

Index

ABILITY FAIRLY WEIGH EVIDENCE

Evidence, this index

ABILITY TO FOLLOW THE LAW

Generally, § 24:1 to 24:4

Case study, North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:3

Hypothetical questions and case-specific, distinguished, § 24:2

Importance of, § 24:1

North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:3, 24:4

Nullification of jury, § 40:6

Roundtable discussion, North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:4

ACTUAL BIAS

Generally, § 20:2

Challenges for cause and standards for determining, § 21:4

AFFILIATION

Religious beliefs and, § 33:2

ALTERNATE JURORS

Group dynamics, § 7:10

Misconduct, § 40:8

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRIAL CONSULTANTS (ASTC)

Trial Consultants, this index

ANONYMOUS JURY

Privacy, § 8:8

ANTICIPATION

Group dynamics, anticipating during deliberations and trial, § 7:12, 7:14

Task oriented group, anticipating prospective juror's roles as, § 15:1

APPEALS

Generally, § 4:2 to 4:10

Interviews, post-trial, § 41:5, 41:6

Motions, post-trial, § 41:5

Objections for appeal, preserving, § 4:2

Panel, swearing in, § 41:3

Post-trial interviews, § 41:6

Post-trial motions, § 41:5

Swearing in panel, § 41:3

Transcribing voir dire, § 41:4

APPENDICES

Attorney-conducted voir dire, sampling of laws on, § App. B

Challenges for cause, sampling of laws for, § App. E

Hardship, sampling of laws on, § App. A

Jury questionnaires, sample, § App. D

Motions in limine, sample, § App. C

AREAS OF INQUIRY

Generally, § 27:1 to 27:5

Attentiveness of jurors, § 17:6

Attitudes of jurors, § 27:5

Balancing privacy and relevance, § 27:3

Life experience of jurors, § 27:5

Limits on court discretion, § 27:1

Peremptory challenges, intelligent exercise of, § 27:2

Subject matter likely to prejudice prospective jurors, § 27:4

ARIZONA

Peremptory challenges, elimination of, § 23:8

ARMED FORCES

Military Tribunals, this index

ARRAY

Generally, § 4:1

Challenges to array

cross-section challenges. Fair cross-section challenges, below

fair cross-section challenges, below

reasons for, § 4:2

Change of venire

motion for, § 4:9

sample motion for, § 4:10

Change of venue

motions for, § 4:7

Close or delay proceedings, motion to, § 4:8

Convicted felons, exclusion from jury service, § 4:6

Criminal history, exclusion of previously convicted felons from jury service, § 4:6

Cross-section challenges. Fair cross-section challenges, below

Exclusion of previously convicted felons from jury service, § 4:6

ARRAY—Cont'd

- Fair cross-section challenges
 - generally, § 4:3
- Felons, exclusion of previously convicted felons
 - from jury service, § 4:6
- Florida v. Casey Anthony, sample motion for
 - change of venue, § 4:10
- Hardship, challenges to array, § 5:2
- Motions
 - change of venue, motions for, § 4:7
 - close or delay proceedings, motion to, § 4:8
 - sample hearing on motion contesting array, § 4:5
 - sample motion for change of venue, Florida v.
 - Casey Anthony, § 4:10
- Objections to, generally, § 4:2 to 4:10
- Reasons for challenging array, § 4:2
- Sample hearing on motion contesting array, § 4:5
- Sample motion for change of venue, Florida v.
 - Casey Anthony, § 4:10
- Standards for excusing jurors
 - hardship, § 5:2
- Timing, procedural considerations, § 4:4
- Venue
 - change of venue, above
 - sample motion for change of, § 4:10

ASTC

- Trial Consultants, this index

ATTENTIVENESS

- Inquiry as to, § 17:6

ATTITUDE OF JUROR

- Inquiry as to, § 27:5
- Pretrial investigation, sample community attitude
 - survey, § 9:4

ATTORNEY-CONDUCTED VOIR DIRE

- Candor of juror, § 14:11
- Controversy surrounding, § 14:13
- Denial of, preserving right to appeal, § 14:15
- Institutional support for, § 14:12
- Parties, importance to, § 14:10
- Preserving right to appeal denial of, § 14:15
- Self-restraint, importance of, § 14:14
- Time is necessary for, § 14:9

ATTORNEYS

- Conduct of voir dire. Attorney-Conducted Voir
 - Dire, this index
- Defense counsel's examination of prospective juror,
 - case samples, § 15:5
- Implicit bias among, § 20:8
- Jurors, lawyers as. Legal Experience, this index

ATTORNEYS—Cont'd

- Legal Experience and Case-Specific Expertise, this
 - index
- Local counsel, § 10:7
- Local counsel as trial consultants, § 10:7
- Prosecution's examination of prospective juror,
 - case samples, § 15:4
- Relationship of juror to, § 28:4
- Sampling of laws on attorney conducted voir dire,
 - § App. B
- Trial consultants, § 10:7
- Voir dire conducted by attorney. Attorney-
 - Conducted Voir Dire, this index

BACKSTRIKING

- Peremptory challenges, § 22:8

BALANCING PRIVACY AND RELEVANCE

- Generally, § 27:3

BALANCING THE EQUITIES

- Current events and media, § 34:5

BATSON CHALLENGES

- Counsel's motive, importance of, § 23:4
- Implicit bias, § 23:5
- Importance of counsel's motive, § 23:4
- Timing of, § 22:10

BEHAVIOR

- Conduct or Behavior, this index

BIAS OR PREJUDICE

- Generally, § 20:1 to 20:10
- Actual and implied bias standard
 - challenges for cause, § 21:2
- Actual bias, § 20:2, 21:4
- Attorneys, implicit bias among, § 20:8
- Burden of proof, § 21:3
- Challenges for cause, § 21:2 to 21:5
- Courts, implicit bias in, § 20:7
- Criminal Trials, this index
- Definition, § 20:1
- Discovery questions, generic and idiosyncratic
 - biases, § 18:7
- Discrimination, this index
- Ethical considerations in addressing courtroom
 - bias, § 23:6
- Explicit bias, § 20:6
- Gender bias. Race and Gender Bias, this index
- Generic and idiosyncratic biases, § 18:7
- Grand jury proceedings, § 38:2
- Implicit bias
 - generally, § 20:3, 20:6

INDEX

BIAS OR PREJUDICE—Cont'd

- Implicit bias—Cont'd
 - attorneys, implicit bias among, § 20:8
 - courts, implicit bias in, § 20:7
 - Peremptory Challenges, this index
 - stereotypes and, § 20:9
 - Washington state's approach to, § 20:10, 23:7
- Inferable bias, § 20:4
- Peremptory Challenges, this index
- Race and Gender Bias, this index
- Rethinking the importance of bias, § 20:5
- Setting aside of bias by juror, § 21:5
- Stereotypes, § 20:9
- Unconscious bias. Implicit bias, above
- Washington state's approach to implicit bias, § 20:10, 23:7

BURDEN OF PROOF

- Bias or prejudice, § 21:3
- Challenges for cause, § 21:3
- Criminal Trials, this index
- Peremptory challenges, § 22:9

BUSINESS OR PROFESSIONAL RELATIONSHIPS

- Prior experience with parties or witnesses, § 28:4

CANDOR OF JUROR

- Attorney-conducted voir dire, § 14:11

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT

- Death Penalty Cases, this index

CASE ON THE MERITS

- Pretrial publicity, effect on prospective juror's ability to decide case on the merits, § 34:8

CASE SAMPLES

- Group questioning and individualized voir dire, § 15:3 to 15:6

CASE-SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

- Rules of evidence, § 16:6

CASE STUDIES

- Ability to follow the law, North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:3
- Criminal trials, bias against defendants, § 36:5
- Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:5, 19:7
- Educational background of juror, questions regarding, § 29:4
- Lawyers as jurors, § 31:4
- Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:7
- Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:4
- North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:3

CASE STUDIES—Cont'd

- Roy v. Gream, § 19:5

CASEY ANTHONY

- Florida v. Casey Anthony, this index

CAUSE, CHALLENGES FOR

- Challenges for Cause, this index

CELEBRITY JURORS

- Pretrial publicity, § 34:10

CHALLENGES

- Array, this index
- Cause, challenges for. Challenges for Cause, this index
- Cross-section challenges. Fair Cross-Sections, this index
- Implicit bias. Peremptory Challenges, this index
- Motions in limine, registration of challenges outside juror presence, § 12:3
- Non-verbal communication. Peremptory Challenges, this index
- Peremptory Challenges, this index

CHALLENGES FOR CAUSE

- Generally, § 21:1 to 21:5
- Actual bias, standards for determining, § 21:4
- Bias, § 21:2 to 21:5
- Burden of proving bias, § 21:3
- Implied bias and actual, § 21:2
- Introduction, § 21:1
- Setting aside of bias by juror, § 21:5

CHANGE OF VENUE

- Array, this index

CIVIL TRIALS

- Generally, § 35:1 to 35:5
- Arbitration agreements, cases involving, § 35:5
- Equitable relief claims, cases involving, § 35:3
- Introduction, § 35:1
- Punitive damages, cases involving, § 35:4
- Right to trial by jury, § 35:2

CLIENT CONSULTATION AND PRIVILEGE

- Ethics, § 3:7

CLOSE OR DELAY PROCEEDINGS

- Motions, § 4:8

COMMUNITY

- Pretrial Investigation, this index

CONDUCT OR BEHAVIOR

- Misconduct of Juror, this index

CONDUCT OR BEHAVIOR—Cont’d

Normative conduct and situational conduct, distinguished, § 18:8

CONFERENCES

Pre-Trial Conference Strategies, this index

CONFIDENTIALITY

Privacy of Jurors, this index
Trial consultants, limitations on confidentiality, § 10:5

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

Generally, § 2:1 to 2:9
Fair cross-section of community, right to jury drawn from, § 2:5
Impartial jury, right to, § 2:8
Introduction, § 2:1
Prison garb, criminal defendant’s right to appear without, § 2:4
Public trial, right to, § 2:9
Right to participate in voir dire, § 2:3
Right to voir dire, § 2:2
Twelve-member jury, right to, § 2:6
Unanimous verdict, right to, § 2:7

CONSULTANTS AND CONSULTATION

Ethics, client consultation and privilege, § 3:7
Trial Consultants, this index

CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS

Arbitration agreements, civil trials, § 35:5

CORRELATED LINES

Discovery questions along, § 18:10

COURTS

Death penalty cases, Supreme Court cases regarding death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:2
Grand Jury Proceedings, this index
Group questioning and individualized voir dire, § 15:3
Implicit bias, § 20:7
Liberal grant mandate, § 39:6
Military tribunals, § 39:2
Peremptory challenges, Washington state’s approach to implicit bias in, § 23:7
Privacy of jurors, cameras in the courtroom, § 8:9
Questioning by court or counsel, § 14:1 to 14:15
Supplemental juror questionnaire, § 13:2, 13:5
Supreme Court cases regarding death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:2
Washington state’s approach to implicit bias in the, § 23:7

COVID-19

Pre-trial conference strategies, online courtroom proceedings since COVID-19, § 11:6

CRIMINAL BACKGROUND

Privacy of jurors, background checks, § 8:5

CRIMINAL TRIALS

Generally, § 36:1 to 36:8
Bias against defendants in criminal cases
case study, § 36:5
roundtable discussion, § 36:6
Burden of proof
roundtable discussion, § 36:8
sample voir dire, § 36:7
Case study, bias against defendants in criminal cases, § 36:5
Double jeopardy, § 36:4
Evidence. Burden of proof, above
Habeas review of state criminal cases, § 36:3
Presumptions. Burden of proof, above
Right to trial by jury, § 36:2
Roundtable discussions
bias against defendants in criminal cases, § 36:6
burden of proof, § 36:8
Sample voir dire, burden of proof, § 36:7

CROSS-SECTION CHALLENGES

Fair Cross-Sections, this index

“CSI EFFECT”

Current events and media, § 34:2, 34:4

CURRENT EVENTS AND MEDIA

Generally, § 34:1 to 34:11
Balancing the equities, § 34:5
“CSI effect,” § 34:2, 34:4
Effect of, § 34:1 to 34:11
Empirical evidence, inconclusive state of, § 34:3
High profile cases, § 34:6
Inconclusive state of empirical evidence, § 34:3
Introduction, § 34:1
Pretrial Publicity, this index
Social media and public spectacle, § 34:11

DAMAGES

Civil trials, cases involving punitive damages, § 35:4

DEATH PENALTY CASES

Generally, § 37:1 to 37:8
Death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:1
Limitations on habeas review in death penalty cases, § 37:4

INDEX

DEATH PENALTY CASES—Cont'd

Supreme Court cases regarding death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:2

DEFINITIONS

Bias, § 20:1

DELIBERATIONS

Ability to fairly deliberate with other jurors generally, § 26:1 to 26:5

expertise of jurors, § 26:4

refusal to deliberate, § 26:5

Anticipating group dynamics during deliberations, § 7:14

Expertise of jurors, § 26:4

Fair deliberations. Ability to fairly deliberate with other jurors, above

Group dynamics

anticipating group dynamics during deliberations, § 7:14

group negotiations, deliberations as, § 7:17

Misconduct by jurors, discussion of case prior to deliberations, § 40:3

Misconduct of juror, racism in deliberations, § 40:10

One juror, affect ability to be fair and impartial of other juror, § 26:1

DEMONSTRATIVE AIDES

Motions in limine, § 12:6

DESELECTION AND REHABILITATION

Generally, § 1:3, 19:1 to 19:8

Case studies

Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:7

Roy v. Gream, § 19:5

Introduction, § 19:1

Leading questions, use of, § 19:4

Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:7, 19:8

Rehabilitation of prospective jurors, § 19:2

Relationship between types of questioning, § 19:3

Roundtable discussions, Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:7, 19:8

Roy v. Gream, § 19:5

DISABILITY

Peremptory challenges, exclusion of jurors, § 22:6

DISCOVERY QUESTIONS

Generally, § 18:1 to 18:10

Case study, Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:4

Correlated lines, questioning down, § 18:10

Crafting appropriate discovery questions, § 18:6

Follow-up questions, § 18:3

Generic and idiosyncratic biases, § 18:7

DISCOVERY QUESTIONS—Cont'd

Introduction, § 18:1

Memory and participation, importance of, § 18:9

Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:4, 18:5

Normative conduct and situational conduct, distinguished, § 18:8

Open-ended questions, § 18:2

Roundtable discussion, Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:5

Situational conduct, distinguished from normative conduct, § 18:8

DISCRIMINATION

Ethics, intentional discrimination by jury selection, § 3:2

Implicit or unconscious bias in jury selection, § 3:3

Peremptory Challenges, this index

Race and Gender Bias, this index

Unconscious or implicit bias in jury selection, § 3:3

DISHONESTY OF JUROR

Dishonesty during Voir Dire, § 6:6

Importance of follow-up questions, § 6:4

Introduction, § 6:1

Juror dishonesty is evidence of bias, § 6:2

Juror motivation, § 6:3

Timing considerations, § 6:5

DOUBLE JEOPARDY

Criminal trials, § 36:4

DYNAMICS

Group Dynamics, this index

DYNAMICS OF JURY

Group Dynamics, this index

EDUCATION OF JUROR

Generally, § 29:1 to 29:10

Case study, questions regarding educational background, § 29:7

Educational background

generally, § 29:4

questions regarding, case study, § 29:7

roundtable discussion, § 29:8

Limitations on questions regarding, § 29:6

Questions regarding, § 29:4

Roundtable discussion, questions regarding educational background, § 29:8

Rules of evidence, educational and advisory questions, § 16:4

EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

Current events and media, § 34:3

EQUITABLE RELIEF

Civil trials, § 35:3

ETHICS

Generally, § 3:1 to 3:12

Applicable rules, § 3:1

Client consultation and privilege, § 3:7

Communications with jurors, § 3:8

Contact with jurors, § 3:10

Discrimination by jury selection, intentional, § 3:2

Investigating prospective jurors, § 3:11

Juror information, use during trial, § 3:12

Juror privacy, § 3:4

Misrepresentations, § 3:6

Privacy of juror, § 3:4

Publicity of trial, § 3:5

Roundtable discussion

generally, § 3:9 to 3:12

contact with jurors, § 3:10

investigating prospective jurors, § 3:11

use of juror information during trial, § 3:12

EVIDENCE

Ability to fairly weigh evidence

generally, § 25:1 to 25:8

consideration of extrinsic evidence, § 25:6

expertise of juror as extrinsic evidence, § 25:8

extrinsic evidence, § 25:6, 25:8

financial or personal interests in outcome, § 25:5

introduction, § 25:1

juror expertise as extrinsic evidence, effect of,
§ 25:8

outside press coverage as extrinsic evidence,
§ 25:7

personal bias or prejudice, § 25:2

political or societal bias, § 25:3

professional bias, § 25:4

social media, effect of, § 25:7

task-oriented groups, § 26:3

Burden of Proof, this index

Current events and media, inconclusive state of
empirical evidence, § 34:3

Deliberating with a legal expert on the jury, § 26:2

Empirical evidence, inconclusive state of, § 34:3

Expert jurors, ability to fairly weigh evidence,
§ 25:8

Extrinsic evidence

ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:6, 25:8

consideration of, § 25:6

expertise of juror as, § 25:8

outside press coverage, § 25:7

social media, § 25:7

EVIDENCE—Cont'd

Financial or personal interests in outcome, ability to
fairly weigh evidence, § 25:5

Misconduct of juror, consideration of excluded evi-
dence, § 40:7

Outside press coverage as extrinsic evidence, abil-
ity to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:7

Personal bias or prejudice, ability to fairly weigh
evidence, § 25:2

Political or societal bias, ability to fairly weigh evi-
dence, § 25:3

Professional bias, ability to fairly weigh evidence,
§ 25:4

Refusal to deliberate, ability to fairly deliberate,
§ 26:5

Rules of Evidence, this index

Social media, effect on ability to fairly weigh evi-
dence, § 25:7

Weight and sufficiency of evidence. Ability to fairly
weigh evidence, above

Witnesses, this index

EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE

Misconduct of juror, consideration of excluded evi-
dence, § 40:7

EXHAUSTION

Peremptory challenges, § 41:2

EXPERTISE OF JURORS

Ability fairly weigh evidence, § 25:8

Deliberations, § 26:4

Legal Experience, this index

Professional Expertise, this index

EXPLICIT BIAS

Generally, § 20:6

FAIR CROSS-SECTIONS

Array, this index

Constitutional rights, right to jury drawn from,
§ 2:5

Grand jury proceedings, § 38:3

Military tribunals, § 39:3

FAIR DELIBERATIONS

Deliberations, this index

FILLERS

Group dynamics, § 7:6

FINANCIAL INTERESTS

Ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:5

Trial participants, relationship to, § 28:5

INDEX

FLORIDA V. CASEY ANTHONY

- Privacy of jurors, § 8:11
- Sample motion for change of venue, § 4:10

FOCUS GROUPS

- Pretrial investigation, § 9:5

FOLLOWERS

- Group dynamics, § 7:5

FOLLOWING THE LAW

- Ability to Follow the Law, this index

FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS

- Discovery, § 18:3

FOREPERSONS

- Group dynamics, § 7:9

FORMER JURORS

- Trial consultants, § 10:6

GENDER BIAS

- Race and Gender Bias, this index

GENERIC AND IDIOSYNCRATIC BIASES

- Discovery questions, § 18:7

GOVERNMENT SERVICE

- Occupation of juror, significance of questions concerning, § 29:5

GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS

- Generally, § 38:1 to 38:4
- Bias among grand jurors, § 38:2
- Fair cross section requirements, § 38:3

GROUP DYNAMICS

- Generally, § 7:1 to 7:17
- Alternate jurors, § 7:10
- Anticipating group dynamics during deliberations and trial, § 7:12, 7:14
- Closed juror polls, § 7:15
- Decision rules, § 7:16
- Deliberations, this index
- Fillers, § 7:6
- Followers, § 7:5
- Forepersons, § 7:9
- Historical importance of, § 7:2
- Hold-outs, § 7:7
- Importance of, § 7:1
- Leaders, § 7:4
- Open and closed juror polls, § 7:15
- Organizational dynamics in task-oriented groups, § 7:8
- Social combinations, § 7:3
- Stages in group development, § 7:11

GROUP DYNAMICS—Cont'd

- Stereotyping, § 7:13

GROUP QUESTIONING AND INDIVIDUALIZED VOIR DIRE

- Generally, § 15:1 to 15:7
- Analysis of case samples, § 15:6
- Anticipating prospective juror's roles as group participants, § 15:1
- Case samples, § 15:3 to 15:6
- Court's examination of prospective juror, case samples, § 15:3
- Defense counsel's examination of prospective juror, case samples, § 15:5
- Motions for leave to conduct individualized voir dire, § 15:7
- Prosecution's examination of prospective juror, case samples, § 15:4

HABEAS CORPUS

- Criminal trials, § 36:3

HARDSHIP

- Generally, § 5:1 to 5:5
- Loopholes
 - walker v. Mississippi, § 5:5
- Roundtable discussion
 - Walker v. Mississippi, § 5:4
- Sampling of laws on hardship, § App. A
- Walker v. Mississippi, § 5:3

HEARINGS

- Array, sample hearing on motion contesting array, § 4:5

HIGH PROFILE CASES

- Current events and media, § 34:6

HIGHTOWER, NORTH CAROLINA V.

- Ability to follow the law, § 24:3, 24:4

HIPAA

- Privacy of jurors, questioning jurors under HIPAA, § 8:4

HOLD-OUTS

- Group dynamics, § 7:7

HYPOTHETICAL QUESTIONS

- Case-specific questions, distinguished, § 16:6
- Rules of evidence, distinguishing case-specific and hypothetical questions, § 16:6

IMMUNITIES

- Privileges and Immunities, this index

IMPARTIAL JURY

Constitutional rights, § 2:8

IMPLICIT BIAS

Bias, this index

INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATIONS

Misconduct of juror, § 40:4

INDIVIDUALIZED VOIR DIRE

Group Questioning and Individualized Voir Dire,
this index

IN LIMINE MOTIONS

Motions In Limine, this index

INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY

Pre-trial conference strategies, timing and
simplification of jury instructions, § 11:5

INTERNET

Pre-trial conference strategies, online courtroom
proceedings since COVID-19, § 11:6

INTERVIEWS

Appeals, § 41:6

INVESTIGATIONS

Ethics, investigating prospective jurors, § 3:11
Misconduct of juror, independent investigations by
jurors, § 40:4
Pretrial Investigation, this index

JUDGE-CONDUCTED VOIR DIRE

Generally, § 14:1
Authority figure, effect of judge as, § 14:8
Common use, § 14:6
Participation of judge in voir dire, § 14:2
Reciprocity effect on jurors, § 14:7
Sample questions, § 14:4
United States v. Manafort, § 14:5

JUDGES

Judge-Conducted Voir Dire, this index
Relationship of juror with, § 28:4

JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals, this index

JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Pre-trial conference strategies, timing and
simplification of jury instructions, § 11:5

JUSTICE STEVENS

Peremptory challenges, § 23:3

KEY STATEMENT

Motions in limine, § 12:5

KNOWLEDGE OF THE CASE

Implied bias and personal relationships, § 28:1
Pretrial Publicity, this index
Significance of questions concerning, § 28:2

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER

Experience as a police officer, § 29:9, 29:10

LAWYERS

Attorneys, this index

LEADERS

Group dynamics, § 7:4

LEADING QUESTIONS

Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:4

LEGAL EXPERIENCE

Generally, § 31:1 to 31:7
Case study, lawyers as jurors, § 31:4
Lawyers as jurors
 generally, § 31:3
 case study, § 31:4
 roundtable discussion, § 31:5
Personal experience with legal system, § 31:1
Prior jury service, § 31:2
Roundtable discussion
 lawyers as jurors, § 31:5
 victims of crimes, § 31:7
Sample voir dire, victims of crimes, § 31:6
Victims of crimes
 roundtable discussion, § 31:7
 sample voir dire, § 31:6

LIBERAL GRANT MANDATE

Generally, § 39:6

LIFE EXPERIENCE

Areas of inquiry, § 27:5

LIMITATIONS OR RESTRICTIONS

Education of juror, limitations on questions regard-
ing, § 29:6
Trial consultants, limitations on confidentiality,
§ 10:5

LOCAL COUNSEL

Trial consultants, § 10:7

LOUISIANA V. HOLMES

Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:7, 19:8

MAGISTRATES

Federal cases, questioning by magistrate in, § 14:3

MANAFORT, UNITED STATES V.

Judge-conducted voir dire, § 14:5

INDEX

MEDIA

Current Events and Media, this index

MEMORY AND PARTICIPATION

Discovery questions, § 18:9

MICHIGAN V. TYBURSKI

Discovery questions, § 18:4, 18:5

MILITARY TRIBUNALS

Generally, § 39:1 to 39:6

Fair cross-section requirements in military juries, § 39:3

Liberal grant mandate, § 39:6

Military panels, jury selection for, § 39:4

Volunteer jurists, use of, § 39:5

Who may serve on courts-martial, § 39:2

MISCONDUCT OF JUROR

Generally, § 40:1 to 40:11

Alternate jurors, § 40:8

Deliberations, discussion of case prior to, § 40:3

Duty to participate, § 40:2

Excluded evidence, consideration of, § 40:7

Independent investigations by jurors, § 40:4

Introduction, § 40:1

Jury tampering and attorney misconduct, § 40:11

Nullification of jury, § 40:6

Outsiders, communications regarding the case with, § 40:5

Racism in deliberations, § 40:10

Standard for exclusion of jurors, § 40:9

MISREPRESENTATIONS

Ethics, § 3:6

MOCK TRIALS

Pretrial investigation, § 9:5

MOTIONS

Appeals, § 41:5

Array, this index

Group questioning and individualized voir dire, motions for leave to conduct individualized voir dire, § 15:7

Individualized voir dire, motions for leave to conduct, § 15:7

In limine motions. Motions In Limine, this index

Post-Trial Motions, this index

Privacy of jurors, motion for limited closure of voir dire, § 8:10

Sample Motions, this index

MOTIONS IN LIMINE

Generally, § 12:1 to 12:9

MOTIONS IN LIMINE—Cont'd

Demonstrative aides during voir dire, use of, § 12:6

Ground rules for voir dire, setting, § 12:7

Introduction, § 12:1

Notes during trial, allowance of jurors to take, § 12:4

Opening or key statement, motion to make, § 12:5

Other pretrial motions, § 12:9

Particular areas of inquiry, allowance of, § 12:8

Registration of challenges outside juror presence, § 12:3

Reservation of time for voir dire, § 12:2

NON-VERBAL COMMUNICATION

Peremptory Challenges, this index

NORMATIVE CONDUCT

Situational conduct, distinguished, § 18:8

NORTH CAROLINA V. HIGHTOWER

Ability to follow the law, § 24:3, 24:4

NOTES AND NOTEBOOKS

Motions in limine, allowance of jurors to take notes during trial, § 12:4

Pre-trial conference strategies, § 11:4

NULLIFICATION OF JURY

Ability to follow the law, § 40:6

NUMBERS AND NUMBERING

Peremptory challenges, § 22:11

Twelve-member jury, constitutional right to, § 2:6

OATHS

Appeals, swearing in panel, § 41:3

Supplemental juror questionnaire, importance of sworn answers to questionnaires, § 13:6

OBJECTIONS

Array, this index

Batson challenges, timing of, § 22:10

Timing of Batson challenges, § 22:10

OCCUPATIONAL HARDSHIP

Decision making experience, § 29:3

Generally, § 29:2

OCCUPATION OF JUROR

Experience as a police officer, § 29:9, 29:10

Government service, significance of questions concerning, § 29:5

Law enforcement, experience as police officer, § 29:9, 29:10

Limitations on questions regarding, § 29:6

Police officer, experience as, § 29:9, 29:10

OCCUPATION OF JUROR—Cont'd

- Questions regarding, § 29:1
- Roundtable discussion, Experience as a Police Officer, § 29:10
- Sample voir dire, experience as a police officer, § 29:9

ON THE MERITS CASE

- Pretrial publicity, effect on prospective juror's ability to decide case on the merits, § 34:8

OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

- Discovery, § 18:2

OPENING OR KEY STATEMENT

- Motions in limine, § 12:5

OPEN JUROR POLLS

- Group dynamics, § 7:15

OUTSIDERS

- Misconduct of juror, communications regarding the case with outsiders, § 40:5

PANDEMIC

- COVID-19, this index

PANELS AND EMPANELING

- Appeals, swearing in panel, § 41:3
- Military panels, jury selection for, § 39:4

PAROL ACTS OR MATTERS

- Non-verbal communication. Peremptory Challenges, this index

PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES

- Generally, § 22:2 to 22:12
- Appeals and exhaustion of, § 41:2
- Areas of inquiry, intelligent exercise of peremptory challenges, § 27:2
- Arizona state's elimination of, § 23:8
- Backstriking, § 22:8
- Batson Challenges, this index
- Bias. Implicit bias, below
- Burden of proof, § 22:9
- Counsel's motive in Batson hearings, importance of, § 23:4
- Criticism of, § 23:1
- Disability, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 22:6
- Discriminatory use, § 22:3
- Excusal of prospective jurors, identifying circumstances, § 23:9
- Exhaustion of, § 41:2
- Fair cross-section requirement, § 4:3
- Fair-cross section requirement and peremptory challenges, § 22:12

PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES—Cont'd

- Gender, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 22:5
- Implicit bias
 - Batson challenges, § 23:5
 - stereotypes and, § 20:9
 - Washington state's approach to implicit bias in the courts, § 23:7
- Importance, § 22:2
- Intelligent exercise of, § 27:2
- Introduction, § 22:1
- Justice Stevens' rule, § 23:3
- Justification for peremptory challenges, non-verbal communication as, § 17:3
- Non-verbal communication
 - generally, § 17:1
 - comparison of verbal and, § 17:5
 - evaluation of significance, § 17:4
 - justification for peremptory challenges, § 17:3
 - significance of, evaluating, § 17:4
 - verbal behavior, compared, § 17:5
 - voir dire, role in, § 17:2
- Number of, § 22:11
- Objections to opposing counsel's use, timing of
 - Batson challenges, § 22:10
- Race, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 22:4
- Religion, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 22:7
- Stereotypes and implicit bias, § 20:9
- Timing of Batson challenges, § 22:10
- Washington state's approach to implicit bias in the courts, § 23:7

PERSONAL EXPERIENCE WITH LEGAL SYSTEM

- Generally, § 31:1

PERSONAL INTERESTS AND RELATIONSHIPS

- Generally, § 28:1 to 28:7
- Ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:5
- Attorneys, judge or other jurors, relationships with, § 28:4
- Business or professional relationships, § 28:6
- Parties or witnesses, personal relationships with, § 28:3
- Personal relationships with parties or witnesses, § 28:3
- Significance of questions concerning relationships, § 28:2
- Use of Supplemental Jury Questionnaires, § 28:7
- Witnesses, personal relationships with parties or, § 28:3

INDEX

POLICE OFFICER

Experience as a police officer, § 29:9, 29:10

POLITICAL BELIEFS

Religious and Political Beliefs, this index

POST-TRIAL INTERVIEWS

Appeals, § 41:6

POST-TRIAL MOTIONS

Appeals, § 41:5

Privacy of jurors, motion for limited closure of voir dire, § 8:10

PREJUDICE

Bias or Prejudice, this index

PREPARATION AND INVESTIGATION

Generally, § 9:1 to 9:8

Introduction, § 9:1

Trial themes, developing, § 9:8

PRESERVING OBJECTIONS

Appeal, § 41:1

PRESS

Ability to fairly weigh evidence, outside press coverage as extrinsic evidence, § 25:7

PRESUMPTIONS

Burden of Proof, this index

PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE STRATEGIES

Generally, § 11:1 to 11:7

Introduction, § 11:1

Juror notebooks, § 11:4

Online courtroom proceedings since COVID-19, § 11:7

Preparation for pre-trial conference, § 11:2

Questioning of witnesses by jurors, § 11:3

Simplification of jury instructions, § 11:5

Timing of jury instructions, § 11:5

PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION

Attitude, sample community attitude survey, § 9:4

Avoiding communications with prospective jurors, § 9:7

Client participation in, § 9:2

Community

sample community attitude survey, § 9:4

surveys and demographics, § 9:3

Focus groups and mock trials, § 9:5

Mock trials, § 9:5

Preparation, client participation in and, § 9:2

Reviewing juror rolls in preparation for voir dire, § 9:6

PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION—Cont'd

Sample community attitude survey, § 9:4

Surveys

community surveys, § 9:3, 9:4

demographics and, § 9:3

sample community attitude survey, § 9:4

PRETRIAL PUBLICITY

Celebrity jurors, § 34:10

Controlling publicity during voir dire and trial, § 34:9

Merits, effect on prospective juror's ability to decide case on the, § 34:8

Self-perception, effect on prospective juror's, § 34:7

PRIOR JURY SERVICE

Generally, § 31:2

PRISON GARB

Constitutional rights, criminal defendant's right to appear without prison garb, § 2:4

PRIVACY OF JURORS

Generally, § 8:1 to 8:11

Anonymous juries, § 8:8

Areas of inquiry, balancing privacy and relevance, § 27:3

Attorneys, protecting jurors from the parties and their, § 8:3

Balancing privacy and relevance, § 27:3

Cameras in the courtroom, § 8:9

Criminal background checks, § 8:5

Ethics, § 3:4

Florida v. Casey Anthony, § 8:11

HIPAA, questioning jurors under, § 8:4

Introduction, § 8:1

Limited closure of voir dire, motion for, § 8:10

Numbers juries, § 8:7

Other jurors, protecting jurors from, § 8:2

Parties and their counsel, protecting jurors from the, § 8:3

Post-trial motions, motion for limited closure of voir dire, § 8:10

Protection of jurors, § 8:2, 8:3

Public, protecting jurors from, § 8:6

Supplemental juror questionnaire, use to protect juror privacy, § 13:7

PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES

Client consultation and privilege, ethics, § 3:7

Confidentiality, this index

Ethics, client consultation and privilege, § 3:7

PROFESSIONAL BIAS

Ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:4

PROFESSIONAL EXPERTISE

Asking about juror expertise during voir dire, § 30:3

Effect of deliberations, § 30:2

Expert jurors, § 30:1

Legal bases for excluding “expert” jurors, § 30:4

PUBLICITY OF TRIAL

Ethics, § 3:5

Pretrial Publicity, this index

PUBLIC TRIAL

Constitutional right to, § 2:9

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

Civil trials, § 35:4

PURPOSE OF VOIR DIRE

Generally, § 1:2

QUESTIONNAIRES

Death penalty cases, questionnaires in examinations regarding death penalty, problems from use of, § 37:3

Sample jury questionnaires, § App. D

Supplemental Juror Questionnaire, this index

RACE AND GENDER BIAS

Generally, § 32:1 to 32:5

Instructions to jury on implicit bias, § 32:5

Jury instructions on implicit bias, § 32:5

Misconduct of juror, racism in deliberations, § 40:10

Peremptory challenges, exclusion of jurors on account, § 22:4, 22:5

Prejudice as a factor in deliberations, § 32:2

Questioning about gender bias, § 32:3

Roundtable discussion, racial bias, § 32:4

Significance of questions concerning racial bias, § 32:1

RECIPROCITY

Judge-conducted voir dire, § 14:7

REGISTRATION

Motions in limine, challenges registered outside juror presence, § 12:3

REHABILITATION

Deselection and Rehabilitation, this index

RELEVANCE STANDARDS

Rules of evidence, § 16:3

RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL BELIEFS

Generally, § 33:1 to 33:5

Ability to fairly weigh evidence, bias, § 25:3

Affiliation, religious beliefs and, § 33:2

Distinguishing experience from belief, § 33:1

Peremptory challenges, exclusion of jurors, § 22:7

Questions about political affiliation, § 33:3

Roundtable discussion, religious, § 33:5

Sample voir dire, religious beliefs, § 33:4

RESTRICTIONS

Limitations or Restrictions, this index

RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY

Civil trials, § 35:2

Criminal trials, § 36:2

ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSIONS

Ability to follow the law, North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:4

Burden of proof in criminal trials, § 36:8

Criminal Trials, this index

Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:6, 19:8

Educational background of juror, questions regarding, § 29:8

Ethics, this index

Experience as a Police Officer, § 29:10

Lawyers as jurors, § 31:5

Legal experience, § 31:5, 31:7

Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:8

Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:5

North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:4

Occupation of juror, Experience as a Police Officer, § 29:10

Racial bias, § 32:4

Religious beliefs, § 33:5

Roy v. Gream, § 19:6

Victims of crimes, § 31:7

ROY V. GREAM

Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:5, 19:6

RULES OF EVIDENCE

Generally, § 16:1 to 16:6

Case-specific and hypothetical questions, distinguishing, § 16:6

Educational and advisory questions, § 16:4

Hypothetical questions, § 16:5

Introduction, § 16:1

Leading questions, § 16:2

Relevance standards in jury selection, § 16:3

SAMPLE COMMUNITY ATTITUDE SURVEY

Pretrial investigation, § 9:4

INDEX

SAMPLE HEARING

Array, motion contesting, § 4:5

SAMPLE JURY QUESTIONNAIRES

Generally, § App. D

SAMPLE MOTIONS

Array, sample motion for change of venue, § 4:10

Change of venue, § 4:10

Florida v. Casey Anthony, § 4:10

Sample motions in limine, § App. C

SAMPLE QUESTIONS

Judge-conducted voir dire, § 14:4

SAMPLE VOIR DIRE

Criminal trials, burden of proof, § 36:7

Experience as a police officer, § 29:9

Legal experience, § 31:6

Religious beliefs, § 33:4

SCOPE OF VOIR DIRE

Generally, § 1:2

SELF-PERCEPTION

Pretrial publicity, effect on prospective juror, § 34:7

SELF-RESTRAINT

Attorney-conducted voir dire, § 14:14

SETTING ASIDE

Challenges for cause, bias of juror, § 21:5

SITUATIONAL CONDUCT

Normative conduct, distinguished, § 18:8

SOCIAL COMBINATIONS

Group dynamics, § 7:3

SOCIAL MEDIA

Ability to fairly weigh evidence, effect on, § 25:7

SOCIETAL BIAS

Ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:3

STEREOTYPES AND STEREOTYPING

Bias or prejudice, § 20:9

Group dynamics, § 7:13

Peremptory challenges, § 20:9

SUBJECT MATTER

Areas of inquiry, subject matter likely to prejudice prospective jurors, § 27:4

SUPPLEMENTAL JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE

Generally, § 13:1 to 13:8

Court approval, § 13:2

Courthouse traffic, use of questionnaires to limit, § 13:8

SUPPLEMENTAL JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE

—Cont'd

Court review of questionnaire responses, § 13:5

Crafting, § 13:4

Importance of, § 13:1

Juror privacy, use of questionnaires to protect, § 13:7

Procedural concerns, § 13:3

Responses, review by court, § 13:5

Review of questionnaire responses by court, § 13:5

Sworn answers to questionnaires, importance of, § 13:6

SUPREME COURT

Death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:2

SURVEYS

Pretrial Investigation, this index

SWORN OR SWEARING

Oaths, this index

THEMES

Preparation and investigation, developing trial themes, § 9:8

Trial consultants, trial consultant's development of case themes, § 10:4

TIME OR TIMING

Attorney-conducted voir dire, § 14:9

Jury instructions, § 11:5

Motions in limine, reservation of time for voir dire, § 12:2

Peremptory challenges, timing of Batson challenges, § 22:10

TRANSCRIPTION

Appeals, transcribing voir dire, § 41:4

TRIAL CONSULTANTS

Generally, § 10:1 to 10:13

American Society of Trial Consultants. ASTC, below

Attorneys, § 10:7

Backgrounds, § 10:2

Case themes, use of trial consultants to develop, § 10:4

Confidentiality, limitations on, § 10:5

Former jurors as, § 10:6

Limitations on confidentiality, § 10:5

Local counsel, § 10:7

Role of, § 10:1

Scientific jury selection, § 10:3

Working with, generally, § 10:1 to 10:13

TRIBUNALS

Military Tribunals, this index

TWELVE-MEMBER JURY

Constitutional right to, § 2:6

UNANIMOUS VERDICT

Constitutional rights, § 2:7

UNCONSCIOUS BIAS

Bias or Prejudice, this index

UNITED STATES V. MANAFORT

Judge-conducted voir dire, § 14:5

VENUE

Array, this index

VERBAL ACTS OR MATTERS

Parol Acts or Matters, this index

VICTIMS OF CRIMES

Legal Experience, this index

VOLUNTEER JURISTS

Military tribunals, § 39:5

WEIGHT AND SUFFICIENCY OF EVIDENCE

Evidence, this index

WITNESSES

Personal relationships with parties or witnesses,
§ 28:3

Pre-trial conference strategies, questioning of witnesses by jurors, § 11:3

WRITINGS

Notes and Notebooks, this index