

MINNESOTA PRACTICE SERIES™

Volume 3

Appellate Rules Annotated

2025–2026 Edition

Issued in September 2025

By

ERICA A. HOLZER
MEMBER, MINNESOTA BAR
Maslon LLP
Minneapolis

KATHERINE S. BARRETT WIIK
MEMBER, MINNESOTA BAR
Saul Ewing LLP
Minneapolis



For Customer Assistance Call 1-800-328-4880

© 2025 Thomson Reuters

For authorization to photocopy, please contact the **Copyright Clearance Center** at 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA, <http://www.copyright.com>, Toll-Free US +1.855.239.3415; International +1.978.646.2600 or **Thomson Reuters Copyright Services** at 2900 Ames Crossing Rd, Suite 100, Eagan, MN 55121, USA or copyright.west@thomsonreuters.com. Please outline the specific material involved, the number of copies you wish to distribute and the purpose or format of the use.

This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered; however, this publication was not necessarily prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional.

ISBN 978-1-668-79012-0

INTRODUCTION

This new volume is updated in 2025 to incorporate and report on recent developments affecting practice in the Minnesota appellate courts, including:

- Significant changes to Rule 112, governing non-public information and materials on appeal.
- The addition of Rule 126.03, a new rule which provides a mechanism for attorneys to obtain an automatic personal leave continuance of up to 90 days in specified circumstances, without disclosing private medical or personal details to the court or opposing counsel.
- Clarification that an amicus curiae brief may be filed only by an attorney admitted to practice before the appellate court.

To
Our Loving and Supportive Villages,
With Gratitude and Admiration

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This volume follows, and draws upon, the earlier treatises on Minnesota Practice authored by G. Aaron Youngquist and Benjamin J. Blacik and James L. Hetland, Jr., and Oscar C. Adamson III. It also draws upon the earlier versions of this book, authored by Professor Roger S. Haydock of William Mitchell College of Law, former Minnesota Supreme Court Justice Sam Hanson of Briggs and Morgan, P.A., former Minnesota Supreme Court Chief Justice Eric J. Magnuson of Robins Kaplan LLP, and the late, great David F. Herr of Maslon LLP. We acknowledge our debt to them for their early and continuing efforts to explain the rules of Minnesota appellate practice and for their unwavering support throughout our careers. Our work was also made easier by the hard work of the many members of the Minnesota Supreme Court Advisory Committee on Civil Procedure and the Minnesota Supreme Court Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure. We owe a special debt of gratitude to Cynthia N. Lehr, who recently retired from her decades of service as the original Chief Attorney for the Minnesota Court of Appeals. Cindy provided uniquely helpful and insightful comments on the near-final draft of earlier manuscripts. Her contributions will undoubtedly help quite a few appellate litigants from stepping into unseen traps. Chief Staff Attorney Liz Keating has ably assumed the role, and we look forward to future collaborations with her on this volume as well as within the appellate practice community.

The experience of writing a book is highly similar to the experience of attending law school. Done with each, we look back and wonder how we did it. We certainly would hesitate to do it again. We also acknowledge the contributions made by our colleagues in the appellate bar, who helpfully and generously send us interesting case updates and procedural developments on an ongoing basis.

Our families and our friends deserve acknowledgment for their patience, and steadfast support.

ERICA A. HOLZER
KATHERINE S. BARRETT WILK

PREFACE

It is perhaps no surprise that one of the first decisions of the Minnesota Supreme Court (then the Territorial Supreme Court) involved a question of appellate procedure. *Chouteau v. Rice*, 1 Minn. 24 (1851), was resolved on a motion to dismiss an appeal from an interlocutory order. The appeal was dismissed. In rendering its opinion, the Court struggled to resolve the conflict between its desire to provide prompt and effective appellate review, and its concern about the orderly progression of justice. The Court came down on the side of order in the appellate process, a concept that is inherent in the rule of unitary appeal. The Court's decision was, however, by the slimmest of margins. One of the three justices filed a dissent.

The Court articulated its reasoning as follows:

To adopt a different rule, where there is no statutory prohibition, would be almost equivalent to closing the doors of justice. This rule has been sanctioned by experience, and is one which commends itself to every rational mind. Manifest wrong—manifest delay—manifest injustice, would most indubitably be the result of allowing appeals from every decree of a Court Chancery. We must establish some rule, and if not the one herein announced, where are we to stop? It is extremely dubious, if a contrary rule were adopted, whether there be a man amongst us, who would live to see the end of this, or any other cause, now pending in the Courts of Chancery of this Territory.

Chouteau v. Rice, 1 Minn. 24 at 28.

With this decision, the Minnesota Supreme Court began the ongoing process of defining the body of law that governs appeals from the trial courts to the appellate courts of this state. From the first set of territorial statutes (*e.g.*, 1851 Minn. Rev. Stat. ch. 81, § 11) through the Civil Appeal Code of 1963 (MINN. STAT. ANN. § 605.01-225) to the current Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, the law of appellate practice and procedure has been a mixture of statutes and rules, glossed by the decisions of the appellate courts.

Procedural issues continue to arise with great frequency. As we reported in the Second Edition of this work, by the end of 1984 (its first full year of existence), the Minnesota Court of Appeals had decided 1,946 cases, 11 Wm Mitchell L. Rev. 627, 632, n.25; 224 of these cases involved questions of appellate procedure. *Id.*, at 629, n.4. Approximately 35% of all appeals filed with the court of Appeals during the same period of time were ultimately dismissed. *Id.*, at 633, n.32. Although many more cases have been decided now, despite over 140 years of development, the substantive rules of appellate practice continue to be a source of contention, and at times, uncertainty.

The Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure were first promulgated in 1967, superseding the Civil Appeal Code. The rules currently define appellate practice in large part, but not exclusively. In many instances, statutory provisions either prescribe appellate procedure, or impact an appeal in some substantive way. They continue to be the foundation for appellate practice, and should be the first source consulted to answer questions about appellate practice.

The basic structure of the appellate rules has remained intact since the earliest days of the state. Minn. R. Civ. App. P. 103.03 is derived in large part from the earlier territorial laws. For many issues arising under the rules, decisional authority stretches back to the very beginning of the Minnesota judicial systems. Other issues are without precedent.

Appellate procedure involves not only the rules, but decisional and statutory law as well. Courts, especially appellate courts, are dynamic and living entities. The way in which the courts interpret the rules, and, more important at times, how the courts fill in the inevitable gaps in the rules when confronted with situations that do not fit neatly within any of the already developed prescriptions, is the true essence of appellate law. This book is intended to provide the practitioner with a resource that will explain the purpose and construction of the rules against the background of case law and statutes pertinent to each rule. Practical observation are included, as are chronological and topical summaries, to aid the appellate attorney in processing his or her case through the appellate courts. Each section of the book ends with a collection of suggested general reading, for further background on selected topics.

Although the text that follows is as complete and thorough as it can be, new decisions continue to be filed each week, many addressing issues of appellate procedure, both settled and new. Ad-

PREFACE

ditionally, the appellate practitioner should always remember that for every rule there is an exception, and while the rules provide a general framework for appellate practice, it is not an inflexible one. The paramount purpose of the rules is to promote justice for all litigants. To that end, appellate courts may alter, suspend or totally ignore the established rules, to see that justice is served. The rules are perhaps best viewed as guides for fair and just appellate practice. They represent the current statement of the continuing process begun with *Chouteau v. Rice*, the balanced decisions of generations of judges and attorneys.

We continue to hope this book is valuable to Minnesota lawyers and litigants facing the appellate process. We welcome questions and suggestions for improvements of future editions. Contact us at:

Erica A. Holzer, Esq.
Maslon LLP
225 South Sixth Street
Suite 2900
Minneapolis, MN 55402
erica.holzer@maslon.com
612-672-8387

Katherine S. Barrett Wiik
Saul Ewing LLP
33 South Sixth Street
Suite 4750
Minneapolis, MN 55402
612-225-2621

ERICA A. HOLZER
KATHERINE S. BARRETT WIIK

September 2025



THOMSON REUTERS
WESTLAW™

MOST PREFERRED ONLINE LEGAL RESEARCH SERVICE

Thomson Reuters Westlaw has been voted the #1 Best Online Legal Research vendor year-after-year by industry professionals. That's because we continually invest more than any other online legal research provider in our people and technology where it matters most. As a result, you find exactly what you need quickly and confidently.

- Build the strongest argument with the most comprehensive collection of legal content
- Deliver better results confidently with WestSearch®, the only search engine designed specifically for the law
- Rely on the most current version of the law with proprietary editorial enhancements
- Access your legal research anytime, anywhere with the free Westlaw apps

LEARN MORE: legal.thomsonreuters.com

SIGN ON: westlaw.com

24/7 REFERENCE ATTORNEYS: 1-800-REF-ATTY (733-2889)

THOMSON REUTERS PROVIEW™

This title is one of many now available on your tablet as an eBook.

Take your research mobile. Powered by the Thomson Reuters ProView™ app, our eBooks deliver the same trusted content as your print resources, but in a compact, on-the-go format.

ProView eBooks are designed for the way you work. You can add your own notes and highlights to the text, and all of your annotations will transfer electronically to every new edition of your eBook.

You can also instantly verify primary authority with built-in links to WestlawNext® and KeyCite®, so you can be confident that you're accessing the most current and accurate information.

To find out more about ProView eBooks and available discounts, call 1-800-328-9352.

RELATED PRODUCTS

Thomson Reuters thanks you for subscribing to this product. Should you have any questions regarding this product please contact Customer Service at 1-800-328-4880 or by fax at 1-800-340-9378. If you would like to inquire about related publications or place an order, please contact us at 1-800-328-9352.



Thomson Reuters
610 Opperman Drive
Eagan, MN 55123

legal.thomsonreuters.com