Index

ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATION (ANDA) Generally, 25:1 to 25:61 Approval date, redating, infringement damages and remedies, 32:3 to 32:5 Approval matrix, 25:23 Attorney involvement in answering deficiency letters, 25:26 Based on confidential information protective order, prohibited uses, 34:8 Bioavailability generally, 25:13 defined, 25:28 Bioequivalency of generic drug definition of bioequivalency, 25:29 differences between NDA and ANDA, 25:8 dissolution testing, 25:39, 25:40 immediate and extended release forms, 25:30, 25:31 in vitro bioequivalency testing, reasons for BE testing and biowaivers, 25:41 in vitro dissolution studies, 25:38 non-obviousness of failed biostudies as evidence, 25:37 particle size and dissolution testing, 25:40 proving bioequivalency, 25:37 to 5:40 showing bioequivalency of	ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATION (ANDA) —Cont'd Bioequivalency of generic drug —Cont'd traditional solid oral dosage forms, 25:30, 25:60 Biopharmaceutical properties, classification system generally, 25:14 pharmacokinetics, patents claiming, 25:15 Biopharmaceutical review and bioavailability, 25:13 Biostudies in human subjects generally, 25:42 fasting and fed biostudies, 25:43 food effect patents not patentable, 25:44 pilot and pivotal studies, 25:42 Brand side exclusivities, when to file ANDAs with paragraph IV certifications, 23:7 CGMP review, 25:18 Changes to application generally, 25:52 major changes, 25:53 minimal impact change documented in annual report, 25:55 minor change, 25:55 moderate changes (CBE-30), 25:54 patent issues, 25:56 Chemistry and manufacturing
proving bioequivalency, 25:37	25:54 patent issues, 25:56

ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG	ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG
APPLICATION (ANDA)	APPLICATION (ANDA)
—Cont'd	—Cont'd
Clinical review, 25:17	Filing against OTC NDA drug
Color of generic products, issues	product, 37:3
relating to, 25:61	Final vs. tentative approval, 25:24
Commercial issues in the Rx to	Forfeiture
OTC switch, 37:5	failure to obtain tentative
Competitive generic therapies,	approval in 30 months,
FDA waiting 75 days, 30:6	forfeiture of 180-day
Considerations for 180-day	exclusivity. Paragraph IV
exclusivity in Rx to OTC	Based 180-Day Exclusiv-
switch, 37:6	ity (this index)
Contrasts and similarities with	FDA backlog possibly causing
paper NDAs, 24:2, 24:3	forfeitures, 29:28
Deficiency letters	filing ANDA too early, 29:34
generally, 25:19	Human subjects. Biostudies in
attorney involvement in answering, 25:26	human subjects, above
<u> </u>	Impurity
major deficiency, 25:20	avoiding impurity patent claims
minor deficiency, 25:21	risk of jeopardizing regula
telephone amendment, 25:22	tory approval, 25:47
Designing around RLD patents to	levels as defined by regulatory
obtain non-infringing generic versions, 25:58	authority, 25:46
	specifications, 25:45
Differences between NDA and ANDA, 24:6	Inhalers
Dose inhalers, metered and	dry powder nose inhalers, 25:49
powder, 25:48	metered and powder dose inhalers, 25:48
Dry powder nose inhalers, 25:49	nasal sprays and inhaled solu-
Excipient changes	tions, 25:50
generally, 25:58, 25:59	Inner workings of FDA, 25:10
non-solid oral dosage forms,	In vitro
25:59	bioequivalency testing, reasons
Extended release	for BE testing and
diffusion control system, 25:32,	biowaivers, 25:41
25:33	dissolution studies, 25:38
dissolution control system,	Labels
25:34	labeling review, 25:11
ion-exchange resin system,	last minute RLD label changes
25:36	to thwart generic competi-
measuring bioequivalence,	tion, 25:7
25:30, 25:31	Last minute RLD label changes to
osmotic pump system, 25:35	thwart generic competition,
types of ER, 25:32 to 25:36	25:7

ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG ABBREVIATED NEW DRUG APPLICATION (ANDA) APPLICATION (ANDA) -Cont'd —Cont'd Literal infringement Refusal to receive (RTR) current ANDA infringement for ANDA filing date, effect of future modifications, 16:8 refusal to receive, 25:60 infringement inquiry, ANDA challenging RTR decision, 25:5 specification controls, 16:7 impact of, 25:4 Major changes to application, no private right of action to 25:53 enforce FDCA, 25:5 Metered and powder dose inhal-Review of ANDA approval proers, 25:48 cess, 25:57 Microbiology review, 25:16 Samples, patent issues, 25:51 Minimal impact change Size, shape and color of generic documented in annual report, products, issues relating to, 25:55 25:61 Minor change to application, Submission filing date, 25:60 25:55 Submission standards, 25:4 Moderate changes (CBE-30), Telephone amendment, minor 25:54 deficiency, 25:22 Nasal sprays and inhaled solu-Tentative approval tions, 25:50 failure to obtain in 30 months, **Orange Book Patent Certifica**forfeiture of 180-day tions (this index) exclusivity. Paragraph IV Paper NDAs, contrasts and Based 180-Day Exclusivsimilarities, 24:2, 24:3 ity (this index) Pediatric exclusivities, effect on final vs. tentative approval, ANDA filings, 23:19 25:24 Petitions, suitability, to refer to reasons for getting, 25:25 different RLDs, 25:2 **AFFIDAVITS** Pharmacokinetics, patents claim-**Inequitable Conduct** (this index) ing, 25:15 Redating approval date, infringe-ANTICIPATION ment remedies, 32:3 to 32:5 Novelty and Loss of Rights (this Reference listed drug (RLD) index) generally, 25:1 **ATTORNEYS' FEES** access to RLD protected by Amounts, 32:36 REMS, 25:9 Case studies, 32:37, 32:38 designing around RLD patents Exceptional cases to obtain non-infringing generally, 32:32 to 32:38 generic versions, 25:58 amounts, 32:36 last minute RLD label changes case studies, 32:37, 32:38 to thwart generic competifrivolous litigation by brand tion, 25:7 company, 32:38 suitability petitions to refer to different RLDs, 25:2 prevailing party, who is, 32:35

BRAND DRUG APPROVAL AND ATTORNEYS' FEES—Cont'd ORANGE BOOK—Cont'd Exceptional cases—Cont'd steps in awarding, 32:34 Blinds. Clinical trials and blinds, below when fees can be awarded, 32:33 Brand drug approval, generally, 22:2 Frivolous litigation by brand company, 32:38 Clinical trials and blinds Inequitable conduct, award of fees generally, 22:19 and costs, 19:6 beginning clinical trials, 22:3 Prevailing party, who is, 32:35 phases of trials, 22:20, 22:21 Steps in awarding, 32:34 public use patent invalidity, When fees can be awarded, 32:33 22:21 Drug master files (DMF), 22:6 BASICS Internal FDA machinations, 22:8 Patent Basics (this index) Investigational new drug application (IND) **BEST MODE (§ 112)** generally, 22:3 Generally, **8:1 to 8:7** beginning clinical trials, 22:3 Best mode in claim construction, contents, 22:4 8:7 Contrasting enablement and best Listable and nonlistable patents generally, 22:10 mode, 9:13 Inequitable conduct, using to chaldelisting patents, 22:12 lenge best mode violations forcible listing of unlisted when invalidity not allowed, patents, 22:14 listing as clerical not substan-Invalidity based on best mode no tive, 22:11 longer allowed under AIA, polymorph patent listing, 22:18 8:5 when patents may be listed, Patent invalidity theories, best 22:15 mode requirement, 8:4 who may list which patents, Role of specification, 8:1 22:16 Section 112(a) (pre-AIA § 112, Medical device patents, 22:17 first paragraph) New drug applications (NDA) generally, 8:2 generally, 22:5 best mode requirement, 8:3 approval and approval dates, 22:23 BIOEQUIVALENCY differences between NDA and Abbreviated New Drug Applica-ANDA. 25:6 tion (ANDA) (this index) drug master files (DMF), 22:6 BRAND DRUG APPROVAL AND paper NDAs and § 505(b)(2) **ORANGE BOOK** applications, below Generally, 22:1 to 22:22 types of new drugs, 22:7 Antibiotic patents, 22:17 Orange Book, patent information

and

generally, 22:9 to 22:13

Approval and approval dates,

22:23

BRAND DRUG APPROVAL AND BRAND SIDE EXCLUSIVITIES ORANGE BOOK—Cont'd -Cont'd Orange Book, patent information supplemental exclusivity, below and—Cont'd clerical, not substantive, nature Combination products of patent listing, **22:11** generally, 23:4 delisting patents, 22:12 pediatric exclusivity, 23:25 forcible listing of unlisted DEA scheduling, NCE exclusivity patents, 22:14 and, 23:3 listable and nonlistable patents, Delisting patents from Orange 22:10 Book before NCE-1 date to when patents may be listed, thwart generic filings, 23:9 22:15 Enantiomers, three-year exclusivwho may list which patents, ity, **23:14** 22:16 Extension of five-year exclusivity Paper NDAs and § 505(b)(2) under qualified infectious applications disease products program, generally, 22:22:, 24:1 to 24:9 23:5 Extensions of 30-month stay to challenge to § 505(b)(2) referyear 7.5, NCE-based ence listed drug, 24:8 lawsuits, 23:8, 27:26 circumventing 180-day Filing, 23:1 exclusivity, 24:7 Fixed combination products, 23:4 conclusion, 24:9 Generic approval of less than all contrasting with abbreviated indications, working (ANDA) applications, 24:2 example, 23:12 information need to support Infringement implications, 23:26 application, 24:5 New chemical entity (NCE) reference listed drug, challenge to, 24:8 exclusivity similarities with abbreviated generally, 23:2 (ANDA) applications, 24:3 DEA scheduling, 23:3 strategic uses, 24:6, 24:7 delisting patents from Orange Book before NCE-1 date to types of applications, 24:4 Polymorph patent listing, 22:18 thwart generic filings, 23:9 Public use patent invalidity, 22:21 difference between NCE and REMS patents, 22:17 three-year exclusivity, 23:13 Types of new drugs in NDA, 22:7 extension of five-year exclusiv-**BRAND SIDE EXCLUSIVITIES** ity under qualified infec-Generally, 23:1 to 23:27 tious disease products ANDAs with paragraph IV program, 23:5 certifications, when to file, extensions of 30-month stay to 23:7 year 7.5, NCE-based Approval, 23:1 lawsuits, 23:8, 27:26 Clinical information. New fixed combination products, product/clinical information/ 23:4

BRAND SIDE EXCLUSIVITIES **BRAND SIDE EXCLUSIVITIES** —Cont'd —Cont'd Qualified infectious disease New chemical entity (NCE) products program, extension exclusivity-Cont'd of five-year exclusivity, 23:5 new molecules, NCE status vs. patent term extension, 23:6 Supplemental exclusivity. New product/clinical information/ when to file ANDAs with paragraph IV certifications, supplemental exclusivity, above 23:7 New molecules, NCE status vs. CERTIFICATIONS patent term extension, 23:6 **Orange Book Patent Certifica-**New product/clinical information/ tions (this index) supplemental exclusivity **CHEMICALS** generally, 23:10 New chemical entity (NCE). difference between NCE and **Brand Side Exclusivities** three-year exclusivity, (this index) 23:13 Similarity. **Obviousness** (this generic approval of less than all index) indications, working example, **23:12 CITIZEN PETITIONS** new clinical information Generally, 34:1 to 34:7 exclusivity, requirements, Based on confidential information 23:11 from ANDA; protective order Orphan drug exclusivity, 23:15 prohibited uses, 34:8 Patent infringement implications, FDA response 23:26 time period, 34:3 Pediatric exclusivity what is, **34:4** generally, 23:16 to 23:25 Form of petition, 34:2 ANDA filings, effect on, 23:19 Generic companies, 34:7 combination products, 23:25 Implications of petition denial and effect, 23:17 ANDA approvals, **34:6** key points, 23:27 Sham petitions, potential antitrust monetary damages not permitpenalties, 34:5 ted, 32:24 Tentative approval, failure to paragraph IV based 180-day obtain in 30 months, forfeiexclusivity, 28:19 ture of 180-day exclusivity, 29:26 thirty-month stay, effect on What is, **34:1** generally, 23:20 amlodipine, 23:24 CLAIM CONSTRUCTION IN fentanyl patch, 23:23 PATENT INFRINGEMENT fluconazole, 23:22 Generally, **15:1 to 15:30** working examples, 23:21 to Basic infringement claim, 15:2 23:24 Chemical compounds, enantiomtracking, 23:18 ers and racemates, 15:28

CLAIM CONSTRUCTION IN PATENT INFRINGEMENT	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION IN PATENT INFRINGEMENT
—Cont'd	—Cont'd
Claim construction, generally, 15:3	Parent application, using prosecution history in subsequent
Consistent use of claim term	applications, 15:22
throughout specification, 15:11	Particular meaning disavowed in specification, 15:14
Customary rules of construction, 15:8	Pattern of examples in specification deduces claim meaning,
Dictionaries as sources of claim meaning, 15:7	15:12 Permissive evidence, 15:6, 15:19
Differentiation of claims and interpreting claims of differ-	Post-grant review (PGR), using, 15:19.50
ent scope, 15:24	Preambles, 15:29
Embodiment is invention, 15:13	Prosecution history
Evidence considered generally, 15:4	disclaimer of ordinary meaning, 15:21
dictionaries, 15:7	intrinsic evidence, 15:19
extrinsic evidence, 15:6 intrinsic evidence, 15:5	ordinary meaning is unclear, 15:20
mandatory evidence, 15:5	parent application, using prose-
permissive evidence, 15:6	cution history in
treatises, 15:7	subsequent applications,
Explicit disclaimer of definition in	15:22
specification, 15:15	Relative terminology, 15:25
Extrinsic evidence, 15:6	Scope
Implicit definition of claim term,	differentiation of claims and
consistent use throughout specification, 15:11	interpreting claims of different scope, 15:24
Implicit disclaimer of definition in	illustration regarding scope of "about," 15:26
specification, 15:17	limiting claim scope, consistent
Inexact modifiers, 15:25	with invention's purpose,
Inter partes review (IPR), using, 15:19.50	15:16
Intrinsic evidence	narrow claim scope, choosing
generally, 15:5	when competing scopes exist, 15:23
prosecution history, 15:19	Specification clearly defines claim
Limiting claim scope, consistent	term, 15:10
with invention's purpose,	Timing in view of issued patent,
15:16	15:27
Mandatory evidence, 15:5, 15:19	Tools for generic company, 15:9
Narrow claim scope, choosing	Trademark doctrinal law on
when competing scopes exist, 15:23	surveys, using to assist in claim construction, 15:30

CLAIM CONSTRUCTION IN PATENT INFRINGEMENT —Cont'd

Treatises as sources of claim meaning, **15:7**Underclaiming, **15:18**

CLAIMING PRIORITY PROVISIONALS, CONTINUATIONS AND DIVISIONALS

Generally, 14:1 to 14:18

Adequate disclosure to support later filed applications, importance of, **14:16**

Chains of priority, rolling provisionals allow for, **14:16**

Concept of claiming priority or benefit to earlier filing date, 14:1

Continuation applications continuation-in-part applications, introducing new

matter, 14:15

different invention using same specification, **14:11**

Divisional applications

examiner-mandated restrictions to different inventions, 14:13

safe harbor provision, 14:14

Earlier filed foreign application, claim for foreign priority to include specific reference to, 14:6

Earliest filing dates, priority and, 14:3

Examiner-mandated restrictions to different inventions,

divisional applications, **14:13** Foreign priority dates to U.S. pro-

visional applications, claiming, **14:4**

Importance of adequate disclosure to support later filed applications, **14:17**

CLAIMING PRIORITY PROVISIONALS, CONTINUATIONS AND DIVISIONALS—Cont'd

Mechanics of claiming priority and benefits to earlier filing dates, **14:7**

New matter, introducing, continuation-in-part applications, 14:15

Prior art

before and after AIA, prior art and § 119(a), **14:9**

provisional application prior art date under pre-AIA § 102(e) and 102(a)(2), 14:5

tool for evaluating prior art effect, **14:8**

Provisional patent applications generally, **14:2**

foreign priority dates to U.S. provisional applications, claiming, 14:4

prior art date under pre-AIA § 102(e) and 102(a)(2), 14:5

Rolling provisionals allow for chains of priority, **14:16**

Safe harbor provision for divisional applications, **14:14**

Section 119

earlier filed foreign application, claim for foreign priority to include specific reference to, **14:6**

foreign priority dates to U.S. provisional applications, claiming, **14:4**

prior art and § 119(a) before and after AIA, **14:9**

provisional application prior art date under pre-AIA § 102(e) and 102(a)(2), 14:5

tool for evaluating prior art effect, 14:8

CLAIMING PRIORITY PROVISIONALS, CONTINUATIONS AND DIVISIONALS—Cont'd

Sections 120 and 121
claiming benefit of earlier filed
applications, 14:10
specific reference to earlier filed
application, 14:12
Tool for evaluating prior art effect,
14:8

CLAIMS

Generally. **Patent Basics** (this index)

CLINICAL TRIALS

Brand Drug Approval and Orange Book (this index)

COMPETITIVE GENERIC THERAPY (CGT) INITIATIVE, 180-DAY MARKET EXCLUSIVITY

Generally, **30:1 to 30:7**Commentary, **30:5**FDA waiting 75 days before approving other ANDAs, **30:6**

Inadequate generic competition, 30:3

Qualifying for CGT, **30:2**Relevant statutory provisions of 180-day exclusivity and forfeiture, **30:7**

Securing exclusivity and losing it, **30:4**

COMPOUNDING

Generally, **36:1 to 36:5**Animal drugs, **36:5**False advertising, **36:4**FDA authority, **36:2**Patent infringement issues, **36:3**

CONFIDENTIAL ACCESS OFFERS

Declaratory Judgment (this index)

CONFIDENTIAL ACCESS OFFERS—Cont'd

Orange Book Patent Certifications (this index)

CONSTRUCTION OF CLAIMS

Claim Construction in Patent Infringement (this index)

CONTINUATION APPLICATIONS

Claiming Priority Provisionals, Continuations and Divisionals (this index)

CONTRIBUTORY AND INDUCEMENT INFRINGEMENT

Generally, 18:1 to 18:12

Contributory patent infringement under 35 U.S.C.A. § 271(c)

generally, 18:9

knowing component is especially made, **18:10**

materiality, 18:12

substantial, noninfringing uses, **18:11**

Inducement patent infringement under 35 U.S.C.A. § 271(b)

generally, 18:2

mitigating or thwarting inducement to infringe claims generally, **18:5**

opinions of counsel of invalidity to thwart specific intent to induce infringement, **18:6**

pointing to other section of label to prove inducement, 18:8

RLD label taken as a whole, non-infringing uses, **18:3**

specific intent, pleading and proving, **18:4**, **18:6**

summary, **18:7**

unapproved FDA use, 18:8

CONTRIBUTORY AND	DAMAGES—Cont'd
INDUCEMENT	Lost profits—Cont'd
INFRINGEMENT—Cont'd	substitutes, existence of, 32:20
Knowing component is especially	substitutes and authorized
made, 18:10 Materiality, 18:12	generics, existence of, 32:21
RLD label taken as a whole, non-	Money damages
infringing uses, 18:3	generally, 32:10 , 32:12
Specific intent, pleading and proving, 18:4 , 18:6	enhanced damages and willful infringement, above
Substantial, noninfringing uses,	lost profits, above
18:11	pediatric exclusivity, 32:24
COSTS	permanent injunction, effect on
Inequitable conduct, award of fees	32:17
and costs, 19:6	reasonable royalty, below
	Pediatric exclusivity, money dam-
COVENANTS	ages not permitted, 32:24
Declaratory Judgment (this index)	Permanent injunction, effect on, 32:17
DAMAGES	Post-publication of patent to
Generally, 32:1 to 32:38	patent issuance under provi-
America Invents Act of 2011,	sional rights, patent damages for, 32:11
32:28	*
Attorneys' Fees (this index)	Potential remedies for infringement, 32:2
Enhanced damages and willful	Reasonable royalty
infringement	generally, 32:12 , 32:13
generally, 32:25	factors to consider, 32:15 ,
factors to consider, 32:26	32:16
filing ANDA, 32:30	generic drug infringement, fac-
legal opinions, 32:27	tors in relation to, 32:16
patent in reexamination, 32:29	Georgia Pacific test, 32:15
specifying facts in pleading,	hypothetical negotiations, 32:1
32:31	Willful infringement. Enhanced
Exceptional circumstances. Attorneys' Fees (this index)	damages and willful infringe ment, above
Inequitable conduct, fraud-based	DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
damages, 19:7	Generally, 33:1 to 33:14
Lost profits	Confidential access, offers for
generally, 32:12 , 32:18	generally, 33:13
calculating, 32:22	enforcement of offer as
expenses	improper private right of
bringing into calculus, 32:22	action, 33:14
expense deductions, 32:23 market reconstruction, 32:19	Counterclaims based on section viii patents, 33:15

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT DEPENDENT CLAIMS (§ 112) -Cont'd —Cont'd Covenants Section 112(c)-(d) (pre-AIA § 112, third and fourth paragraph), divesting court's jurisdiction, 12:1 33:11 fear of suit, removing, 33:10 DEVELOPMENT OF DRUGS not to sue, 33:10 Brand drug pathways, 1:1 Exclusivity, declaratory judgment Generic drug pathways, 1:2 triggering Judges and lawyers, legal aspects generally, 33:6 of generic drug development, Orange Book listing and declaratory judgment jurisdiction **DIVISIONAL APPLICATIONS** generally, 33:8 Claiming Priority Provisionals. patents listed but statutorily Continuations and Divisiondisclaimed, 33:9 als (this index) tentative approval, need for **DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS** before filing action, 33:7 (DOE) INFRINGEMENT Famciclovir factors allowing Generally, **17:1** to **17:25** declaratory judgment action, Applied to word "about," 17:25 33:5 Conclusion, 17:24 Orange Book listing and declaratory judgment jurisdiction Dedication to public rule, 17:8 generally, 33:8 Element-by-element analysis, 17:6 patents listed but statutorily Ensnarement test for prior art, disclaimed, 33:9 17:7 Post-MedImmune standards, 33:4, Estoppel. Prosecution history estoppel (PHE) doctrine, Purpose of Declaratory Judgment below Act, 33:1 Federal Circuit summary of Standards equivalency factors, 17:12 post-MedImmune standards, Festo test, 17:12 to 17:17 33:4, 33:5 Foreseeable changes, 17:14, 17:15 traditional standards, 33:3 Function way result test, 17:5, Updates, 33:12 to 33:14 17:22 When used in pharmaceutical Hypothetical claim analysis, 17:21 patent cases, 33:2 Insubstantial differences test, 17:4, 17:22 **DEFENSES** Other unexplained reason, 17:17 **Equitable Defenses** (this index) Prior art **DEFICIENCY LETTERS** ensnarement test, 17:7 Abbreviated New Drug Applicapreclusions, 17:21 tion (ANDA) (this index) Prosecution history estoppel **DEPENDENT CLAIMS (§ 112)** (PHE) doctrine generally, 17:9 Infringement and invalidity, 12:2

DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS

(DOE) INFRINGEMENT (DOE) INFRINGEMENT -Cont'd —Cont'd Prosecution history estoppel release bupropion, 17:23 (PHE) doctrine—Cont'd Subject matter disclosed but not alternate to insubstantial differclaimed, 17:8 ences and function way Tangential relationship, 17:16 result tests, 17:22 Tests for DOE amendment-based estoppel generally, 17:2 generally, 17:10 doctrine of equivalents limita-Festo test, 17:12 to 17:17 tions, 17:3 foreseeable changes, 17:14, element-by-element analysis, 17:15 17:6 other unexplained reason, ensnarement test for prior art, 17:17 17:7 rebutting presumption of function way result test, 17:5 estoppel, 17:11 insubstantial differences test, rebutting prosecution history 17:4 estoppel, 17:13 **ENABLEMENT (§ 112)** tangential relationship, 17:16 Generally, 9:1 to 9:13 argument-based estoppel, 17:18 Common knowledge and inadverdetailed structure test, 17:22 tent obviousness, gap filling Federal Circuit summary of enablement, 9:7 equivalency factors, 17:12 Contrasting enablement and best Festo test, 17:12 to 17:17 mode, 9:13 foreseeable changes, 17:14, Effective amounts, patent invalid-17:15 ity theory, 9:12 hypothetical claim analysis, Experimentation, undue, 9:8 17:21 How to make, patent invalidity other unexplained reason, 17:17 theory, 9:9 prior art preclusions, 17:21 How to use, patent invalidity theory, **9:10** rebutting presumption of estoppel, 17:11 In vitro and in vivo teaching, 9:11 rebutting prosecution history Lack of enablement, 9:6 et seq. Patent invalidity theories estoppel, 17:13 related applications may evoke generally, 9:5 to 9:12 estoppel, 17:19 common knowledge and inadvertent obviousness, gap scope, 17:20 filling enablement, 9:7 tangential relationship, 17:16 effective amounts, 9:12 Rebutting presumption of estophow to make, 9:9 pel, 17:11 how to use, **9:10** Rebutting prosecution history in vitro and in vivo teaching, estoppel, **17:13** 9:11 SmithKline Beecham and equivalency, sustained lack of enablement, 9:6 et seq.

DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS

INDEX

ENABLEMENT (§ 112)—Cont'd **EQUIVALENTS** Patent invalidity theories—Cont'd **Doctrine of Equivalents** undue experimentation, 9:8 **Infringement** (this index) Role of specification, 9:1 **ESTOPPEL** Section 112(a) (pre-AIA § 112, **Doctrine of Equivalents** first paragraph) **Infringement** (this index) generally, 9:2 **Equitable Estoppel** (this index) basics of enablement requirement, 9:3 **EVIDENCE** quick summary, 9:4 **Claim Construction in Patent** Undue experimentation, 9:8 **Infringement** (this index) **ENANTIOMERS** EXCLUSIVITIES Generally, 3:3 Brand Side Exclusivities (this Case studies, 3:3 to 3:8 index) Claim construction, 15:28 Competitive Generic Therapy (CGT) Initiative, 180-Day Clopidogrel, 3:8 Market Exclusivity (this History of patentability, 3:4 index) Levetiracetam, 3:7 Data exclusivity and market Levofloxacin, 3:6 exclusivity, difference Obviousness and invalidity, 7:30 between, 26:3.50 Racemate known, patentability, **Declaratory Judgment** (this index) Three-year exclusivity, 23:14 Paragraph IV Based 180-Day **EQUITABLE DEFENSES TO** Exclusivity (this index) PATENT INFRINGEMENT EXTENDED RELEASE Generally, 20:1 to 20:15 FORMULATIONS Claim preclusion, 20:2 Abbreviated New Drug Applica-Collateral estoppel, 20:2 tion (ANDA) (this index) **Equitable Estoppel** (this index) Obviousness and invalidity, 7:30 Implied license generally, 20:13 FALSE ADVERTISING litigation settlement, 20:15 Compounding, 36:4 sales of products, 20:14 **FORFEITURE** Issue preclusion, 20:2 Abbreviated New Drug Applica-Laches (this index) tion (ANDA) (this index) Other defenses under 35 U.S.C.A. Paragraph IV Based 180-Day § 282, **20:12** Exclusivity (this index) Res judicata, 20:2 **FRAUD EQUITABLE ESTOPPEL Inequitable Conduct** (this index) Generally, 20:8 Patent Office, fraud on, 19:1 Factors, 20:8 Laches compared, 20:5 **GENERIC COMPANIES** Presumptions, 20:9 Citizen petitions, 34:7

GENERIC PRODUCTS

Size, shape, or color generally, 35:1 to 35:3 cases, 35:3 functionality, infringement defense, 35:2

GENUS AND SPECIES

Generally, **13:1 to 13:5**Anticipation of patents, **13:2**Case study, Zyprexa (Olanzapine), **13:5**

Obviousness, 13:3 What is, 13:1

Written description support for genus in view of disclosure of species, **13:4**

IMPLIED LICENSE

Equitable Defenses (this index)

INDEFINITENESS (§ 112)

Generally, 11:1 to 11:5

Ability to measure and testing, failure of, **11:3**

Claim amendments to avoid ambiguity, indefiniteness and rebuttable presumption, 11:5

Claim precision and boundaries, 11:1, 11:2

Rebuttable presumption and indefiniteness in claim amendments to avoid ambiguity, 11:5

Section 112(b) (pre-AIA § 112, second paragraph), **11:1, 11:2**

What inventor regards as invention, 11:4

INDIRECT INFRINGEMENT

Contributor and Inducement Infringement (this index)

INDUCEMENT

INFRINGEMENT

Contributor and Inducement Infringement (this index)

INEQUITABLE CONDUCT

Generally, 19:1 to 19:44

Affidavits

affiant's relationship to applicant, failure to disclose, **19:18**

false or misleading, 19:36

Asserting inequitable conduct, 19:10, 19:11

Attorneys' fees and costs, awarding, **19:6**

Best mode violations when invalidity not allowed, using inequitable conduct to challenge, **8:6**

Burying critical reference, **19:34** Common situations, **19:3**

Conclusion, 19:44

Corrective measures, 19:38

Cultivated ignorance, 19:33

Curing inequitable conduct, 19:9

Damages, fraud-based, 19:7

Deception. Intent to deceive, below

Duty of candor and good faith during

post issue PTAB trials, **19:42** PTE applications, **19:41**

Duty of candor during maintenance fee payments, **19:43**

False or misleading affidavits, 19:36

False statements, 19:17

Foreign language documents, obtaining translations, **19:33**

Foreign office actions, failure to disclose, **19:35**

Fraud

damages, fraud-based, 19:7
Patent Office, fraud on, 19:1
private enforcement, 19:8
Walker Process fraud, 19:7
Gross negligence, 19:28, 19:29
Heightened pleading standards,

19:11

INEQUITABLE CONDUCT INEQUITABLE CONDUCT —Cont'd -Cont'd Infectious unenforceability, 19:5 Materiality threshold—Cont'd information does not have to be Inference of intent, mere denial verbatim, 19:16 never enough to overcome, 19:30 information not updated, 19:20 Intent to deceive petitions to make special, 19:20 generally, 19:26 to 19:37 pitfalls for applicants, 19:38 affidavits, false or misleading, practice tips, 19:38 19:36 presumption that data is mateburying critical reference, 19:34 rial, 19:19 cultivated ignorance, 19:33 section 112(1), failure to false or misleading affidavits, comply, 19:15 19:36 unfavorable test results, failure to provide, below foreign language documents, obtaining translations, Mere denial never enough to 19:33 overcome inference of intent, 19:30 foreign office actions, failure to Patterns of misrepresentations or disclose, 19:35 omissions, 19:32 gross negligence, 19:28, 19:29 Penalties inference of intent, mere denial generally, 19:4 never enough to overcome, 19:30 attorneys' fees and costs, awarding, 19:6 patterns of misrepresentations or omissions, 19:32 fraud, above prior art, below unenforceability, 19:5 proper inventors, failure to Pitfalls for applicants, 19:38 name, 19:37 Pleading inequitable conduct single actions, 19:32 under heightened pleading standards, 19:11 submitted prior art, stressing Post-Therasense cases, recap, importance, 19:27 19:39 totality, including gross negligence, 19:29 Practice tips, 19:38 Litigation misconduct as patent Presumption that data is material, unenforceability, 19:40 19:19 Materiality threshold Prior art generally, 19:12 et seq. failure to disclose. 19:35 affiant's relationship to searching for, 19:31 applicant, failure to dissubmitted prior art, stressing close, 19:18 importance, 19:27 corrective measures, 19:38 Private enforcement of fraud, 19:8 current and past tests, 19:12 Proper inventors, failure to name, false statements, 19:17 19:37 information does not have to be Section 112(1), failure to comply, claimed, 19:13 19:15

INEQUITABLE CONDUCT —Cont'd

Single actions, 19:32

Test results. Unfavorable test results, failure to provide, below

Types of inequitable conduct test, 19:3

Unenforceability

infectious unenforceability, 19:5

litigation misconduct, 19:40

Unfavorable test results, failure to provide

generally, 19:21

affirmative misrepresentations, 19:25

claim for priority, 19:23

issues examiner focuses on, 19:24

test conditions, accurate description, 19:22

Walker Process fraud, 19:7

INFRINGEMENT

Brand side exclusivities, patent infringement implications, 23:26

Claim Construction in Patent Infringement (this index)

Contributor and Inducement Infringement (this index)

Damages (this index)

De minimis infringement, 21:12

Doctrine of Equivalents
Infringement (this index)

Equitable Defenses (this index)

Future infringement, enjoining, 32:6, 32:8

Injunctive Relief (this index)

Literal Infringement (this index)

Remedies (this index)

Safe Harbor Exemptions (this index)

INHALERS

Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) (this index)

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

At-risk launch, preventing, **32:6**, **32:7**

Damages, effect on permanent injunction, **32:17**

Future infringement, stopping generally, **32:6**

when generic company loses, **32:8**

Inter partes review instituted to deny TRO or preliminary injunction, 31:9

Thirty-Month Stay, this index

INTENT

Enhanced damages and willful infringement. **Damages** (this index)

Intentional forfeiture of 180-day exclusivity, **29:35**

Intent to deceive. **Inequitable Conduct** (this index)

Specific intent, pleading and proving, contributory and inducement infringement, **18:4**, **18:6**

INTER PARTES REVIEW (IPR)

Claim construction in patent infringement, **15:19.50**

Cleaning up patent quality before or after patent issues, **31:1**

Interjecting into pending application, preissuance submissions, **31:2**

Invalidating patent, post-issuance procedures, **31:3**

Parallel patent litigation, potential impacts of IPRs

generally, 31:5 to 31:12

causing forfeiture of 180-day exclusivity by getting court

INTER PARTES REVIEW (IPR)

—Cont'd

Parallel patent litigation, potential impacts of IPRs-Cont'd decision for failure to market, 31:12

denial of IPR petition and subjective effect of perceptual estoppel, 31:7

instituted IPR to deny TRO or preliminary injunction, 31:9

patentee losing at PTAB, 31:11 petitioner losing at PTAB, 31:10

timing of IPR, appeals and standing to appeal, 31:6

using denied IPR petition as roadmap to correct or summary judgment if no invalidity, **31:8**

Pending review, impact on 30-month stays, 27:27

Post-grant review (PGR) and inter partes review, 31:4

Pre-issue submissions and postissuance IPR with impacts on court litigation

generally, 31:1 to 31:12

cleaning up patent quality before or after patent issues, 31:1

interjecting into pending application, preissuance submissions, 31:2

invalidating patent, post-issuance procedures, 31:3

parallel patent litigation, potential impacts of IPRs, above

post-grant review (PGR) and inter partes review, 31:4

INVALIDITY

Clinical trials, public use patent invalidity, 22:21

INVALIDITY—Cont'd

Enablement, patent invalidity theories. Enablement (this index)

Validity and Invalidity, Founda**tions of** (this index)

INVESTIGATIONAL NEW **DRUG APPLICATION (IND)**

Brand Drug Approval and Orange Book

JURISDICTION

Declaratory Judgment (this index)

LACHES

Generally, 20:3

Equitable estoppel compared, 20:5 Factors, 20:6

Post-SCA Hygiene, 20:4

Presumptions, 20:7

Prosecution laches, 20:10, 20:11

LICENSES

Implied license. Equitable **Defenses** (this index)

LISTABLE AND NONLISTABLE **PATENTS**

Brand Drug Approval and Orange Book

LITERAL INFRINGEMENT

Generally, 16:1 to 16:8

ANDA

current ANDA infringement for future modifications, 16:8

infringement inquiry, ANDA specification controls, 16:7

Federal Circuit review of record, 16:2

Generic drug application, literal infringement as based on,

Impact of SmithKline v. Apotex on infringement, 16:4

LITERAL INFRINGEMENT —Cont'd

Litigating more than one claim construction at trial, **16:2**

Proving, **16:5**

Single crystal theory, inherent infringement under generally, **16:3**

impact of SmithKline v. Apotex on infringement, **16:4**

LITIGATION

Frivolous litigation by brand company, award of attorneys' fees, **32:38**

Implied license by litigation settlement, 20:15

Parallel patent litigation, potential impacts of IPRs. **Inter Partes Review** (this index)

Pre-issue submissions and postissuance IPR with impacts on court litigation. **Inter Partes Review** (this index)

Unenforceability, litigation misconduct, 19:40

LOSS OF RIGHTS

Novelty and Loss of Rights (this index)

LOST PROFITS

Damages (this index)

MATERIALITY

Inequitable Conduct (this index)

MEANS PLUS FUNCTION CLAIMS (§ 112)

Section 112(f) (pre-AIA § 112, sixth paragraph), **12:3**

MEDICAID

Best price law, 28:13

MISCONDUCT

Litigation misconduct as patent unenforceability, **19:40**

NEW CHEMICAL ENTITY (NCE)

Brand Side Exclusivities (this index)

NEW DRUG APPLICATIONS

Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) (this index)

Brand Drug Approval and Orange Book (this index)

NOTICE LETTER

Orange Book Patent Certifications (this index)

NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHTS (§ 102)

Generally, 6:1 to 6:25

AIA, novelty under, **6:2**

Anticipation, express, 6:18

Anticipation, inherent

generally, **6:20 to 6:25**

accidental anticipation, 6:22

element-by-element analysis,

6:18

hypothetical, 6:24

necessarily present/natural

result, **6:21**

recognition of the inherent element, **6:23**

SmithKline v. Apotex revisited, **6:25**

Anticipation by equivalency, 6:19

Conclusion, 6:16

Element-by-element analysis, 6:18

Equivalency, anticipation by, 6:19

Express anticipation, 6:18

Inherent anticipation. Anticipation, inherent, above

Invalidating patent, invention not new, **6:17**

Joint inventorship, **6:15**

New inventions, **6:1**

Old § 102(a), 6:3

Old § 102(b)

generally, 6:4

NOVELTY AND LOSS OF	OBVIOUSNESS (§ 103)—Cont'd
RIGHTS (§ 102)—Cont'd	Common sense, role of, 7:29
Old § 102(b)—Cont'd	Conclusion, 7:21
on-sale bar, 6:5	Copying by others
public use bar, 6:6	generally, 7:11
Old § 102(c), 6:8	active ingredient, 7:12
Old § 102(d), 6:9	benign factor in obviousness,
Old § 102(e)	7:11
example and time lines,	formulation, 7:13
understanding § 102(e)	Design considerations, 7:31
through, 6:11	Failure of others to make inven-
utopian-world patent issuance	tion, 7:10
in USPTO, 6:10	Finite number of items, choosing
Old § 102(f), inventor is not	from, 7:28
inventor, 6:12	Formulation, 7:13
Old § 102(g)	Genus and species, 13:3
contrasting § 102(g)(1) vs.	Graham v. Deere factors, 7:2
102(g)(2), 6:14 prior invention by another, 6:13	Hindsight, guarding against, 7:4
Public use by selling/testing	Homology, isomerism and
samples via technology	structural similarity, 7:18
transfer and licensing, 6:7	Invalidity, obviousness and, 7:22
SmithKline v. Apotex revisited,	to 7:39
6:25	Isomers and obviousness, 7:20
ODVIOLICNIECO (§ 102)	Known techniques
OBVIOUSNESS (§ 103)	applying to yield predictable
Generally, 7:1 to 7:39	results, 7:27
Active ingredient, 7:12	improving similar devices,
Analogue of compound, attacking, 7:38	methods or products, 7:26
	Lansoprazole vs. raberprazole,
Benign factor in obviousness, 7:11 Breaking compound patents, 7:35	structural obviousness, 7:37
Chemical similarity	Lead compound analysis
generally, 7:18	generally, 7:35 , 7:36
homology, isomerism and	copying lead compound test,
structural similarity, 7:18	7:39
isomers and obviousness. 7:20	new lead formulation test, 7:39
structural obviousness of	pioglitazone case study, 7:36
chemical compounds, 7:19	Licensing by others, 7:14
Combination of references, 7:5	Long felt need for invention, 7:9
Combining prior art elements	Market forces, 7:31
according to known methods	Motivation, suggestion, teaching
to yield predictable results,	(MST) in prior art, 7:4, 7:32
7:24	Obvious to try
Commercial success, generic drug	generally, 7:28
infringement cases, 7:15	common sense, role of, 7:29

OBVIOUSNESS (§ 103)—Cont'd Obvious to try-Cont'd enantiomers, salt selection and extended release formulations, applicability to, 7:30 finite number of items, choosing from, 7:28 Person of ordinary skill in the art (POSITA), 7:33, 7:34 Pioglitazone case study, structural obviousness, 7:36 Praise and awards from third parties, 7:17 Predictable results combining prior art elements according to known methods to yield predictable results, 7:24 known techniques, applying to yield predictable results, 7:27 simple substitution of one known element for another to obtain predictable results, 7:25 Primary factors, 7:2 Prior art combining prior art elements according to known methods to yield predictable results, 7:24 flowing from prior art, 7:5 motivation, suggestion, teaching (MST), 7:4, 7:32 References combination of references, 7:5 from nature of problem to be solved. 7:6 Secondary indicia, 7:7 Simple substitution of one known element for another to obtain predictable results, 7:25 Skepticism by others and proof of nonobviousness, 7:16 Structural obviousness

Structural obviousness—Cont'd analogue of compound, attacking, 7:38 breaking compound patents, 7:35 chemical compounds, 7:19 lansoprazole vs. raberprazole, 7:37 lead compound analysis, 7:35, 7:36 pioglitazone case study, 7:36

OBVIOUSNESS (§ 103)—Cont'd

pioglitazone case study, **7:36**Third-party praise and awards, **7:17**Timing of inquire, **7:2**

Timing of inquiry, **7:3**Trivial variations, inventions more than, **7:1**Unexpected results of invention,

7:8

USPTO guidelines, 7:23

ORANGE BOOK

Over the counter (OTC) drugs, 37:2

ORANGE BOOK PATENT CERTIFICATIONS

ANDA certifications
amendments to pending ANDA
trigger obligations to
update certifications, 26:40

current RLD itself referred to previous RLD, **26:6**

data exclusivity and market exclusivity, difference between, 26:3.50

impact on ANDA approval, **26:7**

no Orange Book patent existing during NCE five year exclusivity, **26:4**

paragraph IV certification, adding in pending ANDA, 26:41

updating paragraph IV certification when changes occur to ANDA formulation, **26:37**

generally, 7:35 to 7:38

ORANGE BOOK PATENT CERTIFICATIONS—Cont'd

ANDA certifications—Cont'd when to file, **26:3**, **26:4**

Brand Drug Approval and Orange Book (this index)

Carve outs. Section viii statements, below

Combining paragraph I certification in lieu of section viii statement, **26:16**

Data exclusivity and market exclusivity, difference between, **26:3.50**

Declaratory judgment jurisdiction, Orange Book listing, **33:8**, **33:9**

Delisting patents from Orange Book

before NCE-1 date to thwart generic filings, **23:9**

date-certain forfeiture event, delisting to create, case studies, **29:9 to 29:11**

Exclusivity

data exclusivity and market exclusivity, difference between, 26:3.50

Paragraph IV Based 180-Day Exclusivity (this index)

FDA

tracking paragraph IV certifications on FDA web site, 26:36

updating on notice letters, **26:30** Hypothetical patent certifications, **26:8**

Mechanics of Orange Book patent certifications and notice letters, **26:1 et seq.**

New or rollover patents requiring new certifications, **26:31**, **26:32**

Notice letter. Paragraph IV certification and notice letter requirements, below

ORANGE BOOK PATENT CERTIFICATIONS—Cont'd

Offer for confidential access (OCA)

generally, 26:33

issues with Officer for Confidential Access, 26:35

where invalidity alleged, 26:34

Paragraph IV certification and notice letter requirements

generally, 26:17

antitrust injury, predicate to, **26:25**

appending letter to complaint, **26:24**

claims not normally listable, details on, **26:22**

content and sufficiency of notice letter, **26:20**

detail requirements, **26:21 to 26:23**

exclusivity (180-day), paragraph IV based. Paragraph IV Based 180-Day Exclusivity (this index)

filing split section viii carve outs and paragraph IV certifications in single patent, 26:38

form of letter of detailed statement, **26:23**

how to send notice letter, 26:26

identifying patents in notice letter, **26:19**

just one claim of just one patent, 26:18

pending ANDA, adding paragraph IV certification, **26:41**

sending letter before ANDA is officially submitted/ received, 26:29

sending letter not a waiver of privilege, **26:39**

Adding new para. IV certification,

immediate forfeiture, 29:19

ORANGE BOOK PATENT ORANGE BOOK PATENT CERTIFICATIONS—Cont'd CERTIFICATIONS—Cont'd Paragraph IV certification and Section viii statements-Cont'd notice letter requirements indications to unlisted patents, carving out, 26:13 -Cont'd tracking paragraph IV certificaomitting patented methods of tions on FDA web site, use, 26:9, 26:10 one and only indication, 26:12 updating FDA on notice letters, Sertraline, 26:11 26:30 suitability petitions, using to updating when changes occur to allow carve out, 26:15 use codes, patent infringement ANDA formulation, 26:37 and carve-outs, 26:10 when to send notice letter, 26:28 working example, 26:11 where to send notice letter, Thirty-month stay, frozen Orange 26:27 Book, 27:3 Patent certifications and Orange Tracking paragraph IV certifications on FDA web site, 26:36 Book listing Updating FDA on notice letters, generally, 26:2, 26:5 26:30 late listed patents, 26:5.50 Viagra case study, 26:8 paragraph I, II, III or IV certifications, 26:5 **ORPHAN DRUGS** pop up certifications, 26:5.50 Exclusivity, 23:15 Patent information and Orange OVER THE COUNTER (OTC) Book. Brand Drug **Approval and Orange Book DRUGS** (this index) Generally, **37:1 to 37:5** Reissue patents, 26:31, 26:32 ANDA filing against OTC NDA drug product, 37:3 Section viii statements Considerations for 180-day carve outs exclusivity in Rx to OTC generally, **26:11** switch, 37:6 filing split section viii carve Orange book, 37:2 outs and paragraph IV Prescription to OTC switches, certifications in single 37:4 patent, 26:38 ANDA commercial issues, 37:5 indications to unlisted patents, 26:13 **PAPER NDA** suitability petitions, using to Brand Drug Approval and allow carve out, **26:15 Orange Book** (this index) combining paragraph I certifica-PARAGRAPH IV BASED tion in lieu of section viii 180-DAY EXCLUSIVITY statement, 26:16 Generally, 28:1 to 28:19 currently unlisted method of use

patent, requesting listing

of, **26:14**

PARAGRAPH IV BASED 180-DAY EXCLUSIVITY —Cont'd	PARAGRAPH IV BASED 180-DAY EXCLUSIVITY —Cont'd
Against whom 180-day exclusivity applies, 28:3	Forfeiture of 180-day exclusivity —Cont'd
Court decision to trigger exclusivity now part of forfeiture scheme, 28:9.50 Creating 180-day exclusivity, 28:2	delisting Orange Book patents to create date-certain for- feiture event, case studies, 29:9 to 29:11
Delisting Orange Book patents to create date-certain forfeiture event, case studies, 29:9 to	estoppel effect of judgment, 29:6 expiration of patents
29:11	generally, 29:30
Failure to market generally, 29:3	multiple patents confer exclusivity, 29:31
forfeiture provisions, 29:3	failure to market, above
little (aa), 29:4 , 29:8	filing ANDA too early, 29:34 forfeiture provisions, 29:2
little (bb) generally, 29:5	general policy terms, 29:33
court decision triggering, 29:5.50 to 29:5.70, 31:12	immediate forfeiture, adding new para. IV certification, 29:19
New America Invents Act	intentional forfeiture, 29:35
procedures, 29:7 rationale for little (bb)'s	multiple patents confer exclusivity, 29:31
patent position vs. little (aa)'s regulatory position, 29:8	New America Invents Act procedures and little (bb), 29:7
no delisting and no litigation,	no rolling exclusivity, 29:29
29:12 thirty months to approval, 75 days to market, 29:4	settling lawsuits but maintaining paragraph IV to avoid forfeit, 29:13, 29:14
Forfeiture of 180-day exclusivity generally, 29:1 to 29:35	tentative approval, failure to obtain in 30 months
adding new para. IV certification, immediate forfeiture, 29:19	generally, 29:17 calculating 30 months, 29:20 et seq.
amendment of certification,	case study, 29:25
29:16 ANDA review backlog possibly	changed conditions, 29:26 , 29:27
causing forfeitures, 29:28 coercive agreements, 29:13, 29:14	citizen petitions, 29:26 concurrent qualification and forfeiture, 29:19
consequences for forfeiting applicant, 29:32	impact of GMP compliance, 29:18

generally, 2:6

PARAGRAPH IV BASED PARAGRAPH IV BASED 180-DAY EXCLUSIVITY 180-DAY EXCLUSIVITY —Cont'd -Cont'd Forfeiture of 180-day exclusivity Strategy to file with split paragraph III/IV, converting —Cont'd to paragraph IV later and tentative approval, failure to obtain in 30 months sharing co-exclusivity, 28:17 —Cont'd Tentative approval, failure to new FDASIA law, 29:21, obtain in 30 months. Forfei-29:22 ture of 180-day exclusivity, above no forfeiture due to changed Tracking 180-day exclusivity, conditions, 29:27 28:10 policy considerations, 29:24 Triggering 180-day clock vacation of judgment to avoid generally, 28:7 estoppel, 29:6 vested property rights, 29:33 court decision to trigger exclusivity now part of withdrawal of application, 29:15 forfeiture scheme, 28:9.50 Generics, authorized post-MMA rules, 28:9 generally, **28:12** pre-December 2003 MMA curbing by Medicaid Best Price rules, **28:8** law, 28:13 Waiver or relinquishment of Little (bb). Failure to market, exclusivity above generally, 28:14 Mechanics of selective waiver and joint exclusivity holders, 28:15 total relinquishment, 28:16 mechanics of selective waiver Medicaid best price law, 28:13 and total relinquishment, Natural expiration with underlying 28:16 patent, 28:6 multiple first applicants, 28:15 Patent-by-patent approach to PATENT BASICS patent certifications in pre-Generally, 2:1 to 2:11 MMA December 2003, 28:4 Pediatric exclusivity and ability to Application process, 2:2 obtain almost 12-months Body of claim, 2:9 exclusivity, 28:19 Claims Pop-up patents, effect on ANDA generally, 2:4 to 2:10 filers, 28:18 conclusion, 2:10 Product-by-product approach to importance of patent claims, 2:4 exclusivity, 28:5 organizational structure, below Reissue patents and new 180-day person of ordinary skill in the exclusivities, 28:11 art (POSITA), 2:5 Relinquishment. Waiver or Common statutory provisions, relinquishment of exclusivity, 2:11 below Importance of patent claims, 2:4 Settling lawsuits but maintaining Organizational structure of claim paragraph IV to avoid forfeit,

29:13, 29:14

INDEX

PATENT BASICS—Cont'd Organizational structure of claim	PHARMACEUTICAL PATENTS, COMMON TYPES—Cont'd
—Cont'd	Esters, 3:2
body of claim, 2:9	Formulations, 3:14
preamble, 2:7	Method of manufacture or process
transition phase, 2:8	claims, 3:16
Person of ordinary skill in the art	Methods of use, 3:15
(POSITA), 2:5	Polymorphs
Preamble, 2:7	generally, 3:9
Statutory provisions, 2:11	amorphous to crystal form
Structural organization of patent, 2:3	conversion and implications, 3:11
Transition phase, 2:8	Product-by-process claims
Types of patents. Pharmaceutical	generally, 3:17
Patents, Common Types, this index	infringement and invalidity, steps for, 3:18
Validity and Invalidity, Founda-	Release profiles, 3:19
tions of (this index)	Salts, 3:2
PEDIATRIC EXCLUSIVITY	Solvates, 3:2
Brand Side Exclusivities (this	Typical litigation issues in
index)	polymorphism, 3:12
PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL	POLYMORPHS
IN THE ART (POSITA)	Generally, 3:9
Generally, 2:5	Amorphous to crystal form
Obviousness and invalidity	conversion and implications,
generally, 7:33	3:11
ordinary skilled artisan not	PRESUMPTIONS
inventor, 7:34	DOE infringement, rebutting
PETITIONS	presumption of estoppel,
Citizen Petitions (this index)	17:11
Orange Book, using suitability	Equitable estoppel, 20:9
petitions to allow carve outs,	Laches, 20:7
26:15	Materiality of data, 19:19
Parallel patent litigation. Inter	PRIOR ART
Partes Review (this index)	Generally, 4:3 to 4:9
PHARMACEUTICAL PATENTS,	Burdens of proof, prior art
COMMON TYPES	considered or not considered
Generally, 3:1 to 3:19	by examiner
Base chemical/compound claims,	generally, 4:3 to 4:7
3:1	cited but not vetted, 4:6
Claim types in polymorphs, 3:10	fully presented and vetted, 4:5
Combination of APIs, 3:13	not cited, 4:7
Enantiomers (this index)	sources, 4:4

PRIOR ART—Cont'd

Claiming Priority Provisionals, Continuations and Divisionals (this index)

Doctrine of Equivalents Infringement (this index)

Inequitable Conduct (this index)
Obviousness (this index)

Practicing prior art defense to infringement, **4:8** replicating examples, inherent anticipation, **4:9**

PRIORITY

Claiming Priority Provisionals, Continuations and Divisionals (this index)

PROSECUTION HISTORY

Claim Construction in Patent Infringement (this index)

Estoppel. **Doctrine of Equivalents Infringement**(this index)

RECALL

Generic products in marketplace, 32.9

REFERENCE LISTED DRUG

Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) (this index)

REFUSAL TO RECEIVE (RTR)

Abbreviated New Drug Application (ANDA) (this index)

RELINQUISHMENT

Paragraph IV Based 180-Day Exclusivity (this index)

REMEDIES

ANDA and remedies for patent infringement impact of loss on ANDA approval status, 32:3 redating ANDA approval date generally, 32:3

REMEDIES—Cont'd

ANDA and remedies for patent infringement—Cont'd redating ANDA approval date —Cont'd infringing activities under § 271(a), (b) and (c), 32:4

old antibiotics, 32:5

Damages (this index)

Declaratory Judgment (this index)

Injunctive Relief (this index)

Potential remedies, **32:2**Recall of generic products in marketplace, **32:9**

ROYALTIES

Damages (this index)

SAFE HARBOR EXEMPTIONS

Generally, 21:1 to 21:14
Ancillary activities, 21:10
Chain of exemption
generally, 21:8 to 21:10
ancillary activities, 21:10
generic drug development, 21:9
Common law research exemption, 21:12

Congressional action on scope, effect of, 21:7

De minimis infringement, 21:12 Divisional applications, 14:14

Generic drug development

chain of exemption, **21:9** exemption not limited to, **21:13**

Genesis of exemption, 21:2

Hatch Waxman Act. 21:3

Information development and information submission to FDA, **21:4**

Inventory, stockpiling, 21:14

Medical devices, **21:6** Ostensibly unrelated activities,

stensibly unrelated activities **21:6**

Recourse for patent holders, 21:11

SAFE HARBOR EXEMPTIONS SUBJECT MATTER AND -Cont'd UTILITY (§ 101)—Cont'd Research exemption, common Diagnostic claims treatment, sumlaw, 21:12 mary, 5:16 Roche v. Bolar, 21:2 Diagnostics v. method claims, Scope of exemption 5:15 generally, 21:3 Litigation strategies for § 101 invalidity, 5:13 ancillary activities, 21:10 chain of exemption, 21:8 to Mayo two-part test, 5:2 21:10 Method of preparation claims, congressional action on scope, summary, **5:18** effect of, 21:7 Method of treatment claims, sumgeneric drug development, 21:9 mary, 5:17 medical devices, 21:6 Organizing or analyzing informaostensibly unrelated activities, tion, pharmaceuticals and 21:6 concepts related to, 5:8 reasonable scope, 21:5 to 21:10 Patentable subject matter Stockpiling inventory, 21:14 generally, 5:1 et seq. Mayo two-part test, 5:2 **SAMPLES** ANDA, patent issues in samples, pharmaceutical patent subject 25:51 matter, below printed matter doctrine, 5:3, 5:4 **SECTION 112** Pharmaceutical patents **Best Mode** (this index) generally, 5:5 et seq. Dependent and means plus func-USPTO guidelines, **5:6** tion claims, 12:1, 12:3 Pharmaceutical patent subject Dependent claim infringement and matter invalidity, 12:2 data comparisons with mental **Enablement** (this index) steps or analogous human **Indefiniteness** (this index) mental work, **Written Description** (this index) pharmaceuticals and concepts related to, 5:7 **SPECIES** organizing or analyzing infor-Genus and Species (this index) mation, pharmaceuticals **STAYS** and concepts related to, 5:8 Thirty-Month Stay, this index selected cases, 5:14 SUBJECT MATTER AND Pharmaceutical patent utility UTILITY (§ 101) generally, **5:9 to 5:12** Generally, 5:1 to 5:18 enablement, 5:11 Data comparisons with mental priority application dates, steps or analogous human benefits of, 5:12 mental work, pharmaceuticals and concepts specific methods of use of related to, 5:7 compound, 5:10

THIRTY-MONTH STAY—Cont'd

patentee delays, 27:9

UTILITY (§ 101)—Cont'd NCE exclusivity, extending stay to Printed matter doctrine year 7.5, 27:26 New stays, earning generally, **5:3** two-part test, 5:4 generally, 27:18 to 27:23 December 2003 rules, 27:20 USPTO guidelines for pharma patents, 5:6 pop-up (newly issued) patents, 27:21 TENTATIVE APPROVAL reformulation, 27:22 Abbreviated New Drug Applicarepetitive stays under old rules, tion (ANDA) (this index) 27:19 Failure to obtain in 30 months, working examples, 27:23 forfeiture of 180-day Notifying FDA of lawsuit, 27:4 exclusivity. Paragraph IV Patentee delays, 27:9 **Based 180-Day Exclusivity** Pop-up (newly issued) patents, (this index) effect of, 27:21 THIRTY-MONTH STAY Oualifying patents, 27:3 Generally, 27:1 to 27:27 Reformulation and new stay, Cases extending or shortening 27:22 stay, 27:13, 27:15 Reinstatement after wrongful Counting days, 27:2 termination, 27:11 Court decision Repetitive stays under old rules, court made no decision, stay 27:19 extended, 27:10 Shortening stay, 27:15 stay of decision pending appeal Staggered expirations to maintain 30-month stay generally, 27:24 to 27:27 intact, 23:8, 27:25 extending stay to year 7.5 after termination of stay, 27:6 NCE exclusivity, 27:26 Creating stay, 27:2 inter partes review, pending, December 2003 rules, earning impact on stays, 27:27 stays under, **27:20** multiple applicants 30-month Delays, effect of, 27:17 stay, 27:24 Extending stay stay of court decision pending cases extending stay, 27:13 appeal to maintain 30-month stay intact, 23:8, court made no decision, 27:10 27:25 generic company filed too early. Stay not altered despite request, request to elongate stay to 27:16 avoid forfeiture, 27:14 Termination of stay lack of cooperation, 27:12 generally, 27:5 to 27:9 Frozen Orange Book, 27:3 appeal, generic company wins, Inter partes review, pending, 27:8 impact on stays, 27:27 court decision, 27:6 Lack of cooperation, lengthening or shortening due to, 27:12 normal termination, 27:5

Missing 45-day window, 27:17

SUBJECT MATTER AND

INDEX

THIRTY-MONTH STAY—Cont'd

Termination of stay—Cont'd reinstatement after wrongful termination, 27:11 staggered expirations, above trial level, generic company wins, 27:7

Working examples, 27:23

TYPES OF PATENTS

Pharmaceutical Patents, Common Types (this index)

UNENFORCEABILITY OF PATENT

Inequitable Conduct (this index)

USPTO

Fraud on Patent Office, 19:1
Guidelines
obviousness, 7:23
pharma patents, 5:6
Utopian-world patent issuance in
USPTO, 6:10

UTILITY

Subject Matter and Utility (this index)

VALIDITY AND INVALIDITY, FOUNDATIONS OF

Generally, **4:1 to 4:12**Claim construction breadth and invalidity, **4:2**

Prior Art (this index)

WAIVER

Paragraph IV Based 180-Day Exclusivity (this index)

WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT

Enhanced damages and willful infringement. **Damages** (this index)

WRITTEN DESCRIPTION (§ 112)

Generally, 10:1 to 10:8

Breaking chains of priority to invalidate later patents, **10:9**

Broad claim construction leading to written description invalidity, **10:6**

Genus and species, written description support, **13:4**

Mechanics of written description challenge, **10:7**

Negative limitations in claims and specification support, **10:8**

Patent invalidity theories, 10:4

Section 112(a) (pre-AIA § 112, first paragraph), **10:2**

Specification

negative limitations in claims and specification support, 10:8

ranges in specification, 10:5 role of, 10:1

When specification catalogs lists of elements and claim plucks out elements, 10:10

Written description, generally, **10:3**