

Index

ABILITY FAIRLY WEIGH EVIDENCE

Evidence, this index

ABILITY TO FOLLOW THE LAW

Generally, § 24:1 to 24:4

Case study, North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:3

Hypothetical questions and case-specific, distinguished, § 24:2

Importance of, § 24:1

North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:3, 24:4

Nullification of jury, § 40:6

Roundtable discussion, North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:4

ACTUAL BIAS

Generally, § 20:2

Challenges for cause and standards for determining, § 21:4

AFFILIATION

Religious beliefs and, § 33:2

ALTERNATE JURORS

Group dynamics, § 7:10

Misconduct, § 40:8

AMERICAN SOCIETY OF TRIAL CONSULTANTS (ASTC)

Trial Consultants, this index

ANONYMOUS JURY

Privacy, § 8:8

ANTICIPATION

Group dynamics, anticipating during deliberations and trial, § 7:12, 7:14

Task oriented group, anticipating prospective juror's roles as, § 15:1

APPEALS

Generally, § 4:1 to 4:8

Interviews, post-trial, § 41:5, 41:6

Motions, post-trial, § 41:5

Objections for appeal, preserving, § 4:1

Panel, swearing in, § 41:3

Post-trial interviews, § 41:6

Post-trial motions, § 41:5

Swearing in panel, § 41:3

Transcribing voir dire, § 41:4

APPENDICES

Attorney-conducted voir dire, sampling of laws on, § App. B

Challenges for cause, sampling of laws for, § App. E

Hardship, sampling of laws on, § App. A

Jury questionnaires, sample, § App. D

Motions in limine, sample, § App. C

AREAS OF INQUIRY

Generally, § 27:1 to 27:5

Attentiveness of jurors, § 17:6

Attitudes of jurors, § 27:5

Balancing privacy and relevance, § 27:3

Life experience of jurors, § 27:5

Limits on court discretion, § 27:1

Peremptory challenges, intelligent exercise of, § 27:2

Subject matter likely to prejudice prospective jurors, § 27:4

ARIZONA

Peremptory challenges, elimination of, § 23:7

ARMED FORCES

Military Tribunals, this index

ARRAY

Challenges to array

cross-section challenges. Fair cross-section challenges, below

fair cross-section challenges, below

hardship, § 5:2

reasons for, § 4:1

Change of venue

motions for, § 4:6

sample motion for, § 4:8

Close or delay proceedings, motion to, § 4:7

Convicted felons, exclusion from jury service, § 4:5

Criminal history, exclusion of previously convicted felons from jury service, § 4:5

Cross-section challenges. Fair cross-section challenges, below

Exclusion of previously convicted felons from jury service, § 4:5

Fair cross-section challenges
generally, § 4:2

ARRAY—Cont'd

- Felons, exclusion of previously convicted felons from jury service, § 4:5
- Florida v. Casey Anthony, sample motion for change of venue, § 4:8
- Hardship, challenges to array, § 5:2
- Motions
 - change of venue, motions for, § 4:6
 - close or delay proceedings, motion to, § 4:7
 - sample hearing on motion contesting array, § 4:4
 - sample motion for change of venue, Florida v. Casey Anthony, § 4:8
- Objections to, generally, § 4:1 to 4:8
- Reasons for challenging array, § 4:1
- Sample hearing on motion contesting array, § 4:4
- Sample motion for change of venue, Florida v. Casey Anthony, § 4:8
- Timing, procedural considerations, § 4:3
- Venue
 - change of venue, above
 - sample motion for change of, § 4:8

ASTC

- Trial Consultants, this index

ATTENTIVENESS

- Inquiry as to, § 17:6

ATTITUDE OF JUROR

- Inquiry as to, § 27:5
- Pretrial investigation, sample community attitude survey, § 9:4

ATTORNEY-CONDUCTED VOIR DIRE

- Candor of juror, § 14:11
- Controversy surrounding, § 14:13
- Denial of, preserving right to appeal, § 14:15
- Institutional support for, § 14:12
- Parties, importance to, § 14:10
- Preserving right to appeal denial of, § 14:15
- Self-restraint, importance of, § 14:14
- Time is necessary for, § 14:9

ATTORNEYS

- Conduct of voir dire. Attorney-Conducted Voir Dire, this index
- Defense counsel's examination of prospective juror, case samples, § 15:5
- Implicit bias among, § 20:8
- Jurors, lawyers as. Legal Experience, this index
- Legal Experience and Case-Specific Expertise, this index
- Local counsel, § 10:7
- Local counsel as trial consultants, § 10:7

ATTORNEYS—Cont'd

- Peremptory challenges, importance of attorney-conducted voir dire, § 22:11
- Prosecution's examination of prospective juror, case samples, § 15:4
- Relationship of juror to, § 28:4
- Sampling of laws on attorney conducted voir dire, § App. B
- Trial consultants, § 10:7
- Voir dire conducted by attorney. Attorney-Conducted Voir Dire, this index

BACKSTRIKING

- Peremptory challenges, § 22:7

BALANCING PRIVACY AND RELEVANCE

- Generally, § 27:3

BALANCING THE EQUITIES

- Current events and media, § 34:5

BATSON CHALLENGES

- Counsel's motive, importance of, § 23:4
- Implicit bias, § 23:5
- Importance of counsel's motive, § 23:4
- Timing of, § 22:9

BEHAVIOR

- Conduct or Behavior, this index

BIAS OR PREJUDICE

- Generally, § 20:1 to 20:10
- Actual and implied bias standard
 - challenges for cause, § 21:2
- Actual bias, § 20:2, 21:4
- Attorneys, implicit bias among, § 20:8
- Burden of proof, § 21:3
- Challenges for cause, § 21:2 to 21:5
- Courts, implicit bias in, § 20:7
- Criminal Trials, this index
- Definition, § 20:1
- Discovery questions, generic and idiosyncratic biases, § 18:7
- Discrimination, this index
- Explicit bias, § 20:6
- Gender bias. Race and Gender Bias, this index
- Generic and idiosyncratic biases, § 18:7
- Grand jury proceedings, § 38:2
- Implicit bias
 - generally, § 20:3, 20:6
 - attorneys, implicit bias among, § 20:8
 - courts, implicit bias in, § 20:7
 - Peremptory Challenges, this index
 - stereotypes and, § 20:9

INDEX

BIAS OR PREJUDICE—Cont'd

- Implicit bias—Cont'd
 - Washington state's approach to, § 20:10, 23:6
- Inferable bias, § 20:4
- Peremptory Challenges, this index
- Race and Gender Bias, this index
- Rethinking the importance of bias, § 20:5
- Setting aside of bias by juror, § 21:5
- Stereotypes, § 20:9
- Unconscious bias. Implicit bias, above
- Washington state's approach to implicit bias, § 20:10, 23:6

BURDEN OF PROOF

- Bias or prejudice, § 21:3
- Challenges for cause, § 21:3
- Criminal Trials, this index
- Peremptory challenges, § 22:8

BUSINESS OR PROFESSIONAL RELATIONSHIPS

- Prior experience with parties or witnesses, § 28:4

CANDOR OF JUROR

- Attorney-conducted voir dire, § 14:11

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT

- Death Penalty Cases, this index

CASE ON THE MERITS

- Pretrial publicity, effect on prospective juror's ability to decide case on the merits, § 34:8

CASE SAMPLES

- Group questioning and individualized voir dire, § 15:3 to 15:6

CASE-SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

- Rules of evidence, § 16:5

CASE STUDIES

- Ability to follow the law, North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:3
- Criminal trials, bias against defendants, § 36:5
- Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:5, 19:7
- Educational background of juror, questions regarding, § 29:2
- Lawyers as jurors, § 31:4
- Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:7
- Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:4
- North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:3
- Roy v. Gream, § 19:5
- Walker v. Mississippi, § 5:5

CASEY ANTHONY

- Florida v. Casey Anthony, this index

CAUSE, CHALLENGES FOR

- Challenges for Cause, this index

CELEBRITY JURORS

- Pretrial publicity, § 34:10

CHALLENGES

- Array, this index
- Cause, challenges for. Challenges for Cause, this index
- Cross-section challenges. Fair Cross-Sections, this index
- Implicit bias. Peremptory Challenges, this index
- Motions in limine, registration of challenges outside juror presence, § 12:3
- Non-verbal communication. Peremptory Challenges, this index
- Peremptory Challenges, this index

CHALLENGES FOR CAUSE

- Generally, § 21:1 to 21:5
- Actual bias, standards for determining, § 21:4
- Bias, § 21:2 to 21:5
- Burden of proving bias, § 21:3
- Implied bias and actual, § 21:2
- Introduction, § 21:1
- Setting aside of bias by juror, § 21:5

CHANGE OF VENUE

- Array, this index

CIVIL TRIALS

- Generally, § 35:1 to 35:5
- Arbitration agreements, cases involving, § 35:5
- Equitable relief claims, cases involving, § 35:3
- Introduction, § 35:1
- Punitive damages, cases involving, § 35:4
- Right to trial by jury, § 35:2

CLIENT CONSULTATION AND PRIVILEGE

- Ethics, § 3:7

CLOSE OR DELAY PROCEEDINGS

- Motions, § 4:7

COMMUNITY

- Pretrial Investigation, this index

CONDUCT OR BEHAVIOR

- Misconduct of Juror, this index
- Normative conduct and situational conduct, distinguished, § 18:8

CONFERENCES

- Pre-Trial Conference Strategies, this index

CONFIDENTIALITY

- Privacy of Jurors, this index
- Trial consultants, limitations on confidentiality, § 10:5

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- Generally, § 2:1 to 2:9
- Fair cross-section of community, right to jury drawn from, § 2:5
- Impartial jury, right to, § 2:8
- Introduction, § 2:1
- Prison garb, criminal defendant's right to appear without, § 2:4
- Public trial, right to, § 2:9
- Right to participate in voir dire, § 2:3
- Right to voir dire, § 2:2
- Twelve-member jury, right to, § 2:6
- Unanimous verdict, right to, § 2:7

CONSULTANTS AND CONSULTATION

- Ethics, client consultation and privilege, § 3:7
- Trial Consultants, this index

CONTRACTS AND AGREEMENTS

- Arbitration agreements, civil trials, § 35:5

CORRELATED LINES

- Discovery questions along, § 18:10

COURTS

- Death penalty cases, Supreme Court cases regarding death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:2
- Grand Jury Proceedings, this index
- Group questioning and individualized voir dire, § 15:3
- Implicit bias, § 20:7
- Liberal grant mandate, § 39:6
- Military tribunals, § 39:2
- Peremptory challenges, Washington state's approach to implicit bias in, § 23:6
- Privacy of jurors, cameras in the courtroom, § 8:9
- Questioning by court or counsel, § 14:1 to 14:15
- Supplemental juror questionnaire, § 13:2, 13:5
- Supreme Court cases regarding death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:2
- Washington state's approach to implicit bias in the, § 23:6

COVID-19

- Pre-trial conference strategies, online courtroom proceedings since COVID-19, § 11:6

CRIMINAL BACKGROUND

- Privacy of jurors, background checks, § 8:5

CRIMINAL TRIALS

- Generally, § 36:1 to 36:8
- Bias against defendants in criminal cases
 - case study, § 36:5
 - roundtable discussion, § 36:6
- Burden of proof
 - roundtable discussion, § 36:8
 - sample voir dire, § 36:7
- Case study, bias against defendants in criminal cases, § 36:5
- Double jeopardy, § 36:4
- Evidence. Burden of proof, above
- Habeas review of state criminal cases, § 36:3
- Presumptions. Burden of proof, above
- Right to trial by jury, § 36:2
- Roundtable discussions
 - bias against defendants in criminal cases, § 36:6
 - burden of proof, § 36:8
- Sample voir dire, burden of proof, § 36:7

CROSS-SECTION CHALLENGES

- Fair Cross-Sections, this index

“CSI EFFECT”

- Current events and media, § 34:2, 34:4

CURRENT EVENTS AND MEDIA

- Generally, § 34:1 to 34:10
- Balancing the equities, § 34:5
- “CSI effect,” § 34:2, 34:4
- Effect of, § 34:1 to 34:10
- Empirical evidence, inconclusive state of, § 34:3
- High profile cases, § 34:6
- Inconclusive state of empirical evidence, § 34:3
- Introduction, § 34:1
- Pretrial Publicity, this index

DAMAGES

- Civil trials, cases involving punitive damages, § 35:4

DEATH PENALTY CASES

- Generally, § 37:1 to 37:8
- Death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:1
- Limitations on habeas review in death penalty cases, § 37:4
- Supreme Court cases regarding death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:2

DEFINITIONS

- Bias, § 20:1

DELIBERATIONS

- Ability to fairly deliberate with other jurors generally, § 26:1 to 26:5

DELIBERATIONS—Cont’d

- Ability to fairly deliberate with other jurors—Cont’d
 - expertise of jurors, § 26:4
 - refusal to deliberate, § 26:5
- Anticipating group dynamics during deliberations, § 7:14
- Expertise of jurors, § 26:4
- Fair deliberations. Ability to fairly deliberate with other jurors, above
- Group dynamics
 - anticipating group dynamics during deliberations, § 7:14
 - group negotiations, deliberations as, § 7:17
- Misconduct by jurors, discussion of case prior to deliberations, § 40:3
- Misconduct of juror, racism in deliberations, § 40:10
- One juror, affect ability to be fair and impartial of other juror, § 26:1

DEMONSTRATIVE AIDES

- Motions in limine, § 12:6

DESELECTION AND REHABILITATION

- Generally, § 1:3, 19:1 to 19:8
- Case studies
 - Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:7
 - Roy v. Gream, § 19:5
- Introduction, § 19:1
- Leading questions, use of, § 19:4
- Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:7, 19:8
- Rehabilitation of prospective jurors, § 19:2
- Relationship between types of questioning, § 19:3
- Roundtable discussions, Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:7, 19:8
- Roy v. Gream, § 19:5

DISABILITY

- Peremptory challenges, exclusion of jurors, § 22:5

DISCOVERY QUESTIONS

- Generally, § 18:1 to 18:10
- Case study, Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:4
- Correlated lines, questioning down, § 18:10
- Crafting appropriate discovery questions, § 18:6
- Follow-up questions, § 18:3
- Generic and idiosyncratic biases, § 18:7
- Introduction, § 18:1
- Memory and participation, importance of, § 18:9
- Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:4, 18:5
- Normative conduct and situational conduct, distinguished, § 18:8
- Open-ended questions, § 18:2

DISCOVERY QUESTIONS—Cont’d

- Roundtable discussion, Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:5
- Situational conduct, distinguished from normative conduct, § 18:8

DISCRIMINATION

- Ethics, intentional discrimination by jury selection, § 3:2
- Implicit or unconscious bias in jury selection, § 3:3
- Peremptory Challenges, this index
- Race and Gender Bias, this index
- Unconscious or implicit bias in jury selection, § 3:3

DISHONESTY OF JUROR

- Case study, revealing juror dishonesty, § 6:4
- Identifying, § 6:3
- Introduction, § 6:1
- Revealing juror dishonesty, § 6:4, 6:5
- Roundtable discussion, revealing juror dishonesty, § 6:5
- Significance in voir dire, § 6:2

DOUBLE JEOPARDY

- Criminal trials, § 36:4

DYNAMICS

- Group Dynamics, this index

DYNAMICS OF JURY

- Group Dynamics, this index

EDUCATION OF JUROR

- Generally, § 29:1 to 29:8
- Case study, questions regarding educational background, § 29:5
- Educational background
 - generally, § 29:2
 - questions regarding, case study, § 29:5
 - roundtable discussion, § 29:6
- Limitations on questions regarding, § 29:4
- Questions regarding, § 29:2
- Roundtable discussion, questions regarding educational background, § 29:6
- Rules of evidence, educational and advisory questions, § 16:3

EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

- Current events and media, § 34:3

EQUITABLE RELIEF

- Civil trials, § 35:3

ETHICS

- Generally, § 3:1 to 3:12
- Applicable rules, § 3:1

ETHICS—Cont'd

- Client consultation and privilege, § 3:7
- Communications with jurors, § 3:8
- Contact with jurors, § 3:10
- Discrimination by jury selection, intentional, § 3:2
- Investigating prospective jurors, § 3:11
- Juror information, use during trial, § 3:12
- Juror privacy, § 3:4
- Misrepresentations, § 3:6
- Privacy of juror, § 3:4
- Publicity of trial, § 3:5
- Roundtable discussion
 - generally, § 3:9 to 3:12
 - contact with jurors, § 3:10
 - investigating prospective jurors, § 3:11
 - use of juror information during trial, § 3:12

EVIDENCE

- Ability to fairly weigh evidence
 - generally, § 25:1 to 25:8
 - consideration of extrinsic evidence, § 25:6
 - expertise of juror as extrinsic evidence, § 25:8
 - extrinsic evidence, § 25:6, 25:8
 - financial or personal interests in outcome, § 25:5
 - introduction, § 25:1
 - juror expertise as extrinsic evidence, effect of, § 25:8
 - outside press coverage as extrinsic evidence, § 25:7
 - personal bias or prejudice, § 25:2
 - political or societal bias, § 25:3
 - professional bias, § 25:4
 - social media, effect of, § 25:7
 - task-oriented groups, § 26:3
- Burden of Proof, this index
- Current events and media, inconclusive state of empirical evidence, § 34:3
- Deliberating with a legal expert on the jury, § 26:2
- Empirical evidence, inconclusive state of, § 34:3
- Expert jurors, ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:8
- Extrinsic evidence
 - ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:6, 25:8
 - consideration of, § 25:6
 - expertise of juror as, § 25:8
 - outside press coverage, § 25:7
 - social media, § 25:7
- Financial or personal interests in outcome, ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:5
- Misconduct of juror, consideration of excluded evidence, § 40:7

EVIDENCE—Cont'd

- Outside press coverage as extrinsic evidence, ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:7
- Personal bias or prejudice, ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:2
- Political or societal bias, ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:3
- Professional bias, ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:4
- Refusal to deliberate, ability to fairly deliberate, § 26:5
- Rules of Evidence, this index
- Social media, effect on ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:7
- Weight and sufficiency of evidence. Ability to fairly weigh evidence, above
- Witnesses, this index

EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE

- Misconduct of juror, consideration of excluded evidence, § 40:7

EXHAUSTION

- Peremptory challenges, § 41:2

EXPERTISE OF JURORS

- Ability fairly weigh evidence, § 25:8
- Deliberations, § 26:4
- Legal Experience, this index
- Professional Expertise, this index

EXPLICIT BIAS

- Generally, § 20:6

FAIR CROSS-SECTIONS

- Array, this index
- Constitutional rights, right to jury drawn from, § 2:5
- Grand jury proceedings, § 38:3
- Hardship, peremptory challenges, § 5:3
- Military tribunals, § 39:3
- Peremptory challenges, hardship, § 5:3

FAIR DELIBERATIONS

- Deliberations, this index

FILLERS

- Group dynamics, § 7:6

FINANCIAL INTERESTS

- Ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:5
- Trial participants, relationship to, § 28:5

FLORIDA V. CASEY ANTHONY

- Privacy of jurors, § 8:11
- Sample motion for change of venue, § 4:8

INDEX

FOCUS GROUPS

Pretrial investigation, § 9:5

FOLLOWERS

Group dynamics, § 7:5

FOLLOWING THE LAW

Ability to Follow the Law, this index

FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS

Discovery, § 18:3

FOREPERSONS

Group dynamics, § 7:9

FORMER JURORS

Trial consultants, § 10:6

GENDER BIAS

Race and Gender Bias, this index

GENERIC AND IDIOSYNCRATIC BIASES

Discovery questions, § 18:7

GOVERNMENT SERVICE

Occupation of juror, significance of questions concerning, § 29:3

GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS

Generally, § 38:1 to 38:4

Bias among grand jurors, § 38:2

Fair cross section requirements, § 38:3

GROUP DYNAMICS

Generally, § 7:1 to 7:17

Alternate jurors, § 7:10

Anticipating group dynamics during deliberations and trial, § 7:12, 7:14

Closed juror polls, § 7:15

Decision rules, § 7:16

Deliberations, this index

Fillers, § 7:6

Followers, § 7:5

Forepersons, § 7:9

Historical importance of, § 7:2

Hold-outs, § 7:7

Importance of, § 7:1

Leaders, § 7:4

Open and closed juror polls, § 7:15

Organizational dynamics in task-oriented groups, § 7:8

Social combinations, § 7:3

Stages in group development, § 7:11

Stereotyping, § 7:13

GROUP QUESTIONING AND

INDIVIDUALIZED VOIR DIRE

Generally, § 15:1 to 15:7

Analysis of case samples, § 15:6

Anticipating prospective juror's roles as group participants, § 15:1

Case samples, § 15:3 to 15:6

Court's examination of prospective juror, case samples, § 15:3

Defense counsel's examination of prospective juror, case samples, § 15:5

Motions for leave to conduct individualized voir dire, § 15:7

Prosecution's examination of prospective juror, case samples, § 15:4

HABEAS CORPUS

Criminal trials, § 36:3

HARDSHIP

Generally, § 5:1 to 5:5

Array, challenges to, § 5:2

Case study, Walker v. Mississippi, § 5:5

Challenges to the array, § 5:2

Fair cross-section requirement and peremptory challenges, § 5:3

Loophole Hiding in Plain Sight, § 5:4

Peremptory challenges, fair cross-section requirement and, § 5:3

Sampling of laws on hardship, § App. A

Walker v. Mississippi, § 5:5

HEARINGS

Array, sample hearing on motion contesting array, § 4:4

HIGH PROFILE CASES

Current events and media, § 34:6

HIGHTOWER, NORTH CAROLINA V.

Ability to follow the law, § 24:3, 24:4

HIPAA

Privacy of jurors, questioning jurors under HIPAA, § 8:4

HOLD-OUTS

Group dynamics, § 7:7

HYPOTHETICAL QUESTIONS

Case-specific questions, distinguished, § 16:5

Rules of evidence, distinguishing case-specific and hypothetical questions, § 16:5

IMMUNITIES

Privileges and Immunities, this index

IMPARTIAL JURY

Constitutional rights, § 2:8

IMPLICIT BIAS

Bias, this index

INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATIONS

Misconduct of juror, § 40:4

INDIVIDUALIZED VOIR DIRE

Group Questioning and Individualized Voir Dire,
this index

IN LIMINE MOTIONS

Motions In Limine, this index

INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY

Pre-trial conference strategies, timing and
simplification of jury instructions, § 11:5

INTERNET

Pre-trial conference strategies, online courtroom
proceedings since COVID-19, § 11:6

INTERVIEWS

Appeals, § 41:6

INVESTIGATIONS

Ethics, investigating prospective jurors, § 3:11
Misconduct of juror, independent investigations by
jurors, § 40:4
Pretrial Investigation, this index

JUDGE-CONDUCTED VOIR DIRE

Generally, § 14:1
Authority figure, effect of judge as, § 14:8
Common use, § 14:6
Participation of judge in voir dire, § 14:2
Reciprocity effect on jurors, § 14:7
Sample questions, § 14:4
United States v. Manafort, § 14:5

JUDGES

Judge-Conducted Voir Dire, this index
Relationship of juror with, § 28:4

JUDICIAL REVIEW

Appeals, this index

JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Pre-trial conference strategies, timing and
simplification of jury instructions, § 11:5

JUSTICE STEVENS

Peremptory challenges, § 23:2

KEY STATEMENT

Motions in limine, § 12:5

KNOWLEDGE OF THE CASE

Implied bias and personal relationships, § 28:1
Pretrial Publicity, this index
Significance of questions concerning, § 28:2

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER

Experience as a police officer, § 29:7, 29:8

LAWYERS

Attorneys, this index

LEADERS

Group dynamics, § 7:4

LEADING QUESTIONS

Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:4

LEGAL EXPERIENCE

Generally, § 31:1 to 31:7
Case study, lawyers as jurors, § 31:4
Lawyers as jurors
 generally, § 31:3
 case study, § 31:4
 roundtable discussion, § 31:5
Personal experience with legal system, § 31:1
Prior jury service, § 31:2
Roundtable discussion
 lawyers as jurors, § 31:5
 victims of crimes, § 31:7
Sample voir dire, victims of crimes, § 31:6
Victims of crimes
 roundtable discussion, § 31:7
 sample voir dire, § 31:6

LIBERAL GRANT MANDATE

Generally, § 39:6

LIFE EXPERIENCE

Areas of inquiry, § 27:5

LIMITATIONS OR RESTRICTIONS

Education of juror, limitations on questions regard-
ing, § 29:4
Trial consultants, limitations on confidentiality,
§ 10:5

LOCAL COUNSEL

Trial consultants, § 10:7

LOOPHOLE HIDING IN PLAIN SIGHT

Hardship, § 5:4

LOUISIANA V. HOLMES

Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:7, 19:8

MAGISTRATES

Federal cases, questioning by magistrate in, § 14:3

INDEX

MANAFORT, UNITED STATES V.

Judge-conducted voir dire, § 14:5

MEDIA

Current Events and Media, this index

MEMORY AND PARTICIPATION

Discovery questions, § 18:9

MICHIGAN V. TYBURSKI

Discovery questions, § 18:4, 18:5

MILITARY TRIBUNALS

Generally, § 39:1 to 39:6

Fair cross-section requirements in military juries, § 39:3

Liberal grant mandate, § 39:6

Military panels, jury selection for, § 39:4

Volunteer jurists, use of, § 39:5

Who may serve on courts-martial, § 39:2

MISCONDUCT OF JUROR

Generally, § 40:1 to 40:10

Alternate jurors, § 40:8

Deliberations, discussion of case prior to, § 40:3

Duty to participate, § 40:2

Excluded evidence, consideration of, § 40:7

Independent investigations by jurors, § 40:4

Introduction, § 40:1

Nullification of jury, § 40:6

Outsiders, communications regarding the case with, § 40:5

Racism in deliberations, § 40:10

Standard for exclusion of jurors, § 40:9

MISREPRESENTATIONS

Ethics, § 3:6

MOCK TRIALS

Pretrial investigation, § 9:5

MOTIONS

Appeals, § 41:5

Array, this index

Group questioning and individualized voir dire, motions for leave to conduct individualized voir dire, § 15:7

Individualized voir dire, motions for leave to conduct, § 15:7

In limine motions. Motions In Limine, this index

Post-Trial Motions, this index

Privacy of jurors, motion for limited closure of voir dire, § 8:10

Sample Motions, this index

MOTIONS IN LIMINE

Generally, § 12:1 to 12:9

Demonstrative aides during voir dire, use of, § 12:6

Ground rules for voir dire, setting, § 12:7

Introduction, § 12:1

Notes during trial, allowance of jurors to take, § 12:4

Opening or key statement, motion to make, § 12:5

Other pretrial motions, § 12:9

Particular areas of inquiry, allowance of, § 12:8

Registration of challenges outside juror presence, § 12:3

Reservation of time for voir dire, § 12:2

NON-VERBAL COMMUNICATION

Peremptory Challenges, this index

NORMATIVE CONDUCT

Situational conduct, distinguished, § 18:8

NORTH CAROLINA V. HIGHTOWER

Ability to follow the law, § 24:3, 24:4

NOTES AND NOTEBOOKS

Motions in limine, allowance of jurors to take notes during trial, § 12:4

Pre-trial conference strategies, § 11:4

NULLIFICATION OF JURY

Ability to follow the law, § 40:6

NUMBERS AND NUMBERING

Peremptory challenges, § 22:10

Twelve-member jury, constitutional right to, § 2:6

OATHS

Appeals, swearing in panel, § 41:3

Supplemental juror questionnaire, importance of sworn answers to questionnaires, § 13:6

OBJECTIONS

Array, this index

Batson challenges, timing of, § 22:9

Timing of Batson challenges, § 22:9

OCCUPATION OF JUROR

Experience as a police officer, § 29:7, 29:8

Government service, significance of questions concerning, § 29:3

Law enforcement, experience as police officer, § 29:7, 29:8

Limitations on questions regarding, § 29:4

Police officer, experience as, § 29:7, 29:8

Questions regarding, § 29:1

Roundtable discussion, Experience as a Police Officer, § 29:8

OCCUPATION OF JUROR—Cont'd

Sample voir dire, experience as a police officer,
§ 29:7

ON THE MERITS CASE

Pretrial publicity, effect on prospective juror's ability to decide case on the merits, § 34:8

OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

Discovery, § 18:2

OPENING OR KEY STATEMENT

Motions in limine, § 12:5

OPEN JUROR POLLS

Group dynamics, § 7:15

OUTSIDERS

Misconduct of juror, communications regarding the case with outsiders, § 40:5

PANDEMIC

COVID-19, this index

PANELS AND EMPANELING

Appeals, swearing in panel, § 41:3

Military panels, jury selection for, § 39:4

PAROL ACTS OR MATTERS

Non-verbal communication. Peremptory Challenges, this index

PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES

Generally, § 22:1 to 25:11

Appeals and exhaustion of, § 41:2

Areas of inquiry, intelligent exercise of peremptory challenges, § 27:2

Arizona state's elimination of, § 23:7

Attorney-conducted voir dire, importance of, § 22:11

Backstriking, § 22:7

Batson Challenges, this index

Bias. Implicit bias, below

Burden of proof, § 22:8

Continued debate on propriety of, § 23:3

Counsel's motive in Batson hearings, importance of, § 23:4

Criticism of, § 23:1

Disability, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 22:5

Discriminatory use, § 22:2

Excusal of prospective jurors, identifying circumstances, § 23:8

Exhaustion of, § 41:2

Fair cross-section requirement, § 4:2

Gender, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 22:4

Hardship, fair cross-section requirement, § 5:3

PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES—Cont'd

Implicit bias

Batson challenges, § 23:5

stereotypes and, § 20:9

Washington state's approach to implicit bias in the courts, § 23:6

Importance, § 22:1

Intelligent exercise of, § 27:2

Justice Stevens' rule, § 23:2

Justification for peremptory challenges, non-verbal communication as, § 17:3

Non-verbal communication

generally, § 17:1

comparison of verbal and, § 17:5

evaluation of significance, § 17:4

justification for peremptory challenges, § 17:3

significance of, evaluating, § 17:4

verbal behavior, compared, § 17:5

voir dire, role in, § 17:2

Number of, § 22:10

Objections to opposing counsel's use, timing of Batson challenges, § 22:9

Race, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 22:3

Religion, exclusion of jurors on account of, § 22:6

Stereotypes and implicit bias, § 20:9

Timing of Batson challenges, § 22:9

Washington state's approach to implicit bias in the courts, § 23:6

PERSONAL EXPERIENCE WITH LEGAL SYSTEM

Generally, § 31:1

PERSONAL INTERESTS AND RELATIONSHIPS

Generally, § 28:1 to 28:7

Ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:5

Attorneys, judge or other jurors, relationships with, § 28:4

Business or professional relationships, § 28:6

Parties or witnesses, personal relationships with, § 28:3

Personal relationships with parties or witnesses, § 28:3

Significance of questions concerning relationships, § 28:2

Use of Supplemental Jury Questionnaires, § 28:7

Witnesses, personal relationships with parties or, § 28:3

POLICE OFFICER

Experience as a police officer, § 29:7, 29:8

INDEX

POLITICAL BELIEFS

Religious and Political Beliefs, this index

POST-TRIAL INTERVIEWS

Appeals, § 41:6

POST-TRIAL MOTIONS

Appeals, § 41:5

Privacy of jurors, motion for limited closure of voir dire, § 8:10

PREJUDICE

Bias or Prejudice, this index

PREPARATION AND INVESTIGATION

Generally, § 9:1 to 9:8

Introduction, § 9:1

Trial themes, developing, § 9:8

PRESERVING OBJECTIONS

Appeal, § 41:1

PRESS

Ability to fairly weigh evidence, outside press coverage as extrinsic evidence, § 25:7

PRESUMPTIONS

Burden of Proof, this index

PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE STRATEGIES

Generally, § 11:1 to 11:7

Introduction, § 11:1

Juror notebooks, § 11:4

Online courtroom proceedings since COVID-19, § 11:7

Preparation for pre-trial conference, § 11:2

Questioning of witnesses by jurors, § 11:3

Simplification of jury instructions, § 11:5

Timing of jury instructions, § 11:5

PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION

Attitude, sample community attitude survey, § 9:4
Avoiding communications with prospective jurors, § 9:7

Client participation in, § 9:2

Community

sample community attitude survey, § 9:4
surveys and demographics, § 9:3

Focus groups and mock trials, § 9:5

Mock trials, § 9:5

Preparation, client participation in and, § 9:2

Reviewing juror rolls in preparation for voir dire, § 9:6

Sample community attitude survey, § 9:4

Surveys

community surveys, § 9:3, 9:4

PRETRIAL INVESTIGATION—Cont'd

Surveys—Cont'd

demographics and, § 9:3

sample community attitude survey, § 9:4

PRETRIAL PUBLICITY

Celebrity jurors, § 34:10

Controlling publicity during voir dire and trial, § 34:9

Merits, effect on prospective juror's ability to decide case on the, § 34:8

Self-perception, effect on prospective juror's, § 34:7

PRIOR JURY SERVICE

Generally, § 31:2

PRISON GARB

Constitutional rights, criminal defendant's right to appear without prison garb, § 2:4

PRIVACY OF JURORS

Generally, § 8:1 to 8:11

Anonymous juries, § 8:8

Areas of inquiry, balancing privacy and relevance, § 27:3

Attorneys, protecting jurors from the parties and their, § 8:3

Balancing privacy and relevance, § 27:3

Cameras in the courtroom, § 8:9

Criminal background checks, § 8:5

Ethics, § 3:4

Florida v. Casey Anthony, § 8:11

HIPAA, questioning jurors under, § 8:4

Introduction, § 8:1

Limited closure of voir dire, motion for, § 8:10

Numbers juries, § 8:7

Other jurors, protecting jurors from, § 8:2

Parties and their counsel, protecting jurors from the, § 8:3

Post-trial motions, motion for limited closure of voir dire, § 8:10

Protection of jurors, § 8:2, 8:3

Public, protecting jurors from, § 8:6

Supplemental juror questionnaire, use to protect juror privacy, § 13:7

PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES

Client consultation and privilege, ethics, § 3:7

Confidentiality, this index

Ethics, client consultation and privilege, § 3:7

PROFESSIONAL BIAS

Ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:4

PROFESSIONAL EXPERTISE

- Asking about juror expertise during voir dire, § 30:3
- Effect of deliberations, § 30:2
- Expert jurors, § 30:1
- Legal bases for excluding “expert” jurors, § 30:4

PUBLICITY OF TRIAL

- Ethics, § 3:5
- Pretrial Publicity, this index

PUBLIC TRIAL

- Constitutional right to, § 2:9

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

- Civil trials, § 35:4

PURPOSE OF VOIR DIRE

- Generally, § 1:2

QUESTIONNAIRES

- Death penalty cases, questionnaires in examinations regarding death penalty, problems from use of, § 37:3
- Sample jury questionnaires, § App. D
- Supplemental Juror Questionnaire, this index

RACE AND GENDER BIAS

- Generally, § 32:1 to 32:5
- Instructions to jury on implicit bias, § 32:5
- Jury instructions on implicit bias, § 32:5
- Misconduct of juror, racism in deliberations, § 40:10
- Peremptory challenges, exclusion of jurors on account, § 22:3, 22:4
- Prejudice as a factor in deliberations, § 32:2
- Questioning about gender bias, § 32:3
- Roundtable discussion, racial bias, § 32:4
- Significance of questions concerning racial bias, § 32:1

RECIPROCITY

- Judge-conducted voir dire, § 14:7

REGISTRATION

- Motions in limine, challenges registered outside juror presence, § 12:3

REHABILITATION

- Deselection and Rehabilitation, this index

RELEVANCE STANDARDS

- Rules of evidence, § 16:2

RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL BELIEFS

- Generally, § 33:1 to 33:5
- Ability to fairly weigh evidence, bias, § 25:3

RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL BELIEFS

—Cont’d

- Affiliation, religious beliefs and, § 33:2
- Distinguishing experience from belief, § 33:1
- Peremptory challenges, exclusion of jurors, § 22:6
- Questions about political affiliation, § 33:3
- Roundtable discussion, religious, § 33:5
- Sample voir dire, religious beliefs, § 33:4

RESTRICTIONS

- Limitations or Restrictions, this index

RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY

- Civil trials, § 35:2
- Criminal trials, § 36:2

ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSIONS

- Ability to follow the law, North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:4
- Burden of proof in criminal trials, § 36:8
- Criminal Trials, this index
- Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:6, 19:8
- Educational background of juror, questions regarding, § 29:6
- Ethics, this index
- Experience as a Police Officer, § 29:8
- Lawyers as jurors, § 31:5
- Legal experience, § 31:5, 31:7
- Louisiana v. Holmes, § 19:8
- Michigan v. Tyburski, § 18:5
- North Carolina v. Hightower, § 24:4
- Occupation of juror, Experience as a Police Officer, § 29:8
- Racial bias, § 32:4
- Religious beliefs, § 33:5
- Roy v. Gream, § 19:6
- Victims of crimes, § 31:7

ROY V. GREAM

- Deselection and rehabilitation, § 19:5, 19:6

RULES OF EVIDENCE

- Generally, § 16:1 to 16:5
- Case-specific and hypothetical questions, distinguishing, § 16:5
- Educational and advisory questions, § 16:3
- Hypothetical questions, § 16:4, 16:5
- Introduction, § 16:1
- Relevance standards in jury selection, § 16:2

SAMPLE COMMUNITY ATTITUDE SURVEY

- Pretrial investigation, § 9:4

SAMPLE HEARING

- Array, motion contesting, § 4:4

INDEX

SAMPLE JURY QUESTIONNAIRES

Generally, § App. D

SAMPLE MOTIONS

Array, sample motion for change of venue, § 4:8

Change of venue, § 4:8

Florida v. Casey Anthony, § 4:8

Sample motions in limine, § App. C

SAMPLE QUESTIONS

Judge-conducted voir dire, § 14:4

SAMPLE VOIR DIRE

Criminal trials, burden of proof, § 36:7

Experience as a police officer, § 29:7

Legal experience, § 31:6

Religious beliefs, § 33:4

SCOPE OF VOIR DIRE

Generally, § 1:2

SELF-PERCEPTION

Pretrial publicity, effect on prospective juror, § 34:7

SELF-RESTRAINT

Attorney-conducted voir dire, § 14:14

SETTING ASIDE

Challenges for cause, bias of juror, § 21:5

SITUATIONAL CONDUCT

Normative conduct, distinguished, § 18:8

SOCIAL COMBINATIONS

Group dynamics, § 7:3

SOCIAL MEDIA

Ability to fairly weigh evidence, effect on, § 25:7

SOCIETAL BIAS

Ability to fairly weigh evidence, § 25:3

STEREOTYPES AND STEREOTYPING

Bias or prejudice, § 20:9

Group dynamics, § 7:13

Peremptory challenges, § 20:9

SUBJECT MATTER

Areas of inquiry, subject matter likely to prejudice prospective jurors, § 27:4

SUPPLEMENTAL JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE

Generally, § 13:1 to 13:8

Court approval, § 13:2

Courthouse traffic, use of questionnaires to limit, § 13:8

Court review of questionnaire responses, § 13:5

Crafting, § 13:4

SUPPLEMENTAL JUROR QUESTIONNAIRE

—Cont'd

Importance of, § 13:1

Juror privacy, use of questionnaires to protect, § 13:7

Procedural concerns, § 13:3

Responses, review by court, § 13:5

Review of questionnaire responses by court, § 13:5

Sworn answers to questionnaires, importance of, § 13:6

SUPREME COURT

Death qualification of prospective jurors, § 37:2

SURVEYS

Pretrial Investigation, this index

SWORN OR SWEARING

Oaths, this index

THEMES

Preparation and investigation, developing trial themes, § 9:8

Trial consultants, trial consultant's development of case themes, § 10:4

TIME OR TIMING

Attorney-conducted voir dire, § 14:9

Jury instructions, § 11:5

Motions in limine, reservation of time for voir dire, § 12:2

Peremptory challenges, timing of Batson challenges, § 22:9

TRANSCRIPTION

Appeals, transcribing voir dire, § 41:4

TRIAL CONSULTANTS

Generally, § 10:1 to 10:13

American Society of Trial Consultants. ASTC, below

Attorneys, § 10:7

Backgrounds, § 10:2

Case themes, use of trial consultants to develop, § 10:4

Confidentiality, limitations on, § 10:5

Former jurors as, § 10:6

Limitations on confidentiality, § 10:5

Local counsel, § 10:7

Role of, § 10:1

Scientific jury selection, § 10:3

Working with, generally, § 10:1 to 10:13

TRIBUNALS

Military Tribunals, this index

TWELVE-MEMBER JURY

Constitutional right to, § 2:6

UNANIMOUS VERDICT

Constitutional rights, § 2:7

UNCONSCIOUS BIAS

Bias or Prejudice, this index

UNITED STATES V. MANAFORT

Judge-conducted voir dire, § 14:5

VENUE

Array, this index

VERBAL ACTS OR MATTERS

Parol Acts or Matters, this index

VICTIMS OF CRIMES

Legal Experience, this index

VOLUNTEER JURISTS

Military tribunals, § 39:5

WALKER V. MISSISSIPPI

Hardship, § 5:5

WEIGHT AND SUFFICIENCY OF EVIDENCE

Evidence, this index

WITNESSES

Personal relationships with parties or witnesses,
§ 28:3

Pre-trial conference strategies, questioning of witnesses by jurors, § 11:3

WRITINGS

Notes and Notebooks, this index