

Table of Contents

Volume 1

PART I. BRAIN INJURY LITIGATION FROM A PERSONAL INJURY LAWYER'S PERSPECTIVE	1
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
§ 1:1 Scope	
§ 1:2 Epidemiology of brain injury	
§ 1:3 Traumatic brain injury: Is it time we stop using the terms mild, moderate and severe?	
§ 1:4 Biases in the civil justice system towards traumatic brain injury cases	
CHAPTER 2. MYTHS OF TBI	13
§ 2:1 The myths of traumatic brain injury	
§ 2:2 Myth 1: mild traumatic brain injury is not serious	
§ 2:3 Myth 2: loss of consciousness is necessary to sustain a traumatic brain injury	
§ 2:4 Myth 3: one must strike one's head in order to suffer a traumatic brain injury	
§ 2:5 Myth 4: negative MRIs, CT scans and EEGs rule out brain injury	
§ 2:6 Myth 5: the effects of TBI are immediate	
§ 2:7 Myth 6: neuropsychological testing is subjective	
§ 2:8 Myth 7: cognitive impairments on neuropsychological testing must fit a predictable pattern	
§ 2:9 Myth 8: children with traumatic brain injury all get better	
§ 2:10 Pediatric traumatic brain injury and behavior	
§ 2:11 Myth 9: mild traumatic brain injury is not permanent	
§ 2:12 Myth 10: mild traumatic brain injury is not disabling	
CHAPTER 3. CASE SELECTION AND PREPARATION	47
§ 3:1 Special considerations in identifying and litigating brain injury cases	

§ 3:2	The interview
§ 3:3	Representing the professional with traumatic brain injury
§ 3:4	Case examples
§ 3:5	Initial work to be done
§ 3:6	Assessing liability
§ 3:7	—Sample HIPPA authorization cover letter and form
§ 3:8	What to look for in the medical records
§ 3:9	The trial consultant
§ 3:10	Before and after witnesses
§ 3:11	Focus groups
§ 3:12	Voir dire—Special problems with a brain injury case

CHAPTER 4. THE EXPERT WITNESSES 83

§ 4:1	The biomechanical engineer
§ 4:2	The neurologist
§ 4:3	The neuropsychologist
§ 4:4	—Choosing a neuropsychologist
§ 4:5	The neuro-otologist
§ 4:6	The physiatrist
§ 4:7	The neuropsychiatrist
§ 4:8	The behavioral psychologist
§ 4:9	Annuity specialist
§ 4:10	The vocational rehabilitation expert
§ 4:11	Plaintiff's answer to defence motion to bar vocational expert
§ 4:12	Life care planner
§ 4:13	—Hiring the life care planner
§ 4:14	The economist
§ 4:15	—Methods used: discount to total offset
§ 4:16	—Value of household services
§ 4:17	The rebuttal expert

CHAPTER 5. DEFENSE TACTICS AND HOW TO COUNTER THEM..... 143

§ 5:1	General defense themes: no injury or pre-existing injury
§ 5:2	Expensive discovery
§ 5:3	Surveillance videotaping the client
§ 5:4	Outspending the plaintiff
§ 5:5	Delaying the case
§ 5:6	Rushing the trial date
§ 5:7	Focusing on pre-incident problems of the plaintiff
§ 5:8	Emphasizing lack of clinical symptoms
§ 5:9	Focusing on the client's normal aspect
§ 5:10	The low-impact accident defense

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 5:11	Emphasizing plaintiff's low medical costs
§ 5:12	Claiming plaintiff's return to work or school is proof of low damages
§ 5:13	Defense medical examination and third-party observers
§ 5:14	Third-party observers during neuropsychological testing
§ 5:15	Raw data from neuropsychological examination
§ 5:16	Plaintiff's motion: neuropsychological testing
§ 5:17	Plaintiff's motion to exclude evidence of plaintiff's prior psychological treatment
§ 5:18	Barring testimony of symptom magnification
§ 5:19	Increased risk of future consequences after TBI: Are future consequences recoverable?
§ 5:20	Plaintiff's motion to strike net opinions of defense expert and compel production of neuropsychological raw data
§ 5:21	Brief in support of plaintiff's motion to bar neuropsychological examination, or to require defendants to produce raw test data and allow plaintiff to video record neuropsychological examination
§ 5:22	Plaintiff's motion to obtain raw test data and video record defense neuropsychological examination [New]
CHAPTER 6. ADMISSIBILITY OF TESTING RESULTS.....	
	247

I. IN GENERAL

§ 6:1	General rule: admissibility of neuropsychological testimony on diagnosis, causation, and prognosis
§ 6:2	Minority position: limited admissibility of neuropsychological testimony on causation and/or prognosis
§ 6:3	General medical acceptance of neuropsychological testing
§ 6:4	Method skeptics: eliminating or limiting neuropsychological expert testimony
§ 6:5	Fixed vs. flexible neuropsychological test batteries
§ 6:6	Importance of a pre-morbid baseline
§ 6:7	Issue of ecological validity

II. EFFECT OF *DAUBERT*

§ 6:8	<i>Daubert</i> and its progeny
§ 6:9	Admissibility of neuropsychological testimony after <i>Daubert</i> and <i>Kumho</i>
§ 6:10	—The future of admissibility of neuropsychological testing under the <i>Daubert</i> standard

- § 6:11 Role of PET scans in diagnosing and treating brain injuries
- § 6:12 —Admissibility under *Daubert*
- § 6:13 Role of SPECT scans in diagnosing and treating brain injuries
- § 6:14 —Admissibility under *Daubert*
- § 6:15 Admissibility of SPECT scans under *Daubert*
- § 6:16 Brief in support of the admissibility of SPECT
- § 6:17 Direct examination of Expert using SPECT
- § 6:18 Role of diffusion tensor imaging in diagnosing and treating brain injuries
- § 6:19 —Admissibility under *Daubert*
- § 6:20 Sample direct of a Neuro-imaging expert and DTI
- § 6:21 Smell and taste
- § 6:22 *Daubert* and the admissibility of expert Life Care Planner (LCP) testimony
- § 6:23 Going on the offensive with *Daubert*
- § 6:24 —Counterattack by questioning the methodology and reliability of defendant's experts
- § 6:25 —Direct offensive challenge: barring the defendant's experts' testimony
- § 6:26 ——Know the science
- § 6:27 ——Preparing the attack; know what *Daubert* requires

CHAPTER 7. OPENING STATEMENTS AND CLOSING STATEMENTS..... 367

I. OPENING STATEMENTS

- § 7:1 Opening statement
- § 7:2 —Building a story
- § 7:3 Structuring your opening statement
- § 7:4 Plaintiff's opening statement: example one
- § 7:5 Plaintiff's opening statement: example two
- § 7:6 Plaintiff's opening statement: example three
- § 7:7 Plaintiff's opening statement: Example 4

II. CLOSING STATEMENTS

- § 7:8 Summation in brain injury cases
- § 7:9 —Providing favorable jurors with answers; techniques to arm your allies

CHAPTER 8. INTRODUCING EVIDENCE 409

- § 8:1 Sequence of evidence and witnesses in a traumatic brain injury case

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 8:2 Early lay witness testimony
- § 8:3 Calling the investigating police officer
- § 8:4 Calling all treating physicians
- § 8:5 Calling neurologists and neuropsychologists
- § 8:6 Calling a physiatrists as an alternative to neurologist
- § 8:7 Calling a neuropsychiatrist
- § 8:8 Calling accident reconstruction/biomechanical engineers
- § 8:9 Calling medical witnesses to attest to injuries other than brain injury
- § 8:10 Calling the plaintiff and his or her family
- § 8:11 Economic testimony
- § 8:12 Presenting the life care planner in court
- § 8:13 The Affordable Care Act and life care planning
- § 8:14 Finale; presenting the concluding witness
- § 8:15 The best laid plans . . . flexible guidelines

CHAPTER 9. DIRECT AND CROSS-EXAMINATION OF EXPERTS.....

431

- § 9:1 Establishing the experts' qualifications
- § 9:2 Presenting the expert's opinions
- § 9:3 —Explaining brain anatomy
- § 9:4 Presenting details of the case
- § 9:5 Sample direct of a neurologist
- § 9:6 Sample direct of a neuropsychologist
- § 9:7 Sample direct examination of neuro-otologist
- § 9:8 Sample direct examination of a vocational economist
- § 9:9 Deposition of an emergency room physician
- § 9:10 Cross-examination of the defendant's experts
- § 9:11 —Obtaining concessions
- § 9:12 —Discrediting expert opinions
- § 9:13 —Making the witness your own

CHAPTER 10. MALINGERING AND TBI.....

469

I. IN GENERAL

- § 10:1 Introduction; other references
- § 10:2 Why attorneys seek testimony about malingering; the worthiness factor
- § 10:3 Proving that the client is not malingering
- § 10:4 —Using lay testimony
- § 10:5 Clinicians' opinions and court responses
- § 10:6 How neurolawyers should deal with malingering

II. SPECIFIC MEASURES

- § 10:7 Paul Lees-Haley Fake Bad Scale (FBS)

- § 10:8 Green's word memory test
- § 10:9 Axis V—The global assessment of functioning scale
- § 10:10 —Sample cross-examination; GAF
- § 10:11 American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine's definition of mild traumatic brain injury
- § 10:12 New diagnostic criteria for mild traumatic brain injury (MTBI) from the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine
- § 10:13 American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine's definition of mild traumatic brain injury—Sample cross-examination using definition
- § 10:14 Lack of effort by normal undergraduate students in neuropsychological test performance

III. FORMS

- § 10:15 Motion to preclude defense expert from opining on malingering or symptom magnification by plaintiff

PART II. BRAIN INJURY LITIGATION FROM A NEUROPSYCHIATRIST'S PERSPECTIVE

523

CHAPTER 11. AVOIDING PREDICTABLE CASE BLUNDERS

523

- § 11:1 Introduction
- § 11:2 Case selection
- § 11:3 —Example
- § 11:4 Defense resource allocation
- § 11:5 The difference between medical and legal reasoning
- § 11:6 —Nature of legal reasoning
- § 11:7 —Nature of medical reasoning
- § 11:8 ——Inductive reasoning; speculations about possibilities; diagnosis with reasonable medical probability
- § 11:9 Practical consequences of physician clinical-decision making; *Daubert* challenges; evidence based medicine (EBM)
- § 11:10 Overview of eight litigation process myths; the Emperor's New Clothes
- § 11:11 —Myth 1: truth doesn't matter
- § 11:12 —Myth 2: money doesn't matter
- § 11:13 Unintended consequences of not dealing directly with the money
- § 11:14 Overview of eight litigation process myths; the

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Emperor's New Clothes—Myth 3: specificity doesn't matter
§ 11:15	Myth 3: Specificity doesn't matter; the risk of relying on brain function imaging studies to "prove" the presence of traumatic brain injury
§ 11:16	New myth: Misperceiving history means malingering
§ 11:17	Myth 3: Specificity doesn't matter
§ 11:18	Myths: Self-Deception: the 800 pound gorilla in the room
§ 11:19	Overview of eight litigation process myths; the Emperor's New Clothes—Myth 4: effort doesn't matter
§ 11:20	Catastrophic reliance on "mind/body dualism"
§ 11:21	Critical "mind/psyche/body" issues regarding the accuracy, validity, and reliability of retroactive recollection of the traumatic event
§ 11:22	Intended and unintended consequences of "mind/body" dualism on admissibility of psychotherapeutic records
§ 11:23	Overview of eight litigation process myths; the Emperor's New Clothes—Myth 5: brain injured patients always are reliable factual historians
§ 11:24	—Myth 6: cases don't get settled
§ 11:25	—Myth 7: partial recovery should be ignored
§ 11:26	—Myth 8: TBI patients always are likeable

CHAPTER 12. APPROACHING THE CASE: A NEUROPSYCHIATRIC PERSPECTIVE .. 605

§ 12:1	Introduction
§ 12:2	The medical knowledge experts expect attorneys to have
§ 12:3	Understanding why the attorney picked the client
§ 12:4	Deciding to work with the attorney
§ 12:5	Deciding to work with the attorney: Three of the most humiliating mistakes any expert can make—and which one of the editors repeatedly has (!)
§ 12:6	Understanding the uses and limitations of DSM-5
§ 12:7	DSM-5 and malingering
§ 12:8	DSM-5 and "neurocognitive disorders": New DSM-5 approaches and new limitations
§ 12:9	The other 800 pound gorilla in the room that DSM-5 also leaves out: the "unused" brain
§ 12:10	Deciding to work with the attorney: The necessity of making clear policies regarding finances and "on call" rules for depositions, trials, and cases that get settled
§ 12:11	Deciding to work with the attorney: failure to anticipate plaintiffs fleeing treatment after the case is resolved
§ 12:12	New neuroscientific tools that should be used as early as possible during the client selection process

- § 12:13 Deciding to work with the attorney: making sure that any referring attorney has up-to-date knowledge about the uses and limitations of clinical history—And making sure they do not bully their own experts into ignoring/“dumbing down” facts that weaken the case
- § 12:14 The increasing importance—And pitfalls of using—Diffusion tensor imaging
- § 12:15 Painful lessons from 50 years of being an expert witness for plaintiff attorneys, defense counsel, and judges: Let the buyer of expert services beware

Volume 2

CHAPTER 13. PRETRIAL PREPARATIONS 1

I. PICKING THE EXPERT TEAM

- § 13:1 Overview; choosing a captain for the expert team
- § 13:2 Types of expert credentialing; board certifications; trends in the use of neurobehavioral experts
- § 13:3 —American Academy of Neurology guidelines for treating testifiers
- § 13:4 Compensation and fees
- § 13:5 *Daubert* challenges to the admissibility of clinical opinions not based on evidence-based medicine
- § 13:6 The treating expert as advocate; bias

II. MEMBERS OF THE EXPERT TEAM

- § 13:7 In general
- § 13:8 Neurologists; cross-examination questions
- § 13:9 Neuropsychologists
- § 13:10 —Bigler and Brooks review of forensic neuropsychology
- § 13:11 Neuropsychologists and the terrifying possibility of “real life” invalidity of in-office neuropsychological tests in proving or disproving the presence of true traumatic brain injury consequences
- § 13:12 Neuropsychologists—Sample cross examination questions
- § 13:13 Neuropsychiatrists
- § 13:14 Neuro-otologists
- § 13:15 Physiatrists and neuropsychiatrists
- § 13:16 Vocational rehabilitation experts
- § 13:17 Life care planners

III. COLLECTING DOCUMENTATION

- § 13:18 Determining what records to collect

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 13:19 Emergency room records
- § 13:20 Other relevant records

IV. PREPARING FOR THE NEUROPSYCHIATRIC EXAMINATION

A. IN GENERAL

- § 13:21 History taking

B. FIRST CUT: IDENTIFYING CLINICAL ISSUES AND THE FACTS NEEDED FROM EXAM

- § 13:22 Clinical issue spotting
- § 13:23 —Skull fractures and lesions to the scalp and dura
- § 13:24 —Intracranial hematoma
- § 13:25 —Brain damage caused by increased intracranial pressure
- § 13:26 —Other types of focal damage
- § 13:27 —Diffuse axonal damage
- § 13:28 —Hypoxic-ischemic brain damage; carbon monoxide poisoning
- § 13:29 —Brain swelling

C. SECOND CUT: LOBE-BY-LOBE POINTS OF INTEREST

- § 13:30 Locations of injury, generally
- § 13:31 Locations of injury, generally
- § 13:32 Localization of injury, specifically
- § 13:33 Frontal lobes
- § 13:34 —Frontal operculum
- § 13:35 —Superior mesial region
- § 13:36 —Inferior mesial regions
- § 13:37 —Dorsolateral frontal region
- § 13:38 Temporal lobes
- § 13:39 —Mesial temporal region
- § 13:40 —The hippocampal examples
- § 13:41 —The amygdala
- § 13:42 The amygdala and posttraumatic stress
- § 13:43 Temporal lobes—Mesial temporal region—Other temporal regions
- § 13:44 Parietal lobes
- § 13:45 —Temporoparietal junction
- § 13:46 —Inferior parietal lobule; anosognosia
- § 13:47 Occipital lobes; dorsal portion
- § 13:48 Occipital lobes; ventral part

D. THIRD CUT: UNDERSTANDING THE PURPOSE AND LIMITATIONS OF DSM-IV

- § 13:49 Issue of ecological validity of the diagnostic manual DSM-IV
- § 13:50 Suggested screening questions for clinical practice

V. THE NEUROPSYCHIATRIC EXAMINATION ITSELF

- § 13:51 Clinical TBI scale scores; Glasgow Coma Scale
- § 13:52 —Rancho Los Amigos Scale and other assessments
- § 13:53 Treatment interventions known to improve symptoms in traumatic brain injury survivors
- § 13:54 Reviewing and confirming important history
- § 13:55 Reviewing and confirming important history: Interviewing collaterals
- § 13:56 The clinical interview and mental status examination
- § 13:57 Psychological tests and neuropsychological screening

VI. PREPARING THE REPORT

- § 13:58 Length of the report
- § 13:59 Effect of preparing a draft report
- § 13:60 Differential diagnosis, comorbidity and causation:
being aware of medical conditions that can result in symptoms that mimic mild traumatic brain injury
 - § 13:61 —Multiple sclerosis
 - § 13:62 —Diabetes
 - § 13:63 —Alzheimer's disease
 - § 13:64 —Cardiovascular disease
 - § 13:65 —Stroke
 - § 13:66 —Systemic Lupus Erythematosis
 - § 13:67 —HIV Infection
 - § 13:68 —Tuberculosis
 - § 13:69 —Hypertension

VII. AFTER THE REPORT BUT BEFORE TRIAL: ANTICIPATING DEFENSE RESPONSES—AND DEFENSE BLUNDERS

- § 13:70 Scope of the expert's advice
- § 13:71 Counting on malingering
- § 13:72 Counteracting by turning malingering on its head
- § 13:73 Ignoring insurance company treatment denials
- § 13:74 Exaggerating the degree of recovery
- § 13:75 Not recommending or doing follow-up tests that are capable of detecting consequences of brain injury

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 13:76 Exaggerating pre-morbid history and ignoring pre-accident fragility
- § 13:77 Ignoring lack of treatment response

VIII. ANTICIPATING ERRORS MADE BY FELLOW EXPERTS, PLAINTIFFS AND EVEN PLAINTIFF ATTORNEYS

- § 13:78 Common plaintiff expert team member miscalculations
- § 13:79 Common plaintiff blunders
- § 13:80 Common plaintiff attorney blunders
- § 13:81 Common plaintiff experts blunders
- § 13:82 Common plaintiff experts' blunders: Ignoring the specificity problem
- § 13:83 Common plaintiff and defense expert blunders: Ignoring the long-term consequences of traumatic brain injury
- § 13:84 Avoiding short-term tactical and long-term strategic blunders
- § 13:85 Seizing the initiative and understanding the impending dominance of the "substantial factor" test in traumatic brain injury litigation
- § 13:86 Understanding, using and defending against the concept of "thresholds"
- § 13:87 The increasing importance the resilience defense
- § 13:88 Increasing importance of the resilience defense: Posttraumatic stress disorder with implications for traumatic brain injury
- § 13:89 The critical importance of understanding the uses and limits of "localization" dogma
- § 13:90 One of the most serious pretrial blunders of all: ignoring the sensitivity and specificity traps
- § 13:91 Understanding and presenting the need for comprehensive—sometimes expensive but financially efficient—clinical interventions for traumatic brain injury victims
- § 13:92 Ignoring necessary treatment for post brain injury alcoholism
- § 13:93 Voir dire considerations and possible questions related to "moral hazard" and litigation "awards"
- § 13:94 Common defense expert blunders
- § 13:95 The uses and misuses of video depositions and video testimony

CHAPTER 14. AT TRIAL: CUTTING EDGE SCIENCE ON KEY CLINICAL CONDITIONS 203

I. POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER

- § 14:1 Issue of plaintiff's pre-accident condition

- § 14:2 Using posttraumatic stress disorder to explain TBI-caused injury
- § 14:3 Life care planning, functional independence and comorbidity
- § 14:4 Effect of hippocampal atrophy and white matter lesions
- § 14:5 Plaintiff's strategies using building blocks of pre-incident fragilities and conditions
 - Building a paper trail
- § 14:7 Other aspects; substance abuse; depression; neuroimaging fingerprint for posttraumatic stress disorder; hippocampal size
- § 14:8 Co-causality: explaining catastrophic emotional responses to "only mild" traumatic brain injury
- § 14:9 Co-causality: explaining catastrophic emotional responses to "only mild" traumatic brain injury: posttraumatic stress disorder
- § 14:10 Co-Causality: explaining catastrophic emotional responses to only mild traumatic brain injury: posttraumatic stress disorder
- § 14:11 Co-causality: Combination of injuries, stress of treatment. and post-traumatic stress
- § 14:12 Co-causality: explaining catastrophic emotional responses to only "mild" traumatic brain injury in comparison to data in patients having severe traumatic brain injury
- § 14:13 The importance of understanding co-morbid conditions
- § 14:14 The importance of understanding co-morbid conditions: attention deficit disorder
- § 14:15 The importance of understanding co-morbid conditions: HIV
- § 14:16 Hearing loss and dementia

II. MAJOR DEPRESSIVE DISORDER

- § 14:17 Correlation between depression and hippocampal size
- § 14:18 Depression as a complication of TBI
- § 14:19 —Neuroanatomical and biochemical markers of depression
- § 14:20 Depression as a complication of TBI-executive function disorders
- § 14:21 The integration of clinical, neuropsychological, neurophysiological, neuroimaging, and genetic measures of depression when present as a complication of TBI
- § 14:22 The interactions amongst genetics, major depression, brain structure and traumatic brain injury
- § 14:23 The interactions amongst genetics, major depression, brain structure and traumatic brain injury: recent findings regarding the hippocampus
- § 14:24 The interactions amongst genetics, major depression, brain structure and traumatic brain injury: factors likely to lead to recurrent depression

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 14:25 Brain lesions manifesting themselves as psychiatric disorders
- § 14:26 Family history and depression vulnerability “markers”

III. BIPOLAR AFFECTIVE DISORDER

- § 14:27 Understanding the interaction between bipolar disorder and traumatic brain injury
- § 14:28 —recent studies

IV. THE IMPACT OF TRAUMA ON PRE-INCIDENT PERSONALITY DISORDERS

- § 14:29 Defense strategy: establish plaintiff's personality disorder

V. MALINGERING, SOMATOFORM, AND OTHER SYMPTOM EXAGGERATION DISORDERS

- § 14:30 Defining and identifying malingering
- § 14:31 Defining and identifying malingering—Symptom validity tests
- § 14:32 Effect on jury of accusation of malingering
- § 14:33 Research regarding malingering
- § 14:34 Research regarding malingering: Self deception: malingering or not?
- § 14:35 Somatoform disorders and other secondary gain syndromes
- § 14:36 DSM-IV categories of symptom exaggeration disorders
- § 14:37 Effect of finding new onset seizures; distinguishing organic and psychogenic seizures
- § 14:38 Plaintiff's strategies when faced with malingering defense

VI. NONCOMPLIANCE WITH TREATMENT

- § 14:39 Understanding noncompliance with treatment regimen
- § 14:40 Behavioral, neurocognitive, and affective side effects of medications used to treat TBI

VII. CEREBROVASCULAR DISORDERS AND TREATABLE CONCOMITANTS OF TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

- § 14:41 Cerebrovascular disorders
- § 14:42 Treatable concomitants of traumatic brain injury
- § 14:43 Treatable concomitants of traumatic brain injury: seizures
- § 14:44 Seizures
- § 14:45 Diabetes

VIII. ALCOHOL AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE

- § 14:46 Traumatic brain injury, attention deficit disorder and/or substance abuse
- § 14:47 The future: the relentless search for specific traumatic brain injury “footprints”: cellular level “biomarkers”
- § 14:48 Traumatic brain injuries and pain related complaints: dealing with the elderly
- § 14:49 Consequences of intoxication at the time of traumatic brain injury
- § 14:50 Recognized neurobehavioral syndromes for alcohol-induced disorders
- § 14:51 Plaintiff’s strategies for dealing with alcohol use before or at the time of a traumatic event; teenagers and alcohol use

IX. NON-ANALGESIC STREET DRUGS

- § 14:52 Neuropsychiatric and neurobehavioral syndromes secondary to the use of street drug abuse
- § 14:53 Issue of base rate prevalence of conditions in the plaintiff’s population

X. USE AND ABUSE OF PRESCRIPTION MEDICATIONS

- § 14:54 Neurocognitive, affective, and neurobehavioral disturbances from pain medications
- § 14:55 Over-medication and self-medication issues

XI. ATTENTION-DEFICIT DISORDERS

- § 14:56 Symptoms of Attention-Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder and the sequelae of traumatic brain injury
- § 14:57 —Plaintiff’s strategies

XII. LISTS OF CONDITIONS AND MEDICATIONS

- § 14:58 List of psychiatric disorders which can cause neurobehavioral and/or cognitive dysfunction
- § 14:59 List of psychiatric disorders which can cause neurobehavioral and/or cognitive disruption/functional impairment
- § 14:60 List of medical conditions and procedures which can cause neurobehavioral and/or cognitive dysfunction
- § 14:61 List of medications which can cause neurobehavioral and/or cognitive dysfunction
- § 14:62 List of conditions other than TBI which can cause functional imaging study abnormalities
- § 14:63 List of conditions associated with hippocampal changes

TABLE OF CONTENTS

XIII. DISORDERS OF IMPULSE CONTROL AND DISINHIBITION

§ 14:64 Below the neurocognitive “tip of the iceberg”

XIV. CHRONIC PAIN SYNDROMES

§ 14:65 Traumatic brain injuries and pain related complaints
§ 14:66 Violence and TBI
§ 14:67 Personality disorders and the brain
§ 14:68 Recasting current twenty-first century neuroscience into cross-examination questions for experts on both sides
§ 14:69 Specific possible cross-examination questions for plaintiff experts in emotional and brain injury litigation
§ 14:70 Specific possible cross-examination questions for defense experts in emotional and brain injury litigation

CHAPTER 15. STRATEGIC PLANNING FOR FUTURE LITIGATION..... 449

§ 15:1 Organic injuries mimicking neuropsychiatric illness
§ 15:2 —Non-convulsive status epilepticus; DNA scarring
§ 15:3 Neuroplasticity and adaptive changes
§ 15:4 —Resilience in TBI cases
§ 15:5 —Resilience in TBI cases: treatability of cognitive impairment in TBI survivors
§ 15:6 Implications of resilience and dedifferentiation; kindling and supersensitization
§ 15:7 —Extent of functional recovery capacity
§ 15:8 —Posttraumatic stress disorder
§ 15:9 —Neurochemicals and hormonal mediators of the psychobiological response to extreme stress; Ritalin (methylphenidate) and other psychostimulants; deep brain stimulation
§ 15:10 Defendants’ use of neuroplasticity, dedifferentiation; functional collateral circulation
§ 15:11 Kindling and supersensitization
§ 15:12 Demonstrating the presence of neuroplasticity using Diffusion Tensor Imaging
§ 15:13 Life care planning and the provision of an artificial brain: the new damages frontier
§ 15:14 —Costs of care and rehabilitation
§ 15:15 Early settlement-oriented independent medical mediation, clinical coordination and joint case conferences
§ 15:16 Lessons from current research
§ 15:17 The great coming convergence between neuropsychiatry and the law
§ 15:18 The great coming convergence between neuropsychiatry and

the law: the continuing search for objective traumatic brain injury causes and consequences: neuroendocrinology

§ 15:19 The coming era of biomarkers for traumatic brain injury

§ 15:20 More on uses and limitations of biomarkers in diagnosing traumatic brain injury and other conditions

§ 15:21 Defending defense claims of “fraud on the court”

§ 15:22 Fraud, traumatic brain injury and the functional neuroanatomy of memory

§ 15:23 Fraud, traumatic brain injury, and the functional neuroanatomy of memory: dissociative disorders

§ 15:24 The coming legal revolution

§ 15:25 Myth 5: Brain injured patients always are reliable factual historians Litigants

§ 15:26 Twenty-first century neuroscience from the top down: getting ahead of the curve

§ 15:27 The coming critical importance of understanding interactions in presenting causation and damages in brain injury litigation

§ 15:28 The coming “duty to mitigate” by *Defense* counsel to pay for early intervention in TBI cases

§ 15:29 Defending against future malingering defenses

§ 15:30 Lifecare planning and suicide risks

§ 15:31 Caregiver burden: the new clinical and legal frontier

§ 15:32 The coming duty to mitigate by plaintiff litigants and plaintiff counsel in all future pain and brain cases

§ 15:33 Testing the limits of expert knowledge: awareness of “cutting edge” clinical research or not

§ 15:34 Anticipating the increasing use of neuroimaging studies and biomarkers to detect the presence of co-morbid traumatic brain injury and posttraumatic stress disorder

§ 15:35 Update on brain injury biomarker explosion

§ 15:36 The coming need to integrate the findings of neuroimaging studies and biomarker tests with the clinical concepts of resilience and treatability

§ 15:37 The coming neuropsychiatric revolution and its legal consequences: prions

§ 15:38 More on the looming battles regarding subjective perceptions and misperceptions of valid indicators nonetheless of brain injury-caused psychological damage and “real world” functional limitations

§ 15:39 Dealing with brain injury-caused changes in sexual functioning

§ 15:40 Diffusion tensor imaging: The new battleground for admissibility and clinical credibility

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index