledge, 7:8 Male child, 7:22 Definitive findings, 7:16 Beneficial knowledge, 7:8 Childhood sexual abuse. CSA exami-Dermatologic and related disorders, 7:12 nation, how to properly conduct, below Findings, scholarly research regarding, 7:17 Clinical history and documentation Infectious disorders, 7:13 General considerations, 7:24 Interpreting medical findings in Legal considerations of history, suspected sexual abuse, 7:9 7:26 Language, scholarly research into Review of systems, 7:25 children's, 7:18 Collection of forensic evidence, 7:29 Minimum core content, 7:7 Conducting a full body examination, Miscellaneous disorders, 7:14 7:27 Normal variations, 7:11 CSA examination Probability and likelihood, 7:19 Generally, 7:23 et seq. Sexual abuse with no findings, Clinical history and documenta-7:15 tion, above Full body examination, below Language, scholarly research into children's, 7:18 How to properly conduct, gener-Legal considerations of clinical hisally tory, **7:26** Methodology of exam, 7:23 Male child, Bayes' Rule, 7:22 Data that cannot justify the conclusion, Bayes' Rule, 7:20-7:22 Methodology of CSA examination, 7:23 Definitive findings, 7:16 Minimum core content, 7:7 Dermatologic and related disorders, Miscellaneous disorders, 7:14 7:12 No findings, sexual abuse with, 7:15 Documentation. Clinical history and documentation, above Normal and abnormal findings, 7:3 Evidentiary issues with physical find-Normal variations, 7:11 ings or their absence, 7:5 Probability and likelihood, 7:19 Female child, Bayes' Rule, 7:21 Proper conduct of CSA examination. Findings, scholarly research regard-CSA examination, above ing, 7:17 Purpose of exam, 7:6 Full body examination Research Generally, 7:27-7:29 Children's language, scholarly Collecting forensic evidence, 7:29 research into, 7:18 Conducting the examination, 7:27 Findings, scholarly research Genital examination, 7:28 regarding, 7:17

SEXUAL ABUSE EXAMINATION —Cont'd

Review of systems, clinical history and documentation, 7:25
Selected cases, 7:30-7:33

Specialty of sexual abuse evaluation, 7:2

Timing of exam, 7:6

SEXUAL ASSAULT CASES

DNA, forensic use of, **8:43**Rape trauma syndrome. Syndrome
Evidence, this index

Syndrome Evidence, this index

SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATOR (SVP) OR SEXUALLY DANGEROUS PERSON (SDP)

Involuntary commitment of mentally ill, **16:18**

SEXUAL OFFENDERS

Involuntary commitment of mentally ill, community notification of convicted sexual offenders, **16:19**

SEXUAL VIOLENCE

Neurological correlates to, 28:5

SGROI METHOD

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:30

SHOTGUN INJURY

Forensic autopsy, 6:8

SIBLINGS

Child custody evaluations, 19:20

SPECIAL EDUCATION

Delinquency cases, mental health screening and assessment, **22:16**

SPECIFIC ASSESSMENT TOOLS

Delinquency Cases, this index

SPECIFICITY

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:44

SPECIFIC OR FOCUSED QUESTIONS

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:38

SPECULATION

Child sexual abuse interviews, **23:44** DNA, forensic use of, **8:41**

SPEECH

Language, this index

STABBINGS

Forensic autopsy, 6:9

STALKING

Harassment and Stalking, this index

STANDARD ERROR

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:34

STATISTICAL CONCLUSION VALIDITY

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:20

STATISTICAL POWER

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:11

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:10**

STATISTICS

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index Forensic medicine, psychiatry and psychology, **3:11**

Probability and Statistics for Lawyers, this index

STATUTORY LAW

Child custody evaluations, 19:14

STEP-WISE INTERVIEW PROTOCOL

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:8

STEREOTYPE INDUCTION

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:50

STORAGE OF EVIDENCE

DNA, forensic use of, 8:6

STORAGE OF INFORMATION

Eyewitness memory, 9:9

STRANGULATION

Forensic autopsy, 6:18

SUBJECTIVE BELIEF

Admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, 2:12

SUBJECT MATTER

Child custody evaluations, 19:17

SUBSTANCE ABUSE

Delinquency cases, mental health screening and assessment, **22:20** Forensic neuropsychological and neurological evaluation of violence, **28:11**

SUBSTANCE USE ASSESSMENT

Delinquency cases, mental health screening and assessment, **22:19**

SUBSTANDARD INVESTIGATION

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

SUGGESTIONS AND SUGGESTIBILITY

Child Sexual Abuse Interviews, this index

False memories, adult suggestibility, 10:12

Interrogative Suggestibility, this index

SUICIDE

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology. risk assessment, 20:7

SYNDROME EVIDENCE

Admissibility of CSAAS and RTS, **25:5**

Assessment, Munchausen by proxy, **26:5**

Child sexual abuse accommodation syndrome (CSAAS)

Generally, 25:2

Admissibility of evidence, 25:3

Cross-examination questions, 25:3

Common expert deficits.

Munchausen by proxy, 26:9

CSAAS. Child sexual abuse accommodation syndrome (CSAAS), above

Diagnosis and prevalence, Munchausen by proxy, **26:4**

SYNDROME EVIDENCE—Cont'd

Differential diagnosis, Munchausen by proxy, **26:6**

Error. Mistake or error, below

Improper handling, 25:6

Inaccurate conclusions and catastrophic outcomes, Munchausen by proxy, **26:10**

Legal issues, Munchausen by proxy, **26:2**

Mistake or error

Improper handling, 25:6

Inaccurate conclusions and catastrophic outcomes,

Munchausen by proxy, 26:10

Munchausen by proxy

Generally, 26:1-26:10

Assessment, 26:5

Common expert deficits, 26:9

Diagnosis and prevalence, 26:4

Differential diagnosis, 26:6

Expert qualifications, 26:8

Inaccurate conclusions and catastrophic outcomes, **26:10**

Legal issues, 26:2

Treatment options, 26:7

Proxy. Munchausen by proxy, above Qualifications of expert, Munchausen by proxy, **26:8**

Questions for cross-examination, child sexual abuse accommodation syndrome (CSAAS), **25:3**

Rape trauma syndrome (RTS)

Generally, 25:1 et seq.

Admissibility of, **25:5**

Treatment options, Munchausen by proxy, **26:7**

TEST-RETEST RELIABILITY

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:23

TESTS AND TESTING

Admissibility of Evidence, this index Hypothesis Testing, this index

Neuropsychological testing and psychopathy, **28:8**

Probability and statistics for lawyers, test-retest reliability, **4:23**

Proficiency testing, 2:11

THREATS OF HARM OR LENIENCY

Interrogative suggestibility, 12:2

TORTS

Neuropsychiatric and Neurobehavioral Evaluation, this index

TOYS

Dolls. Anatomically Correct Dolls and Puppets, this index

TRANSFER EVENTS

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

TRANSFERS

Forensic Neuropsychological and Neurological Evaluation of Violence, this index

TRAUMA

Delinquency Cases, this index Rape trauma syndrome evidence. Syndrome Evidence, this index

TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

Forensic neuropsychological and neurological evaluation of violence, **28:10**

TRIAL

Competence to Stand Trial, this index Delinquency Cases, this index Jury and Jury Trial, this index

T-SCORES

Probability and statistics for lawyers, 4:31, 4:33

TYPOLOGIES

Sex offender evaluation, 29:6

UNIFORM CHILD CUSTODY JURISDICTION AND ENFORCEMENT ACT (UCCJEA)

Child custody evaluations, 19:22

VALIDITY

Admissibility of evidence, direct and cross-examination, scientific reasoning, 2:7

VALIDITY—Cont'd

Probability and Statistics for Lawyers, this index Professional Standards for Clinical Psychiatry and Psychology, this index

VICTIMS

Professional standards for clinical psychiatry and psychology, turning the patient into a victim, **20:16**

VIDEOTAPING

Child sexual abuse interviews, 23:26

VIOLENCE

Forensic Neuropsychological and Neurological Evaluation of Violence, this index

VIOLENCE RISK ASSESSMENT

Delinquency Cases, this index

VIOLENT AND HOMICIDAL DEFENDERS

Correlation of neuropsychology and, 28:25

VOIR DIRE

Admissibility of Evidence, this index Child Custody Evaluations, this index Child protection assessment, minimally acceptable principles, 18:7

Eyewitness identification, questions for experts in, **9:20**

Neuropsychiatric and Neurobehavioral Evaluation, this index

Repressed and recovered memory, assessment of, 11:9

VULNERABILITY OF SUSPECT

Interrogative suggestibility, 12:5

WAIVER AND ESTOPPEL

Competence and criminal responsibility, waiver of Miranda rights, **14:10**

Forensic Neuropsychological and Neurological Evaluation of Violence, this index

INDEX

WAIVER AND ESTOPPEL—Cont'd

Miranda Rights, this index

WEAPONS AND FIREARMS

DNA, forensic use of, FBI's failure to explore DNA from murder weapon, **8:49, 8:50** Forensic Autopsy, this index

WE HAVE DNA CLAIMS

DNA, Forensic Use of, this index

WITNESSES

Eyewitness Memory, this index

WITNESSES—Cont'd

Repressed and Recovered Memory, this index

WRONGFUL CONVICTIONS

DNA, forensic use of, reversal of wrongful convictions, **8:17**

Y-STR TYPING TECHNOLOGY

DNA, forensic use of, increasing power and prevalence, **8:13**

Z-SCORES

Probability and statistics for lawyers, **4:32**, **4:33**