

Table of Contents

Volume 1

LAW AND FORMS

PART I. THE RISE OF MIST

CHAPTER 1. THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY TAKES AIM

I. IN GENERAL

- § 1:1 General overview of the insurance industry's agenda
- § 1:2 The origins of Colossus
- § 1:3 Increasing profits by focusing on attorney represented claimants
- § 1:4 Painting "soft tissue" claims with the broad brush marked "fraudulent"
- § 1:5 —Questionable causation
- § 1:6 —Farmers' monitoring of Colossus
- § 1:7 Court isn't impressed with Colossus
- § 1:8 Guarding their big secret
- § 1:9 From "Good Hands" to "Boxing Gloves"
- § 1:10 McKinsey & Company, CCPR, and the zero sum game
- § 1:11 McKinsey & Company agenda
- § 1:12 Chasing the McKinsey documents
- § 1:13 Turning the tide

II. FORMS

- § 1:14 Complaint for damages, bad faith and declaratory relief
- § 1:15 Discovery requests
- § 1:16 Motion to compel
- § 1:17 Declaration in support of motion to compel
- § 1:18 Motion to compel reply
- § 1:19 Motion for protective order
- § 1:20 Opposition to motion for protective order
- § 1:21 Declaration in opposition to motion for protective order
- § 1:22 Order for discovery
- § 1:23 Motion for reconsideration

- § 1:24 Opposition to motion for reconsideration
- § 1:25 Order denying reconsideration
- § 1:26 Motion to stay enforcement
- § 1:27 Motion for emergency stay
- § 1:28 Response to motion for emergency stay
- § 1:29 Motion for discretionary review
- § 1:30 Opposition to motion for discretionary review
- § 1:31 Reply regarding discretionary review

CHAPTER 2. OVERVIEW OF COLOSSUS

- § 2:1 Just the facts
- § 2:2 An inside look at Colossus
- § 2:3 Tuning Colossus
- § 2:4 Sample Colossus assessment
- § 2:5 CSC publicly defends Colossus

CHAPTER 3. THE COLOSSUS BIAS AGAINST “NONDEMONSTRABLE” INJURIES

- § 3:1 Creating the assumption of malingering
- § 3:2 Acknowledging but discounting the fact and mechanism of a musculoligamentous injury of the neck
- § 3:3 The difficulty in diagnosing musculoligamentous injuries of the neck
- § 3:4 The burden on the claimant

CHAPTER 4. COLOSSUS IN USE

- § 4:1 Description of the process
- § 4:2 Dissection of the claim to feed Colossus

CHAPTER 5. THE ROLE OF THE ADJUSTER

- § 5:1 Transformation of the “MIST” adjuster
- § 5:2 Colossus training examples for the adjuster
- § 5:3 Unrepresented segment claims handling
- § 5:4 Auditing its own adjusters—A former anonymous Allstate adjuster’s tale
- § 5:5 Farmers transforms its adjusters

CHAPTER 6. RESTRUCTURING LITIGATION MANAGEMENT

- § 6:1 The theory behind MIST

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 6:2 Litigation consultants
- § 6:3 Defense counsel
- § 6:4 Legal teams
- § 6:5 Legal maneuvers
- § 6:6 Special investigation unit tactics
- § 6:7 A former staff counsel's tale

CHAPTER 7. THE ATTORNEY'S MISSION

- § 7:1 Donning battle gear
- § 7:2 Case study
- § 7:3 The battle plan

CHAPTER 8. INTAKE CRITERIA

I. INTAKE PROCESS

- § 8:1 Introduction
- § 8:2 Initial contact
- § 8:3 Developing intake criteria—Examine recent, successful trial and appellate court outcomes

II. FORMS

- § 8:4 Auto collision—Telephone intake form
- § 8:5 —Basic inquiry data form
- § 8:6 —Detailed inquiry data form
- § 8:7 —Prospective client letter for intake form

CHAPTER 9. INITIAL CLIENT MEETING

I. CREATING A RELATIONSHIP WITH THE CLIENT

- § 9:1 The need for debriefing
- § 9:2 Use the initial client interview to assess your client's credibility and begin formulating the damages case
- § 9:3 Explaining the MIST misnomer
- § 9:4 Formalizing the relationship
- § 9:5 Managing the client's social media

II. FORMS

- § 9:6 Contingency fee retainer agreement
- § 9:7 Initial client letter
- § 9:8 Enclosure—List of instructions and suggestions

CHAPTER 10. PRELIMINARY INSURANCE MATTERS*

I. IN GENERAL

- § 10:1 Identification of applicable insurance
- § 10:2 The insurance interaction reality
- § 10:3 Initial contacts

II. FORMS

- § 10:4 Initial letter to first party carrier (PIP/MedPay)
- § 10:5 Initial letter to first party carrier (UM/UIM)
- § 10:6 Initial letter to third party carrier (BI)
- § 10:7 Letter to third party carrier—Transfer out of MIST program

CHAPTER 11. INVESTIGATING THE CLAIM

- § 11:1 In general
- § 11:2 Insurance computer directed investigation
- § 11:3 Strategy for plaintiff investigation

CHAPTER 12. ICD—9 AND CPT CODES

- § 12:1 Overview
- § 12:2 ICD—9 CM
- § 12:3 —Rubric and conventions
- § 12:4 —Classifications
- § 12:5 ——Alphabetic index
- § 12:6 ——Tabular list
- § 12:7 CPT codes
- § 12:8 Insurance application of ICD—9 and CPT codes
- § 12:9 Chiropractor use of codes
- § 12:10 Conclusion

CHAPTER 13. SETTLEMENT OVERTURES

I. IN GENERAL

- § 13:1 When “negotiation” stands for “take it or leave it”
- § 13:2 Feeding Colossus
- § 13:3 Plaintiff’s settlement overtures
- § 13:4 Damages discussion in demand letters
- § 13:5 Electronic settlement demands
- § 13:6 When the insurer does not cooperate
- § 13:7 Synopsis of settlement related steps

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 13:8 Making any settlement binding
- § 13:9 General do's and don'ts of negotiation

II. FORMS

- § 13:10 Initial letter to tortfeasor's insurer
- § 13:11 Sample pre-suit settlement demand
- § 13:12 Sample demand letter illustrating ways to emphasize how accident affects important aspects of your client's life
- § 13:13 Electronic settlement demand. Sample
- § 13:14 Reminder letter

Volume 2

CHAPTER 14. PERMANENT IMPAIRMENT AS A VALUE DRIVER

- § 14:1 Using permanent impairment ratings to increase Colossus assessments
- § 14:2 Spinal impairment ratings
- § 14:3 Pain impairment ratings

CHAPTER 15. FILING THE LAWSUIT

I. IN GENERAL

- § 15:1 Introduction
- § 15:2 Outlining the facts
- § 15:3 Outlining causes of action
- § 15:4 Creative twists

II. FORMS

- § 15:5 Simple complaint—Rear end collision—Clear liability
- § 15:6 —Collision with vehicle travelling in same direction
- § 15:7 —General allegations
- § 15:8 Complaint-agency—Rear end collision—Clear liability
- § 15:9 Complaint—Multiple defendants—Property damage—Rear end collision
- § 15:10 Complaint fact section—Intersection collision
- § 15:11 Sample complaint—Undermining comparative negligence defense
- § 15:12 Sample motion for summary judgment of liability and to eliminate comparative negligence defense
- § 15:13 Complaint for damages—Crossing center line

- § 15:14 Complaint for negligence—Pedestrian struck by vehicle
- § 15:15 Complaint for damages—Minor defendant, family car doctrine
- § 15:16 —Multiple tortfeasors and first party insurance carrier
- § 15:17 —Multiple collisions
- § 15:18 —Bus passengers
- § 15:19 Complaint for wrongful death—Inadequate highway design
- § 15:20 Complaint for damages—Rear end collision by truck—Against truck driver and trucking company/owner; *Seely v. Archuleta and ABF Freight Systems, Inc*
- § 15:21 Answer to complaint for damages by truck driver and trucking company/owner; *Seely v. Archuleta and ABF Freight Systems, Inc*
- § 15:22 Final judgment against truck driver and trucking company/owner; *Seely v. Archuleta and ABF Freight Systems, Inc*
- § 15:23 Complaint for damages and summary of case and award—Rear end collision with car in turning lane; *Taylor v. Progressive County Mutual Insurance Company and Lemaire*
- § 15:24 Amended complaint for damages—Adding insurance company as defendant for purposes of uninsured/underinsured coverage; *Taylor v. Progressive County Mutual Insurance Company and Lemaire*
- § 15:25 Answer of defendant with affirmative defenses; *Taylor v. Progressive County Mutual Insurance Company and Lemaire*

CHAPTER 16. SERVICE OF PROCESS

I. IN GENERAL

- § 16:1 Introduction
- § 16:2 Notify the insurance company
- § 16:3 Serve according to statute
- § 16:4 Confront the problem of statute of limitations and missing defendants

II. FORMS

- § 16:5 Service of process status log
- § 16:6 Motion to allow CR 30(b)(6) of insurance company
- § 16:7 Deposition notice pursuant to CR 30(b)(6)
- § 16:8 Requests for admission—Identification and location of defendant

TABLE OF CONTENTS

§ 16:9 Sample—Motion to allow service by other means

CHAPTER 17. PROPOUNDING INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

I. IN GENERAL

§ 17:1 First discovery requests

§ 17:2 Ensuring that discovery is timely answered

§ 17:3 Follow up discovery requests

II. FORMS

§ 17:4 First interrogatories and requests for production

§ 17:5 Plaintiff's first request for production of documents to defendant-alternative form

§ 17:6 Plaintiff's first interrogatories and requests for production to defendant. Short form sample mandated by court rules

§ 17:7 Plaintiff's first interrogatories to defendant

§ 17:8 Plaintiff's second interrogatories and requests for production to defendant

CHAPTER 18. ADMISSIONS

I. IN GENERAL

§ 18:1 Generally

§ 18:2 Addressing jurisdictional matters of concern

§ 18:3 Liability

§ 18:4 Damages

§ 18:5 Insurance coverage

II. FORMS

§ 18:6 Sample admissions re liability and general matters

§ 18:7 Sample admissions re special injuries and damages, potential excess

§ 18:8 Plaintiffs first request for admissions—Pedestrian struck by vehicle

§ 18:9 Brief in support of excluding evidence of past medical expenses

CHAPTER 19. CONDUCTING AND DEFENDING DEPOSITIONS

I. TAKING THE DEPOSITION OF DEFENDANT AND DEFENSE WITNESSES

- § 19:1 Deciding whom to depose
- § 19:2 Preparing for the deposition
- § 19:3 Using the outline to conduct the deposition
- § 19:4 Depositions of entities
- § 19:5 Deposing the defendant's insurance claims adjuster

II. DEFENDING THE DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF AND PLAINTIFF WITNESSES

- § 19:6 General considerations
- § 19:7 Strategy

III. FORMS

- § 19:8 Deposition outline of defendant
- § 19:9 Deposition outline—Miscellaneous expert
- § 19:10 Deposition of defendant's insurer following futile attempt at service on defendant
- § 19:11 Deposition notice to defendant's insurance claims adjusters
- § 19:12 Deposition outline to prepare plaintiff and lay witnesses
- § 19:13 Deposition outline for plaintiff's physical therapist

CHAPTER 20. DEMONSTRATIVE VIDEO DOCTOR DEPOSITIONS

- § 20:1 Generally
- § 20:2 Streamlining the deposition
- § 20:3 Putting the show together
- § 20:4 —Deposition with slides
- § 20:5 —Deposition with model and needle
- § 20:6 Editing the video

CHAPTER 21. SOCIAL MEDIA AND DISCOVERY

I. IN GENERAL

- § 21:1 Social media is growing and subject to discovery

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 21:2 Social media—Informal investigation and discovery; Duty to investigate
- § 21:3 —Informal investigation and discovery; Duty to avoid deception /no-contact rule
- § 21:4 —Spoliation issues and advising clients on social media usage
- § 21:5 —Formal discovery
- § 21:6 —Methods of obtaining social media information; Subpoenas or nonparty requests for production of documents to social media service providers
- § 21:7 —Methods of obtaining social media information; Interrogatories and document requests to parties
- § 21:8 Social media and privacy
- § 21:9 Social media discovery—Relevance and scope
- § 21:10 Practical considerations and the proper weight of social media evidence

II. FORMS

- § 21:11 Order in *Gatto v. United Air Lines, Inc.*, et al., 10-CV-1090-ES-SCM (D.N.J. 2013), sanctioning plaintiff in personal injury suit with jury instruction authorizing adverse inference for failing to preserve Facebook account, and for intentional destruction of evidence
- § 21:12 Order in *Hawkins v. College of Charleston*, 2:12-CV-384-DCN (D.S.C. 2013), adopting magistrate's report and recommendation denying defendant's motion to dismiss for spoliation
- § 21:13 Social Media Do's and Don'ts for Clients from attorney Ken Shigley of Atlanta, Georgia
- § 21:14 Order in *McMillen v. Hummingbird Speedway, Inc.*, No. 113-2010CD (Pa. Ct. Com. Pl. Sept. 9, 2010), determining no expectation of privacy in postings to a social media site before ordering that the plaintiff turn over his user ID and password information
- § 21:15 Defendant's motion to compel and supporting brief; *Davenport v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company*
- § 21:16 Plaintiff's memorandum in opposition to motion to compel; *Davenport v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company*
- § 21:17 Order in *Lamir v. Needham*, 16-2011-CA-0124CV-A (2011), considering request for production of any and all of plaintiff's Facebook postings made at any time in connection with a personal injury lawsuit, and concluding request overbroad and not limited to relevant information

- § 21:18 Order in *Keller v. National Farmers Union Prop. & Cas. Co.*, rejecting overly broad request for production for failure to meet the threshold requirement for obtaining disclosures to social media accounts
- § 21:19 Defendants' motion to compel authorizations and memorandum in support; *Tompkins v. Detroit Metro. Airport*
- § 21:20 Plaintiff's response to defendants' motion to compel authorizations; *Tompkins v. Detroit Metro. Airport*

PART II. DEFENSE MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS

CHAPTER 22. NOTHING “INDEPENDENT” ABOUT IT

- § 22:1 Introduction
- § 22:2 Cost containment vendors
- § 22:3 Cost containment propaganda

CHAPTER 22A. EVIDENCE FROM SMART DEVICES

- § 22A:1 Evidence from smart devices—What was your client wearing, using at the time of their accident?
- § 22A:2 —Wearable smart devices can play an integral role in soft tissue cases—GPS data
- § 22A:3 —Find witnesses
- § 22A:4 —Showing client's health at the time of the accident, before/after accident
- § 22A:5 —Not always helpful
- § 22A:6 —Increase in cost still an issue to be resolved
- § 22A:7 —Always ask because you need to know
- § 22A:8 Telemetrics

CHAPTER 23. THE DEFENSE MEDICAL EXAM

I. IN GENERAL

- § 23:1 Arranging the exam
- § 23:2 Preparing the plaintiff
- § 23:3 Attending the exam
- § 23:4 Videotaping the exam

TABLE OF CONTENTS

II. FORMS

- § 23:5 Sample letter—Personal injury protection/medical pay—Involuntary insurance medical exam
- § 23:6 —Defense medical examination
- § 23:7 —Defense medical exam—Client preparation
- § 23:8 Sample objection to motion to compel CR 35 exam
- § 23:9 Sample order re motion to compel CR 35 exam
- § 23:10 Sample letter to client—Preparation for DME
- § 23:11 Sample motion/supporting memorandum of law for protective order requiring videotaping in the presence of counsel and other relief in response to defendant's notice of DME

CHAPTER 24. DECONSTRUCTING THE DEFENSE MEDICAL EXAMINER

I. IN GENERAL

- § 24:1 The exam
- § 24:2 The deposition
- § 24:3 Preparing to impeach by demonstrating bias and/or motive of the defense medical examiner
- § 24:4 The trial

II. FORMS

- § 24:5 Sample subpoena duces tecum. Defense medical examiner
- § 24:6 Outline. Deposition defense medical examiner
- § 24:7 Sample motion to exclude. Defense medical examiner
- § 24:8 Sample outline trial cross examination—Defense medical examiner

CHAPTER 25. MALINGERING DEFENSES AND CROSS-EXAMINING THE DEFENSE DOCTOR IN MINIMUM IMPACT, SOFT TISSUE CASES

- § 25:1 Typical defenses—Collision too mild to cause traumatic brain injury
- § 25:2 —No loss of consciousness
- § 25:3 —Emergency room did not diagnose it
- § 25:4 —Normal brain scans
- § 25:5 —Normal GCS
- § 25:6 Experts—Neuropsychologists

- § 25:7 —Psychiatrists
- § 25:8 —Neurologists
- § 25:9 Suggestions for experts
- § 25:10 Malingering—Defined
- § 25:11 —Why administer malingering tests and types of malingering tests
- § 25:12 —Problems with allegations of malingering

CHAPTER 26. ALL YOU NEED TO KNOW TO GO TOE-TO-TOE AGAINST THE DEFENSE MEDICAL EXAMINER

- § 26:1 Strategies to achieve successful results by impeaching the defense medical examiner at deposition or trial
- § 26:2 The defense medical examiner profile and resulting credibility gap
- § 26:3 Learn to identify, explore and exploit the defense medical examiner credibility gap—Board certification and appointment titles
- § 26:4 —Always videotape the defense medical examination
- § 26:5 —The defense medical examination report
- § 26:6 Ten important strategies to reveal and exploit the defense medical examiner credibility gap
- § 26:7 About the author of this chapter

PART III. DEFENSE BIOMECHANICS

CHAPTER 27. INTRODUCTION

- § 27:1 A basic understanding
- § 27:2 Sample biomechanic report

CHAPTER 28. DEALING WITH THE BIOMECHANIC

I. IN GENERAL

- § 28:1 Motions to exclude
- § 28:2 Motion to exclude—Biomechanic testimony is irrelevant and misleading for determining injury causation
- § 28:3 Cross examination

II. FORMS

- § 28:4 Sample motion to exclude biomechanic

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 28:5 Motion to exclude—Biomechanic; Stedman v. Cooper
- § 28:6 Evolving motions to exclude
- § 28:7 Sample cross examination outline—Biomechanic
- § 28:8 Deposing the Biomechanical Engineer

PART IV. PRETRIAL MOTION PRACTICE

CHAPTER 29. CONTROLLING THE TENOR

- § 29:1 Introduction
- § 29:2 Motions

CHAPTER 30. DEVELOPING A DATABASE

I. IN GENERAL

- § 30:1 Introduction
- § 30:2 Motion for default
- § 30:3 Amendment of complaint
- § 30:4 Compelling discovery
- § 30:5 Quashing discovery
- § 30:6 Summary judgment
- § 30:7 Motion to strike
- § 30:8 Summation

II. FORMS

- § 30:9 Motion for default
- § 30:10 Motion for leave to amend complaint
- § 30:11 Motion to compel discovery
- § 30:12 Plaintiffs motion to compel FRCP 30(b)(6) deposition of insurance company
- § 30:13 Plaintiff's motion for issuance of commission to take deposition testimony in a foreign jurisdiction
- § 30:14 Order for issuance of commission motion for issuance of commission to take deposition testimony in a foreign jurisdiction
- § 30:15 Commission to take deposition testimony in a foreign jurisdiction
- § 30:16 Petition and order to register foreign instrument and issue subpoena to take deposition testimony in a foreign jurisdiction
- § 30:17 Subpoena duces tecum to take deposition testimony in a foreign jurisdiction test
- § 30:18 Motion to quash subpoena to personal injury protection/medical pay insurer

- § 30:19 Plaintiff's motion to quash subpoena duces tecum for family bank account records
- § 30:20 Declaration in support of plaintiff's motion to quash subpoena duces tecum for family bank account records
- § 30:21 Proposed order granting plaintiff's motion to quash subpoena duces tecum for family bank account records
- § 30:22 Plaintiff's opposition to motion for examination by a vocational counselor
- § 30:23 Motion for summary judgment re liability
- § 30:24 Motion for partial summary judgment as to liability—Crossing centerline
- § 30:25 Plaintiffs motion for summary judgment—Failure to yield ROW at traffic signal
- § 30:26 Memorandum of law in support of plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment on liability; Taylor v. Progressive County Mutual Insurance Company
- § 30:27 Motion for summary judgment. Reasonable and necessary medical expenses
- § 30:28 Sample declaration of health care provider
- § 30:29 Motion to strike designation late expert
- § 30:30 Plaintiff's opposition to UIM insurer's motion to intervene
- § 30:31 Proposed order denying plaintiff's UIM insurer's motion to intervene
- § 30:32 Brief in support of excluding evidence of past medical expenses

PART V. THIRTY DAYS BEFORE TRIAL

CHAPTER 31. ESTABLISHING THE CREDIBILITY OF YOUR CLIENT

I. IN GENERAL

- § 31:1 Client credibility the key to damages in every soft tissue case
- § 31:2 Simple ways to boost your client's credibility—The initial client interview
 - § 31:3 —Work history
 - § 31:4 —Time off from work
 - § 31:5 —Activities and pastimes
 - § 31:6 —Medical treatment received by client
 - § 31:7 How to use common defenses against defense lawyers to enhance your client's credibility

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 31:8 —No complaint of injury at the scene
- § 31:9 —No treatment from an emergency room
- § 31:10 —Minor property damage
- § 31:11 —Photos of property damage
- § 31:12 —Delay in starting treatment
- § 31:13 —Gaps in treatment
- § 31:14 —Client did not miss time from work
- § 31:15 —Pre-existing injury
- § 31:16 Client credibility the key to success in trial of every soft tissue injury case

II. FORMS

- § 31:17 Sample motion in limine to exclude use of photographs in low impact crashes

CHAPTER 32. THE TRIAL NOTEBOOK

I. IN GENERAL

- § 32:1 Introduction
- § 32:2 To do
- § 32:3 Trial orders, motions, and briefs
- § 32:4 Summary judgment
- § 32:5 Evidence
- § 32:6 Voir dire
- § 32:7 Opening
- § 32:8 Plaintiff, generally
- § 32:9 —Plaintiff witnesses
- § 32:10 Defendant, generally
- § 32:11 —Defendant witnesses
- § 32:12 Jury instructions
- § 32:13 Closing
- § 32:14 Objections
- § 32:15 Law
- § 32:16 Pleading

II. FORMS

- § 32:17 Trial notebook divider format
- § 32:18 Sample—Plaintiff witness chart—Blank
- § 32:19 Sample plaintiff witness chart
- § 32:20 Trial flow chart. Blank
- § 32:21 Sample—Trial flow chart
- § 32:22 Evidence chart. Blank
- § 32:23 Sample—Evidence chart

- § 32:24 Voir dire chart—Blank
- § 32:25 Sample voir dire chart

CHAPTER 33. DO IT YOURSELF FOCUS GROUPS

I. IN GENERAL

- § 33:1 Introduction
- § 33:2 Predominant issues
- § 33:3 Protocol

II. FORMS

- § 33:4 Focus group participant form
- § 33:5 Focus group post presentation form
- § 33:6 Focus group plaintiff evaluation form
- § 33:7 Focus group discussion questions
- § 33:8 Focus group verdict form

CHAPTER 34. PREPARING WITNESSES

- § 34:1 The plaintiff
- § 34:2 The emotional plaintiff
- § 34:3 The stoic plaintiff
- § 34:4 Additional preparation
- § 34:5 —Videotaped examination of plaintiff
- § 34:6 Lay witnesses
- § 34:7 Expert witnesses including health care providers
- § 34:8 Focus Group Evaluation Form

PART VI. TRIAL

CHAPTER 35. TRIAL BRIEF

I. IN GENERAL

- § 35:1 Introduction
- § 35:2 MIST considerations

II. FORMS

- § 35:3 Sample trial brief
- § 35:4 Sample plaintiffs' trial brief; Polyefko et al. v. Faigh-Bruno, et al., Docket No. 14-2-21745-5 KNT
- § 35:5 Sample defendants' trial brief; Polyefko et al. v. Faigh-Bruno, Docket No. 14-2-21745-5 KNT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 35:6 Findings of fact and conclusions of law after bench trial in Polyefko et al. v. Faigh-Bruno, et al., Judge Andrea Darvas, Docket No. 14-2-21745-5 KNT
- § 35:7 Stipulation for issuance of case scheduling order

CHAPTER 36. MOTIONS IN LIMINE

I. IN GENERAL

- § 36:1 Introduction
- § 36:2 MIST considerations

II. FORMS

- § 36:3 Sample motion in limine
- § 36:4 —Order
- § 36:5 Sample plaintiff's memorandum of law in support of motion in limine to exclude evidence of property damage; Testimony, photographs, repair records
- § 36:6 Sample plaintiff's motions in limine and supporting memorandum to exclude certain evidence and arguments; Prior injury or medical treatment, other incidents or accidents that caused new or separate injuries, other issues
- § 36:7 Sample plaintiff's memorandum of law in support of motion in limine to preclude defendant's biomechanical engineer from testifying on medical causation of injury (*Frye standard*)
- § 36:8 Sample motion in limine to exclude evidence of long ago accident and supporting brief
- § 36:9 Sample motion in limine to exclude defense testimony/comments that plaintiff is malingering or attempting to make some type of financial or secondary gain
- § 36:10 Sample motion in limine to exclude statements prejudicial to MIST cases and supporting brief
- § 36:11 Order granting motion in limine to exclude statements prejudicial to MIST cases
- § 36:12 Sample plaintiff's omnibus motions in limine; *Seastrand v. Khoury, et al.*
- § 36:13 Plaintiff's motion *in limine* and supporting arguments

CHAPTER 37. JURY INSTRUCTIONS

I. IN GENERAL

- § 37:1 Generally

- § 37:2 Typical inclusions
- § 37:3 Drafting instructions
- § 37:4 Arguing jury instructions to the court

II. FORMS

- § 37:5 Sample jury instruction—Cover page
- § 37:6 —Court's cover page
- § 37:7 —Introduction
- § 37:8 —Direct and circumstantial evidence
- § 37:9 —Duty
- § 37:10 —Negligence
- § 37:11 —Duty of following driver
- § 37:12 —Speed
- § 37:13 —Proximate cause
- § 37:14 —Summary of claims
- § 37:15 —Burden of proof
- § 37:16 —Pre-existing condition
- § 37:17 —Expert witness
- § 37:18 —Chiropractor
- § 37:19 —Measure of damages
- § 37:20 —Mortality table
- § 37:21 —Deposition
- § 37:22 —Retiring
- § 37:23 —Special verdict
- § 37:24 Plaintiff's requested jury instructions; Taylor v. Progressive County Mutual Insurance Company and LeMaire
- § 37:25 Plaintiff's annotated proposed jury instructions; Beck v. State Farm Mutual Auto. Ins. Co

CHAPTER 38. *VOIR DIRE*

I. IN GENERAL

- § 38:1 Legal foundation
- § 38:2 Concepts to remember for meaningful voir dire
- § 38:3 Questioning techniques and tactics for meaningful voir dire in MIST cases
- § 38:4 Case study
- § 38:5 Challenging the jury, generally
- § 38:6 —Preemptory challenges
- § 38:7 —Challenges for cause
- § 38:8 ——Mistrial based on responses

II. FORMS

- § 38:9 Voir dire discussion point outline

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 38:10 General voir dire questions
- § 38:11 Challenge for cause formula process of getting the bite
- § 38:12 Plaintiff's submission of proposed voir dire questions; Seely v. Archuleta and ABF Freight Systems, Inc
- § 38:13 Defendants' submission of proposed voir dire questions; Seely v. Archuleta and ABF Freight Systems, Inc
- § 38:14 Plaintiff's memorandum of law regarding jury selection in personal injury cases—Confronting issues presented by jury bias

CHAPTER 39. OPENING STATEMENT

I. IN GENERAL

- § 39:1 Purpose
- § 39:2 Legal content
- § 39:3 Basic outline
- § 39:4 Themes
- § 39:5 Delivery

II. FORMS

- § 39:6 Sample opening
- § 39:7 —Intersection collision case

CHAPTER 40. PRESENTING PLAINTIFF'S CASE IN CHIEF

I. IN GENERAL

- § 40:1 Introduction
- § 40:2 Structuring the case
- § 40:3 Interrogating the defendant
- § 40:4 Questioning the plaintiff
- § 40:5 Lay witnesses
- § 40:6 Health care providers

II. FORMS

- § 40:7 Notice of trial attendance
- § 40:8 Subpoena for trial
- § 40:9 Outline—Sample direct examination of defendant (mix and match order)
- § 40:10 Sample—Outline direct examination plaintiff (mix and match order)

- § 40:11 —Outline direct examination lay witness
- § 40:12 —Outline direct examination—Treating health care provider (mix and match order)

CHAPTER 41. COUNTERING THE DEFENSE

I. IN GENERAL

- § 41:1 Introduction
- § 41:2 On matters of civility
- § 41:3 Working knowledge of objections
- § 41:4 Common dilemmas

II. FORMS

- § 41:5 Objection quick reference sheet (for inclusion in trial notebook)

CHAPTER 42. EVIDENTIARY ISSUES REGARDING MEDICAL LIENS AND LIEN FACTORING COMPANIES

- § 42:1 Introduction
- § 42:2 Medical liens and medical funding companies; Background
- § 42:3 Medical liens and medical funding companies; Trial impacts of business model
- § 42:4 Evidentiary issues under collateral source rule when medical liens and MFCs are involved
- § 42:5 States without collateral source rule; Generally allow MFC evidence
- § 42:6 States with collateral source rule; Scope of rule determines admissibility of MFC evidence
- § 42:7 States with collateral source rule; Broad collateral source rule example—Colorado
- § 42:8 States with collateral source rule; Narrow collateral source rule example—Georgia
- § 42:9 Even in states with limited collateral source rules, MFC evidence generally not admissible to reduce a plaintiff's economic damages
- § 42:10 Conclusion; Courts are generally trending towards allowing evidence of the medical funding company involvement
- § 42:11 State survey of collateral source laws amount of medical bills allowed into evidence, and other issues related to financial terms of a client's treatment

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 43. BATTLING THE COMPARATIVE FAULT DEFENSE

- § 43:1 Squeezing claims to hurt the injured
- § 43:2 Torturing the facts to create a defense
- § 43:3 Instituting the five step “comp neg” battle plan

CHAPTER 44. MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT

I. IN GENERAL

- § 44:1 Introduction
- § 44:2 Liability
- § 44:3 Causation and damages
- § 44:4 Making the motion

II. FORMS

- § 44:5 Motion for directed verdict

Volume 3

CHAPTER 45. CLOSING ARGUMENT

I. IN GENERAL

- § 45:1 Introduction
- § 45:2 Plaintiff’s mission in closing argument
- § 45:3 Legal boundaries
- § 45:4 When crossing the boundaries is not tolerated
- § 45:5 Getting to the heart of the matter quickly
- § 45:6 Rebuttal

II. FORMS

- § 45:7 Sample outline closing argument (20–30 minutes)
- § 45:8 Burden of proof demonstrative instruction
- § 45:9 Sample closing argument—Motor Vehicle collision, disc herniation
- § 45:10 Sample rebuttal argument

CHAPTER 46. JURY NULLIFICATION AND OTHER DIRTY TRIAL TRICKS

I. ANALYSIS

- § 46:1 Overview

- § 46:2 How to deal with jury nullification and other dirty trial tricks
- § 46:3 Lioce v. Cohen round 1
- § 46:4 Lioce v. Cohen round 2-rehearing

II. FORMS

- § 46:5 Order granting new trial and to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed
- § 46:6 Order granting new trial and order for sanctions
- § 46:7 Order granting new trial for jury misconduct

CHAPTER 47. POWERPOINT IN OPENING AND CLOSING

I. GENERALLY

- § 47:1 Advantages
- § 47:2 Slide content
- § 47:3 Working with the show

II. OPENING STATEMENT PRESENTATION

- § 47:4 Showing what happened
- § 47:5 Causation

III. CLOSING STATEMENT PRESENTATION

- § 47:6 Basic content
- § 47:7 Burden of proof and egg shell theory
- § 47:8 Causation
- § 47:9 Medical examiner's opinions

IV. SLIDE TEMPLATES

- § 47:10 Opening
- § 47:11 Closing
- § 47:12 Transparencies

CHAPTER 48. BAD FAITH

I. IN GENERAL

- § 48:1 Generally
- § 48:2 PIP/MedPay bad faith
- § 48:3 Third party bad faith—The case of Campbell v. State Farm

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 48:4 Abuse of Process—The case of Crackel v. Allstate
- § 48:5 Colossus—Penberthy v. Caprett
- § 48:6 Colossus—Wells v. Allstate class action
- § 48:7 Colossus—Tortious interference with contract and civil conspiracy claims
- § 48:8 Drafting the pleading
- § 48:9 “Sue Us” clauses

II. FORMS

- § 48:10 First party General Causes of Action—Allegations
- § 48:11 PIP/Med Pay Pleading
- § 48:12 First Party Theft Lawsuit—Allegations
- § 48:13 Third Party Abuse of Process Lawsuit—Allegations
- § 48:14 Miscellaneous causes—Allegations
- § 48:15 Class Action First Party PIP-Breach of Contract
- § 48:16 Class Action First Party PIP-Breach of Fiduciary Duties
- § 48:17 Class Action First Party PIP-Consumer Protection Act Violation
- § 48:18 Class Action First Party PIP-Breach of Statutory Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing
- § 48:19 Class Action First Party PIP-Injunctive and Declaratory Judgment
- § 48:20 Class Action First Party PIP-Punitive Damages
- § 48:21 Complaint—PIP/UIM/Tortfeasor Bad Faith Suit
- § 48:22 Sample combination tortfeasor and “sue us clause” complaint
- § 48:23 Opposition to motion to bifurcate
- § 48:24 Plaintiff’s Response to Allstate’s Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Damages; Dees v. Allstate Ins. Co
- § 48:25 Plaintiff’s Response to Allstate’s Motion for Summary Judgment Plaintiff’s Pip Claim; Dees v. Allstate Ins. Co
- § 48:26 Plaintiff’s Opposition to Allstate’s Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Plaintiff’s UIM Claim; Dees v. Allstate Ins. Co
- § 48:27 Complaint—UIM/Breach of Contract/WA Adminstrative Code Violations/Bad Faith/Violation of Consumer Protection Act/Insurance Fair Conduct Act; Tavakoli v. Allstate Property & Cas. Ins. Co.
- § 48:28 Answer with Affirmative Defenses; Tavakoli v. Allstate Property & Cas. Ins. Co.
- § 48:29 Plaintiff’s Trial Brief; Tavakoli v. Allstate Property & Cas. Ins. Co.

§ 48:30 Defendant's Trial Brief; Tavakoli v. Allstate Property & Cas. Ins. Co.

CHAPTER 49. ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS

I. IN GENERAL

- § 49:1 Generally
- § 49:2 Ground rules change for attorney fee awards; *Berryman v. Metcalf* requires trial court to make independent judgment concerning reasonableness of time spent, hourly rate, duplication of effort, and lodestar

II. FORMS

- § 49:3 Motion for judgment awarding attorneys' fees and costs
- § 49:4 Findings of fact regarding award of attorneys fees—As proposed
- § 49:5 —As signed
- § 49:6 Judgment awarding attorneys' fees and costs—As proposed
- § 49:7 —As signed

PART VII. MIST PRACTICE

CHAPTER 50. EVERYTHING A NEW LAWYER NEEDS TO KNOW ABOUT THEIR FIRST YEAR AS A PERSONAL INJURY LAWYER

I. IN GENERAL

- § 50:1 Introduction
- § 50:2 Life after you pass the bar
- § 50:3 Legal Administrative staff
- § 50:4 Skills
- § 50:5 Deadlines
- § 50:6 Networking
- § 50:7 Mentors
- § 50:8 Communicating with your client
- § 50:9 Terminating a client
- § 50:10 Local Rules

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 50:11 Negotiations
- § 50:12 Complaint and Discovery
- § 50:13 Deposition
- § 50:14 Voir Dire
- § 50:15 Good Luck

II. FORMS

- § 50:16 Sample offer to compromise
- § 50:17 Sample Rule 6.4 letter

FORENSIC SCIENCE

PART I. LOCATING MEDICAL LITERATURE RELATING TO MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH LITIGATION

CHAPTER 51. LEVERAGING AI IN PRE-SUIT MINOR IMPACT SOFT TISSUE (MIST) PERSONAL INJURY CASES

- § 51:1 Introduction
- § 51:2 Case intake and Evaluation
 - Initial Case Screening
 - Predictive Analytics for Case Viability
 - Gathering Preliminary Evidence
- § 51:6 Medical records and treatment analysis
 - Medical record summarization
 - Identifying key medical evidence
 - Connect medical treatment to injuries
 - Practical application
- § 51:11 Legal research and case law
 - Efficient legal research
 - Case law analysis and jurisdiction-specific trends
 - Crafting stronger legal arguments
- § 51:15 Document automation and management
 - Automated document generation
 - Organizing and managing case files
 - Enhancing efficiency with AI-Powered document review
- § 51:19 —Organizing and analyzing evidence
- § 51:20 —Implementing AI document management in a MIST case
- § 51:21 Client communication and management

- § 51:22 —AI Chatbots for client interaction
- § 51:23 —Personalized client updates
- § 51:24 —Maintaining client relationships
- § 51:25 Demand letters
- § 51:26 Negotiations
- § 51:27 Conclusion

CHAPTER 52. INTRODUCTION TO THE BIOMEDICAL LITERATURE REGARDING MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH-RELATED INJURIES

- § 52:1 Generally
- § 52:2 “Whiplash” defined
- § 52:3 Relationship between vehicle damage and injury
- § 52:4 The increasing incidence of whiplash injury in the absence of significant vehicle damage
- § 52:5 The nomenclature of crash-related injuries
- § 52:6 The biomechanist as an expert in injury causation
- § 52:7 The prevalence of junk science in defense biomechanical testimony
- § 52:8 The role of epidemiology in defense testimony
- § 52:9 The medical literature
- § 52:10 The use of medical and engineering literature in motor vehicle crash cases—Plaintiff uses
- § 52:11 —Defense uses
- § 52:12 Methods of citing the literature
- § 52:13 Abstracts versus full text

CHAPTER 53. HOW TO SEARCH THE BIOMEDICAL/ENGINEERING LITERATURE AND OBTAINING MEDICAL LITERATURE

- § 53:1 Introduction
- § 53:2 Tapping the well by using PubMed—Generally
- § 53:3 —Basic search strategy
- § 53:4 —Ordering full text copies of journal articles
- § 53:5 In the fast lane of the information highway—The long and winding road

CHAPTER 54. HELPFUL WEBSITES

- § 54:1 Generally
- § 54:2 Encyclopedias/almanacs

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 54:3 Language
- § 54:4 Quotations
- § 54:5 Statistics
- § 54:6 Library catalogs
- § 54:7 Reference search engines

CHAPTER 55. REFINING THE SEARCH FOR MEDICAL LITERATURE

- § 55:1 Introduction
- § 55:2 Medical information, the internet, and you
- § 55:3 Define the search
- § 55:4 Performing the search
- § 55:5 Where to search for medical information
- § 55:6 General information searching
- § 55:7 General information search engines/website
- § 55:8 Important information sources

PART II. FORENSIC APPLICATIONS OF THE MEDICAL LITERATURE IN MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH LITIGATION

CHAPTER 56. INTRODUCTION

- § 56:1 Generally

CHAPTER 57. PAPERS RELATED TO PROGNOSIS

- § 57:1 Acute injuries to cervical joints
- § 57:2 Neck injuries from rear-end vehicle collisions
- § 57:3 Soft-tissue injuries of the cervical spine
- § 57:4 Second whiplash injury
- § 57:5 Rate of recovery following whiplash injury
- § 57:6 Presenting symptoms and signs after whiplash injury
- § 57:7 Prospective study of acceleration-extension injuries
- § 57:8 Factors affecting employment after whiplash injury
- § 57:9 Radiofrequency medial branch neurotomy in patients with cervical whiplash
- § 57:10 Future neck and shoulder pain associated with exposure to rear-end collision

CHAPTER 58. PAPERS RELATING TO DIAGNOSIS

- § 58:1 Correlation of magnetic resonance imaging and discography

- § 58:2 Resolution of distress following treatment by radiofrequency neurotomy
- § 58:3 Reappraisal of interpretation of “nonorganic signs”
- § 58:4 Peripheral nerve entrapment
- § 58:5 Disc pathology after whiplash injury
- § 58:6 Findings and outcome in whiplash neck distortions
- § 58:7 Roentgenographic findings in cervical spine of asymptomatic persons
- § 58:8 Malingering and symptom magnification
- § 58:9 Carpal tunnel syndrome in motor vehicle accidents
- § 58:10 Defining radiographic criteria for flexion-extension studies
- § 58:11 Chronic cervical zygapophysial joint pain after whiplash
- § 58:12 Central hypersensitivity in chronic pain after whiplash injury

CHAPTER 59. USING MEDICAL LITERATURE IN THE BACK AND NECK CASE

- § 59:1 Can back and neck injuries be permanent, or do they all heal after 18 months?
- § 59:2 Do all plaintiffs have preexisting conditions, or just the ones I represent?
- § 59:3 Since pain is subjective, it must not be real
- § 59:4 A review and methodologic critique of the literature refuting whiplash syndrome
- § 59:5 Human tolerance levels (Injury thresholds)

CHAPTER 60. PAPERS RELATING TO BIOMECHANICS AND ENGINEERING

- § 60:1 Curvature of neck and hyperextension; motion of cervical vertebrae
- § 60:2 Head-neck response during low-speed rear-end impact
- § 60:3 Head/neck kinematic response
- § 60:4 Clinical response to rear-end automobile collision
- § 60:5 Vehicle damage bears no relationship to occupant injury
- § 60:6 Relationship of head restraint to driver neck injury
- § 60:7 Relationship between pre-existing spinal degeneration and whiplash symptoms
- § 60:8 Relationship between vehicle damage and injury delta V
- § 60:9 Whiplash injury determination with conventional spine imaging and cryomicrotomy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 61. PAPERS ADDRESSING THE PROBLEMS WITH THE LITERATURE AND JUNK SCIENCE

- § 61:1 Introduction
- § 61:2 Methodological critique of literature refuting whiplash syndrome
- § 61:3 Whiplash associated disorders
- § 61:4 Defense fallacies
- § 61:5 Prevalence of “whiplash” symptoms following placebo rear-end collision

CHAPTER 62. LITERATURE REFUTING THE VALIDITY OF WHIPLASH INJURIES

- § 62:1 Crash test literature
- § 62:2 The kinematics and kinetics of whiplash
- § 62:3 Strength and response of the human neck
- § 62:4 BRC
- § 62:5 Analysis of responses to low velocity rear end impacts
- § 62:6 Head and neck kinematic after low-velocity rear-end impact
- § 62:7 Occupant kinematic response to low-speed rear-end impact
- § 62:8 Human kinematics and electromyographic activity during rear impact
- § 62:9 Low speed collision testing using human subjects
- § 62:10 Acceleration perturbations
- § 62:11 Natural evolution of late whiplash syndrome
- § 62:12 Pain after whiplash
- § 62:13 Effect of eliminating compensation for pain upon insurance claims for whiplash

CHAPTER 63. APPLICATION OF METHODS

- § 63:1 Introduction
- § 63:2 Affidavit
- § 63:3 Medical ethics and the defense medical evaluation

CHAPTER 64. CITED ARTICLES AND ABSTRACTS

- § 64:1 Acute injuries to cervical joints. An autopsy study of neck sprain
- § 64:2 The prognosis of neck injuries resulting from rear-end vehicle collisions
- § 64:3 Soft-tissue injuries of the cervical spine. Fifteen-year follow-up

LITIGATING MIST CASES

- § 64:4 Prognosis following a second whiplash injury
- § 64:5 The rate of recovery following whiplash injury
- § 64:6 Presenting symptoms and signs after whiplash injury: The influence of accident mechanisms
- § 64:7 A prospective study of acceleration-extension injuries following rear-end motor vehicle collisions
- § 64:8 Cervical discogenic pain. Prospective correlation of magnetic resonance imaging and discography in asymptomatic subjects and pain sufferers
- § 64:9 Behavioral responses to examination. A reappraisal of the interpretation of “nonorganic signs”
- § 64:10 Peripheral nerve entrapment caused by motor vehicle crashes
- § 64:11 Disc pathology after whiplash injury. A prospective magnetic resonance imaging and clinical investigation
- § 64:12 Findings and outcome in whiplash-type neck distortions
- § 64:13 Whiplash produces an S-shaped curvature of the neck with hyperextension at lower levels
- § 64:14 Motion analysis of cervical vertebrae during whiplash loading
- § 64:15 Human head-neck response during low speed rear end impacts
- § 64:16 Head/neck kinematic response of human subjects in low-speed rear-end collisions
- § 64:17 Clinical response of human subjects to rear-end automobile collisions
- § 64:18 Lack of relationship between vehicle damage and occupant injury
- § 64:19 Relationship of head restraint positioning to driver neck injury in rear-end crashes
- § 64:20 Is there a relationship between pre-existing spinal degeneration and whiplash associated symptoms in victims of rear-end automobile impacts?
- § 64:21 The relationship between vehicle damage and injury delta vs crash pulse
- § 64:22 A review and methodologic critique of the literature refuting whiplash syndrome
- § 64:23 “Whiplash associated Disorders: redefining whiplash and its management” by the Quebec Task Force. A critical evaluation
- § 64:24 Don’t fall for defense fallacies
- § 64:25 Investigation of the kinematics and kinetics of whiplash
- § 64:26 Strength and response of the human neck

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- § 64:27 Analysis of human test subject kinematic responses to low velocity rear end impacts
- § 64:28 Human head and neck kinematics after low velocity rear-end impacts—Understanding “whiplash”
- § 64:29 Human occupant kinematic response to low speed rear-end impacts
- § 64:30 Human subject kinematics and electromyographic activity during low speed rear impacts
- § 64:31 Low speed rear-end collision testing using human subjects
- § 64:32 Acceleration perturbations of daily living. A comparison to ‘whiplash’
- § 64:33 Natural evolution of late whiplash syndrome outside the medicolegal context
- § 64:34 Pain after whiplash: A prospective controlled inception cohort study
- § 64:35 Effect of eliminating compensation for pain and suffering on the outcome of insurance claims for whiplash injuries
- § 64:36 Spinal injury from low level acceleration: roller coaster injuries
- § 64:37 Correlating crash severity with injury risk, injury severity, and long-term symptoms

PART III. TRIAL STRATEGIES

CHAPTER 65. EXPOSING AND DEFEATING MIST DEFENSE STRATEGIES

- § 65:1 Generally
- § 65:2 The Expert Defense
- § 65:3 The No-Expert Defense
- § 65:4 Dealing with the “Common Sense” Defense
- § 65:5 Dealing with defense experts
- § 65:6 Cross examination of the defense medical examiner
- § 65:7 Cross examination of the defense biomechanist

APPENDIX A. Appendix A

APPENDIX B. Appendix B

CHAPTER 66. DEALING WITH DEFENSE ACCIDENT RECONSTRUCTION/ ENGINEERING/ BIOMECHANICAL TESTIMONY

- § 66:1 Generally

- § 66:2 Cross—Examination of the treating physician at discovery deposition
- § 66:3 Affidavit for motion to strike defense biomechanist/accident reconstructionist/engineer
- § 66:4 Plaintiff's motion to limit/preclude testimony of biomechanic
- § 66:5 Plaintiff's motion in limine to preclude inadmissible testimony of biomechanic; 1. Calculations of Delta V; 2. Opinion that there was no mechanism for injury in the crash; 3. Comparing the forces of the crash to activities of daily living; 4. Plaintiff is misattributing the symptoms

CHAPTER 67. SPECIAL ISSUES AND STRATEGIES FOR DEFENSE BIOMECHANICAL TESTIMONY AND RELATED PROOFS

- § 67:1 Why crash test studies cannot provide a reliable or scientific basis for biomechanical expert opinion on injury thresholds
- § 67:2 Admissibility issues concerning defense biomechanical testimony on crash test studies and injury thresholds
- § 67:3 Admissibility issues concerning car photographs in low impact cases

CHAPTER 68. SPOTTING UNRELIABLE AND INACCURATE EXPERT TESTIMONY IN AUTO INJURY LITIGATION; WHAT EVERY LAWYER NEEDS TO KNOW ABOUT PRE-TEST PROBABILITIES

- § 68:1 Introduction
- § 68:2 Meaning of pre-test probability
- § 68:3 Meaning of true positives
- § 68:4 Meaning of false positives
- § 68:5 Example illustrating the concepts of true positives and false positives
- § 68:6 Pre-test probabilities and injury litigation
- § 68:7 All forensic methods are really tests, and all forensic opinions are really test results
- § 68:8 Assessing the accuracy of forensic tests; why plaintiff tests are more accurate than defense tests
- § 68:9 The reason for the divergent results
- § 68:10 Practical application

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 69. USING MOTION PRACTICE TO OVERCOME JUROR BIAS

- § 69:1 Generally
- § 69:2 Motion in limine to preclude biomechanical engineer
- § 69:3 Plaintiff's motion to correct error
- § 69:4 Affidavit in support of motion to correct error

CHAPTER 70. WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW WHEN MIST AND RIDE SHARE CASES COLLIDE

I. ANALYSIS

- § 70:1 Introduction
- § 70:2 Issues relevant in ride share cases
- § 70:3 Origins of Uber and Lyft
- § 70:4 Liability insurance—Ride share services
- § 70:5 Insurance for ride share drivers with Uber and Lyft
- § 70:6 Other issues—Arbitration agreements
- § 70:7 The Uber Arbitration Agreement
 - Agreement to binding arbitration between you and Uber
 - § 70:9 —Rules and governing law
 - § 70:10 —Process
 - § 70:11 —Location and procedure
 - § 70:12 —Arbitrator's decision
 - § 70:13 —Fees
 - § 70:14 —Changes
 - § 70:15 —Severability and Survival
 - § 70:16 Challenging the enforceability of Uber's arbitration agreement
 - § 70:17 Holding the ride share company accountable for the actions of the driver
 - § 70:18 Limitations to liability
 - § 70:19 Discovery considerations

B. FORMS

- § 70:20 Appendix A; Trial court opinion; Kemenosh v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., Case No. 1811027703 (January 3, 2020) (Phila. County First Judicial Dist. Trial Div.); opinion found Uber arbitration agreement unenforceable under Pennsylvania law because information presented to plaintiff did not properly communicate an offer to arbitrate under applicable law

- § 70:21 Appendix B; Order on Motion for Preliminary Injunction, People v. Uber Technologies, Inc., Lyft, Inc., et al, Case No. CGC-20-584402 (August 10, 2010) (Cal. Sup. Ct. San Francisco County); Court issued injunction requiring Uber and Lyft to classify all of their drivers as employees
- § 70:22 Appendix C-1; Plaintiffs' First Requests for Admissions to Defendants-Redacted
- § 70:23 Appendix C-2; Plaintiffs' First Interrogatories to Defendants-Redacted
- § 70:24 Appendix C-3; Plaintiffs' First Requests for the Production of Documents to Defendants-Redacted

CHAPTER 71. TRIALS IN THE TIME OF COVID

- § 71:1 Introduction
- § 71:2 Pre-Trial Issues
- § 71:3 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction
- § 71:4 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Know the layout of the court room
- § 71:5 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Who is the trial judge?
- § 71:6 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; What are the Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) Requirements?
- § 71:7 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Where will the trial be held?
- § 71:8 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Who is managing, how is jury selection being managed?
- § 71:9 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Is jury selection live or by video?
- § 71:10 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Jury Voir Dire
- § 71:11 How they handle their business can vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction; Trial of the Case
- § 71:12 Summary

Table of Laws and Rules

Table of Cases

Index