

Index

ALABAMA

Case law discussion, **2:1**

ALASKA

Case law discussion, **2:2**

ALTER EGO DOCTRINE

Generally, **1:6**

Fifth Circuit cases, **3:7**

Fourth Circuit cases, **3:6**

Liability of LLC members, **4:2**

Seventh Circuit cases, **3:9**

Third Circuit cases, **3:5**

ARGENTINA

Applicability of piercing doctrine,
5:2

ARIZONA

Case law discussion, **2:3**

ARKANSAS

Case law discussion, **2:4**

BANKRUPTCY

Federal Bankruptcy Code, **3:35**

France, **5:5**

BERLE ENTERPRISE ENTITY

Overview of doctrine, **1:9**

CALIFORNIA

Case law discussion, **2:5**

CARDOZO THEORY

Generally, **1:4**

CERCLA

Environmental Law, this index

CHOICE OF LAW

Environmental law, CERCLA, **3:24**

CIVIL LAW COUNTRIES

Piercing veil in foreign countries, **5:1**

CIVIL RIGHTS

Title VII, **3:36**

CLARK THEORY

Overview of doctrine, **1:8 et seq.**

COLORADO

Case law discussion, **2:6**

Liability of LLC members, **4:2**

COMMON LAW COUNTRIES

Piercing veil in foreign countries, **5:1**

COMPETITION LAW

International veil piercing, **5:8**

CONNECTICUT

Case law discussion, **2:7**

CONTRACT CREDITORS

Generally, **1:10**

CONTRACT THEORY

Generally, **1:2, 1:7**

CONVENIENCE ARGUMENT

Generally, **1:2, 1:7**

COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

Lanham Act, **3:17**

COURTS

International veil piercing. See
Foreign Countries, this index

CREDITORS

Contract and tort creditors, **1:10**

DEBTS

Corporate debts, liability for, **1:1**

DELAWARE

Case law discussion, **2:8**

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Generally, **2:9**

Federal Circuit Court of Appeals,
3:14

ECONOMICS

Law and economics, **1:7**

EIGHTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Case law discussion, **3:10**
Liability of LLC members, **4:2**

ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Case law discussion, **3:13**

EMPLOYMENT

Labor Law, this index

ENGLAND

Applicability of piercing doctrine, **5:3**

ENTERPRISE ENTITY THEORY

Overview of doctrine, **1:9**

ENTERPRISE FRAGMENTS

Generally, **1:9**

ENTITY THEORY

Generally, **1:9**

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW

Generally, **3:18 to 3:25**
CERCLA
Choice of law, **3:24**
Derivative liability, **3:20**
Direct operator liability, **3:21**
First Circuit cases, **3:3**
Individual liability, **3:23**
Parent liability, **3:19, 3:20**
Successor liability, **3:22**
Supreme Court cases, **3:2**
International veil piercing, **5:8**

ERISA

Generally, **3:26**
First Circuit cases, **3:3**
Ninth Circuit cases, **3:11**
Sixth Circuit cases, **3:8**
Third Circuit cases, **3:5**

FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

Generally, **3:27**

FEDERAL LAW

Generally, **3:1 to 3:36**
Bankruptcy Code, **3:35**
Copyright infringement, **3:17**

FEDERAL LAW—Cont'd

District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals, **3:14**
Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals, **3:10**
Environment. See Environmental Law, this index
ERISA, **3:26**
Federal Circuit Court of Appeals, **3:15**
Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, **3:7**
First Circuit Court of Appeals, **3:3**
Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, **3:6**
Housing policy, **3:31**
Labor. See Labor Law, this index
Medicare, **3:32**
Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, **3:11**
Patent infringement, **3:30**
Second Circuit Court of Appeals, **3:4**
Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals, **3:9**
Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals, **3:8**
Small Business Administration, **3:33**
Supreme Court, **3:2**
Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals, **3:12**
Third Circuit Court of Appeals, **3:5**
Title VII, **3:36**
Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification (WARN) Act, **3:34**

FICTITIOUS COMPANY

France, **5:6**

FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Case law discussion, **3:7**

FIRST CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Case law discussion, **3:3**

FLORIDA

Case law discussion, **2:10**

FOREIGN CORPORATIONS

Competition law, **5:8**
Debt of corporation, liability of shareholders, **5:5**
Environmental law, **5:8**
Labor law, **5:8**

FOREIGN COUNTRIES

Generally, **5:1 to 5:10**

INDEX

FOREIGN COUNTRIES—Cont'd

- Appearance doctrine, **5:7**
- Argentina, **5:2**
- Bankruptcy Statute, **5:5**
- Common law vs. civil law countries, **5:1**
- Corporate groups, **5:8**
- Courts
 - Appearance doctrine, **5:7**
 - Doctrine of appearance, **5:7**
 - Economic unity doctrine, **5:7**
 - Fictitious company, **5:6**
 - Legitimate belief, **5:7**
 - Main doctrines, **5:6**
 - Mingling of assets, **5:6**
 - Other doctrines of court, **5:7**
 - Tort liability, **5:7**
- Debt of corporation, liability of shareholders, **5:5**
- Doctrines of courts, **5:6, 5:7**
- Economic unity doctrine, **5:7**
- England, **5:3**
- Fictitious company, **5:6**
- France, **5:4 to 5:8**
- Germany, **5:9**
- Japan, **5:10**
- Legitimate belief, **5:7**
- Mingling of assets, **5:6**
- Other doctrines of court, **5:7**
- Tort liability, **5:7**

FOURTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

- Case law discussion, **3:6**

FRANCE

- Applicability of piercing doctrine, **5:4 to 5:8**

FRAUD

- Fraud or wrong test, **1:6**
- Fraudulent conveyance theory, **1:8 et seq.**

FRAUDULENT CONVEYANCES

- Privilege theory, **1:8 et seq.**

GEORGIA

- Case law discussion, **2:11**

GERMANY

- Applicability of piercing doctrine, **5:9**
- Limited liability companies
 - History and general characteristics, **4:3**
- Piercing the veil of German LLCs, **4:4**

HARM

- Actual harm test, **1:6**

HAWAII

- Case law discussion, **2:12**

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

- Generally, **1:1 to 1:12**
- For detailed treatment see Overview of Doctrine, this index

HISTORY ARGUMENT

- Generally, **1:2**

HOUSING

- National housing policy, **3:31**

IDAHO

- Case law discussion, **2:13**

ILLINOIS

- Case law discussion, **2:14**

INDIANA

- Case law discussion, **2:15**

INSTRUMENTALITY RULE

- Generally, **1:6, 1:8**

INTERNATIONAL VEIL PIERCING

- Foreign Countries, this index

IOWA

- Case law discussion, **2:16**

JAPAN

- Applicability of piercing doctrine, **5:10**

KANSAS

- Case law discussion, **2:17**

KENTUCKY

- Case law discussion, **2:18**

LABOR LAW

- Generally, **3:27**
- Fair Labor Standards Act, **3:27**
- International veil piercing, **5:8**
- Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act, **3:28**
- National Labor Relations Act and Board, **3:29**

LANHAM ACT

- Copyright infringement, **3:17**

LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES

- German LLCs
 - History and general characteristics, **4:3**
 - Piercing the veil of German LLCs, **4:4**
- History and general characteristics, **4:1**
- Piercing the veil of LLCs, **4:2**

LIMITED PARTNERSHIPS

- Distinguished from LLCs, **4:1**

LOSS OR INJURY

- Unjust loss or injury test, **1:6**

LOUISIANA

- Case law discussion, **2:19**

MAINE

- Case law discussion, **2:20**

MARKET-ORIENTED THEORY

- Overview of doctrine, **1:7**

MARYLAND

- Case law discussion, **2:21**

MASSACHUSETTS

- Case law discussion, **2:22**

MEDICARE

- Generally, **3:32**

MICHIGAN

- Case law discussion, **2:23**

MINGLING OF ASSETS

- International veil piercing, **5:6**

MINNESOTA

- Case law discussion, **2:24**

MISSISSIPPI

- Case law discussion, **2:25**

MISSOURI

- Case law discussion, **2:26**

MONTANA

- Case law discussion, **2:27**

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT

- Generally, **3:29**

NEBRASKA

- Case law discussion, **2:28**

NEVADA

- Case law discussion, **2:29**

NEW HAMPSHIRE

- Case law discussion, **2:30**

NEW JERSEY

- Case law discussion, **2:31**

NEW MEXICO

- Case law discussion, **2:32**

NEW YORK

- Case law discussion, **2:33 to 2:36**
- Federal court interpretations of New York law, **2:36**
- Great trilogy, **2:34, 2:35**

NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

- Case law discussion, **3:11**

NORTH CAROLINA

- Case law discussion, **2:37**

NORTH DAKOTA

- Case law discussion, **2:38**

OHIO

- Case law discussion, **2:39**

OKLAHOMA

- Case law discussion, **2:40**

OREGON

- Case law discussion, **2:41**

OVERVIEW OF DOCTRINE

- Generally, **1:1 to 1:12**
- Abuse requirement, **1:9**

INDEX

OVERVIEW OF DOCTRINE

—Cont'd

Actual harm test, **1:6**
Alter-ego test, **1:6**
Argument from policy revisited, privilege theory
 Robert Clark theory, **1:8**
Broad veil-piercing theory, **1:5**
Cardozo theory, **1:4**
Clark theory, **1:8 et seq.**
Contract and tort creditors, **1:10**
Control and domination test, **1:6**
Convenience argument, **1:7**
Diversification, **1:7**
Early evolution of scholarly approaches to problem, **1:3**
Easterbrook and Fischel analysis, **1:7**
Enterprise entity theory, **1:9**
Entity theory, **1:9**
First-party compensation schemes, **1:10**
Fraud or wrong test, **1:6**
Fraudulent conveyances theory, **1:8 et seq.**
Hansmann and Kraakman theory, **1:10**
History argument, **1:3**
 Generally, **1:2**
Injustice or injury test, **1:6**
Instrumentality rule, **1:6**
Law and economics, **1:7**
Limited liability and piercing doctrine, **1:1**
Market-oriented theory, **1:7**
Moral hazard, **1:7, 1:10**
Nature and its flaws, **1:3**
Origin of equitable theory
 Generally, **1:3 to 1:6**
 Broad veil-piercing theory, **1:5**
 Cardozo theory, **1:4**
 Three-pronged test, **1:6**
 Wormser theory, **1:5**
Origin of equitable veil-piercing theory, **1:3**
Parents and subsidiaries, **1:11**
Policy argument
 Generally, **1:2, 1:8 et seq.**
 Contract and tort creditors, **1:10**

OVERVIEW OF DOCTRINE

—Cont'd

Policy argument—Cont'd
 Entity theory, **1:9**
 Parents and subsidiaries, **1:11**
 Privilege theory, separate entity, **1:8 et seq.**
 Undercapitalization rationale, **1:9**
Posner theory, **1:7**
Powell theory, **1:6**
Presumptions, **1:12**
Shareholder limited liability and piercing doctrine, **1:1**
Single-business enterprise, **1:9**
Size of firm, **1:7**
Three-pronged test, **1:6**
Undercapitalization rationale, **1:9**
Wormser theory, **1:5**

PARENT / SUBSIDIARY RELATIONSHIP

Generally, **1:11**
Alaska, **2:2**
Arizona, **2:3**
Arkansas, **2:4**
Berkey case, **1:4**
California, **2:5**
CERCLA, **3:2, 3:20**
Colorado, **2:6**
Delaware, **2:8**
District of Columbia, **2:9**
Fifth Circuit, **3:7**
First Circuit, **3:3**
Florida, **2:10**
Focus of piercing problem, **1:6, 1:7, 1:11**
Georgia, **2:11**
Illinois, **2:14**
Indiana, **1:9, 2:15**
Kansas, **2:17**
Louisiana, **2:19**
Maine, **2:20**
Maryland, **2:21**
Michigan, **2:23**
Minnesota, **2:24**
Missouri, **2:26**
Montana, **2:27**
New Jersey, **2:31**

**PARENT / SUBSIDIARY
RELATIONSHIP—Cont'd**

New Mexico, **2:32**
New York, **2:34**
North Carolina, **2:37**
North Dakota, **2:38**
Oklahoma, **2:40**
Pennsylvania, **2:42**
Rhode Island, **2:44**
Second Circuit, **2:36, 3:4**
Seventh Circuit, **3:9**
Sixth Circuit, **3:8**
South Carolina, **2:45**
South Dakota, **2:46**
Tennessee, **2:47**
Third Circuit, **3:5**
Three-pronged test for veil-piercing,
1:6
Title VII, **3:36**
Under WARN Act, **3:34**
Utah, **2:49**
Washington, **2:52, 3:31, 3:34**

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Generally, **3:30**

PENNSYLVANIA

Case law discussion, **2:42**

POLICY ARGUMENT

Generally, **1:2**

POSNER THEORY

Overview of doctrine, **1:7**

POWELL THEORY

Overview of doctrine, **1:6**

PRESUMPTIONS

Overview of doctrine, **1:12**

PRIVILEGE THEORY

Separate entity, generally, **1:8 et seq.**

PUERTO RICO

Case law discussion, **2:43**

REVERSE-PIERCING

California, **2:5**
Defined, **2:12**
Florida, **2:10**
Georgia, **2:11**

REVERSE-PIERCING—Cont'd

Illinois, **2:14**
Indiana, **2:15**
Kansas, **2:17**
Michigan, **2:23**
Minnesota, **2:24**
New Jersey, **2:31**
New York, **2:35**
Pennsylvania, **2:42**
Sixth Circuit, **3:8**
Texas, **2:48**
Utah, **2:49**
Vermont, **2:50**
Virginia, **2:51**
Wisconsin, **2:54**

RHODE ISLAND

Case law discussion, **2:44**

**SECOND CIRCUIT COURT OF
APPEALS**

Case law discussion, **3:4**

**SEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF
APPEALS**

Case law discussion, **3:9**

**SHAREHOLDER LIMITED
LIABILITY DOCTRINE**

Overview, **1:1**

SHAREHOLDERS

International veil piercing. See
Foreign Countries, this index

SINGLE-BUSINESS ENTERPRISE

Overview of doctrine, **1:9**

**SIXTH CIRCUIT COURT OF
APPEALS**

Case law discussion, **3:8**

**SMALL BUSINESS
ADMINISTRATION**

Generally, **3:33**

SOUTH CAROLINA

Case law discussion, **2:45**

SOUTH DAKOTA

Case law discussion, **2:46**

INDEX

STATE LAW

Generally, **2:1 to 2:55**
Alabama, **2:1**
Alaska, **2:2**
Arizona, **2:3**
Arkansas, **2:4**
California, **2:5**
Colorado, **2:6**
Connecticut, **2:7**
Delaware, **2:8**
District of Columbia, **2:9**
Florida, **2:10**
Georgia, **2:11**
Hawaii, **2:12**
Idaho, **2:13**
Illinois, **2:14**
Indiana, **2:15**
Iowa, **2:16**
Kansas, **2:17**
Kentucky, **2:18**
Louisiana, **2:19**
Maine, **2:20**
Maryland, **2:21**
Massachusetts, **2:22**
Michigan, **2:23**
Minnesota, **2:24**
Mississippi, **2:25**
Missouri, **2:26**
Montana, **2:27**
Nebraska, **2:28**
Nevada, **2:29**
New Hampshire, **2:30**
New Jersey, **2:31**
New Mexico, **2:32**
New York, **2:33 to 2:36**
North Carolina, **2:37**
North Dakota, **2:38**
Ohio, **2:39**
Oklahoma, **2:40**
Oregon, **2:41**
Pennsylvania, **2:42**
Puerto Rico, **2:43**
Rhode Island, **2:44**
South Carolina, **2:45**
South Dakota, **2:46**
Tennessee, **2:47**
Texas, **2:48**

STATE LAW—Cont'd

Utah, **2:49**
Vermont, **2:50**
Virginia, **2:51**
Washington, **2:52**
West Virginia, **2:53**
Wisconsin, **2:54**
Wyoming, **2:55**

SUBCHAPTER S CORPORATIONS

Distinguished from LLCs, **4:1**

SUCCESSOR LIABILITY

Environmental law, CERCLA, **3:22**

SUPERFUND

CERCLA. See Environmental Law,
this index

SUPREME COURT

Generally, **3:2**

TENNESSEE

Generally, **2:47**

TENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Case law discussion, **3:12**

TEXAS

Case law discussion, **2:48**

THIRD CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

Case law discussion, **3:5**

THREE-PRONGED TEST

Generally, **1:6**

TITLE VII

Generally, **3:36**

TORT CREDITORS

Generally, **1:10**

UNDERCAPITALIZATION

Generally, **1:9**
Alabama, **2:1**
Alaska, **2:2**
Arizona, **2:3**
As rationale for piercing the veil, **1:9**
California, **2:5**
Delaware, **2:8**
District of Columbia, **2:9**

UNDERCAPITALIZATION

—Cont'd

District of Columbia Circuit, **3:14**
Eighth Circuit, **3:10**
Eleventh Circuit, **3:13**
Fifth Circuit, **3:7**
First Circuit, **3:3**
Florida, **2:10**
Fourth Circuit, **3:6**
Idaho, **2:13**
Illinois, **2:14**
Indiana, **2:15**
Iowa, **2:16**
Kansas, **2:17**
Kentucky, **2:18**
Liability of LLC members, **4:2**
Louisiana, **2:19**
Massachusetts, **2:22**
Medicare, **3:32**
Michigan, **2:23**
Missouri, **2:26**
Montana, **2:27**
National housing policy, **3:31**
Nebraska, **2:28**
Nevada, **2:29**
New Hampshire, **2:30**
New Mexico, **2:32**
New York, **2:33 to 2:35**
North Carolina, **2:37**
North Dakota, **2:38**
Ohio, **2:39**
Oklahoma, **2:40**
Oregon, **2:41**
Overview of theory, **1:9**
Rationale for doctrine, **1:9**
Rhode Island, **2:44**
Second Circuit, **3:4**
Seventh Circuit, **3:9**
Sixth Circuit, **3:8**

UNDERCAPITALIZATION

—Cont'd

South Carolina, **2:45**
South Dakota, **2:46**
Supreme Court, **3:2**
Tenth Circuit, **3:12**
Texas, **2:48**
Third Circuit, **3:5**
Utah, **2:49**
Vermont, **2:50**
Washington, **2:52**
West Virginia, **2:53**
Wisconsin, **2:54**
Wyoming, **2:55**

UTAH

Case law discussion, **2:49**

VERMONT

Case law discussion, **2:50**

VIRGINIA

Case law discussion, **2:51**

WASHINGTON

Case law discussion, **2:52**

WEST VIRGINIA

Case law discussion, **2:53**

WISCONSIN

Case law discussion, **2:54**

**WORKER ADJUSTMENT AND
RETRAINING**

NOTIFICATION (WARN) ACT

Generally, **3:34**

WORMSER THEORY

Generally, **1:5**

WYOMING

Case law discussion, **2:55**