

Index

ABILITY TO PAY

Evaluate comparative fault for the proposed consent decree, substantively fair, **§ 3:128**
Non-settling objectors contention, misleading the government, **§ 3:130**

ABILITY TO PAY PRP

De Minimis, De Micromis, and Ability to Pay PRP (this index)
Fair and reasonable to settle, liability insurance, **§ 3:131**

ABSOLUTE LIABILITY

Common law torts, ultrahazardous conduct, **§ 1:13**

ACQUIESCENCE

Allocation law, court's consideration of owner's acquiescence in operator's activities, **§ 6:19**

ACTUAL OR POSSIBLE CONTAMINATION

Negotiating rights and responsibilities of each party, reprise of private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, **§ 9:78**

AGREEMENTS

Contracts and Agreements (this index)
Different ways to prove a settlement, substantively fair, **§ 3:127**
Distinction between, allocate CERCLA costs between/ among parties (permissible) (impermissible), no exception exists
CERCLA litigation, between the same parties, the government and its contractor, **§ 9:82**

ALL APPROPRIATE INQUIRY

Contiguous property owner, **§ 3:87**
Innocent landowners, proving site acquired after release, **§ 3:86**
Interview with Julie Kilgore, Chairperson of USEPA's Committee on "All Appropriate Inquiry," **§ App 9C**
Litigation of environmental allocation issues, defense, **§ 10:55**
Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, buying and selling, **§ 9:109**

ALLOCATION AGREEMENT

CERCLA costs between/among parties (permissible)(impermissible), transfer liability
No exception exists, CERCLA litigation, allocation agreement, between government and its contractor, **§ 9:82**

ALLOCATION LAW

Generally, **§§ 6:1 to 6:38**
Acquiescence by owner in operator's activities, consideration by courts, **§ 6:19**
Apportionment, quantum of proof required, **§ 6:34**
Benefit to owner from operator's activities, consideration by courts, **§ 6:20**
CERCLA
Judicial involvement with consent decree, **§ 6:36**
Legislative history, **§ 6:9**
Contracts between parties regarding liability, **§ 6:18**
Cost allocation guidance from SARA, **§ 6:3**
Disputes arising among four classes of PRPs, **§ 6:10**
Disputes involving PRPs in more than one PRP class, **§ 6:32**
EPA
Acting as CERCLA prosecutor and judge, **§ 6:37**
Cost allocation guidelines, **§ 6:5**
Generators vs.
Owners and operators, **§ 6:27**
Transporters, **§ 6:28**
Gore factors, **§ 6:11**
Judicial involvement with CERCLA consent decree, **§ 6:36**
Judicial review of allocation factors, **§ 6:35**
Multiple generators, **§ 6:26**
NBAR allocations not subject to judicial review, **§ 6:4**
Negligible amount of harm, **§ 6:17**
Operators vs. operators, **§ 6:24**
Other factors considered by courts, **§§ 6:16 to 6:22**
Owner and neighboring owner, **§ 6:31**
Owners
Acquiescence in operator's activities, consideration by courts, **§ 6:19**
Benefit from operator's activities, consideration by courts, **§ 6:20**
Operators, vs., **§ 6:25**
Post-cleanup benefit, consideration by courts, **§ 6:21**
Post-cleanup benefit to owner, consideration by courts, **§ 6:21**
Present owners vs. past owners, **§ 6:23**

ALLOCATION LAW—Cont'd

- Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, state statutory liability, §§ **9:46 to 9:49**
- PRP not a party, § **6:33**
- Specific PRP vs. PRP disputes, §§ **6:23 to 6:32**
- Statutory cost allocation provision, § **6:2**
- Three prong test for CERCLA consent decree cases involving U.S., § **6:38**
- Toxicity granted substantial deference by courts, § **6:7**
- Transporters vs. municipal PRPs, § **6:30**
- Volumetric data relied on by NBARs, §§ **6:6, 6:8**
- Waste volume at generator related sites, consideration by courts, § **6:22**

ALLOCATOR'S REPORT

- Litigation of environmental allocation issues, sample report, § **App 10A**

ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- Case law on CERCLA settlement, § **4:53**
- Critique of settlement efforts, § **4:52**
- Non-settlor PRP, CERCLA allocation settlements, §§ **4:51, 4:73**

AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF

- Sample brief in support of appellant/defendant regarding divisibility, §§ **App 7D, App 7E**

APPEAL AND REVIEW

- CERCLA consent decree, district court's approval of, § **4:56**
- Divisibility
 - Amicus curiae brief in support of appellant/defendant regarding divisibility, sample, §§ **App 7D, App 7E**
 - Appellant/defendant's brief regarding divisibility, sample, § **App 7A**
 - Fact, question of, certification for immediate appeal under Section 1292(b), § **7:45**
 - Opposition, appellant/plaintiff's opposition/answering brief regarding divisibility, sample, § **App 7B**
 - Reply, appellant/defendant's reply brief regarding divisibility, sample, § **App 7C**
- Evidentiary details, complex, trial court need not involve itself, § **4:55**
- Experts in allocation disputes
 - Defendant/appellant's brief in government cost recovery action, sample, § **App 11G**
 - Defendant/appellant's reply brief in government cost recovery action, sample, § **App 11I**
 - Plaintiff/appellant's brief in government cost recovery action, sample, § **App 11H**
 - Plaintiff-appellee in private party CERCLA action regarding several expert witness issues, sample brief, § **App 11F**

APPEAL AND REVIEW—Cont'd

- Non-settlor PRP, standards for review of proposed consent decree and other EPA actions under CERCLA, §§ **4:54 to 4:57**

APPROVAL OR CONSENT

- Non-settlor PRP
 - Appellate review of district court's approval of CERCLA consent decree, § **4:56**
 - Lesser standard of deference given to state agencies, § **4:57**
 - Standards for review of proposed consent decree, § **4:54:56**
- Proposed consent decree under CERCLA
 - Appellate review of district court's approval, § **4:56**
 - Non-settlor PRP, standards for review of proposed consent decree, §§ **4:54 to 4:57**
 - Opposition, sample points and authorities, § **App 3E**
 - Support
 - sample points and authorities, § **App 3D**
 - sample reply points and authorities, § **App 3F**

ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS STANDARD

- Methodologies of allocation, allocable costs, § **3:233**
- Standards for review of proposed consent decree and other EPA actions under CERCLA, §§ **4:54 to 4:57**

AREA OF CONTRIBUTION

- Methodology of allocation, § **3:200**

ASSESSMENT OF SITE

- Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, practical considerations, §§ **9:70 to 9:72**

ATTORNEYS

- De micromis settlements, liaison counsel as communication tool, § **5:55**
- Expert testimony in allocation disputes, § **11:9**
- Interview with EPA Region IX attorney, § **App 2B**
- Late notice defense, § **2:34**
- List of insurance coverage resources for environmental attorneys, § **App 2C**
- Malpractice for failure to tender, § **2:33**

ATTORNEY'S FEES

- CERCLA limits, government recovery of, unavoidable response cost actions, § **3:224**
- RCRA actions, § **8:14**
- State common law claims, § **1:22**

AVIALL CASE

- Litigation of Environmental Allocation Issues (this index)

BACKGROUND OR HISTORY

Common law toxic tort actions, **§ 9:2**
Environmental liability claims, history of modern law, **§ 1:23**

BANKRUPTCY COURT

Litigation of environmental allocation issues, court approval of reorganization plan does not trigger statute of limitations, **§ 10:43**

BASICS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITY CLAIMS

Environmental Liability Claim Basics (this index)

BONA FIDE PROSPECTIVE PURCHASER

Innocent landowners, defense, **§§ 3:79, 3:92, 5:9**

BURLINGTON NORTHERN & SANTA FE RY. CO. v. U.S.

Brief for petitioners, **§ App 7G**

Divisibility of claims

Amended opinion of Ninth Circuit, **§ 7:20**
Certiorari, petitions for, **§ 7:21**
District court's opinion, **§ 7:18**
Facts, **§ 7:17**
Game changer view, **§ 7:24**
Government's view, **§ 7:25**
Impact on, **§§ 7:23 to 7:27**
Initial opinion of Ninth Circuit, **§ 7:19**
Multiple orphan shares, Burlington's impact, **§ 7:30**
Post-Burlington Northern case law, **§ 7:27**
Proving, difficulty of, **§ 7:16**
Restatement on law on divisibility, **§ 7:29**
Supreme Court's opinion, **§ 7:22**
Wait and see approach, **§ 7:26**
Opposition brief of the United States, **§ App 7H**
Petition for writ of certiorari, **§ App 7F**

CALIFORNIA

A non-scientist, With no formal legal training
Qualified, to testify as an expert on how the court should equitably allocate CERCLA liability, **§ 11:48**
A scientist, With no formal legal training
Qualified, to testify as public trust doctrine and its role in the equitable allocation of CERCLA liability, **§ 11:49**
Common law toxic tort of trespass, California approach, **§§ 9:15, 9:20**
Environmental liability claim basics, **§ 1:33**
Federal case law, determinations, CERCLA settlement is in good faith
Fair and reasonable, **§ 4:79**

CALIFORNIA—Cont'd

Federal district courts often combine California case law, federal case law in their determinations

CERCLA settlement is in good faith (under California law) and fair and reasonable (under CERCLA), **§ 4:79**

Private cost recovery under state CERCLA, **§§ 10:122, 10:124**

Tate Law Tort Claims that Impose Joint and Several Liability

CERCLA Does Not Preempt, **§ 1:38**

CANCERPHOBIA

Common law torts, **§ 9:56**

Increased risk of cancer, **§ 9:57**

CATEGORIZATION OF CERCLA CASES

Contribution actions under CERCLA, **§ 10:5**

Cost recovery actions under CERCLA, **§ 10:6**

Litigation of environmental allocation issues, **§§ 10:3 to 10:6**

CAUSES OF ACTION

Jury's decision, negligence can, determine the courts subsequent decision on the third-party defense, **§ 3:83**

Selecting and drafting for complaint, **§§ 10:127 to 10:141**

State Law Causes of Action (this index)

CAVEAT EMPTOR

Negligence, **§ 1:5**

CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS

Non-settlor PRP, EPA and charitable organizations, **§ 4:62**

CHECKLISTS

Contractual allocation of liabilities, checklist for practitioners in preparation for contractually allocating environmental risks, **§ App 9B**

Response to PRP letter, **§ App 2A**

CHEM-DYNE CASE

Divisibility of claims, **§ 7:15**

CLAIMS

CERCLA

Strike/dismiss third-party impleader, **§ 10:194**

Viable, determine the settlement is equitably apportioned, bar contribution cost recovery, strictly liable and lack the ability to later reapportion damages to the settling parties in a contribution action, **§ 3:126**

CERCLA contribution

Inequitable liability, **§ 10:9**

CERCLA Does Not Preempt California State Law Tort

Impose Joint and Several Liability, **§ 1:38**

CLAIMS—Cont'd

- Counter by the United States against it in one CERCLA action
- Plaintiffs liability to the United States in another (separate) CERCLA action, **§ 3:208**
- Non-settling defendants, equitably apportioned
 - Reapportion damages to the settling parties in a contribution action (§ 113(f)(1)), **§ 3:126**
- Other solvent party
 - Inequitable share of liability, **§ 3:9**

CLASSIFICATION OF PRPS

- Allocation law, disputes involving PRPs in more than one PRP class, **§ 6:32**

CLEAN AIR ACT OF 1970 (CAA)

- Environmental liability claim basics, **§ 1:28**

COMMERCIAL LEASES

- Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, **§ 9:106**

COMMITTEE ON “ALL APPROPRIATE INQUIRY”

- Interview with Julie Kilgore, Chairperson of USEPA’s Committee on “All Appropriate Inquiry,” **§ App 9C**

COMMON LAW

- Preemption of state common law claims for contribution and indemnity, **§ 10:151**

COMMON LAW TORTS

- Generally, **§ 1:2**
- Absolute liability of ultrahazardous conduct, **§ 1:13**
- Chemical trespass, diversion of water, consent to chemical trespass, **§ 1:9**
- Damages theories in toxic tort personal injuries cases, **§§ 9:50 to 9:55**
- Economic loss doctrine, defense, **§ 1:4**
- EPA memo as a regulatory order, negligence per se claim, **§ 1:7**
- Fraud and negligent misrepresentation, **§ 9:41**
- Manufactured products, strict liability, **§ 1:12**
- Misrepresentation, **§ 9:41**
- Negligence, **§§ 1:3, 9:24 to 9:29**
 - Economic loss doctrine, defense, **§ 1:4**
- Negligence per se, **§§ 1:6, 1:7, 9:27 to 9:29**
- Nuisance, **§§ 1:10, 1:11, 9:3 to 9:10**
- Standing, government agency, **§ 1:10**
- Strict liability, **§§ 1:12, 9:30 to 9:40**
- Toxic tort actions, reprise of private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, **§§ 9:2 to 9:45**
- Trespass, **§§ 1:8 to 1:10, 9:11 to 9:22**
- Ultrahazardous conduct, absolute liability, **§ 1:13**

COMMON LAW TORTS—Cont'd

- Waste, **§ 9:42**
- Water, diversion of, consent to chemical trespass, **§ 1:9**

COMPLAINTS

- Characterization of claim, **§ 10:133**
- Consistency with NCP, **§ 10:139**
- Cost recovery or contribution actions, **§ 10:136**
- Counterclaim, PRP identification costs, contribution under CERCLA, **§ 10:135**
- Disadvantages of CERCLA, **§ 10:138**
- Inconsistent pleading, **§ 10:131**
- Litigation of environmental allocation issues, **§§ 10:127 to 10:141**
 - Appropriate causes of action, Introduction, **§ 10:130**
 - Pleading requirements, **§ 10:152**
 - Pursuit of claim after release from liability by state agency, **§ 10:134**
 - Reallocation of equitable liability shares, **§ 10:133**
 - Resolution of CERCLA liability to US or state required to state viable contribution claim under 113(f), **§ 10:134**
 - Selecting and drafting appropriate causes of action, **§§ 10:127 to 10:141**
 - Substantial compliance, 1990-present NCP, **§ 10:140**
 - Successor liability allegations required, **§ 10:137**
 - Supplemental claims, **§ 10:142**

COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION AND LIABILITY ACT OF 1980 (CERCLA)

- Administrative settlement provision, RCRA compliance, response costs, **§ 3:7**
- Allocation under. Methodologies of Allocation (this index)
- Alternative dispute resolution and CERCLA allocation settlements, **§ 4:51**
- Appellate review of district court’s approval of CERCLA consent decree, **§ 4:56**
- Approval of settlement, factors in, **§ 4:71**
- California federal district courts often combine California case law with federal case law in their determinations
- Settlement, is in good faith (under California law) and fair and reasonable (under CERCLA), **§ 4:79**
- Causation and divisibility, **§§ 7:2 to 7:4**
- Claims against any other solvent party, inequitable share of liability, **§ 3:9**
- Consent decree, judicial involvement with, **§ 6:36**
- Consistency and faithfulness with objectives
 - Factors in approval of settlement, **§ 4:71**

COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION AND LIABILITY ACT OF 1980 (CERCLA)
—Cont'd
Consistency and faithfulness with objectives
—Cont'd
Review of proposed consent decree under EPA and other CERCLA actions, §§ 4:54 to 4:57
Cost Recovery Action under CERCLA (this index)
Counterclaim, PRP identification costs, contribution under CERCLA, § 10:135
Counterclaim by the United States against
To contribute to the plaintiffs liability to the United States in another (separate) CERCLA action, § 3:208
Distinction between agreements, costs between/ among parties (permissible)(impermissible)
Transfer liability, no exception exists, litigation between the same parties
are the government and its contractor, § 9:82
Divisibility, law for CERCLA claims, §§ 7:31 to 7:65
Does not preempt state statutes of repose (majority rule), § 1:37
Environmental liability claim basics, §§ 1:24, 1:25
Evidentiary details, complex, trial court need not involve itself, § 4:55
Fairness
Factor in approval of settlement, § 4:71
Review of proposed consent decree under EPA and other CERCLA actions, §§ 4:54 to 4:57
Governs the beginning of the statute of limitations, state law causes of action for personal injury/property
Damage caused by/contributed to the release of hazardous substances
facility into the environment, § 1:36
Insurance, non-settlor PRP and CERCLA settlements, §§ 4:72 to 4:75
Intervention rights of non-settlor PRP, § 4:64
Liability scheme, cannot be used
To improve ones property at anothers expense, § 3:8
Litigation of Environmental Allocation Issues (this index)
Litigation to leverage settlements from small companies
Profitable investment, courts will not countenance scams, § 3:10
Migration, evidence of causation, § 7:3
Non-settlor PRP, §§ 4:51, 4:54, 4:73
Objections to proposed settlements, non-settlor PRP, § 4:63

COMPREHENSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE, COMPENSATION AND LIABILITY ACT OF 1980 (CERCLA)
—Cont'd
Off-site contaminated properties, CERCLA facility
Release of a hazardous substance, release sites owner/operator is liable
for the response costs to clean-up the off-site properties, § 3:20
Opposition to consent decrees that bar contribution rights, § 4:64
Preemption, state law tort claims, joint and several liability, § 1:38
Reasonableness
Factor in approval of settlement, § 4:71
Review of proposed consent decree under EPA and other CERCLA actions, §§ 4:54 to 4:57
Response costs claim, reasonable and rational
Contribution to the facility's contamination, § 10:8
Settlement discussion used as evidence, § 4:75
Settlement must resolve, liability to trigger § 9613s(F)(3)(B)s right to contribution, Territory of Guam v. United States, § 10:70
Settlement/proposed consent decree under CERCLA
Opposition, sample points and authorities, § App 3E
Support
sample points and authorities, § App 3D
sample reply points and authorities, § App 3F
Solvent identified party's share, costs qualifies as an orphan, § 3:211
Standards for review of proposed consent decree, non-settlor PRP, §§ 4:54 to 4:57
Strict liability scheme, fault, Gore factors, methodologies of allocation, § 3:237
Strike/dismiss third-party impleader claims, § 10:194
Third-party contribution claims against a non-settling defendant, § 4:28
"Threatened releases," §§ 1:25, 1:26

CONDUCT

Ultrahazardous conduct, absolute liability, common law torts, § 1:13

CONFERENCES

Pre-trial conferences, litigation of environmental allocation issues, § 10:162

CONSENT DECREES

Ability to pay, need not evaluate comparative fault for the proposed consent decree to be substantively fair, § 3:128

CONSENT DECREES—Cont'd

Appellate review of district court's approval of, **§ 4:56**
 Approval of, data, believable/reasonable, district courts should not second guess, **§ 5:25**
 Non-settlor PRP, standards for review of proposed consent decree, **§ 4:54**
 Settlement/proposed consent decree under CERCLA
 Opposition, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3E**
 Support
 sample points and authorities, **§ App 3D**
 sample reply points and authorities, **§ App 3F**

CONSULTANTS

Agreement for retention of expert witness (consultant), sample, **§ App 11J**
 Consultant engagement letter, sample, **§ App 9D**

CONTIGUOUS PROPERTY OWNER

All appropriate inquiry, **§ 3:87**
 Innocent landowners, defense, **§§ 3:89, 5:9**
 Written assurances from EPA, **§ 3:91**

CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS

Allocation law, court's consideration of contracts between parties regarding liability, **§ 6:18**
 Experts in allocation disputes, sample agreement for retention of expert witness (consultant), **§ App 11J**
 Litigation of environmental allocation issues, sample PRP agreement, **§ App 10B**
 Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, **§§ 9:1 to 9:15**
 Reliance on government contracts by PRP, **§ 3:155**

CONTRIBUTION

Aviall case, implied right of contribution, **§ 10:60**
 Burden of proving the existence
 Appropriate allocation of orphan shares, **§ 3:205**
 CERCLA action, elements of prima facie case, **§§ 10:19, 10:34, 10:37**
 Circuits split as to contribution claim where only state liability settled, **§ 10:60**
 Claim, defendant to plaintiff, **§ 9:44**
 Dismiss CERCLA claims, inequitable liability, **§ 10:9**
 Divisibility victory, seeking contribution following, **§ 10:175**
 Elements of prima facie case in CERCLA action, **§§ 10:19, 10:34, 10:37**
 Facilitys contamination, proof required for a successful CERCLA response costs claim, Reasonable and rational approximation, **§ 10:8**

CONTRIBUTION—Cont'd

Implied right to contribution, post-Aviall split of authority on meaning of 107, **§§ 10:58 to 10:63**
 Indemnity, **§ 1:21**
 Methodology of allocation
 Area of contribution method, **§ 3:200**
 Mass of contribution method, **§ 3:202**
 Mass/volume contribution method, **§ 3:203**
 Volume of contributions, **§ 3:201**
 RCRA actions, suits against previous owners and operators, **§ 8:31**
 Release of different contaminants at different sites, **§ 10:101**
 Right to, cleanup expenses does not automatically bar it from suing for cost recovery of other cleanup expenses, **§ 10:71**
 Settlement with government before suit cannot sue for contribution, **§ 10:81**

COOPER INDUSTRIES, INC. v. AVIALL SERVICES, INC.

Allocation, methodologies of, **§ 3:104**
 Divisibility of claims, **§ 7:64**

COST ALLOCATION

CERCLA costs between/among parties (permissible)(impermissible), transfer liability
 No exception exists, CERCLA litigation, allocation agreement, between government and its contractor, **§ 9:82**

COST ALLOCATION GUIDELINES OF EPA
 Generally, **§ 6:5****COST RECOVERY ACTION UNDER CERCLA**

CERCLA counterpart statutes, **§ 10:129**
 Contribution claim, back-up claim, **§ 10:21**
 "Core factors," **§ 10:17**
 Declaratory relief, **§ 10:127**
 Declaratory relief available for future, **§ 10:15**
 Dismiss, attorneys fees action, not premature, **§ 10:20**
 Elements of prima facie case, **§ 10:19**
 Equitable allocation, **§ 10:17**
 Experts in allocation disputes, sample plaintiff expert witness report in private party CERCLA cost recovery action, **§ App 11E**
 Government, by
 Defendant/appellant's brief in government cost recovery action, sample, experts in allocation disputes, **§ App 11G**
 Defendant/appellant's reply brief in government cost recovery action, sample, experts in allocation disputes, **§ App 11I**
 Plaintiff/appellant's brief in government cost recovery action, sample, experts in allocation

COST RECOVERY ACTION UNDER CERCLA—Cont’d

Government, by—Cont’d
 tion disputes, **§ App 11H**

Implied contribution under state counterpart statutes, **§ 10:129**

Issue of reasonableness of response costs
 Factual inquiry for summary judgment, **§ 10:28**

Litigation of environmental allocation issues, **§§ 10:26 to 10:29**

Methodologies of allocation, motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3A**

Motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3A**

Plaintiff expert witness report in private party CERCLA cost recovery action, sample, **§ App 11E**

Preemption of private state law claim, **§ 10:118**

Private cost recovery under CERCLA counterpart statutes
 Generally, **§§ 10:122 to 10:126**
 California Hazardous Substance Account, **§ 10:122**
 Commencement, date of, state vs. federal statute of limitations, **§ 10:125**
 County water district, **§§ 10:126, 10:128**
 Statutory indemnity, **§ 10:126**

Remediation costs, methodologies of allocation, **§ 3:6**

Reports, sample plaintiff expert witness report in private party CERCLA cost recovery action, **§ App 11E**

Response costs recovery
 Opposition to motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3B**
 Reply memorandum in support of motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3C**

State CERCLA counterpart statutes, **§ 10:129**

Summary judgments
 Motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3A**
 Opposition to motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3B**
 Partial summary judgment, reply memorandum in support of motion, **§ App 3C**
 Reply memorandum in support of motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3C**

COSTS OF ACTION

RCRA actions, **§§ 8:14, 8:15**

DAMAGES

Medical monitoring
 Generally, **§§ 9:51 to 9:55**
 Background, **§ 9:51**
 Common law, **§ 9:53**
 Defenses, **§ 9:54**
 Federal law, **§ 9:52**
 Supervised funds or lump sums, **§ 9:55**
Toxic tort personal injuries cases, theories of damages, **§§ 9:50 to 9:55**

DAUBERT CASE

Experts in allocation disputes, **§§ 11:12, 11:19, 11:25**

DAUBERT HEARINGS

Experts in allocation disputes, **§§ 11:25, 11:30**

DAUBERT MOTIONS

Experts in allocation disputes, **§§ 11:28, 11:29**

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

Actions are available, **§ 10:13**
CERCLA actions, **§ 10:90**
Litigation of environmental allocation issues, **§§ 10:13 to 10:16**
Prima Facie Valid Foundational, **§ 10:16**
Relief appropriate even if not all PRPs are named defendants, liability is speculative, **§ 10:14**
Triggers the Running of the Statute of Limitations to Assert a Contribution, **§ 10:11**

DEFENSES

All appropriate inquiry, contamination before purchase, **§ 3:90**
Bona fide prospective purchaser defense, **§§ 3:79, 3:92, 5:9**
CERCLA, defenses under, **§§ 10:53 to 10:56**
Contiguous property owner defense, **§§ 3:89 to 3:91**
Divisibility, waiver of, **§ 7:71**
Economic loss doctrine, **§ 1:4**

DE MINIMIS, DE MICROMIS, AND ABILITY TO PAY PRP

Generally, **§§ 5:1 to 5:60**
Ability to pay settlements
 Generally, **§§ 5:56 to 5:58**
 Benefits of ATP status, **§ 5:58**
 Conditions to satisfy ATP status, **§ 5:57**
 Financially challenged PRPs, statutory protections, **§ 5:11**
 Installment payments sometimes available, **§ 5:56**
Bona fide prospective purchaser defense, innocent landowners, **§§ 3:79, 3:92, 5:9**
Burdens of proof, **§ 5:47**
Contiguous property owner defense, innocent landowners, **§§ 3:89 to 3:91, 5:9**

DE MINIMIS, DE MICROMIS, AND ABILITY TO PAY PRP—Cont'd

Contribution, de minimis PRP's liability for, **§ 5:15**
 Covenants not to sue, EPA, **§ 5:14**
 Definition and description of, **§§ 5:44 to 5:48**
 De micromis settlements
 Generally, **§§ 5:49 to 5:55**
 Baseline and premium payments, assessment by EPA, **§ 5:53**
 Communication tools, **§ 5:55**
 Department of Justice approval for consent decrees, **§ 5:54**
 Determination of de minimis and non-de minimis, **§ 5:52**
 Discretion of EPA, **§ 5:52**
 Qualifying for de micromis status, **§ 5:51**
 Waste-in lists and volumetric ranking, **§ 5:50**
 De minimis PRP settlements, statutory support, **§ 5:59**
 Determination of settlement amounts, **§ 5:59**
 Documentary evidence, **§ 5:47**
 Equitable allocation of response costs, **§ 3:256**
 Expansion of innocent landowner provisions, innocent landowners, **§ 3:94**
 Financially challenged PRPs. Ability to pay settlements, above
 Joint and several liability
 Generally, **§§ 5:35 to 5:43**
 Case by case examination by courts, **§ 5:37**
 Common attacks, **§ 5:40**
 Discretion of EPA to devise de minimis settlement proposals, **§ 5:41**
 Flexibility to diverge from apportionment formulas, **§ 5:38**
 Multi-faceted evaluations, **§ 5:43**
 Penalties on uncooperative PRPs, **§ 5:42**
 Proposed consent decrees and substantive fairness, **§ 5:39**
 Reasonableness test, **§ 5:36**
 Liability defenses added to section 107 by section 122, **§ 5:45**
 Liability protections to settling PRPs is limited, **§ 5:60**
 Limitations on de micromis PRP defense, **§ 5:46**
 Limited involvement, proving, **§ 5:13**
 Low level of hazardous impact, proving, **§ 5:13**
 National Priorities List
 Apportionment of CERCLA liability, **§ 5:7**
 Bona fide prospective purchasers, statutory protections, **§ 5:9**
 Contiguous landowners, statutory protections, **§ 5:9**
 De micromis statutory protections, **§ 5:10**
 De minimis statutory protections, **§ 5:10**

DE MINIMIS, DE MICROMIS, AND ABILITY TO PAY PRP—Cont'd

National Priorities List—Cont'd
 Financially challenged PRPs, statutory protections, **§ 5:11**
 Innocent landowners, statutory protections, **§ 5:9**
 Liability dependent on nature and extent of hazardous waste contribution, **§ 5:6**
 Multiple statutory protections, **§ 5:11**
 Primary purposes, **§ 5:3**
 Retroactive application of CERCLA, **§ 5:5**
 Sites proposed for, **§ 5:2**
 Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA), **§ 5:8**
 Superfund eligibility, **§ 5:4**
 Negotiating settlements for de minimis PRPs
 Approaches and impediments to settlements, **§ 5:34**
 Approval of consent decree, data, believable/ reasonable, district courts should not second guess, **§ 5:25**
 Challenging settlement by non-settling PRPs, **§ 5:26**
 Consent decree incorporated into Administrative Order on Consent, **§ 5:21**
 Covenant not to sue from EPA, **§ 5:18**
 De minimis landowner, **§ 5:31**
 District courts examination of adequacy of settlement, **§§ 5:23, 5:24**
 Due process rights of non-settling PRPs, **§ 5:24**
 EPA may decline to settle with PRP, **§ 5:29**
 Global settlements, **§ 5:32**
 Impact of de minimis settlements on other PRPs, **§ 5:27**
 Joint defense and working groups, **§ 5:33**
 Limitations on government agencies, **§ 5:16**
 Proposed consent decree must undergo public review, **§ 5:22**
 Public interest before entering into covenant, **§ 5:20**
 Requirements for settlements, **§ 5:17**
 Settlement to PRP as soon as possible, **§ 5:30**
 Special covenant not to sue from EPA, **§ 5:19**
 Statutory duty for EPA to require settling de minimis PRP to waive claims, **§ 5:28**
 Resolution of liability by PRP, impact on other PRPs, **§ 5:15**
 Statutory support for de minimis PRP settlements, **§§ 5:12 to 5:15, 5:59**
 Toxicity contribution determinations, finality, **§ 5:48**

DISCLOSURE

Draft environmental settlement agreements, discoverability by non-parties, **§ 4:65**

DISCLOSURE—Cont'd

Experts in allocation disputes, failing to disclose opinions, **§ 11:31**

DISCOVERY

Draft environmental settlement agreements, discoverability by non-parties, **§ 4:65**

Experts in allocation disputes, pre-trial discovery, **§§ 11:37 to 11:41**

Litigation of environmental allocation issues, **§§ 10:155 to 10:158**

DIVISIBILITY

Generally, **§§ 7:1 to 7:3**

Amicus curiae brief in support of appellant/defendant regarding divisibility, sample, **§§ App 7D, App 7E**

Analysis sua sponte, **§ 7:9**

Appeal

Amicus curiae brief in support of appellant/defendant regarding divisibility, sample, **§§ App 7D, App 7E**

Appellant/defendant's brief regarding divisibility, sample, **§ App 7A**

Opposition, appellant/plaintiff's opposition/answering brief regarding divisibility, sample, **§ App 7B**

Reply, appellant/defendant's reply brief regarding divisibility, sample, **§ App 7C**

Appellant/defendant's brief regarding divisibility, sample, **§ App 7A**

Apportioned based on the contamination attributable to parcel, **§ 7:72**

Apportionment, quantum of proof necessary, **§ 7:69**

Avoidance of damages is not apportionment of damages, **§ 7:13**

Avoidance of PRP status by claiming costs incurred regardless, **§ 7:36**

Bases for CERCLA divisibility, **§ 7:**

Brief for petitioners, **§ App 7G**

Burden of proof, **§§ 7:10, 7:40, 7:61**

Burlington Northern case

Amended opinion of Ninth Circuit, **§ 7:20**

Certiorari, petitions for, **§ 7:21**

District court's opinion, **§ 7:18**

Facts, **§ 7:17**

Game changer on divisibility, **§ 7:24**

Government view, **§ 7:25**

Impact of, **§§ 7:23 to 7:27**

Initial opinion of Ninth Circuit, **§ 7:19**

Orphan shares, **§ 7:30**

Post-Burlington Northern case law, **§ 7:27**

Proving divisibility, difficulty of, **§ 7:16**

Restatement on law on divisibility, **§ 7:29**

Supreme Court opinion, **§ 7:22**

Wait and see approach, **§ 7:26**

DIVISIBILITY—Cont'd

Causation under CERCLA, **§§ 7:2 to 7:4**

CERCLA, quantum of proof necessary for apportionment between CERCLA and non-CERCLA state statutes, **§ 7:69**

CERCLA claims, law on divisibility, **§§ 7:31 to 7:65**

Chem-Dyne case, history, **§ 7:15**

Commingled wastes, propriety of divisibility, **§ 7:39**

Complete defense to CERCLA liability, **§ 7:43**

Contribution after divisibility failure, **§ 7:51**

Contribution distinguished, **§ 7:11**

Contribution of contaminants but not PRP, argument, **§ 7:36**

Cooper Industries, Inc. v. Aviall Servs. Inc., effect of, **§ 7:64**

Costs, contractually indemnifiable, **§ 7:70**

Counterclaim, necessity of, **§ 7:32**

Defense costs, divisibility from response costs, **§ 7:68**

Difficulty in proving, **§§ 7:12, 7:13, 7:16**

Distinct facilities and CERCLA liability, **§ 7:62**

Distinct harms, determination, **§ 7:37**

Effect of proving divisibility, **§ 7:34**

Elements, **§ 7:73**

Environmental harm and volume of waste, proportionality, **§ 7:57**

Equitable liability allocation, finding contamination is divisible, **§ 7:52**

Evidence

Proving geographic divisibility, **§ 7:28**

Requirements to escape joint and several liability, **§ 7:35**

Fact, question of, certification for immediate appeal under Section 1292(b), **§ 7:45**

Fraction of time PRP owned or operated property as basis for divisibility, **§ 7:49**

Geographic divisibility, **§§ 7:28, 7:48**

Harms for which divisibility is improper, **§ 7:38**

History, **§ 7:15**

Illustrative cases on difficulty in proving divisibility, **§ 7:16**

Inability to prove hazardous waste sources, impact on divisibility, **§ 7:54**

Indemnity of costs, **§ 7:70**

Indivisible harm, rebuttable presumption of, mixing pollutants, **§ 7:55**

Indivisible pollution and joint and several liability, **§ 7:56**

Jury, determination by, **§ 7:33**

Law on divisibility of CERCLA claims, **§§ 7:31 to 7:65**

Methodologies of allocation, defense, **§ 3:76**

Migration, evidence of causation, **§ 7:3**

DIVISIBILITY—Cont'd

Multiple orphan shares, Burlington's impact, § 7:30
 Municipality and waste disposal site owner, divisibility of harms, § 7:47
 Operable units as basis for divisibility, § 7:58
 Opposition, appellant/plaintiff's opposition/answering brief regarding divisibility, sample, § App 7B
 Opposition brief of the United States, § App 7H
 Orphan shares, impact of Burlington Northern case, § 7:30
 Ownership and divisibility arguments, § 7:28
 Petition for writ of certiorari, § App 7F
 Pleading affirmatively, § 7:66
 Production records for apportionment of harm, § 7:59
 Proof, § 7:16
 Proportion of hazardous products present, § 7:50
 Proving, difficulty for PRP, § 7:41
 Reply, appellant/defendant's reply brief regarding divisibility, sample, § App 7C
 Requirement to pick a particular method, reasonable assumption harm is proportionate, § 7:60
 Restatement of Torts, role of, § 7:6
 Rulings by court, § 7:8
 Scientific basis, § 7:5
 Separate injuries, bases for divisibility, § 7:53
 Setoff
 Amount received in settlement that exceeded amount ordered to be paid in damages, § 7:67
 Determination, defense costs nondivisible from response costs, § 7:68
 Non-divisible harm, against plaintiff's recovery, § 7:68
 Non-settling defendant, offset to, § 7:65
 Special master, deference given by district court, § 7:46
 Standard of proof for divisibility of harm, § 7:42
 Standard of review for decisions, § 7:44
 Summary judgment
 Burden of proof, trial vs., § 7:10
 Trends, § 7:63
 Two-step analysis, § 7:10
 U.S. v. Atlantic Research, use of evidence to support both divisibility defense and contribution claim, § 10:115
 Waiver of defense, § 7:71

DRAFT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS

Discoverability by non-parties, § 4:65

DUTY TO DEFEND

Responses to US EPA and state agency PRP letters, §§ 2:30 to 2:34

ECONOMIC LOSS DOCTRINE

Defenses, § 1:4

EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

Common law torts, § 9:56

ENDANGERMENT REQUIREMENT

RCRA actions, imminent and substantial endangerment suits, § 8:21

ENFORCEMENT

Government entity ordering remediation not necessary and indispensable party in enforcement, § 10:57

ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITY CLAIM BASICS

Generally, §§ 1:1 to 1:38

Absolute liability of ultrahazardous conduct, common law tort, § 1:13

Attorney's fees for state common law claims, § 1:22

California environmental statutes, § 1:33

CERCLA does not preempt state statutes of repose (majority rule), § 1:37

CERCLA or OPA, What statute

Applies to Oil (OPA) mixed with hazardous substances, § 1:39

Chemical trespass, diversion of water, consent to chemical trespass, § 1:9

Clean Air Act of 1970 (CAA), § 1:28

Common law torts, §§ 1:2 to 1:12

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), §§ 1:24, 1:25

Contribution and indemnity, § 1:21

EPA memo as a regulatory order, negligence per se claim, § 1:7

Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), § 1:31

Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (CWA), § 1:29

Hazardous substance under defendant's property, § 1:26

Landowner's right to sue neighbors, ex-titleholders, ex-tenants, §§ 1:14 to 1:20

Legal history of modern law, § 1:23

Manufactured products strict liability, § 1:12

Modern federal environmental statutes, §§ 1:23 to 1:31

Negligence, §§ 1:3, 9:24 to 9:29

Negligence per se, §§ 1:6, 1:7, 9:27 to 9:29

New Jersey environmental statutes, § 1:34

Nuisance, §§ 1:10, 1:11

Pennsylvania environmental statutes, § 1:35

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA), § 1:27

Source of contamination, hazardous substance under defendant's property, § 1:26

ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITY CLAIM

BASICS—Cont'd

- Standing, government agency, **§ 1:10**
- State "Superfunds" and other environmental statutes, **§§ 1:32 to 1:35**
- Statute of limitations, personal injury, property damage, **§ 1:35**
- Statute of limitations, state law causes of action for personal injury/property

 - Damage caused by/contributed to the release of hazardous substances from a facility into the environment, **§ 1:36**

- Strict liability of manufactured products, **§ 1:12**
- "Threatened releases," **§§ 1:25, 1:26**
- Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), **§ 1:30**
- Trespass, **§§ 1:8 to 1:10, 9:11 to 9:20**
- Ultrahazardous conduct, absolute liability, **§ 1:13**
- Water, diversion of, consent to chemical trespass, **§ 1:9**

EQUITABLE ALLOCATION

- Indemnification provision, environmental liability, time-barred, collateral estoppel, contractual
- Separate litigation, **§ 3:150**

EQUITABLE RESTITUTION

- RCRA actions, **§ 8:8**

ESCROW

- Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, litigation after escrow has closed, **§ 9:75**

EVIDENCE

- Complex details, trial courts need not involve themselves, **§ 4:55**
- Divisibility
 - Difficulty in proving, **§§ 7:16, 7:28**
 - Geographic divisibility, proving, **§ 7:28**
 - Migration, **§ 7:3**
 - Requirements to escape joint and several liability, **§ 7:35**
 - Two-step analysis, **§ 7:10**
- Geographical divisibility, difficulty in proving, **§ 7:28**

EXCLUSION OF EXPERT REPORT AND TESTIMONY

- Experts in Allocation Disputes (this index)

EXPERTS IN ALLOCATION DISPUTES

- Generally, **§§ 11:1 to 11:49**
- Admissibility of expert testimony
 - Generally, **§§ 11:3 to 11:8**
 - Conclusiveness, **§ 11:5**
 - Fact based, **§ 11:7**
 - Preliminary findings by judges, **§ 11:3**
 - Qualifications required, **§ 11:6**

EXPERTS IN ALLOCATION DISPUTES

—Cont'd

- Admissibility of expert testimony—Cont'd
 - Relevant and material, **§ 11:8**
 - Requirement of necessity, **§ 11:4**
 - Sufficiency, **§ 11:46**
- Agreement for retention of expert witness (consultant), sample, **§ App 11J**
- Allowable opinion, **§ 11:47**
- A non-scientist, no formal legal training, Is qualified to testify as an expert
 - How the court should equitably allocate CERCLA liability, **§ 11:48**
- Attorneys, expert testimony by, **§ 11:9**
- CERCLA indemnification dispute, under California law, Expert testimony admissible, contracting parties position, **§ 11:50**
- Compliance with applicable rules, **§ 11:26**
- Confused expert testimony, Trinity Industries, Inc. v. Greenlease Holding Company, **§ 11:45**
- Court appointed experts, **§ 11:44**
- Courts are split on the breadth of allowable expert opinion in allocation
 - Cases, **§ 11:47**
- Dangers of failing to disclose opinion of expert witness, **§ 11:31**
- Data, application toward ultimate decision, **§ 11:18**
- Daubert challenges, **§§ 11:25, 11:35**
- Daubert hearings, **§§ 11:25, 11:30**
- Daubert motion, **§§ 11:28, 11:29**
- Daubert standards, **§ 11:19**
- Declaration, careful preparation, **§ 11:24**
- Defendant/appellant's brief in government cost recovery action, sample, **§ App 11G**
- Defendant/appellant's reply brief in government cost recovery action, sample, **§ App 11I**
- Defendant's motion in limine to exclude expert report and testimony of government's expert witness
- Plaintiff's points and authorities in opposition, sample, **§ App 11B**
- Points and authorities in support, sample, **§ App 11A**
- Reply points and authorities in support, sample, **§ App 11C**
- Deposition preparation and Daubert, **§ 11:27**
- Dueling experts in allocation disputes, **§ 11:2**
- Expert report by private party plaintiff in CERCLA contribution action, sample, **§ App 11D**
- Experts declaration in summary judgment motions, **§ 11:23**
- Methodology variation, **§ 11:21**

EXPERTS IN ALLOCATION DISPUTES**—Cont'd**

- Monte Carlo method of calculating volume of PRPs waste contribution, **§§ 11:16, 11:17**
- Non-testimonial expert consultants, **§§ 11:42, 11:43**
- Objection, necessity of Daubert hearing in response to, **§ 11:30**
- Plaintiff/appellant's brief in government cost recovery action, sample, **§ App 11H**
- Plaintiff-appellee in private party CERCLA action regarding several expert witness issues, sample brief, **§ App 11F**
- Plaintiff's points and authorities in opposition to defendant's motion in limine to exclude expert report and testimony of government's expert witness, sample, **§ App 11B**
- Points and authorities in opposition to defendant's motion in limine to exclude expert report and testimony of government's expert witness, sample, **§ App 11B**
- Points and authorities in support of defendant's motion in limine to exclude expert report and testimony of government's expert witness, sample, **§ App 11A**
- Preparation of declaration, **§ 11:24**
- Pre-trial discovery, **§§ 11:37 to 11:41**
- Qualified allocation expert, choosing
 - Generally, **§§ 11:32 to 11:36**
 - Daubert challenges, **§ 11:35**
 - Finding the right expert, **§ 11:33**
 - Identifying issues, **§ 11:32**
 - Initial telephone conference, **§ 11:36**
 - Pitfalls, **§ 11:34**
- Qualitative and quantitative data, application toward ultimate decision, **§ 11:18**
- RCRA actions, expert's costs, **§ 8:15**
- Reliance on other experts, **§ 11:22**
- Reply points and authorities in support of defendant's motion in limine to exclude report and testimony of government's expert witness, sample, **§ App 11C**
- Reports
 - Expert report by private party plaintiff in CERCLA contribution action, sample, **§ App 11D**
 - Plaintiff expert witness report in private party CERCLA cost recovery action, sample, **§ App 11E**
- Scientist, no formal legal training, Is qualified to testify the public trust doctrine
 - Its role in equitably allocate CERCLA liability, **§ 11:49**
- Scope of model, **§ 11:20**
- Special rules regarding scientific evidence
 - Generally, **§§ 11:10 to 11:15**
 - Daubert case, **§ 11:12**

EXPERTS IN ALLOCATION DISPUTES**—Cont'd**

- Special rules regarding scientific evidence
 - Cont'd
 - Daubert impact, **§ 11:14**
 - Daubert progeny, **§ 11:13**
 - Frye case, **§ 11:11**
 - Gatekeeping function of the court, **§ 11:15**
 - Submission of Daubert motion, **§ 11:28**
 - Summary judgment motions, **§ 11:23**
 - Trinity Industries, Inc. v. Greenlease Holding Company, confused expert testimony, **§ 11:45**
 - Ways to attack Daubert motion, **§ 11:29**

FEDERAL INSECTICIDE, FUNGICIDE, AND RODENTICIDE ACT (FIFRA)

- Environmental liability claim basics, **§ 1:31**

FEDERAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT AMENDMENTS OF 1972 (CWA)

- Environmental liability claim basics, **§ 1:29**

FEES AND COSTS

- RCRA actions, **§§ 8:14, 8:15**

FRAUD AND NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

- Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, **§ 9:41**

GENERATORS

- Generators vs. owners and operators, **§ 6:27**
- Generators vs. transporters, **§ 6:28**
- Multiple generators, **§ 6:26**
- Waste volume at generator related sites, consideration by courts, **§ 6:22**

GENERATORS vs. OWNERS AND OPERATORS

- Allocation law, specific PRP vs PRP disputes, **§ 6:27**

GENERATORS vs. TRANSPORTERS

- Allocation law, specific PRP vs PRP disputes, **§ 6:28**

GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIBILITY

- Proving, **§ 7:28**
- Strategy, **§ 7:48**

GOOD FAITH

- California federal district courts often combine California case law
- Federal case law in their determinations, CERCLA settlement
- fair and reasonable, **§ 4:79**

GOOD FAITH—Cont'd

Owner-Plaintiff cannot use CERCLA litigation to leverage
Settlements from small companies, profitable investment, courts will not countenance CERCLA scams, § 3:10

GORE FACTORS

Allocation law, §§ 6:11 to 6:15, 6:23
Amount of pollutant discharged, § 3:163
Applicability outside of CERCLA, § 6:14
Application of factors, variance of, § 3:153
Calculating and proving volumetric shares, § 3:166
Court requirements, § 3:169
Customer liability, § 3:167
Degree of care, § 3:182
Degree of cooperation, § 3:183
Distinguishing contribution, § 3:178
District courts commonly rely on factors, § 6:15
Emphasis by parties, § 3:170
Equitable allocation of environmental liability, time-barred, § 3:154
Frustration by courts, § 3:171
Generators vs. transporters, § 6:28
Geographic location, considered in allocation, § 3:150
Hazardous substances, amount of, § 3:179
Involvement in manufacture, treatment, storage, transport or disposal, § 3:181
Limitations on use of Gore factors, §§ 3:177 to 3:175
Litigation exposure, considered in allocation, § 3:150
Mass discharge, §§ 3:162, 3:164
Methodology of allocation, §§ 3:149 to 3:175, 3:237
Military contractors, costs incurred during war-effort production, § 3:158
Other factors, § 3:152
PRP prevailing on defense or claim, government should account for, § 3:157
Reliance by courts, § 3:151
Reliance on government contracts by PRP, § 3:155
Responses to US EPA and state agency PRP letters, §§ 2:11, 2:12
Scheme, court approved allocation, § 3:156
Severability of contamination, § 3:165
State courts' use of Gore and Gore to like factors, § 3:176
Stipulation that party will not sign, § 3:168
Toxicity, difficulty determining, § 3:180
Validation or endorsement by circuit courts, § 6:14
Volume of waste as predominant factor, § 3:161

GORE FACTORS—Cont'd

War-effort production, military contractors, costs incurred during, § 3:158

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE

Off-site contaminated properties, CERCLA facility, release
Sites owner/operator is liable for the response costs to clean-up the off-site properties, § 3:20

HAZARDOUS WASTES

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA) (this index)

IMMINENCE REQUIREMENT

RCRA actions, imminent and substantial endangerment suits, § 8:19

IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT SUITS

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA) (this index)

INCENTIVES TO SETTLE

Non-settlor PRP, §§ 4:27 to 4:45

INDEMNITY AND INDEMNIFICATION

Claim, defendant to plaintiff, § 9:44
Contribution, environment liability claims, § 1:21
Divisibility defense costs, § 7:70
Leases, liability of ex-tenants under very old indemnification provisions, § 9:107
Litigation of environmental allocation issues, §§ 10:149 to 10:150
Non-settlor PRP settlement incentives, contractual indemnity, § 4:31
Preemption of state common law claims for contribution and indemnity, § 10:151
Private Party Actions and Contractual Allocation of Liabilities (this index)
Provision, relevant to an equitable allocation of environmental liability
Time-barred, § 3:154

INDIVIDUAL OR STAND-ALONE PLUME

Allocation of costs after determination for each PRP, § 3:199
Analytical or numerical computer modeling, § 3:197
Determination of extent of plume and relative contribution, § 3:196
Distinguishing relative PRP contributions, § 3:198
Methodology of allocation, §§ 3:195 to 3:199

INFORMATION REQUEST LETTERS

Responses to EPA and state agency PRP letters, § 2:2

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

RCRA actions, § 8:8

INNOCENT LANDOWNERS

Generally, § 3:80

Acquired site after release, proving

All appropriate inquiry, § 3:87

Assertion of defense, § 3:86

Contiguous property owner defense, §§ 3:89, 5:9

Full cooperative assistance and facility access, § 3:88

Written assurances, § 3:91

Amendment to CERCLA, § 3:80

Bona fide prospective purchaser defense, §§ 3:80, 3:92, 5:9

Burden of proof, defendants, § 3:82

Contiguous property owner defense, §§ 3:89 to 3:91, 5:9

Expansion of provisions, § 3:94

Financial benefit from occurrences, prevention, § 3:93

Lessors, third party defense not available, § 3:85

Narrowly construed, § 3:83

Property bought

After May 31, 1997 and until November 1, 2005, § 3:95

Environmental Site assessment Process, § 3:96

Before May 31, 1997, § 3:93

Between November 1, 2005 and November 1, 2006, § 3:97

Compliance with regulatory directives, § 3:98

State cause of action for negligence, § 3:83

Underwent amendment in 2002, § 3:81

INSURANCE

Interpretation of policy, § 2:28

Liability insurance fundamentals, responses to EPA and state agency PRP letters, § 2:14

List of insurance coverage resources for environmental attorneys, § App 2C

Non-settlor PRP, insurance and CERCLA settlements, §§ 4:72 to 4:75

Policy components

Generally, § 2:19

Conditions, § 2:24

Declarations page, § 2:20

Definitions, § 2:22

Endorsements, § 2:25

Exclusions, § 2:23

Insuring clause, § 2:21

Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, §§ 9:112 to 9:116

Response to EPA and state agency PRP letters, § 2:15

Tender letter to insurer, sample, § App 2F

INTERVENTION RIGHTS

Non-settlor PRP, §§ 4:63, 4:64

INTERVIEWS

EPA Region IX attorney, § App 2B

Methodology of allocation, interview with an allocator, § App 3G

JOINT AND SEVERAL LIABILITY

CERCLA Does Not Preempt California State Law Tort Claims

Impose Joint and Several Liability, § 10:39

Costs incurred for cleanup of plaintiff's waste, § 10:66

U.S. v. Atlantic Research, § 10:117

LANDLORD AND TENANT

Commercial leases, reprise of private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, § 9:106

Ex-tenants, liability under very old indemnification provisions, § 9:107

Lessees and sublessors, PRP liability, § 3:44

LEAD AGENCY

Non-settlor PRP, issues, §§ 4:2 to 4:20

LEASES

Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities

Commercial leases, special problems, § 9:106

Ex-tenants, liability under very old indemnification provisions, § 9:107

PRP liability, lessees and sublessors, § 3:44

LEGAL TRAINING

A non-scientist, not trained

Qualified to testify as an expert on how the court should equitably allocate CERCLA liability, § 11:48

A scientist, not trained

Qualified to testify as the public trust doctrine and its role in the equitable allocation of CERCLA liability, § 11:49

LETTERS

Checklist for response to PRP letter, § App 2A

Consultant engagement letter, sample, § App 9D

De micromis settlements, communication tools, § 5:55

Information request letter, sample, § App 2D

Pre-PRP letters, responses to EPA and state agency PRP letters, § 2:2

PRP letter, sample, § App 2E

Responses to EPA and State Agency PRP Letters (this index)

Tender letter to insurer, sample, § App 2F

LIABILITY

California State Law Tort Claims that Impose
Join and Several
CERCLA Does Not Preempt, **§ 1:38**
Claim of orphan PRP
Shares does not escape liability, **§ 3:206**
Claims against any other solvent party
Inequitable share, **§ 3:9**
Counterclaim by the United States against it in
one CERCLA action
Shares does not escape liability,
to contribute to the plaintiffs liability to the
United States in another (separate)
CERCLA action, **§ 3:208**
Courts must dismiss CERCLA contribution
claims
Inequitable liability, **§ 11.8**
Require exhaustive/conclusive/undisputed evi-
dence of total costs v. the settling defendants
individual
Liability to determine substantive fairness,
§ 3:132
Existence/non-existence, insurance exception to a
statute of repose, **§ 10:193**
Off-site contaminated properties, CERCLA facil-
ity, release of a hazardous substance ,
release sites owner/operator is liable
For the response costs to clean-up the off-site
properties, **§ 3:20**
Transfer, for CERCLA costs between/among par-
ties (permissible)(impermissible), allocation
agreement, no exception exists,litigation is
between the same parties
Government and its contractor, **§ 9:82**
Unpaid, not bare assertions
Future cleanup, constitute costs incurred in
responding to a release, **§ 3:207**

LIABILITY INSURANCE FUNDAMENTALS

Responses to EPA and state agency PRP letters,
§ 2:14
Substantively fair and reasonable to settle, PRP
ability to pay, **§ 3:131**

**LITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL
ALLOCATION ISSUES**

Generally, **§§ 10:1 to 10:197**
Accurate reporting of response costs, NCP
requirement, plaintiff's, **§ 10:35**
Agreements that allocate CERCLA costs
between/ among parties (permis-
sible)(impermissible), transfer liability, no
exception exists
Allocation agreement, between government
and its contractor, **§ 9:82**
All appropriate inquiry, defense, **§ 10:55**
Allegation plaintiff has accumulated expenses in
excess of fair share, **§ 10:39**

**LITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL
ALLOCATION ISSUES—Cont'd**

Allocator's report, sample, **§ App 10A**
Amended complaints
Adding RCRA claims in violation of RCRA
notice requirements, **§ 10:91**
New parties where plaintiffs dilatory, **§ 10:92**
Attorney disqualification, **§ 10:166**
Aviall case
Additional post-Aviall issues, **§§ 10:58 to
10:63**
Conundrum of decision, **§ 10:59**
Former conundrum, **§ 10:59**
Implied right of contribution, **§ 10:60**
Implied right to contribution, post-Aviall split
of authority on whether 107 includes,
§§ 10:58 to 10:63
Literature survey, post-Aviall practice tips,
§ 10:63
Practice tips post-Aviall, **§ 10:63**
PRP allowed to sue under section 107,
§§ 10:61, 10:62
Bankruptcy court approval of reorganization plan
does not trigger statute of limitations,
§ 10:43
Burden of proof, **§§ 10:7, 10:22**
Categorization of CERCLA cases, **§§ 10:3 to
10:6**
Causes of action, selecting and drafting for com-
plaint, **§§ 10:127 to 10:141**
CERCLA claims against any other solvent party
Inequitable share of liability, **§ 3:9**
CERCLA cost recovery action
Cost effectiveness requirement of National
Contingency Plan, **§§ 10:29, 10:31**
Investigatory costs, recovery of by PRP,
§ 10:33
Issues related to, **§§ 10:26 to 10:29**
National Contingency Plan, summary judg-
ment based on inconsistency, **§ 10:30**
PRP suing PRP, no justification to pursue sec-
tion 107 cost recovery claim, **§ 10:121**
Response costs paid for insured, insurers can-
not file subrogation claims to recover,
§ 10:24
State agency, **§ 10:25**
Voluntary cleanup must be by innocent party,
§ 10:27
CERCLA cost recovery action
Cost effectiveness requirement, application,
§ 10:32
CERCLA liability, PRPs admission of liability
Relevant cleanup liability, triggers running of
CERCLAs statute of limitations, **§ 10:45**
CERCLA plaintiffs may move to strike/dismiss
third-party impleader claims, **§ 10:194**
CERCLAs traditional defenses, **§ 10:53**

LITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ALLOCATION ISSUES—Cont'd

Characterization of claim, **§ 10:132**
 Claim preclusion, **§ 10:190**
 Claims available to PRP under recent case law, **§ 10:178**
 Coercion by government and voluntariness, **§ 10:83**
 Collateral estoppel as bar to reallocation of equitable liability shares, **§ 10:82**
 Commerce Clause, affirmative defense that CERCLA is violation of, **§ 10:153**
 Complaints (this index)
 Consent order between ex-owner and state agency, **§ 10:119**
 Contractual allocation, joint defense group, **§ 10:176**
 Contractual indemnitors, section 107 rights, **§ 10:150**
 Contribution (this index)
 Contribution or cost recovery actions, no juries in CERCLA, **§ 10:2**
 Core factors, **§ 10:17**
 Cost Recovery Action under CERCLA (this index)
 Counterclaims
 Equitable apportionment, §§ **10:93, 10:164**
 PRP identification costs, contribution under CERCLA, **§ 10:135**
 Courts dismiss CERCLA contribution claims
 If plaintiff cannot show inequitable liability, **§ 10:9**
 Declaratory Judgment Actions
 Determine Liability For, Not Recoverability of, **§ 10:97**
 Declaratory judgments, §§ **10:13 to 10:16, 10:90**
 Declaratory relief available for past and future response costs, **§ 10:96**
 Defenses under CERCLA, §§ **10:53 to 10:56**
 Different contaminants released, contribution claims in spite of, **§ 10:174**
 Dilatoriness in amendment of complaints, denying leave to amend, **§ 10:163**
 Discovery
 Generally, §§ **10:155 to 10:158**
 EPA as key source of information, **§ 10:155**
 Formal and informal, §§ **10:155 to 10:158**
 Informal discovery, advantages of, **§ 10:156**
 Opponent's liability insurance coverage, dangers of overaggressive discovery, **§ 10:157**
 Dismissal
 Counterclaim for contribution under 113(f), **§ 10:146**
 Dismissal, statute of limitations, expired, Amends the complaint to reassert a claim, **§ 10:51**

LITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ALLOCATION ISSUES—Cont'd

Disqualification
 Attorney due to membership in joint defense group, **§ 10:166**
 Law firm, screening remedy, **§ 10:94**
 Divisibility and contribution from other PRPs, **§ 10:102**
 Double recovery, **§ 10:143**
 Elements of *prima facie* case
 CERCLA contribution, §§ **10:21, 10:34, 10:37**
 CERCLA cost recovery, **§ 10:21**
 Contribution claim, back-up claim, **§ 10:20**
 Environmental Liability Claim Basics (this index)
 Equitable allocation, §§ **10:17, 10:18**
 Equitable defenses
 Cost recovery actions, **§ 10:56**
 Differing availability, **§ 10:145**
 Existence/non-existence of a liability insurance exception, **§ 10:193**
 Experts Opinion, Equitable Allocation Factors
 Interferes with the Judges Role, Impermissible Expert Lawyer Opinion on the Courts
 Ultimate Legal Conclusion, **§ 10:191**
 Failed contribution claim, linkage to causes of action for indemnification and breach of contract, **§ 10:148**
 Federal government, entitled to impose joint and several liability
 Seeking from another PRP, **§ 10:88**
 Federal government imposition of joint and several liability, **§ 10:88**
 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, directive on leniency, attorneys should not wait too long to amend, **§ 10:197**
 Formal discovery, §§ **10:155 to 10:158**
 Government agency, burden of proof, **§ 10:22**
 Government-approved remediation plan as prerequisite, **§ 10:40**
 Government approved remediation plan in not required for a court order
 On equitable allocation of costs, **§ 10:195**
 Government contractors, assertion of double recovery defense by government, **§ 10:158**
 Guideposts
 Response action is a removal action or remedial action, **§ 10:50**
 Identification as PRP incorrect may recover resulting costs, **§ 10:84**
 Immunity from 113 counterclaim, **§ 10:103**
 Implied right to contribution, post-Aviall split of authority on whether 107 includes, §§ **10:58 to 10:63**
 Incur Additional Cleanup Costs Does Not Make Its CERCLA Unripe, **§ 10:154**
 Informal discovery, §§ **10:155 to 10:158**

LITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ALLOCATION ISSUES—Cont'd

- Initial action, prior federal action and counterclaims for contribution, §§ 10:99, 10:100
- Initial actions for CERCLA contribution purposes, § 10:172
- Joint and several liability, § 10:88
 - Imposition even if government is PRP, § 10:141
 - Seeking from another PRP, § 10:86
- Joint defense group, attorney's prior membership as disqualifying factor, § 10:95
- Joint tortfeasors and named defendants, § 10:41
- Jury trial under state counterpart statutes, § 10:179
- Leverage settlements from small companies, profitable investment
 - Countenance CERCLA scams, § 3:10
- Liability v. recoverability, § 10:36
- Literature survey, post-Aviall practice tips, § 10:63
- Most realistic defenses, § 10:54
- Motions to dismiss, CERCLA contribution suit, payment of portion of liability, § 10:52
- Mutually exclusive remedies of CERCLA, inconsistent pleading, § 10:131
- NCP requirement, accurate reporting response costs, plaintiff's, § 10:35
- No Federal Claim Survives Pre-Trial Motions, Federal Courts Will Not Exercise Jurisdiction Over the Remaining State Law Claims, Federal Courts Will Accept Supplemental Jurisdiction, § 10:192
- Non-settling PRPs ability to bring CERCLA cost to recovery action, § 10:80
- Nonsettling PRPs sidestepping contribution protection, § 10:89
- Partys right to contribution for some of its cleanup expenses does not
 - Automatically bar it from suing for cost recovery of other cleanup expenses, § 10:71
- Payment date of settlement sum, § 10:42
- Petroleum exclusion, recovery under state law, § 10:147
- Phase/operable units as trigger for CERCLA's statute of limitations, § 10:48
- Plaintiff paid only its portion of liability, dismissal, § 10:51
- Plaintiff's duties to cleanup and cost recovery, § 10:67
- Pleadings, responsive pleadings by defendant, § 10:152
- Pleadings as basis for allocation of response costs, § 10:182

LITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ALLOCATION ISSUES—Cont'd

- Post-Aviall split of authority on whether 107 includes implied right to contribution, §§ 10:58 to 10:63
- Practice tips post-Aviall, § 10:63
- Preemption of private state law claim, § 10:118
- Preemption of state common law claims for contribution and indemnity, § 10:151
- Pre-trial conferences, § 10:162
- Pretrial settlement sums, § 10:181
- Prior claim against the defendant, regardless
 - Does not qualify as an initial action to recover response costs under, § 10:173
- Prior claims against defendant, § 10:100
- Private cost recovery under CERCLA counterpart statutes
 - Generally, §§ 10:122 to 10:126
 - California Hazardous Substance Account, § 10:122
 - Californias CERCLA counterpart statute, defendants release caused it to incur response costs
 - a county water district does not have to prove, § 10:124
 - Commencement, date of, state vs. federal statute of limitations, § 10:125
 - County water district, § 10:126
 - Statutory indemnity, § 10:126
- Private cost recovery under state CERCLA counterpart statutes, § 10:120
- Private parties
 - Generally, §§ 10:22, 10:23
 - Actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, litigation after escrow has closed, § 9:75
- PRP agreement, sample, § App 10B
- PRP Does Not Have to Wait Until, Under a Legal Duty to Pay for
 - All/Part of a Sites Cleanup to Sue for Contribution, § 10:12
- PRPs, option
 - Mechanisms to recover cleanup costs, § 10:73
 - Qualification. Disqualification, above
 - Ratio of insurance recovery to damages award, § 10:180
 - Recovery of cleanup costs, CERCLA mechanisms allowing, § 10:64
 - Reimbursement of response costs
 - Insurers cannot file subrogation claims to recover, § 10:24
 - Selection between CERCLA section 107 and section 113, § 10:61
 - Related causes of action, pleading, double recovery, § 10:143
 - Removal or remedial work, § 10:49
 - Report of allocator, sample, § App 10A

LITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ALLOCATION ISSUES—Cont'd

- Response costs
 - Accurate reporting, plaintiff's, NCP requirement, **§ 10:35**
 - Involuntary, PRP may not sue, **§ 10:65**
- Responsive pleadings, **§ 10:152**
- Sanctions include/maintain a section 107(a) claim after they enter into consent decree, **§ 10:196**
- Screening to avoid disqualification in CERCLA case, **§ 10:165**
- Section 107 cost recovery claim, PRP suing PRP, no justification, **§ 10:121**
- Section 113(f)
 - Contribution claim after summary judgment, **§ 10:98**
 - PRP suing PRP, no justification to pursue section 107 cost recovery claim, **§ 10:121**
- Settlement
 - Considerations, **§§ 10:159 to 10:162**
 - With government before suit can recover response costs, **§ 10:81**
- Settlement must resolve a CERCLA-specific liability to trigger, right to contribution, Supreme Court rules on who may sue for contribution under § 113(F)(3)(B) in Territory of Guam v. United States, **§ 10:70**
- Split of authority, includes an implied right to contribution, **§ 10:60**
- Standard of proof required, costs claim, reasonable and rational approximation
 - Defendants individual contribution to the facility's contamination, **§ 10:8**
- State environmental agencies and settlements, **§ 10:85**
- State grant to municipality, **§ 10:25**
- State law claims to recover same CERCLA costs, pursuit of, **§ 10:177**
- Statute of limitations, **§§ 10:10, 10:44 to 10:51**
- Statutory triggers for a contribution claim, occurred for particular site expenses, assert a cost recovery action for its other expenses, **§ 10:79**
- Subrogation claims, insurer cannot file, **§ 10:24**
- There is no independent cause of action
 - Declaratory relief under CERCLA, **§ 10:123**
- Third-party CERCLA contribution claims against non-settling defendant, **§ 10:58**
- Third-party payments as equitable factor or double recovery, **§ 10:144**
- Trial considerations, **§§ 10:167 to 10:169**
- Trial phasing, **§ 10:87**
- Two ways to categorize CERCLA cases, **§§ 10:3 to 10:6**
- Unilateral administrative orders not equivalent to "civil action" under majority rule, **§ 10:78**
- LITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ALLOCATION ISSUES—Cont'd
 - Unsigned stipulation, acceptance as evidence, **§ 10:189**
 - U.S. v. Atlantic Research, case law following, **§§ 10:64 to 10:89**
 - Voluntariness
 - Performance by settling PRP, **§ 10:83**
 - Response costs and impact on action for cost recovery, **§ 10:65**
 - Zero costs allocation on summary judgment, **§ 10:38**
- MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS
 - Common law torts, strict liability, **§ 1:12**
- MASS OF CONTRIBUTION
 - Methodology of allocation, **§ 3:202**
- MASS/VOLUME CONTRIBUTION
 - Methodology of allocation, **§ 3:203**
- MERCURY WASTE
 - Strict liability, **§ 9:35**
- METHODOLOGIES OF ALLOCATION
 - Generally, **§§ 3:1 to 3:257**
 - Acceptance of unsigned stipulation, **§ 3:168**
 - Allocable costs
 - Arbitrary and capricious standard, **§ 3:233**
 - Arbitrary and capricious standard for government response costs, **§ 3:233**
 - Attorney's fees, **§ 3:221**
 - Attorney's fees, cost recovery and contribution actions are intertwined, **§ 3:226**
 - Burden of proof, **§ 3:216**
 - CERCLA limits, government recovery of attorneys' fees, unavoidable response cost actions, **§ 3:227**
 - Composition of allocable costs, **§ 3:200**
 - Costs of preliminary investigation and monitoring recoverable for private parties, **§ 3:199**
 - EPA oversight costs, **§ 3:229**
 - Experts' fees, **§ 3:225**
 - Future costs, **§ 3:122**
 - Future costs, Battle of dueling experts, **§ 3:228**
 - Government recovery of costs, **§ 3:233**
 - Indirect costs, **§ 3:230**
 - Insurance policy, remediation for costs reimbursed under, **§ 3:233**
 - Penalties and punitive damages, **§ 3:231**
 - Prima facie case, **§ 3:196**
 - Private parties can recover certain preliminary investigation monitoring costs regardless of compliance with the NCP, **§ 3:217**

METHODOLOGIES OF ALLOCATION**—Cont'd**

- Allocable costs—Cont'd
 - PRP cannot recover contribution for remediation costs, been reimbursed under an insurance policy, collateral source rule does not apply, **§ 3:232**
 - Public participation unnecessary if government environmental agency supervises cleanup, **§ 3:219**
 - Specific issues regarding what allocable costs comprise, **§ 3:220**
 - Standard of Appellate Review for Compliance With the NCP, **§ 3:215**
 - Amount of pollutant discharged, **§ 3:163**
 - Appellate court reverses the district courts environmental liability, **§ 3:267**
 - Area of contribution method, **§ 3:200**
 - Burden of proof
 - Allocable costs, **§ 3:216**
 - Divisibility cases and equitable allocation cases, **§ 3:174**
 - State CERCLA counterparts, **§ 3:175**
 - Causal contribution, factual determinations regarding, **§ 3:262**
 - CERCLA
 - Substantive fairness, viable claims against non-settling defendants, granting a motion to bar contribution claims
 - reapportion damages to the settling parties in a contribution action (0167 113(f)(1)), **§ 3:126**
 - CERCLA defendant
 - Loaned equipment to an independent third-party who used the equipment to pollute is liable as an owner, **§ 3:30**
 - CERCLA overview
 - Administrative settlement provision, RCRA costs, response costs under, **§ 3:7**
 - Asbestos, **§ 3:24**
 - Bona fide prospective purchaser defense, **§ 5:9**
 - Contiguous property owner defense, **§ 5:9**
 - Current owners of property, strict liability, **§ 3:27**
 - Disposal
 - scope of term, **§ 3:22**
 - Disposal, what constitutes, **§ 3:22**
 - Fertilizer products, release exclusion, **§ 3:23**
 - Hazardous substances, facility, **§ 3:21**
 - Innocent landowner defense, **§ 5:9**
 - RCRA costs, response costs under CERCLAs administrative settlement provision, **§ 3:7**
 - Trustees and beneficiary liability, **§ 3:26**
 - Types of individuals or entities subject to liability, **§ 3:25**
 - CERCLA overview
 - Application of Burlington Northern, Appleton Township of Islip specific state of mind concerning whether a substance is hazardous arranger under § 107(a)(3), **§ 3:33**
 - Arranger liability, §§ **3:32, 3:38, 3:65**
 - causation, **§ 3:36**
 - official designation, **§ 3:40**
 - recycling exception, **§ 3:42**
 - transformer sales, **§ 3:37**
 - valves and tanks, **§ 3:39**
 - Arranger liability, **§ 3:63**
 - Assets of corporation, purchaser, **§ 3:53**
 - Assumption of liability theory, predecessor corporation no longer exists, **§ 3:54**
 - “Bare legal title” holder, **§ 3:17**
 - Bona fide prospective purchaser defense, **§ 3:79**
 - Causal connection between release and costs, **§ 3:35**
 - Causal contribution of party, factual determinations and reimbursement actions, **§ 3:66**
 - Causation, burden on government to prove, **§ 3:36**
 - Common historical ownership/contaminant source, **§ 3:19**
 - Compensability, §§ **3:11, 3:12**
 - Contaminated building, sale with intent to dispose, owner liable, **§ 3:38**
 - Contiguous property owner defense, **§ 3:79**
 - Corporate veil, piercing to impose liability, **§ 3:67**
 - Creation and objectives, **§ 3:3**
 - Defenses, §§ **3:47, 3:75 to 3:79**
 - Determination of subsection to be used for reimbursement, **§ 3:105**
 - Direct spills/leaks onto land or into water, **§ 3:59**
 - Disposal
 - sale of building, **§ 3:38**
 - scope of term, **§ 3:57**
 - timing of, **§ 3:41**
 - Dissolved entities, **§ 3:14**
 - Divisibility defense, **§ 3:76**
 - Facility, liberally construed, **§ 3:21**
 - Facility, what constitutes, §§ **3:18, 3:21**
 - Fee title holder, **§ 3:16**
 - Four classes of PRPs, §§ **3:15 to 3:18**
 - Hazardous substance broadly defined, **§ 3:5**
 - History of allocation under, **§ 3:99**
 - Inequitable share of liability, **§ 3:9**
 - Innocent landowner defense, **§ 3:79**
 - Legal title establishes owner liability, **§ 3:43**

METHODOLOGIES OF ALLOCATION**—Cont'd**

- CERCLA overview
- Application of Burlington Northern, Appleton Township of Islip specific state of mind concerning whether a substance is hazardous arranger under § 107(a)(3), **§ 3:33**
- Arranger liability, §§ **3:32, 3:38, 3:65**
- causation, **§ 3:36**
- official designation, **§ 3:40**
- recycling exception, **§ 3:42**
- transformer sales, **§ 3:37**
- valves and tanks, **§ 3:39**
- Arranger liability, **§ 3:63**
- Assets of corporation, purchaser, **§ 3:53**
- Assumption of liability theory, predecessor corporation no longer exists, **§ 3:54**
- “Bare legal title” holder, **§ 3:17**
- Bona fide prospective purchaser defense, **§ 3:79**
- Causal connection between release and costs, **§ 3:35**
- Causal contribution of party, factual determinations and reimbursement actions, **§ 3:66**
- Causation, burden on government to prove, **§ 3:36**
- Common historical ownership/contaminant source, **§ 3:19**
- Compensability, §§ **3:11, 3:12**
- Contaminated building, sale with intent to dispose, owner liable, **§ 3:38**
- Contiguous property owner defense, **§ 3:79**
- Corporate veil, piercing to impose liability, **§ 3:67**
- Creation and objectives, **§ 3:3**
- Defenses, §§ **3:47, 3:75 to 3:79**
- Determination of subsection to be used for reimbursement, **§ 3:105**
- Direct spills/leaks onto land or into water, **§ 3:59**
- Disposal
 - sale of building, **§ 3:38**
 - scope of term, **§ 3:57**
 - timing of, **§ 3:41**
- Dissolved entities, **§ 3:14**
- Divisibility defense, **§ 3:76**
- Facility, liberally construed, **§ 3:21**
- Facility, what constitutes, §§ **3:18, 3:21**
- Fee title holder, **§ 3:16**
- Four classes of PRPs, §§ **3:15 to 3:18**
- Hazardous substance broadly defined, **§ 3:5**
- History of allocation under, **§ 3:99**
- Inequitable share of liability, **§ 3:9**
- Innocent landowner defense, **§ 3:79**
- Legal title establishes owner liability, **§ 3:43**

METHODOLOGIES OF ALLOCATION**—Cont'd**

CERCLA overview—Cont'd
 Lender liability, history, **§ 3:74**
 Lessees, PRP liability, **§ 3:44**
 Liability on a parent company, **§ 3:69**
 Momentary owner, **§ 3:41**
 Natural gas excluded, "hazardous substance" broadly defined, **§ 3:5**
 Natural resource damages liability, **§ 3:13**
 Non-owner, fee title holder, **§ 3:16**
 Operator liability, **§ 3:61**
 Original defenses, **§ 3:75**
 Owner and operator status, timing of determination, **§ 3:70**
 Party can be an ex-owner/operator of a facility although it owned/operated only a part of that facility, **§ 3:62**
 Passive disposal, split of authority, **§ 3:48**
 Passive migration, **§ 3:49**
 Petroleum excluded, "hazardous substance" broadly defined, **§ 3:5**
 Pleading successor liability, **§ 3:51**
 Private party compensation, **§ 3:2**
 Productive use of material, disposal as, **§ 3:64**
 Property improved at another's expense, **§ 3:8**
 PRP to incur CERCLA liability, **§ 3:54**
 Purchaser liable for costs after purchase, **§ 3:45**
 Quantitative floor, **§ 3:46**
 Release of a hazardous substance, owner/operator is liable for the response costs to clean-up the off-site properties, **§ 3:20**
 Remediation costs, safe working conditions, **§ 3:6**
 Response cost liability, **§ 3:4**
 Responsibility for release of hazardous substance, **§ 3:61**
 Sale of contaminated site, **§ 3:58**
 SARA expansion of defenses, **§ 3:78**
 Separate allocation hearing, necessity of, **§ 3:107**
 Settlements from small companies courts will not countenance CERCLA scams, **§ 3:10**
 Shareholder who is not successor-in-interest, **§ 3:55**
 Status, timing of, **§ 3:60**
 Sublessors, PRP liability, **§ 3:44**
 Successive liability, **§ 3:66**
 Successor liability, **§§ 3:50, 3:52, 3:53**
 Successor liability theory, pleading, **§ 3:55**
 Terror attack, war exception, **§ 3:77**
 Timing of allocation, **§ 3:106**
 Transformers, sending and selling of, **§ 3:37**

METHODOLOGIES OF ALLOCATION**—Cont'd**

CERCLA overview—Cont'd
 Unsold products, arranger liability, **§ 3:65**
 War exception, **§ 3:77**
 CERCLA plaintiffs
 Defendant's equipment ownership is linked to releases or cleanup costs, **§ 3:29**
 Link between the defendant's specific disposal and the site's cleanup, **§ 3:28**
 Not prove the defendant's waste contaminated plaintiff's property to establish defendant's CERCLA liability, **§ 3:31**
 CERCLA requires knowledge that disposed-of waste is hazardous
 Open question, arranger liability, **§ 3:34**
 Cleanups can demand reimbursement from other PRPs
 Meticulous factual determinations concerning, **§ 3:210**
 Consent decrees are not required to specify the extent of liability attributable to each settling defendant, **§ 3:116**
 Contribution, right of PRP to sue for, **§ 3:209**
 Cooper Industries, Inc. v. Aviail Services, Inc., **§ 3:104**
 Cost recovery action
 Motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3A**
 Opposition to motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3B**
 Reply memorandum in support of motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3C**
 Counterclaims for contribution
 Generally, **§ 3:258**
 Funding of settlement claim, **§ 3:266**
 Nondivisible harm, **§ 3:261**
 Reimbursement from other PRPs, **§ 3:262**
 State law claims, **§ 3:259**
 State law claims, discretion to apply the UCFA contribution, **§ 3:260**
 Court
 Specific, factual information before deciding whether certain litigation related costs are recoverable, **§ 3:222**
 Court requirements, **§ 3:169**
 Court will not approve a CERCLA settlement
 No personal jurisdiction over such parties, **§ 3:268**
 Defaulting defendants, **§ 3:265**
 Discretion in applying orphan share, **§ 3:214**
 Distribution of orphan shares, **§ 3:204**
 Employee health and safety costs are not recoverable under CERCLA, **§ 3:218**
 EPA cost allocation guidelines, **§ 6:5**

METHODOLOGIES OF ALLOCATION**—Cont'd**

- Equitable allocation of response costs
 - Generally, §§ 3:245 to 3:268
 - Ability to pay, §§ 3:248, 3:256
 - Abuse of discretion, § 3:235
 - Abuse of discretion in failing to apply orphan share doctrine, § 3:249
 - Abuse of discretion standard, § 3:253
 - Broad discretion, § 3:245
 - Clear error, review for, § 3:235
 - District courts, broad discretion of, § 3:234
 - Fault, Gore factors, CERCLAs strict liability scheme, § 3:237
 - Future costs, accounting for, § 3:246
 - Government approved remediation plans, § 3:247
 - Government as owner, § 3:236
 - Multipliers, §§ 3:251, 3:254
 - Orphan share, creation, § 3:245
 - Orphan shares, accounting for, § 3:243
 - Prejudgment interest, § 3:255
 - Pro tanto, disclosure of settlement terms is not required for court approval of settlements
 - UTCA (Pro Rata), § 3:241
 - Pro tanto or proportionate shares, § 3:240
 - Recalcitrance multiplier, § 3:251
 - Separate allocation hearing, § 3:250
 - Settled shares of other PRPs, accounting for, § 3:238
 - Settlements, apportionment in private party cost recovery actions, § 3:239
 - Solvent identified party's share as orphan, § 3:252
 - Uncertainty multiplier, § 3:254
 - Federal common law CERCLA allocation, § 3:103
 - Frustration by courts, § 3:171
 - Funding of settlement claim, contribution, § 3:266
 - Geographic location, considered in allocation, § 3:150
 - "Gore Factors" used by courts in allocation, §§ 3:149 to 3:175
 - Government liability
 - National defense program, contamination relating from, § 3:72
 - Operator liability, § 3:72
 - Payment of indirect charges, § 3:74
 - Inability to pay a judgment, § 3:173
 - Individual allocations for each contaminant of concern, § 3:184
 - Individual or stand to alone plume allocation method, §§ 3:195 to 3:199
 - Interview with an allocator, § App 3G
 - Joint and several liability, § 3:101

METHODOLOGIES OF ALLOCATION**—Cont'd**

- Judgment proof PRP, effect on determination of equitable allocation, § 3:173
- Liability, defaulting party, § 3:244
- Litigation exposure, considered in allocation, § 3:150
- Magnitude and extent of environmental impacts, § 3:191
- Mass discharge, §§ 3:162, 3:164
- Mass of contribution method, § 3:202
- Mass/volume contribution method, § 3:203
- Military contractors, costs incurred during war effort production, § 3:158
- Monetary judgments, availability of, § 3:257
- National defense program, liability of government for contamination from, § 3:72
- Nonbinding preliminary allocations for responsibility (NBARs)
 - Generally, §§ 3:146 to 3:148
 - Collection and assessment of information necessary to prepare, § 3:148
 - Divvy up 100 percent, not only the "arranger share" of, § 3:146
 - Preparation and formula, § 3:146
 - Settlors liability apportionments, § 3:146
- Non-divisible harm, setoffs, § 3:264
- Open question
 - Whether a jury can decide to pierce the corporate veil in a CERCLA Case, § 3:68
- Orphan shares under various allocation formulas, § 3:204
- PRP prevailing on defense or claim, government should account for, § 3:157
- PRP's right to sue, § 3:209
- Recoverability for demolition costs depends on whether they were necessary response costs consistent with the NCP, § 3:223
- Reform legislation proposals, § 3:263
- Reliance on government contracts by PRP, § 3:155
- Remand the district court
 - Equitable allocation ruling, § 3:267
- Risk vs. production, time on the, based allocation methodologies, § 3:159
- Scheme, court approved allocation, § 3:156
- Setoffs, non-divisible harm, § 3:264
- Settlement/proposed consent decree
 - CERCLA
 - Generally, §§ 3:108 to 3:131
 - Arms-length negotiations, § 3:111
 - conflict of interest do not constitute procedural unfairness, § 3:123
 - Consistency with CERCLA's purpose, § 3:145
 - Contingent insurance recovery, § 3:144

METHODOLOGIES OF ALLOCATION**—Cont'd**

- Settlement/proposed consent decree—Cont'd
- CERCLA—Cont'd
 - Control over disposal of contaminants vs. title to contaminants, **§ 3:160**
 - court must not approve a CERCLA settlement, if it knows too little would not be fair (procedurally or substantively), **§ 3:123**
 - court must not approve a CERCLA settlement, insufficient information, un reasonable
 - foreseeable litigation risks and transaction costs, **§ 3:142**
- De minimis PRPs, inclusion of, **§ 3:119**
- Details needed for procedural review, **§ 3:114**
- Differing treatment for PRPs, **§ 3:136**
- Discounting EPA's claim for early settlement, **§ 3:134**
- Disproportionate liability to non-settlor and substantive fairness, **§ 3:137**
- EPA, delegation of negotiating authority, **§ 3:118**
- EPA need not prepare the allocation, **§ 3:124**
- Financial information provided by EPA, **§ 3:122**
- Four factors for reviewing settlements, **§ 3:109**
- Fragmented data supporting settlement, **§ 3:135**
- Guidelines in PRP Search Manual, **§ 3:139**
- Media, errors in, **§ 3:117**
- not dispositive in a courts decision to approve settlement agreement, **§ 3:140**
- opposed to splitting only the arranger share of, the remediation costs, **§ 3:143**
- Procedural fairness, **§§ 3:110, 3:117 to 3:122**
- Procedural fairness, Use of a Private Mediator Evidences, **§ 3:112**
- Procedural fairness requires notice to non-settling parties, **§ 3:115**
- Procedurally/substantively, **§ 3:113**
- Reasonableness, **§ 3:141**
- Reasonableness, funding, contingent insurance recovery, **§ 3:144**
- settlement negotiations does not impact their procedural fairness, **§ 3:120**
- Specific allocation share for each PRP, **§ 3:138**
- Substantive fairness
 - ability to pay approach, evaluate comparative fault for the proposed consent decree, **§ 3:128**

METHODOLOGIES OF ALLOCATION**—Cont'd**

- Settlement/proposed consent decree—Cont'd
- CERCLA—Cont'd
 - Substantive fairness—Cont'd
 - different ways to prove a settlement agreement, **§ 3:127**
 - existence of orphan shares, determination, **§ 3:133**
 - generally, **§§ 3:125 to 3:139**
 - non-settling objectors contention, misleading the government regarding its ability to pay is, **§ 3:130**
 - objecting, non-settling party to successfully argue against the EPAs ability to pay determination, **§ 3:129**
 - require exhaustive/conclusive/undisputed evidence of total costs v. settling defendants individual liability to determine substantive fairness, **§ 3:132**
 - substantively fair and reasonable to settle based on the PRPs ability to pay PRP liability insurance, **§ 3:131**
- Third party participation in negotiations, **§ 3:121**
- unsubstantiated allegations of cronyism, **§ 3:123**
- Opposition, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3E**
- Support
 - sample points and authorities, **§ App 3D**
 - sample reply points and authorities, **§ App 3F**
- Severability of contamination, **§ 3:165**
- Site-specific factors and attributes, **§§ 3:178 to 3:185**
- Solvent identified party, share of CERCLA costs as orphan, **§ 3:204**
- Solvent identified partys share of CERCLA costs qualifies as an orphan, **§ 3:212**
- Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, **§ 3:102**
- Thirty years of allocation under CERCLA, **§§ 3:100 to 3:104**
- Tortious legislative history, **§ 3:100**
- Triggering PRP's right to sue, **§ 3:209**
- Volume of contributions method, **§ 3:201**
- Volume of waste as predominant factor, **§ 3:161**
- War-effort production, military contractors, costs incurred during, **§ 3:158**
- Waste volumes of settling parties as primary equitable factor, **§ 3:172**

MISREPRESENTATION

- Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, **§ 9:41**

MOTION IN LIMINE

Experts in Allocation Disputes (this index)
Viable claims against non-settling, settlement
Equitably apportioned, contribution claims,
cost recovery, **§ 3:126**

MULTIPLE GENERATORS

Allocation law, specific PRP vs PRP disputes,
§ 6:26

MUNICIPAL PRPS

Specific PRP vs. PRP disputes, transporters vs.
municipal PRPs, **§ 6:30**

NBARS

Generally, **§§ 3:146 to 3:148**
Collection and assessment of information,
§ 3:148
Formula for, **§ 3:147**
Preparation of, **§ 3:147**

NECESSARY AND INDISPENSABLE PARTY

Government entity ordering remediation in
private party action not necessary and indis-
pensable party in enforcement, **§ 10:57**

NEGLIGENCE

Caveat emptor, **§ 1:5**
Common law torts, **§§ 1:3, 9:24 to 9:29**
Defenses, **§ 9:26**
Environmental negligence, illustrative cases,
§ 9:25
Jury's decision on a state cause of action
Determine the court's subsequent decision on
the third-party defense, **§ 3:84**
Per se negligence, **§§ 9:27 to 9:29**
Private party actions and contractual allocation of
liabilities, **§§ 9:24 to 9:29**

NEGLIGENCE PER SE

Common law torts, **§§ 1:6, 1:7, 9:27 to 9:29**
Defenses, **§ 9:29**
Environmental negligence per se, illustrative
cases, **§ 9:28**
EPA memo as a regulatory order, **§ 1:7**
Private party actions and contractual allocation of
liabilities, **§§ 9:27 to 9:29**

NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

Private party actions and contractual allocation of
liabilities, **§ 9:41**

NEW JERSEY

Environmental liability claim basics, **§ 1:34**

NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS

Non-settlor PRP, EPA and non-profits/charitable
organizations, **§ 4:62**

NON-SETTLOR PRP

Administrative orders on consent, **§ 4:47**

NON-SETTLOR PRP—Cont'd

Allocations by EPA
NBARs, **§ 4:58**
Personnel, **§ 4:59**
Alternative dispute resolution and CERCLA
allocation settlements, **§§ 4:51, 4:73**
Appellate review of district court's approval of
CERCLA consent decree, **§ 4:56**
Beginning the settlement process, **§ 4:48**
California federal district courts, combined Cali-
fornia case law with federal case law in their
determinations
CERCLA settlement is in good faith, fair and
reasonable, **§ 4:79**
Charitable organizations and EPA, **§ 4:62**
Common law torts, manufactured products,
§ 1:12
Contribution rights, opposing consent decrees
that might bar, **§ 4:49**
Draft environmental settlement agreements,
discoverability by non-parties, **§ 4:65**
Effect of settlement on other PRPs, **§ 4:69**
EPA
Non-profits/charitable organizations, **§ 4:62**
Personnel pursuing PRPs, **§ 4:21**
Settlement goal of EPA, **§ 4:46**
Evidentiary details, complex, trial court need not
involve itself, **§ 4:55**
Federal Alternative Dispute Resolution Act,
§ 4:66
Filing suit against PRP defendants after settle-
ment or EPA prevailing in suit, **§ 4:61**
Formal organization and steering committees,
§ 4:26
Governmental agencies, dealing with, **§§ 4:1 to**
4:80
Identification of PRPs, **§ 4:23**
Information gathering, **§ 4:24**
Insurance and CERCLA settlements, **§§ 4:72 to**
4:75
Interacting with other PRPs, **§§ 4:24, 4:26**
Intervening to oppose consent decrees, **§ 4:49**
Intervention rights, **§§ 4:63, 4:64**
Lead agency
Generally, **§§ 4:2 to 4:20**
Abandoned waste sites, **§ 4:10**
Defining, **§ 4:2**
Determining, **§ 4:3 et seq.**
Division of labor between federal and state
agencies, **§ 4:8**
EPA determination of whether it should be the
lead agency, **§ 4:17**
Executive orders, **§§ 4:4, 4:5**
Federal and state response lines, **§ 4:9**
Federal government as lead agency, **§ 4:7**
Friction between agencies, **§ 4:16**

NON-SETTLOR PRP—Cont'd

Lead agency—Cont'd
 Legislative intent, **§ 4:12**
 Money, time and expertise, **§ 4:11**
 NCP direction of state, local and federal agencies, **§ 4:6**
 Practical differences with different lead agencies, **§ 4:19**
 Response and enforcement
 difference between, **§ 4:13**
 same lead agency, **§ 4:20**
 Rights of PRPs who object, **§ 4:18**
 Scope of problem and requests for federal aid, **§ 4:14**
 States' independence, **§ 4:15**
 Maintaining claim, **§ 4:65**
 Non-profit organizations and EPA, **§ 4:62**
 Notice of proposed settlement, **§ 4:50**
 Objections to proposed CERCLA settlements, **§ 4:63**
 Offsets, administrative judicial settlements
 qualifying as, **§ 4:68**
 Penalties available against PRPs, **§ 4:22**
 Procedural fairness requires notice to non-settling parties, **§ 3:115**
 Settlement incentives
 Generally, **§§ 4:27 to 4:45**
 Administrative orders on consent, **§ 4:47**
 Beginning the settlement process, **§ 4:48**
 Contractual indemnity, **§ 4:31**
 Contribution protection, **§ 4:29**
 Covenants not to sue, **§ 4:39**
 Disproportionate liability for non-settlers, **§ 4:32**
 EPA's settlement goal, **§ 4:46**
 Joint and several liability, **§ 4:45**
 Limitation of contribution protection to matters addressed, **§ 4:33**
 Mixed funding, **§ 4:41**
 Municipalities, contribution protection after settlement with, **§ 4:36**
 Non-governmental parties, contribution protection after settlement with, **§ 4:34**
 Orphan share compensation, **§ 4:40**
 Potentially lower cleanup costs, **§ 4:44**
 Resolution of liability for response action, contribution claim availability, **§ 4:38**
 Sec. 9613(f)(2), municipality or special government entity constituting a state, **§ 4:35**
 Special accounts, **§ 4:42**
 State, municipality or special government entity constituting a, Sec. 9613(f)(2), **§ 4:35**
 State CERCLA counterparts, contribution protection, **§ 4:30**

NON-SETTLOR PRP—Cont'd

Settlement incentives—Cont'd
 Strict liability, **§ 4:45**
 Suspended listing, **§ 4:43**
 Third-party contribution claim against non-settling defendant, **§ 4:28**
 Valid contribution claim, level of judicial approval of settlement, **§ 4:37**
 Settlement negotiations PRPs, **§ 4:25**
 Standards for review of proposed consent decree and other EPA actions under CERCLA, **§§ 4:54 to 4:57**
 Time to settle, **§ 4:60**

NOTICE OF INTENT

RCRA actions, failure to provide notice of intent to sue is fatal
 Notice to a previous owner suffices if the defendant purchased the facility subject to such notice, **§ 8:12**

NOTICE OF SUIT

RCRA actions, **§ 8:10**

NUISANCE

Abatement costs, **§ 9:22**
 Common law torts, **§§ 1:10, 1:11**
 Common law toxic tort actions, **§§ 9:3 to 9:10**
 Continuing tort, non-nuisance, court split, **§ 9:21**
 Defective products, environmental nuisance actions, **§ 9:9**
 Defenses, **§ 9:10**
 Environmental private nuisance, illustrative cases, **§ 9:7**
 Environmental public nuisance, illustrative cases, **§ 9:5**
 Landowners sued for nuisances on owned property, **§ 9:8**
 New Jersey trend, **§ 9:23**
 Private nuisance, **§ 9:6**
 Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, **§§ 9:3 to 9:10**
 Public nuisance, **§ 9:4**
 Reliance on continuing tort doctrine by plaintiff, **§ 9:22**
 Restoration costs, **§ 9:22**
 Similarities between nuisance and trespass, **§ 9:18**

OBJECTIONS

Non-settlor PRP, proposed CERCLA settlements, **§§ 4:63, 4:64**

OPERATORS

Acquiescence by owner in operator's activities, consideration by courts, **§ 6:19**
 Benefit to owner from operator's activities, consideration by courts, **§ 6:20**
 Generators vs. owners and operators, **§ 6:27**

OPERATORS—Cont'd

- Government incurring CERCLA operator liability, **§ 3:71**
- Operators vs. operators, **§ 6:24**
- Owner's acquiescence in operator's activities, consideration by courts, **§ 6:19**
- Owner's benefit from operator's activities, consideration by courts, **§ 6:20**
- Owners vs. operators, **§ 6:25**
- Previous Owners and Operators (this index)

OPERATORS, OWNERS vs.

- Allocation law, specific PRP vs PRP disputes, **§ 6:25**

OPERATORS vs. OPERATORS

- Allocation law, specific PRP vs PRP disputes, **§ 6:24**

ORPHAN SHARES

- Burlingtons impact on site with multiple orphan shares, **§ 7:30**
- Claim of orphan PRPs, responsibility among the identified PRPs
 - Liability, **§ 3:206**
- Contribution, burden of proving the existence and appropriate allocation, **§ 3:205**
- Distribution of, **§ 3:204**
- Divisibility, impact of Burlington Northern case, **§ 7:30**
- Equitable allocation and accounting for, **§ 3:243**
- Existence, not impact a substantiveness fairness determination, **§ 3:133**
- Methodology of allocation, **§ 3:204**
- Plaintiff cannot use a counterclaim by the United States, action to compel the defendant to contribute to the plaintiff's liability to the United States in another (separate) CERCLA action, **§ 3:208**
- Solvent identified party's share of CERCLA costs qualifies, **§ 3:213**
- Unpaid liabilities, **§ 3:207**
- Unpaid liabilities, plaintiff will perform future cleanup
 - Constitute costs incurred in responding to a release, **§ 3:207**

OWNERS

- Benefit to owner from operator's activities, consideration by courts, **§ 6:20**
- CERCLA liability scheme. Methodologies of Allocation (this index)
- Contaminated building, sale with intent to dispose, owner liable, **§ 3:38**
- Court's consideration of owner's acquiescence in operator's activities, **§ 6:19**
- Generators vs. owners and operators, **§ 6:27**
- Legal title establishes owner liability, **§ 3:43**

OWNERS—Cont'd

- Owners vs. operators, specific PRP vs PRP disputes, **§ 6:25**
- Pleading, successorship, CERCLA claims, **§ 3:55**
- Post-cleanup benefit to owner, consideration by courts, **§ 6:21**
- Present owners vs. past owners, specific PRP vs PRP disputes, **§ 6:23**
- Previous Owners and Operators (this index)
- Private Party Actions and Contractual Allocation of Liabilities (this index)
- Purchaser liable for costs after purchase, **§ 3:45**
- RCRA actions. Previous Owners and Operators (this index)
- Status, timing of determination in CERCLA allocation cases, **§ 3:70**
- Strict liability of current owners of property, CERCLA overview, **§ 3:27**
- Suing previous owners, tenants or neighbors for waste releases, **§§ 1:14 to 1:20**

PENNSYLVANIA

- Environmental liability claim basics, **§ 1:35**

PERSONAL INJURIES

- Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, **§§ 9:50 to 9:55**
- Statute of limitations, **§ 1:36**
- Toxic tort cases, theories of damages, **§§ 9:50 to 9:55**

PLEADINGS

- Allocation of response costs based merely on pleadings, **§ 10:182**
- CERCLA divisibility, **§ 7:66**
- Responsive pleadings by defendant, **§ 10:152**

POSSIBLE OR ACTUAL CONTAMINATION

- Negotiating rights and responsibilities of each party, reprise of private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, **§ 9:78**

POST-CLEANUP BENEFITS

- Allocation law, court's consideration of post-cleanup benefit to owner, **§ 6:21**

PRE-PRP LETTER INVESTIGATION

- Responses to EPA and state agency PRP letters, **§ 2:3**

PRE-PRP LETTERS

- Responses to EPA and state agency PRP letters, **§ 2:2**

PRESENT OWNERS vs. PAST OWNERS

- Allocation law, specific PRP vs PRP disputes, **§ 6:23**

PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES

- Litigation of environmental allocation issues, **§ 10:162**

PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCES—Cont'd

Waiver, divisibility defense, § 7:71

PRE-TRIAL DISCOVERY

Experts in allocation disputes

Generally, §§ 11:37 to 11:41

Deposing witnesses, § 11:39

Duty to supplement discovery, § 11:38

Opposing expert, questioning, § 11:40

Preparing expert, § 11:41

PREVIOUS OWNERS AND OPERATORS

Allocation law, present owners vs. past owners, § 6:23

Landowner's right to sue former owners, §§ 1:14 to 1:20

RCRA actions, suits against previous owners and operators

Generally, §§ 8:23 to 8:30

Allocation to avoid joint and several liability, § 8:30

Alternative liability, burden shifting, § 8:29

Causation nexus required, owner or operator handled waste, § 8:27

CERCLA's innocent landowner defense distinguished, § 8:26

Contribution, § 8:31

Fault considerations, § 8:23

Innocent owners after release occurred, §§ 8:24, 8:25

Ownership after leaks, no liability, §§ 8:24, 8:25

Passive ownership after release occurred, § 8:25

Unremedied prior violation as basis for RCRA citizen suit, § 8:28

Specific PRP vs PRP disputes, present owners vs. past owners, § 6:23

PRIVATE PARTY ACTIONS AND CONTRACTUAL ALLOCATION OF LIABILITIES

Generally, §§ 9:1 to 9:116

Assessment of site, practical considerations, §§ 9:70 to 9:72

Background of common law toxic tort actions, § 9:2

Buying and selling

Actual or possible contamination, negotiating rights and responsibilities of each party, § 9:78

All appropriate inquiry and lenders, § 9:109

As is clauses, § 9:92

Barring other remedies, § 9:89

Boilerplate environmental indemnity provisions, § 9:111

Buyer's considerations, § 9:76

Carve-out provisions, § 9:94

PRIVATE PARTY ACTIONS AND CONTRACTUAL ALLOCATION OF LIABILITIES—Cont'd

Buying and selling—Cont'd

Choice of law provision, § 9:90

Cost sharing agreements, §§ 9:103 to 9:105

Description of the property, § 9:95

Difficulties allocating liability between buyer and seller, § 9:75

Disposal of wastes, addressing, § 9:79

Environmental reviews, § 9:110

Escrow closing, litigation following, § 9:75

Indemnities, environmental, § 9:110

Indemnity and release clauses

Allocation of CERCLA liability, § 9:81

Barring other remedies, § 9:89

Boilerplate environmental indemnity provisions, § 9:111

CERCLA liability, impact of indemnity on, § 9:80

contractual right to allocate risk, § 9:83

environmental indemnification agreement, explicitly covers All Claims, § 9:86

Escrows, § 9:101

Federal government, § 9:88

Holdbacks, § 9:100

Indemnification plus, §§ 9:99 to 9:102

Insurance, § 9:102

Mention of CERCLA not necessary, § 9:85

Military contractor, § 9:87

Pre-sale or post-sale conduct and indemnity clauses, § 9:84

Scope of, § 9:80

Time limitations, § 9:96

Innocent Landowners (this index)

Lenders, §§ 9:108 to 9:111

Necessary issues in cost sharing agreements, § 9:105

Negotiating rights and responsibilities of each party regarding actual or possible contamination, § 9:78

Possible or actual contamination, negotiating rights and responsibilities of each party, § 9:78

Post closing of escrow, litigation, § 9:75

Presumptions, § 9:98

Representations, environmental, § 9:110

Representations and warranties, § 9:97

Sale of assets and sale of stock, § 9:91

Secured creditor exemption, § 9:108

Seller's considerations, § 9:77

Survey of environmental cases, § 9:93

Three stages in transfer of contaminated real property, § 9:60

Time limitations on indemnity, § 9:96

PRIVATE PARTY ACTIONS AND CONTRACTUAL ALLOCATION OF LIABILITIES—Cont'd

Buying and selling—Cont'd

- Transfer of contaminated real property, three distinct stages, **§ 9:60**
- Variation of environmental cost sharing agreements, **§ 9:104**

Checklist for practitioners in preparation for contractually allocating environmental risks, **§ App 9B**

Chemical trespass, diversion of water, consent to chemical trespass, **§ 1:9**

Cleanup cap insurance, **§ 9:113**

Commercial leases, special problems, **§ 9:106**

Committee on "all appropriate inquiry," interview with Julie Kilgore, Chairperson of USEPA's Committee on "All Appropriate Inquiry," **§ App 9C**

Common law toxic tort actions

- Background, **§ 9:2**
- Damages theories in toxic tort personal injuries cases, **§§ 9:50 to 9:55**
- Fraud and negligent misrepresentation, **§ 9:41**
- Misrepresentation, **§ 9:41**
- Negligence, **§§ 9:24 to 9:29**
- Negligence per se, **§§ 9:27 to 9:29**
- Nuisance, **§§ 9:3 to 9:10**
- Standing, government agency, **§ 1:10**
- Strict liability, **§§ 9:30 to 9:40**
- Trespass, **§§ 1:8 to 1:10, 9:11 to 9:20**
- Waste, **§ 9:42**
- Water, diversion of, consent to chemical trespass, **§ 1:9**

Consultant, sample environmental consultant engagement letter, **§ App 9D**

Contaminated real property, three distinct stages of property transfer, **§ 9:60**

Contract actions, **§ 9:45**

Contractual allocation of liabilities, **§§ 9:59 to 9:115**

Contribution claim, defendant to plaintiff, **§ 9:44**

Cost recovery under CERCLA counterpart statutes, **§ 10:129**

Damages theories in toxic tort personal injuries cases, **§§ 9:50 to 9:55**

Difficulties allocating liability between buyer and seller, **§ 9:75**

Disclosure, state statutory requirements, **§ 9:74**

Distinction between agreements that allocate CERCLA costs between/

- Among parties (permissible),(impermissible), CERCLA litigation, between the same parties, the government and its contractor, **§ 9:82**

Enforcement of private party indemnification provisions, **§ 10:57**

PRIVATE PARTY ACTIONS AND CONTRACTUAL ALLOCATION OF LIABILITIES—Cont'd

Engagement of consultant, sample letter, **§ App 9D**

Environmental assessment of site, practical considerations, **§§ 9:70 to 9:72**

Environmental consultant, selecting, **§ 9:69**

Environmental insurance, **§§ 9:112 to 9:114**

Escrow closing, litigation following, **§ 9:75**

Fraud and negligent misrepresentation, **§ 9:41**

Government entity ordering remediation not necessary and indispensable party in enforcement of private party indemnity, **§ 10:57**

Indemnity and release clauses. Buying and selling, above

Indemnity claim, defendant to plaintiff, **§ 9:44**

Inherent problems for environmental site assessments, **§ 9:72**

Interview with Julie Kilgore, Chairperson of USEPA's Committee on "All Appropriate Inquiry," **§ App 9C**

Investigation of property for contamination

- Generally, **§§ 9:61 to 9:68**
- All appropriate inquiry, **§ 9:62**
- Benefits both buyers and sellers, **§ 9:66**
- Environmental due diligence, **§§ 9:62 to 9:65**
- Environmental site assessment standards, **§§ 9:63 to 9:66**

Personnel conducting all appropriate inquiry, **§ 9:65**

- Phase III, **§ 9:68**
- Phase II of inquiry, **§ 9:67**
- Phase I of inquiry, **§§ 9:61 to 9:66**
- Problems and responses, **§§ 9:67, 9:68**
- Timing of all appropriate inquiry, **§ 9:64**

Leases

- Commercial leases, special problems, **§ 9:106**
- Ex-tenants, liability under very old indemnification provisions, **§ 9:107**

Lenders, environmental insurance for, **§ 9:115**

Necessary and indispensable party in enforcement of private party indemnity, **§ 10:57**

Negligence, **§§ 9:24 to 9:29**

Negligence per se, **§§ 9:27 to 9:29**

Nuisance, **§§ 9:3 to 9:10**

Personal injuries, theories of damages in toxic tort personal injuries cases, **§§ 9:50 to 9:55**

Pollution liability insurance, **§ 9:114**

Potential problems when purchasing insurance, **§ 9:116**

Problem clients for environmental site assessments, **§ 9:70**

Problem consultants for environmental site assessments, **§ 9:71**

Real estate sales. Buying and selling, above

PRIVATE PARTY ACTIONS AND CONTRACTUAL ALLOCATION OF LIABILITIES—Cont'd

Real property contamination, three distinct stages of property transfer, **§ 9:60**
 Risk allocation forms, sources, **§ App 9A**
 Sample environmental consultant engagement letter, **§ App 9D**
 Seller arranging assessment before placing on market, advantages, **§ 9:73**
 Site assessments, practical considerations, **§§ 9:70 to 9:72**
 Sources of environmental risk allocation forms, **§ App 9A**
 Standing, government agency, **§ 1:10**
 State statutory liability, **§§ 9:46 to 9:49**
 Statutory liability of state, **§§ 9:46 to 9:49**
 Strict liability, **§§ 9:30 to 9:40**
 Theories of damages in toxic tort personal injuries cases, **§§ 9:50 to 9:55**
 Third party, liability to, **§ 9:44**
 "Tortious contamination," **§ 9:43**
 Transfer of contaminated real property, three distinct stages, **§ 9:60**
 Transfer of ownership of property. Buying and selling, above
 Trespass, **§§ 1:8 to 1:10, 9:11 to 9:20**
 Waste, **§ 9:42**
 Water, diversion of, consent to chemical trespass, **§ 1:9**

PROOF

Allocation, quantum of proof required for apportionment, **§ 6:34**
 Burden of, Party seeking contribution
 Proving the existence and appropriate allocation of orphan shares, **§ 3:205**
 CERCLA/non-CERCLA statute claims, quantum of proof necessary for apportionment, **§ 7:69**
 Divisibility, difficulty in proving, **§§ 7:16, 7:28**
 Geographical divisibility, difficulty in proving, **§ 7:28**

PROPERTY DAMAGE

Statute of limitations, **§ 1:36**
 Toxic torts, **§ 9:58**

PRP LETTERS

Nature and purpose of PRP letters, **§ 2:4**
 Responses to EPA and State Agency PRP Letters (this index)
 Sample letters, **§ App 2E**

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Unnecessary if government environmental agency supervises cleanup, allocable costs, **§ 3:219**

RADIATION WASTE

Strict liability, **§ 9:35**

REAL ESTATE SALES

Private Party Actions and Contractual Allocation of Liabilities (this index)

RELIEF

RCRA actions, **§ 8:4**

REPORTS

Expert report by private party plaintiff in CERCLA contribution action, sample, **§ App 11D**

Litigation of environmental allocation issues, sample allocator's report, **§ App 10A**

Plaintiff expert witness report in private party CERCLA cost recovery action, sample, **§ App 11E**

RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT OF 1976 (RCRA)

Actions under RCRA, **§§ 8:1 to 8:31**

Attorney's fees, **§ 8:14**

Compliance costs, response costs under CERCLAs administrative settlement provision, **§ 3:7**

Contribution, suits against previous owners and operators, **§ 8:31**

Costs and fees, **§§ 3:7, 8:14, 8:15**

Creation of solid or hazardous waste, **§ 8:5**

Decisions in imminent and substantial endangerment suits, **§ 8:22**

Definitions of terms and criteria, **§ 8:5**

Elements of claims and relief available, **§ 8:4**

"Endangerment" requirement in imminent and substantial endangerment suits, **§ 8:21**

Environmental liability claim basics, **§ 1:27**

Equitable restitution, **§ 8:8**

Expert's costs, **§ 8:15**

Failure to provide notice of intent to sue is fatal to a RCRA action

Notice to a previous owner suffices, if the defendant purchased the facility subject to such notice, **§ 8:12**

Fees and costs, **§§ 8:14, 8:15**

Hazardous wastes, creation, **§ 8:5**

"Imminence" requirement in imminent and substantial endangerment suits, **§ 8:19**

Imminent and substantial endangerment suits

Generally, **§ 8:16**

Decisions, **§ 8:22**

"Endangerment" requirement, **§ 8:21**

"Imminence" requirement, **§ 8:19**

Key terms, **§ 8:17**

"May" requirement, **§ 8:18**

"Substantial" requirement, **§ 8:20**

RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND RECOVERY ACT OF 1976 (RCRA)

—**Cont'd**

- Injunctive relief but not equitable restitution, § 8:8
- Leave to amend or dismiss notice, broad discretion of courts, § 8:13
- Mandatory notice of suit, § 8:10
- “May” requirement in imminent and substantial endangerment suits, § 8:18
- Nature of liability, § 8:6
- Notice of suit, §§ 8:10 to 8:13
- Previous owners and operators, suits against
 - Allocation, § 8:30
 - Contribution, § 8:31
 - Successor landowners, suits under RCRA without regard to fault, §§ 8:23 to 8:29
- Private attorney generals to force cleanup, § 8:3
- Private right of action narrowly construed, § 8:9
- Proper notice, requirements, § 8:11
- Prospective application, § 8:7
- Relief available, § 8:4
- Solid waste, creation, § 8:5
- Standing to sue, § 8:9
- States, role of, § 8:2
- “Substantial” requirement in imminent and substantial endangerment suits, § 8:20
- Subtitle I of RCRA, addition of, § 8:2
- UST trust fund, § 8:2

RESPONSES TO US EPA AND STATE AGENCY PRP LETTERS

- Generally, §§ 2:1 to 2:36
- Additional insured status, § 2:35
- Allocation scheme, PRP role in formulation of, § 2:11
- Analysis of environmental insurance claim, § 2:29
- Binders, § 2:26
- Checklist for response to PRP letter, § App 2A
- Combination first party and third party insurance policies, § 2:16
- Definition of insurance for PRP letter purposes, § 2:15
- Duty to defend
 - Generally, §§ 2:30 to 2:34
 - Exception to the suit, § 2:32
 - Failure to tender, malpractice, § 2:33
 - Late notice defense, § 2:34
 - Tendering to trigger duty, § 2:31
 - Triggering duty, § 2:31
- Exception to the suit, § 2:32
- Excess liability insurance, § 2:17
- Expert witnesses to combat perceived unfair volume of waste methodology, § 2:13
- Gore factors, §§ 2:11, 2:12

RESPONSES TO US EPA AND STATE AGENCY PRP LETTERS—Cont'd

- Ignoring PRP letter, improper response to PRP letter, § 2:5
- Information request letter
 - Generally, § 2:2
 - Sample, § App 2D
- Insurance policy components, §§ 2:19 to 2:25
- Insurance policy interpretation, § 2:28
- Insurance types, § 2:16
- Insurers, tendering to, § 2:14
- Interview with EPA Region IX attorney, § App 2B
- Judicial review, demand only available after response, § 2:10
- Late notice defense, § 2:34
- Liability insurance, § 2:16
- Liability insurance fundamentals, § 2:14
- List of insurance coverage resources for environmental attorneys, § App 2C
- Marine insurance, § 2:16
- Multifaceted responses, best practice and elements of, § 2:6
- Nature and purpose of PRP letters, § 2:4
- Personal insurance, § 2:16
- Pollution insurance specialty policies, § 2:36
- Possibly applicable policies before tendering, gathering all, § 2:35
- Pre-PRP letters, § 2:2
- Primary liability insurance, § 2:17
- Proper response to PRP letter, §§ 2:5 to 2:7
- Property insurance, § 2:16
- PRP letter, sample, § App 2E
- PRP search by EPA
 - Assistance by PRP, §§ 2:8, 2:9
 - Conduct of, § 2:8
- Reinsurance, insurance for insurers, § 2:18
- Remainder of EPA's pre-PRP letter investigation, § 2:3
- Settlement preparations, proper response to PRP letter, § 2:7
- Standardization, § 2:27
- Tender letter to insurer, sample, § App 2F
- “Tender” to insurers, § 2:14
- Third party combined with first party insurance policy, § 2:16
- Umbrella liability insurance, § 2:17
- Volume of waste methodology, § 2:13

RESPONSIVE PLEADING

- Litigation of environmental allocation issues, responsive pleadings by defendant, § 10:152

RETROACTIVITY

- RCRA actions, § 8:7

RISK

Allocation forms, **§ App 9A**
 Risk vs. production, time on the, based allocation methodologies, **§ 3:159**

SALES AND TRANSFERS

Private Party Actions and Contractual Allocation of Liabilities (this index)

SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

Experts in allocation disputes, special rules, **§§ 11:10 to 11:15**

SETTLEMENTS

Ability to pay settlements, **§ 5:56**
 Alternative Dispute Resolution, **§ 4:80**
 Atlantic Research, impact on settlements, **§ 10:105**
 Bar to original contribution claim by state settlement with third-party PRP defendant, **§ 4:70**
 Considerations, **§§ 10:159 to 10:162**
 De micromis settlements, **§§ 5:49 to 5:55**
 De minimis settlements
 Impact on other PRPs, **§ 5:27**
 PRP settlements, statutory support, **§ 5:59**
 Determination of amounts, **§ 5:59**
 Different ways to prove, agreement is substantively fair, **§ 3:127**
 Draft agreements, discoverability by non-parties, **§ 4:65**
 EPA Allocation Report, Court not barred, **§ 4:77**
 EPA Allocation Report When Deciding Whether to Enter a Consent Decree, **§ 4:76**
 Equitably apportioned before granting a motion to bar contribution claims
 Cost recovery, strictly liable and lack the ability to later reapportion
 damages to the settling parties in a contribution action, **§ 3:126**
 Evidentiary use of settlement discussions, **§ 4:75**
 Future liability, SARA's solution, **§ 10:159**
 Impact of settlement on nonsettling defendants, **§ 10:90**
 Litigation of environmental allocation issues, **§§ 10:83, 10:159 to 10:162**
 Negotiating settlements for de minimis PRPs, **§ 5:16**
 Non-settlor PRP
 Alternative dispute resolution and CERCLA allocation settlements, **§§ 4:51, 4:73**
 CERCLA allocation settlements and alternative dispute resolution, **§ 4:73**
 Draft agreements, discoverability by non-parties, **§ 4:67**
 Insurance and CERCLA settlements, **§§ 4:72 to 4:75**
 Objections to proposed CERCLA settlements, **§ 4:63**

SETTLEMENTS—Cont'd

Non-settlor PRP—Cont'd
 SARA's pro-settlement mandates, **§ 4:74**
 Settlement incentives, **§§ 4:27 to 4:45**
 Objecting non-settling defendants
 Negotiations does not impact their procedural fairness, **§ 3:120**
 Plaintiff's demand, determination of, **§ 10:161**
 Pre-trial conference, **§ 10:162**
 Proposed consent decree under CERCLA
 Opposition, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3E**
 Support
 sample points and authorities, **§ App 3D**
 sample reply points and authorities, **§ App 3F**
 Resolve a CERCLA-specific liability to trigger § 9613s(F)(3)(B)s right to contribution, Territory of Guam v. United States, **§ 10:70**
 SARA's solution to future liability, **§ 10:160**
 Section 113(f)(3)(B) contribution claim, triggering events, **§ 10:160**
 Sidestepping contribution protection by non-settling PRPs, **§ 10:105**
 State substantive law, **§ 4:78**
 Statutory support for de minimis PRP settlements, **§ 5:59**
 Viable claims, equitably apportioned before granting a motion to bar contribution claims, cost recovery
 Strictly liable, lack the ability to later reapportion damages to the settling parties in a contribution action, **§ 3:126**

SITE ASSESSMENT

Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, practical considerations, **§§ 9:70 to 9:72**

SITE-SPECIFIC FACTORS AND ATTRIBUTES

Area and location, **§ 3:189**
 Characteristics of hazardous substances, **§ 3:179**
 Criteria that could be used for an equitable allocation, **§ 3:186**
 History of site use and waste management practices, **§ 3:188**
 History of site use and waste management practices, not the dollar value of their settlements, **§ 3:185**
 Hydrogeology, **§ 3:190**
 Interaction with regulatory agencies, **§ 3:194**
 Magnitude and extent of environmental impacts, **§ 3:191**
 Methodology of allocation, **§§ 3:178 to 3:185**
 Remediation, **§ 3:193**
 Toxicity, **§ 3:192**
 Types of hazardous substances, **§ 3:179**

SITE-SPECIFIC FACTORS AND ATTRIBUTES—Cont'd

Volumes, types and characteristics of hazardous substances, **§ 3:187**
Volumes of hazardous substances, **§ 3:179**
Waste volumes, primary equitable factor in cost allocation, **§ 3:172**

SOLID WASTE

RCRA actions, creation of solid waste, **§ 8:5**

STAND-ALONE PLUME

Methodology of allocation, **§§ 3:195 to 3:199**

STANDING TO SUE

Governmental agency, trespass/nuisance, **§ 1:10**
RCRA actions, **§ 8:9**

STATE COURTS

Methodology of allocation, use of Gore and Gore to like factors, **§ 3:176**

STATE LAW

CERCLA Does Not Preempt California, Tort Claims
Impose Joint and Several Liability, **§ 1:38**

STATUTE

CERCLA, does not preempt state statutes of repose (majority rule), **§ 1:37**
CERCLA and state law causes of action for personal injury/property, limitations
Damage caused by/contributed to the release of hazardous substances from a facility into the environment, **§ 1:36**

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

Bankruptcy court approval of reorganization plan does not trigger statute of limitations, **§ 10:43**
CERCLA governs, state law causes of action for personal injury/property
Damage caused by/contributed to the release of hazardous substances from a facility into the environment, **§ 1:36**
Commencement, date of, state vs. federal statute of limitations, **§ 10:125**
Costs not included within settlement agreements, **§ 10:44**
Entry of the settlement and resultant consent order
Not the completion of the work to be performed under the settlement and consent order, **§ 10:47**
Litigation of environmental allocation issues, **§§ 10:10, 10:44 to 10:51**
Personal injury, hazardous substances, **§ 1:36**
Phase/operable units as trigger for CERCLA's statute of limitations, **§ 10:48**
Property damage, hazardous substances, **§ 1:36**

STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS—Cont'd

Removal or remedial work, **§ 10:49**
Sue for contribution, settlement must impose
Costs on party seeking contribution, **§ 10:46**

STATUTE OF REPOSE

Existence/non-existence of a liability insurance exception to a statute of
Repose, it must be sufficiently pled, **§ 10:193**

STATUTORY LIABILITY OF STATE

CERCLA
Cost recovery action, issues related to, **§§ 10:26 to 10:29**
CERCLA
Counterpart statutes, **§ 9:48**
Triggers for a contribution claim, site expenses, assert a cost recovery action for its other expenses, **§ 10:79**
CERCLA, issue of reasonableness of response costs
Issue of reasonableness of response costs, **§ 10:28**
Environmental statutes, types of, **§§ 9:47, 9:49**
Little Superfunds, states with, **§ 9:47**
Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, **§§ 9:46 to 9:49**

STRICT LIABILITY

CERCLA, impact of, **§ 9:31**
Common law torts, manufactured products, **§ 1:12**
Defenses, **§ 9:40**
Economic injuries, **§ 9:39**
Evacuation of known contaminated site, **§ 9:38**
Gasoline supplier liability for tank release, **§ 9:34**
Mercury waste, **§ 9:35**
Owner's right to sue neighbor, previous owner or previous tenant
Generally, **§§ 1:14 to 1:20**
Claims against previous titleholder or tenant, **§ 1:17**
Contiguous landowner restriction, **§ 1:20**
Current landowner
With knowledge, **§ 1:19**
Without knowledge, **§ 1:18**
Highly toxic hazardous wastes, **§ 1:15**
Toxic hazardous wastes, **§ 1:16**
Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, **§§ 9:30 to 9:40**
Radiation or mercury waste, **§ 9:35**
Residences and drinking wells, storage tanks in proximity to, **§ 9:33**
Subsequent landowners/occupiers, **§ 9:36**
Trends, **§ 9:32**
Underground gasoline tanks, **§§ 9:33, 9:34**
Waste disposal sites, releases from, **§ 9:37**

SUBSTANTIAL REQUIREMENT

RCRA actions, imminent and substantial endangerment suits, **§ 8:20**

SUMMARY JUDGMENTS

Cost recovery action under CERCLA
 Motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3A**
 Opposition to motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3B**
 Reply memorandum in support of motion for partial summary judgment, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3C**
 Experts in allocation disputes, motion for summary judgment, **§ 11:23**
 Issue of reasonableness of response costs is often too detailed a
 Factual inquiry for summary judgment, **§ 10:28**
 Motion for summary judgment
 Experts in allocation disputes, **§ 11:23**
 Partial summary judgment in cost recovery action under CERCLA, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3A**
 Opposition to motion for summary judgment, partial summary judgment, cost recovery action under CERCLA, sample points and authorities, **§ App 3B**
 Waiver, divisibility defense, **§ 7:71**

SUPERFUNDS

State “Superfunds,” basics of environmental liability claims, **§§ 1:32 to 1:35**

TIME

Indemnification provision can be relevant to an equitable allocation
 Environmental liability even if it is time-barred,
 contractual indemnity provision that another court in separate litigation held was time-barred, **§ 3:154**
 Non-settlor PRP, time to settle, **§ 4:60**
 Risk vs. production, time on the, based allocation methodologies, **§ 3:159**

TORTS

Cancerphobia, **§ 9:56**
 Claims that Impose Joint and Several Liability
 CERCLA Does Not Preempt California State Law, **§ 1:38**
 Common law torts
 Generally, **§ 1:2**
 Absolute liability of ultrahazardous conduct, **§ 1:13**
 Cancerphobia, **§ 9:56**
 Chemical trespass, diversion of water, consent to chemical trespass, **§ 1:9**

TORTS—Cont’d

Common law torts—Cont’d
 Damages in personal injuries cases, **§§ 9:50 to 9:55**
 Emotional distress, **§ 9:56**
 EPA memo as a regulatory order, negligence per se, **§ 1:7**
 Fraud and negligent misrepresentation, **§ 9:41**
 Increased risk of cancer, **§ 9:57**
 Manufactured products strict liability, **§ 1:12**
 Misrepresentation, **§ 9:41**
 Negligence, **§§ 1:3, 9:24 to 9:29**
 Negligence per se, **§§ 1:6, 1:7, 9:27 to 9:29**
 Nuisance, **§§ 1:10, 1:11, 9:3 to 9:10**
 Standing, government agency, **§ 1:10**
 Strict liability of manufactured products, **§ 1:12**
 Toxic tort property damage cases, **§ 9:58**
 Trespass, **§§ 1:8 to 1:10, 9:11 to 9:20**
 Ultrahazardous conduct, absolute liability, **§ 1:13**
 Waste, **§ 9:42**
 Water, diversion of, consent to chemical trespass, **§ 1:9**
 Damages in tort personal injuries cases, **§§ 9:50 to 9:55**

Emotional distress, **§ 9:56**
 Increased risk of cancer, **§ 9:57**
 Toxic tort property damage cases, **§ 9:58**

TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL ACT (TSCA)

Environmental liability claim basics, **§ 1:30**

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY

Contaminated real property, three distinct stages of property transfer, **§ 9:60**

TRANSPORTERS

Generators vs. transporters, **§ 6:29**
 Transporters vs. municipal PRPs, **§ 6:30**

TRANSPORTERS vs. MUNICIPAL PRPS

Allocation law, specific PRP vs PRP disputes, **§ 6:30**

TRESPASS

Abatement costs, **§ 9:22**
 California approach
 Continuing nuisance and trespass, statute of limitations, **§ 9:20**
 Former owners and occupiers, **§ 9:15**
 Common law torts, **§§ 1:8 to 1:10, 9:11 to 9:20**
 Continuing nuisance and trespass, statute of limitations, **§§ 9:19, 9:20**
 Continuing tort, non-trespass, court split, **§ 9:21**
 Defenses, **§ 9:13**
 Environmental trespass, illustrative cases, **§ 9:12**

TRESPASS—Cont'd

Former owners and occupiers, §§ 9:14 to 9:16
Measure of damages, § 9:17
New Jersey trend, § 9:23
Other approaches to former owners and occupiers, § 9:16
Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, §§ 9:11 to 9:20
Reliance on continuing tort doctrine by plaintiff, § 9:22
Restoration costs, § 9:22
Similarities between nuisance and trespass, § 9:18
Standing, government agency, § 1:10
Statute of limitations, §§ 9:19, 9:20
Water, diversion of, consent to chemical trespass, § 1:9

TRIALS

Administrative settlement, effect on contribution claims, § 10:167
Amendment of complaint to assert contribution claim, § 10:168
CERCLAs strict liability scheme does not trump ordinary summary judgment rules, § 10:171
Circumstantial evidence, § 10:184
Closing argument, § 10:188
Example of extremely weak CERCLA contribution case, § 10:185
Experts, § 10:186
Initial actions for CERCLA contribution purposes, § 10:172
Jury selection, § 10:183
Litigation of environmental allocation issues, generally, §§ 10:167 to 10:169
Opening statement, § 10:187
Prior claims are not initial actions, § 10:168
Summary judgment, motions for, § 10:170
 Calculation of Damages is Not Appropriate for Summary Judgment, § 10:169

**TRINITY INDUSTRIES, INC. v.
GREENLEASE HOLDING COMPANY**

Experts in allocation disputes, § 11:45

ULTRAHAZARDOUS CONDUCT

Common law torts, absolute liability, § 1:13

U.S. v. ATLANTIC RESEARCH

Administrative order as civil action for CERCLA contribution action, § 10:78
Bankruptcy, co-liability of PRP to third-party creditor, § 10:106
Case law following, §§ 10:64 to 10:89
CERCLA administrative order qualifies as a "Civil Action"
Contribution action, § 10:108

U.S. v. ATLANTIC RESEARCH—Cont'd

Civil action requirement and jurisdiction, § 10:112
Cleanup need not be "voluntary"
 For the PRP to sue under 0167 107(a)(4)(B), § 10:76
Coercion by government and voluntariness, § 10:83
Common liability among PRPs when underlying claim resolved, § 10:111
Contribution for some of its cleanup expenses does not
 Automatically bar it from suing for cost recovery of other cleanup expenses, § 10:71
Cost recovery claims for sums PRPs paid under private settlements, § 10:104
Costs incurred for cleanup of plaintiff's waste, § 10:66
Counterclaim by defendant, § 10:116
Difficult to determine which CERCLA subsection must be used for
 Parties seeking reimbursement of response costs, § 10:72
EPA incorrectly identified as being a PRP may recover
 Any costs it paid resulting from this incorrect identification., § 10:84
Evidence same for divisibility defense and contribution claim, § 10:115
Former law, § 10:75
Government agency to evaluate and remediate, § 10:109
Immunity from 113 counterclaim, § 10:103
Implied right of contribution, § 10:114
Joint and several liability, § 10:117
Litigation of environmental allocation issues, § 10:67
Non-settling PRPs
 Ability to bring CERCLA cost to recovery action, § 10:80
 Contribution protection, § 10:105
 Sidestepping contribution protection, § 10:89
Party may plead Section 107(a) and Section 113(f) claims in the alternative, § 10:69
PRPs who have incurred costs
 Involuntarily may not sue under Section 107(a) to recover response costs, § 10:68
Recovery from bankruptcy estate, § 10:106
Reimbursement under 107(a) or 113(f), § 10:72
Reimbursement under 107(a) or 113(f), Tenth Circuit agreed
 Administrative order or judicially approved settlement, § 10:77
Service of complaint on government by private defendant, § 10:113

U.S. v. ATLANTIC RESEARCH—Cont'd

Settlement must resolve a CERCLA-specific liability
Supreme Court rules on who may sue for contribution under 113(F)(3)(B), **§ 10:70**
Specific settlements may form
The basis for a CERCLA contribution claim, **§ 10:74**
State environmental agencies and settlements, **§ 10:85**
Voluntary performance by settling PRP, **§ 10:83**
Voluntary response costs
Extent, **§ 10:107**
Not allowed to sue for cost recovery, **§ 10:67**
Waiver of objection to contribution claim, **§ 10:110**

UST TRUST FUND

RCRA actions, **§ 8:2**

VOLUME OF CONTRIBUTIONS

Methodology of allocation, **§ 3:201**

VOLUME OF WASTE

Allocation law, court's consideration of waste volume at generator related sites, **§ 6:22**

WAIVER

Divisibility defense, **§ 7:71**
Objection to contribution claim, U.S. v. Atlantic Research, **§ 10:110**

WASTE

Allocation law, court's consideration of waste volume at generator related sites, **§ 6:22**
Hazardous wastes. See Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA) (this index)
Private party actions and contractual allocation of liabilities, **§ 9:42**
RCRA actions, solid waste, creation, **§ 8:5**

WORKING CONDITIONS

Remediation costs, methodologies of allocation, **§ 3:6**

WORKING GROUPS

De minimis settlements, communication tools, **§ 5:55**
Negotiating settlements for de minimis PRPs, joint defense and working groups, **§ 5:33**