Table of Contents

ART	TICLE I UTAH PROCEDURAL RULES OF EVIDENCE	1
$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{U}$	LE 101 SCOPE; DEFINITIONS	1
[A] [B]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History	
[C]	Foundation	
[D]	Interpretive Analysis	
[E]	Federal Variations	
RU	LE 102 PURPOSE	8
[A]	Statement of the Rule	
[B]	Legislative History	
[C]	Foundation	
[D]	Interpretive Analysis	
[E]	Federal Variations	
RU	LE 103 RULINGS ON EVIDENCE	10
[A]	Statement of the Rule	
[B]	Legislative History	
[C]	Foundation	
[D]	Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
[E]	rederal variations	
RU	LE 104 PRELIMINARY QUESTIONS	52
[A]	Statement of the Rule	
[B]	Legislative History	
[C]	Foundation	
[D]	Interpretive Analysis	
[E]	Federal Variations	
	LE 105 LIMITING EVIDENCE THAT IS NOT MISSIBLE AGAINST OTHER PARTIES OR FOR	
	HER PURPOSES	66
[A]	Statement of the Rule	
[B]	Legislative History	
[C]	Foundation	
[D]	Interpretive Analysis	
[E]	Federal Variations	

	LE 106 REMAINDER OF OR RELATED WRITINGS OR CORDED STATEMENTS70
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	
ART	ICLE II JUDICIAL NOTICE IN UTAH
	LE 201 JUDICIAL NOTICE OF ADJUDICATIVE CTS
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E] [F]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation: Judicial Notice Interpretive Analysis [Rule 201] Federal Variations Miscellaneous
ART	ICLE III UTAH'S LAW OF EVIDENTIARY PRESUMPTION . 114
	LE 301 PRESUMPTIONS IN CIVIL CASES NERALLY
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation: Presumptions Under the Burden-Allocating Theory of Presumptions (the Utah Rule) Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations
	LE 302 APPLYING FEDERAL LAW TO ESUMPTIONS IN CIVIL CASES
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation: the Federal Rule (Bursting Bubble Theory) Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations
CAS	LE 303 PRESUMPTIONS IN GENERAL IN CRIMINAL SES [PROPOSED RULE 303]; SCOPE; SUBMISSION JURY; INSTRUCTION TO JURY
[A]	Statement of the Rule [Omitted Under Both Utah and Federal Rules of Evidence]
[B]	Legislative History

TABLE OF	Contents
----------	----------

[C] [D] [E]	Foundation: Presumptions in Criminal Cases Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
ART	ICLE IV UTAH'S EVIDENTIARY RULES ON RELEVANCY	161
\mathbf{RU}	LE 401 TEST FOR RELEVANT EVIDENCE	161
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 402 GENERAL ADMISSIBILITY OF RELEVANT DENCE	174
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	174
PRI	LE 403 EXCLUDING RELEVANT EVIDENCE FOR EJUDICE, CONFUSION, WASTE OF TIME, OR OTHI	ER 178
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 404 CHARACTER EVIDENCE; CRIMES OR OTH	ER 211
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
\mathbf{RU}	LE 405 METHODS OF PROVING CHARACTER.	274
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	

\mathbf{RU}	LE 406 HABIT; ROUTINE PRACTICE	283
[A] [B] [C]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation	
[D] [E]	Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 407 SUBSEQUENT REMEDIAL ASURES	286
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 408 COMPROMISE OFFERS AND GOTIATIONS	292
[A] [B] [C]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation	
	Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 409 PAYMENT OF MEDICAL AND SIMILAR PENSES; EXPRESSIONS OF APOLOGY	298
[A] [B] [C]	Foundation	
[D] [E]	Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 410 PLEAS, PLEA DISCUSSIONS, AND RELATE	D 301
[A] [B] [C] [D]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis	501
E]	Federal Variations LE 411 LIABILITY INSURANCE	207
[A] [B] [C]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History	307

Table of Contents

[D] [E]	Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 412 ADMISSIBILITY OF VICTIM'S SEXUAL HAVIOR OR PREDISPOSITION	311
[A] [B] [C]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 416 VIOLATION OF TRAFFIC CODE NOT MISSIBLE	335
[A] [B] [C]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History	
AC'	LE 417 ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE OF THE TOR'S EXPRESSION OR ASSOCIATION IN VICTIM RGETING CRIMINAL PENALTY HANCEMENTS	337
[A]		
ART	TICLE V EVIDENTIARY PRIVILEGE IN UTAH	338
RU	LE 501 PRIVILEGE IN GENERAL	338
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
RU	LE 502 HUSBAND-WIFE	358
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
RU	LE 503 COMMUNICATIONS TO CLERGY	371
[A]	Statement of the Rule	

[B] [C] [D]	Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis	
\mathbf{RU}	LE 504 LEGAL PROFESSIONAL—CLIENT	377
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E] [F]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations Miscellaneous	
\mathbf{RU}	LE 505 GOVERNMENT INFORMER	419
[A] [B] [C] [D]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis	
	LE 506 PHYSICIAN AND MENTAL HEALTH ERAPIST-PATIENT	425
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Foundation	
\mathbf{RU}	LE 507 FIRST RESPONDER PEER SUPPORT	444
[A] [B]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History	
	LE 508 ENVIRONMENTAL SELF-EVALUATION IVILEGE	445
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
\mathbf{RU}	LE 509 NEWS REPORTERS	449
[A] [B] [C] [D]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis	

Table of C	ONTENTS
------------	---------

[E]	Federal Variations	
\mathbf{RU}	LE 510 MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS	453
[A] [B] [C] [D]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis	
\mathbf{RU}	LE 511 INSURANCE REGULATORS	472
[A] [B] [C] [D]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretative Analysis	
\mathbf{RU}	LE 512 VICTIM COMMUNICATIONS	474
[A] [B]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History	
	TICLE VI UTAH'S EVIDENTIARY RULES REGARDING NESSES	477
\mathbf{RU}	LE 601 GENERAL RULES OF COMPETENCY	477
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
\mathbf{RU}	LE 602 NEED FOR PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE	489
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 603 OATH OR AFFIRMATION TO TESTIFY UTHFULLY	493
[A] [B] [C] [D]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	

RUI	LE 604 INTERPRETER	495
[A] [B]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History	
[C] [D] [E]	Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 605 JUDGE'S COMPETENCY AS A	498
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 606 JUROR'S COMPETENCY AS A 'NESS	502
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
RUI	LE 607 WHO MAY IMPEACH A WITNESS	517
[A] [B] [C] [D]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
RUI	LE 608 A WITNESS'S CHARACTER FOR JTHFULNESS OR UNTRUTHFULNESS	537
[A] [B] [C]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	001
RUI	LE 609 IMPEACHMENT BY EVIDENCE OF A MINAL CONVICTION	556
[A] [B] [C]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[D] [E]	Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
RU	LE 610 RELIGIOUS BELIEFS OR OPINIONS	570
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 611 MODE AND ORDER OF EXAMINING PRESENTING EVIDENCE	572
	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 612 WRITING USED TO REFRESH A WITNESS	S'S 595
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
RU.	LE 613 WITNESS'S PRIOR STATEMENT	600
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 614 COURT'S CALLING OR EXAMINING A	609
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	300
RU.	LE 615 EXCLUDING WITNESSES	613
[A]	Statement of the Rule	

[B] [C] [D] [E]	Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 616 STATEMENTS MADE DURING CUSTODIAL ERROGATIONS	618
[A] [B] [C] [D]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis	
RU	LE 617 EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION	621
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
ART	ICLE VII OPINION AND EXPERT TESTIMONY IN UTAH	631
	LE 701 OPINION TESTIMONY BY LAY	631
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation	
\mathbf{RU}	LE 702 TESTIMONY BY EXPERTS	642
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 703 BASES OF AN EXPERT'S OPINION STIMONY	806
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	

RU	LE 704 OPINION ON ULTIMATE ISSUE	816
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	· ·	
	LE 705 DISCLOSING THE FACTS OR DATA DERLYING AN EXPERT'S OPINION	826
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
RU	LE 706 COURT-APPOINTED EXPERTS	854
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
ART	TICLE VIII THE LAW OF HEARSAY IN UTAH	858
	LE 801 DEFINITIONS THAT APPLY TO THIS TICLE; EXCLUSIONS FROM HEARSAY	858
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	· ·	
RU	LE 802 THE RULE AGAINST HEARSAY	944
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 803 EXCEPTIONS TO THE RULE AGAINST	
	ARSAY—REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THE CLARANT IS AVAILABLE AS A WITNESS	949
[A]	Statement of the Rule	

[B] [C] [D] [E]	Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
HE	LE 804 EXCEPTIONS TO THE RULE AGAINST ARSAY- WHEN THE DECLARANT IS UNAVAILABI A WITNESS	L E 1020
[C]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
[A] [B] [C]	LE 805 HEARSAY WITHIN HEARSAY Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation for Multiple Hearsay	1043
	Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations LE 806 ATTACKING AND SUPPORTING THE CLARANT'S CREDIBILITY	1044
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Impeachment of Hearsay Declarant Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
RU	LE 807 RESIDUAL EXCEPTION	1046
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretation Federal Variations	
ART	TICLE IX AUTHENTICATION OR IDENTIFICATION	1053
	LE 901 AUTHENTICATING OR IDENTIFYING IDENCE	1053
[A] [B] [C] [D]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis	1000

TTS		\sim	
TABLE	OF	CON	TENTS

נקדו	To donal	17	
[E]	rederai	Variations	i

	LE 902 EVIDENCE THAT IS LF-AUTHENTICATING	1084
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
	LE 903 SUBSCRIBING WITNESS'S STIMONY	1098
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
ART	TICLE X THE ORIGINAL WRITING RULE IN UTAH	1100
	LE 1001 DEFINITIONS THAT APPLY TO THIS FICLE	1100
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
RU	LE 1002 REQUIREMENT OF THE ORIGINAL	1104
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	
\mathbf{RU}	LE 1003 ADMISSIBILITY OF DUPLICATES	1114
[A] [B] [C] [D]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations	

	LE 1004 ADMISSIBILITY OF OTHER EVIDENCE OF TENT
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations
	LE 1005 COPIES OF PUBLIC RECORDS TO PROVE NTENT1120
[A] [B] [C]	Statement of the Rule
RUI	LE 1006 SUMMARIES TO PROVE CONTENT 1122
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations
	LE 1007 TESTIMONY OR STATEMENT OF A PARTY
[A] [B] [C] [D] [E]	PROVE CONTENT 1127 Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations
	LE 1008 FUNCTIONS OF THE COURT AND RY
[A]	Statement of the Rule Legislative History Foundation: Disputes Regarding Whether an Original Writing Ever Existed, Whether Another Writing Is Actually the Original or Whether Other Evidence Correctly Reflects the Content of the Original
[D] [E]	Interpretive Analysis Federal Variations
ART	ICLE XI UTAH'S APPLICABILITY OF RULES OF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RU.	LE 1101 APPLICABILITY OF RULES	1133
	Statement of the Rule	
[B]		
	Foundation	
	Interpretive Analysis	
[E]	Federal Variations	
\mathbf{RU}	LE 1102 RELIABLE HEARSAY IN CRIMINAL	
PR	ELIMINARY EXAMINATIONS	1153
[A]	Statement of the Rule	
[B]	Legislative History	
[C]	Foundation	
[D]	Interpretation	
[E]	Federal Variations	
RU.	LE 1103 TITLE	1159
[A]	Statement of the Rule	
[B]	Legislative History	
[C]	Foundation	
[D]	Interpretation	
[E]	Federal Variations	
Tab	le of Laws and Rules	
Tab	le of Cases	
Ind	ex	