

# Table of Contents

## Volume 1

### LAW AND FORMS

#### PART I. THE RISE OF MIST

#### CHAPTER 1. THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY TAKES AIM

##### I. IN GENERAL

- § 1:1 General overview of the insurance industry's agenda
- § 1:2 The origins of Colossus
- § 1:3 Increasing profits by focusing on attorney represented claimants
- § 1:4 Painting “soft tissue” claims with the broad brush marked “fraudulent”
- § 1:5 —Questionable causation
- § 1:6 —Farmers’ monitoring of Colossus
- § 1:7 Court isn’t impressed with Colossus
- § 1:8 Guarding their big secret
- § 1:9 From “Good Hands” to “Boxing Gloves”
- § 1:10 McKinsey & Company, CCPR, and the zero sum game
- § 1:11 McKinsey & Company agenda
- § 1:12 Chasing the McKinsey documents
- § 1:13 Turning the tide

##### II. FORMS

- § 1:14 Complaint for damages, bad faith and declaratory relief
- § 1:15 Discovery requests
- § 1:16 Motion to compel
- § 1:17 Declaration in support of motion to compel
- § 1:18 Motion to compel reply
- § 1:19 Motion for protective order
- § 1:20 Opposition to motion for protective order
- § 1:21 Declaration in opposition to motion for protective order
- § 1:22 Order for discovery
- § 1:23 Motion for reconsideration

- § 1:24 Opposition to motion for reconsideration
- § 1:25 Order denying reconsideration
- § 1:26 Motion to stay enforcement
- § 1:27 Motion for emergency stay
- § 1:28 Response to motion for emergency stay
- § 1:29 Motion for discretionary review
- § 1:30 Opposition to motion for discretionary review
- § 1:31 Reply regarding discretionary review

## **CHAPTER 2. OVERVIEW OF COLOSSUS**

- § 2:1 Just the facts
- § 2:2 An inside look at Colossus
- § 2:3 Tuning Colossus
- § 2:4 Sample Colossus assessment
- § 2:5 CSC publicly defends Colossus

## **CHAPTER 3. THE COLOSSUS BIAS AGAINST “NONDEMONSTRABLE” INJURIES**

- § 3:1 Creating the assumption of malingering
- § 3:2 Acknowledging but discounting the fact and mechanism  
of a musculoligamentous injury of the neck
- § 3:3 The difficulty in diagnosing musculoligamentous  
injuries of the neck
- § 3:4 The burden on the claimant

## **CHAPTER 4. COLOSSUS IN USE**

- § 4:1 Description of the process
- § 4:2 Dissection of the claim to feed Colossus

## **CHAPTER 5. THE ROLE OF THE ADJUSTER**

- § 5:1 Transformation of the “MIST” adjuster
- § 5:2 Colossus training examples for the adjuster
- § 5:3 Unrepresented segment claims handling
- § 5:4 Auditing its own adjusters—A former anonymous  
Allstate adjuster’s tale
- § 5:5 Farmers transforms its adjusters

## **CHAPTER 6. RESTRUCTURING LITIGATION MANAGEMENT**

- § 6:1 The theory behind MIST

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:2 Litigation consultants
- § 6:3 Defense counsel
- § 6:4 Legal teams
- § 6:5 Legal maneuvers
- § 6:6 Special investigation unit tactics
- § 6:7 A former staff counsel's tale

## **CHAPTER 7. THE ATTORNEY'S MISSION**

- § 7:1 Donning battle gear
- § 7:2 Case study
- § 7:3 The battle plan

## **CHAPTER 8. INTAKE CRITERIA**

### **I. INTAKE PROCESS**

- § 8:1 Introduction
- § 8:2 Initial contact
- § 8:3 Developing intake criteria—Examine recent, successful trial and appellate court outcomes

### **II. FORMS**

- § 8:4 Auto collision—Telephone intake form
- § 8:5 —Basic inquiry data form
- § 8:6 —Detailed inquiry data form
- § 8:7 —Prospective client letter for intake form

## **CHAPTER 9. INITIAL CLIENT MEETING**

### **I. CREATING A RELATIONSHIP WITH THE CLIENT**

- § 9:1 The need for debriefing
- § 9:2 Use the initial client interview to assess your client's credibility and begin formulating the damages case
- § 9:3 Explaining the MIST misnomer
- § 9:4 Formalizing the relationship
- § 9:5 Managing the client's social media

### **II. FORMS**

- § 9:6 Contingency fee retainer agreement
- § 9:7 Initial client letter
- § 9:8 Enclosure—List of instructions and suggestions

## **CHAPTER 10. PRELIMINARY INSURANCE MATTERS\***

### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 10:1 Identification of applicable insurance
- § 10:2 The insurance interaction reality
- § 10:3 Initial contacts

### **II. FORMS**

- § 10:4 Initial letter to first party carrier (PIP/MedPay)
- § 10:5 Initial letter to first party carrier (UM/UIM)
- § 10:6 Initial letter to third party carrier (BI)
- § 10:7 Letter to third party carrier—Transfer out of MIST program

## **CHAPTER 11. INVESTIGATING THE CLAIM**

- § 11:1 In general
- § 11:2 Insurance computer directed investigation
- § 11:3 Strategy for plaintiff investigation

## **CHAPTER 12. ICD—9 AND CPT CODES**

- § 12:1 Overview
- § 12:2 ICD—9 CM
- § 12:3 —Rubric and conventions
- § 12:4 —Classifications
- § 12:5 — —Alphabetic index
- § 12:6 — —Tabular list
- § 12:7 CPT codes
- § 12:8 Insurance application of ICD—9 and CPT codes
- § 12:9 Chiropractor use of codes
- § 12:10 Conclusion

## **CHAPTER 13. SETTLEMENT OVERTURES**

### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 13:1 When “negotiation” stands for “take it or leave it”
- § 13:2 Feeding Colossus
- § 13:3 Plaintiff’s settlement overtures
- § 13:4 Damages discussion in demand letters
- § 13:5 Electronic settlement demands
- § 13:6 When the insurer does not cooperate
- § 13:7 Synopsis of settlement related steps

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 13:8 Making any settlement binding
- § 13:9 General do's and don'ts of negotiation

## II. FORMS

- § 13:10 Initial letter to tortfeasor's insurer
- § 13:11 Sample pre-suit settlement demand
- § 13:12 Sample demand letter illustrating ways to emphasize  
how accident affects important aspects of your  
client's life
- § 13:13 Electronic settlement demand. Sample
- § 13:14 Reminder letter

# Volume 2

## CHAPTER 14. PERMANENT IMPAIRMENT AS A VALUE DRIVER

- § 14:1 Using permanent impairment ratings to increase  
Colossus assessments
- § 14:2 Spinal impairment ratings
- § 14:3 Pain impairment ratings

## CHAPTER 15. FILING THE LAWSUIT

### I. IN GENERAL

- § 15:1 Introduction
- § 15:2 Outlining the facts
- § 15:3 Outlining causes of action
- § 15:4 Creative twists

### II. FORMS

- § 15:5 Simple complaint—Rear end collision—Clear liability
- § 15:6 —Collision with vehicle travelling in same direction
- § 15:7 —General allegations
- § 15:8 Complaint—agency—Rear end collision—Clear liability
- § 15:9 Complaint—Multiple defendants—Property damage—  
Rear end collision
- § 15:10 Complaint fact section—Intersection collision
- § 15:11 Sample complaint—Undermining comparative  
negligence defense
- § 15:12 Sample motion for summary judgment of liability and  
to eliminate comparative negligence defense
- § 15:13 Complaint for damages—Crossing center line

- § 15:14 Complaint for negligence—Pedestrian struck by vehicle
- § 15:15 Complaint for damages—Minor defendant, family car doctrine
- § 15:16 —Multiple tortfeasors and first party insurance carrier
- § 15:17 —Multiple collisions
- § 15:18 —Bus passengers
- § 15:19 Complaint for wrongful death—Inadequate highway design
- § 15:20 Complaint for damages—Rear end collision by truck—Against truck driver and trucking company/owner; *Seely v. Archuleta* and *ABF Freight Systems, Inc*
- § 15:21 Answer to complaint for damages by truck driver and trucking company/owner; *Seely v. Archuleta* and *ABF Freight Systems, Inc*
- § 15:22 Final judgment against truck driver and trucking company/owner; *Seely v. Archuleta* and *ABF Freight Systems, Inc*
- § 15:23 Complaint for damages and summary of case and award—Rear end collision with car in turning lane; *Taylor v. Progressive County Mutual Insurance Company and Lemaire*
- § 15:24 Amended complaint for damages—Adding insurance company as defendant for purposes of uninsured/underinsured coverage; *Taylor v. Progressive County Mutual Insurance Company and Lemaire*
- § 15:25 Answer of defendant with affirmative defenses; *Taylor v. Progressive County Mutual Insurance Company and Lemaire*

## CHAPTER 16. SERVICE OF PROCESS

### I. IN GENERAL

- § 16:1 Introduction
- § 16:2 Notify the insurance company
- § 16:3 Serve according to statute
- § 16:4 Confront the problem of statute of limitations and missing defendants

### II. FORMS

- § 16:5 Service of process status log
- § 16:6 Motion to allow CR 30(b)(6) of insurance company
- § 16:7 Deposition notice pursuant to CR 30(b)(6)
- § 16:8 Requests for admission—Identification and location of defendant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 16:9 Sample—Motion to allow service by other means

## **CHAPTER 17. PROPOUNDING INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION**

### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 17:1 First discovery requests
- § 17:2 Ensuring that discovery is timely answered
- § 17:3 Follow up discovery requests

### **II. FORMS**

- § 17:4 First interrogatories and requests for production
- § 17:5 Plaintiff's first request for production of documents to defendant-alternative form
- § 17:6 Plaintiff's first interrogatories and requests for production to defendant. Short form sample mandated by court rules
- § 17:7 Plaintiff's first interrogatories to defendant
- § 17:8 Plaintiff's second interrogatories and requests for production to defendant

## **CHAPTER 18. ADMISSIONS**

### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 18:1 Generally
- § 18:2 Addressing jurisdictional matters of concern
- § 18:3 Liability
- § 18:4 Damages
- § 18:5 Insurance coverage

### **II. FORMS**

- § 18:6 Sample admissions re liability and general matters
- § 18:7 Sample admissions re special injuries and damages, potential excess
- § 18:8 Plaintiff's first request for admissions—Pedestrian struck by vehicle
- § 18:9 Brief in support of excluding evidence of past medical expenses

## **CHAPTER 19. CONDUCTING AND DEFENDING DEPOSITIONS**

### **I. TAKING THE DEPOSITION OF DEFENDANT AND DEFENSE WITNESSES**

- § 19:1 Deciding whom to depose
- § 19:2 Preparing for the deposition
- § 19:3 Using the outline to conduct the deposition
- § 19:4 Depositions of entities
- § 19:5 Deposing the defendant's insurance claims adjuster

### **II. DEFENDING THE DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF WITNESSES**

- § 19:6 General considerations
- § 19:7 Strategy

### **III. FORMS**

- § 19:8 Deposition outline of defendant
- § 19:9 Deposition outline—Miscellaneous expert
- § 19:10 Deposition of defendant's insurer following futile attempt at service on defendant
- § 19:11 Deposition notice to defendant's insurance claims adjusters
- § 19:12 Deposition outline to prepare plaintiff and lay witnesses
- § 19:13 Deposition outline for plaintiff's physical therapist

## **CHAPTER 20. DEMONSTRATIVE VIDEO DOCTOR DEPOSITIONS**

- § 20:1 Generally
- § 20:2 Streamlining the deposition
- § 20:3 Putting the show together
- § 20:4 —Deposition with slides
- § 20:5 —Deposition with model and needle
- § 20:6 Editing the video

## **CHAPTER 21. SOCIAL MEDIA AND DISCOVERY**

### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 21:1 Social media is growing and subject to discovery



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 21:2	Social media—Informal investigation and discovery; Duty to investigate
§ 21:3	—Informal investigation and discovery; Duty to avoid deception /no-contact rule
§ 21:4	—Spoliation issues and advising clients on social media usage
§ 21:5	—Formal discovery
§ 21:6	—Methods of obtaining social media information; Subpoenas or nonparty requests for production of documents to social media service providers
§ 21:7	—Methods of obtaining social media information; Interrogatories and document requests to parties
§ 21:8	Social media and privacy
§ 21:9	Social media discovery—Relevance and scope
§ 21:10	Practical considerations and the proper weight of social media evidence

## II. FORMS

§ 21:11	Order in <i>Gatto v. United Air Lines, Inc.</i> , et al., 10-CV-1090-ES-SCM (D.N.J. 2013), sanctioning plaintiff in personal injury suit with jury instruction authorizing adverse inference for failing to preserve Facebook account, and for intentional destruction of evidence
§ 21:12	Order in <i>Hawkins v. College of Charleston</i> , 2:12-CV-384-DCN (D.S.C. 2013), adopting magistrate's report and recommendation denying defendant's motion to dismiss for spoliation
§ 21:13	Social Media Do's and Don'ts for Clients from attorney Ken Shigley of Atlanta, Georgia
§ 21:14	Order in <i>McMillen v. Hummingbird Speedway, Inc.</i> , No. 113-2010CD (Pa. Ct. Com. Pl. Sept. 9, 2010), determining no expectation of privacy in postings to a social media site before ordering that the plaintiff turn over his user ID and password information
§ 21:15	Defendant's motion to compel and supporting brief; <i>Davenport v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company</i>
§ 21:16	Plaintiff's memorandum in opposition to motion to compel; <i>Davenport v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company</i>
§ 21:17	Order in <i>Lamir v. Needham</i> , 16-2011-CA-0124CV-A (2011), considering request for production of any and all of plaintiff's Facebook postings made at any time in connection with a personal injury lawsuit, and concluding request overbroad and not limited to relevant information

- § 21:18 Order in *Keller v. National Farmers Union Prop. & Cas. Co.*, rejecting overly broad request for production for failure to meet the threshold requirement for obtaining disclosures to social media accounts
- § 21:19 Defendants' motion to compel authorizations and memorandum in support; *Tompkins v. Detroit Metro. Airport*
- § 21:20 Plaintiff's response to defendants' motion to compel authorizations; *Tompkins v. Detroit Metro. Airport*

## **PART II. DEFENSE MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS**

### **CHAPTER 22. NOTHING “INDEPENDENT” ABOUT IT**

- § 22:1 Introduction
- § 22:2 Cost containment vendors
- § 22:3 Cost containment propaganda

### **CHAPTER 22A. EVIDENCE FROM SMART DEVICES**

- § 22A:1 Evidence from smart devices—What was your client wearing, using at the time of their accident?
- § 22A:2 —Wearable smart devices can play an play integral roles in soft tissue cases—GPS data
- § 22A:3 — —Find witnesses
- § 22A:4 — —Showing client's health at the time of the accident, before/after accident
- § 22A:5 — —Not always helpful
- § 22A:6 —Increase in cost still an issue to be resolved
- § 22A:7 —Always ask because you need to know
- § 22A:8 Telemetrics

### **CHAPTER 23. THE DEFENSE MEDICAL EXAM**

#### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 23:1 Arranging the exam
- § 23:2 Preparing the plaintiff
- § 23:3 Attending the exam
- § 23:4 Videotaping the exam

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### II. FORMS

- § 23:5 Sample letter—Personal injury protection/medical pay—Involuntary insurance medical exam
- § 23:6 —Defense medical examination
- § 23:7 —Defense medical exam—Client preparation
- § 23:8 Sample objection to motion to compel CR 35 exam
- § 23:9 Sample order re motion to compel CR 35 exam
- § 23:10 Sample letter to client—Preparation for DME
- § 23:11 Sample motion/supporting memorandum of law for protective order requiring videotaping in the presence of counsel and other relief in response to defendant's notice of DME

## CHAPTER 24. DECONSTRUCTING THE DEFENSE MEDICAL EXAMINER

### I. IN GENERAL

- § 24:1 The exam
- § 24:2 The deposition
- § 24:3 Preparing to impeach by demonstrating bias and/or motive of the defense medical examiner
- § 24:4 The trial

### II. FORMS

- § 24:5 Sample subpoena duces tecum. Defense medical examiner
- § 24:6 Outline. Deposition defense medical examiner
- § 24:7 Sample motion to exclude. Defense medical examiner
- § 24:8 Sample outline trial cross examination—Defense medical examiner

## CHAPTER 25. MALINGERING DEFENSES AND CROSS-EXAMINING THE DEFENSE DOCTOR IN MINIMUM IMPACT, SOFT TISSUE CASES

- § 25:1 Typical defenses—Collision too mild to cause traumatic brain injury
- § 25:2 —No loss of consciousness
- § 25:3 —Emergency room did not diagnose it
- § 25:4 —Normal brain scans
- § 25:5 —Normal GCS
- § 25:6 Experts—Neuropsychologists

- § 25:7 —Psychiatrists
- § 25:8 —Neurologists
- § 25:9 Suggestions for experts
- § 25:10 Malingering—Defined
- § 25:11 —Why administer malingering tests and types of malingering tests
- § 25:12 —Problems with allegations of malingering

## **CHAPTER 26. ALL YOU NEED TO KNOW TO GO TOE-TO-TOE AGAINST THE DEFENSE MEDICAL EXAMINER**

- § 26:1 Strategies to achieve successful results by impeaching the defense medical examiner at deposition or trial
- § 26:2 The defense medical examiner profile and resulting credibility gap
- § 26:3 Learn to identify, explore and exploit the defense medical examiner credibility gap—Board certification and appointment titles
- § 26:4 —Always videotape the defense medical examination
- § 26:5 —The defense medical examination report
- § 26:6 Ten important strategies to reveal and exploit the defense medical examiner credibility gap
- § 26:7 About the author of this chapter

## **PART III. DEFENSE BIOMECHANICS**

### **CHAPTER 27. INTRODUCTION**

- § 27:1 A basic understanding
- § 27:2 Sample biomechanic report

### **CHAPTER 28. DEALING WITH THE BIOMECHANIC**

#### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 28:1 Motions to exclude
- § 28:2 Motion to exclude—Biomechanic testimony is irrelevant and misleading for determining injury causation
- § 28:3 Cross examination

#### **II. FORMS**

- § 28:4 Sample motion to exclude biomechanic

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 28:5 Motion to exclude—Biomechanic; Stedman v. Cooper
- § 28:6 Evolving motions to exclude
- § 28:7 Sample cross examination outline—Biomechanic
- § 28:8 Deposing the Biomechanical Engineer

## **PART IV. PRETRIAL MOTION PRACTICE**

### **CHAPTER 29. CONTROLLING THE TENOR**

- § 29:1 Introduction
- § 29:2 Motions

### **CHAPTER 30. DEVELOPING A DATABASE**

#### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 30:1 Introduction
- § 30:2 Motion for default
- § 30:3 Amendment of complaint
- § 30:4 Compelling discovery
- § 30:5 Quashing discovery
- § 30:6 Summary judgment
- § 30:7 Motion to strike
- § 30:8 Summation

#### **II. FORMS**

- § 30:9 Motion for default
- § 30:10 Motion for leave to amend complaint
- § 30:11 Motion to compel discovery
- § 30:12 Plaintiffs motion to compel FRCP 30(b)(6) deposition of insurance company
- § 30:13 Plaintiff's motion for issuance of commission to take deposition testimony in a foreign jurisdiction
- § 30:14 Order for issuance of commission motion for issuance of commission to take deposition testimony in a foreign jurisdiction
- § 30:15 Commission to take deposition testimony in a foreign jurisdiction
- § 30:16 Petition and order to register foreign instrument and issue subpoena to take deposition testimony in a foreign jurisdiction
- § 30:17 Subpoena duces tecum to take deposition testimony in a foreign jurisdiction test
- § 30:18 Motion to quash subpoena to personal injury protection/medical pay insurer

- § 30:19 Plaintiff's motion to quash subpoena duces tecum for family bank account records
- § 30:20 Declaration in support of plaintiff's motion to quash subpoena duces tecum for family bank account records
- § 30:21 Proposed order granting plaintiff's motion to quash subpoena duces tecum for family bank account records
- § 30:22 Plaintiff's opposition to motion for examination by a vocational counselor
- § 30:23 Motion for summary judgment re liability
- § 30:24 Motion for partial summary judgment as to liability—Crossing centerline
- § 30:25 Plaintiffs motion for summary judgment—Failure to yield ROW at traffic signal
- § 30:26 Memorandum of law in support of plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment on liability; Taylor v. Progressive County Mutual Insurance Company
- § 30:27 Motion for summary judgment. Reasonable and necessary medical expenses
- § 30:28 Sample declaration of health care provider
- § 30:29 Motion to strike designation late expert
- § 30:30 Plaintiff's opposition to UIM insurer's motion to intervene
- § 30:31 Proposed order denying plaintiff's UIM insurer's motion to intervene
- § 30:32 Brief in support of excluding evidence of past medical expenses

## **PART V. THIRTY DAYS BEFORE TRIAL**

### **CHAPTER 31. ESTABLISHING THE CREDIBILITY OF YOUR CLIENT**

#### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 31:1 Client credibility the key to damages in every soft tissue case
- § 31:2 Simple ways to boost your client's credibility—The initial client interview
- § 31:3 —Work history
- § 31:4 —Time off from work
- § 31:5 —Activities and pastimes
- § 31:6 —Medical treatment received by client
- § 31:7 How to use common defenses against defense lawyers to enhance your client's credibility

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 31:8 —No complaint of injury at the scene
- § 31:9 —No treatment from an emergency room
- § 31:10 —Minor property damage
- § 31:11 —Photos of property damage
- § 31:12 —Delay in starting treatment
- § 31:13 —Gaps in treatment
- § 31:14 —Client did not miss time from work
- § 31:15 —Pre-existing injury
- § 31:16 Client credibility the key to success in trial of every soft tissue injury case

## II. FORMS

- § 31:17 Sample motion in limine to exclude use of photographs in low impact crashes

## CHAPTER 32. THE TRIAL NOTEBOOK

### I. IN GENERAL

- § 32:1 Introduction
- § 32:2 To do
- § 32:3 Trial orders, motions, and briefs
- § 32:4 Summary judgment
- § 32:5 Evidence
- § 32:6 Voir dire
- § 32:7 Opening
- § 32:8 Plaintiff, generally
- § 32:9 —Plaintiff witnesses
- § 32:10 Defendant, generally
- § 32:11 —Defendant witnesses
- § 32:12 Jury instructions
- § 32:13 Closing
- § 32:14 Objections
- § 32:15 Law
- § 32:16 Pleading

### II. FORMS

- § 32:17 Trial notebook divider format
- § 32:18 Sample—Plaintiff witness chart—Blank
- § 32:19 Sample plaintiff witness chart
- § 32:20 Trial flow chart. Blank
- § 32:21 Sample—Trial flow chart
- § 32:22 Evidence chart. Blank
- § 32:23 Sample—Evidence chart

- § 32:24 Voir dire chart—Blank
- § 32:25 Sample voir dire chart

## **CHAPTER 33. DO IT YOURSELF FOCUS GROUPS**

### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 33:1 Introduction
- § 33:2 Predominant issues
- § 33:3 Protocol

### **II. FORMS**

- § 33:4 Focus group participant form
- § 33:5 Focus group post presentation form
- § 33:6 Focus group plaintiff evaluation form
- § 33:7 Focus group discussion questions
- § 33:8 Focus group verdict form

## **CHAPTER 34. PREPARING WITNESSES**

- § 34:1 The plaintiff
- § 34:2 The emotional plaintiff
- § 34:3 The stoic plaintiff
- § 34:4 Additional preparation
- § 34:5 —Videotaped examination of plaintiff
- § 34:6 Lay witnesses
- § 34:7 Expert witnesses including health care providers
- § 34:8 Focus Group Evaluation Form

## **PART VI. TRIAL**

## **CHAPTER 35. TRIAL BRIEF**

### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 35:1 Introduction
- § 35:2 MIST considerations

### **II. FORMS**

- § 35:3 Sample trial brief
- § 35:4 Sample plaintiffs' trial brief; Polyefko et al. v. Faigh-Bruno, et al., Docket No. 14-2-21745-5 KNT
- § 35:5 Sample defendants' trial brief; Polyefko et al. v. Faigh-Bruno, Docket No. 14-2-21745-5 KNT



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 35:6 Findings of fact and conclusions of law after bench trial in *Polyefko et al. v. Faigh-Bruno, et al.*, Judge Andrea Darvas, Docket No. 14-2-21745-5 KNT
- § 35:7 Stipulation for issuance of case scheduling order

## CHAPTER 36. MOTIONS IN LIMINE

### I. IN GENERAL

- § 36:1 Introduction
- § 36:2 MIST considerations

### II. FORMS

- § 36:3 Sample motion in limine
- § 36:4 —Order
- § 36:5 Sample plaintiff's memorandum of law in support of motion in limine to exclude evidence of property damage; Testimony, photographs, repair records
- § 36:6 Sample plaintiff's motions in limine and supporting memorandum to exclude certain evidence and arguments; Prior injury or medical treatment, other incidents or accidents that caused new or separate injuries, other issues
- § 36:7 Sample plaintiff's memorandum of law in support of motion in limine to preclude defendant's biomechanical engineer from testifying on medical causation of injury (*Frye standard*)
- § 36:8 Sample motion in limine to exclude evidence of long ago accident and supporting brief
- § 36:9 Sample motion in limine to exclude defense testimony/comments that plaintiff is malingering or attempting to make some type of financial or secondary gain
- § 36:10 Sample motion in limine to exclude statements prejudicial to MIST cases and supporting brief
- § 36:11 Order granting motion in limine to exclude statements prejudicial to MIST cases
- § 36:12 Sample plaintiff's omnibus motions in limine; *Seastrand v. Khoury, et al.*
- § 36:13 Plaintiff's motion *in limine* and supporting arguments

## CHAPTER 37. JURY INSTRUCTIONS

### I. IN GENERAL

- § 37:1 Generally

- § 37:2 Typical inclusions
- § 37:3 Drafting instructions
- § 37:4 Arguing jury instructions to the court

## II. FORMS

- § 37:5 Sample jury instruction—Cover page
- § 37:6 —Court’s cover page
- § 37:7 —Introduction
- § 37:8 —Direct and circumstantial evidence
- § 37:9 —Duty
- § 37:10 —Negligence
- § 37:11 —Duty of following driver
- § 37:12 —Speed
- § 37:13 —Proximate cause
- § 37:14 —Summary of claims
- § 37:15 —Burden of proof
- § 37:16 —Pre-existing condition
- § 37:17 —Expert witness
- § 37:18 —Chiropractor
- § 37:19 —Measure of damages
- § 37:20 —Mortality table
- § 37:21 —Deposition
- § 37:22 —Retiring
- § 37:23 —Special verdict
- § 37:24 Plaintiff’s requested jury instructions; *Taylor v. Progressive County Mutual Insurance Company and LeMaire*
- § 37:25 Plaintiff’s annotated proposed jury instructions; *Beck v. State Farm Mutual Auto. Ins. Co*

## CHAPTER 38. *VOIR DIRE*

### I. IN GENERAL

- § 38:1 Legal foundation
- § 38:2 Concepts to remember for meaningful voir dire
- § 38:3 Questioning techniques and tactics for meaningful voir dire in MIST cases
- § 38:4 Case study
- § 38:5 Challenging the jury, generally
- § 38:6 —Preemptory challenges
- § 38:7 —Challenges for cause
- § 38:8 — —Mistrial based on responses

### II. FORMS

- § 38:9 Voir dire discussion point outline

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 38:10 General voir dire questions
- § 38:11 Challenge for cause formula process of getting the bite
- § 38:12 Plaintiff's submission of proposed voir dire questions; Seely v. Archuleta and ABF Freight Systems, Inc
- § 38:13 Defendants' submission of proposed voir dire questions; Seely v. Archuleta and ABF Freight Systems, Inc
- § 38:14 Plaintiff's memorandum of law regarding jury selection in personal injury cases—Confronting issues presented by jury bias

## CHAPTER 39. OPENING STATEMENT

### I. IN GENERAL

- § 39:1 Purpose
- § 39:2 Legal content
- § 39:3 Basic outline
- § 39:4 Themes
- § 39:5 Delivery

### II. FORMS

- § 39:6 Sample opening
- § 39:7 —Intersection collision case

## CHAPTER 40. PRESENTING PLAINTIFF'S CASE IN CHIEF

### I. IN GENERAL

- § 40:1 Introduction
- § 40:2 Structuring the case
- § 40:3 Interrogating the defendant
- § 40:4 Questioning the plaintiff
- § 40:5 Lay witnesses
- § 40:6 Health care providers

### II. FORMS

- § 40:7 Notice of trial attendance
- § 40:8 Subpoena for trial
- § 40:9 Outline—Sample direct examination of defendant (mix and match order)
- § 40:10 Sample—Outline direct examination plaintiff (mix and match order)

- § 40:11 —Outline direct examination lay witness
- § 40:12 —Outline direct examination—Treating health care provider (mix and match order)

## **CHAPTER 41. COUNTERING THE DEFENSE**

### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 41:1 Introduction
- § 41:2 On matters of civility
- § 41:3 Working knowledge of objections
- § 41:4 Common dilemmas

### **II. FORMS**

- § 41:5 Objection quick reference sheet (for inclusion in trial notebook)

## **CHAPTER 42. EVIDENTIARY ISSUES REGARDING MEDICAL LIENS AND LIEN FACTORING COMPANIES**

- § 42:1 Introduction
- § 42:2 Medical liens and medical funding companies; Background
- § 42:3 Medical liens and medical funding companies; Trial impacts of business model
- § 42:4 Evidentiary issues under collateral source rule when medical liens and MFCs are involved
- § 42:5 States without collateral source rule; Generally allow MFC evidence
- § 42:6 States with collateral source rule; Scope of rule determines admissibility of MFC evidence
- § 42:7 States with collateral source rule; Broad collateral source rule example—Colorado
- § 42:8 States with collateral source rule; Narrow collateral source rule example—Georgia
- § 42:9 Even in states with limited collateral source rules, MFC evidence generally not admissible to reduce a plaintiff's economic damages
- § 42:10 Conclusion; Courts are generally trending towards allowing evidence of the medical funding company involvement
- § 42:11 State survey of collateral source laws amount of medical bills allowed into evidence, and other issues related to financial terms of a client's treatment

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**CHAPTER 43. BATTLING THE  
COMPARATIVE FAULT DEFENSE**

- § 43:1 Squeezing claims to hurt the injured
- § 43:2 Torturing the facts to create a defense
- § 43:3 Instituting the five step “comp neg” battle plan

**CHAPTER 44. MOTION FOR DIRECTED  
VERDICT**

**I. IN GENERAL**

- § 44:1 Introduction
- § 44:2 Liability
- § 44:3 Causation and damages
- § 44:4 Making the motion

**II. FORMS**

- § 44:5 Motion for directed verdict

**Volume 3**

**CHAPTER 45. CLOSING ARGUMENT**

**I. IN GENERAL**

- § 45:1 Introduction
- § 45:2 Plaintiff’s mission in closing argument
- § 45:3 Legal boundaries
- § 45:4 When crossing the boundaries is not tolerated
- § 45:5 Getting to the heart of the matter quickly
- § 45:6 Rebuttal

**II. FORMS**

- § 45:7 Sample outline closing argument (20–30 minutes)
- § 45:8 Burden of proof demonstrative instruction
- § 45:9 Sample closing argument—Motor Vehicle collision,  
disc herniation
- § 45:10 Sample rebuttal argument

**CHAPTER 46. JURY NULLIFICATION AND  
OTHER DIRTY TRIAL TRICKS**

**I. ANALYSIS**

- § 46:1 Overview

- § 46:2 How to deal with jury nullification and other dirty trial tricks
- § 46:3 Lioce v. Cohen round 1
- § 46:4 Lioce v. Cohen round 2-rehearing

## **II. FORMS**

- § 46:5 Order granting new trial and to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed
- § 46:6 Order granting new trial and order for sanctions
- § 46:7 Order granting new trial for jury misconduct

## **CHAPTER 47. POWERPOINT IN OPENING AND CLOSING**

### **I. GENERALLY**

- § 47:1 Advantages
- § 47:2 Slide content
- § 47:3 Working with the show

### **II. OPENING STATEMENT PRESENTATION**

- § 47:4 Showing what happened
- § 47:5 Causation

### **III. CLOSING STATEMENT PRESENTATION**

- § 47:6 Basic content
- § 47:7 Burden of proof and egg shell theory
- § 47:8 Causation
- § 47:9 Medical examiner's opinions

### **IV. SLIDE TEMPLATES**

- § 47:10 Opening
- § 47:11 Closing
- § 47:12 Transparencies

## **CHAPTER 48. BAD FAITH**

### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 48:1 Generally
- § 48:2 PIP/MedPay bad faith
- § 48:3 Third party bad faith—The case of Campbell v. State Farm

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 48:4 Abuse of Process—The case of Crackel v. Allstate
- § 48:5 Colossus—Penberthy v. Caprett
- § 48:6 Colossus—Wells v. Allstate class action
- § 48:7 Colossus—Tortious interference with contract and civil conspiracy claims
- § 48:8 Drafting the pleading
- § 48:9 “Sue Us” clauses

## II. FORMS

- § 48:10 First party General Causes of Action—Allegations
- § 48:11 PIP/Med Pay Pleading
- § 48:12 First Party Theft Lawsuit—Allegations
- § 48:13 Third Party Abuse of Process Lawsuit—Allegations
- § 48:14 Miscellaneous causes—Allegations
- § 48:15 Class Action First Party PIP-Breach of Contract
- § 48:16 Class Action First Party PIP-Breach of Fiduciary Duties
- § 48:17 Class Action First Party PIP-Consumer Protection Act Violation
- § 48:18 Class Action First Party PIP-Breach of Statutory Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing
- § 48:19 Class Action First Party PIP-Injunctive and Declaratory Judgment
- § 48:20 Class Action First Party PIP-Punitive Damages
- § 48:21 Complaint—PIP/UIM/Tortfeasor Bad Faith Suit
- § 48:22 Sample combination tortfeasor and “sue us clause” complaint
- § 48:23 Opposition to motion to bifurcate
- § 48:24 Plaintiff’s Response to Allstate’s Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Damages; Dees v. Allstate Ins. Co
- § 48:25 Plaintiff’s Response to Allstate’s Motion for Summary Judgment Plaintiff’s Pip Claim; Dees v. Allstate Ins. Co
- § 48:26 Plaintiff’s Opposition to Allstate’s Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Plaintiff’s UIM Claim; Dees v. Allstate Ins. Co
- § 48:27 Complaint—UIM/Breach of Contract/WA Administrative Code Violations/Bad Faith/Violation of Consumer Protection Act/Insurance Fair Conduct Act; Tavakoli v. Allstate Property & Cas. Ins. Co.
- § 48:28 Answer with Affirmative Defenses; Tavakoli v. Allstate Property & Cas. Ins. Co.
- § 48:29 Plaintiff’s Trial Brief; Tavakoli v. Allstate Property & Cas. Ins. Co.

- § 48:30 Defendant's Trial Brief; *Tavakoli v. Allstate Property & Cas. Ins. Co.*

## **CHAPTER 49. ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS**

### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 49:1 Generally  
§ 49:2 Ground rules change for attorney fee awards;  
*Berryman v. Metcalf* requires trial court to make independent judgment concerning reasonableness of time spent, hourly rate, duplication of effort, and lodestar

### **II. FORMS**

- § 49:3 Motion for judgment awarding attorneys' fees and costs  
§ 49:4 Findings of fact regarding award of attorneys fees—As proposed  
§ 49:5 —As signed  
§ 49:6 Judgment awarding attorneys' fees and costs—As proposed  
§ 49:7 —As signed

## **PART VII. MIST PRACTICE**

## **CHAPTER 50. EVERYTHING A NEW LAWYER NEEDS TO KNOW ABOUT THEIR FIRST YEAR AS A PERSONAL INJURY LAWYER**

### **I. IN GENERAL**

- § 50:1 Introduction  
§ 50:2 Life after you pass the bar  
§ 50:3 Legal Administrative staff  
§ 50:4 Skills  
§ 50:5 Deadlines  
§ 50:6 Networking  
§ 50:7 Mentors  
§ 50:8 Communicating with your client  
§ 50:9 Terminating a client  
§ 50:10 Local Rules



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 50:11	Negotiations
§ 50:12	Complaint and Discovery
§ 50:13	Deposition
§ 50:14	Voir Dire
§ 50:15	Good Luck

## II. FORMS

§ 50:16	Sample offer to compromise
§ 50:17	Sample Rule 6.4 letter

## FORENSIC SCIENCE

### PART I. LOCATING MEDICAL LITERATURE RELATING TO MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH LITIGATION

#### CHAPTER 51. LEVERAGING AI IN PRE- SUIT MINOR IMPACT SOFT TISSUE (MIST) PERSONAL INJURY CASES

§ 51:1	Introduction
§ 51:2	Case intake and Evaluation
§ 51:3	—Initial Case Screening
§ 51:4	—Predictive Analytics for Case Viability
§ 51:5	—Gathering Preliminary Evidence
§ 51:6	Medical records and treatment analysis
§ 51:7	—Medical record summarization
§ 51:8	—Identifying key medical evidence
§ 51:9	—Connect medical treatment to injuries
§ 51:10	—Practical application
§ 51:11	Legal research and case law
§ 51:12	—Efficient legal research
§ 51:13	—Case law analysis and jurisdiction-specific trends
§ 51:14	—Crafting stronger legal arguments
§ 51:15	Document automation and management
§ 51:16	—Automated document generation
§ 51:17	—Organizing and managing case files
§ 51:18	—Enhancing efficiency with AI-Powered document review
§ 51:19	—Organizing and analyzing evidence
§ 51:20	—Implementing AI document management in a MIST case
§ 51:21	Client communication and management

- § 51:22 —AI Chatbots for client interaction
- § 51:23 —Personalized client updates
- § 51:24 —Maintaining client relationships
- § 51:25 Demand letters
- § 51:26 Negotiations
- § 51:27 Conclusion

## **CHAPTER 52. INTRODUCTION TO THE BIOMEDICAL LITERATURE REGARDING MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH-RELATED INJURIES**

- § 52:1 Generally
- § 52:2 “Whiplash” defined
- § 52:3 Relationship between vehicle damage and injury
- § 52:4 The increasing incidence of whiplash injury in the absence of significant vehicle damage
- § 52:5 The nomenclature of crash-related injuries
- § 52:6 The biomechanist as an expert in injury causation
- § 52:7 The prevalence of junk science in defense biomechanical testimony
- § 52:8 The role of epidemiology in defense testimony
- § 52:9 The medical literature
- § 52:10 The use of medical and engineering literature in motor vehicle crash cases—Plaintiff uses
- § 52:11 —Defense uses
- § 52:12 Methods of citing the literature
- § 52:13 Abstracts versus full text

## **CHAPTER 53. HOW TO SEARCH THE BIOMEDICAL/ENGINEERING LITERATURE AND OBTAINING MEDICAL LITERATURE**

- § 53:1 Introduction
- § 53:2 Tapping the well by using PubMed—Generally
- § 53:3 —Basic search strategy
- § 53:4 —Ordering full text copies of journal articles
- § 53:5 In the fast lane of the information highway—The long and winding road

## **CHAPTER 54. HELPFUL WEBSITES**

- § 54:1 Generally
- § 54:2 Encyclopedias/almanacs

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 54:3 Language
- § 54:4 Quotations
- § 54:5 Statistics
- § 54:6 Library catalogs
- § 54:7 Reference search engines

## **CHAPTER 55. REFINING THE SEARCH FOR MEDICAL LITERATURE**

- § 55:1 Introduction
- § 55:2 Medical information, the internet, and you
- § 55:3 Define the search
- § 55:4 Performing the search
- § 55:5 Where to search for medical information
- § 55:6 General information searching
- § 55:7 General information search engines/website
- § 55:8 Important information sources

## **PART II. FORENSIC APPLICATIONS OF THE MEDICAL LITERATURE IN MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH LITIGATION**

## **CHAPTER 56. INTRODUCTION**

- § 56:1 Generally

## **CHAPTER 57. PAPERS RELATED TO PROGNOSIS**

- § 57:1 Acute injuries to cervical joints
- § 57:2 Neck injuries from rear-end vehicle collisions
- § 57:3 Soft-tissue injuries of the cervical spine
- § 57:4 Second whiplash injury
- § 57:5 Rate of recovery following whiplash injury
- § 57:6 Presenting symptoms and signs after whiplash injury
- § 57:7 Prospective study of acceleration-extension injuries
- § 57:8 Factors affecting employment after whiplash injury
- § 57:9 Radiofrequency medial branch neurotomy in patients  
with cervical whiplash
- § 57:10 Future neck and shoulder pain associated with  
exposure to rear-end collision

## **CHAPTER 58. PAPERS RELATING TO DIAGNOSIS**

- § 58:1 Correlation of magnetic resonance imaging and  
discography

- § 58:2 Resolution of distress following treatment by radiofrequency neurotomy
- § 58:3 Reappraisal of interpretation of “nonorganic signs”
- § 58:4 Peripheral nerve entrapment
- § 58:5 Disc pathology after whiplash injury
- § 58:6 Findings and outcome in whiplash neck distortions
- § 58:7 Roentgenographic findings in cervical spine of asymptomatic persons
- § 58:8 Malingering and symptom magnification
- § 58:9 Carpal tunnel syndrome in motor vehicle accidents
- § 58:10 Defining radiographic criteria for flexion-extension studies
- § 58:11 Chronic cervical zygapophysial joint pain after whiplash
- § 58:12 Central hypersensitivity in chronic pain after whiplash injury

## **CHAPTER 59. USING MEDICAL LITERATURE IN THE BACK AND NECK CASE**

- § 59:1 Can back and neck injuries be permanent, or do they all heal after 18 months?
- § 59:2 Do all plaintiffs have preexisting conditions, or just the ones I represent?
- § 59:3 Since pain is subjective, it must not be real
- § 59:4 A review and methodologic critique of the literature refuting whiplash syndrome
- § 59:5 Human tolerance levels (Injury thresholds)

## **CHAPTER 60. PAPERS RELATING TO BIOMECHANICS AND ENGINEERING**

- § 60:1 Curvature of neck and hyperextension; motion of cervical vertebrae
- § 60:2 Head-neck response during low-speed rear-end impact
- § 60:3 Head/neck kinematic response
- § 60:4 Clinical response to rear-end automobile collision
- § 60:5 Vehicle damage bears no relationship to occupant injury
- § 60:6 Relationship of head restraint to driver neck injury
- § 60:7 Relationship between pre-existing spinal degeneration and whiplash symptoms
- § 60:8 Relationship between vehicle damage and injury delta V
- § 60:9 Whiplash injury determination with conventional spine imaging and cryomicrotomy

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **CHAPTER 61. PAPERS ADDRESSING THE PROBLEMS WITH THE LITERATURE AND JUNK SCIENCE**

- § 61:1 Introduction
- § 61:2 Methodological critique of literature refuting whiplash syndrome
- § 61:3 Whiplash associated disorders
- § 61:4 Defense fallacies
- § 61:5 Prevalence of “whiplash” symptoms following placebo rear-end collision

### **CHAPTER 62. LITERATURE REFUTING THE VALIDITY OF WHIPLASH INJURIES**

- § 62:1 Crash test literature
- § 62:2 The kinematics and kinetics of whiplash
- § 62:3 Strength and response of the human neck
- § 62:4 BRC
- § 62:5 Analysis of responses to low velocity rear end impacts
- § 62:6 Head and neck kinematic after low-velocity rear-end impact
- § 62:7 Occupant kinematic response to low-speed rear-end impact
- § 62:8 Human kinematics and electromyographic activity during rear impact
- § 62:9 Low speed collision testing using human subjects
- § 62:10 Acceleration perturbations
- § 62:11 Natural evolution of late whiplash syndrome
- § 62:12 Pain after whiplash
- § 62:13 Effect of eliminating compensation for pain upon insurance claims for whiplash

### **CHAPTER 63. APPLICATION OF METHODS**

- § 63:1 Introduction
- § 63:2 Affidavit
- § 63:3 Medical ethics and the defense medical evaluation

### **CHAPTER 64. CITED ARTICLES AND ABSTRACTS**

- § 64:1 Acute injuries to cervical joints. An autopsy study of neck sprain
- § 64:2 The prognosis of neck injuries resulting from rear-end vehicle collisions
- § 64:3 Soft-tissue injuries of the cervical spine. Fifteen-year follow-up

- § 64:4 Prognosis following a second whiplash injury
- § 64:5 The rate of recovery following whiplash injury
- § 64:6 Presenting symptoms and signs after whiplash injury: The influence of accident mechanisms
- § 64:7 A prospective study of acceleration-extension injuries following rear-end motor vehicle collisions
- § 64:8 Cervical discogenic pain. Prospective correlation of magnetic resonance imaging and discography in asymptomatic subjects and pain sufferers
- § 64:9 Behavioral responses to examination. A reappraisal of the interpretation of “nonorganic signs”
- § 64:10 Peripheral nerve entrapment caused by motor vehicle crashes
- § 64:11 Disc pathology after whiplash injury. A prospective magnetic resonance imaging and clinical investigation
- § 64:12 Findings and outcome in whiplash-type neck distortions
- § 64:13 Whiplash produces an S-shaped curvature of the neck with hyperextension at lower levels
- § 64:14 Motion analysis of cervical vertebrae during whiplash loading
- § 64:15 Human head-neck response during low speed rear end impacts
- § 64:16 Head/neck kinematic response of human subjects in low-speed rear-end collisions
- § 64:17 Clinical response of human subjects to rear-end automobile collisions
- § 64:18 Lack of relationship between vehicle damage and occupant injury
- § 64:19 Relationship of head restraint positioning to driver neck injury in rear-end crashes
- § 64:20 Is there a relationship between pre-existing spinal degeneration and whiplash associated symptoms in victims of rear-end automobile impacts?
- § 64:21 The relationship between vehicle damage and injury delta vs crash pulse
- § 64:22 A review and methodologic critique of the literature refuting whiplash syndrome
- § 64:23 “Whiplash associated Disorders: redefining whiplash and its management” by the Quebec Task Force. A critical evaluation
- § 64:24 Don’t fall for defense fallacies
- § 64:25 Investigation of the kinematics and kinetics of whiplash
- § 64:26 Strength and response of the human neck

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 64:27 Analysis of human test subject kinematic responses to low velocity rear end impacts
- § 64:28 Human head and neck kinematics after low velocity rear-end impacts—Understanding “whiplash”
- § 64:29 Human occupant kinematic response to low speed rear-end impacts
- § 64:30 Human subject kinematics and electromyographic activity during low speed rear impacts
- § 64:31 Low speed rear-end collision testing using human subjects
- § 64:32 Acceleration perturbations of daily living. A comparison to ‘whiplash’
- § 64:33 Natural evolution of late whiplash syndrome outside the medicolegal context
- § 64:34 Pain after whiplash: A prospective controlled inception cohort study
- § 64:35 Effect of eliminating compensation for pain and suffering on the outcome of insurance claims for whiplash injuries
- § 64:36 Spinal injury from low level acceleration: roller coaster injuries
- § 64:37 Correlating crash severity with injury risk, injury severity, and long-term symptoms

## **PART III. TRIAL STRATEGIES**

### **CHAPTER 65. EXPOSING AND DEFEATING MIST DEFENSE STRATEGIES**

- § 65:1 Generally
- § 65:2 The Expert Defense
- § 65:3 The No-Expert Defense
- § 65:4 Dealing with the “Common Sense” Defense
- § 65:5 Dealing with defense experts
- § 65:6 Cross examination of the defense medical examiner
- § 65:7 Cross examination of the defense biomechanist

APPENDIX A. Appendix A

APPENDIX B. Appendix B

### **CHAPTER 66. DEALING WITH DEFENSE ACCIDENT RECONSTRUCTION/ ENGINEERING/ BIOMECHANICAL TESTIMONY**

- § 66:1 Generally

- § 66:2 Cross—Examination of the treating physician at discovery deposition
- § 66:3 Affidavit for motion to strike defense biomechanist/accident reconstructionist/engineer
- § 66:4 Plaintiff's motion to limit/preclude testimony of biomechanic
- § 66:5 Plaintiff's motion in limine to preclude inadmissible testimony of biomechanic; 1. Calculations of Delta V; 2. Opinion that there was no mechanism for injury in the crash; 3. Comparing the forces of the crash to activities of daily living; 4. Plaintiff is misattributing the symptoms

## **CHAPTER 67. SPECIAL ISSUES AND STRATEGIES FOR DEFENSE BIOMECHANICAL TESTIMONY AND RELATED PROOFS**

- § 67:1 Why crash test studies cannot provide a reliable or scientific basis for biomechanical expert opinion on injury thresholds
- § 67:2 Admissibility issues concerning defense biomechanical testimony on crash test studies and injury thresholds
- § 67:3 Admissibility issues concerning car photographs in low impact cases

## **CHAPTER 68. SPOTTING UNRELIABLE AND INACCURATE EXPERT TESTIMONY IN AUTO INJURY LITIGATION; WHAT EVERY LAWYER NEEDS TO KNOW ABOUT PRE-TEST PROBABILITIES**

- § 68:1 Introduction
- § 68:2 Meaning of pre-test probability
- § 68:3 Meaning of true positives
- § 68:4 Meaning of false positives
- § 68:5 Example illustrating the concepts of true positives and false positives
- § 68:6 Pre-test probabilities and injury litigation
- § 68:7 All forensic methods are really tests, and all forensic opinions are really test results
- § 68:8 Assessing the accuracy of forensic tests; why plaintiff tests are more accurate than defense tests
- § 68:9 The reason for the divergent results
- § 68:10 Practical application



TABLE OF CONTENTS

**CHAPTER 69. USING MOTION PRACTICE  
TO OVERCOME JUROR BIAS**

- § 69:1 Generally
- § 69:2 Motion in limine to preclude biomechanical engineer
- § 69:3 Plaintiff's motion to correct error
- § 69:4 Affidavit in support of motion to correct error

**CHAPTER 70. WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW  
WHEN MIST AND RIDE SHARE CASES  
COLLIDE**

**I. ANALYSIS**

- § 70:1 Introduction
- § 70:2 Issues relevant in ride share cases
- § 70:3 Origins of Uber and Lyft
- § 70:4 Liability insurance—Ride share services
- § 70:5 Insurance for ride share drivers with Uber and Lyft
- § 70:6 Other issues—Arbitration agreements
- § 70:7 The Uber Arbitration Agreement
- § 70:8 —Agreement to binding arbitration between you and Uber
- § 70:9 —Rules and governing law
- § 70:10 —Process
- § 70:11 —Location and procedure
- § 70:12 —Arbitrator's decision
- § 70:13 —Fees
- § 70:14 —Changes
- § 70:15 —Severability and Survival
- § 70:16 Challenging the enforceability of Uber's arbitration agreement
- § 70:17 Holding the ride share company accountable for the actions of the driver
- § 70:18 Limitations to liability
- § 70:19 Discovery considerations

**B. FORMS**

- § 70:20 Appendix A; Trial court opinion; *Kemenosh v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 1811027703 (January 3, 2020) (Phila. County First Judicial Dist. Trial Div.); opinion found Uber arbitration agreement unenforceable under Pennsylvania law because information presented to plaintiff did not properly communicate an offer to arbitrate under applicable law

- § 70:21 Appendix B; Order on Motion for Preliminary Injunction, People v. Uber Technologies, Inc., Lyft, Inc., et al, Case No. CGC-20-584402 (August 10, 2010) (Cal. Sup. Ct. San Francisco County); Court issued injunction requiring Uber and Lyft to classify all of their drivers as employees
- § 70:22 Appendix C-1; Plaintiffs' First Requests for Admissions to Defendants-Redacted
- § 70:23 Appendix C-2; Plaintiffs' First Interrogatories to Defendants-Redacted
- § 70:24 Appendix C-3; Plaintiffs' First Requests for the Production of Documents to Defendants-Redacted

## **CHAPTER 71. TRIALS IN THE TIME OF COVID**

- § 71:1 Introduction
- § 71:2 Pre-Trial Issues
- § 71:3 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction
- § 71:4 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Know the layout of the court room
- § 71:5 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Who is the trial judge?
- § 71:6 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; What are the Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) Requirements?
- § 71:7 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Where will the trial be held?
- § 71:8 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Who is managing, how is jury selection being managed?
- § 71:9 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Is jury selection live or by video?
- § 71:10 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Jury Voir Dire
- § 71:11 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Trial of the Case
- § 71:12 Summary

### **Table of Laws and Rules**

### **Table of Cases**

### **Index**